## Accessing Azetidines through Magnesium-Mediated Nitrogen Atom Transfer from Iminoiodinane to Donor-Acceptor Cyclopropanes

Ajay H. Bansode,<sup>[a]</sup> Lifeng Yin,<sup>[a]</sup> Ning Deng,<sup>†[a]</sup> Mahmoud Afrasi,<sup>†[a]</sup> Yiyi Zhu,<sup>[b]</sup> and Marvin Parasram<sup>\*[a]</sup>

[a] Dr. A. H. Bansode, L. Yin, N. Deng, M. Afrasi, and Prof. Dr. M. Parasram
 Department of Chemistry, New York University, New York, New York 10003, United States;
 E-mail: parasram@nyu.edu

[b] Y. Zhu

Department of Teaching and Learning, New York University, New York, New York 10003, United States.

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

**Abstract:** Herein, we report a Lewis acid-mediated ring expansion of donor-acceptor cyclopropanes (DACs) to substituted azetidines via nucleophilic nitrogen atom transfer from readily accessible iminoiodinane. This protocol operates under mild, transition-metal-free conditions, and showcases excellent chemoselectivity, along with broad functional group tolerance. We report for the first time that challenging alkyl donor-acceptor cyclopropanes can undergo ring expansion leading to aliphatic azetidines without relying on external oxidants or precious transition-metal catalysts. Mechanistically, the coordination of a magnesium (Mg)-Lewis acid to the DAC promotes nucleophilic ring opening with a putative Mg-amide species generated from the iminoiodinane under the reaction conditions to furnish the azetidine products.

Azetidines, saturated four-membered nitrogen-containing heterocycles, are prominent structural motifs in numerous bioactive natural products and FDA-approved drugs such as Tebanicline,<sup>1</sup> Penaresidins A/B,<sup>2</sup> and Baricitinib.<sup>3</sup> Azetidines possess high molecular rigidity, adequate stability, and isosteric characteristics with six-member heterocycles, which makes them privileged scaffolds for a broad range of applications in drug discovery.4-6 Over the years, azetidines have been employed as ligands in transition-metal-catalyzed protocols and as chiral auxiliaries in asymmetric transformations.7 Due to their inherent strain of 25 kcal mol-1, azetidines serve as valuable synthetic 1,3-amino functionalized products.8 handles for achieving Despite the prevalence of azetidine cores in medicinally relevant molecules and utility in organic synthesis, methods for the synthesis of azetidines have long been underdeveloped compared to other saturated nitrogen-containing heterocycles and remain a contemporary challenge in organic synthesis.9 Some common azetidination strategies include the basepromoted intramolecular cyclization<sup>10</sup> of 1,3-amino alcohols, 1,3halo amines (Scheme 1A, left), the coupling of homoallylic amines (Scheme 1A, right) as well as intermolecular [2+2] cyclization of alkene with amines/oximes.11 Although well-established, these methods are often low-yielding and demonstrate poor functional group tolerance owning to the basic conditions employed.

In recent years, there has been growing interest in the development of direct azetidination protocols through ringcontraction or expansion of various ring systems such as pyrrolidinones, <sup>12</sup> aziridines, <sup>13</sup> azabicyclobutanes, <sup>14</sup> and donoracceptor cyclopropanes (DACs). Among others, it has been shown that donor-acceptor cyclopropanes, "a masked 1,3-dipole," exhibit exceptional reactivity under Lewis acid-catalyzed conditions, enabling a wide range of transformations such as ring expansion,<sup>15</sup> nucleophilic and/or electrophilic substitution,<sup>16,17</sup> cycloaddition,<sup>18</sup> and many more.<sup>19</sup> In 2014, the Xu group harnessed the dipolar nature of DACs with azides through formal Lewis acid-promoted [3+3] cycloaddition and thermolysis to access azetidines (Scheme 1B, left).<sup>20</sup> Years later, Banerjee and co-workers demonstrated the Lewis acid-mediated azetidination of DACs using oxaziridines (Scheme 1B, right).<sup>21</sup> However, the scope is limited to highly electron-rich substrates, which restricts applicability in target-oriented synthesis. its broader Contemporaneously, the Lao group developed a cascade Lewis acid DAC ring opening with aromatic amines and subsequent intramolecular amination via hypoiodite relay catalysis to access substituted azetidines.<sup>22</sup> While innovative, the substrate scope of these azetidination protocols is hampered by the reliance on strong oxidants, harsh reaction conditions, and the necessity of activated systems. Therefore, to address these shortcomings, the development of a robust, transition-metal-/exogenous oxidantfree, and mild nitrogen atom transfer protocol is highly warranted.23 Herein, we report a Lewis acid-mediated ring expansion of donor-acceptor cyclopropanes (1) to generate



Scheme 1. Approaches for the synthesis of azetidines.

Table 1. Substrate scope of the azetidination reaction<sup>a,b</sup>



[a] Isolated yield. [b] Condition A: 1 (1 equiv.), 2 (2 equiv.) Mgl<sub>2</sub> (50 mol%), TBAI (1 equiv.), PhCF<sub>3</sub> (0.1 M), rt, 24 h. [c] Reaction time = 36 h. [d] Reaction time = 48 h and 52% SM recovered. [f] SM recovered. [g] Reaction time = 3 h. [h] SM consumed. [i] Condition B: 1 (1 equiv.), 2 (2 equiv.) Mgl<sub>2</sub> (50 mol%), TBAI (1 equiv.), PhCF<sub>3</sub> (0.6 M), 40 °C, 48 h; [j] Racemic mixture. [k] 1:0.3 = ZZ:EZ ratio. NR = No Reaction, ND = Not Detected.

substituted azetidines via nitrogen atom transfer from readily accessible iminoiodinanes (2) (Scheme 1C).

Recently our group,<sup>24</sup> Koenigs,<sup>25</sup> and others<sup>26-28</sup> have demonstrated that azoxy-triazenes, iminoiodinanes, and aryl/carbonyl azides can serve as effective nitrogen group transfer agents for the aziridination of alkenes and related transformations. Hence, we envisioned that a similar nitrogen-atom-transfer event from these precursors to DACs could effectively lead to azetidines under mild conditions. Optimization studies indicated that azetidination of DAC **1a** leading to **3a** occurred effectively with

iminoiodinane **2** and Mgl<sub>2</sub> as the Lewis acid (Table S2, see Supporting Information). After an extensive optimization campaign, it was found that the combination of 50 mol % of Mgl<sub>2</sub> and stoichiometric amounts of tetrabutylammonium iodide with iminoiodinane **2** and DAC **1a** generated azetidine **3a** in 74% yield (see Supporting Information).

Having established the optimized conditions, the scope of the donor-acceptor cyclopropanes (1) was investigated for this azetidination protocol (Table 2). Aryl substituted DAC bearing alkyl, bicyclic, or halogen substituents exhibited high yields of the

corresponding azetidine products (3c-d, 3f, 3j-l, 3q, and 3w; 51-88%). Electron-rich DACs gave excellent yields (3b and 3n) of desired azetidine products. The azetidination of electron-deficient DACs, which are difficult to react under conventional Lewis acid conditions, generated the azetidine products 3g and 3h in good yields. Extended  $\pi$ -systems, such as biphenyl and naphthyl substituted DACs led to azetidines 3e (82%) and 3p (63%), respectively. Labile substituents like acetate (1i) and dioxole (1o), which are prone to deprotection under Lewis acid conditions, were well tolerated under our method. Unfortunately, substrates possessing highly Lewis-basic groups such as phenol (1m), Nmethyl indole (1u), and pyridine (1v) failed to react. Heterocyclic DACs (1r-t) performed well under reaction conditions, yielding previously inaccessible azetidine analogs of furan (3r), benzo[b]thiophene (3s), and N-Boc indole (3t) in high yields. DACs 1x and 1y were tested to evaluate the chemoselectivity of our transformation. Notably, exclusive formation of the azetidine products (3x-3y) was observed; competitive nitrogen-atom transfer to the alkene moiety was not detected. Unfortunately, sensitive ferrocenyl substituted DAC (1z) did not result in the desired azetidine product (3z).

Next, challenging-to-react alkyl DACs were tested under the reaction conditions. Gratifyingly, aliphatic DACs 1aa and 1ab yielded azetidine products 3aa and 3ab in high yields (69-71%) (Table 1). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first example of azetidination of aliphatic DACs, highlighting a significant advancement over the prior reports.<sup>20-22</sup> Vinyl DAC 1ac resulted in an excellent yield of azetidine product 3ac (90%). Synthetic handles such as chloro- and trimethyl silane groups were well tolerated under reaction conditions (3ad-3ae). The DAC analogs of terpenoids including (±) citronellal (1af), citral (1ag), methyl oleate (1ah), and methyl linoleate (1ai) resulted in azetidine products (3af-3ai) chemoselectively in high yields. Substrates bearing sensitive functional groups such as pinacol borane, acetate. thioacetate, and phthalimido groups (1aj-1am), demonstrated good tolerance under our protocol (3aj-3am, 41-83% yield). Lastly, complex steroid DAC derivative 1an reacted well under the reaction conditions leading to 3an in good yield, demonstrating the feasibility of this method for the installation of azetidine moieties to complex scaffolds.



Scheme 2. Reaction mechanism and mechanistic Studies. A) Possible mechanistic pathways. B) Cation probe experiment. C) Nucleophilicity of iminoiodinane adduct. D) Nucleophilic ring-opening studies. E) Chiral Probe. ND = Not detected. NR = No reaction.



Scheme 3. Synthetic utility of the azetidine products. [a] <sup>1</sup>H NMR yield. [b] 1.0:0.2 dr. [c] 1.0:0.32:0.01 dr.

The mechanism of the transformation was then investigated. Conventionally, azetidination with DACs occur via tandem nitrogen atom transfer with an electrophilic nitrogen species and ring-opening of DACs in the presence of Lewis acids.<sup>21</sup> The Novikov and Tomilov groups reported that bicyclopropyl systems in the presence of strong Lewis acids undergo ring-opening of both cyclopropane rings to a 1,6-dipolar zwitterion species, which then can be trapped with nucleophiles.<sup>29</sup> While this reactivity has not been shown using mild Lewis acids, it was necessary to rule out the possibility of a 1,3-dipolar zwitterion species. Thus, to identify the potential formation of a dipolar species in our transformation, we tested both aryl/alkyl bicyclopropyl dipolar/cation probe 9/10 (Scheme 2B). Exposure of 9/10 under our reaction conditions furnished azetidine 11 and 12 with no detection of ring-open product 13/14; thus, ruling out the formation of a dipolar intermediate (5, Scheme 2A, pathway A). Supporting studies featuring electrophilic and nucleophilic trapping experiments indicated no evidence of a dipolar species (see Supporting information). Next, we hypothesized that the Mgl<sub>2</sub> present in solution could trigger a weakening of the carboncarbon  $\sigma$ -bond of the DAC to form intermediate 7. The latter could undergo a nucleophilic ring opening event with Lewis acidactivated iminoiodinane 2 leading to intermediate 8, followed by azetidination  $(8 \rightarrow 3)$  (Scheme 2A, Pathway C). Hammett studies support the build-up of positive charge at the C-2 position of the DAC in the transition state, supporting a nucleophilic ring opening event with 2 (see Supporting information). To support the nucleophilic nature of 2 under the reaction conditions, benzyl halides (15) were subjected to the reaction conditions in the absence of DAC. This resulted in a mixture of  $S_N2$  alkylation products imine 16 and amine 17 (Scheme 2C). Notably, no reaction occurred in the absence of the Lewis acid and TBAI additive. These studies, and our the time-dependent <sup>1</sup>H NMR experiments (see Supporting Information), support that the combination of MgI<sub>2</sub> and TBAI with 2 leads to a putative Mgamide(phenyl)iodonium species 4 that enables the nucleophilic ring opening of intermediate 7.30,16a,b An alternative mechanism (Scheme 2A, Pathway B) involving the formation of an  $\gamma$ iodoenolate intermediate 6 and its engagement with 4 leading to the azetidine product can be scrutinized based on stereochemical probe studies (Scheme 2D). If retention of stereochemistry is preserved using *cis*-DAC (18), then the formation of  $\gamma$ -iodoenolate

intermediate would be supported.<sup>21,31</sup> *Cis*-DAC (**18**) was tested under reaction conditions and led to the stereoinversion product *trans*-azetidine **19** (Scheme 2D); hence, ruling out the formation of a  $\gamma$ -iodoenolate intermediate (**7**). *Trans*-DAC **18** did not show any reactivity under reaction conditions (Scheme 2D), presumably due to unfavorable steric interaction upon nucleophilic attack, which provides additional support for the mechanism involving nucleophilic ring opening of DAC. Furthermore, employment of chiral DAC (*S*)-**1a** (>99% ee) under reaction conditions resulted in azetidine (*R*)-**3a** (>99% ee) with net inversion at the stereogenic center (Scheme 2E). This outcome strongly supports an S<sub>N</sub>2-like nucleophilic ring opening of the DAC with intermediate **4**.<sup>31</sup> Based on these studies, we believe that pathway C is the most probable mechanism for our transformation.

Lastly, the synthetic utility of the transformation was explored. The scalability of this azetidination protocol was demonstrated on both activated aryl and less activated alkyl DACs (1x and 1aa) at gram scale, where the yields were comparable to the isolation scale (3x, 1.75 g, 92% yield, and 3aa, 1.16 g, 74% yield) (Scheme 3). Next, azetidine 3x was subjected to a series of useful synthetic transformations. Under photoreductive conditions, azetidines 3x led to tosyl deprotected to furnish azetidine 21 (83% <sup>1</sup>H NMR yield). Next, the ester groups on azetidine 3x were reduced to generate diol 22,32 and a tandem saponification/decarboxylation of 3x was performed to yield monoacid 23 in good yields.33 The styrene moiety of azetidine 3x was amenable to anaerobic cleavage and aziridination using photoexcited 1,3-dipoles to generate 24 and 26, respectively.24,34 Finally, azetidine 3x was subjected to Lewis acid-mediated ring-opening to furnish diene 25 in high yield via E1 elimination.<sup>35</sup>

In summary, we have developed a mild, transition-metal- and exogenous oxidant-free, scalable approach for a new library of azetidine moieties via nitrogen atom transfer from readily accessible iminoiodinane with donor-acceptor cyclopropanes in the presence of a Lewis-acid. This approach exhibits broad substrate scope as alkyl DACs can be employed for the first time to generate aliphatic azetidines chemoselectively in high yields. We anticipate that this robust protocol will be widely adopted in drug discovery programs for the synthesis of structurally unique bioactive azetidines.

## **Supporting Information**

The authors have cited additional references within the Supporting Information.

## Acknowledgements

<sup>†</sup>Ning Deng and Mahmoud Afrasi contributed to this work equally.

Funding was provided through the generous start-up funds from the Department of Chemistry at New York University (NYU), the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health (5R35GM150777-02), and the ACS GCI Pharmaceutical Roundtable Research Grant. We also acknowledge Dr. Thorsten Rosner (J-STAR), Dr. Marek Buchman (NIBR), Dr. Maria Gonzales Esguevillas (Pfizer), Dr. Kevin M. Maloney (Merck), and Dr. Patrick S. Fier (Merck) for their helpful discussions during the early stages of this project.

**Keywords:** Donor-Acceptor Cyclopropane • Iminoiodinane • Ring Expansion • Azetidine • Chemoselectivity

- [1] M. W. Holladay, J. T. Wasicak, N.-H. Lin, Y. He, K. B. Ryther, A. W. Bannon, M. J. Buckley, D. J. Kim, M. W. Decker, D. J. Anderson, *J. Med. Chem.* **1998**, *41*, 407–412.
- [2] J. I. Kobayashi, J. F. Cheng, M. Ishibashi, M. R. Wälchli, S. Yamamura, Y. Ohizumi, J. Chem. Soc, Perkin Trans. 1, 1991, 5, 1135–1137.
- [3] J. D. Rodgers, S. Shepard, T. P. Maduskuie, H. Wang, N. Falahatpisheh, M. Rafalski, A. G. Arvanitis, L. Sorace, R. K. Jalluri, J. S. Fridman, K. Vaddi, US Patent 0135461 A1, June 14, 2007.
- [4] D. R. Parmar, J. Y. Soni, R. Guduru, R. H. Rayani, R. V. Kusurkar, A. G. Vala, Arch. Pharm. 2021, 354, e2100062.
- [5] I. O. Feskov, A. V. Chernykh, Y. O. Kuchkovska, C. G. Daniliuc, I. S. Kondratov, O. O. Grygorenko, J. Org. Chem. 2019, 84, 1363–1371.
- [6] J. Basinger, B. Bookser, M. Chen, A. Hudson, J. Na, J. Renick, V. Santora, US Patent 0334846 A1, November 23, 2017.
- [7] a) G. S. Singh, M. D'hooghe and N. De Kimpe, in Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry III, ed. C. V. Stevens, *Elsevier, Oxford, 3rd edn*, **2008**, 2, 1–110; b) F. Couty, *Sci. Synth., ed.* **2009**, *40a*, 773–816; (c) G. Rousseau, S. Robin, in Modern Heterocyclic Chemistry, ed. J. Alzerez-Builla, J. J. Vaquero, J. Barluenga, *Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1<sup>st</sup> edn.* **2011**, *1*, 163–268; d) S. Liu, N. Shao, F.-Z. Li, X.-C. Yang, M.-C. Wang, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2017**, *15*, 9465–9474.
- [8] a) L. Reisman, E. A. Rowe, E. M. Jackson, C. Thomas, T. Simone, P. A. Rupar, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2018, *140*, 15626–15630; b) F. Couty, O. David, F. Durrat, G. Evano, S. Lakhdar, J. Marrot, M. Vargas-Sanchez, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2006, 3479–3490.
- [9] H. Mughal, M. Szostak, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2021, 19, 3274–3286.
- [10] G. S. Singh, in Advances in heterocyclic chemistry, Elsevier, 2020, 130, 1–74.
- a) E. R. Wearing, Y.-C. Yeh, G. G. Terrones, S. G. Parikh, I. Kevlishvili,
  H. J. Kulik, C. S. Schindler, *Science* 2024, *384*, 1468-1476; b) R. Dasi, A.
  Villinger, M. Brasholz, *Org. Lett.* 2022, *24*, 8041-8046; c) M. R. Becker, E.
  R. Wearing, C. S. Schindler, *Nat. Chem.* 2020, *12*, 898-905.
- [12] N. Kern, A.-S. Felten, J.-M. Weibel, P. Pale, A. Blanc, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 6104–6107.
- a) S. Malik, U.K. Nadir, and P.S. Pandey, *Synth. Commun.* 2010, *40*, 1631–1638; b) S. Stankovic, S. Catak, M. D'hooghe, H. Goossens, A. K. Tehrani, P. Bogaert, M. Waroquier, V. V. Speybroeck, N. De Kimpe, *J. Org. Chem.* 2011, *76*, 2157–2167.
- [14] Y. Ji, L. Wojtas, J. M. Lopchuk, Arkivoc 2018, 195–214.

- [15] a) A. Jacob, P. G. Jones, D. B. Werz, *Org. Lett.* **2020**, *22*, 8720–8724; b)
  M. Bao, M. P. Doyle, *Org. Lett.* **2023**, *25*, 3029-3033; c) M. Bao, K. Lopez,
  R. Gurung, H. Arman, M. P. Doyle, *ACS Catal.* **2023**, *13*, 1621-1629.
- [16] a) G. Mloston, M. Celeda, M. Kowalczyk, G. A. Oliver, D. B. Werz, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2024, e202400831; b) E. M. Budynina, K. L. Ivanov, I. D. Sorokin, M. Y. Melnikov, *Synthesis* 2017, *49*, 3035–3068; c) S. J. Webster, L. B. Balázs, F. W. Goetzke, V. Stojalnikova, K. Liu, K. E. Christensen, H. W. Mackenzie, S. P. Fletcher, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2024, *146*, 24708–24715.
- [17] Selected examples for donor-acceptor cyclopropane ring-opening strategies: a) S. Chakrabarty, I. Chatterjee, B. Wibbeling, C. G. Daniliuc, A. Studer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2014, *53*, 5964–5968; b) J. Wallbaum, L. K. Garve, P. G. Jones, D. B. Werz, *Org. Lett.* 2017, *19*, 98–101; c) S. Deswal, A. Guin, A. T. Biju, *Org. Lett.* 2023, *25*, 1643–1648; d) A. Guin, S. Deswal, A. T. Biju, *J. Org. Chem.* 2022, *87*, 6504–6513.
- [18] A. U. Augustin, J. L. Merz, P. G. Jones, G. Mloston, D. B. Werz, Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 9405–9409.
- [19] a) T. F. Schneider, J. Kaschel, D. B. Werz, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2014, 53, 5504–5523; b) H.-U. Reissig, R. Zimmer, *Chem. Rev.* 2003, *103*, 1151-1196; c) D. B. Werz, A. T. Biju, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2020, *59*, 3385-3398.
- [20] H. H. Zhang, Y. C. Luo, H. P. Wang, W. Chen, P. F. Xu, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 4896–4899.
- [21] A. Ghosh, S. Mandal, P. K. Chattaraj, P. Banerjee, Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 4940-4943.
- [22] J. Q. Han, H. H. Zhang, P. F. Xu, Y. C. Luo, Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 5212– 5215.
- [23] Y. Ju, R. S. Varma, J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 135–141.
- [24] J. K. Mitchell, W. A. Hussain, A. H. Bansode, R. M. O'Connor, M. Parasram, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2024, 146, 9499–9505.
- [25] a) Y. Guo, C. Pei, C. Empel, S. Jana, R. M. Koenigs, *ChemPhotoChem*, **2022**, 6, e202100293; b) Y. Guo, C. Pei, S. Jana, R. M. Koenigs, *ACS Catal.* **2020**, *11*, 337–342.
- [26] J. W. W. Chang, T. M. U. Ton, P. W. H. Chan, Chem. Rec. 2011, 11, 331– 357.
- [27] Y.-C. Wang, X.-J. Lai, K. Huang, S. Yadav, G. Qiu, L. Zhang, H. Zhou, Org. Chem. Front. 2021, 8, 1677–1693.
- [28] D. B. Bagal, S.-W. Park, H.-J. Song, S. Chang, Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 8798–8801.
- [29] a) D. A. Denisov, R. A. Novikov, K. V. Potapov, V. A. Korolev, E. V. Shulishov, Y. V. Tomilov, *ChemistrySelect* 2016, *1*, 6374-6381; b) K. V. Potapov, D. A. Denisov, V. V. Glushkova, R. A. Novikov, Y. V. Tomilov, *J. Org. Chem.* 2020, *85*, 15562-15576. c) O. A. Ivanova, V. A. Andronov, V. S. Vasin, A. N. Shumsky, V. B. Rybakov, L. G. Voskressensky, I. V. Trushkov, *Org. Lett.* 2018, *20*, 7947-7952.
- [30] a) M. Shimizu, *Sci. Synth.* 2004, *7*, 661-667; b) R. Sanz, G. Vest, L. Despres, *Synth. Commun.* 1989, *19*, 2909–2913; c) L. Davin, A. Hernán-Gómez, C. McLaughlin, A. R. Kennedy, R. McLellan, E. Hevia, *Dalton Trans.* 2019, *48*, 8122–8130; d) R. Campbell, B. Conway, G. S. Fairweather, P. García-Álvarez, A. R. Kennedy, J. Klett, R. E. Mulvey, C. T. O'Hara, G. M. Robertson, *Dalton Trans.* 2010, *39*, 511–519.
- [31] S. Das, C. G. Daniliuc, A. Studer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 11554-11558.
- [32] J. Guo, G. Hu, Z. Chen, Trans. Tianjin Univ. 2012, 18, 8–14.
- [33] S. P. Fritz, J. F. Moya, M. G. Unthank, E. M. McGarrigle, V. K. Aggarwal, *Synthesis* **2012**, *44*, 1584–1590.
- [34] D. E. Wise, E. S. Gogarnoiu, A. D. Duke, J. M. Paolillo, T. L. Vacala, W. A. Hussain, M. Parasram, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2022, 144, 15437–15442.
- [35] M. K. Ghorai, A. Kumar, K. Das, Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 5441-5444.

## Entry for the Table of Contents



We report a magnesium-mediated ring expansion of donor-acceptor cyclopropanes (DACs) to substituted azetidines via nucleophilic nitrogen atom transfer from readily accessible iminoiodinane. Mechanistically, the coordination of a magnesium-Lewis acid to the DAC promotes nucleophilic ring opening with a putative Mg-amide species generated from the iminoiodinane under the reaction conditions to furnish the azetidine products.

Twitter: https://twitter.com/ParasramLab