Enhanced methanol production via selective hydrogen utilization during $CO₂$ hydrogenation over Co containing dual-atom doped oxide catalyst

Nazmul Hasan MD Dostagir,^a Carlo Robert Tomuschat,^{a,b} <i>Atsushi Fukuoka,^a and Abhijit

*Shrotri^a **

^a Institute for Catalysis, Hokkaido University, Kita 21 Nishi 10, Kita-ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido 001-0021, Japan

b Department of Chemistry, TUM School of Natural Sciences, Technical University of Munich, Lichtenbergstraße 4, 85748 Garching, Germany

* Email: ashrotri@cat.hokudai.ac.jp, fukuoka@cat.hokudai.ac.jp

Abstract

Capturing hydrogen with $CO₂$ to produce methanol is becoming increasingly intriguing. Renewable H_2 is expensive and is currently produced in a limited amount. Therefore, selective utilization of H_2 towards methanol formation, which has been paid little attention to, is necessary without being wasted towards side product formation. Here, we show that using Co containing dual-atom doped oxide (Co-Zn-ZrO₂) catalyst H_2 utilization can be selectively directed towards methanol formation and at the same time, H2 wastage can be minimized by suppressing competitive CO formation. $Co-Zn-ZrO₂$ produced methanol with space time yield of 1.5 g_{MeOH} h⁻¹ g_{cat}^{-1} , which is one of the highest ever reported under industrially relevant conditions. In this catalyst, Co was responsible for $CO₂$ activation and formate stabilization and made Zn free of formate poisoning, which helped in easy H_2 dissociation promoting formate hydrogenation to methanol. When Co was replaced with other metals (for example: Pd, Ni and Cu), H₂ utilization was promoted more towards CO formation than towards methanol formation decreasing methanol selectivity. This work represents the potential of Co containing dual-atom doped oxide catalyst for selectively utilizing H_2 for methanol formation while identifying the underlying factor for controlling H_2 utilization towards methanol or CO formation.

KEYWORD: $CO₂$ hydrogenation to methanol, selective $H₂$ utilization, selectivity control, formate pathway, doped oxide

Introduction

Hydrogen plays important roles in the energy sector and chemical synthesis despite not being an energy resource. It is almost not available naturally on earth and currently is mainly produced from non-renewable fossil fuels leading to an increase in $CO₂$ emission.¹ Renewable H_2 (for example: formed via water electrolysis, biomass reforming using renewable energy sources) is more expensive than non-renewable H_2 ^{2,3} Therefore, H_2 needs to be stored and transported efficiently and safely. In this regard, methanol received much attention as a hydrogen carrier.^{4,5} H₂ is stored as methanol in a liquid form via $CO₂$ hydrogenation reaction $(CO_2 + 3 H_2 \leftrightarrow CH_3OH + H_2O)$ and methanol can also be reacted to give the H_2 back forming a closed cycle. Therefore, during methanol formation via CO_2 hydrogenation reaction, hydrogen should be efficiently used for methanol production without being wasted for the formation of side products. Although $CO₂$ hydrogenation to methanol is a heavily investigated reaction, little attention has been paid to the selective utilization of hydrogen towards methanol formation.

One of the main problems in the way of utilizing H_2 efficiently towards methanol formation is the consumption of H2 towards other side products mainly CO via reverse watergas shift (RWGS) reaction $(CO_2 + H_2 \leftrightarrow CO + H_2O$).⁴ Therefore, obtaining methanol with high productivity and selectivity and suppressing side product formation at the same time are

highly important in order to selectively utilize H_2 for methanol formation. In this regard, oxides like In₂O₃, doped oxides (for example: M_aO_x -ZrO₂; $M_a = Zn$, Cd, Ga) are important candidates as catalysts for $CO₂$ hydrogenation to methanol reaction.^{6,7,8-11} They showed good selectivity of methanol albeit with poor methanol productivity because of their poor H_2 dissociation ability. Metal promoters were added to promote methanol productivity.^{12,13,22-} $27,14-21$ However, methanol selectivity decreased although methanol productivity increased. 14,15,17,18,22,26–30

Oxygen vacancies present on the surface of the oxide catalysts act as the active site for $CO₂$ activation, H₂ activation and formate intermediate stabilization.³¹ However, strong adsorption of $CO₂$ and formate poison the active site by blocking it for $H₂$ dissociation leading to poor utilization of H_2 towards methanol production.³²⁻³⁵ Consequently, methanol selectivity and productivity decreased and selectivity of CO increased.^{36–38} Addition of metal promoters promotes H_2 dissociation ability.^{12,13,22–27,14–21} These extra dissociated hydrogen species are not controllable to be selectively utilized for methanol synthesis. Instead, they are used up for promoting RWGS reaction more than methanol formation.^{14,15,17,18,22,26–30} Thus, methanol selectivity decreased. Therefore, using a metal promoter for hydrogen dissociation is not a helpful way to utilize H_2 selectively for methanol formation.

In literature, little information is available on controlling H_2 consumption towards methanol formation selectively. Heterolytic dissociation of H_2 has been suggested as the promotional factor for methanol formation.³⁹ However, promotion of RWGS reaction despite heterolytic H_2 dissociation by promoter has also been suggested.^{14,40} Due to inability to detect the underlying factor for controlling H_2 utilization towards methanol formation, development of efficient catalyst capable of selectively utilizing H_2 for methanol formation becomes difficult.

Herein, we show that instead of directly promoting H_2 dissociation by adding metal promoters, creating a site that are responsible for $CO₂$ adsorption and formate intermediate stabilization and are different from that for H_2 dissociation can selectively utilize H_2 towards methanol formation and suppress H_2 wastage via side product formation. We have previously shown that the introduction of Co single atom in oxide structure creates oxygen deficient interfacial active sites for $CO₂$ adsorption and is helpful to mitigate the poisoning effect of $CO₂$ and formate on the H₂ dissociation element.^{41,42} In this piece of work, we prepared Co, Zn doped ZrO_2 (Co-Zn-ZrO₂) dual-atom doped oxide catalyst. We found that as compared to Zn-ZrO₂, Co-Zn-ZrO₂ increased H₂ consumption for methanol formation and suppressed H₂ consumption for RWGS reaction at the same time promoting both methanol selectivity and productivity. Co-Zn-ZrO₂ produced methanol with space time yield (STY_{MeOH}) of 1.5 g_{MeOH} h⁻ 1 g_{cat}¹ under industrially relevant conditions, which is one of the highest STY_{MeOH} ever reported. Detailed analysis showed that in Co-Zn-ZrO₂, Co atoms created separate active sites and controlled $CO₂$ adsorption and formate stabilization and Zn sites being free of formate poisoning easily dissociated H2 to hydrogenate the formate adsorbed on Co-Zr interfacial site selectively to methanol. At the same time, CO formation via formate decomposition was also suppressed. When Co was changed to other metals (for example: Cu, Pd and Ni), they promoted H2 dissociation and created sites for side reaction wasting H2 through RWGS reaction. As a result, methanol selectivity decreased. We found that the intrinsic activity of metal promoters for CO2 hydrogenation is inversely proportional to the methanol selectivity in the dual-atom oxides. This work shows that catalysts designed based on the preferential adsorption of $CO₂$ and $H₂$ lead to the maximum use of $H₂$ for methanol formation and minimize H₂ wastage through side reactions.

Results and Discussion

Figure 1: (a) XRD of all dual-atom doped oxides and Zn-ZrO₂ with (b) the shift of the (101) reflection of *t*-ZrO₂. (c) HAADF-STEM image of Co-Zn-ZrO₂ showing the (110) plane of *t*- $ZrO₂$ and the corresponding d spacing. (d) HAADF-STEM image of Co-Zn-ZrO₂ with elemental mapping of (e) Co, (f) Zn and (g) Zr.

All catalysts with $ZrO₂$ as the bulk phase were prepared by coprecipitation of metal salts in ammonia solution followed by washing, drying and then calcination at 500 °C under air (see supporting information for detailed procedure). All doped oxide catalysts showed tetragonal $ZrO₂$ structure as observed in the X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern (Figure 1a). No feature for individual oxide of dopants was observed. With increase in dopant concentration (shown in Figure S1), the 2 θ value corresponding to the (101) plane of *t*-ZrO₂ shifted to higher value as compared to that of $Zn-ZrO₂$ (Figure 1b) because dopants have smaller ionic radii than that of Zr^{4+} ($r_{Zr^{4+}} = 0.84$ Å).⁴³ In the high angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) image of Co-Zn-ZrO₂, only *t*-ZrO₂ phase was visible. (Figure 1c). Elemental mapping using energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis (Figure 1d-g) showed homogeneous distribution of Co and Zn atoms.

Figure 2: (a) Comparison of catalytic activity between Zn-ZrO₂ and Co-Zn-ZrO₂. (b) Ratio of H_2 consumption between methanol and CO over different catalysts. (c) H_2 consumption towards methanol synthesis under variable H_2 :CO₂ ratio. (d) H_2 consumption towards methanol and CO synthesis at $H_2/CO_2 = 1$. (e) Comparison of methanol selectivity over Zn- $ZrO₂$ and Co-Zn-ZrO₂ under variable H₂:CO₂ ratio. (f) Methanol productivity over Zn-ZrO₂ and Co-Zn-ZrO₂ under variable H_2 :CO₂ ratio. (g) Activation energy of methanol formation over Zn-ZrO₂ and Co-Zn-ZrO₂. Order of methanol formation with respect to (h) H₂ (n_{H_2}) and (i) CO2 (n_{CO_2}) . Rate of products were taken in mol g_{cat}^{-1} h⁻¹. Effect of pressure (j), temperature at 5 MPa (k) and space velocity at 320 °C and 5 MPa (l) on methanol selectivity and productivity. Reaction condition: 300 °C, 3 MPa, 30,000 mL h⁻¹ g_{cat}⁻¹, H₂/CO₂ = 4 unless otherwise mentioned.

The performance of all catalysts in $CO₂$ hydrogenation reaction was evaluated in a stainless-steel fixed bed flow reactor (Figure S1). Undoped $ZrO₂$ showed (Table S2) negligible $CO₂$ conversion indicating that dopants were solely responsible for catalytic activity. First of all, we compared the catalytic activity of single atom doped $Zn-ZrO₂$ and dual atom doped *M*-Zn-ZrO₂ (M = Co, Pd, Ni and Cu) catalysts at 300 °C, 3 MPa, 30000 mL h^{-1} g_{cat}⁻¹, H₂:CO₂ = 4:1 (Figure 2a and Table S2). Methanol selectivity (S_{MeOH}) and productivity over Zn-ZrO₂ were 76% and 0.25 g_{MeOH} h⁻¹ g_{cat}⁻¹ respectively. When a third element was introduced, STY_{MeOH} increased. However, S_{MeOH} increased only when Co was introduced. In the case of $Co-Zn-ZrO₂$, S_{MeOH} increased to 87% and methanol productivity increased to 0.36 g_{MeOH} h⁻¹ g_{cat} ⁻¹. The S_{MeOH} and STY_{MeOH} of Co-Zn-ZrO₂ were much higher than that over single-atom analogues $(Zn-ZrO₂)$ and $Co-ZrO₂)$ and their physical mixture $(Zn-ZrO₂)$ $ZrO₂ + Co-ZrO₂$) (Figure S2a). Among them, Co-Zn-ZrO₂ showed the lowest space time yield of CO (*STY*_{CO}) (Figure S2b). Therefore, presence of Co in dual-atom doped oxide selectively promotes methanol formation while suppressed CO formation at the same time. All other dual atom M -Zn-ZrO₂ (M = Pd, Ni and Cu) oxide catalysts showed lower S_{MeOH} than Zn-ZrO2. Along with the decrease in *S*MeOH, introduction of Pd, Ni and Cu increased both *STY*_{CO} and *S*_{CO} (Table S₂ and figure S₃). These results are in line with reported literature.^{14,15,17,18,22,26,27} The effect of Co to increase both *S*MeOH and *STY*_{MeOH} was further confirmed by carrying out reaction in a wide temperature range $(260 - 360 \degree C)$ (Figure S4). At 260 $^{\circ}$ C, methanol selectivity over Zn-ZrO₂ was 80%, which was increased to 98% over Co-Zn-ZrO₂. The latter showed higher *S*_{MeOH} and *STY*_{MeOH} than Zn-ZrO₂ even at unfavorable temperature range for methanol synthesis ($>$ 300 °C).

Next, to understand the usage of H_2 towards methanol and CO formation over different catalysts, we calculated the ratio between H₂ utilization towards methanol to that towards CO formation $(H_2(MeOH): H_2(CO))$ (Figure 2b). For Zn-ZrO₂, the $H_2(MeOH): H_2$ (CO) ratio was 9.6. For Co-Zn-ZrO2, the ratio increased to 18. Whereas, for other catalysts the ratio decreased as compared to that of Zn-ZrO₂. The ratio decreased the most for Cu-Zn- $ZrO₂$. This result shows that over Co-Zn-ZrO₂, H₂ was used selectively for methanol formation and H2 wastage towards CO was suppressed. On the other hand, presence of Pd, Ni and Cu, although increased methanol productivity, increased wastage of H_2 to a much higher degree due to promotion of CO formation. To understand the consumption of H_2 over Co-Zn-

 $ZrO₂$ in more detail, we compared the H₂ consumption over Co-Zn-ZrO₂ and Zn-ZrO₂ under varying $H_2:CO_2$ ratio (Figure 2c). When $H_2:CO_2$ ratio was changed from 4:1 to 1:1, the H_2 consumption towards methanol synthesis decreased by 6% over Co-Zn-ZrO₂. In comparison, over Zn-ZrO₂, H₂ consumption towards methanol synthesis decreased by 60%. At H₂:CO₂ ratio of 1:1, while the H_2 consumption towards CO formation was similar, H_2 consumption towards methanol formation for Co-Zn-ZrO₂ was 3 times higher than that for Zn-ZrO₂ (Figure 2d). As a result of the better H_2 utilization towards methanol formation over Co-Zn- $ZrO₂$ as compared to $Zn-ZrO₂$, the former showed much higher S_{MeOH} (Figure 2e) and *STY*_{MeOH} (Figure 2f) as compared to the latter even in H₂ lean conditions (H₂/CO₂ < 3, less than the stoichiometric requirement). To better understand the ability of $Co-Zn-ZrO₂$ to produce methanol selectively even under low H_2 partial pressure, we calculated the activation energy (E_a) for methanol formation over Co-Zn-ZrO₂ and Zn-ZrO₂ (Figure 2g). E_a for methanol formation over $Zn-ZrO_2$ was 110 kJ mol⁻¹. Over Co-Zn-ZrO₂, it was reduced to 68 kJ mol⁻¹. For Zn-ZrO₂, the order of methanol formation with respect to H₂ (n_{H_2}) was 1.8 (Figure 2h) and that with respect to CO_2 (n_{CO_2}) was -0.9 (Figure 2i). In comparison, over the Co-Zn-ZrO₂ catalyst the n_{H_2} and n_{CO_2} were 0.25 and -0.13, respectively. Higher negative value of n_{CO_2} for Zn-ZrO₂ indicates that adsorption of CO₂ on the active site of Zn-ZrO₂ inhibited methanol formation. These results are in line with reported literature⁴⁴ and it has been shown that methanol selectivity reduces over oxide catalysts because the adsorption of intermediates and H₂ dissociation occur on the same site.^{36,38} Higher positive value of n_{H_2} for $Zn-ZrO₂$ indicates that higher partial pressure of $H₂$ is needed for methanol formation. On the contrary, over Co-Zn-ZrO₂, values of n_{H_2} and n_{CO_2} close to zero suggest less dependency on both H₂ and CO₂ partial pressure. These different n_{H_2} and n_{CO_2} over Co-Zn-ZrO₂ indicate that the sites for CO₂ activation and H₂ dissociation are different in Co-Zn-ZrO₂.⁴²

After confirming the directional property of Co in H_2 utilization towards methanol synthesis, catalytic performance of $Co-Zn-ZrO₂$ were optimized by varying reaction parameters. Increasing pressure has a positive effect on methanol productivity (Figure 2j). With increasing pressure from 3 MPa to 5 MPa at 300 °C and 30,000 mL h⁻¹ g_{cat}⁻¹, STY_{MeOH} increased from 0.36 g_{MeOH} h⁻¹ g_{cat} ⁻¹ to 0.43 g_{MeOH} h⁻¹ g_{cat} ⁻¹. Increasing reaction temperature from 300 °C to 320 °C at 5 MPa increased STY_{MeOH} from 0.43 g_{MeOH} h⁻¹ g_{cat}⁻¹ to 0.75 g_{MeOH} h⁻¹ ¹ g_{cat}¹ (Figure 2k). *S*_{MeOH} remained similar. Changing space velocity had a positive effect on both S_{MeOH} and STY_{MeOH} until 120,000 mL h⁻¹ g_{cat}⁻¹, beyond which both decreased (Figure 21). Increasing space velocity from 30,000 mL h^{-1} g_{cat}⁻¹ to 120,000 mL h^{-1} g_{cat}⁻¹ at 5 MPa and 320 °C increased STY_{MeOH} from 0.75 g_{MeOH} h⁻¹ g_{cat}⁻¹ to 1.5 g_{MeOH} h⁻¹ g_{cat}⁻¹, which is one of the highest *STY*_{MeOH} reported so far under industrially relevant conditions (Table S3). The Co-Zn-ZrO2 catalysts showed robustness against change in reaction conditions and showed stability during a 100 h long catalytic test (Figure S5a). XRD analysis of the used catalyst after 100 h showed no change (Figure S5b) and XPS analysis confirmed that Co^{2+} , Zn^{2+} and Zr^{4+} did not change oxidation state after the reaction (Figure S5c-e). Elemental mapping analysis confirmed no agglomeration of Co or Zn species showing high dispersion (Figure S5f-i).

H2 dissociation ability

Figure 3: (a) Mass intensity of HD in H₂-D₂ isotope exchange experiment over all catalysts. (b) Relative oxygen defect content calculated from O 1S XPS, (c) $CO₂$ TPD spectra and (d) amount of CO_2 chemisorbed over all the dual-atom doped oxides in comparison to $Zn-ZrO_2$.

There are two direct ways to influence methanol selectivity and productivity. One is to influence H_2 dissociation while the other is to influence CO_2 adsorption and formate intermediate stabilization. Therefore, first we checked whether Co increased the H2 dissociation ability to improve H_2 utilization towards methanol synthesis. To do so, we compared the ability of HD formation of all catalysts in H_2-D_2 isotope exchange experiment (Figure 3a). In this experiment, H_2 and D_2 dissociated over the surface of catalysts and formed HD. If HD formation starts at lower temperature over one catalyst, it indicates that the catalyst has high H_2 dissociation ability as compared to other catalysts. The onset temperature for HD formation over $Zn-ZrO₂$ was around 125 °C, which was similar to that over Co-Zn-ZrO₂. As compared to Zn-ZrO₂ and Co-Zn-ZrO₂, the onset temperature for HD formation was lower over Cu-Zn-ZrO₂ (95 °C), Ni-Zn-ZrO₂ (95 °C) and Pd-Zn-ZrO₂ (50 °C). This indicates that the introduction of Co did not increase the H_2 dissociation ability. Unlike Co, introduction of Pd, Ni and Cu increased H2 dissociation ability. The ability of Co to utilize H_2 selectively for methanol synthesis despite its inability to promote H_2 dissociation as compared to other metals indicates that Co must take part directly in $CO₂$ adsorption and formate intermediate stabilization and influences H2 dissociation and its utilization indirectly.

CO2 activation ability

To understand the $CO₂$ activation ability, first we analyzed the presence of oxygen vacancy over different catalysts because oxygen vacancy present on the oxide surface serves as the active site for CO_2 activation.⁴¹ The density of defective oxygen species was measured by the O 1s XPS analysis (Figure S6). The relative amount of O_{defect} was 16% for Zn-ZrO₂ (Figure 3b). Incorporation of a dopant (Co, Pd, Ni, Cu) did not increase the Odefect. Next, in the $CO₂$ temperature programmed desorption ($CO₂$ TPD) analysis of pre-adsorbed $CO₂$, two desorption features were observed (Figure 4c). The low temperature feature (100-250 °C) indicates the desorption of physisorbed and weakly adsorbed $CO₂$ species whereas the high temperature feature (250-500 °C) indicates the desorption of chemisorbed $CO₂$ species, which is the main reactive species for methanol formation. Among all the catalysts, Co-Zn-ZrO₂ increased CO₂ chemisorption (72 µmol g^{-1}) as compared to Zn-ZrO₂ (44 µmol g^{-1}) (Figure 4d). In comparison, Pd-Zn-ZrO₂, Ni-Zn-ZrO₂ and Cu-Zn-ZrO₂ showed similar CO₂ chemisorption (41, 47 and 44 μ mol g⁻¹) to Zn-ZrO₂. For Co-Zn-ZrO₂, increased CO₂ chemisorption despite having similar density of oxygen defect to $Zn-ZrO₂$ indicates that Co changes the chemical environment around the oxygen vacancy so that the $CO₂$ is strongly chemisorbed. This is in line with our previous report where introduction of Co^{2+} single atom in $ZrO₂$ created oxygen vacancy around Co atoms, which were responsible for $CO₂$ chemisorption at Co-V_o-Zr (V_o = oxygen vacancy) interfacial site.⁴¹ Therefore, presence of Co in the dual-atom doped oxide structure controls $CO₂$ activation.

Site for formate adsorption

Figure 4: (a) Comparison of IR peak positions of formate species stabilized over Zn-ZrO₂, $Co-Zn-ZrO₂$ and $Cu-Zn-ZrO₂$ under steady state during in situ DRIFTS experiment (reaction condition: 300 °C, 0.1 MPa, $H_2/CO_2 = 4$). (b) Mass intensity of m/z = 28 during HCOOH TPD experiments over the three doped catalysts.

Over oxide catalysts, formate is the key intermediate for $CO₂$ hydrogenation to methanol as well as $CO^{7,36,41,45}$ In order to understand the formate stabilization site over different catalysts, in situ diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS) analysis was carried out at 300 °C, 0.1 MPa, H_2 :CO₂ = 4 (Figure S7). Bidentate formate bonded with two Zr atoms is the most stable formate configuration in undoped $ZrO₂$.^{10,46,47} In comparison to undoped $ZrO₂$, formate peak positions were shifted in the presence of doped oxides (Figure S8). This indicates that in the doped oxides formate is stabilized at M-Zr ($M =$ dopant) interface. We compared Zn-ZrO₂, Co-Zn-ZrO₂ and Cu-Zn- $ZrO₂$ (Cu-Zn-ZrO₂ being the most CO selective was chosen among the other three dual-atom doped oxides) (Figure 4a). The formate peak positions over $CoZn-ZrO₂$ were similar to Co- $ZrO₂$ and shifted from that over $Zn-ZrO₂$ (Figure S8 and 5a). This indicates that the formate stabilization site over $Co-Zn-ZrO₂$ was $Co-Zr$ interfacial site (Figure S9). This was in line with reported literature that Co-Zr interface stabilizes formate species.^{41,42} When Co was changed to Cu, formate peak positions over $Cu-Zn-ZrO₂$ were similar to that over $Zn-ZrO₂$. This indicates that over Cu-Zn-ZrO₂, formate stabilization site was Zn-Zr interface, similar to $Zn-ZrO₂$.

For further confirmation, we performed temperature programmed decomposition of adsorbed formic acid (HCOOH TPD) over catalysts surface (Figure 4b). Decomposition of adsorbed formic acid over Co-Zn-ZrO2 resulted in CO formation with a peak centered at 340 °C while the decomposition profile for both $Zn-ZrO₂$ and Cu-Zn-ZrO₂ showed CO formation at lower temperature (300 °C). These results confirm that Co site in Co-Zn-ZrO₂ was responsible for CO₂ adsorption and stabilization of formate. Over Co-Zn-ZrO₂, formate became more stabilized as compared to that over $Zn-ZrO_2$ and $Cu-Zn-ZrO_2$. Better stabilization of formate might be the reason behind suppression of CO formation via formate decomposition. On the other hand, when Cu was used, it did not take part in formate stabilization. Therefore, promotion of RWGS reaction over $Cu-Zn-ZrO₂$ may be a result of excessive CO2 hydrogenation to CO over Cu site itself.

Selective utilization of H2 towards methanol formation: Co-Zn cooperation

Figure 5: Normalized intensity of (a) formate and (b) methoxy species during in situ DRIFTS analysis of Zn-ZrO₂, Co-Zn-ZrO₂ and Cu-Zn-ZrO₂. Reaction condition: 300 °C, 0.1 MPa, H_2 : $CO_2 = 4$. In situ DRIFTS analysis for the production of (c) methoxy and (d) CO under different temperatures. Reaction condition: $T^{\circ}C$, 0.1 MPa, H_2 : $CO_2 = 4$.

To realize the selective utilization of H_2 towards methanol formation resulting enhanced methanol selectivity and productivity, we studied in situ DRIFTS analysis. For methanol formation over oxide surface, the reaction pathway follows successive hydrogenation of formate to methoxy species adsorbed on the surface followed by desorption of methoxy as methanol.45 Time resolved evolution of adsorbed species was measured by in situ DRIFTS under $CO₂$ hydrogenation condition (Figure 5a, b). Complete DRIFTS spectra for all catalysts and detailed peak assignment are shown in Figure S10-S12 and Table S4. At the start of the reaction, formate species appeared rapidly along with carbonate over all doped catalysts

indicating formate formation was easy over all three catalysts. Methoxy species first appeared on the surface of Co-Zn-ZrO₂ followed by Cu-Zn-ZrO₂ and Zn-ZrO₂ indicating the high activity of $Co-Zn-ZrO₂$ towards methanol synthesis. After formate and methoxy reached the steady state under CO_2 and H_2 atmosphere, CO_2 flow was stopped to monitor the consumption of formate and methoxy species. Formate was consumed faster over Co-Zn- $ZrO₂$ than over $Zn-ZrO₂$. The rate of disappearance of methoxy over Co-Zn-ZrO₂ was also faster than that over Zn-ZrO₂. These results indicate that, formate adsorbed over the Co-Zr interface was more reactive. In addition, the desorption of methoxy species adsorbed over $Co-Zn-ZrO₂$ surface was also facile. Slow consumption of formate over $Zn-ZrO₂$ indicates that H2 dissociation over Zn atoms in presence of formate is difficult. Moreover, methoxy was not observed in $Co-ZrO₂$ catalyst during in situ DRIFTS analysis (Figure S13) indicating that only Co single atom cannot dissociate H_2 in presence of formate. Therefore, it is evident that in Co-Zn-ZrO2, hydrogen was dissociated over Zn atoms to promote the hydrogenation of formate species adsorbed on Co-Zr interface to produce methanol. It is worth mentioning that in Co-Zn-ZrO₂, Zn being free of $CO₂$ and formate (as Co controls $CO₂$ activation and formate stabilization) dissociates H_2 easily promoting formate hydrogenation to methanol. This cooperative effect was further confirmed by temperature dependent in-situ DRIFTS study (Figure 5c, d). Peak for adsorbed methoxy species appeared at a lower temperature over $Co-Zn-ZrO₂$ than over $Zn-ZrO₂$. On the other hand, $Co-Zn-ZrO₂$ produced CO formation at higher temperature as compared to Zn-ZrO₂ and showed much lower CO formation than Zn-ZrO2. Hence, it is evident that dissociated hydrogen species over Zn sites were mainly consumed for methoxy formation and H_2 wastage via RWGS reaction was also suppressed.

When Co was replaced to Cu, the rate of consumptions for formate and methoxy species over Cu-Zn-ZrO₂ were in between that over Co-Zn-ZrO₂ and Zn-ZrO₂, which is in line with the *STY*_{MeOH} exerted by them (Figure 5a, b). In the temperature dependent in situ DRIFTS

study (Figure 5c), methoxy formation over $Cu-Zn-ZrO₂$ started at higher temperature as compared to $Co-Zn-ZrO_2$. Rather $Cu-Zn-ZrO_2$ started CO formation at much lower temperature (Figure 5d). CO peak intensity for $Cu-Zn-ZrO₂$ was much more intense than that over Zn-ZrO2 and Co-Zn-ZrO2. Considering the same formate stabilization site for both Zn- $ZrO₂$ and Cu-Zn-ZrO₂ (as shown by HCOOH TPD experiment), slower formate consumption rate for Cu-Zn-ZrO₂ than that Co-Zn-ZrO₂ (in line with STY_{MeOH}) and high H₂ dissociating ability for Cu-Zn-ZrO₂ (as shown in the study of H_2 dissociation ability), it can be said that Cu itself creates a new active site for the promotion of RWGS in Cu-Zn-ZrO₂ catalyst. In literature it has also been reported that dopant single atom can create its own $CO₂$ hydrogenation site to promote side reaction in methanol synthesis.⁴⁰ Therefore, in order to effectively utilizing H_2 for methanol formation, use of Co to create CO_2 activation and formation stabilization site is more important than the use of a metal promoter (for example Cu, Ni, Pd) that promotes H_2 dissociation.

Mechanism

Figure 6: Mechanism of methanol formation over Co-Zn-ZrO₂ catalyst.

Based on the above results we propose the following mechanism for $CO₂$ hydrogenation to methanol over Co-Zn-ZrO₂ catalyst through cooperative effect of the Co and Zn (Figure 6). Doping of Co^{2+} generates creates oxygen vacant site for adsorption of CO₂. First H₂ dissociation might happen on either Co or Zn atoms for hydrogenation of adsorbed $CO₂$, although the presence of Zn promotes hydrogenation of adsorbed $CO₂$ to formate. Following formate formation, the ability of Co to dissociate H_2 and hydrogenate formate is hindered. Instead, H2 dissociated over adjacent Zn atoms facilitates hydrogenation of formate to methoxy species and promotes the desorption of methoxy species as methanol. Because the stability of formate is increased and formate gets selectively hydrogenated to methoxy by the cooperation of Co and Zn atoms, the chance of CO formation via formate decomposition was low. Furthermore, because Co controls CO₂ adsorption, the possibility of CO formation over Zn site also became low due to lack of available CO₂. Thus, the presence of Co on one hand promoted methanol formation and on the other hand suppressed CO formation. As a result, hydrogen dissociated over Zn atoms was selectively utilized for methanol formation. Consequently, both the S_{MeOH} and STY_{MeOH} were increased. Being free of poisonous effect of $CO₂$ and formate, Zn atoms assisted in $H₂$ dissociation and hydrogenation of adsorbed intermediates to methanol more freely. Consequently, higher S_{MeOH} can be obtained even at low H2 partial pressure. Thus, the introduction of Co in the oxide structure directed the utilization of H2 selectively towards methanol formation. If Co was changed to another metal (Pd, Ni, Cu), which can only promote H2 dissociation ability, the chance of side product formation over that metal increased due to intrinsic $CO₂$ hydrogenation ability of that metal.

Figure 7: Correlation between specific CO₂ consumption activity of metal dopants and product selectivity over dual-atom doped oxide catalysts.

To test this theory, we calculated intrinsic $CO₂$ consumption rate for Co , Pd, Ni and Cu and related it with the *S*_{MeOH} and *S*_{CO} of the corresponding dual-atom doped oxides. For calculating intrinsic $CO₂$ consumption rate over a metal, we calculated the dopant metal specific $CO₂$ consumption rate of the corresponding single-atom doped oxide. Because undoped $ZrO₂$ is inactive in $CO₂$ hydrogenation, the activity of single-atom doped oxides can be attributed to the intrinsic activity of the corresponding doped metals. Figure 7 shows the result. Among Co, Ni, Pd and Cu, Co has the lowest intrinsic activity in $CO₂$ consumption and the corresponding $Co-Zn-ZrO₂$ showed the highest S_{MeOH} and the lowest S_{CO} . With increasing the specific activity of metals, the *S*_{MeOH} of the corresponding dual-atom doped oxide decreased. Therefore, to effectively steer H_2 utilization towards methanol synthesis, focusing on intermediate stabilization and their effective conversion to methanol is more important than focusing on uncontrollable promotion in H_2 dissociation. The latter would rather waste H_2 by utilizing it towards CO formation and would decrease S_{MeOH} .

Discussion

In order to effectively capture and store H_2 as methanol, oxide catalysts are important as they produce methanol with good selectivity although H_2 utilization remained poor due to low methanol productivity because of poor H₂ dissociation ability. Metal promoters were used to promote H2 dissociation, but they promote competing RWGS reaction more than methanol formation. Herein, we prepared $Co-Zn-ZrO₂$ dual-atom doped oxide which selectively promoted H_2 utilization for methanol formation and at the same time suppressed H_2 utilization for CO formation thus, promoting both methanol selectivity and productivity. Detailed analysis found that introduction of Co controls $CO₂$ adsorption and formate stabilization by creating oxygen vacant sites near itself. Thus, Zn became free of $CO₂$ and formate poisoning and dissociated H_2 easily and promoted formate hydrogenation to methanol. When other metals having high H_2 dissociation ability (for example: Pd, Cu, Ni) were used instead of Co, they promoted H₂ dissociation and promoted RWGS more than methanol formation. As a result, although methanol productivity increased, methanol selectivity decreased. This study showed that tuning of $CO₂$ and formate adsorption site is a better approach than promoting H_2 dissociation in order to selectively promote H_2 utilization for methanol synthesis. We belief that this work will inspire to take into account the efficient utilization of H_2 in hydrogenation reactions.

Supporting Information

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) KAKENHI JP22K04821 and research fund from The Japan Petroleum Institute. This work was also supported by Iketani Science and Technology Foundation. A part of this work was supported by "Advanced Research Infrastructure for Materials and Nanotechnology in Japan (ARIM)" of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT). Grant Number JPMXP122HK0089 (Hokkaido University).

References

- (1) Lubitz, W.; Tumas, W. Hydrogen: An Overview. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 3900–3903.
- (2) Hassan, Q.; Abdulateef, A. M.; Hafedh, S. A.; Al-samari, A.; Abdulateef, J.; Sameen, A. Z.; Salman, H. M.; Al-Jiboory, A. K.; Wieteska, S.; Jaszczur, M. Renewable Energy-to-Green Hydrogen: A Review of Main Resources Routes, Processes and Evaluation. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*. **2023**, *48*, 17383–17408.
- (3) Turner, J.; Sverdrup, G.; Mann, M. K.; Maness, P. C.; Kroposki, B.; Ghirardi, M.; Evans, R. J.; Blake, D. Renewable Hydrogen Production. *Int. J. Energy Res.* **2008**, *32* (5), 379–407.
- (4) Frei, M. S.; Mondelli, C.; Short, M. I. M.; Pérez-Ramírez, J. Methanol as a Hydrogen Carrier: Kinetic and Thermodynamic Drivers for Its CO2-Based Synthesis and Reforming over Heterogeneous Catalysts. *ChemSusChem* **2020**, *13* (23), 6330–6337.
- (5) Niermann, M.; Drünert, S.; Kaltschmitt, M.; Bonhoff, K. Liquid Organic Hydrogen Carriers (LOHCs)-Techno-Economic Analysis of LOHCs in a Defined Process Chain. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2019**, *12* (1), 290–307.
- (6) Martin, O.; Martín, A. J.; Mondelli, C.; Mitchell, S.; Segawa, T. F.; Hauert, R.; Drouilly, C.; Curulla-Ferré, D.; Pérez-Ramírez, J. Indium Oxide as a Superior Catalyst for Methanol Synthesis by CO2 Hydrogenation. *Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55* (21), 6261–6265.
- (7) Wang, J.; Zhang, G.; Zhu, J.; Zhang, X.; Ding, F.; Zhang, A.; Guo, X.; Song, C. CO2 Hydrogenation to Methanol over In₂O₃-Based Catalysts: From Mechanism to Catalyst Development. *ACS Catal.* **2021**, *11* (3), 1406–1423.
- (8) Feng, W. H.; Yu, M. M.; Wang, L. J.; Miao, Y. T.; Shakouri, M.; Ran, J.; Hu, Y.; Li, Z.; Huang, R.; Lu, Y. L.; Gao, D.; Wu, J. F. Insights into Bimetallic Oxide Synergy

during Carbon Dioxide Hydrogenation to Methanol and Dimethyl Ether over GaZrOx Oxide Catalysts. *ACS Catal.* **2021**, *11* (8), 4704–4711.

- (9) Tada, S.; Ochiai, N.; Kinoshita, H.; Yoshida, M.; Shimada, N.; Joutsuka, T.; Nishijima, M.; Honma, T.; Yamauchi, N.; Kobayashi, Y.; Iyoki, K. Active Sites on $Zn_xZr_{1-x}O_{2-x}$ Solid Solution Catalysts for CO2-to-Methanol Hydrogenation. *ACS Catal.* **2022**, *12*, 7748–7759.
- (10) Wang, J.; Li, G.; Li, Z.; Tang, C.; Feng, Z.; An, H.; Liu, H.; Liu, T.; Li, C. A Highly Selective and Stable $ZnO-ZrO₂$ Solid Solution Catalyst for $CO₂$ Hydrogenation to Methanol. *Sci. Adv.* **2017**, *3* (10).
- (11) Wang, J.; Tang, C.; Li, G.; Han, Z.; Li, Z.; Liu, H.; Cheng, F.; Li, C. High-Performance MaZrO_x (Ma = Cd, Ga) Solid-Solution Catalysts for CO₂ Hydrogenation to Methanol. *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9* (11), 10253–10259.
- (12) Frei, M. S.; Mondelli, C.; García-Muelas, R.; Kley, K. S.; Puértolas, B.; López, N.; Safonova, O. V.; Stewart, J. A.; Curulla Ferré, D.; Pérez-Ramírez, J. Atomic-Scale Engineering of Indium Oxide Promotion by Palladium for Methanol Production via CO2 Hydrogenation. *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10* (1), 1–11.
- (13) Pinheiro Araújo, T.; Mondelli, C.; Agrachev, M.; Zou, T.; Willi, P. O.; Engel, K. M.; Grass, R. N.; Stark, W. J.; Safonova, O. V.; Jeschke, G.; Mitchell, S.; Pérez-Ramírez, J. Flame-Made Ternary Pd-In₂O₃-ZrO₂ Catalyst with Enhanced Oxygen Vacancy Generation for CO2 Hydrogenation to Methanol. *Nat. Commun.* **2022**, *13* (1), 1–12.
- (14) Lee, K.; Anjum, U.; Araújo, T. P.; Mondelli, C.; He, Q.; Furukawa, S.; Pérez-Ramírez, J.; Kozlov, S. M.; Yan, N. Atomic Pd-Promoted ZnZrOx Solid Solution Catalyst for CO2 Hydrogenation to Methanol. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2022**, *304*, 120994.
- (15) Lee, K.; Mendes, P. C. D.; Jeon, H.; Song, Y.; Dickieson, M. P.; Anjum, U.; Chen, L.; Yang, T. C.; Yang, C. M.; Choi, M.; Kozlov, S. M.; Yan, N. Engineering Nanoscale H Supply Chain to Accelerate Methanol Synthesis on ZnZrOx. *Nat. Commun.* **2023**, *14* (1), 819.
- (16) Sun, K.; Rui, N.; Zhang, Z.; Sun, Z.; Ge, Q.; Liu, C. J. A Highly Active Pt/In2O3 catalyst for CO2 hydrogenation to Methanol with Enhanced Stability. *Green Chem.* **2020**, *22* (15), 5059–5066.
- (17) Xu, D.; Hong, X.; Liu, G. Highly Dispersed Metal Doping to ZnZr Oxide Catalyst for CO2 Hydrogenation to Methanol: Insight into Hydrogen Spillover. *J. Catal.* **2021**, *393*, 207–214.
- (18) Dostagir, N. H. M.; Thompson, C.; Kobayashi, H.; Karim, A. M.; Fukuoka, A.; Shrotri, A. Rh Promoted In₂O₃ as a Highly Active Catalyst for $CO₂$ Hydrogenation to Methanol. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *10* (24), 8196–8202.
- (19) Li, M. M.; Zou, H.; Zheng, J.; Wu, T.; Chan, T.; Soo, Y.; Wu, X.; Gong, X.; Chen, T.; Roy, K.; Held, G.; Tsang, S. C. E. Methanol Synthesis at a Wide Range of H_2/CO_2 Ratios over a Rh‐In Bimetallic Catalyst. *Angew. Chemie* **2020**, *132* (37), 16173–16180.
- (20) Rui, N.; Wang, X.; Deng, K.; Moncada, J.; Rosales, R.; Zhang, F.; Xu, W.; Waluyo, I.; Hunt, A.; Stavitski, E.; Senanayake, S. D.; Liu, P.; Rodriguez, J. A. Atomic Structural Origin of the High Methanol Selectivity over In₂O₃-Metal Interfaces: Metal-Support Interactions and the Formation of a InO_x Overlayer in Ru/In₂O₃ Catalysts during CO₂ Hydrogenation. *ACS Catal.* **2023**, *13*, 3187–3200.
- (21) Shen, C.; Sun, K.; Zou, R.; Wu, O.; Mei, D.; Liu, C. J. CO₂ Hydrogenation to Methanol on Indium Oxide-Supported Rhenium Catalysts: The Effects of Size. *ACS Catal.* **2022**, *12* (20), 12658–12669.
- (22) Frei, M. S.; Mondelli, C.; García-Muelas, R.; Morales-Vidal, J.; Philipp, M.; Safonova, O. V.; López, N.; Stewart, J. A.; Ferré, D. C.; Pérez-Ramírez, J. Nanostructure of Nickel-Promoted Indium Oxide Catalysts Drives Selectivity in CO₂ Hydrogenation. *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12* (1), 1–9.
- (23) Song, L.; Wang, H.; Wang, S.; Qu, Z. Dual-Site Activation of H2 over Cu/ZnAl2O4 Boosting CO2 Hydrogenation to Methanol. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2023**, *322*, 122137.
- (24) Bavykina, A.; Yarulina, I.; Al Abdulghani, A. J.; Gevers, L.; Hedhili, M. N.; Miao, X.; Galilea, A. R.; Pustovarenko, A.; Dikhtiarenko, A.; Cadiau, A.; Aguilar-Tapia, A.; Hazemann, J.-L.; Kozlov, S. M.; Oud-Chikh, S.; Cavallo, L.; Gascon, J. Turning a Methanation Co Catalyst into an In–Co Methanol Producer. *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9* (8), 6910–6918.
- (25) Li, L.; Yang, B.; Gao, B.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, L.; Ishihara, T.; Qi, W.; Guo, L. CO2 Hydrogenation Selectivity Shift over In-Co Binary Oxides Catalysts: Catalytic Mechanism and Structure-Property Relationship. *Chinese J. Catal.* **2022**, *43* (3), 862– 876.
- (26) Zhu, J.; Cannizzaro, F.; Liu, L.; Zhang, H.; Kosinov, N.; Filot, I. A. W.; Rabeah, J.; Brückner, A.; Hensen, E. J. M. Ni-In Synergy in $CO₂$ Hydrogenation to Methanol. *ACS Catal.* **2021**, *11* (18), 11371–11384.
- (27) Han, X.; Xiao, T.; Li, M.; Hao, Z.; Chen, J.; Pan, Y.; Zi, X.; Zhang, H.; Xi, S.; Wai, H. M.; Kawi, S.; Ma, X. Synergetic Interaction between Single-Atom Cu and $Ga₂O₃$ Enhances CO2 Hydrogenation to Methanol over CuGaZrOx. *ACS Catal.* **2023**, *13* (20), 13679–13690.
- (28) Jia, X.; Sun, K.; Wang, J.; Shen, C.; Liu, C. jun. Selective Hydrogenation of CO2 to Methanol over Ni/In2O3 Catalyst. *J. Energy Chem.* **2020**, *50*, 409–415.
- (29) Wang, J.; Sun, K.; Jia, X.; Liu, C. jun. CO2 Hydrogenation to Methanol over Rh/In2O3 Catalyst. *Catal. Today* **2021**, *365*, 341–347.
- (30) Rui, N.; Wang, Z.; Sun, K.; Ye, J.; Ge, Q.; Liu, C. jun. CO2 Hydrogenation to Methanol over Pd/In₂O₃: Effects of Pd and Oxygen Vacancy. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2017**, *218*, 488–497.
- (31) Ye, J.; Liu, C.; Mei, D.; Ge, Q. Active Oxygen Vacancy Site for Methanol Synthesis from CO2 Hydrogenation on In2O3 (110): A DFT Study. *ACS Catal.* **2013**, *3* (6), 1296– 1306.
- (32) Kattel, S.; Yan, B.; Yang, Y.; Chen, J. G.; Liu, P. Optimizing Binding Energies of Key Intermediates for CO2 Hydrogenation to Methanol over Oxide-Supported Copper. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138* (38), 12440–12450.
- (33) Jung, K. D.; Bell, A. T. Role of Hydrogen Spillover in Methanol Synthesis over Cu/ZrO2. *J. Catal.* **2000**, *193* (2), 207–223.
- (34) Tang, C.; Tang, S.; Sha, F.; Han, Z.; Feng, Z.; Wang, J.; Li, C. Insights into the Selectivity Determinant and Rate-Determining Step of $CO₂$ Hydrogenation to Methanol. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2022**, *126* (25), 10399–10407.
- (35) Polierer, S.; Jelic, J.; Pitter, S.; Studt, F. On the Reactivity of the $Cu/ZrO₂$ System for the Hydrogenation of CO2 to Methanol: A Density Functional Theory Study. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2019**, *123* (44), 26904–26911.
- (36) Chen, T. Y.; Cao, C.; Chen, T. B.; Ding, X.; Huang, H.; Shen, L.; Cao, X.; Zhu, M.; Xu, J.; Gao, J.; Han, Y. F. Unraveling Highly Tunable Selectivity in CO₂ Hydrogenation over Bimetallic In-Zr Oxide Catalysts. *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9* (9), 8785– 8797.
- (37) Fang, H.; Zhao, G.; Cheng, D.; Liu, J.; Lan, D.; Jiang, Q.; Liu, X.; Ge, J.; Xu, Z.; Xu, H. MOF-Derived Bimetallic Core–Shell Catalyst HZSM-5@ZrO₂–In₂O₃: High CO₂ Conversion in Reverse Water Gas Shift Reaction. *Mater. Chem. Front.* **2022**.
- (38) Šot, P.; Noh, G.; Weber, I. C.; Pratsinis, S. E.; Copéret, C. The Influence of ZnO−ZrO² Interface in Hydrogenation of CO2 to CH3OH. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **2022**, *105* (3), e202200007.
- (39) Han, Z.; Tang, C.; Wang, J.; Li, L.; Li, C. Atomically Dispersed Pt^{n+} Species as Highly Active Sites in Pt/In2O3 Catalysts for Methanol Synthesis from CO2 Hydrogenation. *J. Catal.* **2021**, *394*, 236–244.
- (40) Cannizzaro, F.; Hensen, E. J. M.; Filot, I. A. W. The Promoting Role of Ni on In2O3 for CO2 Hydrogenation to Methanol. *ACS Catal.* **2023**, *13*, 1875–1892.
- (41) Dostagir, N. H. M.; Rattanawan, R.; Gao, M.; Ota, J.; Hasegawa, J. Y.; Asakura, K.; Fukouka, A.; Shrotri, A. Co Single Atoms in ZrO₂ with Inherent Oxygen Vacancies for Selective Hydrogenation of CO2 to CO. *ACS Catal.* **2021**, *11* (15), 9450–9461.
- (42) Dostagir, N. H. M.; Tomuschat, C. R.; Oshiro, K.; Gao, M.; Hasegawa, J. Y.; Fukuoka, A.; Shrotri, A. Mitigating the Poisoning Effect of Formate during $CO₂$ Hydrogenation to Methanol over Co-Containing Dual-Atom Oxide Catalysts. *JACS Au* **2024**, *50*, 27.
- (43) Shannon, R. D. Revised Effective Ionic Radii and Systematic Studies of Interatomic Distances in Halides and Chalcogenides. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A* **1976**, *32* (5), 751– 767.
- (44) Yang, C.; Pei, C.; Luo, R.; Liu, S.; Wang, Y.; Wang, Z.; Zhao, Z. J.; Gong, J. Strong Electronic Oxide-Support Interaction over In_2O_3/ZrO_2 for Highly Selective CO₂ Hydrogenation to Methanol. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142* (46), 19523–19531.
- (45) Feng, Z.; Tang, C.; Zhang, P.; Li, K.; Li, G.; Wang, J.; Feng, Z.; Li, C. Asymmetric Sites on the ZnZrO_x Catalyst for Promoting Formate Formation and Transformation in CO2 Hydrogenation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2023**.
- (46) Pokrovski, K.; Jung, K. T.; Bell, A. T. Investigation of CO and CO2 Adsorption on Tetragonal and Monoclinic Zirconia. *Langmuir* **2001**, *17* (14), 4297–4303.
- (47) Korhonen, S. T.; Calatayud, M.; Outi I Krause, A. Structure and Stability of Formates and Carbonates on Monoclinic Zirconia: A Combined Study by Density Functional Theory and Infrared Spectroscopy. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2008**, *112* (41), 16096–16102.