- 1 Techno-economic Analysis and Life Cycle
- 2 Assessment of Biomass-Derived
- ³ Polyhydroxyurethane and Non-Isocyanate
- 4 Polythiourethane Production and Reprocessing
- 5 Chloe Liang^{†,1} Yasheen Jadidi,^{†,2} Yixuan Chen,² Ulises Gracida-Alvarez,³ John M. Torkelson,^{2,4}
- 6 Troy R. Hawkins,³ Jennifer B. Dunn^{*2}

¹Institute for Sustainability and Energy at Northwestern, Northwestern University, Evanston,
8 Illinois 60208, United States

- 9 ²Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois
- 10 60208, United States; Northwestern-Argonne Institute of Science and Engineering, Evanston,
- 11 Illinois 60208, United States
- ¹² ³Systems Assessment Center, Energy Systems and Infrastructure Analysis Division, Argonne
- 13 National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois 60439, United States
- ⁴Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois
 60208, United States

KEYWORDS: Biopolymers, non-isocyanate polyurethanes, depolymerization, life cycle
 assessment, techno-economic analysis

3 *Corresponding author: jennifer.dunn1@northwestern.edu

4 ABSTRACT:

5 Non-isocyanate polyurethanes (NIPUs) show promise as more sustainable alternatives to 6 conventional, isocyanate-based polyurethanes (PUs). In this study, polyhydroxyurethane (PHU) 7 and non-isocyanate polythiourethane (NIPTU) production and reprocessing models inform the 8 results of a techno-economic analysis and a life cycle assessment. The profitability of selling PHU 9 and NIPTU is rationalized by identifying significant production costs, indicating that raw materials 10 drive the costs of PHU and NIPTU production and reprocessing. After stepping along a path of 11 process improvements, PHU and NIPTU can achieve minimum selling prices (MSPs) of 3.15 USD 12 kg⁻¹ and 4.39 USD kg⁻¹, respectively. Depolymerization yields need to be optimized and 13 polycondensation reactions need to be investigated for the reprocessing of NIPUs into secondary 14 (2°) NIPUs. Of the NIPUs examined here, PHU has a low depolymerization yield and NIPTU has 15 a high depolymerization yield. Fossil energy use, greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, and water 16 consumption are reported for the bio-based production of PHU, NIPTU, 2° PHU, and 2° NIPTU 17 and compared with baseline values for fossil-based PU production. There are options for reducing 18 environmental impacts which could make these pathways more sustainable. If barriers to 19 implementation are overcome, 2° NIPUs can be manufactured at lower cost and environmental 20 impacts than virgin NIPUs.

21

1 INTRODUCTION:

2 The increasingly prevalent dangers of climate change and non-renewable resource depletion are joined by the looming threat of plastic waste accumulation.¹⁻⁵ About 80 % of global energy 3 4 demand is from non-renewable feedstocks while 7-8 % of global fossil fuels are used to provide energy and materials in the manufacturing of plastics.⁶⁻⁸ Globally, annual plastics production 5 6 continues to increase dramatically from 2 million tonnes in 1950 to around 438 million tonnes in 2017 with up to 99 % of plastics made from fossil fuel-derived polymers.⁸ Only around 30 % of 7 8 plastics produced since 1950 remain in use today. By 2050, over 1,100 million tonnes of plastic 9 will be produced annually if current trends continue.^{9,10} To mitigate these issues, scientists and 10 engineers continue to develop technologies to recycle plastics and use alternative resources in plastics production.^{11,12} 11

12 Polyurethanes (PUs) are polymeric materials with versatile properties ranging in application from soft coatings and flexible foams to harder construction materials and rigid foams.^{13,14} 13 14 However, the production of PU involves monomers such as polyols and diisocyanates (DI), which 15 deplete nonrenewable fossil fuel resources. In 2016, 2.9 million tonnes of polyurethane (PU) were 16 produced in the United States at the expense of 1.1 million tonnes of crude oil and 1.1 million tonnes of natural gas.¹⁵ With a 5.5 % end-of-life recycling rate, PU is recycled at less than the 17 average recycling rate of U.S. plastics in 2015.^{15,16} Another challenge with today's technology for 18 manufacturing PU is the use of isocyanates, which pose a human health hazard.^{17,18} Accordingly, 19 20 the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has begun to phase out their use in the synthesis of PU.¹⁹ To enhance PU sustainability, it is necessary (1) to advance technologies for producing 21 22 this material from bio-based feedstocks, (2) to expand methods for recycling PU monomers, and 23 (3) to avoid the use of isocyanates in PU manufacturing.

1 Progress exists on each of these three fronts. Two types of non-isocyanate polyurethane 2 (NIPU) materials, polyhydroxyurethane (PHU) and non-isocyanate polythiourethane (NIPTU), can be synthesized from biomass and reprocessed into secondary (2°) NIPU materials.²⁰⁻²⁷ In 3 4 addition, NIPU materials eliminate the need for toxic and potentially harmful diisocyanates.^{23,29} 5 For instance, catalytic coupling of epoxide residues with either carbon dioxide or carbon disulfide 6 affords 5-membered cyclic carbonates or 5-membered cyclic dithiocarbonates, respectively. 7 Subsequent ring opening polyaddition with an amine affords PHU from the cyclic carbonates or 8 NIPTU from the cyclic dithiocarbonates. Chemicals that can be derived from corn stover, including 1,3-butadiene or 1,4-butanediol,^{29,30} are readily epoxidized through a reaction with 9 10 hydrogen peroxide or an S_N2 substitution with epichlorohydrin. Cyclic carbonate and dithiocarbonate moieties have also previously been derived from epoxidized plant seed oils for 11 NIPU biosynthesis.^{31,32} 12

13 It is important to understand how shifts from manufacturing conventional PUs to 14 manufacturing NIPUs may influence their cost and sustainability. We carried out techno-economic 15 analysis (TEA) and life-cycle assessment (LCA) of four new NIPU production pathways: (1) a biomass-based PHU, (2) a 2° PHU via depolymerization, (3) a biomass-based NIPTU, and (4) a 16 17 2° NIPTU via depolymerization. Using TEA, we compare the minimum selling prices (MSPs) of 18 bio-based PHU, NIPTU, and 2° NIPUs with conventional PU market prices. We use LCA to 19 compare greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, fossil energy use, and water consumption of PHU, 20 NIPTU, and 2° NIPUs to the same metrics for conventional PU. To date, PHU and NIPTU 21 production routes have not been assessed for their cost competitiveness or environmental 22 advantages. NIPU recovery has also not been evaluated from these perspectives. Accordingly, this

research represents an important step in evaluating the role of bio-based, recyclable NIPUs in the
 polymers market.

3 METHODS:

4 **Process modeling**

5 Processes for PHU and NIPTU production are depicted in Fig. 1(a) and Fig. 1(b), respectively. 6 We modeled these processes in Aspen Plus V12 leveraging published models and experimental data.³³⁻³⁵ Box colors in Fig. 1 depict the origin of data. Blue boxes denote data derived from 7 literature and patents.^{34,35} Purple boxes indicate data arose from experiments.^{22,25-27,31} Detailed 8 9 process flow diagrams and stream summary tables are available in Fig. S.1, Fig. S.2, Table S.1, 10 and Table S.2 in the Supporting Information (SI). Biosynthesis of PHU begins with the 11 epoxidation of 1,3-butadiene (1,3-BD) with two equivalents of hydrogen peroxide to form 1,3-12 butadiene diepoxide (1,3-BDE). Toluene and acetic acid are used as a solvent and an additive,



Figure 1. Production process block flows: production processes for **(a)** PHU and **(b)** NIPTU. Blue blocks represent processes supported by data collected from literature and patents. Purple blocks represent processes supported by data collected experimentally.

1 respectively, for the epoxidation and separation steps. In the subsequent carbonation step, 1,3-BDE 2 reacts with two equivalents of carbon dioxide using a tetrabutylammonium iodide (TBAI) catalyst 3 affording 1,3-butadiene dicyclic carbonate (1,3-BDCC). Prior to polymerization, 1,3-BDCC is 4 washed of residual catalyst. Polymerization of 1,3-BDCC with poly(butadiene-co-acrylonitrile) 5 (PBN) and a p-xylylenediamine (XDA) chain extender is carried out using 1,8-6 diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) as catalyst in dimethylformamide (DMF) solvent. PHU 7 leaves the process after DMF is evaporated and recycled back to the polymerization step. Fig. 8 S.4(a) contains a reaction flow diagram for the proposed PHU biosynthesis.

9 The biosynthesis of NIPTU begins with the substitution of the hydroxyl groups of 1,4-10 butanediol (1,4-BD) with two epichlorohydrin (ECH) molecules affording 1,4-butanediol 11 diglycidyl ether (1,4-BDE). Xylene and tin difluoride are used as a solvent and an additive, 12 respectively, for this step. Next, 1, 4-BDE couples with two equivalents of carbon disulfide in the 13 carbonation step. This step uses a lithium bromide catalyst in tetrahydrofuran (THF) solvent and 14 affords 1,4-butanediol dithiocyclic carbonate (1,4-BDTCC). Prior to polymerization, 1,4-BDTCC 15 is washed of residual lithium bromide using ethyl acetate. Polymerization of 1,4-BDTCC with a 16 polyetheramine (TTEA) is carried out using DBU as a catalyst in THF solvent. NIPTU leaves the 17 process after THF is evaporated and recycled back to the polymerization step. Fig. S.4(b) is a 18 reaction flow diagram for NIPTU biosynthesis. The assumed market values of individual feeds for 19 PHU and NIPTU synthesis can be found in the SI (Table S.4 and Table S.5).

A flow diagram for reprocessing used NIPU materials is depicted in **Fig. 2**. Purple boxes indicate process modeling relied on experimental data.^{22,25-27,31} The downstream polycondensation step is depicted in red because the polycondensation reactions modeled here are yet to be tested experimentally, and they have not been fully investigated in the literature. Instead, the

1 polycondensation steps modeled are stoichiometrically in Aspen Plus V12 based on 2 3 the general temperature profiles of carbamate 4 monomers polymerizing with diols using a TBD 5 catalyst.³⁶⁻³⁸ This step was necessary to enable 6 consistent comparison with baseline polymers 7 and, although imperfect, allows for a general 8 understanding of relative cost and environmental 9 performance. A detailed process flow diagram 10 and a stream summary table for NIPU 11 reprocessing are located in Fig. S.3 and Table S.3 12 of the SI, respectively. The methanolysis process 13 for carbamate monomer recovery is identical for 14 PHU and NIPTU. Used NIPU is chemically 15 depolymerized using a potassium tert-butoxide 16 catalyst (t-BuOK), THF solvent and methanol. 17 After methanol and THF are distilled and 18



Figure 2. Monomer/NIPU recovery block flow: reprocessing of used PHU or NIPTU into 2° NIPUs. All NIPU recovery process flows are supported by data collected empirically. Blue blocks represent processes supported by data collected from literature and patents. Purple blocks represent processes supported by data collected experimentally.

recycled back to the depolymerization process, t-BuOK is neutralized using hydrochloric acid
producing tert-butanol (t-BuOH) and potassium chloride salt (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1. Catalyst removal: potassium tert-butoxide is neutralized with hydrochloric acid to afford tert-butanol and potassium chloride.

3 Recovered catalyst is recycled back to the depolymerization step. A reaction flow diagram of 2°
4 NIPU recovery from virgin PHU and NIPTU can be found in the SI (Fig. S.5(a) and Fig. S.5(b),

5 respectively). Recovered carbamate monomer can be reprocessed into 2° NIPU via

polycondensation with 1,4-BD.³⁶⁻³⁸
Polycondensation is assumed to be facilitated
by a 1,5,7-triazabicyclo[4.4.0]dec-5-ene
(TBD) catalyst in a neat reaction.³⁶ Table S.6
documents the market values we adopted for
inputs to NIPU reprocessing.

potassium tert-butoxide and water.

12 **Financial assumptions**

13 Financial assumptions for the TEA are 14 listed in Table 1. We adopted a 30-year plant 15 life. Plant sizes vary; we chose a base case capacity of 2540 tonne yr⁻¹. Engineering, 16 17 procurement, and construction (EPC) lasts 24 18 weeks. EPC and startup phases together last 19 50 weeks. Working capital assets totaling 5 % 20 of the fixed capital investment (CI) are kept

Description	Value	Unit
Plant life	30	yr
Plant capacity	2540	tonne yr ⁻¹
Cost year USD	2022	-
Internal rate of return	20	%
EPC duration	24	wk
EPC and startup duration	50	wk
Working capital	5	% of CI
Tax rate	40	%
Salvage value	20	% of cost
Depreciation method	Straight line	-
Project capital escalation	5	% yr ⁻¹
Products escalation	5	% yr ⁻¹
Raw material escalation	3.5	% yr-1
Labor escalation	3	% yr ⁻¹
Utilities escalation	3	% yr ⁻¹

Table 1. List of assumptions: financial

³³Aspen Process Economic Analyzer, default values for the U.S.

³⁴Dong et al., 2021

 $\overset{OH}{\longleftarrow} + KOH \longrightarrow \overset{K^{\oplus \Theta}O}{\longleftarrow} + H_2O$

Scheme 2. Catalyst recovery: tert-butanol is reacted with potassium hydroxide to afford

Next, a water-based wash step recovers carbamate, alcohol, and salt. Reacting t-BuOH with
 potassium hydroxide recovers the t-BuOK catalyst and one equivalent of water (Scheme 2).

at the plants. A straight-line method for calculating depreciation is implemented with salvage
values for equipment set at 20 % of their original cost. We adopted a 20 % internal rate of return
(IRR). Other assumed values including cost year of the USD, tax rate, and escalation rates can be
found in Table 1.

5 Life cycle inventory and impact categories

6 Systems are compared based on three impact categories: fossil energy use, GHG emissions, 7 and water consumption. We selected one tonne of NIPU or PU material as our functional unit. We 8 carried out LCA using life cycle inventory data generated from Aspen Plus simulations and the 9 Greenhouse gases, Regulated Emissions, and Energy use in Technologies (GREET) model (2021 release).³⁹ Life cycle inventory data for PHU, NIPTU, and 2° NIPU are shown in Table S.7, Table 10 11 S.8, and Table S.9 of the SI. Life cycle inventory data for ECH, t-BuOK, XDA, TTEA, and SnCl₂ 12 (Table S.10, Table S.11, Table S.12, Table S.13, and Table S.14, respectively) were not available through GREET and were obtained from literature, openLCA software, and patents.⁴⁰⁻⁴³ Data for 13 14 the epoxidation and separation steps of PHU and NIPTU production were obtained from the literature.34,35 Empirical data were used in evaluating PHU and NIPTU production as well as 15 carbamate monomer recovery processes.^{25,26} Experimental data to inform material and energy 16 17 flows for the polycondensation reactions modeled in this study is limited for 2° PHUs and absent for 2° NIPTUs. To enable a consistent comparison with baseline polymers, we modeled these 18 19 reactions stoichiometrically in Aspen Plus V12 based on the general temperature ranges for polycondensation reactions between carbamates and 1,4-BD.³⁶⁻³⁸ Baseline data for the fossil 20 21 energy use, greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, and water consumption of fossil-based PU foams were sourced from the GREET model.³⁹ While the baseline fossil-based PUs analyzed in our study 22 23 are foams, the NIPU materials under examination are bulk materials. To make PU foams from

1 bulk materials, blowing agents are introduced to create a cellular structure. This process and the 2 materials it consumes would add to the energy and emissions burdens pf NIPU materials we are examining.⁴⁴ Blowing agent such as cyclopentane on average represent 8 % (w/w) of rigid and 3 4 flexible PU foams.¹⁵ We recognize this could increase fossil energy use and GHG emissions by approximately 6,000 MJ tonne⁻¹ and 214 kg CO₂ tonne⁻¹ after accounting for the use of 5 cyclopentane as a blowing agent.⁴⁴ The Young's modulus and elongation at break properties of 6 the NIPUs assessed here^{22,25-27} are comparable to those same properties of traditional flexible 7 foam materials.⁴⁵ 8

9 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

10 Techno-economic analysis results

Fig. 3(a) and Fig. 3(b) report MSPs of bio-based PHU and NIPTU assuming a 20 % internal 11 rate of return (IRR). The MSPs of PHU and NIPTU are 5.37 USD kg⁻¹ and 7.16 USD kg⁻¹, 12 13 respectively. In the production of PHU, raw materials are responsible for 59 % of total costs. 14 Operating expenses (16 %) are the next highest contributor. Raw material costs account for 68 % of the total costs in the production of NIPTU, 9 % higher than the cost contributions of raw 15 16 materials in PHU production. Operating expenses (13 %) are again the next highest cost 17 contributor. Raw materials drive total costs and are further broken down with respect to all material feeds to the PHU and NIPTU processes (Fig. 3(c) and Fig. 3(d)). Amines dominate raw material 18 19 costs. In the PHU system, PBN is responsible for 62 % of raw material cost. The TTEA amine 20 accounts for 36 % of NIPTU raw material costs. The cost of the epoxidizing agents, hydrogen 21 peroxide and ECH, followed by the cost of solvents are the next largest material costs.

We also assessed the commercial viability of NIPU reprocessing (**Fig. 4(a)** and **Fig. 4(b)**). The MSPs of 2° PHU and 2° NIPTU are 5.47 USD kg⁻¹ and 2.30 USD kg⁻¹, respectively. In the recovery



Figure 3. Cost breakdowns for PHU and NIPTU: minimum selling prices (MSPs) of NIPU realized in (a) the PHU production process and (b) the NIPTU production process. Major raw material cost contributers are itemized for (c) the PHU production process and (d) the NIPTU production process.

of 2° PHU, raw material purchases are 35 % of total process costs. Operating expenses (26 %) are the next greatest contributor to total costs. The recovery of 2° NIPTU follow a similar trend in major cost contributors with raw material still being the largest cost (31 % cost contribution). Cost breakdowns of both processes (**Fig. 4(c)** and **Fig. 4(d)**) are very similar. The greatest raw material cost is in the THF solvent (39 % of total raw material cost) followed by the cost of potassium hydroxide (26 % and 18 % of raw material costs for 2° PHU and 2° NIPTU, respectively) used in catalyst recovery.



Figure 4. Cost breakdowns for PHU and NIPTU monomer: minimum selling prices of carbamate monomers realized in (a) the PHU monomer recovery process and (b) the NIPTU monomer recovery process. Major raw material cost contributers are itemized for (c) the PHU monomer recovery process and (d) the NIPTU monomer recovery process.

A trend is seen in the MSPs of virgin PHU (5.37 USD kg⁻¹), virgin NIPTU (7.16 USD kg⁻¹), 2° PHU (5.47 USD kg⁻¹), and 2° NIPTU (2.30 USD kg⁻¹). While the MSP of PHU is 29 % lower than that of NIPTU, the prospect of reprocessing PHU is less economically feasible. The higher cost of NIPTU production mainly arises from the comparatively high cost of NIPTU raw materials (**Table S.4** and **Table S.5**). The high cost of PHU reprocessing stems from its unfavorable 50 % (mol/mol) depolymerization yield. The depolymerization yield of the NIPTU thiocarbamate



Figure 5. Cumulative abatement of costs of PHU and NIPTU production: waterfall plot analysis with MSPs of NIPU production in (a) process for PHU production and (b) process for NIPTU production. Red shaded area reflects range of baseline PU prices.

10 As a next step in our analysis, we compared the above-described MSPs with baseline PU

- flexible foam prices of 3.53 4.49 USD kg⁻¹ (highlighted in red in Fig. 5).⁴⁹ We considered how
- 12 MSPs of PHU and NIPTU might change with changes to selected critical parameters in the TEA

1 (Fig. 5(a) and Fig. 5(b), respectively). The individual sensitivities of key variables in the 2 production of NIPUs can be found in the SI (Fig. S.6(a)). The outlined process improvements 3 bring the MSPs of bio-based NIPUs to levels comparable with the MSPs of fossil-based flexible 4 PUs. With respect to PHU (Fig. 5(a)), a 0.52 USD kg⁻¹ drop in MSP results from a 25 % drop in 5 PBN cost. In a separate TEA study, hexanediamine is adopted as a model compound for pricing amines (1.52 USD kg⁻¹). If the cost of amine feeds in PHU production drop to the price of 6 7 hexanediamine, the MSP of PHU drops a further 0.56 USD kg⁻¹.³⁸ A 25 % drop in capital cost and 8 in increase in production capacity were also examined, with capacity being the most influential 9 variable in this analysis. An 0.88 USD kg⁻¹ drop in MSP results from raising production capacity 10 to 9,000 tonne PHU yr⁻¹. Thereby, it is shown how reductions in amine costs, reductions in capital 11 cost, and increases in plant production capacity can bring the MSP of PHU below the range of 12 fossil-based flexible PU MSPs. Cumulatively, these changes reduce the MSP of PHU to 1.18 USD kg⁻¹ and well below baseline costs. 13

14 With respect to NIPTU (Fig. 5(b)), a 0.50 USD kg⁻¹ drop in MSP results from a 25 % drop in 15 TTEA cost. If the amine selling price drops to a comparable level to hexanediamine, the MSP of NIPTU drops a further 0.71 USD kg⁻¹.³⁵ We also considered how variations of 25 % in ECH price 16 17 and capital would affect the MSP of NIPTU. However, the most influential factor in reducing costs was once again production capacity. A 1.04 USD kg⁻¹ drop in MSP results from raising production 18 19 capacity to 9,000 tonne NIPTU yr⁻¹. After reductions in the price of amines, reductions in the price 20 of ECH, reductions in capital costs, and increases in plant production capacity the MSP of NIPTU 21 (4.39 USD kg⁻¹) falls within the range of baseline PU MSPs. An even lower NIPTU MSP might 22 be achievable with further increases in plant capacity or lower material costs.



Figure 6. Cumulative abatement of costs of PHU and NIPTU reprocessing: waterfall plot analysis with MSPs of (a) 2° PHU and (b) 2° NIPTU. Red shaded area reflects range of baseline PU prices.

1 Improvements to PHU and NIPTU reprocessing can similarly lower the MSPs of 2° PHU and 2 2° NIPTU (Fig. 6(a) and Fig. 6(b)). The individual sensitivities of key variables in the reprocessing 3 of NIPUs can be found in the SI (Fig. S.6(b)). For example (Fig. 6(a)), if the solvent price dropped to levels comparable to the price of dichloromethane (0.57 USD kg⁻¹),⁵⁰ PHU MSP drops by 0.68 4 5 USD kg⁻¹. As mentioned previously, improving depolymerization yield would greatly benefit the 6 MSP of 2° PHU. From a baseline depolymerization yield of 50 % (mol/mol), a 25 % (mol/mol) 7 improvement in yield results in a 1.71 USD kg⁻¹ drop in MSP for 2° PHU. Reaching a 100 % 8 (mol/mol) depolymerization yield results in a further 0.72 USD kg⁻¹ drop in MSP. A 25 % drop in 9 capital cost and in increase in production capacity were also examined. Capacity increases are the 10 second most influential variable behind depolymerization yield. A drop in MSP of 0.89 USD kg⁻¹ 11 results from raising production capacity to 9,000 tonne 2° PHU yr⁻¹. Altogether, the MSP of 12 reprocessed PHU could be significantly lower than the MSP for fossil-based flexible PU and virgin PHU if process improvements occur. Most importantly, improvements in the depolymerization yields of PHU must be achieved. Testing other depolymerization solvents or other types of PHU with different characteristic crystallinities or monomers hold promise to increase yield. Overall, the improvements we considered result in a MSP of 1.18 USD kg⁻¹ for 2° PHU, which is well below baseline costs.

With respect to 2° NIPTU recovery (Fig. 6(b)), if the price of solvent dropped to 0.57 USD 6 kg⁻¹ (comparable to the price of dichloromethane)⁵⁰ MSP drops by 0.25 USD kg⁻¹. The NIPTU 7 8 recovery process is also least sensitive to changes in capital cost. Production capacity was once 9 again a highly influential cost variable. An 0.84 USD kg⁻¹ drop in MSP results from raising production capacity to 9,000 tonne NIPTU monomer yr⁻¹. Although the MSP of 2° NIPTU was 10 11 already below baseline costs, the aforementioned improvements could further reduce the MSP of 12 2° NIPTU. After incremental decreases in solvent price, decreases in capital cost, and increases in NIPTU monomer production capacity, a final NIPTU monomer MSP of 1.08 USD kg⁻¹ is 13 14 achievable.

15 The MSPs of PHU, NIPTU, 2° PHU, and 2° NIPTU were initially determined to be 5.37 USD kg⁻¹, 7.16 USD kg⁻¹, 5.47 USD kg⁻¹, and 2.30 USD kg⁻¹, respectively. After stepping along a path 16 17 of cost abatement strategies, the following MSPs can be achieved (percentage reduction in price shown within parentheses): 3.15 USD kg⁻¹ (-41 %) for PHU, 4.39 USD kg⁻¹ (-39 %) for NIPTU, 18 1.18 USD kg⁻¹ (-78 %) 2° PHU, and 1.08 USD kg⁻¹ (-53 %) 2° NIPTU. Taken together, the TEA 19 20 results demonstrate that cost-competitive NIPUs and 2° NIPUs are possible, especially if research 21 and development efforts focus on improving yields and exploring low-cost solvents and amines 22 when applicable. Furthermore, designing plants with capacities that take advantage of economies 23 of scale also benefit the commercial viability of these polymers.

1 Life cycle analysis results

2 In the LCA, the environmental impacts of each system are reported for the life cycle impact 3 categories of fossil energy use (Fig. 7(a)), GHG emissions (Fig. 7(b)), and water consumption 4 (Fig. 7(c)). The fossil energy uses of each operation of every process are tallied and juxtaposed 5 with baseline fossil-based flexible and rigid PU fossil energy usage (Fig. 7(a)). The fossil energy 6 input per tonne of PHU production is 9.3 % and 14.3 % greater than the fossil energy input required 7 per tonne of flexible and rigid fossil-based PU foam, respectively. The fossil energy input per 8 tonne of NIPTU production is 33.1 % and 39.2 % greater than the fossil energy input required per 9 tonne of flexible and rigid fossil-based PU foam production, respectively. Fossil energy use per 10 tonne of reprocessed NIPU is also tallied (blue bars in Fig. 7(a)) and shown to require less fossil 11 energy than the fossil energy required per tonne of virgin NIPU produced. Reprocessed NIPU from



Figure 7. Life cycle impacts: (a) fossil energy consumption, (b) GHG emissions, and (c) Water consumption impacts of NIPU production and reprocessing. Impacts for PHU and NIPTU production are compared to production of PU flexible and rigid foams. Orange bars are representative of PU/NIPU production and blue bars are representative of NIPU reprocessing.

PHU requires 4.7 % less fossil energy than manufacturing virgin PHU, and reprocessed NIPU
 from NIPTU requires 64.8 % less fossil energy than manufacturing virgin NIPTU. Fossil energy
 consumption associated with material feeds and energy demands to NIPU production can be found
 in Fig. S.7.

5 GHG emissions associated with fossil-based PU production as well as PHU and NIPTU 6 production are also tallied (Fig. 7(b)). Percentage differences in GHG emissions, not considering 7 biogenic content, are larger than the differences observed in fossil energy inputs to fossil-based 8 PU versus virgin NIPU. This is because fossil energy only includes fossil-based energy input, and 9 not total energy, whereas GHG emissions encompass total process emissions. The emissions per 10 tonne of PHU production, after considering a biogenic emissions credit, is 16.1 % and 11.5 % 11 lower than the emissions generated per tonne of fossil-based flexible and rigid PU foam 12 production, respectively. The emissions generated per tonne of NIPTU production, including the biogenic emissions credit, is 9.4 % and 15.4 % greater than the emissions generated per tonne of 13 14 fossil-based flexible and rigid PU foam production, respectively. Emissions per tonne of NIPU 15 reprocessed from PHU and NIPTU are depicted as blue bars in Fig. 7(b). Note that biogenic 16 contents of 2° NIPUs are repetitively depicted for reference, and care should be taken not to double 17 count biogenic emission credits. Regardless of biogenic credit considerations, 2° NIPTU has lower 18 GHG emissions that virgin NIPTU production.

Water consumptions were tallied and compared (**Fig. 7(c)**). The water consumption from producing flexible and rigid PU foam from fossil fuels are shown in the first two columns. Water consumption per tonne of PHU production is 6.2 and 6.5 times greater than water consumption per tonne of fossil fuel-based flexible and rigid PU foam production, respectively. Water consumption per tonne of NIPTU production is 4.3 and 4.5 times greater than the water consumption per tonne of fossil fuel-based flexible and rigid foam production, respectively. Unlike the impact categories of fossil energy use and emissions, the water consumption associated with reprocessing both NIPU materials is considerably greater than the water consumption associated with virgin NIPU production. This is because the use of water as a solvent during the depolymerization process makes it inherently water demanding.

6 Virgin PHU and NIPTU production has higher fossil energy use and water consumption than 7 baseline values. After accounting for biogenic carbon credits, PHU and NIPTU have lower and 8 higher GHG emissions, respectively, than baseline emissions. The fossil energy use per tonne of 9 2° NIPUs is consistently lower than the fossil energy use per tonne of both virgin PHU and NIPTU 10 production. In fact, the fossil energy use per tonne of NIPU recovered from NIPTU is at least 51 11 % lower than the fossil energy consumption per tonne of virgin PU foam produced from fossil 12 fuels. Without considering biogenic emission credits, the emissions per tonne of 2° NIPTU is at 13 least 25 % lower than baseline emissions. Higher fossil energy use and GHG emissions for 2° PHU 14 than 2° NIPTU production is again primarily driven by low depolymerization yields.

15 Aside from improving depolymerization yield, high fossil energy use for virgin NIPU 16 production can possibly be addressed by identifying energy intensive material inputs. A 17 breakdown of the fossil energy demands from the inputs to PHU and NIPTU production is in the 18 SI (Fig. S.7). Material process inputs drive fossil energy demands for both NIPU production 19 processes. The ammoxidation conversion in XDA production was 53 % in the base case for PHU 20 production. If these conversions improved to 80 % and biomethanol was used rather than fossil-21 based methanol in PHU production, a 33 % reduction in the fossil energy use can be realized.^{39,42,51,52} These improvements alone would reduce fossil energy use for PHU below 22 23 baseline values. Analysis of the GREET model output for NIPTU production showed that xylene

accounted for 16 % of the fossil energy consumption from all material feeds (Fig. S.7(b) and Fig.
 S.7(d)). Recycling xylene can result in an almost 16 % reduction in the fossil energy use of NIPTU
 production.

4 CONCLUSION

5 The need to address climate change, fossil fuel depletion, and plastic waste accumulation has 6 driven the exploration of sustainable alternatives in PU production. Overall, this research 7 contributes to the ongoing efforts to promote environmentally conscious practices and investigate 8 isocyanate-free methods in the plastics industry. The TEA results outlined how PHU and NIPTU 9 production processes can achieve MSPs below and within, respectively, a baseline price range of 10 fossil-based PU foam. We re-emphasize that conclusions regarding 2° NIPUs greatly depend on 11 improving NIPU depolymerization yields and further investigating polycondensation chemistry 12 needed to polymerize carbamate monomers with polyols. However, upon overcoming these 13 barriers, we project that reprocessing NIPUs is more economically competitive than producing 14 virgin NIPUs. Another barrier to implementing 2° NIPU recovery processes is the need to stockpile 15 used NIPUs before recycling can become an option. Biomass-derived, reprocessable NIPUs have 16 potential to reduce GHG emissions and conserve fossil energy. Water consumption is identified as 17 an area where NIPU production and reprocessing have harsher environmental impacts.

18 SUPPORTING INFORMATION

19 The SI contains process flow diagrams, sensitivity diagrams, supplementary raw material20 energy use data, and tabulated market value data.

21 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

22

1 REFERENCES

[1] Warren, R.; Price, J.; Forstenhäusler, N.; Andrews, O.; Brown, S.; Ebi, K.; Gernaat, D.;
Goodwin, P.; Guan, D.; He, Y.; Manful, D. Risks associated with global warming of 1.5 to 4° C
above pre-industrial levels in human and natural systems in six countries. *Climatic Change* 2024, 177(3), 48.

[2] Davamani, V.; John, J. E.; Poornachandhra, C.; Gopalakrishnan, B.; Arulmani, S.;
Parameswari, E.; Santhosh, A.; Srinivasulu, A.; Lal, A.; Naidu, R. A Critical Review of Climate
Change Impacts on Groundwater Resources: A Focus on the Current Status, Future Possibilities,
and Role of Simulation Models. *Atmosphere* 2024, 15(1), 122.

- 10 [3] Habibullah, M. S.; Din, B. H.; Tan, S. H.; Zahid, H. Impact of climate change on biodiversity 11 loss: global evidence. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **2022**, 29(1), 1073-1086.
- 12 [4] Cappelli, F.; Costantini, V.; Consoli, D. The trap of climate change-induced "natural" disasters 13 and inequality. *Global Environmental Change* **2021**, 70, 102329.
- [5] Pilapitiya, P. N. T.; Ratnayake, A. S. The world of plastic waste: a review. *Cleaner Materials* 2024, 100220.
- [6] Hopewell, J.; Dvorak, R.; Kosior, E. Plastics recycling: challenges and
 opportunities. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 2009,
 364(1526), 2115-2126.
- 19 [7] Singh, N.; Ogunseitan, O. A.; Wong, M. H.; Tang, Y. Sustainable materials alternative to 20 petrochemical plastics pollution: A review analysis. *Sustainable horizons* **2022**, 2, 100016.
- [8] Deshmukh, M. K. G.; Sameeroddin, M.; Abdul, D.; Sattar, M. A. Renewable energy in the 21st
 century: A review. *Materials Today: Proceedings* 2023, 80, 1756-1759.
- 23 [9] Mafuta, C.; Baker, E.; Rucevska, I.; Thygesen, K.; Appelquist, L. R.; Westerveld, L.; Tsakona,
- 24 M.; Macmillan-Lawler, M.; Harris, P.; Sevaldsen, P.; Langeard, R. Drowning in Plastics: Marine
- 25 Litter and Plastic Waste Vital Graphics. 2021.
- [10] Geyer, R. Production, Chapter 2- Production, Use and Fate of Synthetic Polymers. in Plastic
 Waste and Recycling. Letcher, T.M. (ed.). Cambridge, MA: Academic Press. 2020, 13-22.
- 28 [11] de Souza, F. M.; Kahol, P. K.; Gupta., R. K. Biomass-Derived Polyurethanes for Sustainable
- 29 Future. Advances in Green Synthesis: Avenues and Sustainability. Cham: Springer International
- 30 Publishing, **2021**, 1-22.
- 31 [12] Liu, B.; Westman, Z.; Richardson, K.; Lim, D.; Stottlemyer, A. L.; Farmer, T.; Gillis, P.;
- 32 Vlcek, V.; Christopher, P.; Abu-Omar, M. M. Opportunities in Closed-Loop Molecular Recycling

- of End-of-Life Polyurethane. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering 2023, 11(16), 6114 6128.
- 3 [13] Das, A.; Mahanwar, P. A brief discussion on advances in polyurethane 4 applications. *Advanced Industrial and Engineering Polymer Research* **2020**, 3(3), 93-101.
- 5 [14] Ma, Y.; Xiao, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Bei, Y.; Hu, L.; Zhou, Y.; Jia, P. Biomass based polyols and
- 6 biomass based polyurethane materials as a route towards sustainability. Reactive and Functional
- 7 Polymers, **2022**, 175, 105285.
- 8 [15] Liang, C.; Gracida-Alvarez, U. R.; Gallant, E. T.; Gillis, P. A.; Marques, Y. A.; Abramo, G.
- 9 P.; Hawkins, T. R.; Dunn, J. B. Material flows of polyurethane in the United States. *Environmental*
- 10 Science & Technology **2021**, 55(20), 14215-14224.
- [16] Di, J.; Reck, B. K.; Miatto, A.; Graedel, T. E. United States plastics: Large flows, short
 lifetimes, and negligible recycling. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 2021, 167, 105440.
- 13 [17] Lu, Q.; Huang, S.; Meng, X.; Zhang, J.; Yu, S.; Li, J.; Shi, M.; Fan, H.; Zhao, Y. Mechanism
- 14 of phosgene-induced acute lung injury and treatment strategy. *International journal of molecular*
- 15 *sciences* **2021**, 22(20), 10933.
- 16 [18] Ty, S. H.; Ty, S. S.; Sasanka, K. K.; Rao, K. N.; Pugazhenthan, T. Accidental phosgene 17 poisoning: a case report and short review of management. *Cureus* **2023**, 15(7).
- [19] US Environmental Protection Agency. Methylene Diphenyl Diisocyanate (MDI) And Related
 Compounds Action Plan. 2011.
- 20 [20] Bobbink, F. D.; van Muyden, A. P.; Dyson, P. J. En route to CO 2-containing renewable
- 21 materials: catalytic synthesis of polycarbonates and non-isocyanate polyhydroxyurethanes derived
- from cyclic carbonates. *Chemical Communications* **2019**, 55(10), 1360-1373.
- 23 [21] Wu, S.; Luo, M.; Darensbourg, D. J.; Zeng, D.; Yao, Y.; Zuo, X.; Hu, X.; Tan, D. Non-
- 24 isocyanate and catalyst-free synthesis of a recyclable polythiourethane with cyclic structure. *ACS*
- 25 Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering **2020**, 8(14), 5693-5703.
- [22] Chen, Y.; Chen, B.; Torkelson, J. M. Biobased, Reprocessable Non-isocyanate
 Polythiourethane Networks with Thionourethane and Disulfide Cross-Links: Comparison with
 Polyhydroxyurethane Network Analogues. *Macromolecules* 2023.
- [23] Khatoon, H.; Iqbal, S.; Irfan, M.; Darda, A.; Rawat, N. K. A review on the production,
 properties and applications of non-isocyanate polyurethane: A greener perspective. *Progress in*
- 31 Organic Coatings **2021**, 154, 106124.
- [24] Zhao, L; Semetey, V. Recycling polyurethanes through transcarbamoylation. ACS
 omega 2021, 6(6), 4175-4183.
- 34 [25] Chen, X.; Li, L.; Jin, K.; Torkelson, J.M. Reprocessable polyhydroxyurethane networks 35 exhibiting full property recovery and concurrent associative and dissociative dynamic chemistry

- via transcarbamoylation and reversible cyclic carbonate aminolysis. *Polymer Chemistry* 2017, 8(41), 6349-6355.
- 3 [26] Chen, Y.; Mielke, N.; Purwanto, N. S.; Chen, B.; Malliakas, C. D.; Torkelson, J. M. Non-
- 4 isocyanate Polythiourethane Network from Biowaste: Achieving Circularity via Multidimensional
- 5 Chemical Recycling with Valuable Small-Molecule Recovery and Reprocessability by
- 6 Understanding the Dynamic Chemistry. *Macromolecules* **2023**.
- 7 [27] Chen, Yixuan; John M. Torkelson. Thermoplastic segmented polyhydroxyurethane: Biobased
- 8 segments can lead to broad-temperature-range damping character in the absence of inter-segment
- 9 hydrogen bonding. *European Polymer Journal* **2024**, 112817.
- 10 [28] Guan, J.; Song, Y.; Lin, Y.; Yin, X.; Zuo, M.; Zhao, Y.; Tao, X.; Zheng, Q. Progress in study 11 of non-isocyanate polyurethane. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* 2011, 50(11), 12 (517-6527)
- 12 6517-6527.
- 13 [29] Biddy, M. J.; Scarlata, C.; Kinchin, C. Chemicals from biomass: a market assessment of
- 14 biopro. No. NREL/TP-5100-65509. National Renewable Energy Lab.(NREL), Golden, CO
- 15 (United States), **2016**.
- 16 [30] Liang, C.; Gracida-Alvarez, U. R.; Hawkins, T. R.; Dunn, J. B. Life-Cycle Assessment of
- 17 Biochemicals with Clear Near-Term Market Potential. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & 18 Engineering 2022, 11(7), 2772, 2782
- 18 *Engineering* **2023**, 11(7), 2773-2783.
- 19 [31] Hu, S.; Chen, X.; Torkelson, J. M. Biobased reprocessable polyhydroxyurethane networks:
- 20 Full recovery of crosslink density with three concurrent dynamic chemistries. *ACS Sustainable*
- 21 *Chemistry & Engineering* **2019**, 7(11), 10025-10034.
- [32] Vanbiervliet, E.; Fouquay, S.; Michaud, G.; Simon, F.; Carpentier, J. F.; Guillaume, S.M.
 Non-isocyanate polythiourethanes (NIPTUs) from cyclodithiocarbonate telechelic
 polyethers. *Macromolecules* 2019, 52(15), 5838-5849.
- [33] Haydary, J. Chemical process design and simulation: Aspen Plus and Aspen Hysys
 applications. *John Wiley & Sons* 2019.
- 27 [34] Dong, T.; Dheressa, E.; Wiatrowski, M.; Pereira, A. P.; Zeller, A.; Laurents, L. M.; Pienkos,
- 28 P. T. Assessment of plant and microalgal oil-derived nonisocyanate polyurethane products for
- potential commercialization ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering 2021, 9(38), 12858 12869.
- 31 [35] Roth, M.; Wolleb, H.; Truffer, M. Process for the preparation of glycidyl ethers Patent 32 US5162547A, **1991**.
- 33 [36] Unverferth, M.; Kreye, O.; Prohammer, A.; Meier, M. A. Renewable Non-Isocyanate Based
- 34 Thermoplastic Polyurethanes via Polycondensation of Dimethyl Carbamate Monomers with
- 35 Diols. *Macromolecular rapid communications* **2013**, 34(19), 1569-1574.

- 1 [37] Rokicki, G.; Parzuchowski, P. G.; Mazurek, M. Non-isocyanate polyurethanes: synthesis, 2 properties, and applications. *Polymers for Advanced Technologies* **2015**, 26(7), 707-761.
- [38] Ghasemlou, M.; Daver, F.; Ivanova, E. P.; Adhikari, B. Bio-based routes to synthesize cyclic
 carbonates and polyamines precursors of non-isocyanate polyurethanes: A review. *European*
- 5 *Polymer Journal* **2019**, 118, 668-684.
- [39] Argonne National Laboratory. The Greenhouse gases, Regulated Emissions, and Energy use
 in Technologies (GREET) Model. 2022.
- [40] Almena, A.; Martín, M. Technoeconomic analysis of the production of epichlorohydrin from
 glycerol. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* 2016, 55(12), 3226-3238.
- [41] The World's Leading, High Performance, Open Source Life Cycle Assessment Software.
 openLCA.Org, GreenDelta, www.openlca.org/. Accessed 26 Feb. 2024.
- 12 [42] Hugo, R.; Jourdan, S.; Wenz, K.; Preiss, T.; Weck, A. Method for producing xylylenediamine
- 13 (XDA). Patent No. US 7,541,497 B2. U.S. Patent. 2009.
- 14 https://patentimages.storage.googleapis.com/57/a6/e3/6da8ce25f733ee/US7541497.pdf
- 15 [43] Ang, P.; Mothe, S. R.; Chennamaneni, L. R.; Aidil, F.; Khoo, H. H.; Thoniyot, P. Laboratory-
- 16 scale life-cycle assessment: a comparison of existing and emerging methods of poly (E-
- 17 caprolactone) synthesis. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering 2020, 9(2), 669-683.
- [44] Gama, N. V.; Ferreira, A.; Barros-Timmons, A. Polyurethane foams: Past, present, and future.
 Materials 2018, 11(10), 1841.
- 20 [45] Vendamme, R.; Behaghel de Bueren, J.; Gracia-Vitoria, J.; Isnard, F.; Mulunda, M. M.; Ortiz,
- P.; Wadekar, M.; Vanbroekhoven, K.; Wegmann, C.; Buser, R.; Héroguel, F.; Aldehyde-assisted
 lignocellulose fractionation provides unique lignin oligomers for the design of tunable
- 23 polyurethane bioresins. *Biomacromolecules* **2020**, 21(10), 4135-4148.
- [46] Mangal, M.; Bose, S.; Banerjee, T. "Innovations in applications and prospects of nonisocyanate polyurethane bioplastics." *Biopolymers* **2023**, 114(12), e23568.
- [47] Turnaturi, R.; Zagni, C.; Patamia, V.; Barbera, V.; Floresta, G.; Rescifina, A. CO2-derived
 non-isocyanate polyurethanes (NIPUs) and their potential applications. *Green Chemistry* 2023.
- [48] El Khezraji, S.; Ben Youcef, H.; Belachemi, L.; Lopez Manchado, M. A.; Verdejo, R.;
 Lahcini, M. Recent Progress of Non-Isocyanate Polyurethane Foam and Their
 Challenges. *Polymers* 2023, 15(2), 254.
- 31 [49] Cai, H.; Ou, L.; Wang, M.; Davis, R.; Dutta, A.; Harris, K.; Wiatrowski, M. R.; Tan, E.;
- 32 Bartling, A.; Klein, B.; Hartley, D. Supply Chain Sustainability Analysis of Renewable
- 33 Hydrocarbon Fuels via Indirect Liquefaction, Hydrothermal Liquefaction, Combined Algal
- 34 Processing, and Biochemical Conversion: Update of the 2021 State-of-Technology Cases. No.
- 35 ANL/ESD-22/5. Argonne National Lab.(ANL), Argonne, IL (United States), 2022.

- [50] Alerts, Intratec. Methylene Chloride Prices: Historical and Current. *Intratec.Us*, Intratec,
 www.intratec.us/chemical-markets/methylene-chloride-price. Accessed 20 Aug. 2023.
- 3 [51] Galusnyak, S. C.; Petrescu, L.; Chisalita, D. A.; Cormos, C. C. Life Cycle Assessment of Bio-
- 4 methanol Derived from Various Raw-materials. *Chemical Engineering Transactions* **2021**, 86,
- 5 667-672.
- 6 [52] Liu, J.; Zhao, J.; Wei, H.; Zhu, Q.; Li, Y. Comparative environmental assessment of methanol
- 7 production technologies: A cradle-to-gate life cycle analysis. *Energy Conversion and* 8 *Management* **2024**, 302, 118128.