Silane-mediated, Facile and Selective C(sp²)−H and *N***−Methylation using Formaldehyde**

Jabir Khan, Neha Taneja† , Naveen Yadav† , Chinmoy K. Hazra*

ABSTRACT: The use of (*para*)-formaldehyde for the selective reductive methylation of C(sp²)−H and N−H bonds, utilizing a combination of silane and hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP) as activators, is reported. Overcoming the complexity of C(sp²)−H methylation on aryl and heteroaryl substrates, the process utilizes Friedel–Crafts alkylation, followed by silane as a hydride donor under mild acidic medium. The developed protocol offers a promising avenue for converting amines into their monomethylated counterparts with excellent yields. Mechanistic insights into the reductive methylation process are provided, highlighting the role of silane and HFIP in achieving good selectivity. This scalable transformation is well-suited for general alkylation using various nonactivated aliphatic aldehydes under mild conditions in a shorter reaction time and is also adaptable for the late-stage methylation of pharmaceuti-

cals and natural products. Notably, the method has been successfully employed for the efficient synthesis of the antifungal drug Butenafine and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) Flurbiprofen derivative.

KEYWORDS: Methylation, Reductive Friedel–*Crafts alkylation, (para)-Formaldehyde, Silane, hexafluoroisopropanol.*

The primary underpinning of organic synthesis revolves around the C−H functionalization. 1 In this context, C(sp²)–H and N–H alkylation have been cornerstones in executing intricate chemical synthesis. Consequently, Friedel–Crafts alkylation manifests itself as a quintessential method for $C(sp^2)$ –H alkylation, which is important in industry and academia.² In particular, C-H and N–H methylation hold significant importance as the "magic methyl" effect becomes coveted in medicinal chemistry. This is evident in the development of the FDA-approved anticancer drug Tazemetostat, where the addition of methyl groups to precursors **A**–**D** resulted in a significant potency increase (IC_{50}) of 10-fold or more (Figure 1). Tazemetostat functions by inhibiting enhancer of zeste homolog 2 (EZH2).³

Figure 1. The "magic methyl" effect during the discovery of Tazemetostat.

While Friedel−Crafts C(sp²)–H alkylation demonstrates remarkable utility, it does exhibit limitations, including the requirement for harsh and strict anhydrous conditions and the generation of a mixture of products during alkylation. However, new advances in this research area are also being made, including the use of methylating agents such as methanol⁴ $CO₂$ ⁵ metal-catalyzed cross-coupling⁶ Friedel−Crafts benzylation and allylation,⁷ and metal-catalyzed C−H activation. ⁸ Recently Oestrich group achieved the $C(sp^2)$ –H methylation with methanol (Scheme 1A). 4 In 2015 Parnes reported the thiol-promoted alkylation using aldehydes (Scheme 1B). 7a C−H methylation of heteroarene using CO₂/H₂ under boron-assisted cobalt catalyzed reaction has been recently published by the Shi group (Scheme 1C). 5b Lately, employing methanol faces challenges due to its higher dehydrogenative activation barrier,⁹ and utilizing benign $CO₂$ remains a significant challenge due to the thermodynamic stability of the parent molecule.¹⁰

Conventional methods for amine methylation typically involve activated methyl groups like toxic methyl iodide,¹¹ dimethyl sulfoxide,¹² or dimethyl carbonate.¹³ While transition-metalcatalyzed methylation is efficient and cost-effective, its applicability is limited.¹⁴ A move towards sustainable chemistry involves using more environmentally friendly reagents such as MeOH,¹⁵ CO₂,¹⁶ HCOOH,¹⁷ (*para*)-formaldehyde¹⁸ as the carbon source for methylation achieving selectivity in alkylation under sustainable conditions remains an ongoing challenge. The Ru-catalyzed methylation using methanol as a C1 source for the selective mono-methylation of amines has been shown by Hong *et al.* (Scheme 1D). 15a A straightforward efficient, mild chemical system that facilitates both C–H and N–H methylation has not yet been reported. Addressing economic and environmental concerns, we present our investigation into using (*para*)-formaldehyde with silane as a reductant combined with HFIP for

efficient $C(sp^2)$ -H and N-H methylation of arenes and heteroarenes (Scheme 1E).

This novel transformation relies on HFIP-assisted *in situ* generated activated complex of the (*para*)-formaldehyde with silane under mildly acidic conditions and further utilizes Me2SiClH as a hydride source resulting in methylation. HFIP is crucial in ensuring reaction selectivity and preventing over-methylation, owing to its hydrogen-bond donation ability (HBD).¹⁹ Apart from methylation, this reaction is also suitable for C– and N– alkylation using various aliphatic aldehydes and is compatible with various functional groups. This transformative process eliminates the need for toxic methylating reagents and can be applied directly for the methylation of essential biologically active compounds and simultaneously gave easy access to the antifungal drug Butenafine and NSAID Flurbiprofen derivative.

Scheme 1. Reported method for the selective methylation/alkylation (**A→D**) and **E** (*this work*).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: C(sp²)–H methylation is rather challenging over N–H methylation. To establish the optimal reaction conditions for C–methylation, we commenced our investigation using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (**1a**) as a model substrate in the presence of (*para*)-formaldehyde (CH2O, **2a**) and dimethylchlorosilane (Me2SiClH, **3a**) as a reducing agent with HFIP as solvent (Table 1). First, we screened the reaction at room temperature and under heating conditions (60 $^{\circ}$ C) and found some traces of methylated product with nonspecific decomposition in the reaction (Table 1, entries 1 and 2). However, lowering the temperature from 0 $\rm{^oC}$ to -25 $\rm{^oC}$ could give promising results with a yield up to 40% (Table 1, entries 3 and 4). Screening of other solvents, such as PhCF₃, DCE, and TFE,

revealed HFIP to be the most effective solvent for this transformation (Table 1, entries 5–7). To further improve the yield, we modulated the mole ratio of (*para*)-formaldehyde and witnessed a significant rise in the yield of **4a** with 5.0 equiv. (Table 1, entry 8). However, any subsequent elevation to 10.0 equiv. was found to have a detrimental effect on the reaction (Table 1, entry 9). Silanes such as Et₃SiH and Ph₃SiH were found to be inappropriate for the titled methylation (Table 1, entries 10 and 11). In the absence of Me2SiClH, no product was observed under otherwise standard conditions (Table 1, entry 12). Reaction time is also an important parameter, and up to 84% yield of **4a** was achieved after 2 h (Table 1, entry 13).

MeO	OMe OMe 1a	CH ₂ O 2a	Me ₂ SiCIH (3a) solvent, temp., time	OMe Me MeO OMe Me 4a
En- try	2a	Solvent	Temp. $(^{\circ}C)$	% Yield of 4a
1	3.0 equiv.	HFIP	rt	Trace
2	3.0 equiv.	HFIP	60	Trace
3	3.0 equiv.	HFIP	θ	20
$\overline{4}$	3.0 equiv.	HFIP	-25	40
5	3.0 equiv.	PhCF ₃	60	Trace
6	3.0 equiv.	DCE	60	Trace
7	3.0 equiv.	TFE	60	Trace
8	5.0 equiv.	HFIP	-25	86
9	10.0 equiv.	HFIP	-25	50
10^b	5.0 equiv.	HFIP	-25	Trace
11 ^c	5.0 equiv.	HFIP	-25	Trace
12 ^d	5.0 equiv.	HFIP	-25	Trace
13 ^e	5.0 equiv.	HFIP	-25	84
^a Reaction conditions: 1a (1.0 equiv 0.2 mmol) $29(5.0$ equiv 10				

Table 1. Variation of the Reaction Conditions*^a*

*^a*Reaction conditions: **1a** (1.0 equiv., 0.2 mmol), **2a** (5.0 equiv., 1.0 mmol, M.W.: 30.02 as monomer), and **3a** (4.0 equiv., 0.8 mmol) in HFIP (0.5 mL) for 5 h. *^b*Et3SiH (4.0 equiv., 0.8 mmol). *^c*Ph3SiH (4.0 equiv., 0.8 mmol). ^{*d*}Without **3a**. ^{*e*}2 h. All are isolated yields. DCE = 1,2-dichloroethane, TFE = 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol, PhCF₃ = trifluorotoluene and $HFIP = 1,1,1,3,3,3$ -Hexafluoro-2-propanol.

Having identified the optimized reaction conditions, we first assessed the scope of C–H methylation reactions of a range of arenes and heteroarenes (**1b**–**1t**). When treated with 1,2,4-trimethoxybenzene with (*para*)-formaldehyde (**2a**) in the presence of Me₂SiClH in HFIP at -25 $^{\circ}$ C, this compound was observed to be completely selective for the mono-methylation and gave **4b** in 95% yield as compared to the methylation of **1a**. This underlines the effect of the substitution pattern in this transformation. Replacement of 3-OMe with the less bulky and electron-donating methyl group shows good reactivity at room temperature and selectively afforded the tri-methylated product

4c in 90% yield. 1,3-Dimethoxy benzene (**1d**) was preferentially alkylated twice to give the product **4d**. The reaction of 1 isopropyl-2-methoxy-4-methylbenzene with $CH₂O$ regioselectively gave the mono-methylated product **4e** in good yield. Despite increased stearic hindrance, naphthalen-2-ol and naphthalen-2,7-diol selectivity furnished the corresponding *α*-methylated products **4f** and **4g** respectively. Structurally complex mesitylene analogue serve as excellent substrate for the methylation and selectively provided the multi-functionalized benzene core motif **4h** in 85% yield. Similarly, 2,4,6-trimethoxy benzene with an additional acid or ester functional groups performed well and delivered the selective mono-methylated products **4i** and **4j** respectively in excellent yields. Delightfully, our designed protocol worked well for the late-stage methylation of naturally occurring antidepressant Sesamol and its methoxy derivative led to selective mono-methylated products **4k** and **4l** respectively. Similarly, natural anti-oxidant Thymol underwent smooth methylation to afford the di-methylated product **4m** in 80% yield. Interestingly, reducing the amount of (*para*)-

formaldehyde to 3.0 equiv. improved selectivity for monomethylation yielding **4n** with 70% yield.

Searching for heteroarenes suitable for methylation, we became interested in the medicinally privileged core motif of indoles.²⁰ Moreover, achieving the direct and selective C–3 alkylation of indoles proves to be a surprisingly challenging transformation, as reactions with simple alkyl halides are synthetically unproductive.21,22 Indoles substituted with the electron-donating and bulky group such as–Me and–Ph on the C–2 position smoothly methylated to deliver the corresponding mono-methylated products **4o** and **4p** respectively. The reaction of **1o** (2-phenyl-1*H*-indole) could be performed on a useful scale of 3.0 mmol only with a slight decrease in the yield of **4p** (82%, *see SI page S23*). Note that the parent indole failed to undergo methylation and produced a trace amount of products. The *N*–methyl, *N*– ethyl and *N*-benzyl indoles were efficiently mono-methylated to the respective products **4r**–**4t**. However, *N*-Ts indole did not participate in the methylation rather it performed partial reduction of the five-member ring by the silane to give **4u** (75%).

*^a*Reaction conditions: Ar-H (1.0 equiv., 0.2 mmol), **3a** (4.0 equiv., 0.8 mmol), and CH2O (5.0 equiv., 1.0 mmol, M.W.: 30.02 as monomer) in HFIP solvent (0.5 mL) at -25 °C. ^b25 °C, 5 h. ^c-10 °C. ^{*d*}Et₃SiH (4.0 equiv., 0.8 mmol). ^e5 h. ^{*f*} (formalin, 37% solution in H₂O, 15 μL).

To expand the applicability of our devised methodology, we implemented the standard optimized conditions for N–H methylation as well. Subsequently, we further optimized the reaction conditions for the selective C–N bond formation (*see Table S1 in the SI page 6*). We explored the reactivity of electron-rich and electron-deficient amines with (*para*) formaldehyde as methyl source with Me₂SiClH as a reductant in HFIP at 60 °C. Anilines bearing electron-withdrawing functional groups at the

para position, *viz.* -CN/-NO₂/-Br and -COOMe, exhibited a preference for mono-*N*–methylation under optimized reaction conditions, yielding major products **5a**–**5d** with up to 75% yield, showcasing remarkable functional group tolerance. Additionally, minor products, *N, N*-dimethylated compounds **6a**– **6d**, were also obtained in lower yields. Nevertheless, 4-phenoxy aniline, being electronically rich, demonstrates superior selectivity for mono-*N*–methylation, yielding product **5e** with 85% yield. The reaction of di-(-Cl, -Me) or tri-(-OMe) substituted

anilines with (*para*)-formaldehyde, regioselectively afforded the mono-methylated products **5f**, **5g,** and **5h** respectively in 67–85% yields displaying tolerance to both steric and electronic variations. It is worthy of note that the presented N–H methylation was found to be compatible with secondary anilines. We selectively obtained mono-methylated products from diphenylamine, 4-nitro-*N*-(1-phenylbut-3-en-1-yl) aniline, and *N*-benzyl aniline derivatives (**5i**–**5k**) with yields ranging from 85-97%. The magnificent performance of CH₂O with silane in HFIP was further highlighted by the *N*–methylation of medicinally privileged heterocyclic compound *viz.* 1-benzylpiperazine, indoline, and 10*H*-phenoxazine, which afforded corresponding products **5l**–**5n** in high yields and selectivity. The direct *N*-methylation of indole is challenging without using any base. However, to our delight, 3-methyl-1 H -indole smoothly reacted with $CH₂O$ (37% solution in H2O) to afford the corresponding *N*-methylated indole **4r** in 80% yield. Finally, we examined the viability of this N–H methylation strategy for the late-stage functionalization of hetrocylic 3-(piperazine-1-yl) benzo[d]isothiazole (Lurasidone fragment) and 8-chloro-11-(piperidin-4-ylidene)- 6,11-dihydro-5*H*-benzo [5,6] cyclohepta[1,2-b] pyridine (Desloratadine), which regioselectively participated in the reaction and furnished the mono-*N*-methylated amines **5o** and **5p** respectively in excellent yields.

Table 3. Substrate Scope for N−H Methylation of Arenes*^a*

*^a*Reaction conditions: Amines (1.0 equiv., 0.2 mmol), Me2SiClH (2.0 equiv., 0.4 mmol), and CH2O (3.0 equiv., 0.6 mmol, M.W.: 30.02 as monomer) in HFIP solvent (0.5 mL) at 60 °C. ^b-10 °C. ^cEt₃SiH ((2.0 equiv., 0.4 mmol)) with formalin (37% solution in H₂O, 18 μL) at 25 ^oC. ^{*d*}Et₃SiH (2.0 equiv., 0.4 mmol) at 60 ^oC.

The serendipitous advancement of this methylation using paraformaldehyde and displaying remarkable versatility, prompted us to contemplate the potential for conducting broad C– and N– alkylation reactions (Table 4). Initially, we examined the particularly demanding $C(sp^2)$ -H alkylation of 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene, exploring various aldehydes beyond (*para*)-formaldehyde. Gratifyingly, reactions conducted under the established conditions with extended acetaldehyde (**2b**), propionaldehyde (**2c**), *n-*butyraldehyde (**2e)**, and enlarged aldehyde (isobutyraldehyde (**2d**) proficiently delivered the corresponding alkylated products with high selectivity (**7a**–**7d,** 73-81%). Considering

the biological importance of *N*-containing heteroarene, we next studied the C-alkylation of Sesamol (a natural organic compound that is a component of sesame seeds and sesame oil) and indoles. The parent Sesamol and indole successfully underwent C-butylation resulting in **7e** and **7f**, respectively. Furthermore, indoles bearing an *N*-methyl group were found to be compatible with *n*-butyraldehyde (**2e**), acetaldehyde (**2b**), and isobutyraldehyde (**2d**), yielding exclusively the C-monoalkylated products (**7g**–**7i**, 60-75%). Finally, we witnessed the *N*-alkylation of arenes and heteroarenes under standardized conditions. 4-Bromoaniline seamlessly reacted with isobutyraldehyde and

butyraldehyde to furnish **7j** and **7k** respectively, products that serve as excellent substrates for cross-coupling reactions. Particularly noteworthy was the effective butylation of sterically hindered and structurally complex amines, resulting in the exclusive production of mono-*N*–alkylation compounds **7l** and **7m.**

Table 4. Substrate Scope for C−H/N−H Alkylation*^a*

*a*Reaction condition: Ar-H (1.0 equiv., 0.2 mmol) or Ar-NHR¹ (1.0 equiv., 0.2 mmol), **3a** (2.0 equiv., 0.4 mmol), and RCHO (5.0 equiv., 1.0 mmol) in HFIP solvent (0.5 mL) at 25 °C. ^{*b*}Et₃SiH (2.0) equiv., 0.4 mmol). $°60 °C$.

Control experiments were conducted, as shown in Scheme 2, to probe the possible reaction pathway for the methylation approach. From our prior studies²³ and based on previous reports¹⁹ we anticipated the role of the H-bond donation ability (HBD) of HFIP for the selective mono *N*–methylation of primary amines. We have performed the reactions of *p*-bromo aniline (**1ac**) and (*para*)-formaldehyde with Me2SiClH at 60 °C in TFE (2,2,2 trifluoroethanol) as a solvent (Scheme 2a). We have observed that in comparison to HFIP, the reaction conducted in TFE exhibited significantly lower selectivity and yield. Further, the methylation of *p*-bromo aniline was carried out in the presence of a proton scavenger (*N*, *N*, *N'*, *N'*-tetramethyl-1,8-naphthalene diamine), and the formation of *N*, *N*-di-methylated product **6c** over the **5c** suggested the presence of acidic environment are crucial for the selective mono-methylation (Scheme 2b). Substituting Et₃SiH for the more acidic Me₂SiClH in the methylation of *p*-bromoaniline under optimized conditions produced the *N*, *N*-di-methylated product as the major one. This further clarified the essential role of a suitable acidic environment for achieving selective mono-methylation (Scheme 2c). To unravel the mechanistic details of C–methylation, we utilized alcohol as

a C1 source instead of butanal under the same conditions. This led to the traces of C–alkylated **7d**, helping to eliminate the possibility of a conventional Friedel–Crafts mechanism (Scheme 2d). We subsequently confirmed the viability of the alkylation followed by a reduction in the context of C–methylation. Hence, we examined compound **8a** (an intermediate in FC alkylation) as a reactant under the optimized reaction conditions, yielding the desired methylated product in high yields. (Scheme 2e).

Scheme 2. Control Experiments*^a*

*^a*Reaction conditions: (a) **1ac** (0.2 mmol), **3a** (0.4 mmol), and CH2O (0.6 mmol) in TFE. (b) **1ac** (0.2 mmol), **3a** (0.4 mmol), CH2O (0.6 mmol), and proton sponge (1,8-Bis (dimethyl amino) naphthalene, 0.1 mmol) in HFIP. (c) 1ac (0.2 mmol), Et₃SiH (0.4 mmol), and CH2O (0.6 mmol) in HFIP. (d) **1a** (0.2 mmol) and C4H9OH (0.6 mmol) in HFIP. (e) **8a** (0.2 mmol), **3a** (0.4 mmol) in HFIP.

From these control experiments, we postulated the reaction mechanism for C–methylation and *N*–methylation. The first step for both reactions is the HFIP-assisted activation of (*para*) formaldehyde (Figure 2a) either through the formation of imine²⁴ (*N*-methylation) or by the activated complex formation between (*para*)-formaldehyde and the silane (C-methylation). The resultant imine is rapidly reduced by the $Me₂SiCH$ to form *N*-monomethylated aniline. Further, condensation of secondary aniline is not much supportive thus reducing the chances of over-methylation (Figure 2b). In the case of C–methylation, the

activated formaldehyde, as evidenced by the downfield shift of the HFIP hydroxy group in Figure 2a, undergoes attack by the electron-rich arene to form species **A**. This process contrasts with the traditional Friedel−Crafts alkylation, which necessitates anhydrous conditions. After re-aromatization of species **A** to **B**, the removal of the leaving group occurs through the

stabilization of the benzylic carbocation by the electronic cloud of the aromatic ring, facilitated by a resource.²⁵ This process leads to the generation of species **C**. Subsequently, species **C** undergoes reduction by hydride ions delivered by the silane to form the desired product (Figure 2c).

Figure 2. Proposed Reaction Mechanism.

We further demonstrated the strategic significance of our developed methodology by efficiently synthesizing established pharmaceutical compounds: Butenafine (**2**) (an antifungal) and Flurbiprofen (**4**, NSAID). The synthesis of Butenafine commenced with amide bond formation between 2-naphthoic acid and (4-(*tert*-butyl) phenyl) methanamine, followed by reduction to produce **1** (*see SI page 21*). Subsequently, *N*–methylation of **1** yielded **2** with 90% yield. For the synthesis of the non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug Flurbiprofen derivative, we initiated the process with reductive arylation of pyruvic acid to form **3** (*see SI page 22*), which then underwent C–methylation to furnish **4** with an 80% yield. Methylating the heavily hindered alkyl-functionalized thymol **5** to produce its structurally more intricate analog **6**, with an overall yield of 72% exemplified the distinct behavior of the titled transformation.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Drugs

Conclusion: In summary, we devised an efficient, facile method for C–H methylation utilizing (*para*)formaldehyde as a C1 source and a combination of hydrosilane with HFIP. While formally enabling $C(sp^2)$ -H methylation in arenes and heteroarenes (indoles), the present transformation effectively achieves the selective *N*–H methylation of anilines without employing any transition-metal catalysis and base. Mechanistic analysis highlights the pivotal role of HFIP coordination properties in achieving selectivity. Thus, owing to the common and environment-benign reagent for C–H and N–H alkylation at mild conditions, the titled transformation offers an easy-to-handle and scalable method for synthesizing densely functionalized aromatic molecules. This metal-free methylation provides a potentially appealing complement for the successful derivatization of complex natural products and the synthesis of targeted drugs in good yields.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. Detailed experimental procedures and characterization of new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Chinmoy K. Hazra − *Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi 110016, India*. orcid.org/0000-0001-5968-5305. Email: chinmoy@chemistry.iitd.ac.in

Authors

Jabir Khan − *Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi 110016, India.*

Neha Taneja − *Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi 110016, India.*

Naveen Yadav − *Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi 110016, India.*

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Author Contributions

[†]N. Taneja and N. Yadav. contributed equally to this work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

CKH thanks STARS, MHRD, India (MoE-STARS/STARS-2/2023-0115), SERB, India (CRG/2023/002235) and CSIR (02/0417/21-EMR-II) for financial support. J. Khan and N. Yadav acknowledge CSIR India for the research fellowship and Dr. N. Taneja thanks IIT Delhi for the post-doctoral fellowship. We also acknowledge departmental instrument facilities and NMR facilities of CRF (Central Research Facility), IIT Delhi, India.

REFERENCES

(1) Sinha, S. K.; Ghosh, P.; Jain, S.; Maiti, S.; Al-Thabati, S. A.; Alshehri, A. A.; Mokhtar, M.; Maiti, D. Transition-metal catalyzed C–H activation as a means of synthesizing complex natural

products. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2023**, *52*, 7461−7503. b) Davies, H. M. L.; Morton, D. Recent Advances in C–H Functionalization. *J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, *81*, 343−350.

(2) For general reviews of Friedel−Crafts alkylation, see: (a) Price, C. C. The Alkylation of Aromatic Compounds by the Friedel–Crafts Method. *Org. React*. **1962**, *3*, 1−82. (b) Olah, G. A. Friedel–Crafts and Related Reactions; Wiley-Interscience: New York, **1964**; Vol. 2. (c) Roberts, R. M.; Khalaf, A. A. Friedel–Crafts Alkylation Chemistry: A Century of Discovery; Dekker: New York, **1984**; (d) Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Pattenden, G., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, **1991**; *3*, 293−339.

(3) (a) Barreiro, E. J.; Kümmerle, A. E.; Fraga, C. A. M. The methylation effect in medicinal chemistry. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 5215−5246. (b) Schönherr, H.; Cernak, T. Profound Methyl Effects in Drug Discovery and a Call for New C–H Methylation Reactions. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 12256–12267.

(4) He, T.; Klare, H. F. T.; Oestreich, M. Catalytically Generated Meerwein's Salt-Type Oxonium Ions for Friedel–Crafts C(sp2)– H Methylation with Methanol. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2023**, *145*, 3795–3801.

(5) (a) Li, Y.; Yan, T.; Junge, K.; Beller, M. Catalytic Methylation of C-H Bonds Using CO² and H2. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 10476−10480. (b) Shi, Q.; Hu, H.; Du, M.; Sun, Y.; Li, Y.; Li. Y.; Boron-Assisted Cobalt-Catalyzed C–H Methylation Using CO² and H2. *Org. Lett.* **2023**, *25*, 7100–7104.

(6) (a) González-Bobes, F.; Fu, G. C. Amino Alcohols as Ligands for Nickel-Catalyzed Suzuki Reactions of Unactivated Alkyl Halides, Including Secondary Alkyl Chlorides, with Arylboronic Acids. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 5360– 5361. (b) Molander, G. A.; Argintaru, O. A.; Aron, I.; Dreher, S. D. Nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling of potassium aryl- and heteroaryl trifluoro borates with unactivated alkyl halides. *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 5783−5785. (c) Yang, C.-T.; Zhang, Z.-Q.; Liu, Y.-C.; Liu, L. Copper-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reaction of Organoboron Compounds with Primary Alkyl Halides and Pseudohalides. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 3904–3907.

(7) (a) Parnes, R.; Pappo, D. Reductive Alkylation of Arenes by a Thiol-Based Multicomponent Reaction. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 2924– 2927. (b) Miyai, T.; Onishi, Y.; Baba, A. Indium trichloride catalyzed reductive Friedel–Crafts alkylation of aromatics using carbonyl compounds. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 6291−6294. (c) Miyai, T.; Onishi, Y.; Baba, A. Novel Reductive Friedel–Crafts Alkylation of Aromatics Catalyzed by Indium Compounds: Chemoselective Utilization of Carbonyl Moieties as AIkylating Reagents. *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 1017−1026.

(8) (a) Bair, J. S.; Schramm, Y.; Sergeev, A. G.; Clot, E.; Eisenstein, O.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Linear-Selective Hydroarylation of Unactivated Terminal and Internal Olefins with Trifluoromethyl-Substituted Arenes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 13098−13101. (b) Robbins, D. W.; Hartwig, J. F. Sterically Controlled Alkylation of Arenes through Iridium-Catalyzed C–H Borylation. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 933−937.

(9) Qian, M.; Liauw, A. M.; Emig, G. *Appl. Catal*. *A*, **2003**, 238, 211−222.

(10) (a) Huang, K.; Sun, C.-L.; Shi, Z.-J. Transition-metal-catalyzed C–C bond formation through the fixation of carbon dioxide. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 2435–2452. (b) Aresta, M.; Dibenedetto, A.; Angelini, A. Catalysis for the Valorization of Exhaust Carbon: From CO₂ to Chemicals, Materials, and Fuels. Technological Use of CO2. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 1709–1742.

(11) (a) Santhapuram, H. K. R.; Datta, A.; Hutt, O. E.; Georg, G. I. *N*-Methylation of the C3' Amide of Taxanes: Synthesis of *N* Methyltaxol C and *N*-Methylpaclitaxel. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 4705−4708. (b) Fatemeh, N. H.; Farasat, Z.; Nabavizadeh, S. M.; Wu, G.; Abu-Omar, M. M. *N*-methylation versus oxidative addition using MeI in the reaction of organoplatinum (II) complexes containing pyrazine ligand. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2019**, *880*, 232−240.

(12) Jiang, X.; Wang, C.; Wei, Y.; Xue, D.; Liu, Z.; Xiao, J. A. General Method for *N*-Methylation of Amines and Nitro Compounds with Dimethylsulfoxide. *Chem.−Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 58−63.

(13) (a) Tundo, P.; Selva, M. The Chemistry of Dimethyl Carbonate. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2002**, *35*, 706−716. (b) Li, Y.; Sorribes, I.; Vicent, C.; Junge, K.; Beller, M. Convenient Reductive Methylation of Amines with Carbonates at Room Temperature. *Chem.−Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 16759−16763.

(14) Yan, G.; Borah, A. J.; Wang, L.; Yang, M. Recent Advances in Transition MetalCatalyzed Methylation Reactions. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2015**, 357, 1333.

(15) (a) Choi, G.; Hong, S. H. Selective Monomethylation of Amines with Methanol as the C1 Source. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 6166–6170. (b) Jamil, M. A. R.; Touchy, A. S.; Rashed, M. N.; Ting, K. W.; Siddiki, S. M. A. H.; Toyao, T.; Maeno, Z.; Shimizu, K. *N*-methylation of amines and nitroarenes with methanol using heterogeneous platinum catalysts*. J. Catal.* **2019**, *371*, 47−56. (c) Zhang, S.; Ibrahim, J. J.; Yang, Y. A pincer ligand enabled ruthenium-catalyzed highly selective *N*-monomethylation of nitroarenes with methanol as the C1 source. *Org. Chem. Front.* **2019**, *6*, 2726−2731.

(16) Lu, C.; Qiu, Z.; Zhu, Y.; Lin, B.-L. Scalable direct *N*-methylation of drug-like amines using ${}^{12}CO_2/{}^{13}CO_2$ by simple inorganic base catalysis. *Sci. Bull.* **2019**, *64*, 723−729.

(17) (a) Zhu, L.; Wang, L.-S.; Li, B.; Li, W.; Fu, B. Methylation of aromatic amines and imines using formic acid over a heterogeneous Pt/C catalyst. *Catal. Sci. & Technol.* **2016**, *6*, 6172−6176. (b) Qiao, C.; Liu, X.-F.; Liu, X.; He, L.-N. Copper (II)-Catalyzed Selective Reductive Methylation of Amines with Formic Acid: An Option for Indirect Utilization of CO2. *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 1490−1493.

(18) (a) Wang, H.; Huang, Y.; Dai, X.; Shi, F. *N*-Monomethylation of amines using paraformaldehyde and H2. *Chem. Commun.* **2017**, *53*, 5542−5545. (b) Men, N. Y. T.; Li, W.; Stewart, S. G.; Wu, X.-F. Transition Metal-free Methylation of Amines with Formaldehyde as the Reductant and Methyl Source*. Chimia* **2015**, *69*, 345−347.

(19) Lebleu, T.; Ma, X.; Maddaluno, J.; Legros, J. Selective mono-methylation of primary amines with simple electrophiles. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 1836−1838.

(20) Key Heterocycle Cores for Designing Multitargeting Molecules; Silakari, O., Ed.; Elsevier, 2018; p 1.

(21) Joule, J. A. Indole and Its Derivatives. In Science of Synthesis: Knowledge Updates, Vol. 2; Thomas, E. J., Ed.; Thieme Publishers Stuttgart, Germany, 2010; p 1.

(22) Basak, S., Alvarez-Montoya, A., Winfrey, L., Melen, R. L., Morrill, L. C.; Pulis, A. P. B(C6F5)3-Catalyzed Direct C3 Alkylation of Indoles and Oxindoles. *ACS Catal.* **2020**, *10*, 4835−4840.

(23) Khan, J.; Tyagi, A.; Ghosh, D.; Hazra, C. K. HFIP-Assisted Reductive C–S, C−N, and C−X Coupling of Carbonyl Compounds: A Combined Computational and Experimental Mechanistic Study. *Org. Chem. Front*., **2023**, *10*, 1275−1282.

(24) Wang, J.; Qiang, W.; Ye, S.; Zhu, L.; Liu, X.; Loh, T.-P. Additive-free *N*-methylation of amines with methanol over supported iridium catalyst. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2021**, *11*, 3364–3375.

(25) (a) Kim, S.; Hong, S. H. Ruthenium-Catalyzed Aminomethylation and Methylation of Phenol Derivatives Utilizing Methanol as the C1 Source. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2017**, *359*, 798−810. (b) Biswas, N.; Gelman, D.; Structurally Well-Defined PC(sp3)P Osmium Pincer Catalysts for Methylation of Alcohols, Indoles, Phenols, and Sulfonamides Using Methanol as a C1 Source. *ACS Catal.* **2024**, *14*, 1629−1638.