Ti-Catalyzed 1,2-Diamination of Alkynes using 1,1-Disubstituted Hydrazines

Partha Sarathi Karmakar and Ian A. Tonks*

Department of Chemistry, University of Minnesota–Twin Cities, 207 Pleasant Street SE, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, United States

Supporting Information Placeholder.

ABSTRACT: Ti-catalyzed alkyne diamination and Ticatalyzed alkyne hydrohydrazination proceed through a common *N*-aminoazatitanacyclobutene intermediate. Previously, these reactions have existed as processes catalyzed by distinct catalysts, where there are many reports (and catalysts) for hydrohydrazination, and only a single example (and catalyst) for diamination. Here, we demonstrate that a diamidoamine Ti catalyst, (NNN)H₂ (NNN)Ti(=NNR₂) (1, = N-methyl-N',N"bis(trimethylsilyl)diethylenetriamine; R = alkyl, aryl), is capable of catalyzing both diamination and hydrohydrazination, where the selectivity is dictated by simple changes to the reaction conditions, capitalizing on the fact that there are entropic differences at the selectivity branch point between diamination (unimolecular) and hydrohydrazination (bimolecular). This discovery leads to an expanded substrate scope for alkyne diamination, and an understanding of how structure-activity relationships can impact the relative rates (selectivity) of diamination and hydrohydrazination. More broadly, these results suggest that this strategy may be more generally applied to Ti hydrohydrazination catalysts to uncover new catalysts capable of alkyne diamination with 1,1-disubstituted hydrazines.

INTRODUCTION

1,2-diamines are important structural motifs found in pharmaceuticals,^{1,2,3} natural products,^{4,5} and agrochemicals.^{6,7} They may also be employed as ligands for metal complexes, perhaps most famously for Noyori-type asymmetric hydrogenation catalysts.^{8,9}

Diamination, the direct installation of two nitrogen atoms across carbon-carbon multiple bonds, is a potentially convenient and straightforward route to the synthesis of 1,2-diamines.¹⁰ After the first report of alkene diamination in 2005,11,12 several examples have expanded the utility of the transformation.^{13,14} Compared to alkenes, alkyne diamination is relatively under-developed. After the seminal report from the Muniz group on intramolecular alkyne diamination¹⁵ with tethered sulfonamides catalyzed by Pd(OAc)₂, sporadic studies on both intra- and interalkvne molecular diamination have been reported.^{16,17,18,19,20} Existing methods mostly rely on late transition metals like Pd or Cu, and operate on bespoke alkyne substrates that are prepared by multi-step synthesis. Many reagents for diamination also require multistep synthesis. For example, diaziridinones¹⁴ have gained considerable attention in olefin diamination and are prepared from urea derivatives, which can be challenging when preparing unsymmetrical derivatives.²¹



Figure 1. (A) Previous report of alkyne diamination of 1phenyl-1-propyne (**2a**) with 1,1-diphenylhydrazine (**3**). (B) The proposed mechanisms of diamination and hydrohydrazination share a common [2+2] cycloadduct intermediate, **IM1**.

Titanium is an earth abundant and non-toxic metal, which makes it an attractive catalyst candidate.^{22,23,24} In 2007, Mountford and co-workers reported the diamination of phenylpropyne with 1,1-diphenylhydrazine catalyzed by a titanium diphenylhydrazido(2-) complex (**1**) (Figure 1A).²⁵

This transformation is proposed to occur through the initial [2+2] cycloaddition between the Ti hydrazido(2-) Ti=N and the alkyne to form a N-aminoazatitanacyclobutene cycloadduct IM1 (Figure 1B, maroon pathway).²⁶ IM1 equilibrates with the key intermediate IM2 in which the N_{β} is bent to coordinate to the metal. From IM2, it is proposed that irreversible unimolecular N_{α} - N_{β} bond cleavage is triggered by the attack of Ti-C bond on N_{α} to first form azirine **IM3** via **TS2-3**, followed by amide insertion to form the vinyl imido product IM4. Finally, protonolysis of IM4 by hydrazine releases the 1,2-diamine while regenerating the Ti hydrazido(2-). This catalytic reaction is wholly unique: it has only been reported for 1 substrate (phenylpropyne), 1 hydrazine (1,1-diphenylhydrazine), and 1 catalyst (1).²⁵ In fact, even slight changes to the ligand in the Mountford NNN catalyst 1 (e.g. using N-ⁱPr substitution on the ligand framework instead of N-TMS) changes the reactivity from alkyne diamination to hydrohydrazination.27

The diamination report from the Mountford group is all the more remarkable when put in the context of the reactivity of other Ti hydrazido complexes. Many other Ti hydrazido complexes have instead been shown to catalyze alkyne hydrohydrazination reactions,28,29,30,31 in which the N-H bond of a hydrazine is added across the triple bond. The mechanism^{22,32,33} established reaction suggests the involvement of the intermediate same azatitanacyclobutene IM1, which undergoes hydrazinolysis to generate a hydrazone (Figure 1B, teal pathway). This bimolecular protonolysis step is often considered as the rate determining step of the reaction.

Given that diamination and hydrohydrazination are proposed to proceed through the same intermediate (IM1), we hypothesized that the two catalytic reactions could exist in competition with each other, wherein changes to the catalytic conditions could impact the $\Delta\Delta G^{**}$ between the two pathways and provide access to either diamination or hydrohydrazination products with the same catalyst. Herein, we report a more general strategy for the Ticatalvzed 1,2-diamination of alkynes using 1,1disubstituted hydrazines, building off the seminal work from the Mountford group.²⁵ By tuning the reaction concentration and temperature, the product selectivity can be altered between diamine and hydrazone. This expanded study further allows for the incorporation of electronically varied substrates, which sheds additional light on the key steps related to the product selectivity of this catalytic reaction.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We chose as a model the reaction of 1-phenyl-1-propyne **(2a)** and 1-methyl-1-phenylhydrazine **(3a)** catalyzed by **1**. Interestingly, while the previous study using 1,1-diphenylhydrazine resulted in complete diamination,²⁵ reaction of 1-methyl-1-phenylhydrazine results in similar amounts of diamine **(4aa)** (37%) and hydrazone **(5aa)** (29%), (Figure 2) produced from the competition of alkyne diamination and hydrohydrazination, respectively.



Figure 2. Model reaction of phenylpropyne (**2a**) with PhMeNNH₂ (**3a**) catalyzed by **1**.

We hypothesized that hydrazine concentration would have a drastic impact on selectivity given that the proposed N_{α} - N_{β} bond cleavage of IM2 in alkyne diamination is a unimolecular step, while conversely the protonolysis of IM1 in hydrohydrazination is a bimolecular step (Figure 1B). In fact, an orderly trend of product ratios with concentration was observed when the reaction concentration was tuned from 3.86 M to 0.03 M at room temperature (Figure 3). At high concentration (3.86 M), hydrazone 5aa was observed exclusively in the reaction mixture. Decreasing the concentration from 3.8 M to 0.52 M produced 28% hydrazone 5aa with 19% diamine 4aa with a 4aa : 5aa ratio of 0.6. When the concentration was further decreased to 0.29 M, diamine 4aa was formed as the major product (37%) along with significant amount of hydrazone 5aa (29%) in the reaction mixture. Finally, further dilution (0.03 M) provided 48% diamine 4aa with a 4aa: 5aa ratio of 11:1 at room temperature. Under these low concentration conditions, excellent diamination selectivies can typically be achieved at moderate yield and high conversion; unfortunately, mass balance remains an issue in these reactions.



Figure 3. Effect of concentration on the product distribution and ratio of diamine vs hydrazone at room temperature

Temperature should also play an important role in the reaction, as the bimolecular reactions are entropically disfavored at high temperature. Indeed, even only at a slightly higher temperature (33 °C vs r.t.), 67% diamination

is observed with >20:1 selectivity at low concentration (0.032 M). However, higher reaction temperatures resulted in decomposition to several unidentified products, and thus temperature was not further explored as a variable. With these influences in mind, we have explored the ability of a variety of alkynes and 1,1-disubstituted hydrazines undergo diamination catalyzed by **1** under optimized conditions of 0.032 M concentration at 33 °C. In all catalytic reactions below, some amount of unidentified catalyst decomposition product was observed.

Next, the ability of other alkynes to undergo diamination by 3a was examined (Table 1). In addition to 1-Phenyl-1various propyne (2a), differently-substituted phenylpropyne derivatives (2b-2f) underwent successful diamination. Electron-rich derivatives 1-(4-methylphenyl)-1-propyne (2b) and 1-(4-methoxylphenyl)-1-propyne (2c) produced the corresponding 1,2-diamines (4ba, 4ca) in moderate to good yield with excellent selectivity. Although 1-(4-fluorophenyl)-1-propyne (2d) ($\sigma_p^F = 0.06$)³⁴ produced 51% diamine (4da) with >20:1 selectivity, the more electron deficient 1-(4-chlorophenyl)-1-propyne (2e) ($\sigma_{p^{Cl}}$ = 0.23) gave the corresponding 1,2-diamine (4ea) in 43% yield along with 11% hydrazone (5ea). Following this trend, the more electron-deficient alkyne ($\sigma_{p}^{CF3} = 0.54$), 1-(4-trifluoromethylphenyl)-1-propyne (2f) resulted in even poorer selectivity for diamination, rendering 15% diamine (4fa) in addition to 34% hydrazone (5fa) in the reaction mixture. Since the key selectivity-determining step toward diamination involves intramolecular nucleophilic attack of the Ti-C_{α} on the N_{α} atom of the azatitanacyclobutene IM2 (Figure 4, TS2-3),²⁶ a lower nucleophilicity of Ti- C_{α} should disfavor diamination while not impacting hydrohydrazination. The presence of an electronwithdrawing functionality (e.g. p-Cl p-CF₃) on the aromatic ring should decrease the nucleophilicity of $Ti-C_{\alpha}$, which thus accounts for the poorer diamination selectivity in reactions with 2e and 2f. Diamination was also successful with other internal alkynes such as 1-phenyl-1-butyne (2g) and diphenylacetylene (2h), although these reactions were slower. Diphenylacetylene in particular underwent reaction much more slowly and also decomposed, subsequently leading to lower reaction yields under the optimized reaction times.

Table 1. Scope of the reaction of 1-methyl-1-phenylhydrazine (**3a**) with varying alkynes.^a



(<i>p</i> -OMe)C ₆ H ₄ <u>Me</u>	87	51	2	>20	
(p-F)C ₆ H ₄ Me 2d	68	51	2	> 20	
(p-CI)C ₆ H ₄ <u>Me</u>	81	43	11	3.9	
(p-CF ₃)C ₆ H ₄ Me	51	15	34	0.44	
Ph———Et 2g	57	43	n.d.	> 20	
Ph PhPhPh	64	17 ^b	2	8	
ⁿ Bu────H 2i	100	0	100	0	
(p-Me)C ₆ H ₄ H 2j	72	intractable mixture			
^t Bu — H	0	no reaction			
EtEt	0	no reaction			

^aConditions: 2.5 μ mol (10 mol%) **1**, 0.030 mmol (1.2 equiv.) alkyne, 0.025 mmol (1 equiv.) hydrazine, 0.8 mL C₆D₆, 33 °C, 16 h. ¹H NMR yields vs. Ph₃CH standard. ^b72 h.



Figure 4. Schematic demonstrating electronic factors that lower the barrier for N-N cleavage and rearrangement from **IM2** to **IM3**.

Terminal alkynes did not undergo successful diamination with **3a**. 4-ethynyltoluene **(2j)** gave an intractable reaction mixture under the optimized conditions, while 1-hexyne **(2i)** underwent exclusive hydrohydrazination to quantitatively produce hydrazone **(5ia)** with Markovnikov selectivity. The preference for hydrohydrazination with 1hexyne can likely be rationalized in the context of the N-N cleavage step (*c.f.* Figure 4, **TS2-3**): the lack of an electron donor group on Ti-C_{α} renders it less nucleophilic and reduces the propensity of the C-N coupling and N-N cleavage, and the overall lack of steric encumbrance at Ti may further promote bimolecular hydrazinolysis of **IM2** to form **5ia**.

Unfortunately, the bulky aliphatic terminal alkyne, *tert*butylacetylene **(2k)** and internal aliphatic alkyne 3-hexyne **(2l)** did not show any alkyne conversion under the reaction conditions. Likely, the first [2+2] cycloaddition step is kinetically inaccessible at room temperature³⁵ or the intermediate is unstable²⁵ for these alkynes.

Next, the scope of hydrazines capable of undergoing 1,2diamination of phenylpropyne was explored (Table 2). Various *para*-substituents on the phenyl ring **(3b-g)** were

well tolerated. Both 1-methyl-1-(4methylphenyl)hydrazine (3b), 1-methyl-1-(4methoxylphenyl)hydrazine (3c) ($\sigma_{p}^{OMe} = -0.27$) gave good to moderate diamine yields (4ab, 4ac) with similar selectivity. 1-methyl-1-(4-fluorophenyl)hydrazine (3d) provided 58% diamine (4ad), while the more electondeficient -chloro (3e) ($\sigma_p^{Cl} = 0.23$) and -bromo (3f) ($\sigma_p^{Br} =$ 0.23) substituents performed exceptionally well under the optimized conditions, giving >70% yield (4ae, 4af) with excellent selectivity. Similarly, 1-methyl-1-(4trifluoromethoxyphenyl)hydrazine **(3g)** ($\sigma_{p}^{OCF3} = 0.35$) also worked well under the reaction conditions to provide 37% diamine (4ag) with 100% selectivity. A trend can be observed that diamination selectivity is higher for electronpoor hydrazines (3e, 3f, 3g) compared to electron-rich ones (3c). This trend can be rationalized by the better leaving group capability of the '-NR₂' functionality of the azatitanacyclobutene IM2, where electron-deficient groups can undergo more facile nucleophilic attack leading to faster diamination (Figure 4, TS2-3).

Table 2. Scope of the reaction of 1-phenyl-1-propyne (2a)with varying 1,1-disubstituted hydrazines.^a

Ph Me R^3 + N-NH ₂	1 (10 mol%) C ₆ D ₆ , 16 h	Ph	e N - <mark>R³ +</mark> I Ph R ⁴	N ^N R ⁴ Me
2a 3a-k		4aa-4ak		5aa-5ak (or enamine)
hydrazine	% conv.	% yield 4	% yield 5	4:5
Ph 3a N-NH ₂ Me	100	67	3	> 20
(p-Me)C ₆ H ₄ 3b N—NH ₂ Me	74	60	8	7.5
(p-OMe)C ₆ H ₄ 3c N—NH ₂ Me	49	32	4	8
(p-F)C ₆ H ₄ 3d N—NH ₂ Me	85	58	2	> 20
(p-CI)C ₆ H ₄ 3e N—NH ₂ Me	94	73	2	> 20
(p-Br)C ₆ H ₄ 3f N—NH ₂ Me	95	70	2	> 20
(p-OCF ₃)C ₆ H ₄ 3g N—NH ₂ Me	40	37	n.d.	>20
Ph 3h N—NH ₂ Et	36	25 ^b	4	6
3i N-NH ₂	50°	n.d.	28	0
Me 3j N—NH ₂ Me	0	n	o reaction	

(p-OMe)C ₆ H ₄	100 ^d	80	n.d.	>20
3k N-NH2				
(p-OMe)C ₆ H ₄				

^aConditions: 2.5 μ mol (10 mol%) **1**, 0.030 mmol (1.2 equiv.) alkyne, 0.025 mmol (1 equiv.) hydrazine, 0.8 mL C₆D₆, 33 °C, 16 h. ¹H NMR yields vs. Ph₃CH standard. ^b72 h. ^c0.21 M conc. ^d0.13 M conc.

Diamination appears to be dramatically influenced by the steric bulk of the 1,1-disubstituted hydrazines: the reaction of 1-ethyl-1-phenylhydrazine (3h) provided only 25% diamine (4ah) with a drastically slower reaction rate and overall conversion than 3a (>72 h). Additionally, no conversion was observed with 1,1-dimethylhydrazine (3). One probable reason for this nonreactivity could be the instability/nucleophilicity of the potential (NNN)Ti(NNMe₂) intermediate, which can dimerize quickly to shut down any reactivity.28,36,37,38 Reactions with 1aminopiperidine (3i) did not occur at low concentration, while at high concentration, only hydrazones (5ai) were Finally, the reaction of formed. 1,1-Bis(4methoxyphenyl)hydrazine (3k), resulted in excellent yield of **4ak** with 100% selectivity. **3k** is a promising substrate because the PMP groups could in principle be oxidatively deprotected to the free amine.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a general strategy to produce 1,2-diamines from alkynes and 1,1-disubstituted hydrazines catalyzed by **1**, capitalizing on the effect of concentration and temperature on the relative rates of two competing reactions (hydrohydrazination and diamination) from a common [2+2] cycloadduct (**IM1**). Here, entropically more favored unimolecular diamination can be promoted under highly dilute conditions and slightly elevated temperatures, under which conditions competing bimolecular hydrohydrazination reaction is disfavored.

Expansion of the substrate scope of diamination further allows for an examination of how electronic effects impact the relative propensities for diamination and hydrohydrazination. In the reactions catalyzed by **1**, hydrazines with better N_{β} leaving groups (*e.g.* electron-poor) and electron-rich arylacetylenes (better nucleophiles) resulted in higher selectivity toward diamination over hydrohydrazination.

Although **1** was initially reported as a diamination catalyst,²⁵ our studies indicate that it can also act as a hydrohydrazination catalyst under higher concentration conditions. Based on this switch of reactivity by simple concentration changes, we speculate that all Ti-hydrazido complexes may be capable of this dual diamination/hydrohydrazination reactivity, where different catalyst structures likely exist along a spectrum of propensities for the two reactions. This raises the possibility that already- reported hydrohydrazination catalysts may be able to convert to diamination catalysts under lower concentration or higher temperature conditions—assuming that the catalysts are active enough to operate at ultra-low concentrations, or stable enough to survive much higher temperature conditions. We are currently exploring such possibilities in our labs.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information.

Full experimental details (.pdf)

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*itonks@umn.edu

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Financial support was provided by the National Institutes of Health (R35GM119457). Instrumentation for the University of Minnesota Chemistry NMR facility was supported from a grant through the National Institutes of Health (S100D011952). X-ray diffraction experiments were performed with a diffractometer purchased through a grant from NSF/MRI (1229400) and the University of Minnesota. Dr. Yukun Cheng (UMN) is thanked for fruitful discussion.

REFERENCES

- Bariwal, J.; der Eycken, E. C-N Bond Forming Cross-Coupling Reactions: An Overview. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2013, 42 (24), 9283– 9303.
- (2) Pasini, A.; Zunino, F. New Cisplatin Analogues—On the Way to Better Antitumor Agents. Angew. Chemie Int. Ed. English 1987, 26 (7), 615–624.
- (3) Saibabu Kotti, S. R. S.; Timmons, C.; Li, G. Vicinal Diamino Functionalities as Privileged Structural Elements in Biologically Active Compounds and Exploitation of Their Synthetic Chemistry. *Chem. Biol. Drug Des.* 2006, 67 (2), 101– 114.
- (4) Lucet, D.; Le Gall, T.; Mioskowski, C. The Chemistry of Vicinal Diamines. Angew. Chemie Int. Ed. 1998, 37 (19), 2580–2627.
- Marquet, A. Biotin Biosynthesis. Pure Appl. Chem. 1993, 65
 (6), 1249-1252.
- (6) Orcel, U.; Waser, J. One-Pot Three-Component Synthesis of Vicinal Diamines via In Situ Aminal Formation and Carboamination. Angew. Chemie Int. Ed. 2016, 55 (41), 12881–12885.
- (7) Bennani, Y. L.; Hanessian, S. Trans-1,2-Diaminocyclohexane Derivatives as Chiral Reagents, Scaffolds, and Ligands for Catalysis: Applications in Asymmetric Synthesis and Molecular Recognition. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97 (8), 3161–3196.
- (8) Yin, Q.; Shi, Y.; Wang, J.; Zhang, X. Direct Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis of α-Chiral Primary Amines. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2020, 49 (17), 6141–6153.
- (9) Ohkuma, T.; Ooka, H.; Yamakawa, M.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. Stereoselective Hydrogenation of Simple Ketones Catalyzed by Ruthenium(II) Complexes. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61 (15), 4872-4873.
- (10) Fu, N.; Sauer, G. S.; Lin, S. A General, Electrocatalytic Approach to the Synthesis of Vicinal Diamines. *Nat. Protoc.* 2018, 13 (8), 1725–1743.
- (11) Bar, G. L. J.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Booker-Milburn, K. I. Pd(II)-Catalyzed Intermolecular 1,2-Diamination of Conjugated

Dienes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127 (20), 7308-7309.

- (12) Streuff, J.; Hövelmann, C. H.; Nieger, M.; Muñiz, K. Palladium(II)-Catalyzed Intramolecular Diamination of Unfunctionalized Alkenes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127 (42), 14586–14587.
- (13) Du, H.; Zhao, B.; Shi, Y. A Facile Pd(0)-Catalyzed Regio- and Stereoselective Diamination of Conjugated Dienes and Trienes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129 (4), 762–763.
- (14) Zhu, Y.; Cornwall, R. G.; Du, H.; Zhao, B.; Shi, Y. Catalytic Diamination of Olefins via N-N Bond Activation. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47 (12), 3665–3678.
- (15) Muñiz, K. Advancing Palladium-Catalyzed C-N Bond Formation: Bisindoline Construction from Successive Amide Transfer to Internal Alkenes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129 (47), 14542–14543.
- (16) Ho, H. E.; Oniwa, K.; Yamamoto, Y.; Jin, T. N-Methyl Transfer Induced Copper-Mediated Oxidative Diamination of Alkynes. *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18* (10), 2487–2490.
- (17) Yao, B.; Wang, Q.; Zhu, J. Palladium(II)-Catalyzed Intramolecular Diamination of Alkynes under Aerobic Oxidative Conditions: Catalytic Turnover of an Iodide Ion. Angew. Chemie Int. Ed. 2012, 51 (21), 5170–5174.
- (18) Wang, W.; Shen, Y.; Meng, X.; Zhao, M.; Chen, Y.; Chen, B. Copper-Catalyzed Synthesis of Quinoxalines with o-Phenylenediamine and Terminal Alkyne in the Presence of Bases. Org. Lett. 2011, 13 (17), 4514–4517.
- (19) Zeng, J.; Tan, Y. J.; Leow, M. L.; Liu, X.-W. Copper(II)/Iron(III) Co-Catalyzed Intermolecular Diamination of Alkynes: Facile Synthesis of Imidazopyridines. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14* (17), 4386–4389.
- (20) Li, J.; Neuville, L. Copper-Catalyzed Oxidative Diamination of Terminal Alkynes by Amidines: Synthesis of 1,2,4-Trisubstituted Imidazoles. Org. Lett. 2013, 15 (7), 1752– 1755.
- (21) Greene, F. D.; Stowell, J. C.; Bergmark, W. R. Diaziridinones (2,3-Diazacyclopropanones). II. Synthesis, Properties, and Reactions. J. Org. Chem. **1969**, 34 (8), 2254–2262.
- (22) Odom, A. L.; McDaniel, T. J. Titanium-Catalyzed Multicomponent Couplings: Efficient One-Pot Syntheses of Nitrogen Heterocycles. Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48 (11), 2822– 2833.
- Manßen, M.; Schafer, L. L. Titanium Catalysis for the Synthesis of Fine Chemicals Development and Trends. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2020, 49 (19), 6947–6994.
- (24) Hunt, A. J.; Farmer, T. J. Elemental Sustainability for Catalysis. In Sustainable Catalysis: With Non-endangered Metals, Part 1; North, M., North, M., Eds.; The Royal Society of Chemistry, 2015; p 0.
- (25) Selby, J. D.; Manley, C. D.; Feliz, M.; Schwarz, A. D.; Clot, E.; Mountford, P. New Ligand Platforms for Developing the Chemistry of the Ti=N-NR₂ Functional Group and the Insertion of Alkynes into the N-N Bond of a Ti=N-NPh₂ Ligand. Chem. Commun. 2007, No. 46, 4937–4939.
- $\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{(26)} & Schofield, A. D.; Nova, A.; Selby, J. D.; Manley, C. D.; Schwarz, A. D.; Clot, E.; Mountford, P. M=N_{\alpha} Cycloaddition and N_{\alpha}-N_{\beta} \\ & Insertion in the Reactions of Titanium Hydrazido Compounds \\ & with Alkynes: A Combined Experimental and Computational \\ & Study. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132 (30), 10484–10497. \end{array}$
- (27) Schwarz, A. D.; Onn, C. S.; Mountford, P. A Remarkable Switch from a Diamination to a Hydrohydrazination Catalyst and Observation of an Unprecedented Catalyst Resting State. *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51* (49), 12298–12302.
- (28) Li, Y.; Shi, Y.; Odom, A. L. Titanium Hydrazido and Imido Complexes: Synthesis, Structure, Reactivity, and Relevance to Alkyne Hydroamination. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126 (6), 1794–1803.
- (29) Tillack, A.; Jiao, H.; Garcia Castro, I.; Hartung, C. G.; Beller, M. A General Study of [(H5-Cp')2Ti(H2-Me3SiC2SiMe3)]-Catalyzed Hydroamination of Terminal Alkynes: Regioselective Formation of Markovnikov and Anti-Markovnikov Products and Mechanistic Explanation

(Cp'=C₅H₅, C₅H₄Et. Chem. – A Eur. J. **2004**, 10 (10), 2409–2420.

- (30) Khedkar, V.; Tillack, A.; Michalik, M.; Beller, M. Efficient One-Pot Synthesis of Tryptamines and Tryptamine Homologues by Amination of Chloroalkynes. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2004, 45 (15), 3123–3126.
- (31) Cao, C.; Shi, Y.; Odom, A. L. Intermolecular Alkyne Hydroaminations Involving 1,1-Disubstituted Hydrazines. Org. Lett. 2002, 4 (17), 2853–2856.
- (32) Walsh, P. J.; Baranger, A. M.; Bergman, R. G. Stoichiometric and Catalytic Hydroamination of Alkynes and Allene by Zirconium Bisamides Cp₂Zr(NHR)₂. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114* (5), 1708–1719.
- (33) Pohlki, F.; Doye, S. The Mechanism of the [Cp₂TiMe₂]-Catalyzed Intermolecular Hydroamination of Alkynes. *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40* (12), 2305–2308.
- (34) Hansch, C.; Leo, A.; Taft, R. W. A Survey of Hammett Substituent Constants and Resonance and Field Parameters. *Chem. Rev.* **1991**, *91* (2), 165–195.
- (35) Bashall, A.; McPartlin, M.; E. Collier, P.; Mountford, P.; H. Gade, L.; J. M. Trösch, D. C–H Bond Activation and C–N Coupling Reactions of Methylacetylenes and Allenes with an Imidotitanium Complex. *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, No. 23, 2555– 2556.
- (36) Selby, J. D.; Feliz, M.; Schwarz, A. D.; Clot, E.; Mountford, P. New Sandwich and Half-Sandwich Titanium Hydrazido Compounds. Organometallics 2011, 30 (8), 2295–2307.
- (37) Parsons, T. B.; Hazari, N.; Cowley, A. R.; Green, J. C.; Mountford, P. Synthesis, Structures, and DFT Bonding Analysis of New Titanium Hydrazido(2–) Complexes. *Inorg. Chem.* 2005, 44 (23), 8442–8458.
- (38) Weitershaus, K.; Wadepohl, H.; Gade, L. H. Titanium Hydrazinediido Half-Sandwich Complexes: Highly Active Catalysts for the Hydrohydrazination of Terminal Alkynes at Ambient Temperature. Organometallics 2009, 28 (12), 3381– 3389.