A Quest for Parsimonious Topology of Polyhedral Cavities in Metal–Organic Frameworks
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Summary

A new topology previously unknown in metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) provides an important clue to uncovering a new series of polyhedral MOFs. We report a novel MOF crystallized in a parsimonious mep topology based on Frank–Kasper (FK) polyhedra. The distribution of angles in a tetrahedral arrangement (T-O-T) is crucial for the formation of FK polyhedra in mep topology. This finding led us to investigate the T-O-T angle distribution in related zeolites and zeolitic imidazolate frameworks (ZIFs). Unlike zeolites, it is extremely difficult to achieve high T-O-T angles in ZIFs, which prevents the formation of some FK topologies. Density functional theory (DFT) total energy calculations support a correlation between T-O-T angles and the feasibility of new tetrahedron-based FK frameworks. This result may lead to innovative ways of accessing new cellular topologies by simple chemical tweaking of T-O-T angles.
Introduction

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) are becoming a central subject of materials chemistry, mainly because of a vast array of applications closely associated with their unprecedented structural diversity, as exemplified by more than 100,000 MOFs with a few hundred net topology types accumulated over the past two decades.\(^1\) Such a high degree of structural and functional tunability stems from the reticular synthesis of metal secondary building units (SBUs) and organic linkers.\(^4\),\(^5\) A rational synthetic strategy by careful choice of these structural building blocks can be applied to achieve a desired topology for use as a blueprint for MOF construction.\(^6\)–\(^8\) Among these MOF structures, a rising subclass of MOFs is polyhedral MOFs (e.g. pcu- and rht-MOFs) in which the basic building blocks for the frameworks are polyhedra such as tetrahedra, cubes, octahedra, and cuboctahedra.\(^9\),\(^10\) In contrast, MOFs based on other polyhedra are exceptionally rare. Notable examples of such underexplored MOFs are those based on four Frank–Kasper (FK) polyhedra (dodecahedron, tetradecahedron, pentadecahedron, and hexadecahedron), shown in Figure 1A.\(^11\) Originally proposed by Frank and Kasper to explain intermetallic solids (Figure 1B), these FK polyhedra are extremely versatile units capable of forming several thousand actual and hypothetical topologies.\(^12\)–\(^15\) Particularly, one of the topologies, known as mep (or clathrate type I) topology, is astonishingly ubiquitous, as can be seen in zeolites,\(^16\)–\(^18\) gas clathrates,\(^19\),\(^20\) Zintl phases,\(^21\)–\(^25\) inorganic salt,\(^26\) soap froth,\(^27\) metal foam,\(^28\) and even architecture,\(^29\) thus attracting intense interest in various disciplines (Figures 1C and 1D). The dual net\(^31\) of mep, known as an A15 lattice, is also popular in soft materials such as dendrimers,\(^31\)–\(^34\) block copolymers,\(^35\),\(^36\) small molecules,\(^37\),\(^38\) giant supermolecules,\(^39\)–\(^41\) and colloidal crystals\(^42\)–\(^44\) (Figure S1). In MOFs, a zirconium-based MOF has been discovered, featuring zirconium clusters arranged within an A15 lattice.\(^45\),\(^46\)

Despite the popularity of other solid-state materials, to our surprise, there is no single-crystal structure reported with mep topology in MOFs,\(^2\) whereas another FK topology, mtn (or
clathrate type II), is known.\textsuperscript{47-52} We find this missing link rather striking considering the extreme structural diversity in MOFs.\textsuperscript{6}

Here, we report the first example of an FK polyhedra-based MOF (FKMOF-1) crystallized in \textbf{mep} topology, together with one (FKMOF-2) in \textbf{mtn} topology. The discovery of the unprecedented \textbf{mep} topology led us to investigate the scarcity of \textbf{mep} topology in MOFs. Detailed structural analysis of these FKMOFs and their related tetrahedron-based frameworks such as zeolites and zeolitic imidazolate frameworks (ZIFs)\textsuperscript{53} has revealed new insights toward accessing other “treasure-trove” FK topologies perhaps waiting to be discovered in MOFs.
Results

FKMOF-1 with mep topology. FKMOF-1 \([\text{Cd}_{23}(\text{HMTA})_{11.5}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_{46}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{23}], \text{HMTA = hexamethylenetetramine}\] was synthesized by a solvothermal reaction of HMTA as a tetrahedral ligand and Cd(CH_3COO)_2 in a mixture of N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP), ethanol, and water. FKMOF-1 crystallized in the \(R-3c\) trigonal space group with \(a = 47.909(4) \text{ Å}\) and \(c = 58.677(6) \text{ Å}\) (Figure S2A and Table S1). Single-crystal data of FKMOF-1 were collected at the Pohang Accelerator Laboratory (PAL) in Korea. Each HMTA ligand was coordinated to four Cd(II) ions, effectively creating a tetrahedron, whereas each Cd(II) ion was axially coordinated by two HMTA ligands (Figure S3). The tetrahedra were the basic structural building units forming mep topology, which was based on two types of polyhedra, namely dodecahedra \([5^{12}]\) and tetradecahedra \([5^{12}6^2]\) with a ratio of 1:3 (Figure 2). This is the first reported case of mep topology in MOFs. The framework window sizes were 9.8 Å and 11.8 Å for pentagonal and hexagonal windows, respectively. The inner diameters of the dodecahedra (Figure 2B) and tetradecahedra (Figure 2C) were 17.0 Å and 17.7 Å, respectively, as measured from the face closest to the centroid of the polyhedron. Each dodecahedron was composed of 20 HMTA ligands and 30 Cd ions, whereas each tetradecahedron consisted of 24 HMTA ligands and 36 Cd ions. Acetates which were coordinated to Cd ion or placed in the pores balanced the charge in FKMOF-1 (Figures S3B and S4). There were five different bond angles (162°, 174°, 175°, 176°, and 180°) between a Cd ion and the two HMTA ligands (Figure S3B). The powder X-ray diffraction patterns of the as-synthesized FKMOF-1 matched well with the simulated pattern (Figure S5) from the single-crystal data.

FKMOF-2 with mtn topology. FKMOF-2 \([\text{Cd}_{17}(\text{HMTA})_{8.5}\text{Br}_{51}·\text{(N(CH}_3)_4)_17]\] was synthesized by a solvothermal reaction of HMTA, CdBr_2, and tetramethylammonium nitrate in a mixture of \(N,N\)-Dimethylacetamide (DMA), ethanol, and water. FKMOF-2 crystallized in the \(Fd-3m\) space group with \(a = 48.831(6) \text{ Å}\) (Figure 2B and Table S2). FKMOF-2 was constructed from
dodecahedra \[5^{12}\] and hexadecahedra \[5^{12}6^{4}\] with a 2:1 ratio in \textbf{mtn} topology (Figure 3). Fang \textit{et al.} previously reported an MOF structure with the same topology synthesized under different conditions using CdCl\(_2\) and HMTA.\(^{47}\) The dodecahedron and hexadecahedron had inner diameters of 17.4 Å and 21.2 Å, respectively, and framework window sizes of 10 Å and 10–12.4 Å, respectively (Figure 3). The packing pattern and coordination environment for Cd ions in FKMOF-2 are noticeably different (Figures S3 and S6). Each Cd site was coordinated by three Br anions (Figure S3C). To compensate charge, tetramethylammonium cations exist in FKMOF-2 framework (Figure S7). The powder X-ray diffraction patterns of the as-synthesized FKMOF-2 also matched well with the simulated pattern (Figures S8).

\textbf{Discussion}

Several thousand intermetallic alloys are referred to as FK phases, and their space fillings are based on FK polyhedra. Such cellular topologies found in the Reticular Chemistry Structure Resource database are \textbf{mep, mtn, mgz-x-d, zra-d, muh, mur, sig, tei, and tep} (Table 1 and Figure S9).\(^{6,15,54}\) Especially, \textbf{mep} topology is found in compounds ranging from hard solids to soft matter, including an efficient bubble foam (Figure 1D), known as a counter-example to Kelvin’s conjecture as the Weaire-Phelan structure.\(^{55-58}\) FKMOF-1 is the first reported case of \textbf{mep} topology in MOFs. Two examples of a zeolitic coordination network featuring MEP-type architecture have been constructed using ligands with five-fold symmetry, which include a pentagonal face cap.\(^{59,60}\) However, these structures exhibit \textbf{mef} topology, not \textbf{mep} topology. To construct the FK topologies, tetrahedral units are used as basic units for FK polyhedra, as can be seen in FKMOFs and zeolites such as melanophlogite (MEP)\(^ {17}\) and ZSM-39 (MTN).\(^ {61}\) Such zeolites are based on TO\(_4\) tetrahedra (T = Si and Al) connected by oxygen to form T-O-T bridges. Various zeolite structures display characteristic T-O-T angle distributions depending on topology (Figure S10).\(^ {62}\) Similarly, FKMOFs and ZIFs show analogous HMTA-Cd-HMTA,
and Im-Zn-Im (Im: Imidazolate) connections, respectively (Figure 4 and Figure S3). In FKMOFs, the roles for metal and linker are reversed unlike typical MOFs. HMTA acts as silicon atom and Cd ion as oxygen in zeolites. Perhaps such reversed roles are important to stabilize the T-O-T angle distribution found in FKMOF-1, necessary for mep topology.

We investigated the T-O-T angles found in zeolites, FKMOFs, and ZIFs. In zeolites, the average T-O-T angle is 148° (measured from 24 different pure silica zeolite topologies). However, zeolites with pentagonal dodecahedra, such as MEP, MTN, DOH, and DDR, have significantly higher T-O-T angles (Figure S11). For example, MEP and MTN zeolites have T-O-T angles of 148–180° and 168–180°, respectively, indicating that these topologies demand exceptionally high T-O-T angles to form their FK polyhedra (Figure 5). The same trend can be seen in FKMOFs. Both FKMOFs also show high T-O-T angles, 162–180° (FKMOF-1, mep) and 172–180° (FKMOF-2, mtn), respectively.

In contrast, such a trend is not seen in ZIFs. In fact, there are no reports of mep or mtn topology in ZIFs, even though the average T-O-T angle for ZIFs is 142°, similar to the average T-O-T angle for zeolites (148°). Interestingly, the T-O-T angle distributions for the representative ZIFs in 31 topologies are considerably lower and narrower, 133–158°, than those found in zeolites (134–180°) (Figures 5A, S12 and Table S3). To achieve mep and mtn topologies, the T-O-T angle should be close to 180° to form planar pentagons in FK polyhedral.

We therefore hypothesize that ZIFs cannot adopt mep topology because of the energy penalty caused by T-O-T strain. To test our hypothesis, we calculated the energies in molecular Si-O-Si (zeolite), M-Im-M (ZIF), and HMTA-Cd-HMTA (FKMOF) systems as a function of T-O-T angle using density functional theory (DFT) computations. Figure 6 shows the energy differences as a function of the T-O-T angle in the Si-O-Si, M-Im-M, and HMTA-Cd-HMTA systems. The potential energy curve for Si-O-Si is consistent with the previously reported data.
Our result for M-Im-M indicates that its energy variation is much steeper than that for the other two cases (Si-O-Si and HMTA-Cd-HMTA), which implies a large energy penalty at high angles (160–180°), which thus supports the hypothesis.

FKMOF-1 has a moderately narrower T-O-T angle distribution (162–180°, average = 175°) than its zeolite counterpart, melanophlogite (148–180°, average = 169°). Such a difference could be due to the extra flexibility of the O-T-O angles at the Cd-HMTA-Cd node in FKMOFs (Tables S4 and S5). All the results indicated substantial flexibility in the tetrahedral units, promoting the formation of mep topology in FKMOF-1. Thorpe et al. reported similar structural flexibility in zeolites with varying T-O-T angles.

Based on the T-O-T angle analysis in ZIFs and FKMOFs, we note that the synthesis of MOFs with a wide T-O-T angle dispersion might not be a trivial task. MOFs are often assembled from rigid linkers and well-defined SBUs through strong chemical bonds, forming highly predictable topologies. For instance, MIL-100 (moo topology) and MIL-101 (mtn-e topology) are examples of MOFs that follow the underlying mtn topology. These MOFs are assembled using either rigid 3-connected or linear ligands, coupled with well-defined trinuclear SBU, M₃O(COO)₆ (Figure S13). MOFs with mtn topology have been characterized by narrow T-O-T angle distributions (Figure S14). Although this elegant strategy has been highly successful for building popular topologies in MOF chemistry, such an approach cannot be applicable to the present system such as mep, which demands a very wide T-O-T angle distribution.

There are 137 FK topological frameworks in the library, including 29 known FK phases (Table S6). Additionally, Sikiric et al. have proposed 108 hypothetical FK topologies, which could serve as blueprints for new cellular FKMOFs. Among the actual and hypothetical FK topologies, we chose mgz-x-d (dual topology of C14 phase) and zra-d (clathrate type III and dual topology of Z phase) topologies for structural modelling. The FK
polyhedra composition in mgz-x-d is identical to that of the mtn topology (Table S6). Additionally, the topology of zra-d is related to clathrate type III, distinguishing it from mep (type I) and mtn topology (type II). Moreover, the dual phases of these topologies, namely C14 and Z phases, have been realized in the assembly of soft materials, suggesting a potential for observation within MOF assemblies as well. Figure 7 shows two hypothetical FKMOFs in mgz-x-d and zra-d topologies created after the geometry optimization using the Forcite module in Materials Studio 7.0. The optimized structures of hypothetical FKMOFs with mgz-x-d and zra-d topologies have hexagonal $P6_3/mmc$ and $P6/mmm$ spacegroups with parameters $a = 35.0680 \text{ Å}$, $c = 57.3912 \text{ Å}$ and $a = 34.4925 \text{ Å}$, $c = 35.1747 \text{ Å}$, respectively.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we report the first MOF with mep topology (FKMOF-1), together with another MOF with mtn topology (FKMOF-2). Both topologies are related to FK structures, providing an important structural clue to the building of new series of cellular MOFs. Detailed analysis of the T-O-T angle distribution revealed that FK polyhedra with pentagonal faces demand high T-O-T angles. Further analysis of zeolites and ZIFs also confirmed that the T-O-T angle is crucial to accessing mep topology. Notably, the wide range of T-O-T angles in FKMOF-1 promoted mep topology, which is an important structural feature unachievable in ZIFs. Significantly, the modulation of the tetrahedral coordination system alters the energy landscapes, thereby facilitating the formation of more MOFs with FK polyhedral structures. Actual and hypothetical FK topologies can be utilized as blueprints for constructing various cellular MOFs for emerging applications.
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Data and code availability

Crystallographic data for structures reported in this article have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC), under deposition number 1847765 (FKMOF-1) and 1487207 (FKMOF-2). These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

Synthesis of FKMOF-1 Cd(CH₃COO)₂·2H₂O (158.0 mg, 0.60 mmol), HMTA (43 mg, 0.3 mmol), NMP (2.25 mL), ethanol (0.25 mL) and water (0.5 mL) were combined in a 20 mL vial. The mixture was heated to 85 °C for 12 h, 105°C for 24 h and then cooled to room temperature for 6 h.

Synthesis of FKMOF-2 CdBr₂·4H₂O (206.6 mg, 0.60 mmol), HMTA (43.0 mg, 0.15 mmol), DMA (2.25 mL), ethanol (0.25 mL) and water (0.5 mL) were combined in a 20 mL vial. Tetramethylammonium nitrate (100 μL, 1 M in H₂O) was added to the vial. The mixture was heated to 85 °C for 12 h, 105°C for 24 h and then cooled to room temperature for 6 h.
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Figure 1. FK polyhedra and FK structures. (A) FK polyhedra: Pentagonal dodecahedron $[5^{12}]$ (yellow), tetradecahedron $[5^{12}6^2]$ (blue), pentadecahedron $[5^{12}6^3]$ (magenta), hexadecahedron $[5^{12}6^4]$ (cyan). (B) Schematic illustration of Cr$_3$Si structure and (C) corresponding mep topology with dodecahedra (dark red) and tetradecahedra (dark blue). (D) Examples of mep topology: Melanophlogite (Si, cyan spheres; O, pink spheres), clathrate type I (O, dark spheres; H omitted for clarity), and bubble foam (copyright © 2011, Rights Managed by Nature Publishing Group) from left to right.
Figure 2. Structure of FKMOF-1. (A) HMTA as a simplified tetrahedron (C, black spheres; N, blue spheres). (B and C) (B) Dodecahedral [5^{12}] (yellow) and (C) tetradecahedral [5^{12}6^{2}] (blue) cages with Cd (light yellow) cations. (D) A three-dimensional network of mep-MOF, FKMOF-1. (E) FK polyhedral cage framework of FKMOF-1.
Figure 3. Structure of FKMOF-2. (A and B) (A) Dodecahedral $[5^{12}]$ (yellow) and (B) hexadecahedral $[5^{12}6^4]$ (cyan) cages. (C) A 3D molecular network of mtn-MOF, FKMOF-2. (D) FK polyhedral cage framework of FKMOF-2 viewed along the [111] direction.
Figure 4. T-O-T bridges, polygons, and FK polyhedra in FKMOF-1 and FKMOF-2. (A) T-O-T bridges formed by zeolite T-O-T, M-Im-M, and HMTA-Cd-HMTA (T = Si, Ge; Im = imidazolate). (B) Three types of polygons used to construct Frank–Kasper polyhedra in FKMOF-1. The left hexagon constructs tetradecahedra. The middle and right pentagons construct tetradecahedra and dodecahedra, respectively. (C) Three types of polygons used to construct FK polyhedra in FKMOF-2. The left hexagon constructs hexadecahedra. The middle and right pentagons construct hexadecahedra and dodecahedra, respectively. (D) T-O-T angles found in FKMOF-1 and FKMOF-2. (E) Dodecahedra and tetradecahedra in FKMOF-1 (left). Dodecahedra and hexadecahedra in FKMOF-2 (right) (pink spheres are centroids of HMTA).
Figure 5. T-O-T angle distributions of ZIFs, FKMOFs, and zeolites. (A and B) (A) T-O-T angle distribution of ZIFs with 31 different topologies and (B) FKMOF-1, FKMOF-2, melanophlogite, and ZSM-39.
Figure 6. Theoretically calculated energy as a function of T-O-T angle. (A) Representative single-molecular clusters of T-O-T for zeolites (Si-O-Si), ZIFs (Zn-Im-Zn), and FKMOFs (HMTA-Cd-HMTA). (B) Result plots of the energy calculations as a function of T-O-T angle for each molecular system. The left graph is for Si-O-Si, the middle one for Zn-Im-Zn, and the right one for HMTA-Cd-HMTA.
Figure 7. Structure of hypothetical FKMOFs with mgz-x-d and zra-d topologies by structural modelling. (A) A 3D molecular network of mgz-x-d topology-based FKMOF. (B) Polyhedral caged network of modelled mgz-x-d FKMOF viewed along the [001] direction. (C) A 3D molecular network of zra-d topology-based FKMOF. (D) Polyhedral caged network of modelled zra-d FKMOF viewed along the [120] direction.
Table 1. Examples of Frank–Kasper phases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure type</th>
<th>Dual topology</th>
<th>Space group</th>
<th>$[5^{12}]:[5^{12}6^2]:[5^{12}6^3]:[5^{12}6^4]$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cr$_3$Si</td>
<td>mep</td>
<td>$Pm-3n$</td>
<td>1:3:0:0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgCu$_2$</td>
<td>mtn</td>
<td>$Fd-3m$</td>
<td>2:0:0:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgZn$_2$</td>
<td>mgz-x-d</td>
<td>$P6_3/mmc$</td>
<td>2:0:0:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr$_4$Al$_3$</td>
<td>zra-d</td>
<td>$P6/mmm$</td>
<td>3:2:2:0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr$<em>{46}$Fe$</em>{54}$</td>
<td>sig</td>
<td>$P4_2/mnm$</td>
<td>5:8:2:0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W$_6$Fe$_7$</td>
<td>mur</td>
<td>$R-3m$</td>
<td>7:2:2:2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_7$Cs$_6$</td>
<td>muh</td>
<td>$P6_3/mmc$</td>
<td>7:2:2:2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg$<em>{32}$(Zn, Al)$</em>{49}$</td>
<td>tei</td>
<td>$Im-3$</td>
<td>49:6:6:20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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