Linear Amine-Linked Oligo-BODIPYs: Convergent Access via Buchwald-Hartwig Coupling

Sebastian H. Röttger,^[a] Lukas J. Patalag,^[b] Felix Hasenmaile,^[a] Lukas Milbrandt,^[b] Burkhard Butschke,^[c] Peter G. Jones^[d] and Daniel B. Werz^{*[a]}

[a]	S. H. Röttger, Dr. F. Hasenmaile, Prof. Dr. D. B. Werz				
	DFG Cluster of Excellence livMatS@FIT and Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg				
	Institute of Organic Chemistry				
	Albertstraße 21, 79104 Freiburg (Breisgau), Germany				
	E-mail: daniel.werz@chemie.uni-freiburg.de				
[b]	Dr. L. J. Patalag, L. Milbrandt				
	Technische Universität Braunschweig				
	Institute of Organic Chemistry				
	Hagenring 30, 38106 Braunschweig, Germany				
[c]	Dr. B. Butschke				
	Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg				
	Institute of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry				
	Albertstraße 21, 79104 Freiburg (Breisgau), Germany				
[d]	Prof. Dr. P. G. Jones				
	Technische Universität Braunschweig				
	Institute of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry				

Abstract: A convergent route towards nitrogen-bridged BODIPY oligomers has been developed. The synthetic key step is a Buchwald-Hartwig cross-coupling reaction of an α -amino-BODIPY and the respective halide. Not only does the selective synthesis provide control of the oligomer size, but the facile preparative procedure also enables easy access to this type of dyes. Furthermore, functionalized examples were accessible via brominated derivatives.

Hagenring 30, 38106 Braunschweig, Germany

Introduction

The family of BODIPY dyes, first reported in 1968 by Treibs and Kreuzer,^[1] has gained major interest in research in the past decades because of their fairly simple preparative access, their flexibility in terms of possible modifications and their useful properties such as outstanding attenuation coefficients and also high fluorescence quantum yields.^[2] Hence, they are already widely applied for imaging, e.g. as biomarkers for medical purposes, and have also proven to be applicable in other fields, for instance as various types of photosensitizers and organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs).^[3] Various types of oligo-BODIPYs have already shown the capability to enhance such desirable properties and thus have been the focus of much recent preparative chemistry. Alkylene bridged or directly connected BODIPYs have been known for several years (Figure 1A (top)).^[4]



Figure 1. A) Various C-C bridged (top) and heteroatom bridged (bottom) BODIPY oligomers. B) Linearly amine-linked BODIPY oligomers (this work). Residual substituents of the BODIPY core were omitted for clarity.

These types of connectivity have also been converted to extended π -systems by oxidative follow-up reactions, allowing a higher level of conjugation and hence strong bathochromic shifts.^[5] The installation of heteroatoms has however been a challenge for some time. In 2014, Shinokubo et al. presented linearly connect monomers through an azo-bridge at the β -position (Figure 1A (d)).^[6] Linear connectivity at the α -position using heteroatoms such as sulfur has been achieved through a similarly iterative process by the groups of Hao and Jiao (Figure 1A (e)).^[7] Furthermore, cyclic amine-linked oligo-BODIPYs have already been synthesized in a one-pot reaction in 2022 by Song et al., utilizing Buchwald-Hartwig conditions (Figure 1A (f)).^[8]

We present a novel type of BODIPY oligomers, connected via *N*-bridges in a linear fashion (Figure 1B). Utilizing both symmetric and unsymmetric BODIPY monomers as building blocks has paved the way to selectively synthesize oligomers with various chain lengths. Both symmetric and unsymmetric dimers were synthesized starting from unsymmetric monofunctionalized monomer units. Additionally, the chain length of these oligo-BODIPYs was extended using the functionalized monomer **Br-Ar-mono-Br** and the dimer **Br-Ar-di** (Scheme 1).

Results and Discussion

In contrast to the aforementioned cyclic amine-linked examples,^[8] we have focused on selectively synthesizing open-chained oligomers and addressing their specific properties. Variation of the BODIPY core has been shown to have a considerable impact on the respective reaction times and yields. To dimerize selectively when forming the nitrogen bridge, monofunctionalized α -chlorinated BODIPY monomers were used. The key step in obtaining such unsymmetric BODIPYs (in contrast to the usual mirror plane through the meso position and boron center) was a Bischler-Napieralski type reaction of the respective chlorinated acylpyrrole and alkylpyrrole, following an established procedure developed by Dehaen and coworkers.^[9] Converting the α -chloro-BODIPY into the respective amine and performing a Buchwald-Hartwig coupling of both led to N-bridged BODIPY dimers, in which alkylpyrroles such as 2,4-dimethylpyrrole (5) and cryptopyrrole (6) serve as capping units on the BODIPY core. Terminal *a*-brominated examples provide an option for further versatile functionalization. During the investigation of meso substitution patterns, the 4-iso-butylphenyl moiety has been shown to overcome solubility issues, while maintaining crystallizability (albeit sometimes with disorder problems), whereas dimer syntheses are made easier by an increasing level of alkyl-substitution on the pyrrole motif. For a simplified overview of the BODIPY scope, compounds are labeled according to the systematic nomenclature shown in Scheme 1A.

The synthetic strategy began with pyrrole (1) for both kinds of monomers. To obtain monochlorinated BODIPYs, it was first converted into the respective 2-benzoylpyrrole **2** for the *meso* aryl examples.^[10] TBS protection of the benzyl alcohol by-product in the crude simplified the purification later on.^[11] This species and 2-acetylpyrrole were then chlorinated using NCS in THF at room temperature to obtain α -chlorinated 2-acylpyrroles **3** and **4**.^[12]



Scheme 1. A) Nomenclature for BODIPYs. B) Synthetic route towards monomers. C) Oligomerization to dimers, trimers and tetramers.

We preferred chlorination over the analogous bromination since the by-products were easier to separate from the desired products. To arrive finally at the monofunctionalized BODIPY monomers, acylpyrroles 3 and 4 were then converted with the respective alkylpyrroles 5 and 6 in the presence of POCl₃ in CH₂Cl₂/n-hexane (2:1), followed by the established procedure for BODIPY syntheses from the in situ formed dipyrrin using triethylamine and BF₃•OEt₂, with yields up to 91% over 2 steps. To obtain higher oligomers, bisfunctionalized monomers had to synthesized prior to amination. For symmetrically be bisfunctionalized monomer Br-Ar-mono-Br, an excess of pyrrole (1) was converted into dipyrromethane 7 using 4-isobutylbenzaldhyde with catalytic amounts of TFA in CH2Cl2 in 68% yield.^[13] Stepwise addition of NBS in small portions to a solution of 7 in THF at -78 °C for selective bromination, followed by oxidation with DDQ, gave the crude dipyrrin, which was used in the following step after filtration. The actual BODIPY synthesis was subsequently conducted in a similar manner as for the unsymmetric monomers. However, iPr2NEt was found to give higher yields for less substituted dipyrrins. Thus, using this tertiary amine base, in lieu of triethylamine, together with BF3•OEt2 gave Br-Ar-mono-Br in 38% yield over three steps. Bromination was necessary in this case because the corresponding chlorinated derivative of an α -amino-BODIPY showed no oligomerization beyond the dimer under the same conditions. Additionally, purification was not an obstacle, in contrast to the aforementioned brominated acylpyrroles. Preparative details of the chlorinated amino-BODIPY are given in the Supporting Information. The respective a-amino-BODIPYs were then synthesized by stirring halogenated BODIPYs in an ammonia solution in MeOH (7 N) in a sealed tube at 60 °C to furnish the target compounds in up to 58% yield for chlorinated derivatives and even in quantitative yield for the brominated example (Scheme 1B). For Buchwald-Hartwig coupling of α -chloro- and α -amino-BODIPYs, one equivalent of each was converted with Pd(OAc)₂, (±)-BINAP and Cs₂CO₃ in PhMe at 80 °C.^[14] Interestingly, the reaction times and yields showed a trend of improvement with increasing level of substitution of the BODIPY core with up to 68% yields. While these dimer syntheses were straightforward by simply stirring all of the components together, synthesis of Br-Ar-di required slow addition of $\ensuremath{\text{Br-Ar-mono-NH}}_2$ to a heated solution of the remaining reagents. Such a procedure ensured selectivity by maintaining an excess of Br-Ar-mono-Br to avoid further oligomerization. The reaction yielded 44% of the functionalized dimer, while 45% of the starting material was recovered. As for the dimers synthesized via the chlorides, synthesis of trimers and tetramers was achieved in same manner with the respective bromides (Br-Ar-di for EDMtet), with a remarkable decrease of the reaction time. Throughout the reaction of Br-Ar-mono-Br with EDM-Ar-mono-Br, formation of the respective intermediate dimer was observed within 30 minutes, while full conversion took an additional 60 minutes.

It was possible to obtain crystals from the dimers and from **EDM-tri**. For all dimers, the BODIPY cores are mutually slightly twisted (~12°, see Figure 2B). The small twist angle, however, implies a certain amount of conjugation through the central nitrogen atom. In contrast, **EDM-tri** shows a stronger deviation from planarity, which is probably attributable to steric hindrance,

thus causing one of the peripheral cores to be tilted by as much as 29° with regard to the plane of the residual two units. Moreover, one molecule of CH₂Cl₂ is adjacent to the cavity, indicating hydrogen bonding to the BF₂ units. Furthermore, the C-N-C bond angles of the *N*-bridges range between 123° and 127°, showing deviation from the theoretical value of 120° for sp²-hybridized nitrogen. Within the resulting cavity, the minimum distance between fluorine and the bridging nitrogen atom amounts to 2.9 Å and 3.4 Å for the opposing BF₂ units for the dimer. The trimer in comparison shows larger distances of the two closest fluorine atoms of two different BF₂ groups (3.9 Å) and as much as 5.2 Å for the two peripheral BODIPY units (Figure 2C). For more details see the Supporting Information.



Figure 2. Molecular structures of DM-Me-di A) front view, B) top view and EDMtri C) front view and D) top view. Hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity. Ellipsoids correspond to 50% probability levels.

The photophysical behavior of the dimers shows a strong bathochromic shift of the main absorption band compared to the respective monomers (from $\lambda^{A}_{max} = 510$ nm to 659 nm for the **EDM** examples) and also significantly increased attenuation coefficients ε (Figure 3). An excerpt of the respective data is given below (Table 1). The presence of a second absorption region at approximately 500 nm (S₂ state) indicates a Davydov splitting as a result of an excitonic coupling process. The unusual double-peak shape may suggest some conformational instabilities. In this context, the absorption profile is expanded to three absorption events at the trimer, corresponding to three excitonic states excited at 752 nm (S₁), 562 nm (S₂), and 470 nm (S₃), respectively. Notably, the S₂ state exhibits the highest oscillator

strength, attributed to the significant geometrical deviation from linearity, gradually leading to a helical superstructure for higher homologs (Figure 4). This trend is accentuated for the tetramers, where the absorption signature becomes intricate. However, the intensified coiling in this case, where the terminal BODIPY units start overlapping and thus forming a looped superstructure, results in an exceptionally weak S₁←S₀ excitation at 820 nm. The remaining states of the exciton manifold are hardly assignable because of the amount and overlap of absorption bands, yet they are responsible for the absorptions at 633 nm and 521 nm. The oscillator strength distribution of the exciton manifold was simulated through TDDFT computations and accurately mirrors the experimentally observed absorption band intensities for all oligomer species (Figure 4). The emission strength decreases gradually along the oligomeric series. While the monomers exhibit fluorescence quantum yields $\Phi_{\rm F}$ of up to 0.53 in CH₂Cl₂, these values decrease to $\Phi_{\rm F}$ < 0.01 for the dimer and trimer. For the tetramers, emission is hardly detectable ($\Phi_{\rm F} \ll 0.01$).



Figure 3. Absorption and emission spectra of EDM-BODIPYs. Absorption and emission spectra were recorded in solutions of CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature.

Table 1. Absorption and emission data of EDM -B

Compound	λ^{A}_{max} [nm]	λ ^F _{max} [nm]	<i>∆î</i> ″[cm⁻¹]	<i>ɛ</i> [10³ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹]	₽⊧
EDM-Ar- mono-Cl	510	534	881	121	0.04
EDM-Ar- mono-NH ₂	525	539	495	59	< 0.01
EDM-Ar-di	482, 510, 659	671	271	47, 40, 134	< 0.01
EDM-tri	562, 757	778	357 ^[b]	97, 76	< 0.01
EDM-tet	521, 633	n.d. ^[c]	-	111, 114	-

[a] Absorption and emission spectra were recorded in solutions of CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature. [b] $\lambda^{A}_{max,1}$ is not responsible for λ^{F}_{max} . Stokes shift $\Delta \tilde{\nu}$ was calcd. using the respective $\lambda^{A}_{max,2}$. [c] Not detected/determined. Further spectroscopic data is given in the Supporting Information.

The frontier orbitals of the oligomeric series integrate the lobe patterns found for the monomeric building blocks. All BODIPY units are characterized by an electron-depleted *meso* position at the HOMO and also by the cyanine-like relocalization of electron density to this position during excitation (Figure 4).

Cyclic voltammograms of amine-linked oligomers and the respective monomers for the EDM-series are shown below (Figure 5). In general, the larger the molecules, the easier the oxidation; however, most of them are oxidized irreversibly. The monomeric primary amine and the trimer show irreversible oxidation, unlike the respective chloride and the dimer. However, the chlorinated monomer has only one reversible reduction potential at -1.28 V, whereas the dimer shows two reduction potentials, at -1.25 V and at -1.64 V.



Figure 4. Frontier orbitals and minimum energy structures of oligomeric series. Geometrical optimizations at the DFT level (M052X-D3/Def2TZVP) in vacuo. Oscillator strengths (*f* values) obtained from corresponding TDDFT computations (ω B97xD/Def2TZVP). The input structures were truncated at the *meso* phenyl residues (*iso*-butyl groups).

The trimer shows almost irreversible oxidation potentials at 0.69 V and 1.33 V and also reduction at -1.69 V. EDM-tet, however, shows several oxidation and reduction potentials within the range of ± 2.00 V, which are mostly irreversible (Figure 5). Attempts to oxidize the obtained oligomers did not provide guinodiimine analogs as for the cyclic derivates.[8]



Figure 5. Cyclic voltammograms. Cyclic voltammetry (IUPAC convention) was measured of 4 mM solutions in CH₂Cl₂ with TBAPF₆ (0.4 M) in reference to a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) with a scan rate of 200 mV/s (clockwise, starting from 0 V) in steps of 1 mV at room temperature.

Conclusion

In summary, we have successfully developed a method to access linearly amine-linked BODIPYs using Buchwald-Hartwig conditions. Terminal Br substituents allowed elongation of the chain by two further BODIPY subunits. X-ray structure analyses revealed conjugation of the various subunits via the linking nitrogen atom. Absorption spectra show significantly increased attenuation coefficients for the oligomers in comparison to the respective monomers, and also strong bathochromic shifts. DFT calculations provided an insight into the electronic properties and showed a decreasing HOMO/LUMO gap as well as increasing oscillator strengths (f values) of the excited states with increasing level of oligomerization. The computed orbital energies are also closely consistent with cyclovoltammetric investigations, demonstrating a more facile oxidation and reduction with increasing chain length.

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge and contains detailed experimental procedures, analytical, X-ray crystallographic and absorption and emission data, and ¹H, ¹³C, ¹⁹F and ¹¹B NMR spectra of all new compounds.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation, WE2932/14-1) and livMatS Cluster of Excellence under Germany's Excellence Strategy (EXC-2193/1-390951807) for funding. S.H.R. thanks Adrian Bauschke and Susanne Klein (both TU Braunschweig) for their support and Boumahdi Benkmil (University of Freiburg) for X-ray diffraction analysis as well as Dr. Ulrich Papke (TU Braunschweig) for the HRMS measurements and discussions thereof.

Keywords: BODIPY · dyes · amines · oligomers · Buchwald-Hartwig coupling

- A. Treibs, F.-H. Kreuzer, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1968, 718, 208. [1] [2]
- a) A. Loudet, K. Burgess, Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 4891-4932; b) G. Ulrich, R. Ziessel, A. Harriman, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 1184-1201; c) V. Lakshmi, M. R. Rao, M. Ravikanth, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 2501–2517; d) N. Boens, B. Verbelen, M. J. Ortiz, L. Jiao, W. Dehaen, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2019, 399, 213024; e) A. Orte, E. Debroye, M. J. Ruedas-Rama, E. Garcia-Fernandez, D. Robinson, L. Crovetto, E. M. Talavera, J. M. Alvarez-Pez, V. Leen, B. Verbelen, L. Cunha Dias de Rezende, W. Dehaen, J. Hofkens, M. van der Auweraer, N. Boens, RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 102899-102913; f) R. L. Gapare, A. Thompson, Chem. Comm. 2022, 58, 7351-7359; g) Z. Liu, Z. Jiang, M. Yan, X. Wang, Front. Chem. 2019, 7, 712; h) A. M. Gomez, J. C. Lopez, Pure Appl. Chem. 2019, 91, 1073–1083; i) Y. A. Volkova, B. Brizet, P. D. Harvey, A. D. Averin, C. Goze, F. Denat, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *2013*, 4270–4279; j) L. J. Patalag, J. Hoche, R. Mitric, D. B. Werz, B. L. Feringa, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2022, 61, e2021168.
- [3] a) M. R. Rao, S. M. Mobin, M. Ravikanth, Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 1728-1734; b) T. Koczorowski, A. Glowacka-Sobotta, S. Sysak, D. T. Mlynarczyk, R. Lesyk, T. Goslinski, L. Sobotta, *Appl. Sci.* **2022**, *12*, 7815; (c) J. M. Franke, B. K. Raliski, S. C. Boggess, D. V. Natesan, E. T. Koretsky, P. Zhang, R. U. Kulkarni, P. E. Deal, E. W. Miller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 12824-12831; d) J. C. Er, C. Leong, C. L. Teoh, Q. Yuan, P. Merchant, M. Dunn, D. Sulzer, D. Sames, A. Bhinge, D. Kim, S.-M. Kim, M.-H. Yoon, L. W. Stanton, S. H. Je, S.-W. Yun, Y.-T. Chang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 2442–2446; e) G. Li, X. Zhang, W. Zhao, W. Zhao, F. Li, K. Xiao, Q. Yu, S. Liu, Q. Zhao, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2020, 12, 20180-20190; f) A. Blázquez-Moraleja, L. Maierhofer, E. Mann, R. Prieto-Montero, A. Oliden-Sánchez, L. Celada, V. Martínez-Martínez, M.-D. Chiara, J. L. Chiara, Org. Chem. Front. 2022, 9, 5774–5789; g) I. A. O. Bozzi, L. A. Machado, E. B. T. Diogo, F. G. Delolo, L. O. F. Barros, G. A. P. Graça, M. H. Araujo, F. T. Martins, L. F. Pedrosa, L. C. Da Luz, E. S. Moraes, F. S. Rodembusch, J. S. F. Guimarães, A. G. Oliveira, S. H. Röttger, D. B. Werz, C. P. Souza, F. Fantuzzi, J. Han, T. B. Marder, H. Braunschweig, E. N. Da Silva Júnior, Chem. Eur. J. 2023, e202303883; h) L. J. Patalag, S. Ahadi, O. Lashchuk, P. G. Jones, S. Ebbinghaus, D. B. Werz, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 8766-8771
- a) Y. Hayashi, S. Yamaguchi, W. Y. Cha, D. Kim, H. Shinokubo, Org. Lett. [4] 2011, 13, 2992–2995; b) T. Sakida, S. Yamaguchi, H. Shinokubo, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 2280-2283; c) J. Ahrens, B. Cordes, R. Wicht, B. Wolfram, M. Bröring, Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 10320-10325; d) Q. Wu, Z. Kang, Q. Gong, X. Guo, H. Wang, D. Wang, L. Jiao, E. Hao, *Org. Lett.* **2020**, *22*, 7513–7517; e) W. Wu, H. Guo, W. Wu, S. Ji, J. Zhao, *J. Org.* Chem. 2011, 76, 7056–7064; f) J. Ahrens, B. Haberlag, A. Scheja, M. Tamm, M. Bröring, Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 2901–2912; g) L. J. Patalag, L. P. Ho, P. G. Jones, D. B. Werz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 15104-15113; h) N. J. Hestand, F. C. Spano, Chem. Rev. 2018, 118, 7069-7163; i) D. Wang, Q. Wu, X. Zhang, W. Wang, E. Hao, L. Jiao, Org. Lett. 2020, 22. 7694-7698.
- [5] a) A. Wakamiya, T. Murakami, S. Yamaguchi, Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 1002-1007; b) M. Nakamura, H. Tahara, K. Takahashi, T. Nagata, H. Uoyama, D. Kuzuhara, S. Mori, T. Okujima, H. Yamada, H. Uno, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2012, 10, 6840-6849; c) H. Yokoi, N. Wachi, S. Hiroto, H. Shinokubo, Chem. Comm. 2014, 50, 2715-2717; d) J. Wang, Q. Wu, S. Wang, C. Yu, J. Li, E. Hao, Y. Wei, X. Mu, L. Jiao, *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 5360–5363; e) A. Patra, L. J. Patalag, P. G. Jones, D. B. Werz, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, 60, 747-752; f) Y. Ni, S. Lee, M. Son, N. Aratani, M. Ishida, A. Samanta, H. Yamada, Y.-T. Chang, H. Furuta, D. Kim, J. Wu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 2815–2819; g) Q. Wu, G. Jia, B. Tang, X. Guo, H. Wu, C. Yu, E. Hao, L. Jiao, *Org. Lett.* **2020**, *22*, 9239–9243; h) Q. Gong, Q. Wu, X. Guo, H. Li, W. Li, C. Yu, E. Hao, L. Jiao, Org. Lett. 2021, 23, 7661-7665; i) H. F. von Köller, F. J. Geffers, P. Kalvani, A. Foraita, P.-E. J. Loß, B. Butschke, P. G. Jones, D. B. Werz, Chem. Comm. 2023, 59, 14697-14700; j) C. Yu, Y. Sun, Q. Wu, Y. Shi, L. Jiao, J. Wang, X. Guo, J. Li, J. Li, E. Hao, J. Org. Chem. 2023, 88, 14368-14376.
- [6] H. Yokoi, S. Hiroto, H. Shinokubo, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 3004-3007.

- Q. Gong, Q. Wu, X. Guo, W. Li, L. Wang, E. Hao, L. Jiao, *Org. Lett.* **2021**, *23*, 7220–7225. [7]
- Y. Rao, L. Xu, M. Zhou, B. Yin, A. Osuka, J. Song, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. [8] 2022, 134, e202206899.

[9] V. Leen, E. Braeken, K. Luckermans, C. Jackers, M. van der Auweraer, N. Boens, W. Dehaen, *Chem. Comm.* 2009, 4515–4517.
 [10] Z. Guo, X. Wei, Y. Hua, J. Chao, D. Liu, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2015, *56*, 3919–3922.

- [11] Y.-Z. Ke, R.-J. Ji, T.-C. Wei, S.-L. Lee, S.-L. Huang, M.-J. Huang, C. Chen, T.-Y. Luh, *Macromolecules* **2013**, *46*, 6712–6722.
- [12] G. Duran-Sampedro, A. R. Agarrabeitia, I. Garcia-Moreno, A. Costela, J. Bañuelos, T. Arbeloa, I. López Arbeloa, J. L. Chiara, M. J. Ortiz, Eur. J.
- [13] B. J. Littler, M. A. Miller, C.-H. Hung, R. W. Wagner, D. F. O'Shea, P. D. Boyle, J. S. Lindsey, *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 1391–1396.
 [14] J. Yang, Z. Du, *CN106565762A*, **2017**.