# An affordable platform for automated synthesis and electrochemical characterization

3	Sergio Pablo-García <sup>1, 2</sup> , Ángel García <sup>3,*</sup> , Gun Deniz Akkoc <sup>4,5</sup> , Malcolm Sim <sup>1, 2</sup> , Yang
4	Cao <sup>1, 2, 6</sup> , Maxine Somers <sup>1, 2</sup> , Chance Hattrick <sup>1, 2</sup> , Naruki Yoshikawa <sup>2, 7</sup> , Dominik
5	Dworschak <sup>4</sup> , Han Hao <sup>1, 2, 6*</sup> , Alán Aspuru-Guzik <sup>1,2,6,7,8,9,10,*</sup>
	<sup>1</sup> Department of Chamistry, University of Toronto, Look Millor Chamical
6	Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, Lash Miller Chemical
7	Laboratories 80 St. George Street, Toronto, ON, M5S 3H6, Canada
8	<sup>2</sup> Department of Computer Science, University of Toronto, Sandford Fleming
9	Building, 10 King's College Rd., Toronto, ON, M5S 2E4, Canada
10	<sup>3</sup> Senior electrical engineer, Badalona, Catalonia, Spain
11	<sup>₄</sup> Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Helmholtz Institute Erlangen-Nürnberg for
12	Renewable Energy (IEK-11), Cauerstraße 1, 91058 Erlangen, Germany
13	$^{\scriptscriptstyle 5}$ Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Department of Chemical and
14	Biological Engineering, Egerlandstraße 3, 91058 Erlangen, Germany
15	<sup>6</sup> Acceleration Consortium, 80 St George St, Toronto, ON, M5S 3H6, Canada
16	<sup>7</sup> Vector Institute for Artificial Intelligence, 661 University Ave. Suite 710, Toronto,
17	ON, M5G 1M1, Canada
18	<sup>8</sup> Department of Materials Science & Engineering, University of Toronto, 184
19	College St., Toronto, ON, M5S 3E4, Canada
20	<sup>9</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering & Applied Chemistry, University of Toronto,
21	200 College St. Toronto, ON, M5S 3E5, Canada
22	<sup>19</sup> Lebovic Fellow, Canadian Institute for Advanced Research (CIFAR), 661 University
23	Ave., Toronto, ON, M5G 1M1, Canada
24	<sup>*</sup> Corresponding authors email: ag1289@gmail.com , hann.hao@utoronto.ca
25	<u>alan@aspuru.com</u>

26

2024-02-07

# 1 Abstract

2 Electrochemical techniques are pivotal for material discovery and renewable energy; 3 however, often the extensive chemical spaces to be explored require high-throughput 4 experimentation (HTE) to ensure timely results, which are costly both for instrument and 5 materials/consumables. While self-driving laboratories (SDL) promise efficient chemical 6 exploration, most contemporary implementations demand significant time, economic 7 investment, and expertise. This study introduces an open and cost-effective autonomous 8 electrochemical setup, comprising a synthesis platform and a custom-designed 9 potentiostat device. We present an SDL platform that offers rapid deployment and 10 extensive control over electrochemical experiments compared to commercial 11 alternatives. Using ChemOS 2.0 for orchestration, we showcase our setup's capabilities 12 through a campaign in which different metal ions reacts with ligands to form 13 coordination compounds., yielding a database of 400 electrochemical measurements. 14 Committed to open science, we provide a potentiostat design, campaign software, and 15 raw data, aiming to democratize customized components in SDLs and ensure transparent 16 data sharing and reproducibility.

# <sup>1</sup> Introduction

2 Self-driving laboratories<sup>1-3</sup> (SDLs) represent a paradigm shift in chemical research, 3 integrating three key components:<sup>4</sup> (i) automated laboratory equipment,<sup>5</sup> (ii) 4 experimental planners,<sup>6,7</sup> and (iii) orchestration software,<sup>8,9</sup> with seamless communication 5 among these elements. Automated procedures enhance throughput and, once 6 established, easily lend themselves to parallelization in a reproducible fashion, provided 7 instrument choices and operational know-how are in place. While parallelization is well 8 adapted to automated high-throughput calculations, device costs, access to 9 reagents/consumables, and space limitations generally impede the broad application of 10 parallelled automated experimentation. Recent initiatives within the open-source 11 hardware and software community,<sup>10</sup> in tandem with the "maker movement",<sup>11</sup> offer 12 promising solutions, emphasizing customizability and cost-effectiveness. The 13 computer-driven, self-diagnosing nature of SDLs facilitates on-the-fly decision-making, 14 potentially generating consistent and reproducible experimental data in both human and 15 machine-readable formats.<sup>12-14</sup>

<sup>16</sup> In recent years, SDLs have found wide-ranging applications in material discovery,<sup>15-17</sup> <sup>17</sup> renewable energy production,<sup>18,19</sup> energy storage,<sup>20</sup> and synthetic chemistry.<sup>21,22</sup> <sup>18</sup> High-throughput methodologies, particularly in material synthesis and testing, have <sup>19</sup> become pivotal, with electrochemical techniques playing a central role in characterizing <sup>20</sup> candidate materials.<sup>23</sup> Importantly, electrochemistry SDLs have demonstrated their <sup>21</sup> supremacy over traditional workflows in the pace and quality of generating <sup>22</sup> electrochemistry data.<sup>24-27</sup> Nevertheless, most examples still rely on commercial <sup>23</sup> potentiostats to carry out experiments, with applications that range from fundamental <sup>24</sup> cyclic voltammetry to more advanced techniques.<sup>28</sup> Despite the common use of <sup>25</sup> commercial potentiostats, their accessibility is hindered by their proprietary software, <sup>26</sup> obfuscated data format, and, more importantly, the elevated financial cost coming along <sup>27</sup> with high-precision commercial platforms. Conventional single-channel desktop <sup>28</sup> potentiostats are not designed for parallel analysis, facing challenges in high-throughput <sup>29</sup> analyses, while multi-channel instruments compound expenses.

<sup>30</sup> In response, the scientific community has endeavored to develop open-design <sup>31</sup> potentiostat solutions since the early 1970s.<sup>29-32</sup> The more recent attempts aimed to <sup>32</sup> further lower the economic barriers and enhance their compatibility with automated <sup>33</sup> setups<sup>33-37</sup> whereas some developed potentiostats focused on wireless capabilities, <sup>34</sup> portability and point-of-care applications.<sup>38-40</sup> All these progress were made possible by <sup>35</sup> taking advantage of the improvements in electronic parts of potentiostats, such as <sup>36</sup> microprocessors, analog-to-digital converters (ADC), digital-to-analog converters (DAC) 1 and operational amplifiers (Op-amp). Nevertheless, open source devices that provide
2 advanced functionalities inherent to their commercial counterpart and an easy
3 integration with orchestration frameworks, are still lacking for SDLs.

<sup>4</sup> Another crucial aspect of electrochemical SDL setups involves automated synthesis and <sup>5</sup> sample transfer capabilities. While many commercial solutions are readily available, the <sup>6</sup> advent of SDLs has sparked interest in open-source and cost-effective alternatives <sup>7</sup> designed with modularity and adaptability in mind.<sup>14</sup> Although previous attempts have <sup>8</sup> been made to integrate electrochemical systems with synthesis platforms, these solutions <sup>9</sup> often tend to be confined to specific setups, missing the essential traits of generality and <sup>10</sup> modularity. Previously, taking the advances in automating organic chemistry established <sup>11</sup> by the Burke group<sup>41</sup> while further implementing modular design principles and <sup>12</sup> open-source software, we introduced an extendable multipurpose platform, MEDUSA, as <sup>13</sup> the next generation of "The Machine".<sup>7,42</sup> Specifically, it has full potential to incorporate <sup>14</sup> both post-synthesis/processing analysis in automation workflows, therefore is ideal for <sup>15</sup> establishing end-to-end automated electrochemistry platforms.



Figure 1. Schematic of this work. Given a set of ligands and metals, mix them using our open synthesis platform
 "MEDUSA" and characterize them using our open design potentiostat. Finally, store the raw characterization data in our database.

<sup>20</sup> Further elaborating our proof-of-concept prototype for open and affordable SDL,<sup>7</sup> this <sup>21</sup> work integrates an automated laboratory framework that combines an in-house designed, <sup>22</sup> compact potentiostat device with a basic version of MEDUSA (**Figure 1**). The primary goal <sup>23</sup> is to demonstrate the capabilities of this integrated setup by streamlining the generation <sup>24</sup> of a comprehensive database containing metal/ligand complexes of electrochemical <sup>25</sup> interest. The framework's affordability and open-source architecture make it an <sup>26</sup> attractive option for electrochemical researchers seeking a flexible, cost-effective 1 platform for high-throughput chemical screening. Integrating SDLs into advanced 2 workflows can unleash end-to-end automation, facilitate high-throughput 3 experimentation, and enable active decision-making, thereby producing the high-quality 4 datasets essential for both experimental and machine-learning communities.<sup>43</sup> This 5 adaptability positions the framework as a valuable tool for generating reproducible and 6 reliable data, with potential applications spanning redox flow batteries, environmental 7 toxin detection, and beyond.

# Results

## 9 Potentiostat design and benchmarking

10 Potentiostats are essential characterization tools for electrochemical studies. However, 11 most labs use potentiostats from commercial vendors are a) controlled by proprietary 12 software; b) using graphic user interfaces (GUI); and c) generate post-processing 13 post-processing data. Because of these limitations, these potentiostats leave aside full 14 control via an application programming interface (API) and direct access to raw 15 measurements. While user-friendly for manual operations, the three features mentioned 16 above pose challenges when integrating into automated platforms, therefore calling for 17 potentiostats well digitized to support data-rich experiments and electrochemical process 18 analysis in modern SDLs. Applying the basic principles of electronics,<sup>44</sup> we have designed 19 an open-source potentiostat using a STM32 chipset, together with open source firmware 20 and interface. Notably, the instrument benefits from its low cost (circa CAD 120/device, 21 see Note S1) and compact size (2 cm x 5 cm x 10 cm), consequently it can be easily 22 parallelized to enable high-throughput experiments (HTE) even for groups with a limited 23 budget or are starting their first SDL setup. The potentiostat can be operated with a single 24 USB cable for power and data transmission. The software design allows seamless 25 implementation of highly customizable electrochemical protocols in addition to readily 26 provided standard techniques. The detailed design of the potentiostat can be found 27 attached as supporting information. Figure S1 shows a 3D render of the device. Note S2 28 contains a comprehensive description of the design and the firmware implementation.



1

Figure 2. Benchmark of our custom potentiostat using K<sub>4</sub>[Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>] as reference and NaCl 1 M as electrolyte. a-b
 Comparison of CV (a) and DPV (b) techniques employing both a commercial potentiostat with a traditional setup (blue)
 and our custom platform (orange) at a reference concentration of 10 mM. c-d Results of CV (c) and DPV (d)
 measurements at various reference concentrations using our custom platform. e-f Characterization of the reference
 compound at different scan rates, maintaining a fixed reference concentration of 10 mM, utilizing our custom platform.
 Measurement parameters can be found in the Methodology-Electrochemical Measurement section.

1 In addition to low-cost potentiostats, the introduction of disposable printed electrodes 2 and miniature flow cells has greatly changed electrochemical analysis as it liberates 3 human operators from tedious surface treatment of electrodes, while significantly 4 reducing material cost.<sup>45-47</sup> To demonstrate the capability of a low-cost electrochemistry 5 platform, we benchmarked our homemade potentiostat against a commercial 6 electrochemistry workstation (Biologic SP-300) using screen-printed electrodes 7 (Dropsense, see Supporting Information for details). For both Cyclic Voltammetry (CV) 8 and Differential Pulse Voltammetry (DPV) experiments with a 10 mM  $K_4$  [Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>] standard 9 solution, the performance of our low-cost platform and that of a traditional platform are 10 comparable. (Figure 2a-b) We further performed variable concentration experiments to 11 investigate the sensitivities of our platform (Figure 2c-d), suggesting a detection limit of 12 1 mM for CV and 0.1 mM for DPV techniques, which lies in the common range of both 13 techniques. In variable scan rate experiments, a linear relationship could be identified 14 between the peak current against the square root of the scan rate (Figure 2e-f), in line 15 with expected diffusion-controlled redox events. In both variable concentration and scan 16 rate experiments, the performance of our low-cost platform is competitive to the 17 traditional platform based on a commercial potentiostat. Further benchmarks can be 18 found in Figures S2-S5.

#### 19 Experimental setup

20 Our experimental setup, as illustrated in **Figure 3**, comprises an integrated framework 21 for synthesis/characterization and orchestration components. In the synthesis 22 component, we have: (**a**) two selection valves, each linked to either metal or ligand 23 solutions. (**b**) A reactor designed to mix the metal and ligand candidates effectively and 24 (**c**) a syringe pump, connecting the aforementioned components to the electrochemical 25 cells. The electrochemical setup consists of: (**d**) Two potentiostats, each individually 26 connected to an independent printed electrode. (**e**) These electrodes are housed within 27 acrylic flow cells, connected to a (**f**) waste vessel. Coordination and control of these 28 systems are orchestrated by: (**g**) A mini-PC, which oversees and manages their operations 29 and (**h**) a running SiLA2 server, enabling the exposure of synthesis and characterization 30 processes to (**i**) ChemOS 2.0, facilitating remote automation and data collection. The raw 31 data acquired from the potentiostat devices is collected by ChemOS 2.0, processed, and 32 stored within an (**i**) internal SQL database situated on an external device.



Figure 3: Design of our automated framework divided in a-c automated synthetic platform (MEDUSA), d-f
electrochemical setup, g-i orchestration j-l actual experimental setups. The components are the following: a selection
valves, b chemical reactor, c syringe pump, d potentiostats, e electrochemistry cells, f waste, g driver controller, h
orchestration software, i database. The (solid) physical connections between the components are (red) tubes and (teal)
wires, and the (discontinued) information transfer connections are (black) USB and (blue) network. j-k show the photos
of the actual platform: j potentiostat devices, k Echem cell and I the general setup.

1

8 Automated electrochemistry experimentation is made possible through an iterative 9 workflow, as depicted in **Figure 4**. This workflow encompasses the following key steps: (a) 10 The pump transfers reference solution (10 mM  $K_4$ [Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>], 1 M NaCl) to Echem cells. (b) 11 The potentiostats conduct CV and DPV experiments, and results are processed on the 12 edge. (c) The server actively decides for continuing loop or human intervention 13 notification based on processed data. (d) The pump transfers metal/ligand pairs with a 14 specific ratio to the reactor for complexation. (e) The pump sends the reaction mixture to 15 Echem cells. (f) The potentiostats conduct CV and DPV experiments. (g) Subsequently, 16 cleaning is applied to eliminate any residual chemicals on the cell. (h) Finally, the server 17 reports the results to the user. The detailed complexation and electrochemical 18 procedures are described in the **Experimental Methodology** section. While the 19 coordination of ligands to metals involves various kinetic profiles and complicated 20 thermal dynamic equilibriums, here we only measure the electrochemical profile of 21 well-mixed reaction mixtures after staying at room temperature for ~5 min without 22 extensively optimizing the reaction conditions and purifying the products.<sup>48</sup>

#### **Reference characterization**



2 Figure 4. Iterative Workflow for High-Throughput Experimentation. a reference transfer. b reference measurement. c
 3 reference data processing and decision making. d complexation reaction. e sample transfer. f sample measurement. g
 4 clean-up. h sample data processing. Note that the blocks (yellow and green) represent different procedures using the
 5 same setup.

6 The raw and the processed results generated in steps **b** and **f** are promptly gathered and 7 uploaded to ChemOS 2.0, where they are cataloged and stored within an SQL database 8 (**Note S3**). Notably, as one pump serves two electrochemical cells/potentiostats (as seen in 9 **Figure 3 d-e**, once step **e** concludes, steps **f-g** are replicated in a second cell, albeit with a 10 time gap due to the sequential operation of the pump. Given the limited lifespan of the 11 printed electrodes (2 - 6 hours of continuous operation), on-the-edge processed results of 12 the reference measurement are chosen as approximates of the health of the electrodes. 13 The server makes decisions on the processed data and prompts messages to a human 14 chemist for intervention (see **Experimental Methodology** for details). Overall, the entire 15 workflow is implemented as a Python script running on a computer hosting ChemOS 2.0 16 (**Note S4-S5**).

#### 17 Data generation

1

18 The proposed workflow has been employed for synthesizing and characterizing
19 ligand/metal complexes, involving the combination of 10 different metals and 10 distinct
20 ligands in phase one of our campaign. This endeavor culminated in the generation of 100
21 unique complexes. The total list of metals and ligands can be found in Tables S2-S3,

1 respectively. Each complex was prepared at 1:7 metal/ligand concentration ratios to 2 ensure complete complexation, utilizing 1.0 M NaCl in water as the electrolyte/solvent, 3 and 1:1 HOAc/NaOAc as the buffer solution. Subsequently, comprehensive 4 characterizations were conducted, employing CV and DPV techniques. This thorough 5 investigation resulted in a substantial database encompassing 400 voltammetry data. 6 Noteworthy, the workflow embraces the potential to expand to a broader space including 7 additional ligands, different metal/ligand ratios, mixed ligands and addition orders, 8 buffer pH, and reaction time. The accumulation of data points is still ongoing.

9 To derive meaningful insights from the CV and DPV measurements, advanced data 10 processing techniques were applied. For CV, a window average was utilized to rectify 11 noisy data. In the case of DPV, the results were fitted to a Gaussian equation to enhance 12 the signal's shape. Furthermore, a peak-finding algorithm, implemented in Scipy, was 13 employed to identify the key peaks in both sets of measurements. To enhance the 14 transparency, reproducibility, and overall clarity of our dataset, we have included both 15 the raw and processed versions of the characterization measurements.

# 16 Discussion

#### 17 Framework

18 While most parts of the experiments were performed without human intervention, the 19 screen-printed electrodes degraded throughout the experiments, which is indicated by 20 an increase of voltammogram baseline and diminishing of peaks corresponding to redox 21 events (**Figure S6**). For reversible electrochemistry systems such as  $K_4$ [Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>], the 22 lifetime of a typical electrode could reach up to 12 hours of operation. However, the 23 lifetime may be significantly reduced due to irreversible processes (e.g. metal reduction 24 and coating on the electrode) and heterogeneous samples (e.g. precipitation formation in 25 reaction). In a typical example, one electrode can be used for 10 metal/ligand 26 combinations. An estimation of the experimental cost can be found on **Note S6**.

27 During the experiment, several issues have been identified, which will be improved in our 28 future work: (i) While the synthesis platform was assembled by an undergraduate student 29 in our research group within 2 h, it might be challenging for inexperienced researchers 30 new to the system. We plan to prepare a protocol describing the assembly and usage of 31 the platform in detail. (ii) Potentiostats have a relatively high power draw of 600 mA each, 32 which precluded the use of a Raspberry Pi 4 as the controller as they have has a power 33 limit of 1.2 A. Future iterations will include a separate external power supply to increase 1 the number of supported frameworks. (iii) The communication between the mini-PC and
2 the potentiostats is implemented using serial protocols without error detection, which
3 occasionally leads to corrupt data. We are currently including verification methods such
4 as checksum/CRC or Modbus protocols to improve reliability. The improved versions will
5 be included in future versions of the firmware.

## 6 Auto complexation and electrochemical measurement capabilities

7 Despite the challenges encountered, our framework exhibited remarkable efficiency,
8 executing 400 electrochemical measurements in a semi-automated mode. This
9 achievement not only underscores the robustness of our approach but also highlights the
10 promising potential of cost-effective setups for conducting diverse coordination
11 chemistry and electrochemical experiments.

12 Integral to this adaptability is the modular architecture of MEDUSA, enhancing the 13 overall extensibility of our system. This modularity facilitates ease of integration and 14 positions our setup to navigate more complex electrochemical configurations, especially 15 those necessitating multiple stations. Our autocomplexation capabilities not only meet 16 the demands of conventional electrochemical techniques but also set the stage for 17 exploration and innovation in more intricate electrochemical setups.

18 While our focus is centred on CV and DPV, the potentiostat's adaptability allows for the 19 utilization of dynamic voltage shapes (**Note S1**). For instance, a current hold function was 20 easily implemented in the firmware, unlocking the chronopotentiometry (CP) analysis. 21 Further inclusion of advanced electrochemistry techniques, including AC voltammetry 22 (ACV), squarewave voltammetry (SWV), electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), 23 as well as utilizations in electrolysis and electrosynthesis studies, is ongoing and will be 24 supported in future firmware versions.

# 25 Efficient data generation

26 Human operators, susceptible to stress and errors during repetitive tasks, pose challenges 27 to the robustness of experimental campaigns, introducing uncertainties into 28 measurements. Despite the initial investment in time and resources for our experimental 29 setup, the enhanced reliability and robustness it brings significantly outweigh these 30 costs. Automated logging capabilities within our setup play a crucial role. The data 31 obtained is seamlessly stored and organized in an internal database, providing easy 32 access and expediting the process of failure debugging. This not only ensures cleaner data 33 management but also accelerates the identification and resolution of issues. <sup>1</sup> Moreover, the low-level access to the code of both the auto-complexation platform and <sup>2</sup> the potentiostat amplifies the benefits. The unprocessed data can be readily shared, <sup>3</sup> fostering transparency and collaboration. By benefiting from this open approach, <sup>4</sup> external researchers can detect errors, audit our code, and, in terms of Linus's Law,<sup>49</sup> <sup>5</sup> contribute to its continuous improvement over time. This collaborative cycle ensures not <sup>6</sup> only precision and reliability in our data generation but also promotes the perpetual <sup>7</sup> enhancement of the system's quality.

### 8 Automated data management

9 Our data management strategy integrates with ChemOS 2.0, serving as a centralized 10 orchestrator. The SiLA2 server within our framework enhances reliability and simplifies 11 data curation, embodying our commitment to human-readable and efficient 12 experimental workflows. Key to this integration is using a JSON schema in the job file 13 (Note S5), structured hierarchically to represent experimental variables. The SiLA2 server 14 parses and translates this schema to the internal workflow operations, ensuring precise 15 execution of experimental protocols. Characterization results are presented in a universal 16 CSV format, facilitating compatibility with various external data analysis tools.

17 The coupling of job/result pairs with detailed logging information is systematically 18 stored in the orchestrator's database. This structured approach allows for easy querying 19 of experimental results, promoting accessibility and data-driven analysis. For a 20 comprehensive understanding of the database schema, refer to **Note S3**.

## 21 Active decision making

22 Within our setup, alongside the firmware and automation code, a minimal but effective 23 active decision-making algorithm (**Figure 4**) serves to alert users in case of reference 24 measurement failures. Despite its simplicity, this feature enhances the robustness of our 25 system by notifying users of potential issues promptly.

26 As we designed our framework, we identified diverse areas that stand to benefit 27 significantly from the integration of active decision-making algorithms. These areas 28 include tuning DPV parameters, dynamic characterization techniques, and intricate 29 experimental planning targeting specific observables.<sup>7</sup> Incorporating such techniques 30 would undoubtedly elevate our framework's quality and efficiency.

31 However, we acknowledge the inherent complexity of implementing these advanced 32 decision-making algorithms, recognizing that their integration falls outside the current 33 scope of our work. Yet, embracing our project's *libre* nature, we invite external 1 researchers to explore and modify our existing setup and code. This collaborative
2 approach encourages the implementation of these advanced features into diverse
3 workflows, expanding the capabilities of our framework over time.

#### <sup>4</sup> Data Analysis

5 Out of the 100 duplicates of CV experiments, only two pairs of voltammograms exhibit 6 significant shape differences in the terms of peak numbers and/or positions, while the 7 same number of different pairs exists in the DPV experiments. Meanwhile, pairs 8 exhibiting differences in peak intensities can be identified. These results suggest our 9 electrochemical measurement setup and activation decision making algorithm can 10 produce highly reliable and reproducible results, which could be challenging for manual 11 systems. Noteworthy, although only 10 ligands and fixed conditions, including pH, 12 metal/ligand ratios, reaction time were applied in the early phase of our data collection 13 campaign, more complicated ligand space and complexation conditions can be easily 14 applied to the currently ongoing project.

15 Among the successfully performed CV experiments, 16 examples do not show significant 16 peaks corresponding to redox events within the measurement range (-1.2 to 1.1 V, 17 electrochemical window of water). Similarly 24 DPV experiments do not show significant 18 peaks in both cathodic and anodic scans, which contain all 16 aforementioned examples. 19 These observations suggest that while being a more sensitive technique by principle and 20 by benchmarking experiments with  $K_4$ [Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>] reference solutions, DPV measurements 21 with unoptimized parameters may not be sufficient for more general electrochemical 22 measurements.

23 The peaks identified in DPV experiments tend to be more symmetric and less overlapping 24 with each other compared to the CV experiments, making it easier to identify the peak 25 positions and intensities for quantification purpose. However, DPV experiments take 26 longer time than CV experiments (253 s vs 115 s per experiment) even 5 cycles were 27 performed in all CV measurements. Additionally, 16 examples show reduction of signals 28 with increasing CV cycle numbers, suggesting coating/deposition on the electrode 29 surface which leads to electrode degradation. Therefore, predicting the DPV and 30 many-cycle CV results based on the initial few CV cycles would be important to save time 31 and cost.

32

## 1 Conclusions and Outlook

2 We constructed an Echem self-driving laboratory platform to unravel the end-to-end 3 automated synthesis and electrochemical measurements of metal complexes. Based on 4 our homemade synthesis robot and potentiostat, as well as open-source software and 5 hardware design, we provide a low-cost, accessible and reliable solution for automating 6 electrochemistry data acquisition. Empowered by ChemOS 2.0 and active decision 7 algorithm, we demonstrate the capabilities of our platform by creating a high-quality, 8 reliable, open-source electrochemistry database containing 400 voltammograms, at a 9 speed and cost that can hardly be achieved by human operation. The database is actively 10 accumulating.

11 We believe this work can benefit the data-rich research focusing on electrochemistry, not 12 only because of the impressive data volume, data consistency and the raw data 13 accessibility. Importantly this campaign is not limited locally. Instead the low-cost and 14 open-source nature of our solution ensures a low-barrier knowledge transfer, therefore 15 ideal to be delivered and implemented across the world. We envision that further 16 adaptation of such a solution will finally lead to a delocalized and democratized 17 electrochemistry self-driving lab community.

# 18 Experimental procedures

## 19 Resources availability

#### 20 Lead contact

21 Further information and requests for resources should be directed to and will be fulfilled22 by Han Hao (hann.hao@utoronto.ca)

- 23 Materials availability
- 24 This study did not generate new material
- 25 Data and code availability

26 Potentiostat schematics, printed circuit board design (in gerber format), bill of materials
27 (in bom file format), and the design file of the case are included in our Zenodo repository
28 (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.10633135) Current drivers of the potentiostat, as well as the Python

**1** interface found to control it can be in our Gitlab repository 2 (https://gitlab.com/aspuru-guzik-group/potentiostat). The generated database, 3 containing the raw and processed CV and DPV measured results of all the measurements 4 can be also found in our Zenodo data repository.(DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.10633135). 5 MEDUSA drivers, Potentiostat drivers/interface and 3D printing design of potentiostat 6 enclosures are available in our Gitlab repository. Complexation robot controlling software available 7 are in our Gitlab repository 8 (https://gitlab.com/aspuru-guzik-group/self-driving-lab/instruments/e\_complex).

## 9 Experimental methodology

#### 10 Experiment workflow

11 The complexation reactions were conducted on "MEDUSA", a robotic platform for 12 automated chemistry synthesis. The platform is based on syringe pumps and selection 13 valves and has a modular design for easy expansion and adaptation to downstream 14 sample preparation and characterization. In the context of this manuscript, two 15 electrochemistry characterization modules, each containing an Echem flow cell and a 16 potentiostat, were directly connected to the synthesis module, and all the syntheses and 17 measurements were performed on a single platform automatically without human 18 intervention except for refilling stock solution and changing electrodes.

#### 19 Active quality control

<sup>20</sup> The screen-printed electrode has a limited lifetime. To ensure the reliability and quality of <sup>21</sup> data and avoid artifacts from surface degradation and/or coating on the electrode, we <sup>22</sup> implemented an active decision-making strategy. We base this strategy on measurement <sup>23</sup> and on-the-edge (controller device) analysis of the reference solution (10 mM K<sub>4</sub>[Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>], <sup>24</sup> 1.0 M NaCl) and on-the-fly workflow management on the server.

25 The reference solution was transferred to the Echem cells followed by DPV and CV 26 measurements (see **Electrochemical measurement** subsection for parameters). An x64 27 mini-PC processes the DPV results as the edge device to generate the plot and 28 corresponding Gaussian fitting, which are streamed to the server. Based on the stress 29 testing, an arbitrary threshold of 0.20-0.25 V for peak center (x0) and <0.1 V for deviation 30 ( $\sigma$ ) was chosen. The server actively made decisions on continuing or halting experiments. 31 In the case of an experiment halt, a message was pushed to notify a human chemist using 32 Slackbot (**Note S7**).

#### 1 Complexation reactions and clean-up

- 2 Metal-ligand complexation reactions were performed in the following manner:
- 3 1. Add 0.25 mL of 1.0 M HOAc/NaOAc buffer solution.
- 4 2. Add 0.75 mL of 3.0 M NaCl solution.
- 5 3. Add 0.35 mL of 0.3 M ligand solution.
- 6 4. Add 0.15 mL of 0.1 M metal solution.
- 7 5. Purge 1.0 mL  $N_2$  through the reaction mixture, then draw-and-dispense 1 mL of
- 8 the reaction mixture; this step is repeated 3 times to ensure a good mix.
- 9 Clean-up was performed in the following manner:
- 10 1. Pass 0.25 mL of water through each Echem cell for 5 times.
- 11 2. Fully empty the reaction vial and discard the residue to waste.
- 12 3. Add 0.9 mL  $H_2O$  to the reaction vial then fully empty to waste, repeat this step 3 times to ensure thereagh cleaning of the reaction vial
- times to ensure thorough cleaning of the reaction vial.

#### 14 Electrochemical measurements

15 Both DPV and CV experiments were performed for the reference and the reaction 16 mixtures. Notably, to reduce the degradation of the electrode and avoid current spike at 17 the onset, DPV experiments were performed in one cycle, starting from open-circuit 18 potential (OCP) towards minimum voltage ( $V_{min}$ ), then raising to maximum voltage ( $V_{max}$ ), 19 finally returning to OCP.

20 Two sets of parameters were chosen for reference and reaction, respectively. The 21 reference DPVs were measured in the range of -0.1 - 0.5 V at 100 mV pulse voltage and 10 22 mV step voltage with 50 ms pulse width and 500 ms period, and CVs were measured in 23 -1.2 - 1.1 V for 3 cycles at 200 mV/s. The reaction mixtures DPVs were measured in the 24 range of -1.2 - 1.1 V at 100 mV pulse voltage and 10 mV step voltage with 50 ms pulse 25 width and 500 ms period, and CVs were measured in -1.2 - 1.1 V for 5 cycles at 200 mV/s.

## <sup>26</sup> Computational tools

27 The coordination and management of the experimental processes were executed using 28 ChemOS 2.0<sup>8</sup>, which was integrated into the laboratory's local network. We employed an 29 x64 mini-PC with the Debian Linux system and a virtual Python 3.11.2 environment to 30 control the potentiostat, selection valves, and syringe. Communication between the 31 controller and the potentiostat was established through a serial communication protocol, 1 aided by a Python interface built on top of Pyserial 3.5,<sup>50</sup> enhancing user interaction. In a 2 similar fashion, we enabled communication between the selection valves and the syringe 3 pump, utilizing MEDUSA drivers. Regarding the hardware connections, the valves, pumps 4 and potentiostats were directly connected to the USB ports of the PC. To ensure seamless 5 recognition, Udev rules were implemented to correctly identify the pumps and 6 potentiostats. The Python interface of the potentiostat was designed with the capability 7 to transmit target voltages in real-time or buffered mode. To create the voltage 8 waveforms essential for CV and DPV measurements, we utilized Numpy 1.26.1<sup>51</sup> and Scipy 9 1.11.3.<sup>52</sup> Additionally, for data analysis, we employed pandas 2.1.1<sup>53</sup> and Matplotlib 3.8.0.<sup>54</sup>

10 For remote operation control, a SiLA2<sup>55</sup> server was deployed using the SiLA2 Python 11 implementation 0.10.5. The client operates as a SystemD service and offers four distinct 12 methods: (i) Mixing the compounds and conveying them to the reactor, (ii) Performing 13 CV, (iii) Executing DPV, and (iv) Cleaning. All methods accept input in the form of JSON 14 with operation-related parameters. Methods (ii-iii) return measurement results in CSV 15 format, while methods (i, iv) return None. An error is reported in case of a failure. To 16 enable concurrent operations within the workflow, we leveraged the <code>asyncio<sup>42</sup></code> Python 17 library. Before starting the orchestration with SiLA2, the robustness of the setup was 18 tested by using simpler bash scripts running both potentiostats in parallel *via* subshells. 19 The results of those tests have also been incorporated into the database. A Slack bot has 20 been used to report the incidents and warnings regarding the process. The bot has been 21 implemented sending requests *via* CUFl 7.88.1 to the rest API provided by Slack.

# 22 Acknowledgements

23 This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of
24 Science, Subaward by University of Minnesota, Project title: Development of Machine
25 Learning and Molecular Simulation Approaches to Accelerate the Discovery of Porous
26 Materials for Energy-Relevant Applications under Award Number DE-SC0023454.

27 A.A.-G. acknowledges support from the CIFAR, the Acceleration Consortium, the Canada28 150 Research Chairs Program as well as Anders G. Fröseth.

29 Dominik Dworschak and Gun Deniz Akkoc gratefully acknowledge the German Ministry of
30 Education and Research (BMBF) for financial support within the project 03HY108A.
31 Furthermore, part of this work by Gun Deniz Akkoc was supported by Mitacs through the
32 Mitacs Globalink program. N.Y. acknowledges support from the NSERC-Google Industrial
33 Research Chair Award (IRCPJ 547644-18).

# Author contributions

2 S. P.-G., methodology, writing of the first draft, firmware and software development,

3 conceptualization, supervision.

- 4 A. G., hardware design and firmware development.
- 5 A. D., firmware and software development, writing.
- 6 S. M., workflow development, orchestration, writing.
- 7 C. Y., methodology, conceptualization, editing.
- 8 S. M., preparation of experimental workflows, editing.
- 9 H. C., firmware development.
- 10 N. Y., experimentation, 3D design of the case.
- 11 D. D., review, editing, funding acquisition

12 H. H., methodology, writing of the first draft, data analysis, preparation of experimental 13 workflows, conceptualization, supervision.

14 A. A.-G., conceptualization, funding acquisition, supervision, project administration, 15 writing review & editing.

# <sup>16</sup> Competing interest statement

17 The authors declare no competing interests.

# 18 References

- 19
- 20 1. Abolhasani, M., and Kumacheva, E. (2023). The rise of self-driving labs in chemical and
- 21 materials sciences. Nat. Synth. 2, 483–492. 10.1038/s44160-022-00231-0.
- 22 2. Häse, F., Roch, L.M., and Aspuru-Guzik, A. (2019). Next-Generation Experimentation
- with Self-Driving Laboratories. Trends Chem. 1, 282–291.
- 24 10.1016/j.trechm.2019.02.007.
- 25 3. Tom, G., Schmid, S.P., Baird, S.G., Cao, Y., Darvish, K., Hao, H., Lo, S., Pablo-García, S.,
- Rajaonson, E.M., Skreta, M., et al. (2024). Self-Driving Laboratories for Chemistry and
- 27 Materials Science (Chemistry) 10.26434/chemrxiv-2024-rj946.
- 28 4. Baird, S.G., and Sparks, T.D. (2022). What is a minimal working example for a
- 29 self-driving laboratory? Matter 5, 4170–4178. 10.1016/j.matt.2022.11.007.
- 30 5. MacLeod, B.P., Parlane, F.G.L., Brown, A.K., Hein, J.E., and Berlinguette, C.P. (2022).
- 31 Flexible automation accelerates materials discovery. Nat. Mater. *21*, 722–726.
- 32 10.1038/s41563-021-01156-3.
- 33 6. Torres, J.A.G., Lau, S.H., Anchuri, P., Stevens, J.M., Tabora, J.E., Li, J., Borovika, A.,

- Adams, R.P., and Doyle, A.G. (2022). A Multi-Objective Active Learning Platform and
- 2 Web App for Reaction Optimization. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 144, 19999–20007.
- 3 10.1021/jacs.2c08592.
- 4 7. Hickman, R., Sim, M., Pablo-García, S., Woolhouse, I., Hao, H., Bao, Z., Bannigan, P.,
- 5 Allen, C., Aldeghi, M., and Aspuru-Guzik, A. (2023). Atlas: A Brain for Self-driving
- 6 Laboratories (Chemistry) 10.26434/chemrxiv-2023-8nrxx.
- 7 8. Sim, M., Vakili, M.G., Strieth-Kalthoff, F., Hao, H., Hickman, R., Miret, S., Pablo-García,
- 8 S., and Aspuru-Guzik, A. (2023). ChemOS 2.0: an orchestration architecture for
- 9 chemical self-driving laboratories. Preprint at ChemRxiv,
- 10 10.26434/chemrxiv-2023-v2khf 10.26434/chemrxiv-2023-v2khf.
- 11 9. Deneault, J.R., Chang, J., Myung, J., Hooper, D., Armstrong, A., Pitt, M., and Maruyama,
- B. (2021). Toward autonomous additive manufacturing: Bayesian optimization on a 3D
- 13 printer. MRS Bull. 46, 566–575. 10.1557/s43577-021-00051-1.
- 14 10. Yoshikawa, N., Darvish, K., Vakili, M.G., Garg, A., and Aspuru-Guzik, A. (2023). Digital
- 15 pipette: open hardware for liquid transfer in self-driving laboratories. Digit. Discov.
- 16 10.1039/D3DD00115F.
- 17 11. Baden, T., Chagas, A.M., Gage, G., Marzullo, T., Prieto-Godino, L.L., and Euler, T. (2015).
- 18 Open Labware: 3-D Printing Your Own Lab Equipment. PLOS Biol. 13, e1002086.
- 19 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002086.
- 20 12. Wilkinson, M.D., Dumontier, M., Aalbersberg, Ij.J., Appleton, G., Axton, M., Baak, A.,
- Blomberg, N., Boiten, J.-W., da Silva Santos, L.B., Bourne, P.E., et al. (2016). The FAIR
- 22 Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship. Sci. Data 3,
- 23 160018. 10.1038/sdata.2016.18.
- 24 13. Seifrid, M., Pollice, R., Aguilar-Granda, A., Morgan Chan, Z., Hotta, K., Ser, C.T.,
- 25 Vestfrid, J., Wu, T.C., and Aspuru-Guzik, A. (2022). Autonomous Chemical
- 26 Experiments: Challenges and Perspectives on Establishing a Self-Driving Lab. Acc.
- 27 Chem. Res. 55, 2454–2466. 10.1021/acs.accounts.2c00220.
- 28 14. Rahmanian, F., Flowers, J., Guevarra, D., Richter, M., Fichtner, M., Donnely, P., Gregoire,
- J.M., and Stein, H.S. (2022). Enabling Modular Autonomous Feedback-Loops in
- 30 Materials Science through Hierarchical Experimental Laboratory Automation and
- 31 Orchestration. Adv. Mater. Interfaces *9*, 2101987. 10.1002/admi.202101987.
- 32 15. MacLeod, B.P., Parlane, F.G.L., Rupnow, C.C., Dettelbach, K.E., Elliott, M.S., Morrissey,
- 33 T.D., Haley, T.H., Proskurin, O., Rooney, M.B., Taherimakhsousi, N., et al. (2022). A
- <sup>34</sup> self-driving laboratory advances the Pareto front for material properties. Nat.
- 35 Commun. 13, 995. 10.1038/s41467-022-28580-6.
- 36 16. Yang, J., and Ahmadi, M. (2023). Empowering scientists with data-driven automated
- 37 experimentation. Nat. Synth. 2, 462–463. 10.1038/s44160-023-00337-z.
- 38 17. Laws, K., Tze-Kiat Ng, M., Sharma, A., Jiang, Y., Hammer, A.J.S., and Cronin, L. An
- 39 Autonomous Electrochemical Discovery Robot that Utilises Probabilistic Algorithms:

- 1 Probing the Redox Behaviour of Inorganic Materials. ChemElectroChem n/a,
- 2 e202300532.10.1002/celc.202300532.
- 3 18. Langner, S., Häse, F., Perea, J.D., Stubhan, T., Hauch, J., Roch, L.M., Heumueller, T.,
- 4 Aspuru-Guzik, A., and Brabec, C.J. (2020). Beyond Ternary OPV: High-Throughput
- 5 Experimentation and Self-Driving Laboratories Optimize Multicomponent Systems.
- 6 Adv. Mater. *32*, 1907801. 10.1002/adma.201907801.
- 7 19. Yao, Z., Lum, Y., Johnston, A., Mejia-Mendoza, L.M., Zhou, X., Wen, Y., Aspuru-Guzik,
- 8 A., Sargent, E.H., and Seh, Z.W. (2023). Machine learning for a sustainable energy
- 9 future. Nat. Rev. Mater. 8, 202–215. 10.1038/s41578-022-00490-5.
- 10 20. Burger, B., Maffettone, P.M., Gusev, V.V., Aitchison, C.M., Bai, Y., Wang, X., Li, X.,
- Alston, B.M., Li, B., Clowes, R., et al. (2020). A mobile robotic chemist. Nature 583,
- 12 237-241. 10.1038/s41586-020-2442-2.
- 13 21. Steiner, S., Wolf, J., Glatzel, S., Andreou, A., Granda, J.M., Keenan, G., Hinkley, T.,
- 14 Aragon-Camarasa, G., Kitson, P.J., Angelone, D., et al. (2019). Organic synthesis in a
- modular robotic system driven by a chemical programming language. Science 363,
- 16 eaav2211. 10.1126/science.aav2211.
- 17 22. Granda, J.M., Donina, L., Dragone, V., Long, D.-L., and Cronin, L. (2018). Controlling an
- <sup>18</sup> organic synthesis robot with machine learning to search for new reactivity. Nature
- 19 559, 377–381. 10.1038/s41586-018-0307-8.
- 20 23. Vriza, A., Chan, H., and Xu, J. (2023). Self-Driving Laboratory for Polymer Electronics.
- 21 Chem. Mater. *35*, 3046–3056. 10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03593.
- 22 24. Rodríguez, O., Pence, M.A., and Rodríguez-López, J. (2023). Hard Potato: A Python
- 23 Library to Control Commercial Potentiostats and to Automate Electrochemical
- 24 Experiments. Anal. Chem. 95, 4840–4845. 10.1021/acs.analchem.2c04862.
- 25 25. Oh, I., Pence, M.A., Lukhanin, N.G., Rodríguez, O., Schroeder, C.M., and
- 26 Rodríguez-López, J. (2023). The Electrolab: An open-source, modular platform for
- automated characterization of redox-active electrolytes. Device 0.
- 28 10.1016/j.device.2023.100103.
- 29 26. Dave, A., Mitchell, J., Burke, S., Lin, H., Whitacre, J., and Viswanathan, V. (2022).
- 30 Autonomous optimization of non-aqueous Li-ion battery electrolytes via robotic
- experimentation and machine learning coupling. Nat. Commun. 13, 5454.
- 32 10.1038/s41467-022-32938-1.
- 33 27. Su, L., Ferrandon, M., Kowalski, J.A., Vaughey, J.T., and Brushett, F.R. (2014).
- 34 Electrolyte Development for Non-Aqueous Redox Flow Batteries Using a
- 35 High-Throughput Screening Platform. J. Electrochem. Soc. *161*, A1905.
- 36 10.1149/2.0811412jes.
- 37 28. Colburn, A.W., Levey, K.J., O'Hare, D., and Macpherson, J.V. (2021). Lifting the lid on
- the potentiostat: a beginner's guide to understanding electrochemical circuitry and
- <sup>39</sup> practical operation. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. *23*, 8100–8117. 10.1039/D1CP00661D.

- 1 29. Stock, J.T. (1968). A simple low-current potentiostat coulometric analysis. J. Chem.
- 2 Educ. 45, 736. 10.1021/ed045p736.
- 3 30. Goldsworthy, W.W., and Clem, R.G. (1971). Digital potentiostat. Anal. Chem. 43,

4 1718-1720. 10.1021/ac60306a025.

- 5 31. Vassos, B.H., and Martinez, Guillermo. (1978). Computer interfaceable potentiostat.
- 6 Anal. Chem. 50, 665–668. 10.1021/ac50026a036.
- 7 32. Van Swaay, M. (1978). XCVI. Practical potentiostat-coulometer for the student
- 8 laboratory and for routine research use. J. Chem. Educ. 55, A7. 10.1021/ed055pA7.
- 9 33. Rowe, A.A., Bonham, A.J., White, R.J., Zimmer, M.P., Yadgar, R.J., Hobza, T.M., Honea,
- J.W., Ben-Yaacov, I., and Plaxco, K.W. (2011). CheapStat: An Open-Source,
- 11 "Do-It-Yourself" Potentiostat for Analytical and Educational Applications. PLoS ONE
- 12 6, e23783. 10.1371/journal.pone.0023783.
- 13 34. Dryden, M.D.M., and Wheeler, A.R. (2015). DStat: A Versatile, Open-Source
- 14 Potentiostat for Electroanalysis and Integration. PLOS ONE 10, e0140349.
- 15 10.1371/journal.pone.0140349.
- 16 35. Lopin, P., and Lopin, K.V. (2018). PSoC-Stat: A single chip open source potentiostat
- based on a Programmable System on a Chip. PLOS ONE 13, e0201353.
- 18 10.1371/journal.pone.0201353.
- 19 36. Caux, M., Achit, A., Var, K., Boitel-Aullen, G., Rose, D., Aubouy, A., Argentieri, S.,
- 20 Campagnolo, R., and Maisonhaute, E. (2022). PassStat, a simple but fast, precise and
- versatile open source potentiostat. HardwareX 11, e00290. 10.1016/j.ohx.2022.e00290.
- 22 37. Brown, E.W., Glasscott, M.W., Conley, K., Barr, J., Ray, J.D., Moores, L.C., and Netchaev,
- A. (2022). ACEstat: A DIY Guide to Unlocking the Potential of Integrated Circuit
- Potentiostats for Open-Source Electrochemical Analysis. Anal. Chem. *94*, 4906–4912.
- 25 10.1021/acs.analchem.1c04226.
- 26 38. Ainla, A., Mousavi, M.P.S., Tsaloglou, M.-N., Redston, J., Bell, J.G., Fernández-Abedul,
- 27 M.T., and Whitesides, G.M. (2018). Open-Source Potentiostat for Wireless
- Electrochemical Detection with Smartphones. Anal. Chem. 90, 6240–6246.
- 29 10.1021/acs.analchem.8b00850.
- 30 39. Jenkins, D.M., Lee, B.E., Jun, S., Reyes-De-Corcuera, J., and McLamore, E.S. (2019).
- 31 ABE-Stat, a Fully Open-Source and Versatile Wireless Potentiostat Project Including
- 32 Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy. J. Electrochem. Soc. *166*, B3056–B3065.
- 33 10.1149/2.0061909jes.
- 34 40. Lee, S.C.-H., and Burke, P.J. (2022). NanoStat: An open source, fully wireless
- 35 potentiostat. Electrochimica Acta *422*, 140481. 10.1016/j.electacta.2022.140481.
- 36 41. Li, J., Ballmer, S.G., Gillis, E.P., Fujii, S., Schmidt, M.J., Palazzolo, A.M.E., Lehmann, J.W.,
- 37 Morehouse, G.F., and Burke, M.D. (2015). Synthesis of many different types of organic
- small molecules using one automated process. Science 347, 1221–1226.
- 39 10.1126/science.aaa5414.

- 1 42. Strieth-Kalthoff, F., Hao, H., Rathore, V., Derasp, J., Gaudin, T., Angello, N.H., Seifrid,
- 2 M., Trushina, E., Guy, M., Liu, J., et al. (2023). Delocalized, Asynchronous, Closed-Loop
- 3 Discovery of Organic Laser Emitters (Chemistry) 10.26434/chemrxiv-2023-wqp0d.
- 4 43. Stein, H.S., and Gregoire, J.M. (2019). Progress and prospects for accelerating
- 5 materials science with automated and autonomous workflows. Chem. Sci. 10,
- 6 9640-9649. 10.1039/C9SC03766G.
- 7 44. Snizhko, D., Zholudov, Y., Kukoba, A., and Xu, G. (2023). Potentiostat design keys for
- 8 analytical applications. J. Electroanal. Chem. 936, 117380.
- 9 10.1016/j.jelechem.2023.117380.
- 10 45. Taleat, Z., Khoshroo, A., and Mazloum-Ardakani, M. (2014). Screen-printed electrodes
- 11 for biosensing: a review (2008–2013). Microchim. Acta *181*, 865–891.
- 12 10.1007/s00604-014-1181-1.
- 13 46. Erichsen, T., Reiter, S., Ryabova, V., Bonsen, E.M., Schuhmann, W., Märkle, W., Tittel,
- 14 C., Jung, G., and Speiser, B. (2005). Combinatorial microelectrochemistry:
- 15 Development and evaluation of an electrochemical robotic system. Rev. Sci. Instrum.
- 16 *76*, 062204. 10.1063/1.1906106.
- 17 47. Lindner, E., Lu, Z.-L., Mayer, H.A., Speiser, B., Tittel, C., and Warad, I. (2005).
- 18 Combinatorial micro electrochemistry. Part 4: Cyclic voltammetric redox screening of
- 19 homogeneous ruthenium(II) hydrogenation catalysts. Electrochem. Commun. 7,
- 20 1013-1020. 10.1016/j.elecom.2005.07.002.
- 21 48. Price, E.W., and Orvig, C. (2013). Matching chelators to radiometals for
- <sup>22</sup> radiopharmaceuticals. Chem. Soc. Rev. 43, 260–290. 10.1039/C3CS60304K.
- 23 49. Raymond, E.S. (1999). The cathedral and the bazaar: musings on Linux and Open
- Source by an accidental revolutionary 1. ed. (O'Reilly).
- 25 50. pySerial (2023). (pySerial).
- 26 51. Harris, C.R., Millman, K.J., van der Walt, S.J., Gommers, R., Virtanen, P., Cournapeau,
- 27 D., Wieser, E., Taylor, J., Berg, S., Smith, N.J., et al. (2020). Array programming with
- 28 NumPy. Nature 585, 357–362. 10.1038/s41586-020-2649-2.
- 29 52. Virtanen, P., Gommers, R., Oliphant, T.E., Haberland, M., Reddy, T., Cournapeau, D.,
- 30 Burovski, E., Peterson, P., Weckesser, W., Bright, J., et al. (2020). SciPy 1.0: fundamental
- algorithms for scientific computing in Python. Nat. Methods 17, 261–272.
- 32 10.1038/s41592-019-0686-2.
- 33 53. McKinney, W. (2010). Data structures for statistical computing in python. In
- <sup>34</sup> Proceedings of the 9th Python in Science Conference (Austin, TX), pp. 51–56.
- 35 54. Hunter, J.D. (2007). Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment. Comput. Sci. Eng. 9, 90–95.
- 36 55. Bromig, L., Leiter, D., Mardale, A.-V., Von Den Eichen, N., Bieringer, E., and
- 37 Weuster-Botz, D. (2022). The SiLA 2 Manager for rapid device integration and
- 38 workflow automation. SoftwareX 17, 100991. 10.1016/j.softx.2022.100991.