

A Closed Bipolar Electrochemical Cell for the Interrogation of BDD Single Particles: Electrochemical Advanced Oxidation

Anna Dettlaff,^{a,§} Joshua Tully,^{b,§} Georgia Wood,^b Deep Chauhan,^b Ben Breeze,^c Lijiang Song,^b and Julie V. Macpherson^{*,b}

^a *Department of Energy Conversion and Storage, Gdansk University of Technology, 11/12 Gabriela Narutowicza Street, 80-233 Gdańsk, Poland.*

^b *Department of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK.*


^c *Spectroscopy Research Technology Platform, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK.*

Abstract

A closed bipolar electrochemical cell containing two conductive boron-doped diamond (BDD) particles of size $\sim 250 - 350 \mu\text{m}$, produced by high-pressure high-temperature (HPHT) synthesis, has been used to demonstrate the applicability of single BDD particles for electrochemical oxidative degradation of the dye, methylene blue (MB). The cell is fabricated using stereolithography 3D printing and the BDD particles are located at either end of a solution excluded central channel. Platinum wire electrodes placed in each of the two outer solution compartments are used to drive electrochemical reactions at the two BDD particles, which, under bipolar conditions do not require direct electrical connection to a potential source. Experiments using ultra high-performance liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (UHPLC-MS) show that the anodic pole BDD particle is able to electrochemically remove $> 99\%$ of the dye (originally present at $1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}$) to undetectable UHPLC-MS products in 600 s. Monitoring of the time dependant change in MB peak area, from the UHPLC chromatograms, enables a pseudo first order rate constant of 0.54 min^{-1} to be determined for MB removal. Given the large scale at which such particles can be produced (tonnes), such data bodes well for scale up opportunities using HPHT-grown BDD particles, where the particles can be assembled into high surface area electrode formats.

[§] These authors contributed equally to this work

TOC



1. Introduction

Synthetic boron-doped diamond (BDD) has attracted much attention in the electrochemical community due to its remarkable properties such as low background currents, high overpotential for oxygen and hydrogen evolution in aqueous electrolytes, high chemical inertness in aggressive media, *etc.* [1–3]. BDD is typically grown using chemical vapour deposition (CVD) [2], due to the ability to incorporate high levels of uncompensated boron into the diamond lattice such that metal-like conductivity is achieved. However, CVD suffers from relatively slow growth rates and poor scalability. High-pressure high-temperature (HPHT) synthesis in contrast can produce significantly larger volumes, at the tonnes scale if required, of micron to sub-mm sized particles, with faster growth rates compared to CVD, and at a lower cost. The main issue with using HPHT for the synthesis of metal-like BDD is the difficulty of preventing nitrogen incorporation from the atmosphere. Any nitrogen incorporated into the lattice will pair with boron (compensation) resulting in a decrease in the charge carrier concentration. Recently, it has been shown that aluminium diboride (AlB_2) can be used as both a boron source and as an agent to remove nitrogen during the HPHT growth process. This process results in the growth of BDD particles, as small as $80\ \mu\text{m}$ in size and doped with enough boron $> 10^{20}\ \text{B atoms cm}^{-3}$ [4] to demonstrate metal-like electrochemical behaviour [5,6].

For many industrial electrochemical applications, large surface area electrodes are desirable. One such application is electrochemical oxidative degradation [7] of pollutants in water systems, where the electrochemical generation of highly oxidising species or electrochemical oxidation of the pollutant itself leads to degradative removal of the pollutant from solution [8]. BDD has proved particularly popular as an electrode in oxidative degradation due to its high stability and ability to generate very high oxidative potential, hydroxyl radicals from water oxidation [1,9]. Areas of current activity involve the use of BDD electrochemical oxidative degradation approaches for the removal of *e.g.* pharmaceuticals [9], perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances [10] and dyes [11,12]. However, for all these applications the BDD is employed in a planar electrode format, grown via CVD. In contrast, BDD particles arranged in three dimensional architectures offer a route for the formation of high surface area (porous) electrodes [13].

Before scaling up it is useful to validate the electrochemical behaviour of a single particle for the application of interest, here electrochemical oxidative degradation. Previous approaches to single particle electrochemical measurements have employed masking procedures [5] and/or micropositioning [14] methods in order to position and electrically contact the particle. Alternatively, high resolution electrochemical imaging techniques have been used to electrochemically interrogate isolated BDD particles sitting on a conducting support [15]. However, these approaches are fairly complex and/or require specialist equipment to make electrical contact to the particle. To overcome this, we describe the use of a bipolar electrode (BPE) set-up.

In bipolar electrochemistry, the cell is typically operated in open mode, where a potential difference is applied between two outer electrodes under resistive solution conditions, such that a uniform electric field is applied across the electrolyte solution [16]. By placing a conductive object in this field, the object experiences an interfacial potential difference across its length without being directly connected to the potential source. In the case of a particle placed within the field, increasing the diameter of the particle increases the interfacial potential difference experienced either side of the particle. Given the particle sizes used in this paper (250 – 350 μm) unfeasibly large potentials would need to be applied in order for sufficient interfacial potential differences to be established across a single particle in order to drive the oxidative degradation processes.

To overcome this limitation, we adopt a closed BPE cell arrangement [17,18], Fig. 1 (top), which contains two BDD particles, which constitute the two poles (anodic and cathodic) of the bipolar electrode cell. Each pole is separated by a length of electrically conductive wire which is electrically isolated from solution. Each end of the wire is connected to an individual BDD particle sitting in the anodic or cathodic pole solution compartment. In this way the closed BPE cell is analogous to two series coupled electrochemical cells, as has been demonstrated for both macro- [19] and microelectrodes [18]. The closed BPE cell can be electrically considered as three resistances (R) in series, as shown schematically in Fig. 1 (bottom), two solution resistances, R_{sol} , in the two solution compartments and the resistance associated with the

BPE, R_{BPE} [16]. Closed bipolar electrode arrangements have been previously used as *e.g.* electrochromic detectors for chemical sensing in aqueous and non-aqueous solutions [20] and more complex media such as blood [21], leakless reference electrodes [22,23] and substitutional stripping voltammetry [24].




Fig. 1. (Top) Schematic of the closed BPE set-up for the two BDD particle electrodes, the current passed due to reduction of O' to R' at the cathodic pole particle equals that passed at the anodic pole particle due to oxidation of R to O. (Bottom) The closed BPE cell expressed in terms of the resistances, R_{sol} and R_{BPE} .

In the closed BPE arrangement the potential is applied to the two platinum outer electrodes and the reverse polarities are seen on the two BDD particles [16]. Application of this driving potential results in equal but opposite faradaic currents being passed at the two BDD particles [18]. Hence, in accordance with Fig. 1 (top), the BDD particle on the left-hand side is biased negatively (cathodic pole) and promotes reduction of species O' to R', whilst the BDD particle on the right-hand side is biased positively (anodic pole) and promotes oxidation of R to O. In the case where the two particles are unequal in size and / or exposed to different analyte concentrations / unequal diffusion

coefficients of analytes, the side of the closed BPE arrangement where the smallest current is passed controls the current flow through the system. In this paper, we investigate the capabilities of a single BDD particle, functioning as the anodic pole in a closed BPE cell, towards electrochemical oxidative degradation of the dye molecule, methylene blue.

2. Experimental

2.1. Chemicals and Solutions

All solutions were prepared using ultrapure deionised water ($\geq 18.2 \text{ M}\Omega \text{ cm}^{-2}$, Milli-Q, Millipore Corp., USA). All chemicals were used as received and include potassium nitrate (KNO_3 ; $\geq 99.0\%$; Merck) and methylene blue chloride ($\text{MB}^+\text{Cl}^- = 3,7$ -bis(dimethylamino)phenothiazin-5-ium chloride, CAS 61-73-4, dye content 94.0%; Merck). Ferrocene tetramethylammonium ($\text{FcTMA}^{+/2+}$) hexafluorophosphate was produced via the metathesis of the corresponding iodide salt (99%, Strem) with ammonium hexafluorophosphate (99.5%, Strem). Potassium ferri-(III)-cyanide ($\text{K}_3[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]$, 99%, Sigma-Aldrich) was used as received. For the BPE pH experiments, universal indicator dye (Scientific Laboratory Supplies; pH 4 – 11, 1 wt % in ultrapure water) was employed. Solution parameters such as conductivity and pH were measured using an InLab 738-ISM-5m conductivity probe, and InLab Expert Go-5m-ISM pH Probe (Mettler Toledo SevenGo Duo pH/conductivity meter).

2.2. BDD particle preparation

The BDD particles were prepared using HPHT synthesis, as described in reference [5], using AlB_2 as the source of boron. 5 g of graphite powder (S9, Morgan Advance Materials, 50 wt %), 3.5 g of iron powder (Fe > 99.5 CIP CN, BASF, 35 wt %), 1.5 g of nickel powder (Ni > 99.85 %, type 123, Vale, 15 wt %), and 0.002 g of diamond seed (US Mesh 100 diamond seed, 1.60 – 1.77 μm diameter, polycrystalline diamond micron grade 2, Element Six Ltd), were mixed with a turbulent mixer for 30 mins, in the presence of a single steel ball, 10 mm in diameter. 1.525 g of this mixed powder was then added to 500 g of graphite (50 wt%), 350 g of iron (35 wt%) and 150 g of nickel (15 wt%), and mixed further using a cone blender for 3 hours, facilitated by the addition of 200 g of the same steel balls, added in a mass ratio of 1:5 (steel balls : powder). The resulting powder was combined with 4.8 wt% of AlB_2 and mixed

for 1 hr using the cone blender with the steel balls added at the same ratio as above. This wt% of AlB_2 was sufficiently high to enable the synthesis of BDD with a B atom density of $>10^{20}$ atoms per cm^3 , which is necessary to achieve metal-like conductivity [4]. The resulting boron containing powders were then sieved to remove the balls, compacted into cylinders and heated to 1050°C under vacuum to remove oxygen and hydrogen impurities. Synthesis was then carried out at 5.5 GPa and 1300°C in a cubic anvil HPHT apparatus.

To eliminate any remaining metals, unreacted graphite, and other forms of sp^2 bonded carbon that may have formed on the surface of the BDD, a series of stringent cleaning treatments using hydrochloric acid, sulphuric acid and nitric acid mixtures and water ultrasonication was implemented, see reference [5] for further details. Following the cleaning procedures, the BDD particles were left to dry overnight in an oven at a temperature of 60°C . The particles were then sieved using a woven wire mesh sieve (Retsch, Germany) to separate out the particles larger than $250\ \mu\text{m}$ in diameter. Particles with diameters $> 250 - 350\ \mu\text{m}$ were selected for this work for ease of handling and were predominantly polycrystalline in texture.

2.3. BPE cell

The closed BPE cell was designed in Fusion 360 (Autodesk, USA) and printed on a Form 3 (FormLabs, USA) stereolithography 3D printer using polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) resin (Standard Clear, FormLabs, USA) [25]. The design consisted of three distinct parts; (1) an outer electrode compartment containing two platinum wires (length 4.5 mm, diameter 0.75 mm) at a separation distance of 40 mm, (2) a channel section ($1.00 \times 9.70 \times 0.75\ \text{mm}$) situated in the centre of the cell, which was filled with conductive silver epoxy (Farnell, CircuitWorks, Chemtronics, USA) and housed two BDD particles, one at each end, with the top surface of the channel coated in an insulating resin (Clear, FormLabs) and (3) two separate solution chambers each in contact with a BDD particle. The overall cell was of dimensions $17.0\ (\text{w}) \times 66.0\ (\text{l}) \times 5.5\ (\text{h})\ \text{mm}$. For some experiments, where the electrolysis products associated with processes occurring on the platinum outer electrodes were a possible concern, a Nafion membrane (Nafion® 424 reinforced with poly(tetrafluoro-ethylene fibre, 0.33 mm in thickness, Sigma-Aldrich) was used.

Placement of the HPHT grown BDD particles at either end of the channel section was relatively easy and involved positioning the closed BPE cell under a microscope (with $5 \times$ magnification) to aid the BDD particle manipulation process. Tweezers were used to pick up and place the

BDD particles in their appropriate locations. Once positioned, the area around the BDD particle was flooded with the same insulating resin as used to insulate the silver epoxy filled channel, leaving the top surface of each particle exposed. A 3D rendering and photograph of the closed BPE cell is shown in Figs. 2a and b respectively. The BDD particles are referred to as BDD-1 (cathodic pole) and BDD-2 (anodic pole; see Fig. 2a). Optical images of the BDD HPHT particles used in this work are also shown in Fig. 2a. The cell was assembled using two M3 bolts and the platinum outer electrodes were connected to a benchtop DC power supply (VLP-2602 OVP, Voltcraft) and the desired driving potential (E_{tot}) applied. Due to the ease of preparation, four cells were prepared for evaluation.




Fig. 2. BPE closed configuration cell containing two BDD particles at either end of the channel section, BDD-1 (cathodic pole) and BDD-2 (anodic pole), (a) schematic with optical images of typical particles also shown and (b) an optical image of the 3D printed cell.

2.4. Raman

Raman spectroscopy measurements were collected using a Horiba LabRam HR Evolution spectrometer with a 488 nm laser (nominally 40 mW) and 600 1/mm grating, at 25% laser power (nominally 10 mW), using a $\times 50$ LWD 0.6 numerical aperture objective.

2.5. Electrochemistry

Voltammetry of the BDD particles was carried out in the closed cell configuration using an AUTOLAB PGSTA302N (Autolab, Metrohm, Switzerland) potentiostat–galvanostat with

Nova 2.1.2 software. Electrochemical tests were conducted by driving a potential across the two outer platinum electrodes in the closed BPE cell. For electrochemical characterisation of the BDD particle electrodes, the anodic channel compartment contained 1 mM FcTMA⁺ in 0.1 M KNO₃ and the cathodic compartment, 5 mM [Fe(CN)₆]³⁻ also in 0.1 M KNO₃. The voltammetric response for FcTMA⁺²⁺ oxidation was recorded with the [Fe(CN)₆]³⁻ concentration added in ×5 excess, to ensure that the current flow was limited by the FcTMA⁺ oxidative reaction.

2.6. Ultra high performance liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (UHPLC-MS) and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS)

UHPLC-MS analysis was carried out to determine the electrochemical products in the anodic and cathodic pole solutions of the BPE set-up when using aqueous starting solutions containing 1×10^{-4} M MB in both solution compartments. 30 μ L samples were taken from the anodic pole solution after 0, 30, 120, 300, 600, and 900 s of BPE electrolysis and from the cathodic pole solution after 0, 600, and 900 s of BPE electrolysis. Moreover, additional electrolysis and products analyses were conducted for a highly concentrated solution of MB⁺ (0.1 M MB⁺) after 2400 s (40 mins) of electrooxidation. UHPLC-MS analysis was performed with a Dionex 3000RS ultra high performance UHPLC coupled to a Bruker MaXis II Q-TOF MS. An ACE Ultracore Super C18 column (C18, 150 \times 2.1 mm, 2.5 μ m) with a guard column (C18, 10 \times 2.1 mm) was used with the column temperature at 30°C. Mobile phases consisted of A (water with 0.1 % formic acid) and B (acetonitrile with 0.1 % formic acid). After 5 mins of an isocratic run at 5 % B, a gradient of 5 % B to 100% B in 25 mins was employed with a flow rate at 0.2 ml / min. The MS was operated in electrospray positive mode with a scan range 50 - 2500 m/z. Source conditions were: end plate offset at -500 V; capillary at -4000 V; nebulizer gas (N₂) at 1.8 bar; dry gas (N₂) at 8 L min⁻¹; dry temperature at 210°C. Ion transfer conditions were: ion funnel 1 RF at 200 Vpp; multipole RF at 100 Vpp; quadrupole ion energy at 4 eV, quadrupole low mass set at 50 m/z; collision energy at 7.0 eV; collision RF ramping from 550 to 2000 Vpp; transfer time set from 60 to 155 μ s; pre-pulse storage time set at 5 μ s. Calibration was performed with sodium formate (1×10^{-2} M) through a loop injection of 10 μ L of standard solution at the beginning of each run.

Gas chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis was conducted with an Agilent 5977B and an Agilent DB5 column (30 m \times 0.25 mm \times 0.25 μ m). 170 μ L of solution

from the anodic pole compartment was taken after 900 s of electrooxidation. Before measurements, the solution was extracted with an equal volume of dichloromethane (twice), then combined and dried with anhydrous sodium sulphate. 1 μL was injected into the GC-MS at 275°C in splitless mode. The MS was operated with an EI source and a mass scan range 50 – 750 m/z .

3. Results and discussion

Prior to cell construction, individual BDD particles were characterised by Raman spectroscopy to verify the particles were suitably doped for electrochemical measurements and to assess their material properties. Five particles were chosen at random from the $> 250 \mu\text{m}$ sieved particles, with all five showing similar Raman signatures; a typical Raman spectrum over the wavenumber range 500 – 2000 cm^{-1} , is shown in Fig. 3a. All the spectra showed a diamond Raman line at $1328.5 \pm 0.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, which was slightly red-shifted compared to that for intrinsic diamond ($1332.0 - 1332.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) [5,26]. This is due to a tensile residual stress in the diamond lattice caused by the presence of boron [26,27]. The asymmetric Fano resonance (indicative of sufficient boron doping for the particle to exhibit metal-like conductivity [28]) is clearly visible, demonstrating that the BDD particles are doped above the metallic threshold [5]. There is also no evidence of a peak associated with sp^2 carbon at $\sim 1580 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [29], indicating that the particles are phase pure. Raman spectra for the other four particles can be found in SI 1 (Fig. S1).




Fig. 3. Typical (a) Raman spectra of a $> 250 - 350 \mu\text{m}$ BDD particle, recorded with a 488 nm laser. (b) CV response of a BDD particle recorded using the closed BPE cell (second scan), with one channel compartment containing 1 mM FcTMA^+ in 0.1 M KNO_3 and the other 5 mM $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$ in 0.1 M KNO_3 , at a scan rate of 0.1 V s^{-1}

Electrochemical characterisation of the BDD particles was performed in the closed BPE set-up using cyclic voltammetry (CV). One compartment (anodic pole) contained an aerated solution of 1 mM FcTMA⁺ in 0.1 M KNO₃ and the other (cathodic pole) an aerated solution of 5 mM [Fe(CN)₆]³⁻ in 0.1 M KNO₃. The CV response was recorded at 0.1 V s⁻¹, scanning first in an oxidative direction, as shown in Fig. 3b. Given the excess [Fe(CN)₆]³⁻ added to the cathode compartment, the response will be current limited by the FcTMA^{+ / 2+} redox process. The response, where the CV shows small peaks on the forward and reverse scan directions is indicative of mixed mass transport behaviour *i.e.*, where the response is neither dominated by linear or radial diffusion. This is expected given the size of the polycrystalline particles used in this study (250 – 350 μm effective geometric diameter). Whilst this means it is not possible to ascertain the exposed electrode area using analytical electrochemical expressions, for a comparison of currents, the limiting current at a geometrically smooth hemispherical microelectrode of 350 μm diameter is 0.06 μA (diffusion coefficient of FcTMA⁺ = 6.0 × 10⁻⁶ cm² s⁻¹ [30]).

Before performing a closed cell BPE electrochemical oxidative degradation experiment, the ability of the two BDD particles to electrochemically oxidise / reduce water using the BPE set-up was explored. Electrochemical oxidation / reduction of water will result in a local decrease / increase in pH respectively [31]. Here, electrochemical water splitting by BDD-1 (cathodic pole) and BDD-2 (anodic pole) was assessed indirectly by monitoring the change in local pH via a solution colour change, in the presence of universal indicator (pH 4 – 11). Fig. 4 shows the time, *t*, dependant colour changes of the indicator for *t* = 0 s (top), = 10 s (middle), = 45 s (bottom) upon application of a potential difference of ± 25 V (*E*_{tot} = 50 V) between the two outer platinum electrodes; the left-hand platinum electrode is positive whilst the right-hand platinum electrode is negative. A video of the water splitting reaction on the two BDD particle electrodes in the BPE set-up is also available as video download 1.




Fig. 4. Optical images of the BDD-BPE set-up as a function of time, $t = 0, 10,$ and 45 s for electrochemical water splitting recorded in ultrapure water and universal pH indicator ($E = \pm 25$ V).

Unlike the electrochemical characterisation experiment in Fig. 3b, here only ultrapure water is used in the solution chambers (in the presence of the pH indicator). The resistivity, ρ , of the solution = $46.1 \text{ k}\Omega \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and using $R_{sol} = \rho l / A$, where l and A are the length and area of the solution chamber = 1.300 cm and $0.450 \times 0.215 \text{ cm}$ respectively, $R_{sol} = 620 \text{ k}\Omega$. In contrast, $R_{sol} = 1.0 \text{ k}\Omega$ for the experiments in Fig. 3b (for $\rho = 78.1 \text{ }\Omega \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in 1 mM FcTMA^+ and 0.1 M KNO_3). The estimated resistance of the BPE, R_{BPE} , is *ca.* $70 \text{ }\Omega$ (estimation calculations are presented in SI 2, but do not include contact resistance between the BDD particle and silver epoxy). In these experiments (Fig. 4), significant potential is dropped across the highly resistive solutions in both chambers when driving current through the BPE. Thus much higher potentials are now required than would be envisaged based on thermodynamic considerations alone [32,33], to produce sufficient concentrations of protons and hydroxide ions at the BDD poles to record noticeable solution colour changes.

At $t = 0$ s, in each compartment of volume = 170 μL , the solution is green and reflective of the starting pH of the solution (pH ~ 7). At $t = 10$ s, the solution local to BDD-1 (cathodic pole) has turned blue indicative of a pH change from 7 to 9 (extending *ca.* 2.7 mm from the particle), due to the reduction of water to hydroxide ions. In the vicinity of BDD-2 (anodic pole), the solution has turned red (extending *ca.* 1.4 mm from the particle) and is indicative of a pH change from 7 to ≤ 4 due to the positively biased particle oxidising water to produce protons. With increased time, the colour change profile has extended further away from both BDD single particle electrodes and there is now an obvious reverse colour change at the two platinum electrodes [16]. Note, the current flowing in this experiment was below the current resolution (mA) of the power supply used, and thus could not be measured.

The behaviour of the BDD single particle BPE electrode towards electrochemical oxidative degradation of the dye molecule, MB^+Cl^- was next investigated [34]. In electrochemical degradation analysis a variety of techniques are used which can either monitor removal of the pollutant of interest, measure formation of reaction intermediates or quantify the extent of the degradation process. These include chemical oxygen demand (COD), total organic carbon (TOC) [35], spectrophotometric techniques (UV-Vis spectroscopy, fluorescence spectroscopy) [36,37] and liquid or gas chromatography with a suitable detector [36]. In this investigation, we chose to use UHPLC-MS as the main analysis technique. This is due to: (1) MB^+ can be easily detected using MS with UHPLC enhancing the detection sensitivity; (2) UHPLC-MS can inform on MS-detectable intermediates arising from electro-oxidation (or electro-reduction) of MB^+ in the BPE set-up, with the exception of products which are poorly ionised (often due to being hydrophobic or highly volatile); (3) TOC and COD methods require much larger volumes of solution than is accessible here and hence precludes their use; volume of solution in the BDD particle anode chamber is only 170 μL . For these experiments, no excess supporting electrolyte was added to remove consideration of electrolysis of electrolyte anions and to simplify sample preparation for UHPLC-MS measurements. This study represents the first time HPHT grown BDD particles have been assessed for any electrochemical oxidative degradation application. For MB^+ removal, previously thin film CVD grown BDD electrodes, of diameter 10 cm were used to electrochemically degrade MB^+ [38] employing a single compartment electrochemical flow cell.

Electrochemical degradation of MB^+ was carried out using the BDD BPE electrodes with ± 30 V applied between the two outer platinum electrodes. A solution of 1×10^{-4} M MB^+ ($\rho = 54.6$ $\text{k}\Omega \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $R_{\text{sol}} = 733$ $\text{k}\Omega$, $\text{pH} = 7.27 \pm 0.01$) was pipetted into each of the two BPE channels. A Nafion membrane was added between the outer electrode chambers and solution channels, to prevent any possible (large) ionic products of MB^+ electrolysis at the platinum outer electrodes moving into the solution chambers associated with the two BDD particle electrodes. Fig. 5 shows the colour changes associated with the BPE electrochemical process over a period of 900 s. A video of the process (at $\times 10$ speed) is available as video download 2. After 120 s, the solution in the anodic pole chamber has become significantly paler in colour, and completely de-colourises after 600 s. Similar behaviour was seen for MB^+ degradation in three other BPE cells (as shown in Fig. S3, SI 3). In contrast, the cathodic pole solution over 900 s does not appear to change colour significantly (Fig. S4, SI 3). It is interesting to observe that the solution exposed to the platinum wire anode (diameter 0.75 mm and immersed fully in the solution chamber) does not fully de-colourise after 900 s (Fig. S4 and video download 2), even though the electrode is significantly larger than the BDD particle. This further highlights the ability of BDD electrodes to produce species such as highly oxidising hydroxyl radicals from water oxidation, which can aid breakdown of MB^+ . We also note changes in solution pH, due to water splitting at the two BDD electrodes (Fig. 4), do not cause a change in the extinction coefficient of MB^+ , as verified using UV/Vis spectroscopy for MB^+ in pH solutions 2 to 9.




Fig. 5. Left image: change in peak area for MB⁺, from UHPLC-MS chromatograms, recorded as a function of time ($t = 0 - 900$ s) in the anodic pole solution ($E = \pm 30$ V). Right image: optical images of the anodic pole solution chamber as a function of time during the MB⁺ electrochemical oxidation process. Inset, a pseudo-first order kinetic plot for MB⁺ degradation.

In order to provide further information about the chemical composition of the solutions, chemical analysis as a function of BPE electrolysis time (for the anodic pole solution: $t = 0, 30, 120, 300, 600$ and 900 s, for the cathodic pole solution: $t = 0, 600$ and 900 s) was carried out using UHPLC-MS. Each time point was run as a separate experiment, with a fresh solution used each time. Products of the electrolysis were identified using the MS in full SCAN mode in the mass range $50 - 2500$ m/z. The resulting UHPLC-MS chromatograms are presented in SI4, Fig. S5. For all data, UHPLC-MS revealed only one peak which based on the mass-charge values (m/z), was assigned to MB⁺ (m/z = 284, 3,7-bis(dimethylamino)phenothiazin-5-ium ion) (Fig. S6, SI 4) [39].

In the anodic pole solution, it is interesting that for all times \geq shortest sampling time = 30 s, no intermediates of MB⁺ degradation, such as 2,5-diaminobenzenesulfonic acid and 4-aminocatechol, as theoretically postulated by Teng *et al.* [40], were detected using UHPLC-MS. Although the sensitivity of the UHPLC-MS technique is usually in the range of $0.001 - 1$ $\mu\text{g/L}$ (dependent on the compound), we cannot rule out intermediates being present but at concentrations below the detection limit. An additional experiment was performed where the starting solution of MB⁺ in a BPE cell was increased 1000-fold to 0.1 M and electrolysis in the small volume cell carried out for 2400 s. However, even under these more concentrated and extended time conditions, no intermediates could be detected, as seen in the chromatograms in Fig. S7, SI 4. GC-MS was also employed in order to look for possible intermediates not detectable using UHPLC-MS, such as lower molecular weight compounds of MB⁺ degradation, or more volatile species *e.g.*, benzothiazole [40]. The experiments were performed on a 1×10^{-4} M MB⁺ solution after anodic electrolysis for 900 s. Again, no by-product compound could be detected. The data above suggests that if there are intermediate species they are not in a form to be detectable by UHPLC or GC-MS or the electrochemical breakdown pathway of MB⁺ to the final products (CO_2 and H_2O) is faster than the timescale of solution sampling.

The area under the MB⁺ peak, visible in the UHPLC-MS chromatograms at the retention time of ca. 15.5 min (Fig. S5, SI 4), was thus used as a quantitative guide to the rate of MB⁺ removal as a function of time, as shown in Fig. 5. UHPLC-MS shows that the area associated with the MB⁺ peak decreases with time from 100% (0 s), to 82.9% (30 s), 41.0% (120 s), 5.8% (300 s) and 0.6% (600 s), with no evidence of any MB⁺ remaining in the solution at 900 s. Assuming pseudo first order kinetic removal of MB⁺ [40], the area under the peak, which equates to MB⁺ concentration, was used to extract a rate constant (k) for the electrochemical removal of MB⁺. The inset to Fig 5 shows a plot of $\ln(C_0/C_t)$ versus time, where C_0 is the area under the MB⁺ peak at time = 0 s and C_t the area under the peak at time, t . The gradient gives a value for k of 0.54 min^{-1} ($R^2 = 0.998$), which interestingly is very similar to the values reported in reference [40] ($0.46 - 0.57 \text{ min}^{-1}$ for pH values 11 – 3) for MB⁺ removal using an electro-Fenton method and significantly larger electrodes ($0.6 \times 12 \text{ cm}$).

In the cathodic pole solution, at $t = 0 \text{ s}$, the blue colour is homogeneous (SI3, Fig. S4), after 120 s the blue colour has slightly intensified in the centre of the compartment, with lighter / colourless regions around the edge. After 900 s of BPE electrolysis, there is a small decrease in the peak area of the MB⁺ signal compared to the starting solution at $t = 0 \text{ s}$ (Fig. S4, right hand side, SI 3). Whilst MB⁺ can be electrochemically reduced to leucomethylene blue, SI 5, Fig. S8-9 [41], which is colourless, there is no evidence of its formation at the detection levels offered by UHPLC-MS (Fig. S5-6, SI 4). The origin of this colour inhomogeneity is interesting. In this set-up no supporting electrolyte is added to the solution thus there will be significant potential field effects. Movement of species by migration, where MB⁺ will preferentially move towards the cathodic pole BDD electrode is thus expected. Video download 2 also shows significant solution convection in both chambers. Interestingly, when supporting electrolyte (0.1 M KNO₃) is added to the MB⁺ solution, solution convective effects are significantly minimised and the solution in the cathodic chamber now remains uniformly coloured throughout the electrolysis process (video download 3). Hence the observed solution convection is strongly linked with potential field effects [42].

4. Conclusions

We have demonstrated, through the use of a simple closed configuration BPE cell, a method for interrogating the electrochemical activity of single particles, without the need for a direct electrical connection to a potential source. In particular we have used

the closed BPE set-up to investigate the effectiveness of a single polycrystalline BDD particle (250 – 350 μm in size) for the degradative electrochemical oxidation of the dye MB^+ . Given the small sample volumes involved (170 μL of solution) monitoring of the degradation process was carried out using UHPLC and GC-MS. Production of the cell components using stereolithography 3D printing methodologies enabled rapid production of multiple closed BPE cells for BDD particle electrode evaluation. In 600 s a single BDD particle was quantitatively shown to oxidatively remove > 99% of MB^+ (starting concentration 1×10^{-4} M; equivalent to 3.8 μg) from the solution, with a pseudo first order rate constant of 0.54 min^{-1} . Longer times resulted in complete removal. No intermediate species of the electrochemical breakdown process were detected, within the limits of detection of UHPLC and GC-MS, at all sampling times, $t = 30 - 900\text{s}$. As HPHT synthesis can produce large volumes of BDD particles time efficiently and at a relatively low cost, the data presented indicates the significant potential for HPHT synthesised BDD particles in electrochemical advanced oxidation and related applications. Here large surface area electrodes would be required where it is envisaged the particles could be used in a compacted (porous) form or embedded into a conductive support.

CRedit authorship contribution statement

Anna Dettlaff: Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - original draft, Visualization, **Joshua Tully:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - original draft, Visualization, **Georgia Wood:** Conceptualization, **Deep Chauhan:** Investigation, **Ben G. Breeze:** Investigation, Formal analysis, **Lijiang Song:** Investigation, Formal Analysis, **Julie V. Macpherson:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that there are no competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

AD thanks for the financial support from Gdańsk University of Technology by the DEC-11/2021/IDUB/II.1/AMERICIUM grant. JJT, BGB and JVM acknowledge the EPSRC for funding under the Engineered Diamond Technologies program [EP/V056778/1]. BGB

acknowledges the EPSRC for funding under the WASC programme [EP/V007688/1]. We all thank Miss Anjali John (Warwick Chemistry) for running MB⁺ UV-Vis measurements. The authors also thank Prof. Dick Crooks (University of Austin, Texas) for sharing his insights into bipolar electrochemistry.

References

- [1] N. Yang, S. Yu, J. V. MacPherson, Y. Einaga, H. Zhao, G. Zhao, G.M. Swain, X. Jiang, Conductive diamond: Synthesis, properties, and electrochemical applications, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 48 (2019) 157–204. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c7cs00757d>.
- [2] N. Yang, *Novel Aspects of Diamond From Growth to Applications Second Edition*, Springer, Siegen, 2019.
- [3] S.J. Cobb, Z.J. Ayres, J. V. Macpherson, Boron Doped Diamond: A Designer Electrode Material for the Twenty-First Century, *Annu. Rev. Anal. Chem.* 11 (2018) annurev-anchem-061417-010107. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-anchem-061417-010107>.
- [4] J. V Macpherson, A practical guide to using boron doped diamond in electrochemical research, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 17 (2015) 2935–2949. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c4cp04022h>.
- [5] G.F. Wood, C.E. Zvoriste-Walters, M.G. Munday, M.E. Newton, V. Shkirskiy, P.R. Unwin, J. V. Macpherson, High pressure high temperature synthesis of highly boron doped diamond microparticles and porous electrodes for electrochemical applications, *Carbon N. Y.* 171 (2021) 845–856. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2020.09.038>.
- [6] G.F. Wood, I.M. Terrero Rodríguez, J.J. Tully, S. Chaudhuri, J. V. Macpherson, Electrochemical Ozone Generation Using Compacted High Pressure High Temperature Synthesized Boron Doped Diamond Microparticle Electrodes, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 168 (2021) 126514. <https://doi.org/10.1149/1945-7111/ac3ff4>.
- [7] C.A. Martínez-Huitle, M. Panizza, Electrochemical oxidation of organic pollutants for wastewater treatment, *Curr. Opin. Electrochem.* 11 (2018) 62–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coelec.2018.07.010>.
- [8] F.C. Moreira, R.A.R. Boaventura, E. Brillas, V.J.P. Vilar, Electrochemical advanced oxidation processes: A review on their application to synthetic and real wastewaters, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 202 (2017) 217–261. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2016.08.037>.
- [9] S. Garcia-Segura, J. Keller, E. Brillas, J. Radjenovic, Removal of organic

- contaminants from secondary effluent by anodic oxidation with a boron-doped diamond anode as tertiary treatment, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 283 (2015) 551–557.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.10.003>.
- [10] J. Radjenovic, N. Duinslaeger, S.S. Avval, B.P. Chaplin, Facing the Challenge of Poly- and Perfluoroalkyl Substances in Water: Is Electrochemical Oxidation the Answer?, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 54 (2020) 14815–14829.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c06212>.
- [11] C.A. Martínez-Huitle, E. Brillas, Decontamination of wastewaters containing synthetic organic dyes by electrochemical methods: A general review, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 87 (2009) 105–145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2008.09.017>.
- [12] S. Alcocer, A. Picos, A.R. Uribe, T. Pérez, J.M. Peralta-Hernández, Comparative study for degradation of industrial dyes by electrochemical advanced oxidation processes with BDD anode in a laboratory stirred tank reactor, *Chemosphere.* 205 (2018) 682–689. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.04.155>.
- [13] A. Chmayssem, S. Taha, D. Hauchard, Scaled-up electrochemical reactor with a fixed bed three-dimensional cathode for electro-Fenton process: Application to the treatment of bisphenol A, *Electrochim. Acta.* 225 (2017) 435–442.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2016.12.183>.
- [14] R. Zhu, Z. Deng, Y. Wang, K. Zhou, Z. Yu, L. Ma, Q. Wei, A nanoporous diamond particle microelectrode and its surface modification, *Electrochim. Acta.* 430 (2022) 141015. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2022.141015>.
- [15] T. Ando, K. Asai, J. Macpherson, Y. Einaga, T. Fukuma, Y. Takahashi, Nanoscale Reactivity Mapping of a Single-Crystal Boron-Doped Diamond Particle, *Anal. Chem.* 93 (2021) 5831–5838. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.1c00053>.
- [16] S.E. Fosdick, K.N. Knust, K. Scida, R.M. Crooks, Bipolar electrochemistry, *Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed.* 52 (2013) 10438–10456. <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201300947>.
- [17] J.P. Guerrette, S.M. Oja, B. Zhang, Coupled electrochemical reactions at bipolar microelectrodes and nanoelectrodes, *Anal. Chem.* 84 (2012) 1609–1616.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/ac2028672>.
- [18] J.T. Cox, J.P. Guerrette, B. Zhang, Steady-state voltammetry of a microelectrode in a closed bipolar cell, *Anal. Chem.* 84 (2012) 8797–8804.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/ac302219p>.
- [19] D. Plana, G. Shul, M.J. Stephenson, R.A.W. Dryfe, The voltammetric response of bipolar cells: Mechanistic investigations of electroless deposition, *Electrochem.*

- Commun. 11 (2009) 61–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2008.10.034>.
- [20] W. Xu, K. Fu, C. Ma, P.W. Bohn, Closed bipolar electrode-enabled dual-cell electrochromic detectors for chemical sensing, *Analyst*. 141 (2016) 6018–6024. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C6AN01415A>.
- [21] C. Oh, B. Park, V. Sundaresan, J.L. Schaefer, P.W. Bohn, Closed Bipolar Electrode-Enabled Electrochromic Sensing of Multiple Metabolites in Whole Blood, *ACS Sensors*. 8 (2023) 270–279. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.2c02140>.
- [22] N.L. Walker, J.E. Dick, Leakless, Bipolar Reference Electrodes: Fabrication, Performance, and Miniaturization, *Anal. Chem.* 93 (2021) 10065–10074. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.1c00675>.
- [23] N.L. Walker, J.E. Dick, On the mechanism of the bipolar reference electrode, *Analyst*. 148 (2023) 2149–2158. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D3AN00107E>.
- [24] S. Takano, K.Y. Inoue, M. Ikegawa, Y. Takahashi, K. Ino, H. Shiku, T. Matsue, Liquid-junction-free system for substitutional stripping voltammetry using a closed bipolar electrode system, *Electrochem. Commun.* 66 (2016) 34–37. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2016.02.014>.
- [25] J.J. Tully, G.N. Meloni, A Scientist’s Guide to Buying a 3D Printer: How to Choose the Right Printer for Your Laboratory, *Anal. Chem.* 92 (2020) 14853–14860. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.0c03299>.
- [26] W.L. Wang, M.C. Polo, G. Sánchez, J. Cifre, J. Esteve, Internal stress and strain in heavily boron-doped diamond films grown by microwave plasma and hot filament chemical vapor deposition, *J. Appl. Phys.* 80 (1996) 1846–1850. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.362996>.
- [27] A.F. Azevedo, R.C. Mendes de Barros, S.H.P. Serrano, N.G. Ferreira, SEM and Raman analysis of boron-doped diamond coating on spherical textured substrates, *Surf. Coatings Technol.* 200 (2006) 5973–5977. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2005.09.012>.
- [28] D. Kumar, M. Chandran, M.S. Ramachandra Rao, Effect of boron doping on first-order Raman scattering in superconducting boron doped diamond films, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 110 (2017) 191602. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4982591>.
- [29] Z. Liu, S. Baluchová, A.F. Sartori, Z. Li, Y. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Schreck, J.G. Buijnsters, Heavily boron-doped diamond grown on scalable heteroepitaxial quasi-substrates: A promising single crystal material for electrochemical sensing applications, *Carbon N. Y.* 201 (2023) 1229–1240.

- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2022.10.023>.
- [30] M.E. Snowden, P.H. King, J.A. Covington, J. V. MacPherson, P.R. Unwin, Fabrication of versatile channel flow cells for quantitative electroanalysis using prototyping, *Anal. Chem.* 82 (2010) 3124–3131. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac100345v>.
- [31] G. Wosiak, M.C. Silva, J. da Silva, E.B. Carneiro-Neto, M.C. Lopes, E. Pereira, Evaluation of interfacial pH change during water splitting at pulsed regime using finite element method, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy.* 46 (2021) 17644–17652. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2021.02.195>.
- [32] D.A. Armstrong, R.E. Huie, W.H. Koppenol, S. V. Lymar, G. Merényi, P. Neta, B. Ruscic, D.M. Stanbury, S. Steenken, P. Wardman, *Standard Electrode Potentials Involving Radicals in Aqueous Solution : Inorganic Radicals*, 2016.
- [33] A.J. Bard, L.R. Faulkner, *Electrochemical Methods - Fundamentals and Applications*, 2nd ed., Wiley, 2001.
- [34] J.A. Caram, J.F.M. Suárez, A.M. Gennaro, M. V. Mirífico, Electrochemical behaviour of methylene blue in non-aqueous solvents, *Electrochim. Acta.* 164 (2015) 353–363. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2015.01.196>.
- [35] A. Aleboyeh, M.B. Kasiri, H. Aleboyeh, Influence of dyeing auxiliaries on AB74 dye degradation by UV/H₂O₂ process, *J. Environ. Manage.* 113 (2012) 426–431. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2012.10.008>.
- [36] S. Hisaindee, M.A. Meetani, M.A. Rauf, Application of LC-MS to the analysis of advanced oxidation process (AOP) degradation of dye products and reaction mechanisms, *TrAC - Trends Anal. Chem.* 49 (2013) 31–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2013.03.011>.
- [37] C. Comninellis, G. Chen, *Electrochemistry for the Environment*, Springer, London, 2010.
- [38] P. Cañizares, B. Louhichi, A. Gadri, B. Nasr, R. Paz, M.A. Rodrigo, C. Saez, Electrochemical treatment of the pollutants generated in an ink-manufacturing process, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 146 (2007) 552–557. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.04.085>.
- [39] N. Martin, Y. Leprince-wang, HPLC-MS and UV – Visible Coupled Analysis of Methylene Blue Photodegradation by Hydrothermally Grown ZnO Nanowires, *Phys. Status Solidi.* 218 (2021) 2100532.
- [40] X. Teng, J. Li, Z. Wang, Z. Wei, C. Chen, K. Du, C. Zhao, G. Yang, Y. Li, Performance and mechanism of methylene blue degradation by an electrochemical process, *RSC Adv.* 10 (2020) 24712–24720. <https://doi.org/10.1039/d0ra03963b>.

- [41] E. Barou, M. Bouvet, O. Heintz, R. Meunier-Prest, Electrochemistry of methylene blue at an alkanethiol modified electrode, *Electrochim. Acta.* 75 (2012) 387–392.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2012.05.017>.
- [42] T. Moazzenzade, X. Yang, L. Walterbos, J. Huskens, C. Renault, S.G. Lemay, Self-Induced Convection at Microelectrodes via Electroosmosis and Its Influence on Impact Electrochemistry, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 142 (2020) 17908–17912.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.0c08450>.