Electrocatalytic Asymmetric Nozaki–Hiyama–Kishi Decarboxylative Coupling: Scope, Applications, and Mechanism

Baiyang Jiang[1],[†] Yang Gao[1],[†] Nathan C. Friede[2], Arianne C. Hunter[2], Dylan G. Boucher[3], Shelley D. Minteer[3], Matthew S. Sigman[3], Sarah E. Reisman[2]*, and Phil S. Baran[1]*

[1]Department of Chemistry, Scripps Research, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037, United States.

[2]The Warren and Katharine Schlinger Laboratory for Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, United States.

[3]Department of Chemistry, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, United States.

*Correspondence to: reisman@caltech.edu, pbaran@scripps.edu

ABSTRACT: The first general enantioselective alkyl-Nozaki-Hiyama-Kishi (NHK) coupling reactions are disclosed herein by employing a Cr-electrocatalytic decarboxylative approach. Using easily accessible aliphatic carboxylic acids (via redox-active esters) as alkyl nucleophile synthons, in combination with aldehydes and enabling additives, chiral secondary alcohols are produced in good yield and high enantioselectivity under mild reductive electrolysis. This reaction, which cannot be mimicked using stoichiometric metal or organic reductants, tolerates a broad range of functional groups, and is successfully applied to dramatically simplify the synthesis of multiple medicinally relevant structures and natural products. Mechanistic studies revealed that this asymmetric alkyl e-NHK reaction was enabled by using catalytic tetrakis(dimethylamino)ethylene (TDAE), which acts as a key reductive mediator to mediate the electroreduction of the Cr[III]/chiral ligand complex.

INTRODUCTION

The synthesis of chiral secondary alcohols has been a subject of intense study for more than 40 years (Figure 1A).[1] Retrosynthetically, two main pathways to access aryl-alkyl substituted secondary alcohols employ either nucleophilic addition to an aldehyde[2] or asymmetric reduction[3] of the corresponding ketone. Early catalytic manifestations of the former process date back to the work of Noyori[4] on highly stereocontrolled organozinc additions to aldehydes whereas the latter strategy originated from the findings of Landor[5] ultimately leading to modern methods such as the venerable CBS[6] reduction. The Nozaki–Hiyama–Kishi (NHK) reaction, first discovered in 1977[7] and formalized in 1986[8] usually involves the cross-coupling of an alkenyl halide with an aldehyde through the use of stoichiometric Cr and catalytic Ni to afford an allylic alcohol product.[9] The corresponding alkyl-variant of this reaction is seldom employed with a variety of alkyl nucleophile surrogates being disclosed over the years such as alkyl iodides,[10] carboxylic acids [via redox-active esters (RAEs)],[11] olefins,[12] or even unactivated C–H bonds[13] (Figure 1B). Those variants, however, have not been employed in a catalytic, highly enantioselective fashion. In 2021, an electrocatalytic decarboxylative variant of the NHK reaction was disclosed by this team demonstrating a racemic proof of concept for such a bond forming strategy.[14] In this Article we disclose a broadly useful method that now achieves synthetically useful yields and enantiomeric excesses through a combination of fine-tuned electrochemical parameters, enabling additives, and an optimized chiral ligand.[15] The high functional group tolerance of this reaction


Figure 1. Historical context and precedent inspiring enantioselective decarboxylative NHK.

combined with the versatility of using RAE-based alkyl donors can enable simplified access to enantioenriched alkyl-aryl alcohols in a variety of different contexts.

Table 1. Reaction Development and Optimization

Reaction Development and Optimization

A Initial Electrochemical Reactivity Investigation and Non-electrochemical Chiral Ligands Screen

non-electrochemical chiral ligands screen
> 50 ligands tested
ee optimized from 0% to 82%

electrochemical reactivity investigation
>150 conditions screened
yield optimized from 7% to 51%

3 [See SI for full summary]

B Final Optimization

4 (0.8 mmol) + **5** (2.0 equiv) A* = NHPI

In situ generated Cr-complex
[CrCl$_2$ (30 mol%), **L7** (33 mol%), Proton Sponge (33 mol%)]
TESCl (2.0 equiv), TDAE (0.4 equiv), TBAClO$_4$ (0.1 M)
(+)Al/(-)Ni foam, MeCN (c = 0.5 M), 2.5 mA, 24 h
then TBAF work-up

6, 58%^{a} (51%)^b, 90% ee

entry	deviation from above	yield (%)^a	ee (%)^c
	Chromium source		
1	CrCl$_3$ instead of CrCl$_2$	53	60
	Additives		
2	w/o TDAE	20	88
3	Cp$_2$ZrCl$_2$ instead of TESCl	< 10	n.d.^{d}
	Electrochemical parameters		
4	DMF instead of MeCN	30	2
5	E_{cell} = 5 V	40	82
6	stainless steel as anode	< 10	n.d.
7	RVC as cathode	52	82
8	LiClO$_4$ instead of TBAClO$_4$	40	86
	Control experiments		
9	no electricity	< 10	n.d.
10	Zn, Mn, Mg powder, TDAE instead of electricity	< 10	n.d.

Effects of ligands (under standard conditions)

NMR yield (%) of 6 / ee (%) of 6

L1: 10 / n.d.
L2: 13 / 12
L3: 38 / 36
L4: 34 / 1
L5: 54 / 16
L6: 60 / 67
L7: 58 / 90

Proton Sponge — NMe$_2$ NMe$_2$

L1^e L2 L3^f

tetrakis(dimethylamino)ethylene TDAE — Me$_2$N, NMe$_2$, Me$_2$N, NMe$_2$

R = L4, L5, L6, L7, L8^g
(+)-menthol **L6**
(+)-8-phenylmenthol **L7**
L8^g
L4-L9

^{a}Yields were determined by ^{1}H NMR using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as the internal standard. ^{b}Isolated yields after TBAF work-up. ^{c}Enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral SFC analysis. ^{d}Not determined. ^{e}2 equiv proton sponge was used. ^{f}Without proton sponge. ^{g}Cr(II)-**L8** complex not formed.

ELECTROCATALYTIC ASYMMETRIC DECARBOXYLATIVE NHK COUPLING: DEVELOPMENT AND SCOPE

The development of the asymmetric variant of decarboxylative electrocatalytic NHK took place in a bifurcated fashion as outlined in Table 1A on substrates **1** and **2**. Thus, parallel optimizations were carried out to maximize reactivity in an electrochemical setting and to maximize ee in a purely chemical system. By separating the challenges of maximizing electrochemical reactivity and ee, the research teams could cover ground more rapidly as it was practically simpler to explore >50 chiral ligands using superstoichiometric Cr loading under low yielding chemical conditions as only the ee measurement was relevant. At the same time, a variety of electrochemical parameters (>150 conditions screened) were explored such as solvent, electrolyte, additives, current density, concentration, and electrode material (see SI for complete summary of both endeavors). Early in those studies it was verified that the ee measurements observed using purely chemical conditions could be translated to non-optimized electrochemical conditions. With relatively optimized conditions and chiral ligand candidates identified, final reaction development commenced with

2

Table 2. Scope of Enantioselective Electrochemical Decarboxylative NHK coupling

Enantioselective Electrochemical Decarboxylative Nozaki-Hiyama-Kishi Coupling

In situ generated Cr-complex
[CrCl$_2$ (30 mol%), **L7** (33 mol%), Proton Sponge (33 mol%)]

TESCl (2.0 equiv), TDAE (0.4 equiv), TBAClO$_4$ (0.1 M)
(+)Al/(−)Ni foam, c = 0.5 M, 2.5 mA, 24 h

R^{1}CHO (0.8 mmol) + R^2—NHPI (1.6 mmol) → product

[> 40 examples]
[undivided cell]
[high FG tolerance]

Redox-Active Ester Scope (Ar = 2-naphthyl)[a]

7, R = Me, 60%, 87% ee
8, R = n-C$_{14}$H$_{29}$, 52%, 80% ee

9, 60%, 86% ee

10, 45%, 87% ee

11, 44%, 88% ee

12, R = H, 53%, 84% ee
13, R = F, 52%, 84% ee

14, 58%, 85% ee

15, 54%, 68% ee

6, 51%, 90% ee

16, 30%, 90% ee

17, 41%, 91% ee

18, 51%, 80% ee

19, 35%, 82% ee

20, 48%, 88% ee

21, 50%, 89% ee

22, 45%, 84% ee

23, 71%, 10:1 dr, 83% ee

24, R = 4-CF$_3$, 56%, 84% ee
25, R = 4-BPin, 35%, 84% ee
26, R = 3-Br, 42%, 85% ee

from **chlorambucil**
27, 32%, 87% ee

from **linoleic acid**
28, 40%, 91% ee

from **elaidic acid**
29, 46%, 90% ee

Aldehyde Scope

30, R = Br, 57%, 82% ee[b]
31, R = CF$_3$, 44%, 87% ee[b]
32, R = OEt, 61%, 86% ee[b]
33, R = OCF$_2$H, 54%, 83% ee[b]
34, R = OTol, 48%, 86% ee[b]

35, 41%, 83% ee[b]

36, 34%, 83% ee[b]

37, 46%, 83% ee[b]

38, 48%, 80% ee

39, R = 3-Me, 55%, 88% ee
40, R = 2-F, 5-Me, 38%, 86% ee

41, R = 4-F, 58%, 80% ee
42, R = 4-t-Bu, 56%, 80% ee
43, R = 2-Br, 51%, 71% ee
44, R = 2-F, 5-Me, 48%, 90% ee

45, 43%, 81% ee

3, 51%, 82% ee

from **naproxen**
46, **L7**, 62%, 92:8 dr
47, ent-**L7**, 60%, 14:86 dr

Limitations

48, < 10% yield

49, < 5% yield

50, < 5% yield

51, < 5% yield

[a]Isolated yields after TBAF work-up. [b]20 mol% CrCl$_2$, 22 mol% **L7**, and 22 mol% proton sponge were used.

alkyl aldehyde **3** and redox-active ester **4** (Table 1B). The extensive electrochemical screening campaign outlined above uncovered an optimal combination of chromium (II) chloride as the chromium source (along with catalytic proton sponge to enhance complex formation), TDAE[16]/TESCl as the additives, Al/Ni electrode materials, TBAClO$_4$ electrolyte, and a high concentration (0.5M) in CH$_3$CN. Of the chiral ligands explored, a unique sulfonamide-based structure (**L7**)[17] emerged as the optimum ligand. This final set of conditions provided a 51% isolated yield of benzylic alcohol

3

Figure 3. Applications. [a] After recrystallization.

6 with 90% enantiomeric excess (Table 1B). Replacing CrCl₂ with air-stable CrCl₃ led to comparable yield but decreased enantio-selectivity (entry 1). The addition of TDAE significantly increased the reaction efficiency without impacting the enantioselectivity (entry 2). TESCl was found to be superior to Cp₂ZrCl₂ in terms of trapping the chromium alkoxides and regenerating the catalyst (entry 3). As for the electrochemical parameters, solvent choice was important wherein replacing CH₃CN with DMF (entry 4) lead to diminished enantioselectivity, presumably due to undesired competing coordination. Constant voltage (entry 5), alternative anode (entry 6) or cathode (entry 7) materials as well as the identity of the electrolyte (entry 8) decreased the observed reaction yield. Notably, classic batch conditions with or without external reducing agents (entries 9 and 10) displayed far lower reactivity for this transformation.

A wide variety of chiral ligands reported in asymmetric NHK reactions were evaluated (Table 1B, top right, see SI for full listing), including salen ligand **L1**,[18] Nakada's ligand **L2**[19] and BOX ligand **L3**.[20] We were pleased to determine

that the chiral sulfonamide ligands (**L4**-**L9**) initially introduced by Kishi *et al.*, gave the most promising asymmetric induction. As a result of extensive screening of Kishi-type ligands (>40 ligands, See SI), the R substituent on the aniline was found to play a crucial role wherein the (+)-menthol substituent (**L6**) enhanced the ee value to 67% compared to a simple methyl group (**L4**, 1% ee) or a cyclohexyl group (**L5**, 16% ee). Thus, we evaluated several larger substituents at this position including (+)-8-phenylmenthol[21] (**L7**), which dramatically improved the ee value to 90%. However, an even more hindered variant containing a 2-naphthyl substituent (**L8**) did not form the required complex presumably due to its inability to coordinate to the Cr(II) center.

With the optimal conditions in hand, the scope of this electrocatalytic enantioselective NHK decarboxylative coupling was explored as summarized in Table 2. With regard to the redox-active esters, which were derived from readily available aliphatic carboxylic acids, we were pleased to find that aside from simple alkyl chains (**7**, **8**, **9**, **11**), a wide variety of function groups could be tolerated, such as terminal

4

Figure 4. Applications.

alkenes (**10**), internal alkenes (**28**, **29**), aryl halides (**13**, **26**), esters (**14**), alkyl chlorides (**15**, **27**), silyl ethers (**6**), carbamates (**16**), imides (**17**), heterocycles (**18**, **19**, **20**), ethers (**21**, **24**, **25**, **26**), acetates (**22**), boronate ester (**25**), a trifluoromethyl group (**24**) and tertiary amines (**27**). An array of aromatic aldehydes proved to be suitable coupling partners, providing synthetically useful yields and enantioselectivity. In general, substituents at the *meta*-position of the aromatic aldehydes give higher enantioselectivity than *ortho*-, and *para*-substituents, and the electronic properties of substituents have little impact on both yields and ee values. The functional group tolerance is also broad with respect to the aldehyde coupling partner, including aryl halides (**30**, **40**, **41**, **43**, **44**), ethers (**32**, **33**, **34**, **35**, **3**, **46**, **47**), thioethers (**36**), heterocycles (**38**, **45**), and esters (**46**, **47**). It is worth noting that in the case of a substrate bearing a remote stereocenter, the stereochemistry in the products was fully controlled by the stereochemistry of ligands (**L7**, *ent*-**L7**) rather than that of the substrate (**46**, **47**).

Of all the compounds listed in Table 1, only **7** has been previously prepared in an enantioselective fashion, all of which require pyrophoric nucleophiles (alkyl lithium and Grignard species).[22] Alcohols **30**, **35**, and **38** have been previously prepared in racemic fashion through Grignard additions.[23] It is advantageous in many cases to use carboxylic acid inputs from both a chemoselectivity standpoint and synthetic simplicity as several of the requisite alkyl halides would need to be derived either from alcohol halogenation or Hunsdiecker decarboxylation[24] (i.e. compounds **27**, **28**, and **29**).

Regarding the limitations of this method, nitro groups, benzonitriles, and pyridine-containing aldehydes are not suitable coupling partners (**49**-**51**). Alpha-branched primary RAEs such as **48** also lead to poor yield.

APPLICATIONS

The electrocatalytic asymmetric NHK decarboxylative coupling disclosed herein, when applied strategically, can have a dramatically simplifying impact on synthesis as outlined in Figures 3 and 4. This is due to the radical retrosynthetic logic[25] employed that departs from the conventional 2e⁻ strategies that are universally employed to access such substrates. For instance, alkyne **54**, which previously[26] required five steps involving non-strategic redox fluctuations, functional group interconversions, and pyrophoric nucleophiles could be prepared in only two steps commencing

5

from **53** (Figure 3A). Diol **58**, an intermediate previously prepared as a racemic mixture (six steps) in a natural product total synthesis,[27] could be prepared in only three steps in high ee (Figure 3B). The medicinally relevant diol **63**[28] that required an 8-step route could be truncated to only two steps (Figure 3C). The first total synthesis of horsfieldone A[29] (**65**) was completed in 2 simple steps from the easily accessed RAE **64** (Figure 3D). Even more complex applications were designed and implemented as documented in Figure 4. For example, the herboxidiene analog **70**, previously required a 13-step route with many concession steps.[30] In contrast, starting from aldehyde **68** (four steps), an e-NHK coupling followed by cyclization led to the same compound in only 6 total steps. As a testament to the chemoselectivity of this reaction, RAE **69**, bearing an electrophilic acrylate moiety could be employed. Finally, a substantially truncated route to gravicycle[31] (**78**) was developed using a series of enabling electrocatalytic couplings. The prior route[32] to this natural product relied on an inefficient Bi-based O-arylation, pyrophoric reagents, numerous redox-fluctuations and functional group manipulations as part of a 17-step route. In contrast, the simple aryl iodide **74** could be subjected to electrocatalytic DCC-arylation[33] with RAE **75**, Ullman coupling with **76**,[34] e-NHK with RAE **77**, RCM, and deprotection to furnish **78** in only six steps.

MECHANISTIC STUDIES

Given that addition of TDAE proved important for obtaining good yields in the enantioselective e-NHK, mechanistic studies were carried out to determine the role of this additive. During the optimization process, a stoichiometric condition utilizing excess Cr(II) complex was found to give ee values comparable to the electrocatalytic system (Figure 5b). Addition of an acidic deuterium source to this reaction mixture led to formation of deuterated alkane **79** consistent with other reports of alkylative NHK-type reactions.[35] The consistent ee between the stoichiometric system and the electrochemical system suggests that both the stoichiometric and catalytic conditions involve formation of the same putative alkylchromium species, and that TDAE is not required for formation of this intermediate. We hypothesized that in the electrochemical system, TDAE mediates the reduction of the **L7**·Cr^{III}. This process might be more important with **L7**-coordinated Cr if the sterically encumbered chiral ligand imposes an additional kinetic barrier to reduction at the electrode surface.

To investigate the key electron transfer steps in the electrochemical system, cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed (Figure 5c). To simplify the experimental setup, the **L7**·Cr^{III} complex was independently synthesized by treatment of **L7** with NaH (1.0 equiv) in THF followed by direct addition of solid CrCl$_3$·3THF to give a purple-green solid.[17a] **L7**·Cr^{III} exhibited quasireversible behavior with a large peak-to-peak separation (1.84V) and a cathodic peak potential of –1.53V at 100 mV/s (compared to ~–1.42V for the unligated CrCl$_3$·3THF complex) (Figure 5c, i). Both CrCl$_3$ and **L7**·Cr^{III} exhibited scan-rate dependent shifts in the cathodic peak potential with large half-peak to peak separation, suggesting that reduction at the cathode is kinetically slow. When compared to CrCl$_3$, the cathodic peak current of the **L7**·Cr^{III} catalyst is approximately 110 mV more negative, with an onset potential that is 150 mV more cathodic, suggesting the ligand increases the reduction potential of the complex

or that it imposes an increased overpotential. Finite element simulation of the CV supported sluggish kinetics for the direct reduction of **L7**·Cr^{III}, as the voltammetry was best fit with low heterogeneous electron transfer rate constant of 1x10^{-5} cm s^{-1} (for comparison, fast reversible redox couples typically exhibit rate constants near 0.1 cm s^{-1}).[36] RAE **2** has a peak potential of –1.63V vs Fc/Fc$^+$ under the same CV conditions (Figure 5c, iii). This value lies close to the peak potential of **L7**·Cr^{III} (–1.53V), which could result in direct reduction of **2** at the cathode competing with reduction of **L7**·Cr^{III} given the challenging nature of the direct reduction of the Cr^{III} species. Thus, TDAE-mediated reduction of **L7**·Cr^{III} could allow the reaction to proceed more rapidly and at less-negative potentials, which could then avoid possible deleterious direct reduction of RAE **2**.

To investigate the ability of TDAE to serve as a mediator, TDAE^{2+}(PF$_6^-$)$_2$ was prepared by aerobic oxidation of TDAE in the presence of TMSBr (see SI). CV of TDAE^{2+}(PF$_6^-$)$_2$ in MeCN revealed two freely-diffusing reversible single-electron redox features at –1.05 V and –1.13V, consistent with previous literature reports (Figure 5c, ii).[37] Upon addition of **L7**·Cr^{III}, the cathodic peaks corresponding to TDAE^{2+} reduction increase in current and there is concomitant loss of the anodic features associated with the TDAE^0/ TDAE$^{+\cdot}$ and TDAE$^{+\cdot}$/ TDAE^{2+} oxidations, consistent with loss of TDAE$^{+\cdot}$ by chemical reaction with **L7**·Cr^{III} (EC mechanism) (Figure 5c, iv, v). The reduction of TDAE^{2+} is less cathodic than both substrates (**1** and **2**) and **L7**·Cr^{III}, consistent with a scenario where TDAE^{2+} undergoes preferential cathodic reduction. Additional CV studies were carried out to evaluate whether TDAE can also mediate reduction of either RAE **2** or aldehyde **1**. Addition of up to 10 equivalents of RAE **2** to TDAE^{2+}(PF$_6^-$)$_2$ in the absence of TESCl led to a negligible current increase (Figure 5c, vi). An increase in current was observed in the presence of TESCl (Figure 5c, vii); however, this feature disappeared after the first scan in a manner consistent with a trace impurity in the TESCl, which we ascribe to HCl. In a recent review, Waldvogel notes challenges of CV studies of silyl halides to due to their facile hydrolysis to generate HCl.[38] We have previously reported that the combination of TDAE and silyl halides induces reductive decarboxylation of NHP esters, but that TDAE/TESCl was determined to reduce benzylic NHP esters at rates that are slow relative to other silyl halides.[39] No significant current increase were observed upon addition of aldehyde **1** (100 equiv) to TDAE^{2+}(PF$_6^-$)$_2$ (Figure 5c, viii). This mechanistic scheme was further supported by finite element simulations of the voltammetry (Figure 5c, ix). Simulations of both TDAE^{2+} and **L7**·Cr^{III} in solution with no mediation step provided a simulated CV with a clear shoulder at -1.13 V corresponding to the TDAE$^+$/TDAE^0 couple, a feature completely absent in the experimental CVs. Incorporation of an association step between TDAE$^+$ and **L7**·Cr^{III} into the simulation provided a voltammogram with no associated TDAE$^+$/TDAE^0 wave, providing evidence of a reaction between the reduced TDAE$^+$ and the Cr complex. Finally, incorporation of a turnover step (generating the reduced **L7**·Cr^{II} and regenerating TDAE^{2+}) once again resulted in the TDAE$^+$/TDAE^0 wave, leading to the conclusion that dissociation of TDAE$^+$ is slow, but still orders of magnitude faster than the direct reduction of **L7**·Cr^{III} (full simulation details can be found in the SI). TDAE is known to form charge-transfer complexes with organic molecules and metal

6

Figure 5. Mechanistic investigations. A. Proposed electrocatalytic cycle. B. Stoichiometric Cr-mediated reaction between **1** and **2** in the presence and absence of TFA-D$_1$. C. CV studies. All CVs were acquired in MeCN using 0.1 M TBAClO$_4$ supporting electrolyte. Unless otherwise noted, experiment was carried out with 100 mV/s scan rate. (i) [**L7**·Cr^{III}Cl$_2$·2 THF] = 8.55 mM. (ii) [TDAE^{2+}(PF$_6^-$)$_2$] = 0.001 M. (iii)[**1**] = 0.01 M, [**2**] = 0.01 M. (iv) [**L7**·Cr^{III}Cl$_2$·2 THF] = 8.55 mM. [TDAE^{2+}(PF$_6^-$)$_2$] varied from

7

0.002 to 0.01 M. (v) **L7**·Cr^{III}Cl$_2$·2 THF [X M], TDAE^{2+}(PF$_6^-$)$_2$ [0.01 M], and **L7**·Cr^{III}Cl$_2$·2 THF [8.55 mM] and TDAE^{2+}(PF$_6^-$)$_2$ [0.002 M]. (vi) [TDAE^{2+}(PF$_6^-$)$_2$]= 0.002 M, [**2**] varied from 0.002 to 0.02 M. (vii) [TDAE^{2+}(PF$_6^-$)$_2$]= 0.002 M, [**2**] varied from 0.002 to 0.02 M, [TESCl] varied from 0.002 to 0.02 M. (viii) [TDAE^{2+}(PF$_6^-$)$_2$]= X M in the presence and absence of **1** [0.2 M]. D. Finite element simulation of CV of [**L7**·Cr^{III}Cl$_2$·2 THF] = 8.55 mM. [TDAE^{2+}(PF$_6^-$)$_2$] = 0.002 M. Dark blue trace: experimental CV. Light blue trace: simulation of no interaction between TDAE$^{+·}$ and **L7**·Cr^{III}Cl$_2$·2 THF. Red trace: simulation of TDAE$^{+·}$ complexation with [**L7**·Cr^{III}Cl$_2$·2 THF]. Pink trace: simulation of TDAE$^{+·}$ complexation with [**L7**·Cr^{III}Cl$_2$·2 THF] followed by chemical regeneration of TDAE^{2+}.

surfaces.[40] Collectively, these results are consistent with TDAE serving as an electrochemical mediator to reduce **L7**·Cr^{III}. It is also possible that TDAE can scavenge trace impurities such as HCl or O$_2$ that could decompose intermediates in the catalytic cycle.[41] The latter observation is corroborated by the generally improved performance of TDAE over TDAE^{2+} in the reaction, which may result from the capability of TDAE to scavenge trace impurities before electrolysis is commenced.

In principle, if TDAE mediates reduction of **L7**·Cr^{III}, then it should be possible to use stoichiometric TDAE to drive the reaction **L7**·Cr^{II} in the absence of current. Indeed, TDAE has been used as the stoichiometric reductant for Cr-catalyzed addition of alkenyl bromides and allyl bromides to aldehydes.[42] However, during the optimization process, <10% yield **3** was observed using stoichiometric TDAE and no electricity (see Table 1, entry 10). Based on a recent report by Wenger and coworkers in which TDAE$^{+·}$ was invoked as an H-atom source, we hypothesized that with high concentrations of TDAE$^{+·}$ (as under the stoichiometric conditions), hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) to the primary alkyl radical derived from **2** outcompetes addition of this species to **L7**·Cr^{II} to generate the alkyl Cr^{III} species.[43] In contrast, prior work from the Reisman lab showed that benzylic radicals undergo radical-radical dimerization faster than HAT in the presence of TDAE$^{+·}$.[39] We ascribed this difference in reactivity to the difference in stability of the primary and benzylic radicals. This also highlights the enabling nature of using catalytic TDAE under electrochemical conditions: while TDAE$^{+·}$ can mediate reduction of **L7**·Cr^{III}, its presence in high concentrations can intercept the radical generated from the RAE and prevent productive coupling. This is a distinct challenge for the alkyl NHK, which proceeds via formation of highly reactive alkyl radicals, relative to prior work.

CONCLUSION

In summary, an enantioselective alkyl e-NHK has been developed. This reaction allows the addition of simple, primary alkyl substrates to aldehydes to give secondary alcohols in high enantioselectivity. This class of substrates has not previously been rendered enantioselective for NHK reactions driven by canonical metal dust reductants. This asymmetric alkyl e-NHK was enabled by using TDAE as a key reductive mediator. CV studies and stoichiometric experiments suggest that the role of TDAE is to mediate reduction of the **L7**·Cr^{III} complex, which in the previous, non-asymmetric alkyl e-NHK, was found to be the rate determining step. This is especially beneficial for the asymmetric reaction, in which the chiral ligand is proposed to kinetically slow reduction of the catalyst at the electrode. The ability to use catalytic TDAE mediator is critical to avoid competing HAT processes between the alkyl radical and TDAE$^{+·}$. The

usefulness of this method is demonstrated by multiple synthetic campaigns, which highlight the strategic deployment of the asymmetric alkyl e-NHK to increase synthetic ideality and reduce step count.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information contains all experimental procedures, analysis, and compound characterization data.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*reisman@caltech.edu
*pbaran@scripps.edu

Author Contributions

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Noyori, R. *Asymmetric Catalysis in Organic Synthesis*; John Wiley & Sons: New York, NY, USA, 1994; pp. 1–635. (b) Jacobsen, E.N.; Pfaltz, A.; Yamamoto, H. *Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis*; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 1999; pp. 1–1856.

(2) For reviews, see: (a) Noyori, R.; Kitamura, M. Enantioselective Addition of Organometallic Reagents to Carbonyl Compounds: Chirality Transfer, Multiplication and Amplification. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1991**, *30*, 49–69. (b) Pu, L.; Yu, H.-B. Catalytic Asymmetric Organozinc Additions to Carbonyl Compounds. *Chem. Rev.* **2001**, *101*, 757–824. (c) Collados, J. F.; Solà, R.; Harutyunyan, S. R.; Maciá, B. Catalytic Synthesis of Enantiopure Chiral Alcohols via Addition

of Grignard Reagents to Carbonyl Compounds. *ACS Catal.* **2016**, *6*, 1952–1970.

(3) For reviews, see: (a) Itsuno, S. Enantioselective Reduction of Ketones, *Org. Reactions* **1998**, *52*, 395–576. (b) Li, Y.-Y.; Yu, S.-L.; Shen, W.-Y.; Gao, J.-X. Iron, Cobalt, and Nickel-Catalyzed Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation and Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Ketones. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 2587–2598. (c) Agbossou-Niedercorn, F.; Michon, C. Bifunctional Homogeneous Catalysts Based on First Row Transition Metals in Asymmetric Hydrogenation. *Coordin. Chem. Rev.* **2020**, *425*, 213523.

(4) Kitamura, M.; Suga, S.; Kawai, K.; Noyori, R. Catalytic Asymmetric Induction. Highly Enantioselective Addition of Dialkylzincs to Aldehydes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *108*, 6071–6072.

(5) Landor, S. R.; Miller, B. J.; Tatchell, A. R. Asymmetric Synthesis. Part III. The Reduction of Ketones with the Ethanol Modified Lithium Aluminium Hydride-3-*O*-Benzyl-1,2-*O*-Cyclohexylidene-α-D-Glucofuranose Complex. *J. Chem. Soc. C*, **1967**, 197–201.

(6) (a) Itsuno, S.; Ito, K.; Hirao, A.; Nakahama, S. Asymmetric Reduction of Aromatic Ketones with the Reagent Prepared from (*S*)-(−)-2-Amino-3-methyl-1,1-diphenylbutan-1-ol and Borane. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1983**, 469–470. (b) Corey, E. J.; Bakshi, R. K.; Shibata, S. Highly Enantioselective Borane Reduction of Ketones Catalyzed by Chiral Oxazaborolidines. Mechanism and Synthetic Implications. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 5551–5553. (c) Corey, E. J.; Bakshi, R. K.; Shibata, S.; Chen, C. P.; Singh, V. K. A Stable and Easily Prepared Catalyst for the Enantioselective Reduction of Ketones. Applications to Multistep Syntheses. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 7925–7926. (d) Corey, E. J.; Helal, C. J. Reduction of Carbonyl Compounds with Chiral Oxazaborolidine Catalysts: A New Paradigm for Enantioselective Catalysis and a Powerful New Synthetic Method. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1998**, *37*, 1986–2012.

(7) Okude, Y.; Hirano, S.; Hiyama, T.; Nozaki, H. Grignard-Type Carbonyl Addition of Allyl Halides by Means of Chromous Salt. A Chemospecific Synthesis of Homoallyl Alcohols. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1977,** *99*, 3179–3181.

(8) (a) Jin, H.; Uenishi, J.; Christ, W. J.; Kishi, Y. Catalytic Effect of Nickel(II) Chloride and Palladium(II) Acetate on Chromium(II)-Mediated Coupling Reaction of Iodo Olefins with Aldehydes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986,** *108*, 5644–5646. (b) Takai, K.; Tagashira, M.; Kuroda, T.; Oshima, K.; Utimoto, K.; Nozaki, H. Reactions of Alkenylchromium Reagents Prepared from Alkenyl Trifluoromethanesulfonates (Triflates) with Chromium(II) Chloride under Nickel Catalysis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986,** *108*, 6048–6050.

(9) For selected reviews, see: (a) Fürstner, A. Carbon–Carbon Bond Formations Involving Organochromium(III) Reagents. *Chem. Rev.* **1999**, *99*, 991–1045. (b) Takai, K. Addition of Organochromium Reagents to Carbonyl Compounds. *Org. React.* **2004,** 253–612. (c) Hargaden, G. C.; Guiry, P. J. The Development of the Asymmetric Nozaki–Hiyama–Kishi Reaction. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2007**, *349*, 2407–2424. (d) Tian, Q.; Zhang, G. Recent Advances in the Asymmetric Nozaki–Hiyama–Kishi Reaction. *Synthesis* **2016**, *48*, 4038–4049. (e) Gil, A.; Albericio, F.; Álvarez, M. Role of the Nozaki–Hiyama–Takai–Kishi Reaction in the Synthesis of Natural Products. *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 8420–8446.

(10) Takai, K.; Nitta, K.; Fujimura, O.; Utimoto, K. Preparation of Alkylchromium Reagents by Reduction of Alkyl Halides with Chromium(II) Chloride under Cobalt Catalysis. *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 4732–4734.

(11) Ni, S.; Padial, N. M.; Kingston, C.; Vantourout, J. C.; Schmitt, D. C.; Edwards, J. T.; Kruszyk, M. M.; Merchant, R. R.; Mykhailiuk, P. K.; Sanchez, B. B.; Yang, S.; Perry, M. A.; Gallego, G. M.; Mousseau, J. J.; Collins, M. R.; Cherney, R. J.; Lebed, P. S.; Chen, J. S.; Qin, T.; Baran, P. S. A Radical Approach to Anionic Chemistry: Synthesis of Ketones, Alcohols, and Amines. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 6726–6739.

(12) (a) Matos, J. L. M.; Vasquez-Cespedes, S.; Gu, J.; Oguma, T.; Shenvi, R. A. Branch-Selective Addition of Unactivated Olefins into Imines and Aldehydes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 16976–16981. (b) Hirao, Y.; Katayama, Y.; Mitsunuma, H.; Kanai, M. Chromium-Catalyzed Linear-Selective Alkylation of Aldehydes with Alkenes. *Org. Lett.* **2020**, *22*, 8584–8588.

(13) Yahata, K.; Sakurai, S.; Hori, S.; Yoshioka, S.; Kaneko, Y.; Hasegawa, K.; Akai, S. Coupling Reaction between Aldehydes and Non-Activated Hydrocarbons via the Reductive Radical-Polar Crossover Pathway. *Org. Lett.* **2020**, *22*, 1199–1203.

(14) Gao, Y.; Hill, D. E.; Hao, W.; McNicolas, B. J.; Vantourout, J. C.; Hadt, R. G.; Reisman, S. E.; Blackmond, D.; Baran, P. S. Electrochemical Nozaki–Hiyama–Kishi Coupling: Scope, Applications, and Mechanism. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143*, 9478–9488.

(15) Kingston, C.; Palkowitz, M. D.; Takahira, Y.; Vantourout, J. C.; Peters, B. K.; Kawamata, Y.; Baran, P. S. A Survival Guide for the "Electro-curious". *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2020**, *53*, 72–83.

(16) Suzuki, N.; Hofstra, J. L.; Poremba, K. E.; Reisman, S. E. Nickel-Catalyzed Enantioselective Cross-Coupling of N-Hydroxyphthalimide Esters with Vinyl Bromides. *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 2150–2153.

(17) (a) Wan, Z.-K.; Choi, H.-w.; Kang, F.-A.; Nakajima, K.; Demeke, D.; Kishi, Y. Asymmetric Ni(II)/Cr(II)-Mediated Coupling Reaction: Stoichiometric Process. *Org. Lett.* **2002,** *4*, 4431–4434. (b) Choi, H.-w.; Nakajima, K.; Demeke, D.; Kang, F.-A.; Jun, H.-S.; Wan, Z.-K.; Kishi, Y. Asymmetric Ni(II)/Cr(II)-Mediated Coupling Reaction: Catalytic Process. *Org. Lett.* **2002,** *4*, 4435–4438. (c) Kurosu, M.; Lin, M.-H.; Kishi, Y. Fe/Cr- and Co/Cr-Mediated Catalytic Asymmetric 2-Haloallylations of Aldehydes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 12248–12249. (d) Namba, K.; Kishi, Y. New Catalytic Cycle for Couplings of Aldehydes with Organochromium Reagents. *Org. Lett.* **2004,** *6*, 5031–5033. (e) Namba, K.; Cui, S.; Wang, J.; Kishi, Y. A New Method for Translating the Asymmetric Ni/Cr-Mediated Coupling Reactions from Stoichiometric to Catalytic. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 5417–5419. (f) Liu, S.; Kim, J. T.; Dong, C.-G.; Kishi, Y. Catalytic Enantioselective Cr-Mediated Propargylation: Application to Halichondrin Synthesis. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 4520–4523. (g) Guo, H.; Dong, C.-G.; Kim, D.-S.; Urabe, D.; Wang, J.; Kim, J. T.; Liu, X.; Sasaki, T.; Kishi, Y. Toolbox Approach to the Search for Effective Ligands for Catalytic Asymmetric Cr-Mediated Coupling Reactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 15387–15393. (h) Zhang, Z.; Huang, J.; Ma, B.; Kishi, Y. Further Improvement on Sulfonamide-Based Ligand for Catalytic Asymmetric 2-Haloallylation and Allylation. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 3073–3076. (i) Liu, X.; Li, X.; Chen, Y.; Hu, Y.; Kishi, Y. On Ni Catalysts for Catalytic, Asymmetric Ni/Cr-Mediated Coupling Reactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 6136–6139.

(18) (a) Bandini, M.; Cozzi, P. G.; Melchiorre, P.; Umani-Ronchi, A. The First Catalytic Enantioselective Nozaki-Hiyama Reaction. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1999**, *38*, 3357–3359. (b) Berkessel, A.; Menche, D.; Sklorz, C. A.; Schröder, M.; Paterson, I. A Highly Enantioselective Catalyst for the Asymmetric Nozaki-Hiyama-Kishi Reaction of Allylic and Vinylic Halides. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 1032–1035.

(19) (a) Suzuki, T.; Kinoshita, A.; Kawada, H.; Nakada, M. A New Asymmetric Tridentate Carbazole Ligand: Its Preparation and Application to Nozaki–Hiyama Allylation. *Synlett* **2003**, 570. (b) Inoue, M.; Suzuki, T.; Nakada, M. Asymmetric Catalysis of Nozaki–Hiyama Allylation and Methallylation with A New Tridentate Bis(oxazolinyl)carbazole Ligand. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 1140–1141.

(20) (a) Lee, J.; Miller, J. J.; Hamilton, S. S.; Sigman, S. S. Stereochemical Diversity in Chiral Ligand Design: Discovery and Optimization of Catalysts for the Enantioselective Addition of Allylic Halides to Aldehydes. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 1837–1839. (b) Miller, J. J.; Sigman, M. S. Design and Synthesis of Modular Oxazoline Ligands for the Enantioselective Chromium-Catalyzed Addition of Allyl Bromide to Ketones. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 2752–2753. (c) Zhang, F.-H.; Guo, X.; Zeng, X.; Wang, Z. Catalytic Enantioconvergent Allenylation of Aldehydes with Propargyl Halides. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2022**, *61*, e202117114. (d) Xia, X.; Wang, Z. Cr-Catalyzed Diastereo- and Enantioselective Synthesis of β-Hydroxy Sulfides and Selenides. *ACS Catal.* **2022**, *12*, 11152–11158.

(21) For the applications of 8-phenylmenthol as a chiral auxiliary, see: (a) Corey, E. J.; Ensley, H. E. Preparation of an Optically Active Prostaglandin Intermediate via Asymmetric Induction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1975**, *97*, 6908–6909. (b) Nehrings, A.; Scharf, H.-D.; Runsink, J. Photochemical Synthesis of an L-Erythrose Building Block and Its

use in the Preparation of Methyl 2,3,*O*-Isopropylidene-β-L-apio-L-furanoside. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1985**, *24*, 877–878. (c) Whitesell, J. K.; Bhattacharya, A.; Buchanan, C. M.; Chen, H. H.; Deyo, D.; James, D.; Liu, C.-L.; Minton, M. A. Asymmetric Induction in the Ene Reaction of Glyoxylate Esters of 8-Phenylmenthol. *Tetrahedron* **1986**, *42*, 2993–3001. (d) Tayama, E.; Kimura, H. Asymmetric Sommelet–Hauser Rearrangement of *N*-Benzylic Ammonium Salts. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 8869–8871. (e) Yang, D.; Ye, X.-Y.; Gu, S.; Xu, M. Lanthanide Triflates Catalyze Mn(III)-Based Oxidative Radical Cyclization Reactions. Enantioselective Synthesis of (−)-Triptolide, (−)-Triptonide, and (+)-Triptophenolide. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 5579–5580. (f) Ye, S.; Tang, Y.; Dai, L.-X. Highly Diastereoselective Synthesis of Vinylcyclopropane Derivatives with (−)-8-Phenylmenthol as Chiral Auxiliary. *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 5717–5722.

(22) (a) Jones, G. B.; Heaton, S. B. Catalytic Asymmetric Induction Part 2. Chiral Tricarbonyl (η6 arene) Chromium(0) Complexes as Enantioselective Catalysts. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, **1993**, *4*, 261–272. (b) Muramatsu, Y.; Harada, T. Catalytic Asymmetric Alkylation of Aldehydes with Grignard Reagents. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 1088–1090. (c) Zong, H.; Huang, H.; Song, L. Catalytic Asymmetric Addition of Aldehydes Using Organolithium Reagents in the Presence of Commercial Available Chiral Diol Ligands. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, **2016**, *27*, 1069–1074.

(23) (a) Cho, J.; Lee, J.; Park, J.; Kim, M.-J. Highly Enantioselective Dynamic Kinetic Resolution of Alkyl Aryl Carbinols Carrying a Trimethylsilyl Group with a Highly Active Lipoprotein Lipase Preparation. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, **2015**, *26*, 840–845. (b) Witiak, D. T.; Kakodkar, S. V.; Brunst, G. E.; Baldwin, J. R.; Rahwan, R. G. Pharmacology on Rat Ileum of Certain 2-Substituted 3-(Dimethylamino)-5,6-dimethoxyindenes Related to 5,6-(Methylendioxy)indene Calcium Antagonist. *J. Med. Chem.* **1978**, *21*, 1313–1315. (c) Bera, N.; Lenka, B.; Bishi, S.; Samanta, S.; Sarkar, D. Gold(I)-Catalyzed Synthesis of Heterocycles via Allene Oxide from Propargylic Alcohols. *J. Org. Chem.* **2022**, *87*, 9729–9754.

(24) Fu, M.-C.; Wang, J.-X.; Shang, R. Triphenylphosphine-Catalyzed Alkylative Iododecarboxylation with Lithium Iodide under Visible Light. *Org. Lett.* **2020**, *22*, 8572–8577.

(25) Smith, J. M.; Harwood, S. J.; Baran, P. S. Radical Retrosynthesis. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2018**, *51*, 1807–1817.

(26) Harris, M. R.; Konev, M. O.; Jarvo, E. R. Enantiospecific Intramolecular Heck Reactions of Secondary Benzylic Ethers. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 7825–7828.

(27) Kim, H.; Park, Y.; Hong, J. Stereoselective Synthesis of 2,6-Cis-tetrahydropyrans through a Tandem Allylic Oxidation/Oxa-Michael Reaction Promoted by the Gem-disubstituent Effect: Synthesis of (+)-Neopeltolide macrolactone. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 7577–7581.

(28) Greig, I. R.; Robert, J. V.; Ralston, S. H. 2',4'-Dichloro-biphenyl-4-yl-hydroxyketones and Related Compounds and Their Use as Therapeutic Agents. US2008221220, 2008.

(29) Ramadhan, R.; Phuwapraisirisan, P. New Arylalkanones from *Horsfieldia macrobotrys*, Effective Antidiabetic Agents Concomitantly Inhibiting α-Glucosidase and Free Radicals. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2015**, *25*, 4529–4533.

(30) Edmunds, A. J. F.; Arnold, G.; Hagmann, L.; Schaffner, R.; Furlenmeier, H. Synthesis of Simplified Herboxidiene Aromatic Hybrids. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2000**, *10*, 1365–1368.

(31) Chuang, T.-H.; Wu, P.-L. Cytotoxic 5-Alkylresorcinol Metabolites from the Leaves of *Grevillea robusta*. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2007**, 70, 319–323.

(32) Ueda, K.; Sato, I; Hirama, M. The First Total Synthesis of Gravicycle. *Chem. Lett.* **2012**, *41*, 87–89.

(33) Palkowitz, M. D.; Laudadio, S.; Kolb, J.; Choi, S. M.; Oderinde, E.-H.; Ewing, P. N.; Bolduc, T.; Chen, H.; Zhang, P. T. W.; Cheng, B.; Zhang, M. D.; Mandler, V. D.; Blaszczak, J. M.; Richter, M. R.; Collins, R. L.; Schioldager, M.; Bravo, T. G. M.; Dhar, B.; Vokits, Y.; Zhu, P.-G.; Echeverria, M. A.; Poss, S. A.; Shaw, S.; Clementson, N. N.; Petersen, P. K.; Mykhailiuk, P. S.; Baran, P. S. Overcoming Limitations in Decarboxylative Arylation via Ag–Ni Electrocatalysis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2022**, *144*, 17709–17720.

(34) Imai, H.; Kanzaka, Y.; Sunatsuki, Y.; Abe, H. Synthesis of Nilotinin M3: An Ellagitannin Containing an Isodehydrodigalloyl Group. *Synthesis* **2021**, *53*, 3630.

(35) (a) Huang, Y.; Liu, Z.; Liu, W. H. Deaminative Addition of Alkylpyridinium Salt to Aldehyde. *Org. Lett.* **2023**, *25*, 4934–4939. (b) Kochi, J. K.; Powers, J. W. Mechanism of reduction of alkyl halides by chromium(II) complexes. Alkylchromium species as intermediates. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1970**, *92*, 137–146.

(36) Bard, A. J.; Faulkner, L. R.; White, H. S. Electrochemical Methods: Fundamentals and Applications, Third edition.; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, 2022.

(37) Burkholder, C.; Dolbier, W. R.; Médebielle, M. Tetrakis(dimethylamino)ethylene as a Useful Reductant of Some Bromodifluoromethyl Heterocycles. Application to the Synthesis of New gem-Difluorinated Heteroarylated Compounds. *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 5385–5394.

(38) Beck, A. D.; Haufe, S.; Waldvogel, S. R. General Concepts and Recent Advances in the Electrochemical Transformation of Chloro-and Hydrosilanes. *ChemElectroChem* **2023**,*10*, e20220114.

(39) Turro, R. F.; Wahlman, J. L. H.; Tong, Z. J.; Chen, X.; Yang, M.; Chen, E. P.; Hong, X.; Hadt, R. G.; Houk, K. N.; Yang, Y.-F.; Reisman, S. E. Mechanistic Investigation of Ni-Catalyzed Reductive Cross-Coupling of Alkenyl and Benzyl Electrophiles. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2023**, *145*, 14705–14715.

(40) (a) Hino, S.; Umishita, K.; Tanaka, K.; Yoshizawa, K. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1997**, *101*, 4346–4350. (b) Lindell, L.; Unge, M.; Osikowicz, W.; Stafström, S.; Salaneck, W. R.; Crispin, X.; de Jong, M. P. Integer Charge Transfer at the Tetrakis(Dimethylamino)Ethylene/Au Interface. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2008**, *92*, 163302.

(41) (a) Wiberg, N. Tetraaminoethylenes as Strong Electron Donors. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1968**, *7*, 766– 779. (b) Broggi, J.; Terme, T.; Vanelle, P. Organic Electron Donors as Powerful Single-Electron Reducing Agents in Organic Synthesis. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 384– 413.

(42) (a) Kuroboshi, M.; Goto, K.; Mochizuki, M.; Tanaka, H. Tetrakis(dimethylamino)ethylene (TDAE) as a Potent Electron Source for Cr-Mediated Allylation of Aldehydes and Ketones. *Synlett* **1999**, *12*, 1930–1932. (b) Kuroboshi, M.; Tanaka, M.; Kishimoto, S.; Goto, K.; Mochizuki, M.; Tanaka, H. Tetrakis(dimethylamino)ethylene (TDAE) as a Potent Organic Electron Source: Alkenylation of Aldehydes using an Ni/Cr/TDAE Redox System. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 81– 84.

(43) Sinha, N.; Wegeberg, C.; Häussinger, D.; Prescimone, A.; Wenger, O. S. Photoredox-active Cr(0) luminophores featuring photophysical properties competitive with Ru(II) and Os(II) complexes. *Nat. Chem.* **2023**, doi.org/10.1038/s41557-023-01297-9.

10

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT (TOC)

Electrocatalytic Asymmetric Nozaki-Hiyama-Kishi Decarboxylative Coupling

[aldehyde] + [redox-active ester] → cat. [Cr], cat. TDAE → [chiral secondary alcohol]

[> 40 examples] [undivided cell] [6 applications] [2 natural products]
[high FG tolerance] [carboxylic acid as alkyl nucleophile synthon]

Mechanistic Study

[Previous] 13 steps
[Current] 6 steps

[Previous] 8 steps
[Current] 2 steps

gravicycle

[Previous] 17 steps
[5 strategic]
[12 non-strategic]
[29% ideality]

[Current] 6 steps
[4 strategic]
[2 non-strategic]
[67% ideality]