1 Main Manuscript for

A G-quadruplex-binding platinum complex induces cancer mitochondrial dysfunction *in vitro* and *in vivo* independently of ROS induction

Keli Kuang^{a1}, Chunyan Li^{a1}, Fatlinda Maksut^{b, c}, Deepanjan Ghosh^{b,c}, Robin Vinck^d, Maoling
Wang^a, Joël Poupon^e, Run Xiang^f, Wen Li^g, Fei Li^a, Zhu Wang^a, Junrong Du^a, Marie-Paule
Teulade-Fichou^{b,c}, Gilles Gasser^d, Sophie Bombard^{b,c*} and Tao Jia^{a,b,c*}

- 7
- 8 a Key Laboratory of Drug-Targeting and Drug Delivery System of the Education Ministry and
 9 Sichuan Province, Sichuan Engineering Laboratory for Plant-Sourced Drug and Sichuan
 10 Research Center for Drug Precision Industrial Technology, West China School of Pharmacy,
 11 Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, China.
- 12 b CNRS-UMR9187, INSERM U1196, PSL-Research University, 91405 Orsay, France
- 13 c CNRS-UMR9187, INSERM U1196, Université Paris Saclay, 91405 Orsay, France
- d Chimie ParisTech, PSL University, CNRS, Institute of Chemistry for Life and Health
 Sciences, Laboratory for Inorganic Chemical Biology, F-75005 Paris, France.
- 16 e Hôpital Lariboisière (AP-HP), Laboratoire de Toxicologie Biologique, 2 rue Ambroise Paré,
 17 75475 Paris, France
- f Department of Thoracic Surgery, Sichuan Clinical Research Center for Cancer, Sichuan
 Cancer Hospital & Institute, Sichuan Cancer Center, Affiliated Cancer Hospital of University
 of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, China.
- g Department of Medical Oncology, Cancer Center, West China Hospital, Sichuan University,
 Chengdu, China
- 23 Keli Kuang¹ and Chunyan Li¹ are considered joint first author.
- 24 *Corresponding author:
- 25 Tao Jia* Email: taojia86@scu.edu.cn;
- 26 Sophie Bombard* Email: <u>Sophie.bombard@curie.fr</u>

Author Contributions: S.B. and T.J. designed research; K.K., C.L., F.M., D.G., R.V., M.W., J.P.,
F.L., Z. W., S.B. and T.J. performed research; K.K., C.L., R.X., W.L., J.D., S.B. and T.J. analyzed
data; M.T., G.G., S.B. and T.J. wrote the paper.

31 **Competing Interest Statement:** The authors declare no competing interest.

32

Keywords: G4, Platinum complex, Mitochondrial genome, Mito-Nuclear Interactions, ROS,
 chemotherapy

35 This PDF file includes:

- 36 Main Text
- 37 Figures 1 to 7
- 38 39
- 40
- 41

43 Abstract

44 G-quadruplex DNA (G4) is a non-canonical structure forming in guanine-rich regions, which play a 45 vital role in cancer biology and are now being acknowledged in both nuclear and mitochondrial (mt) 46 genome. However, the impact of G4-based targeted therapy on both nuclear and mt genome, 47 affecting mt function and its underlying mechanisms remain largely unexplored. Here, we first 48 demonstrated that the G4-binding platinum(II) complex, Pt-ttpy, shows a highest accumulation in 49 the mitochondria of A2780 cancer cells as compared with two other platinum(II) complexes with 50 no/weak G4-binding properties, Pt-tpy and cisplatin. Pt-ttpy significantly induces deletion, copy 51 number reduction and transcription inhibition of mt DNA, and it hinders the translation of mt 52 proteins. Functional study shows that Pt-ttpy induces a potent mt dysfunction indicated by a high 53 reduction of mt membrane potential, oxygen consumption rate and ATP synthesis, as well as toxic 54 mt morphology switching, but without reactive oxygen species (ROS) induction. Mechanistic study 55 by RNA-seq, Chip-seq and CUT-RUN shows Pt-ttpy impairs most nuclear-encoded mt ribosome 56 genes' transcription initiation through dampening the recruiting of TAF1 and NELFB to their 57 promoter, which are highly enriched in G4 forming sequences. In vivo studies on a A2780 tumor 58 xenograft mouse model suggest Pt-ttpv's efficient anti-tumor effects, causing substantial disruption 59 in mt genome function, while exhibiting less side effects compared to cisplatin. Overall, this study 60 presents the first evidence that a G4-binding platinum(II) complex can harm cancer cell 61 mitochondria potently without inducing ROS activity, potentially reducing side effects that shows 62 promise in developing safer and effective platinum-based G4-binding molecules in cancer therapy.

63 Significance Statement

64 Despite molecules interacting with G4s have been intensively investigated as cancer therapeutics, 65 to explore potential of G4s in disturbing mitochondrial function for anti-cancer from both nucleus 66 and mitochondrial genome is almost neglected. Our research sheds light on this unexplored area 67 by introducing a G4-binding platinum(II) complex. Pt-ttpy induces strong disturbance to 68 mitochondrial function in vitro and in vivo without ROS production, which is related to direct and 69 highly accumulating in mitochondria and indirectly inhibiting nuclear-encoded mitochondrial 70 ribosome gene expression by impairing the recruitment of transcription and elongation factors to 71 G4-rich regions. This unique property enhances its safety profile while delivering effective anti-72 cancer benefits, offering the prospect of developing safer and more effective platinum-based 73 compounds targeting G4 structures in cancer therapy.

74

75 Three Major findings:

- Pt-ttpy demonstrates a substantial disruption to the mitochondrial genome function through a direct effect on mitochondria and an indirect effect based on broad inhibition of G4-enriched nuclear-encoded mt ribosome genes expression, spanning from mt DNA replication to its translation *in vitro* and *in vivo*.
- 80
- Pt-ttpy displays effective anti-cancer benefits with improved safety, which can be attributed to
 its induction of significant disruption in mitochondrial function without generation of reactive
 oxygen species (ROS), thus reducing oxidative stress-related side effects commonly
 associated with platinum complexes treatments, including cisplatin.
- 85
- We provided first evidence that most of mt ribosome genes are highly enriched in G4 structures
 in their promoter regions and thus are the targets of Pt-ttpy that inhibits their expression through

88 dampening the recruitment of TAF1 and NELFB to G4 in nuclear DNA ultimately leading to the

- 89 induction of mt dysfunction.
- 90
- 91

92 Graphic Abstract:





96

97 Main Text

9899 Introduction

100 G-quadruplex(G4) structures are non-canonical unique secondary four-stranded nucleic acids 101 structures folded in guanine-rich repetitive DNA or RNA sequences. They consist in the stacking of 102 guanine tetramers linked together by Hoogsteen hydrogen bonding, that are stabilized via π - π 103 interactions and monovalent cations (1) (2). The human genome contains about 350,000-600,000 104 potential G4 sequences by computer prediction (3) (4). Analysis of in vitro polymerase stop assays 105 revealed approximately 700,000 G4 structures (5), however, when employing the G4 ChIP-seq assay, the frequency of G4s in human chromatin decreases significantly to be roughly 10,000 (6). 106 107 G4 structures have been extensively studied in nuclear DNA, mainly clustered in key regions of the 108 genome: telomeres, gene promoters and DNA replication start points (7) (8). Recent emerging 109 evidence emphasized the G4 presence in mitochondrial DNA (mt DNA) as well (9) (10) (11). Prediction by the G4 Hunter algorithm revealed that the complete genome of a mitochondrion (16.6 110 111 kb) has approximately 96 G4s (4). Given the regulatory potential of G4 structures in mitochondrial 112 processes and their involvement in cancer, targeting G4 structures would have therapeutic 113 implications (12).

114 Mitochondria are specialized organelles that are at the heart of energy production (ATP) through 115 the oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) pathways and serves as centers of cellular signaling and 116 apoptosis. They possess their own genome that codes notably for RNAs that encode 13 of the 117 protein subunits of OXPHOS complex, the other mitochondrial proteins being encoded by nuclear 118 genes. Mt DNA can be replicated independently of nuclear DNA and G4 structures in mt DNA are 119 closely related to its own replication and transcription (1) (13). Small molecules that can stabilize 120 G4 structures have been extensively explored as potential therapeutic agents for cancer (14-20). 121 Some evidence indicated that, in addition to target G4 structures in genomic DNA and RNA, they 122 could also target G4 structures in mt DNA. Notably the G4-ligand, RHPS4, interferes with 123 mitochondrial function through perturbation of mitochondrial genome replication, transcription 124 processivity, and respiratory function in mouse embryonic fibroblast cells (21), G4 structures are 125 present in both nuclear and mt genome, hence, to explore the potential of G4 structures in the 126 regulation of mitochondrial function for anti-cancer, investigation at both nuclear and mt genome 127 level is warranted, especially when exploring the use of G4 ligands.

We have previously reported on the tolyl-terpyridin-platinum complex (Pt-ttpy) (Scheme 1) that stabilizes G4s *in vitro* preferentially to duplex DNA through stacking to external G-tetrads (22, 23). This compound is also able to efficiently trap G4s covalently by direct coordination to loop bases (24, 25). Our previous cellular and molecular mechanism studies indicate that Pt-ttpy binds covalently to telomeric DNA *in cellulo* (26), inducing chromosome loss and ultrafine bridges formation, resulting in telomeric DNA damage and telomere deprotection (27, 28), by inducing DNA damage preferentially at G- and A-rich regions, displaying potent anti-tumor activity.





136 Scheme 1: Chemical structures of cisplatin (CisPt), Pt-ttpy and its terpyridine analogue Pt-tpy

137 In this study, we first demonstrated that Pt-ttpy exhibits strong disruption in mitochondrial function 138 which is unrelated to the induction of reactive oxygen species (ROS). mt dysfunction was induced 139 by G4 targeting in both nuclear and likely in mitochondrial genome as an alternative mechanism 140 underlying the anti-tumor activity of Pt-ttpy by in vitro and in vivo studies. Secondly, we showed that Pt-ttpy displays effective anti-cancer benefits with relative improved safety, which can be 141 142 attributed to its induction of mt dysfunction without production of ROS, thus reducing treatment-143 related side effects commonly associated with platinum complexes, e.g. cisplatin. Lastly, we provided first evidence that most mt ribosome genes that are highly enriched in G4 structures in 144 145 their promoter regions, are the targets of Pt-ttpy. The latter inhibits their gene expression through dampening the recruitment of TAF1 and NELFB to their corresponding promoters in nuclear DNA 146 147 that ultimately leads to induction of mt dysfunction. These findings lead to the promise for 148 developing G4-binding platinum-based compounds with improved safety profiles as well as 149 effective anti-cancer benefits.

150 Results

151 **Pt-ttpy shows significant highest accumulation within mitochondria, accompanied by a** 152 pronounced disturbance toward the Mitochondrial genome.

153 Our previous work showed that the G4-binding Pt-ttpy complex and its terpyridine counterpart Pt-154 tpy as well as cisplatin (CisPt), the two latter having no to low affinity for G4, accumulate in cells and bind to genomic DNA in a time- and dose- dependent manner in ovarian cancer cells A2780 155 156 (see Scheme 1 for structures of compounds). At iso-effect concentrations that achieve an 80% 157 inhibition of cell proliferation over a 96-hour treatment period, there is a marked higher 158 accumulation of Pt-ttpy in cells. This increase is observed alongside a similar level of genomic DNA 159 binding efficiency with the occurrence of DNA damage among all the three Pt complexes, indicated 160 in scheme 1 (28). Since some G4-binding ligands were shown to accumulate in mitochondria (mt) 161 (21) as well as some platinum complexes (29), we hypothesized, due to the high cellular uptake of 162 Pt-ttpy, that mitochondria could be a privileged target of Pt-ttpy. We therefore quantified the 163 distribution of the three platinum complexes at iso-effect doses that are their respective IC₈₀ 164 concentration after 96 hours treatment in the ovarian cancer cell line A2780 cells using the ICP-MS 165 method, in whole cells, in mitochondria and their fraction bound to genomic DNA. Consistent with 166 our previous findings, Pt-ttpy shows a significant accumulation in cancer cells (11 and 3 times more 167 than cisplatin and Pt-tpy, respectively) with a slightly higher binding to DNA (1.5 times) (28). Notably, 168 within mitochondria, Pt-ttpy exhibited stronger accumulation than both cisplatin and Pt-tpy (19 and 169 8 times more, respectively, as shown in Fig. 1a), It suggests that Pt-ttpy may induce more 170 dysfunction of mitochondria, as compared with the two other Pt complexes. Next, we studied the 171 three complexes' effects on mitochondrial genome function including mt DNA copy number 172 reduction, mt DNA deletion, mt DNA lesion by a real time quantitative PCR method (30) (Fig.1b and 173 c, Supplementary Fig.1), inhibition of mt RNA transcription (Fig.1d) and reduction of the protein 174 levels of mt OXPHOS complexes (Fig.1e and Supplementary Fig.2) in A2780 cells. The induction 175 of mitochondrial genome dysfunction was also detected in another two cancer cell lines Cal27 (Oral 176 squamous cell carcinoma) and H2170 (Lung squamous cell carcinoma) indicated by 177 Supplementary Fig.3. Collectively, these results revealed that Pt-ttpy, due to its higher cellular accumulation and likely to its G4-binding property, shows a high tendency for accumulating in 178 179 mitochondria with a strong disruption to mitochondrial functions from mt gene replication to its 180 associated protein expression.

181 Pt-ttpy induces a potent mt dysfunction, but without ROS induction.

182 To study the consequence of high accumulation of Pt-ttpy in mt with a strong toxicity to mitochondria genome function, we analyzed Pt-ttpy effects on mt function. Interestingly, by FACS and 183 184 immunofluorescence staining, using TOMM20 antibody (an inner membrane protein of mt), we saw that Pt-ttpv did not induce a significant reduction of the number of mitochondria (Fig. 2a) but a clear 185 mt dysfunctional morphology switch that is also detected in the treatment of cisplatin, but not with 186 Pt-tpy (Fig. 2b). The dysfunctional morphology of mt is consistent with the data collected by real-187 time mt function monitoring using a Seahorse system (Agilent). mt basal respiration, ATP 188 production as well as spare respiratory capacity were recorded in the presence or absence of the 189 190 complexes (Fig. 3a). We detected that Pt-ttpy but not Pt-tpy, induced a significant change in cellular 191 respiration (oxygen consumption rate, ATP synthesis and spare respiratory capacity), which 192 suggests mitochondrial dysfunction as one of the modes of Pt-ttpy's action leading to cancer cell 193 proliferation inhibition. We confirmed that cisplatin disrupts mitochondria respiration (31), but in a 194 less pronounced manner than for Pt-ttpy, in correlation with Mitochondrial genome function 195 impairment. Next, we studied the mitochondrial membrane potential by flow cytometry, one of the 196 hallmarks of mitochondrial damage. The change of mitochondrial membrane potential ($\Delta \psi_m$) was 197 detected by JC-1, a well-known probe that accumulates into the mitochondrial membrane matrix 198 space in a manner inversely proportional to $\Delta \psi_m$ (32, 33). Notably, only Pt-ttpy induced a dose-199 dependent reduction of mt membrane potential (Fig. 3b and Supplementary Fig. 4, which is well 200 correlated to its unique and strong reduction of protein levels of mt OXPHOS complexes, including 201 complexes I, II, III and IV (Fig. 1e and Supplementary Fig. 2). Indeed, there is a strong link between OXPHOS complexes and mitochondrial membrane potential ($\Delta \psi_m$), particularly complexes I, III, 202 203 and IV are intimately involved in establishing and maintaining $\Delta \psi_m$ (34). Additionally, the loss of $\Delta \psi_m$ 204 is reported to be as early event in the process of apoptosis (35), and consistently we detected that 205 Pt-ttpy induced relative more early apoptosis signal by the staining of (Annexin V+/7-AAD-) signals 206 (Supplementary Fig. 5).

207

208 Since ROS (Reactive oxygen species) can induce and/or result from mitochondrial dysfunction 209 (36), total cell and mitochondrial ROS (mt ROS) production was quantified by flow cytometry (Fig. 210 3c and Supplementary Fig. 6 and 7). Consistently with previous works showing that cisplatin's 211 toxicity on mt relies in part on ROS production that dictates cancer cell fate (37), our present data 212 indicates that cisplatin induces both general and mt ROS production for both 24 hours and 96 hours 213 treatments (Fig. 3c and Supplementary Fig. 6 and 7. As well, a slight induction of general ROS was 214 observed after Pt-tpy treatment (Fig. 3c). In contrast, Pt-ttpy did not generate any ROS irrespective 215 of the time of treatments and drug concentration (Fig. 3c and Supplementary Fig. 6 and 7). To 216 further study the unique anti-tumor effects of Pt-ttpy distinct from cisplatin in terms of ROS 217 induction, we conducted a screening of Pt-ttpy and cisplatin effects on another four different tumor 218 cell lines (Hela, H520, H2170 and SK-MES-1) and two primary cells (endothelial cells and fibroblast 219 cells). Indeed, the significant induction of ROS in all tumor cells was only observed in the treatment 220 of cisplatin (Fig. 4). Notably for primary cells, we detected also only cisplatin induced a robust 221 production of ROS in the primary lung tissue fibroblast cells, indicating its potential more side effects 222 to normal tissue, as compared with Pt-ttpy. Collectively, in contrast to cisplatin and Pt-tpy, Pt-ttpy 223 disturbs strongly Mitochondrial genome with a significant induction of mt dysfunction indicated by 224 a high reduction of mt membrane potential, oxygen consumption rate and ATP synthesis, and more 225 early apoptotic signals as well as mt morphology switching, but independent of both general and 226 mt-ROS production that is usually involved in platinum-related cell death induction.

227

Pt-ttpy specifically impairs G4 high enriched nuclear-encoded mt ribosome genes' transcription initiation and elongation.

230 Because we detected that only Pt-ttpy broadly inhibited the protein levels of mt OXPHOS 231 complexes including mt gene-encoded protein CIV-MTCO1 and nuclear gene-encoded protein CIII-232 UQCRC2/ CIII-Core protein2, CII-SDHB/ CII-30kDa and CI-NDUFB8 (Fig. 1e and Supplementary 233 Fig. 2), but not CV-ATP5A, we hypothesized that Pt-ttpy may also induce mt dysfunction through 234 indirect effects on nuclear-encoded mt related genes. We therefore performed RNA-seq to study 235 the specific impact of Pt-ttpy effects on nuclear-encoded mt associated genes' expression (Fig. 5). 236 To delineate the distinct property of Pt-ttpy in inducing mt dysfunction through mechanisms 237 independent of ROS, we also introduced the setting group of cisplatin for RNA seq (Fig. 5a)). At 238 least, the cisplatin-treated group can serve as a valuable reference for understanding cell death 239 induction mechanisms associated but not restricted to ROS production, thereby possibly distinguishing it from the impacts of Pt-ttpy treatment. Consequently, our study was designed to 240 241 pinpoint gene(s) related to nuclear-encoded mitochondrial proteins that were specifically down-242 regulated due to Pt-ttpy treatment, and that effects occurs without the induction of ROS. The 243 process of mining the cohort of genes is depicted in the materials and methods section with the 244 pipeline shown in Fig. 5b. We successfully identified Pt-ttpy specifically down-regulated 14 nuclear-245 encoded mt OXPHOS genes from a total of 106 genes with mitochondrial pathways showing 246 specifical down-regulation due to Pt-ttpy treatment (Fig. 5c on the left). Very interestingly, the 247 largest sub-cohort among these 106 genes (comprising 45 genes) is predominantly involved in the 248 mitochondrial central dogma (38), notably impacting the expression of a majority of nuclear-249 encoded mt ribosome genes (30 genes) (Fig. 5c, 5d and 5e). Additionally, the remaining 15 genes 250 are primarily related with mt-RNA modifications and its related translation factors (Fig. 5c on the 251 right). Interestingly, when comparing various methods (polymerase stop assays, BG4-ChIP seq 252 and the latest CUT&Tag seq) employed for different databases detailing G4 distribution with 253 distribution of the mt ribosome genes down-regulated by Pt-ttpy (5, 6, 39), we found that most of 254 mt ribosome genes show high G4 abundance in their promoter region (Fig. 5f). These findings 255 suggest that Pt-ttpy potentially targets mt ribosome genes that are highly enriched in G4 structures 256 within their promoter regions, distinguishing them as unique targets in comparison to cisplatin.

257 **Pt-ttpy inhibits the recruiting of TAF1 and NELFB to the nuclear-encoded mt ribosome** 258 **genes' promoter and dampens MT ribosome function.**

To validate the RNA-seq findings, we conducted RT-qPCR (Fig.6a) and confirmed that Pt-ttpy exerts a broad inhibiting effects on the expression of mt ribosome genes in A2780 cells. 261 To decipher the mechanisms of how Pt-ttpy induces the widespread inhibition of nuclear-encoded 262 mt ribosome genes, first, we explored whether Pt-ttpy achieves this by inducing DNA damage within 263 and around the G4-rich regions related with mt ribosome genes. To investigate that, we retrieved 264 our previous work ased on γ -H2AX chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP-seq) analysis which suggested that Pt-ttpy induces DNA damage in G-rich regions in A2780 cells on the genomic level 265 266 (as reported in our prior work (28)). However, upon close examination, we found no evidence of 267 DNA damage within the sequence of any of the mitochondrial ribosome genes' sequences upon 268 the same treatment with Pt-ttpy. Two represented mt ribosome associated genes' results are 269 presented in Supplementary Fig. 8.

270 Next, we questioned if our G4-ligand Pt-ttpy might target the G4-enriched promoter region of mt 271 ribosome genes. This could potentially involve inhibiting the binding of transcription factors (TFs) 272 to their promoters, thus regulating their expression broadly down, because recent works reveal that 273 promoter G4s act as a site for the recruitment of key components of the transcriptional machinery 274 (40), and a reciprocal regulation between native G4 dynamics and gene transcription on genome-275 wide level by a more sensitive G4-CUT&Tag method (39). So we established a CUT&RUN-gPCR 276 assay using general transcription factors' antibodies, and we clearly see Pt-ttpy significantly 277 reduced the occupancy of TAF1 (general transcription factor TFIID subunit) and NELFB (Pol II-278 associated NELF complex member B) at the specific mt ribosome genes MRPS18C and MPV17L2 279 promoter and its surrounded regions (Fig. 6b), indicating that Pt-ttpy targets mt ribosome genes' 280 promoter G4 enriched region and impairs the recruitment of transcription factors to their promoter 281 and its proximal regions.

282 To further study the consequence of Pt-ttpy's inhibiting effects of mt ribosome genes expression 283 that would mostly dampen ribosome-mediated translational machine function, we further tested Pt-284 ttpy effects on mitochondrial specific translation by Click-chemistry-based immunofluorescence (IF) 285 assay with single cell quantification (41), the principle for labeling is presented in Fig.6c left. Clearly, 286 we detected that Pt-ttpy show a strong inhibition of mitochondrial translation in A2780 cells (Fig. 287 6c), which is confirmed by another typical cancer cells Hela (Supplementary Fig. S9). Collectively, 288 these data indicate that Pt-ttpy impairs the recruitment of transcription initiation and elongation 289 factors of NELFB and TAF1 in nuclear-encoded mt ribosome genes' G4 rich promoter region and 290 inhibits their expression broadly with a significant dampening of mt ribosome function.

Pt-ttpy shows significant anti-tumor effects and presents mitochondrial toxicity *in vivo* with less side effects, as compared with cisplatin.

To further investigate the potential *in vivo* anti-tumor effects of Pt-ttpy, specifically focusing on its impact on mitochondria, we conducted a study using the A2780 xenograft mouse model to assess the effects of Pt-ttpy and cisplatin.

296 Based on use of cisplatin for *in vivo* xenografts (intraperitoneal injections at 2 mg/kg) (42), and that 297 Pt-ttpy didn't show any in vivo toxicities at 5 mg/kg we suggested the intraperitoneal drug 298 administration for Pt-ttpy (5 mg/kg) and cisplatin (2 mg/kg), with treatments administered once 299 every two days over a 21-day period. Our findings revealed that Pt-ttpy exhibited significant antitumor effects with reduced toxicity to normal tissues, particularly the kidney and liver, when 300 301 compared to cisplatin (refer to Fig. 7a and Supplementary Fig. 10 and 11). Cisplatin is renowned 302 for its capacity to induce nephrotoxicity, a condition that significantly compromises kidney function 303 and is closely linked to intracellular stress responses, prominently oxidative stress (43). We 304 confirmed that cisplatin leads to a decline in liver function, as evidenced by a decrease in the liver 305 index (Supplementary Fig. 10b). Additionally, proximal renal tubular epithelial cells after treatment 306 with cisplatin exhibited turbidity staining and swelling, whereas these effects were less pronounced 307 after treatment with Pt-ttpy (Supplementary Fig. 10c). Concerning the potential liver toxicity caused 308 by all the Pt complexes, cisplatin induced significant inflammation around the portal vein and blood 309 vessels, resulting in enlarged sinusoidal spaces and vascular congestion, effects that were either 310 less prominent or absent in the Pt-ttpy treated group (Supplementary Fig. 11). In terms of potential 311 cardiac toxicity, neither Pt-ttpy nor cisplatin exhibited signs of vascular congestion, fatty 312 degeneration of cardiomyocytes, structural abnormalities, or obvious myocardial rupture 313 phenomena (data not shown). In summary, our studies indicate that Pt-ttpy offers a relatively safer 314 profile compared to cisplatin.

315 Through RT-qPCR analysis on tumor tissue samples, consistent with our *in vitro* study, only Pt-ttpy 316 showed significant inhibition of nuclear-encoded mt ribosome genes (Fig. 7b) and mt-encoded 317 genes (Fig. 7c) and protein expression levels (Fig. 7d and e). In contrast with our prior in vitro study, 318 Pt-ttpy did not show clear effects on mt DNA copy number in the in vivo tumor samples (Fig. 7f), 319 which would be explained by the high variation of mt DNA copies in tumor tissues (44). Collectively, 320 we proposed a model of Pt-ttpy-mediated profound inhibition on mitochondrial genome in cancer 321 cells through a direct effect on mitochondria and an indirect effect based on broad inhibition of G4-322 enriched nuclear-encoded mt ribosome genes expression.

323 Discussion

324 Given the evidence that mitochondria can be the targets of G4-interactive compounds (9) (10) and 325 platinum complexes (31, 45-47), we envisioned that Pt-ttpy that combines G4-binding properties 326 and a platinum coordinating moiety, may localize in mitochondria and play a significant role in the 327 mechanisms underlying mitochondrial toxicity. In this line, we raised the questions about whether 328 and how this small molecule affects the processes associated with mitochondrial function based 329 on its unique dual properties. To this aim, we performed a comprehensive in vitro and in vivo 330 mechanistic study of Pt-ttpy on both nuclear and mt genome in regulating mt homeostasis and thus 331 explore its potential anti-cancer therapeutic benefits, comparing with two other Pt complexes: a 332 close structural analogue, Pt-tpy that display a weak/non G4-binding property and the prevalent 333 and well-established chemotherapy agent cisplatin. We demonstrated that Pt-ttpy shows a strong 334 disturbance to mitochondrial genome and its function, both in vitro and in vivo. Mechanistic studies 335 suggest Pt-ttpy's potent dysfunction of mitochondria is related to its direct targeting to mitochondria 336 via its high accumulation in mitochondria and indirect targeting to mitochondria through inhibiting 337 mt ribosome associated genes' expression in chromatin by impairing the recruitment of TF to their 338 G4 rich promoter and proximal regions. Notably, the global impact of Pt-ttpy on mitochondria does 339 not induce ROS production that is, otherwise, typically contributing to platinum complexes 340 treatment-related side effects. Importantly, these data are correlated with in vivo evidence of Pt-341 ttpy presenting more reduced side effects with effective anti-cancer benefits, as compared with 342 cisplatin.

Increasing evidence supports the ability of mitochondrial DNA (mt DNA) to form G4 in cancer cells, and mt G4 dysregulation affects mt nucleic acid synthesis (13) and mt function as well as mt DNA deletion formation (21). Interestingly using our G4 ligand Pt-ttpy, we detected its high accumulation in mitochondria with a strong disturbance to mitochondrial genome in cancer cells A2780, whereas its counterpart Pt-tpy with low/no G4 binding property is almost inactive. Pt-ttpy induced mt DNA deletion, interfered with mt replication, transcription, and protein synthesis, leading to mitochondrial 349 dysfunction indicated by mitochondrial membrane potential modification, ATP levels decrease, and 350 markers of mitochondrial damage including a toxic mt morphology switching. Interestingly as 351 compared to another G4 specific ligand RHPS4 used in non-cancerous cells (21), Pt-ttpy does also 352 present direct targeting of mitochondria. Indeed both compounds RHPS4 and Pt-ttpy are lipophilic 353 cations which makes them good candidates for being trapped in mitochondria through strong 354 electrostatic attraction due to the highly negative membrane potential of the inner mitochondrial 355 membrane as is well known (29). This phenomenon should constitute a first step contributing in 356 part and in a non-specific manner, to the high accumulation of Pt-ttpy (and RHPS4) in mitochondria. 357 Secondly, we suspected that Pt-ttpy, like RHPS4, may directly target mt DNA via stabilization of 358 some G4s, since neither Pt-tpy, nor cisplatin, two non-or low- G4 binders, induced a strong effect. 359 Furthermore, we detected a more potent mt DNA lesion for Pt-ttpy that can be attributed to the 360 direct binding of Pt-ttpy to mt DNA through metallic coordination to nucleic bases (24). Indeed, we 361 can exclude that the mt lesion is due to oxidized guanine (30) since Pt-ttpy does not produce ROS, 362 in contrast to cisplatin (37). Nevertheless, unlike RHPS4, which appeared to modulate varying 363 levels of mt gene expression, possibly through interactions with the predicted G4 structures in H-364 stand DNA template in non-cancer cell model (21), Pt-ttpy induces a potent but non-differential 365 inhibition of mitochondrial gene transcription in both the heavy and light strands of mt DNA genes 366 in cancer cells. The disparity may originate, at least, from the fact that epithelial cancer cells exhibit 367 a higher mitochondrial membrane potential ($\Delta\Psi$ m) than their normal counterpart cells (48). In addition, these differences may arise also from a collecting factors: including the specific cell model 368 369 employed used with different inherent ability to form G4s (39), the ligands' capacity to stabilize mt 370 DNA G4 structures, and the distinct treatment protocols applied. A better knowledge of the above 371 factors holds the potential to guide the rational design of personalized anti-cancer treatment 372 strategies of targeting cancer cells' mt DNA G4.

373 Importantly, apart from Pt-ttpy direct impact on mitochondria, likely through a G4-dependent mechanism, which triggers a cascade of disruptions in the mitochondrial genome, Pt-ttpy also 374 375 targets G4 structures in the nuclear genome. It has the potential to influence mitochondrial 376 homeostasis (1). More specifically, the modulation of G4-rich chromatin regions may conceivably 377 lead to mitochondrial dysfunction. Indeed, nearly around 99% mitochondrial functional proteins are 378 not encoded in mt genome but in nucleus. Notably in this study, we detected that only Pt-ttpy 379 decreased all mt OXPHOS complexes' protein expression, including CI subunit NDUFB8, CII-380 30kDa, CIII-Core protein2 and CIV subunit1, except for CV alpha subunit. Since the proteins down-381 regulated by Pt-ttpy treatment are not restricted to mitochondrial genome-encoded MTCO1 (CIV 382 subunit1), these data lead us to define alternative G4 forming sequences, beyond mt genome, to 383 decipher the underling mechanism behind Pt-ttpy strong disturbance to mitochondrial function 384 without ROS production, especially as compared with the ROS-related mt toxicity-inducing 385 molecule i.e cisplatin. Through RNA-seq and Cut&RUN assay using transcription factors' antibodies, different G4 distribution databases, our work indicates firstly that the promoter region 386 387 of most mitochondrial ribosomal genes are highly enriched in potential G4 forming sequences, in 388 correlation with the previous finding that G4s in 5'UTR of mRNA coding for ribosomal protein can 389 control their production (49). Moreover Pt-ttpy, by stabilizing G4, would impair the recruitment of 390 transcription factors to the mt ribosome genes' G4 forming sequences-related chromatin and 391 decrease mt ribosome genes expression with a functional impairment of mitochondrial ribosome-392 involved translation process. This work revealed, for the first time on genomic DNA level, that the 393 genes for human mitochondrial ribosomal proteins (MRPs) are targets of the G4 ligand Pt-ttpy. 394 Since increasing data suggested the potential of Mitochondrial Ribosomal Genes as Cancer Biomarkers (50, 51), further investigation is warranted to develop and elucidate the promising anti cancer effects of the G4 ligand i.e Pt-ttpy, particularly when targeting selectively overexpressed
 MRPs in special cancer types.

398 In terms of mitochondrial dysfunction, cisplatin has been shown to have significant effects on that 399 (37) (52, 53). One of the mechanisms underlying cisplatin-induced mitochondrial toxicity is the 400 generation of ROS, leading to oxidative stress-induced cell death, which is also a well-defined 401 source of cisplatin-induced side effects (54) (55, 56). In this regard and notably, Pt-ttpy does not 402 function like typical Pt complexes, likely for cisplatin and Pt-tpy, as it neither induces general ROS 403 nor mt specific ROS production in both cancer cell lines and primary tissue cells for short and long 404 time (Fig.3c, 4 and Supplementary Fig. 6 and 7). This inspiring property of Pt-ttpy-induced mt 405 dysfunction independent of ROS production might be directly correlated with lower toxicity to liver 406 and kidney observed through in vivo studies when compared with cisplatin. Continuing to 407 investigate whether other G4 ligands exhibit similarly to Pt-ttpy-induced mitochondrial dysfunction 408 independent of ROS production, could provide valuable insights into the role of oxidative stress-409 independent mitochondrial toxicity. This knowledge is also beneficial for the development of 410 platinum-based compounds with enhanced safety profiles.

411 In summary, we showed that Pt-ttpy, a G4-binding platinum complex, disrupts significantly mitochondrial function both in vitro and in vivo. Overall, Pt-ttpy shows a direct and high 412 413 accumulation in mitochondria with a potent inhibition of mitochondrial genome function and 414 dampens mt homeostasis in the absence of oxidative stress, which distinguishes it from the 415 conventional platinum-derived clinical drug cisplatin that primarily exerts toxicity on mitochondria 416 through ROS induction. Moreover, Pt-ttpy hinders the recruitment of transcription factors to the G4-417 prone promoter regions of most nuclear-encoded mitochondrial ribosome genes, thereby leading 418 to a broad reduction in the expression of these genes and impairing the functional efficiency of 419 mitochondrial ribosome-involved translation processes. Hence, the in vitro and in vivo studies of 420 Pt-ttpy's activity conducted herein provided us with valuable insights into the therapeutic prospects 421 of drugs targeting mitochondria without generating ROS. Importantly, our work holds strong 422 promise of developing G4-binding platinum-based compounds with improved safety profiles 423 alongside effective anti-cancer benefits.

424

425 Materials and Methods

426 Cell culture

427 Ovarian cancer cell line A2780 (catalog no. CTCC-003-0011, Meisen CTCC), Cervical cancer cell line Hela (catalog no. CTCC-001-0006, Meisen CTCC), non-small cell lung cancer (NCLC) cell line 428 429 H2170 (catalog no. CTCC-400-0050, Meisen CTCC) and Mouse primary Lung micro-endothelial 430 cells were purchased from Zhejiang Meisen Cell Technology Co., Ltd. Oral squamous cell carcinoma 431 cell line Cal27 is a kind gift from the lab of Prof. Qin He from West China school of Pharmacy, and NCLC cell lines H520 and SK-MES-1 are kind gifts from the lab of Prof. Zhoufeng Wang from West 432 433 China hospital. Human primary lung fibroblast cells were sorted by CD106 antibody (#130-122-434 339, Miltenyi Biotec) with the kit of Dynabeads™ FlowComp™ Flexi (#11061D, ThermoFisher). 435 Human ancer cells A2780, H2170, H520 and human primary fibroblast cells were cultured in 436 complete RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, catalog no. 437 Z7185FBS-500, ZETA life) and 100 U/ml penicillin + 100 ug/ml streptomycin (catalog no. Gibco-438 15140122, ThermoFisher, Gibco). Cancer cells Hela, and Cal27 were cultured with DMEM medium 439 with 10%FBS with penicillin and streptomycin. Cancer cells SK-MES-1 were cultured with MEM-α medium with 10%FBS with penicillin and streptomycin. Primary mouse lung micro-endothelial cells
were cultured with Lonza EGM-2 MV microvascular endothelial cells growth medium-2 Bulletkit
(#CC-3202). Cells were incubated under a 5% CO2 humidified incubator at 37°C. When it reached
80%-90% fusion, cells were digested with 0.25% trypsin/0.91 mM EDTA (catalog no. Gibco
2520072, ThermoFisher), then collected for indicated experiments.

445 Platinum complexes

446 Cisplatin (CisPt) was provided from MCE. MedChemExpress (catalog no. HY-17394). Pt-ttpy (tolylterpyridine platinum complex) and Pt-tpy (terpyridine platinum complex) were synthesized 447 448 following the procedure already described (23) (Scheme 1). Pt-ttpy was also provided from Merck 449 Sigma (catalog no. SML2556). Aqueous solutions of 1 mM cisplatin, of 1 mM Pt-tpy, and 6 mM 450 DMSO (catalog no. D2650 10 ml, Merck, Sigma Aldrich) solutions of Pt-ttpy were prepared and 451 conserved at -20°C. Diluted solutions of each molecule were freshly prepared. The drugs were 452 used at their iso-effect concentrations that inhibit 80% (IC₈₀ concentrations) cell proliferation after 453 96 h that are 0.6 µM, 5.5 µM and 7.5 µM, for cisplatin, Pt-ttpy and Pt-tpy, respectively, unless 454 indicated otherwise.

455 Platinum measurement

456 The platinum cellular uptake was quantified by ICP-MS (Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass 457 Spectrometry, NexION[®] 2000, Perkin Elmer, Courtaboeuf, France) on cellular pellets (5 × 10⁶ cells), DNA extracts as previously described (28), and on isolated mitochondria. A2780 cells were treated 458 459 with the IC80 concentration of cisplatin, Pt-tppy and Pt-tpy for 96 hours. DNA (quantified by nanodrop) was extracted from cell pellets using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen) and 460 461 mitochondria were isolated using the Mitochondria Isolation Kit for Cultured cell pellets (2 x 10⁷ cells) (Thermo Scientific). Prior to ICP-MS, the samples were digested with pure nitric acid 462 463 (PlasmaPURE[®] Plus HNO₃ 67-69%, SCP Science, Courtaboeuf, France) at 95°C for cell pellets, 464 and HNO₃ 0.1 M for DNA and mitochondria. The Pt content was determined following a dose 465 response curve established from known concentrations of platinum. The amount of platinum was then reported as ng of Pt/5 \times 10⁶ cells for pellets, pg Pt/µg DNA or ng of Pt/5 \times 10⁶ cells for 466 mitochondria. 467

468 Measurement of mitochondrial respiration

469 A2780 cells were seeded in a Seahorse XF96 96-well cell culture plate (Agilent) (8,000 cells/well, 470 80 µL of RPMI medium completed with 10% FBS and penicillin/streptomycin). The plate was 471 incubated for 1 h at r.t. and then at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ overnight. Cells were then treated with compounds dilutions (10 µM) and incubated for an additional 24 hours at 37 °C, 5% CO₂. The 472 473 seahorse Mitostress test was then performed in accordance with the manufacturer instructions 474 using inhibitors solution at the following final concentrations: [oligomycin] = 1.5 µM, [FCCP] = 0.5 475 μ M, [Rotenone] = [Antimycin A] = 0.5 μ M. Following the assay, the medium was carefully removed, and cells were fixed with 100 µL of 4% PFA in PBS for 10 min at room temperature. Cells were 476 477 then washed twice with PBS and incubated with 100 μL of *ca*. 3 μM Hoechst 33342 (NucBlueTM) 478 for 10 min at r.t. Cells were washed twice with PBS and directly imaged with a Cytation 5 (Agilent) 479 using a 4X objective focused on the center of the well and a DAPI imaging cube. Raw assay data 480 were normalized using the cell coverage in each well image using the Gen5 software.

481 Total ROS or Mitochondrial ROS detection by FACS

For total ROS production detection, A2780 cells are cultured with the initial concentration of 0.2×10^5 cells/ml in a 6 well plate at their IC₈₀ concentration (or 10 µM). After 96 hours (or 24 hours) treatment, CellROX Deep Red (Molecular Probes) was added at the final concentration of 500-1000nM to the cells and incubated at 37°C. After washing with PBS, analyze was performed using the flow cytometry and detection at 635 nm excitation for the CellROX Deep Red reagent (Invitrogen).

488 For Mitochondria ROS detection, it is based on the modified protocol from MitoSOX (M36008, 489 Invitrogen)-based FACS method (57). Cells are cultured with the initial concentration of 0.5x10⁶ 490 cells/ml in a 6 well plate with the complexes Pt-ttpy, Pt-tpy and cisplatin at the concentration of 10 µM. After 24 hours treatment, cells were washed with pre-warm PBS in 6-well plate for 1 time. After, 491 492 1 µM Mito-sox was added in each well and incubated for 30 min at 37°C. Wash cells thoroughly 493 with pre-warm PBS for another 3 times, followed by trypsin and cells collection. Using loading buffer 494 (2% FBS in PBS) to collect and mix well cells (working volume is 500 µl) and move to BD 495 FACSCanto studies.

496 **Total ROS and mitochondrial specific ROS simultaneously detection by high content** 497 **microscope screening followed by single cell quantification**

498 Investigating simultaneously the total ROS (ROS Assay Kit-Highly sensitive DCFH-DA, #R252, 499 DOJINDO) and Mitochondria ROS (mtSOX Deep Red-Mitochondrial Superoxide dection #MT14, 500 DOJINDO) induction post Pt-ttpy or cisplatin treatments was performed following manufacturer's 501 protocol. Briefly, indicated cells were treated with cisplatin and Pt-ttpy for 1 day at the con. of 10 502 µM for cancer cell lines or 1µM for primary cells. After, the living cells was incubated simultaneously 503 with different dye for 30min at 37°C. Then, the images were collected with ECLIPSE Ni-E (Nikon) 504 microscope with highly sensitive camera, FITC channel for the detection of total ROS (ex: 488nm), 505 Red channel for the detection of mt-ROS (ex:621nm). Single cell fluorescence intensity was 506 unbiased quantified by Image J using in-house developed Macros, at least 50 cells were quantified 507 for each group. And the guantification results were statistically analyzed using GraphPad Prism 508 9.0.

509 Fluorescent quantitative PCR and fluorescent quantitative RT-PCR

SYBR probes (POWRUP SYBR MASTER MIX, catalog no. A25742, applied biosystems by Thermo
 Fisher Scientfic) were used in a 25ul system. Reaction conditions were following the manufacturer's
 protocol.

For *in vitro* samples, total RNA from A2780 cells untreated and treated by the various platinum complexes at their IC₈₀ concentrations for 96 hours was extracted using the RNA simple Total RNA kit (catalogue no. DP419, TIANGEN), and then taken 1 μg after quantification for reverse transcription. After removal of residual DNA using DNase I, RNase-free (catalogue no. EN0529, thermo scientific), RevertAid MM (catalogue no.M1631, thermo scientific) was added and reversed to cDNA using a PCR instrument (Bio-Red).

519 For *in vivo* samples, tumor tissue DNA was extracted using the FastPure®DNA Isolation Mini Kit 520 (catalogue no. DC112-02, Vazyme) and diluted to 10 ng/ml. Total tumor tissue RNA was extracted 521 using the FastPure®Total RNA Isolation Mini Kit (catalogue no.RC112-01, Vazyme) and 522 subsequently reverse transcription was performed as before. Real-time qPCR was carried out using a QuantStudio 3 Real-Time qPCR System (Applied Biosystems). The primers used areshown in the Supplementary Table 1.

qPCR-based method for quantification of mtDNA copy numbers including deleted and non deleted isoforms.

527 Investigating the relative changes of mtDNA copy numbers is based on qPCR method. Total DNA 528 for indicated in vitro cell samples untreated and treated by the various platinum complexes at their 529 IC₈₀ concentrations for 96 hours or in vivo tumor samples were extracted using DNA Blood and 530 Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Germany), DNA quantity was determined by NanoDrop (Themo Fisher), The 531 DNA showed a high purity (A260/ A280>1.8) and was stored at -20°C. The primers used for real 532 time amplification were synthesized and HPLC-purified by Eurogentec. Because the most common 533 aberrancy is a 4,977-bp deletion spanning nucleotides 8,483–13,459 of the mitochondrial genome 534 (58), different primers were used for detecting mtDNA deleted (also known as mtDNA⁴⁹⁷⁷) and non-535 deleted isoforms, as well as total mtDNA including both isoforms. Their primers' location are 536 indicated in Figure. 1b. The primers of 12S, tRNA are used for quantification of total mtDNA, and 537 the primers of ND4 and COX III are used for quantification of non-deleted mtDNA. All the above 538 primers are listed in the Supplementary Table 1. The primers used for deleted mtDNA isoform 539 (mtDNA⁴⁹⁷⁷) quantification is covering the gene ND5 and ATPase8, and common deletion primer 540 Forward: TTCCTCATCACCCAACTAAAAA, common deletion primer Reverse: 541 TTCGATGATGTGGTCTTTGG. Real-time gPCR was carried out using a QuantStudio 5 real-time 542 PCR system by conventional settings (Applied Biosystems).

543 RNA sequence

544 A2780 cells were plated in 10 cm dishes and divided into three groups: UT, Pt-ttpy and cisplatin. 545 The cell seed densities for each group were as follows: 0.5 X 10⁶ cells per dish for UT, 1.5 X 10⁶ 546 and 1.5 X 10⁶ cells per dish for both Pt-ttpy and cisplatin. After a two-hours incubation, the 547 corresponding drugs were added to each group at their IC₈₀ concentration for 96-hours treatment 548 period. At the end of the incubation period, the cells were washed twice with Hank's Balanced Salt 549 Solution (HBSS, catalog no. C14175500BT, ThermoFisher, Gibco), subsequently, they were 550 treated with the cell lysis solution TRIzoL (catalogue no. 15596026, ThermoFisher, invitrogen) at 551 room temperature for 5 min. Afterward, the cells were gently scraped off with a cell scraper and 552 collected in centrifuge tubes, remained at room temperature for an additional 5 min. Finally, the cell 553 samples were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored in an ultra-low temperature refrigerator, 554 which would be used for RNA extraction just before conducting RNA sequence. Three distinct and 555 independent samples were collected for each group.

556 RNA transcriptomics sequencing was conducted by Biomarker Technologies on each set of three 557 parallel samples. After successfully passing the library quality check, pooling was performed 558 according to the target downstream data volume and sequencing was carried out using the Illumina 559 platform. Clean data was filtered for sequence alignment with the reference human genome, and 560 mapped data was obtained for library quality assessment such as insert length testing and 561 randomness testing. Structural-level analysis such as variable splicing analysis, novel gene 562 discovery and gene structure optimization were also performed. Differential gene expression 563 analysis was conducted to identify differences in gene expression among different samples or 564 sample groups. The data was graphically by R for MacOSX to generate the figures included in the 565 manuscript.

To identify genes related to nuclear-encoded mitochondrial proteins that were down-regulated specifically by Pt-ttpy treatment, we firstly identified the genes exhibiting down-regulation in the Ptttpy group as compared to the UT group (with criteria FDR < 0.05, FC > 1.2). These genes were then intersected with the gene list of nuclear-encoded mitochondrial proteins (38). A parallel analysis was performed for the cisplatin group. Subsequently, the two resulting gene lists were intersected to identify the cohort of genes specifically down-regulated in the Pt-ttpy group, not in the cisplatin group.

573 CUT&RUN-qPCR

574 Experimental reagents were used with Vazyme's Hyperactive pG-MNase CUT&RUN Assay Kit for 575 PCR/qPCR (catalogue no. HD101, Vazyme). A2780 cells were plated in 10 cm dishes in DMSO 576 and Pt-ttpy groups at a cell density of 1X10⁶ and 3X10⁶. After two hours of seeding, Pt-ttpy at IC₈₀ 577 concentration was added in indicated dishes. And a corresponding volume of DMSO (<1%) was 578 added to the DMSO group as control and incubated at 37°C for 96 hours. Cells were collected by 579 trypsin digestion and dispensed into 0.5X10⁶/ tubes. Subsequent steps were performed according 580 to the experimental protocol (59). Specific antibodies are used to bind to transcription complexes and pull-down specific fragments of DNA sequences by enzymatic cleavage and purification: TAF1 581 582 (TAF1 Rabbit mAb catalogue no.#12781S, D6J8B, CST) and NELFB (COBRA1 Rabbit mAb 583 catalogue no.#14894S, D6K9A, CST) antibodies. After guantification of the pulled-down DNA 584 sequences, primers, and probe SYBR were added for gPCR. Five pairs of primers were designed 585 in the 1.5 Kb region around the TSS of the MPV17L2 and MRPS18C genes to examine the 586 distribution of different transcription factors and the effect of the drug Pt-ttpy on them. The samples 587 subjected to qPCR expression analysis using SYBR Green probe by PowerUp SYBR Green Master 588 Mix (catalog no. A25742, Applied Biosystems from Thermo Fisher Scientific). The PCR 589 amplification was performed on QuantStudio 3 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) with 590 the conventional setting parameters, 40 cycles at 95 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 1 min. The Mean 591 threshold cycles were determined from three technical repeats using the comparative CT 592 methodology. To standardize expression levels, they were normalized to that of actin.

593 Click-chemistry for IF study of MT translation with single cell quantification

594 A2780 or Hela cells were inoculated on 14 mm coverslips (catalogue no.WHB-24-cs, WHB) in 24-595 well plates, walled for 4 hours and then treated with the Pt-ttpy (IC₈₀: 5.5 µM) and corresponding 596 DMSO for 96 hours. The medium was gently refreshed by L-Methionine-free 1640 medium 597 (catalogue no.CTCC-002-148, Meisen CTCC) and each well was treated with 100 ug/ml 598 Cycloheximide (CHI, catalogue no.HY-12320, MedChemExpress) and incubated for 30 min at 37°C 599 to stop protein translation in the cytoplasm; In addition to this positive control, 80ug/ml of 600 Chloramphenicol (catalogue no.HY-B0239, MedChemExpress) was added and incubated for 30 601 minutes at 37°C to stop mitochondrial protein translation; 500µM of methionine analogue-602 homoacetylglycine HPG (catalogue no.HY-140345A, MedChemExpress) was added to each well 603 and incubated for 60 min at 37°C to insert it into the nascent protein peptide chain. Before fixation, 604 cells were permeabilized in pre-chilled buffer A (10 mM HEPES; 10 mM NaCl; 5 mM MgCl 2; 300 605 mM sucrose) containing 0.015% digitonin (catalogue no.HY-N4000, MedChemExpress) for two 606 minutes, followed by 15 s reaction in buffer A without digitonin; 4% PFA (catalogue 607 no.BL539A,Biosharp) fixed cells for 10 min, washed that in PBS and permeabilized that in 0.1% 608 Triton X-100 (catalogue no.9002-93-1, Solarbio) for 20 min; 3% BSA (catalogue no.9048-46-8, 609 Merck Sigma) was used for blocking for another 30 min and the cells were treated with 20 µM of Alexa Fluor® 488 (labeled to azide, catalogue no.A10266, ThermoFisher, Invitrogen) that had
been diluted to the antibody reaction solution (100 mM Tris, 100 mM ascorbicacid, 1 mM CuSO4)
for the click reaction in 15 min at room temperature (41); after washing with PBS, 1 ug/ml of DAPI
(catalogue no.28718-90-3, MedChemExpress) was added at room temperature for another 5 min.
After washing with PBS, slides were sealed to air-dry in hood. Images were collected with ECLIPSE

615 Ni-E (Nikon) microscope using oil with the 40x objective.

Single cell fluorescence intensity was unbiased quantified by Image J using in-house developed
Macros, at least 200 cells were quantified for each group. And the quantification results were
statistically analyzed using GraphPad Prism 9.0.

619 Immunofluorescence (IF) study of mitochondrial morphology and quantification of 620 mitochondria by FACS (TOMM20 labeling)

621 For IF studies by TOMM20 labeling, A2780 cells were plated firstly on 8-well labteks (Thermo fish 622 scientific). Cells were treated for 96 hours at their respective IC₈₀ concentrations (see main text). 623 After treatment, cells were washed with PBS, then fixed 10 minutes in 2% Paraformaldehyde (PFA). 624 After wash with PBS, cells were permeabilized for 10 min at RT using 0.2% Triton X-100 and 625 washed with PBS. The cells were incubated in blocking buffer (5% goat serum in PBS) for 60 min 626 at RT before being incubated at 4°C overnight in 1% BSA dissolved in PBS with the primary 627 antibody against TOMM20 (Abcam). On the second day, after three times wash with PBS, the cells 628 were incubated for another 30 min with the Alexa Fluor 555-conjugated secondary antibody (Life Technologies). Nuclei were labeled using DAPI and the cover slides were mounted with 629 630 VectashieldTM. Acquisitions were performed on Leica SP5 confocal microscope by the microscopy 631 platform of the Institut Curie.

632

633 For FACS studies by TOMM20 labeling, A2780 cells were plated firstly on 100 mm dishes (Thermo 634 fish scientific). Cells were treated for 96 hours at their respective IC80 concentrations (see main 635 text). After treatment, cells were washed with PBS, followed by trypsin to collect cells. Suspend 636 cells at around 2x10⁶ in 250 µl washing buffer (PBS+0,5%BSA) with another 250 µl 4% PFA. Mix 637 well and fix samples for another 10 min at r.t. After permeablization with 0.2% Triton X-100, cells 638 were stained with TOMM20 antibody (Abcam) for 15min by gentle rotation at 4°C, followed by 3 639 times thoroughly wash with PBS. After, cells were incubated with 1 µl second antibody-PE in dark 640 for another for another 10 min by gentle rotation at 4°C (working volume is 200 µl). Wash thoroughly 641 cells with 1ml permeabilization buffer for another 2 times, then prepare 400 µl washing buffer to 642 collect and mix well cells and move that for BD FACSCanto studies.

643 Tumor xenografts studies

644 SPF-rated BALB/c nude mouse (6 weeks old) weighting 20-22 g were purchased from Chengdu 645 Dossy Laboratory Animal Company. Before the beginning of the experiment, animals were 646 acclimatized in a temperature-controlled environment for 1 week. The nude mice were housed in 647 individually ventilated cages fed a normal diet and water under artificially controlled environment 648 (temperature 20±2°C, humidity 50%-60%, photoperiod: 12 h light, 12 h dark). Murine experiments 649 were carried out following the guidelines of medical research and new medical technology of 650 Sichuan Cancer Hospital Ethics Committee and performed under study number SCCHEC-02-651 2023-064. All methods were performed in accordance with Guide for the Care and Use of 652 Laboratory Animals.

653 A2780 cells in the exponential growth phase were collected and resuspended in 50 ul of RPMI 654 medium per 1×10⁷ cells, and the same volume of cell matrix (catalogue no.356234, Corning[®] 655 Matrigel[®] Matrix) was mixed to the cell suspension in ice. Suspended A2780 cells (1 x 10⁷ 656 cells/mouse) were injected subcutaneously on the back next to the right leg in a sterile environment on an ultra-clean table. After injection for 5 days, they were randomly divided into 3 groups 657 658 according to the size of the tumor volume (V=L²×W× $\pi/6$) equally, namely DMSO, Pt-ttpy and cisplatin t groups. The nude mice in the three groups were injected intraperitoneally with 400 ul of 659 660 1% DMSO, Pt-ttpy (5 mg/kg) and cisplatin (2 mg/kg) once every two days for 21 days. The body 661 weight and tumor volume of each nude mouse were recorded during this time. When dosing was 662 complete, all nude mice were euthanized and the tumors were isolated, rapidly cooled in liquid 663 nitrogen or stored at ultra-low temperature refrigerator for further studies. Major tissues, including 664 the liver, kidney, and heart, were weighted, the tissue index was calculated as the ratio of tissue 665 weight (g) to body weight (g): tissue index % = liver weight (g) / body weight (g) * 100. Then, a 666 preliminary major tissue toxicity study was performed using typical HE staining.

667 Western blotting (WB)

For *in vitro* cell pellets samples preparation, $0.2-0.3 \times 10^6$ /well A2780 cells was plated in 6-well plate with full medium for 24 hours, then full medium was removed, and cells were refreshed with indicated treatments with metallic complexes at their IC₈₀ concentration for 24 hours or 96 hours. After, total proteins were extracted using RIPA 1X buffer (Cell Signaling Technology, CST) supplemented just before use with 1X EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche), 20 mM NaF and 1 mM Na3VO4. Around 20 µg proteins were loaded onto Mini-PROTEAN Precast gels (BioRAD) for further WB procedures.

675 For *in vivo* tumor tissue samples preparation for western blotting, proteins were isolated from tumor 676 tissue using a 3-min ultrasonic cycle homogenization (cycle of 15 s sonication, 10 s resting time) 677 in ice, followed by a 30 min more extraction in ice using RIPA (CST) 1X buffer supplemented just 678 with phosphate inhibitors (HY-K0022/K0023, before usina protease and MCE® 679 MedChemExpress). Samples were vortexed for 15 s by every 15min. After centrifugation for 20min 680 at 14,000 rpm at 4°C, supernatants were collected, and protein amount was quantified for each 681 group or mice using BCA. The separation of proteins was performed using either Mini-PROTEAN 682 Precast gels (BioRAD) or NuPAGE 4-12% gels (Life Technologies).

683 After, proteins were transferred to PVDF membranes (catalogue no.ISEQ00010, Immobilon ®-684 PSQ, MERCK Millipore Ltd.) with 90V for 90min by BioRAD wet transfer system or semi-dry transfer 685 method using Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer system (BioRAD) with the settings of 1.3A-25V-7M. Primary antibodies (MTCO1, 1:1000, Abclonal; β-Tubulin, 1:8000, Abclonal; actin, 1:3000, CST; 686 687 total OXPHOS human WB antibody cocktail, 1;1000, Abcam) were diluted with 5% BSA and 688 incubated overnight at 4°C, then the membranes were incubated with secondary antibodies (HRP 689 Goat Anti- Rabbit IgG(H+L), 1:5000, Abclonal, or HRP-conjugated Affinipure Goat Anti-Mouse 690 IgG(H+L), 1:5000, proteintech) at room temperature for another 2 hours. WB detection was 691 performed by chemiluminescence (BioRAD) with traditional X-ray films (FIJIFILM) or digital CDD 692 imaging (BioRAD or Vilber). The intensity of indicated band was measured by ImageJ (NIH 693 software).

694 Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using Graphpad Prism 9.0 software (San Diego, CA). The results were presented as either mean \pm SEM or \pm SD as indicated, details of regarding the number of experimental replicates and statistical analyses methods were indicated in the figure legends.

698 Acknowledgments

699 The authors are grateful for the support provided by the public experimental platform from West 700 China School of Pharmacy and Wang Wendong for critical and very useful advice on pathology 701 and toxicology. The authors also greatly acknowledge Cédric Messaoudi, Marie-Noelle Soler and 702 Laetitia Besse from the Multimodal Imaging Center - CNRS UMS2016 / Inserm US43 / Institut Curie 703 / Université Paris-Saclay - for useful advice on image processing and Charlene Lasgi for technical 704 help on flow cytometry (Flowcytometry Orsay facility, Curie). The authors profoundly thank Prof. 705 Yinglan Zhao (State Key Laboratory of Biotherapy) for a long tradition of insightful discussions with 706 excellent comments and suggestions.

707 This research was funded by Science and Technology Department of Sichuan Province 708 2023NSFSC0130 and 2023NSFSC1992, and "the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central 709 Universities", as well as Institute Curie Grants to TJ. This research was funded also by Centre 710 National de Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Institut National de la Sante et de la Recherche 711 Medicale (INSERM), Institut Curie, Paris-Saclay University, Association pour la Recherche contre 712 le Cancer (ARC grant for DP). This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 713 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 714 666 003 (Grant for DP). This work was also financially supported by an ERC Consolidator Grant 715 Photo-MedMet to G. G. (GA 681679), has received support under the program "Investissements d'Avenir" launched by the French Government and implemented by the ANR with the reference 716 717 ANR-10-IDEX-0001-02 PSL (G. G.) and by a Qlife prématuration funding (G.G. and R.V.).

718 References

- 7191.D. Varshney, J. Spiegel, K. Zyner, D. Tannahill, S. Balasubramanian, The regulation and720functions of DNA and RNA G-quadruplexes. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 21, 459-474 (2020).
- S. Neidle, Quadruplex nucleic acids as targets for anticancer therapeutics. *Nature Reviews Chemistry* 1, 0041 (2017).
- J. L. Huppert, S. Balasubramanian, G-quadruplexes in promoters throughout the human genome. *Nucleic Acids Res* **35**, 406-413 (2007).
- A. Bedrat, L. Lacroix, J. L. Mergny, Re-evaluation of G-quadruplex propensity with
 G4Hunter. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44, 1746-1759 (2016).
- 5. V. S. Chambers *et al.*, High-throughput sequencing of DNA G-quadruplex structures in the
 human genome. *Nat Biotechnol* **33**, 877-881 (2015).
- R. Hansel-Hertsch *et al.*, G-quadruplex structures mark human regulatory chromatin. *Nat Genet* 48, 1267-1272 (2016).
- 7. D. Rhodes, H. J. Lipps, G-quadruplexes and their regulatory roles in biology. *Nucleic Acids*732 *Res* 43, 8627-8637 (2015).
- 7338.S. L. Williams *et al.*, Replication-induced DNA secondary structures drive fork uncoupling734and breakage. *EMBO J* 10.15252/embj.2023114334, e114334 (2023).
- 7359.M. Falabella, J. R. Fernandez, B. F. Johnson, A. B. Kaufman, Potential Roles for G-736Quadruplexes in Mitochondria. *Current Medicinal Chemistry* **26**, 2918-2932 (2019).
- 73710.M. Doimo *et al.*, Enhanced mitochondrial G-quadruplex formation impedes replication738fork progression leading to mtDNA loss in human cells. Nucleic Acids Res 51, 7392-7408739(2023).

7 7 7	'40 '41	11.	S. Dahal, H. Siddiqua, V. K. Katapadi, D. Iyer, S. C. Raghavan, Characterization of G4 DNA formation in mitochondrial DNA and their potential role in mitochondrial genome instability. <i>The FERS Journal</i> 289 , 162, 182 (2022).
	42	10	Instability. The FEBS Journal 209, 103-182 (2022).
/	43	12.	V. J. Sanayasheela, Z. Yu, T. Hidaka, G. N. Pandian, H. Sugiyama, Mitochondria and G-
/	44 745		quadruplex evolution: an intertwined relationship. Trends Genet
_	45		10.1016/J.tig.2022.10.006 (2022).
/	46	13.	S. K. Bharti et al., DNA sequences proximal to human mitochondrial DNA deletion
7	47		breakpoints prevalent in human disease form G-quadruplexes, a class of DNA structures
7	'48		inefficiently unwound by the mitochondrial replicative Twinkle helicase. J Biol Chem 289,
7	'49		29975-29993 (2014).
7	'50	14.	M. J. Hannon, J. Reedijk, Metal interactions with nucleic acids. Dalton Trans 44, 3503-3504
7	'51		(2015).
7	'52	15.	R. Vilar, Nucleic Acid Quadruplexes and Metallo-Drugs. <i>Met Ions Life Sci</i> 18 (2018).
7	'53	16.	A. Garci et al., Efficient and Rapid Mechanochemical Assembly of Platinum(II) Squares for
7	'54		Guanine Quadruplex Targeting. J Am Chem Soc 139, 16913-16922 (2017).
7	'55	17.	L. Y. Liu et al., Organic-Platinum Hybrids for Covalent Binding of G-Quadruplexes:
7	'56		Structural Basis and Application to Cancer Immunotherapy. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 62,
7	'57		e202305645 (2023).
7	'58	18.	S. Neidle, Quadruplex nucleic acids as targets for anticancer therapeutics. <i>Nature Reviews</i>
7	² 59		Chemistry 56 (2017)
7	22 760	19	7 Deng G Zhu, Bevond mere DNA damage: Recent progress in platinum(IV) anticancer
7	761	15.	complexes containing multi-functional axial ligands <i>Curr Onin Chem Biol</i> 74 102303
7	162		
7	162	20	(2023). 7 Vu et al. Chem man profiles drug hinding to chromatin in cells. Nat Pietechnel A1 , 1265.
7	05	20.	2. Tu et ul., Chem-map promes ulug binding to chromatin in tens. Nut Biotechnol 41, 1203-
	04 705	21	12/1 (2023).
/	05	21.	will Falabelia <i>et al.</i> , G-quadruplex dynamics contribute to regulation of mitochondrial gene
/	66	22	expression. Sci Rep 9, 5605 (2019).
_	67	22.	H. Bertrand <i>et al.</i> , The importance of metal geometry in the recognition of G-quadruplex-
/	68		DNA by metal-terpyridine complexes. Org Biomol Chem 5, 2555-2559 (2007).
7	69	23.	E. Morel <i>et al.</i> , Selectivity of Terpyridine Platinum Anticancer Drugs for G-quadruplex DNA.
7	70		Molecules 24 (2019).
7	71	24.	H. Bertrand et al., Exclusive platination of loop adenines in the human telomeric G-
7	'72		quadruplex. Org Biomol Chem 7 , 2864-2871 (2009).
7	'73	25.	M. Trajkovski et al., Interactions of Pt-ttpy with G-Quadruplexes Originating from
7	'74		Promoter Region of the c-myc Gene Deciphered by NMR and Gel Electrophoresis Analysis.
7	75		Chemistry 21 , 7798-7807 (2015).
7	76	26.	L. Saker et al., Platinum Complexes Can Bind to Telomeres by Coordination. Int J Mol Sci
7	77		19 (2018).
7	'78	27.	H. S. Lee et al., Systematic Analysis of Compounds Specifically Targeting Telomeres and
7	79		Telomerase for Clinical Implications in Cancer Therapy. <i>Cancer Res</i> 78, 6282-6296 (2018).
7	/80	28.	S. Ali et al., Pt-ttpy, a G-quadruplex binding platinum complex, induces telomere
7	'81	_0.	dysfunction and G-rich regions DNA damage. <i>Metallomics</i> 13 (2021)
7	182	29	A Frylehen Mitochondria-Targeting Anticancer Metal Complexes Curr Med Chem 26
7	102	25.	694-728 (2019)
, 7	0J 101	20	O Pothfuss T Casser N Patenge Analysis of differential DNA damage in the
	04 /0E	50.	o. Rothiuss, I. Gassel, N. Faterige, Analysis of unreferrial DNA damage in the
7	00		nintochonuliai genome employing a semi-long run real-time PCK approach. Nucleic Acius
/	00	21	TCS 30, C24 (2010). 7 Thus at al. Mitachandrian terrated platinum completions successing history
_	ð/	51.	2. Znu <i>et ul.</i> , wittochondrion-targeted platinum complexes suppressing lung cancer
_	88	22	through multiple pathways involving energy metabolism. <i>Chem Sci</i> 10 , 3089-3095 (2019).
	89	32.	IVI. Reers, I. W. Smith, L. B. Chen, J-aggregate formation of a carbocyanine as a quantitative
7	90		fluorescent indicator of membrane potential. <i>Biochemistry</i> 30 , 4480-4486 (1991).

791 792	33.	S. T. Smiley <i>et al.</i> , Intracellular heterogeneity in mitochondrial membrane potentials revealed by a J-aggregate-forming lipophilic cation JC-1. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> 88 , 3671-
793		3675 (1991).
794	34.	I. Vercellino, L. A. Sazanov, The assembly, regulation and function of the mitochondrial
795		respiratory chain. <i>Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol</i> 23 , 141-161 (2022).
796	35.	A. P. Leonard et al., Quantitative analysis of mitochondrial morphology and membrane
797		potential in living cells using high-content imaging, machine learning, and morphological
798		binning. <i>Biochim Biophys Acta</i> 1853 , 348-360 (2015).
799	36.	D. B. Zorov, M. Juhaszova, S. J. Sollott, Mitochondrial ROS-induced ROS release: an update
800		and review. <i>Biochim Biophys Acta</i> 1757 , 509-517 (2006).
801	37.	M. Kleih et al., Direct impact of cisplatin on mitochondria induces ROS production that
802		dictates cell fate of ovarian cancer cells. <i>Cell Death Dis</i> 10 , 851 (2019).
803	38.	S. Rath <i>et al.</i> . MitoCarta3.0: an updated mitochondrial proteome now with sub-organelle
804		localization and pathway annotations. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 49 , D1541-D1547 (2021).
805	39	C Li et al Ligand-induced native G-auadruplex stabilization impairs transcription
806	55.	initiation Genome Res 31 1546-1560 (2021)
800	40	Shen at al. Promotor G-guadrupley folding precedes transcription and is controlled by
007	40.	shromotin Conomo Riol 32 1/2 (2021)
000	11	C Estall E Stamatiday & El Massairy A Hamilton In sity imaging of mitashandrial
809	41.	C. Estell, E. Stamatidou, S. El-Wesselry, A. Hamilton, in situ imaging of mitochondrial
810		translation snows weak correlation with nucleoid DNA intensity and no suppression
811		during mitosis. <i>J Cell Sci</i> 130 , 4193-4199 (2017).
812	42.	Q. Zhang, Q. B. Lu, New combination chemotherapy of cisplatin with an electron-donating
813		compound for treatment of multiple cancers. <i>Sci Rep</i> 11 , 788 (2021).
814	43.	C. Tang, M. J. Livingston, R. Safirstein, Z. Dong, Cisplatin nephrotoxicity: new insights and
815		therapeutic implications. <i>Nat Rev Nephrol</i> 19 , 53-72 (2023).
816	44.	P. K. Kopinski, L. N. Singh, S. Zhang, M. T. Lott, D. C. Wallace, Mitochondrial DNA variation
817		and cancer. <i>Nat Rev Cancer</i> 21 , 431-445 (2021).
818	45.	S. P. Wisnovsky et al., Targeting mitochondrial DNA with a platinum-based anticancer
819		agent. <i>Chem Biol</i> 20 , 1323-1328 (2013).
820	46.	Y. Guo et al., Enhancing Cytotoxicity of a Monofunctional Platinum Complex via a Dual-
821		DNA-Damage Approach. Inorg Chem 58, 13150-13160 (2019).
822	47.	D. Gibson, Platinum(IV) anticancer agents; are we en route to the holy grail or to a dead
823		end? <i>J Inora Biochem</i> 217 . 111353 (2021).
824	48.	I. C. Summerhaves <i>et al.</i> , Unusual retention of rhodamine 123 by mitochondria in muscle
825		and carcinoma cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci IJ S A 79 , 5292-5296 (1982).
826	49	D Varshnev et al. RNA G-quadrunlex structures control ribosomal protein production
827	13.	Scientific Reports 11 22735 (2021)
878	50	H I Kim P Maiti A Barrientos Mitochondrial ribosomes in cancer Semin Cancer Biol 47
820	50.	67_{81} (2017)
029	۲1	S Rap at al Detential of Mitachandrial Dihasamal Cones as Cancor Diamarkers
030	51.	5. Bad et al., Folencial of Millochondrial Ribosonial Genes as Cancel Dionial Reis
021	ГЭ	Constrained by Diomoniality Results. From Oncor 12, 655549 (2022).
83Z	52.	5. Dasari, P. B. Tchouriwou, Cispiatin in cancer therapy: molecular mechanisms of action.
833	50	Eur J Pharmacol 740 , 364-378 (2014).
834	53.	V. Gandin, J. D. Hoeschele, N. Margiotta, Special Issue "Cisplatin in Cancer Therapy:
835		Molecular Mechanisms of Action 3.0". Int J Mol Sci 24 (2023).
836	54.	R. Marullo <i>et al.</i> , Cisplatin induces a mitochondrial-ROS response that contributes to
837		cytotoxicity depending on mitochondrial redox status and bioenergetic functions. PLoS
838		One 8 , e81162 (2013).
839	55.	R. Oun, Y. E. Moussa, N. J. Wheate, The side effects of platinum-based chemotherapy
840		drugs: a review for chemists. Dalton Trans 47, 6645-6653 (2018).
841	56.	X. Xie et al., Pyrocatechol Alleviates Cisplatin-Induced Acute Kidney Injury by Inhibiting
842		ROS Production. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2022 , 2158644 (2022).

- 843 57. M. E. Kauffman *et al.*, MitoSOX-Based Flow Cytometry for Detecting Mitochondrial ROS.
 844 *React Oxyg Species (Apex)* 2, 361-370 (2016).
- 845 58. A. F. Phillips *et al.*, Single-Molecule Analysis of mtDNA Replication Uncovers the Basis of the Common Deletion. *Mol Cell* **65**, 527-538 e526 (2017).
- A. Panday, R. Elango, N. A. Willis, R. Scully, A modified CUT&RUN-seq technique for qPCR
 analysis of chromatin-protein interactions. *STAR Protoc* 3, 101529 (2022).
- 850



852

Figure 1. Impact of different platinum (Pt) complexes (cisplatin, Pt-ttpy and Pt-tpy) on cellular uptake and distribution with the potential toxicity to mitochondrial genome at their IC₈₀ concentration in A2870 treated cells. (a) Schematic illustration of platinum quantification flow in cell pellets, genomic DNA and mitochondria is presented in the left, comparative quantification of Pt 857 amount(ng)/5x10⁶ cells for cisplatin, Pt-ttpy and Pt-tpy was performed in cell pellet, extracted 858 genomic DNA and isolated mitochondria, respectively after 96 hours treatment. Data represents 859 three independent experiments with the mean ± SEM. (b) a sketch of describing different primers' 860 position in non-deleted mt-DNA, deleted mt-DNA and total mt-DNA is presented, that is used for 861 qPCR analysis as presented in figure (c). (c) qPCR quantification of different mt-DNA copy numbers 862 under different Pt complexes treatments after 96 hours treatment, data is presented as relative fold changes of mtDNA copy numbers for different Pt complexes' treatment groups compared to the 863 864 untreated (UT) group. Data represents three independent experiments with the mean ± SEM. (d) RT-qPCR quantification of different mt-RNA levels, including both mt non-protein coding genes and 865 its protein coding genes in response to different Pt complexes' 96h treatment groups compared to 866 the UT group. Data represents three independent experiments with the mean ± SEM. (e) Western 867 blot study of different mt OXPHOS complex proteins in the 96 hours treatment of different Pt 868 869 complexes. Also shown is a blot of actin as a loading control. The corresponding quantification data 870 of different mt OXPHOS complex protein levels is presented in the supplementary figure 2. Data 871 represents two independent experiments.



873

Figure 2. Impact of three platinum (Pt) complexes (cisplatin, Pt-ttpy and Pt-tpy) in mitochondrial
number and its morphology of A2870 treated cells. (a) Flow cytometry analysis of mitochondrial
number changes in the treatment of different Pt complexes by the staining of TOMM20, plotted are
the TOMM20 signal distribution in different treatments. The histogram is represented by two
independent experiments. (b) Confocal microscope tested the mitochondrial abundance and its
morphology changes following the Pt complexes treatments (cisplatin, Pt-ttpy and Pt-tpy) for 96h.
Scale bar: 10µm. Data represents three independent experiments.





884 Figure 3. Impact of three platinum (Pt) complexes (cisplatin, Pt-ttpy and Pt-tpy) on mitochondrial 885 homeostasis in A2870 treated cells. (a) Left is presented as the seahorse XF cell mito stress test 886 profile under different Pt complexes treatments (10µM, 24h treatment) as well as UT group with 887 specific electron transport chain inhibitors: oligomycin (inhibitor of ATP synthase (complex V)), 888 FCCP (uncoupling agent), antimycin-A (complex III inhibitor), and rotenone (complex I inhibitor). 889 Right is plotted as the quantification of basal respiration, ATP production and spare respiratory 890 capacity respectively by different treatments of Pt complexes. (b) Flow cytometry was used to quantify mitochondrial potential changes by the staining of JC1, % Cells with mitochondrial membrane loss (dysfunctional mitochondria) corresponding to the % of cells with JC-1 in its green monomers form after treatment at the respective IC₅₀ and IC₈₀ concentrations of the complexes. Data represents three independent experiments with the mean \pm SEM. (c) Flow cytometry was used to quantify the total ROS production in A2870 cells treated, normalized ROS production is plotted as the mean \pm SEM, data represents three independent experiments. P values were calculated toward the UT: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ****P < 0.0001, unpaired t-Student test.









https://doi.org/10.26434/chemrxiv-2023-npl8r ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8358-6005 Content not peer-reviewed by ChemRxiv. License: CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

900 Figure 4. Fluorescence screening of Pt-ttpy and cisplatin effects on ROS and mitochondrial ROS 901 (mt-ROS) induction with single cell fluorescence intensity quantification in four different cancer cell 902 lines and two primary cells (Endothelial cells and Fibroblast cells) post DMSO, Pt-ttpy or cisplatin 903 treatments. (a) represented figures of fluorescent imaging of different cell (line) under Pt-ttpy and 904 cisplatin treatments for 24 h at either 10µM (for cancer cells) or 1µM (for primary cells). The general 905 ROS production was detected by green channel (ex: 488nm), the mitochondrial specific ROS (mt-906 ROS) production was detected by red channel (ex: 621nm). (b) The single cell fluorescence 907 quantification for both ROS and mt-ROS in different cell (lines) post indicated treatments was 908 performed by Image J using in-house developed Macros, DMSO group (n>50), Pt-ttpy group 909 (n>50), cisplatin group (n>50). Line indicates the median flu. intensity, P values were calculated 910 toward the DMSO group: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ****P < 0.0001, unpaired t-Student test.



914 Figure 5. Pt-ttpy show preferable inhibition of mitochondrial ribosome-related gene expression by 915 RNA seq, as compared with cisplatin treatment of A2780 cells for 96h at their IC₈₀ concentration, 916 respectively. (a) Left: Schematic illustration of RNA seq under different treatments, heatmap 917 showcasing the down-regulated gene expression under cisplatin and Pt-ttpy treatments, as 918 compared with the UT group. Each group has three biological replicates. (b) A sketch of the 919 procedural flow of data mining is aiming at identifying mitochondrial genes specifically downregulated by Pt-ttpy. (c) Left: Analysis of mitochondrial pathways indicates that Pt-ttpy-specifically 920 921 down-regulated genes (106 genes) exhibit high enrichment within the mitochondrial central dogma 922 (45/106) (38). Right: these genes predominantly impact the expression of mitochondrial ribosome 923 genes (30/45). This analysis employed the MitoCarta3.0_MitoPathways tool (38). (d) Plotting of 924 gene number distribution for mitochondrial ribosome genes specifically down-regulated by Pt-ttpy 925 and the overall count of genes for mitochondrial ribosome 28S and 39S subunits (e) A heatmap 926 analysis was conducted to visualize the expression levels of mitochondrial ribosome genes 927 specifically down-regulated by Pt-ttpy in the UT, cisplatin, and Pt-ttpy treatment groups. The data 928 was sorted and visualized by raw-normalized values. (f) Pt-ttpy specifically downregulates mt 929 ribosome genes show high enrichment of G4 distribution mostly in the promoter region from various 930 databases (5, 39).



933 Figure 6. Pt-ttpy impairs G4 high enriched nuclear-encoded mt ribosome genes' transcription 934 initiation and elongation and dampens specific mt ribosome function of translation in A2780 treated 935 cells. (a) RT-qPCR experiments confirmed Pt-ttpy show a broad inhibition of MT ribosome gene 936 expression. Data is represented as mean ± SEM (n=3). (b) Up: IGV visualization of MPV17L2 and 937 MRPS18C genes containing high abundance of G4 sequences in the TSS regions from the latest 938 DNA G4 databases (39). And five pairs of primers were designed in around 1.5Kb TSS region and 939 CUT&RUN experiments were performed to detect transcription and elongation factors binding. 940 Down: Pt-ttpy significantly reduced the occupancy of TAF1 (general transcription factor TFIID 941 subunit) and NELFB (Pol II-associated NELF complex member B) at the promoter and its 942 surrounded regions of mt ribosome genes MPV17L2 and MRPS18C. The data were expressed as 943 the mean ± SEM (n=3). P values were calculated by 2way ANOVA analysis between DMSO and 944 Pt-ttpy groups: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ****P < 0.0001. (c) Left up: Schematic illustration of studying 945 flow of mt ribosome function by the Click-chemistry based IF assay. Left: down: Single-cell 946 quantification showed Pt-ttpy significantly inhibited mitochondrial translation, DMSO group (>200), 947 Pt-ttpy group (n>200). Data represents three independent experiments. Right: represented figures 948 of fluorescent imaging of the mitochondria under Pt-ttpy and DMSO treatments. A Positive control 949 of blocking the synthesis of mitochondrial proteins by chloramphenicol was also presented. Line 950 indicates the median Flu. intensity value, P values were calculated toward the DMSO group: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ****P < 0.0001, unpaired t-Student test. 951



954 Figure 7. In vivo: A2780 nude mice xenograft tumor model suggests that Pt-ttpy, not cisplatin, 955 significantly inhibits the mRNA levels of both nuclear-encoded mt ribosome genes expression and 956 mt both light and heavy chains-encoded genes and downregulates mt protein MT-CO1 by tumor 957 tissue samples, DMSO group (n=5), Pt-ttpy group (n=5), and cisplatin group (n=6). (a) Tumor 958 growth curves of nude mice under the treatments of DMSO, Pt-ttpy and cisplatin, respectively (b) 959 RT-qPCR quantification of mRNA levels of mitochondrial ribosome-related genes in tumor tissues. 960 (c) RT-qQCR quantification of mRNA levels of genes-encoded by both mitochondrial light and 961 heavy chains. (d) Western blot results of MT-CO1 Protein expression levels, n=3. (e) Graph show the quantification of MT-CO1 protein levels after normalizing the data to DMSO group. (f) g-PCR 962 963 quantification of mt gene copy number changes by different primers located in different mt gene 964 region in tumor tissues. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM of three biological replicates. P values 965 were calculated by unpaired t-test: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ****P < 0.0001.

Supporting Information for

A G-quadruplex-binding platinum complex induces cancer mitochondrial dysfunction *in vitro* and *in vivo* independently of ROS induction

Keli Kuang^{a1}, Chunyan Li^{a1}, Fatlinda Maksut^{b, c}, Deepanjan Ghosh^{b,c}, Robin Vinck^d, Maoling Wang^a, Joël Poupon^e, Run Xiang^f, Wen Li^g, Fei Li^a, Zhu Wang^a, Junrong Du^a, Marie-Paule Teulade-Fichou^{b,c}, Gilles Gasser^d, Sophie Bombard^{b,c*} and Tao Jia^{a,b,c*}

- a Key Laboratory of Drug-Targeting and Drug Delivery System of the Education Ministry and Sichuan Province, Sichuan Engineering Laboratory for Plant-Sourced Drug and Sichuan Research Center for Drug Precision Industrial Technology, West China School of Pharmacy, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, China.
- b CNRS-UMR9187, INSERM U1196, PSL-Research University, 91405 Orsay, France
- c CNRS-UMR9187, INSERM U1196, Université Paris Saclay, 91405 Orsay, France
- d Chimie ParisTech, PSL University, CNRS, Institute of Chemistry for Life and Health Sciences, Laboratory for Inorganic Chemical Biology, F-75005 Paris, France.
- e Hôpital Lariboisière (AP-HP), Laboratoire de Toxicologie Biologique, 2 rue Ambroise Paré, 75475 Paris, France
- f Department of Thoracic Surgery, Sichuan Clinical Research Center for Cancer, Sichuan Cancer Hospital & Institute, Sichuan Cancer Center, Affiliated Cancer Hospital of University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, China.
- g Department of Medical Oncology, Cancer Center, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

Keli Kuang¹ and Chunyan Li¹ are considered joint first author.

*Corresponding author:

Tao Jia*Email: taojia86@scu.edu.cn;Sophie Bombard*Email: Sophie.bombard@curie.fr

This PDF file includes:

Supporting text Figures S1 to S11 Tables S1 SI References

Supporting Information Text

Materials and Methods

qPCR-based method for detection of the mt DNA lesion

Investigating mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) damage in the treatment of different metallic complexes at their IC₈₀ concentrations for 96 hours was performed as previously described protocol (1). Generally, total DNA was purified using DNA Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Germany) from cells under indicated drug treatments. DNA quantity was determined by NanoDrop (Themo Fisher). The isolated DNA showed a high purity (A260/ A280>1.8) and was stored at -20°C. The primers used for real time amplification were synthesized and HPLC-purified by Eurogentec. The primers used in this study are the ones allowing to detect mtDNA lesion in the region opposite to the D-loop which is a partially relaxed structures and consequently more fragile than other mt-DNA region: Short amplicon primers Forward: CATGCCCATCGTCCTAGAAT, Short amplicon primers Reverse: ACGGGCCCTATTTCAAAGAT; Long amplicon primers Forward: CATGCCCATCGTCCTAGAAT, Long amplicon primers Reverse: TGTTGTCGTGCAGGTAGAGG. Briefly, the PCR conditions to run long and short fragments by QuantStudio 5 real-time PCR system and the mt-DNA damage calculated as lesion per 10 kb DNA of each mt-DNA region were performed in the same manner as previously reported (1). The real-time-PCR amplification of mitochondrial DNA fragments used to detect mtDNA lesions is sensitive enough to detect 8 oxo-G induced by ROS. Consequently, the mtDNA lesions detected by cisplatin treatment could be the consequence of ROS production. In contrast, for the Pt-ttpy treatment, in the absence of ROS production, we can suggest that mtDNA lesions could be attributed to direct Pt-ttpy adducts.

Mitochondrial membrane potential assay (JC-1 assay)

Changes in the mitochondrial potential were detected by 5,50,6,60-tetrachloro-1,10,3,30-tetra ethylbenzimidazolylcarbocyanine iodide/chloride (JC-1, Biotium), a cationic dye that exhibits potential dependent accumulation in mitochondria, indicated by fluorescence emission shift from red (590 nm) to green (525 nm). A2780 cells were treated with various concentrations of cisplatin, Pt-ttpy or Pt-tpy. After 96 hours treatment, cells were re-suspended 0.5 mL of PBS containing 2 μ M final concentration of JC-1 probe and incubated at 37°C for 15 min. After washing, cells were re-suspended in PBS and analysis was performed on a BD FACSCanto II cytometer. Mitochondria containing red JC-1 aggregates in healthy cells are detectable in the PE or PI channel, and green JC-1 monomers in apoptotic cells are detectable in FITC channel.

Annexin V apoptosis detection assay with 7-AAD

The A2780 cells were plated in a 6-well plate (100 000 cells) with indicated metallic complexes Ptttpy cisplatin and Pt-tpy at their IC₈₀ concentration for 96 hours. A2780 cell death has been assessed by Annexin V-FITC and 7-AAD incorporation (Biolegend) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Flow cytometry acquisitions were obtained on a FACSCanto IITM analyzer (BD Biosciences) with the assistance of BD FACSDiva Software (BD Biosciences) and data analyzed with the FlowJo Software (Tree Star).

Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using Graphpad Prism 9.0 software (San Diego, CA). The results were presented as either mean \pm SEM or \pm SD as indicated, details of regarding the number of experimental replicates and statistical analyses methods were indicated in the figure legends.



Fig. S1. Pt-ttpy does not induce significant more DNA damage in mitochondrial genome by a semilong run real-time PCR approach (1). Quantification of mitochondrial DNA lesion per 10 kb DNA by SLR rt-PCR amplification of total DNA isolated from A2780 cells treated by Pt-ttpy, Pt-tpy and cisplatin at their IC₈₀ con. for 96h in the indicated domain.



Fig. S2. Protein quantification of different MT OXPHOS complex proteins in the treatment of A2780 with the three Pt complexes (cisplatin, Pt-ttpy and Pt-tpy). Data is represented by two independent experiments with mean \pm SEM.



Fig. S3. Impact of Pt-ttpy effects on mitochondrial DNA copy number and mitochondria-encoded protein MT-CO1 protein level. (a) IC_{50} determination of Pt-ttpy in cancer cells Cal27 and H2170 respectively. Data represents three independent experiments with the mean \pm SEM (b) qPCR quantification of different mt-DNA copy number changes under Pt-ttpy treatment in Cal27 and H2170 cells at their respective IC_{80} concentration after 1day and 4days treatments, data is presented as relative fold changes of mtDNA copy numbers for Pt-ttpy group compared to the untreated (UT) group. Data represents three independent experiments with the mean \pm SEM. P values were calculated toward the UT: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ****P < 0.0001, unpaired t-Student test. (c) left: western blot study of MT-CO1 protein in the 24h and 96 h treatment of Pt-ttpy at their respective IC_{80} concentration. Also shown is a blot of tubulin as a loading control. Right: protein quantification of MT-CO1 protein by Image J. Data is represented by two independent experiments. Data represents two independent experiments with mean \pm SEM.



Fig. S4. Flow cytometry was used to quantify mitochondrial potential changes by the staining of JC1. Cell population in different quadrants with Q3 representing mitochondrial membrane potential loss (green monomer JC-1) in Pt-tpy, Pt-ttpy and cisplatin treated cells at their IC₅₀ and IC₈₀ concentration for 96 hours in A2780 cells.



Fig. S5. Flow cytometry of the Annexin V apoptosis assay showing an increase of cells in Q1 (FITC+/7-AAD-) for early apoptosis after treatments with the three Pt complexes at their IC_{80} concentration for 96h. Data are expressed as mean ± SD of two biological replicates.



Fig. S6. Flow cytometry analysis of mitochondrial specific ROS production under the treatments of A2780 with the three Pt complexes. complexes (cisplatin, Pt-ttpy and Pt-tpy) at their IC_{80} con. for 96h.



Fig. S7. Flow cytometry analysis of general ROS production under the treatments of of A2780 with the three Pt complexes (cisplatin, Pt-ttpy and Pt-tpy) at 10μ M con. for 24h. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM of three biological replicates. P values were calculated by unpaired t-test: *P < 0.05.



Fig. S8. IGV(2) showing the mt ribosome-related genes *MRPS18C* and *MPV17L2* expression, as well as the peak distribution of Pt-ttpy and cisplatin γ -H2AX IPs over the untreated γ -H2AX IP.



Fig. S9. UP: represented figures of fluorescent imaging of the mitochondria under Pt-ttpy and DMSO treatments in Hela cells. A Positive control of blocking the synthesis of mitochondrial proteins by chloramphenicol was also presented. Down: Single-cell quantification showed Pt-ttpy significantly inhibited mitochondrial translation, DMSO group (>50), Pt-ttpy group (n>50). Data represents two independent experiments. P values were calculated toward the DMSO group: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ****P < 0.0001, unpaired t-Student test.



Fig. S10. cisplatin exhibited more toxicity to kidney, as compared with Pt-ttpy. (a) Body weight curve of mice under the treatments of DMSO, Pt-ttpy and cisplatin. (b) Index of the major tissues, including kidney, liver, and heart, post indicated treatments. Data is represented as mean \pm SEM. (c) The Pt-ttpy and cisplatin groups exhibited turbid staining, edema (\downarrow), indistinct intercellular boundaries, and uneven nuclear staining in the epithelial cells of renal proximal tubules, with the cisplatin group showing a more pronounced manifestation of these characteristics. The images are represented for three mice in each group.



Fig. S11. cisplatin induced more toxicity to liver as compared with Pt-ttpy. Up: full scan of liver post indicated treatments. Down: Pt-ttpy induced neutrophil infiltration (N) in some areas without hepatocyte apoptosis, and cisplatin induced massive inflammation (I) around portal vein and blood vessels with enlarged sinusoidal space and vascular congestion (V). The images are represented for three mice in each group.

Table S1. A list of Primers for PCR

Gene	Fw sequence (5'→3')	Rv sequence (5'→3')
Actin	TCACCCACACTGTGCCCATCTACGA	CAGCGGAACCGCTCATTGCCAATGG
12S	TAGCCCTAAACCTCAACAGT	TGCGCTTACTTTGTAGCCTTCAT
tRNA-	CACCCAAGAACAGGGTTTGT	TGGCCATGGGTATGTTGTTA
Leu(UUR)		
ND4	TCTGTGCTAGTAACCACGTTC	AAAACCCGGTAATGATGTCG
COX III	CCACTCCTAAACACATCCGTA	GCCAATAATGACGTGAAGTCC
mt-deletion	TTCCTCATCACCCAACTAAAAA	TTCGATGATGTGGTCTTTGG
COXI	AATAGGAGCTGTATTTGCCAT	AGAAAGTTAGATTTACGCCGAT
Cytb	TTATTGACTCCTAGCCGCAGA	TAGTACGGATGCTACTTGTCCA
ND6	ATATACTACAGCGATGGCTA	AATCCTACCTCCATCGCTA
AURKAIP1	GCAAAAACGTGCTGAAGATCCGC	GCCTCAGGTCTTTCTCGAACTTG
DHX30	GAGCACTACCTAGAGGACATCC	CGATGTGCAGAACCAGATCAGTC
ERAL1	CTGGACCACTTCCTCGGATTCT	TCAGGGTGATGGACCAAGAGGA
GUF1	GATTCCAGTGTGACCGTTCATCG	TTCTTGCTCCAGTCGCTGGTTG
MPV17L2	AGGGTCAGACAGTGGGTGAGAG	GCCGTTGATGTAGGTGACTCGA
MRM2	GTGAACGAGAGGCACCAGATTC	CAAGCACGAAGCCAACAGGAGA
MRM3	AAGAGTCTGGGCTTCGCTACGA	AGAGCGTCTGAAATGAGCCTGC
MRPL12	CTACATCCAAGGCATCAACCTCG	GATCTTCTCCGCCTCAGCTTTG
MRPL15	AGGTGTGACCATCCAGCCACTT	GCAGCAATAGCTAGTTCTGAAGC
MRPL18	TGGCACAGAGATGCTTAGAGGC	CACACCACCTTCTGTCATGGCA
MRPL20	TACAGCTGCTAGCCAGGAACATG	CAGATCCGCTAGGACTTTCCTG
MRPL32	GGTGTAGGAGAAGAAATCCGCAG	GCACACCTTTTCATAGCAGTAGG
MRPL36	AGCAGTGCGCTCACTTCTCTCA	CCTCTTCACCAGGTAACAGTCC
MRPL37	ACAGACCTGGACTGTAACGAGG	CTCTGGCTTGAAACCAACTGGG
MRPL38	TGTTCCACGGTGCCACCTTTGT	CTCTTCTGCCTCATAGGTCACC
MRPL41	TCAAGCCCTACGTGAGCTACCT	AGGTTGTCAGGGTCGAAGGTAC
MRPL44	TCCAGAAGGAGTTAGAGCGGCA	GGAAAAGTTTTCCTGTAACCGATG
MRPL46	CCTTCGAGGAACAGCTGAACGA	CGAGGTTACTCTCTGTCCGCAT
MRPL49	CAGTTTGTGGAGCGCCTGTTAC	TTGTGCATCCGAGAGCGTCGTA
MRPL52	GAGCTCCCAGACTGGTCATATG	CAGCGTCCATTTCCTGTGACAG
MRPS11	CCTTTGCTTCCTGTGGCACAGA	GCCTTTCACCACAACTCGGATG
MRPS18C	CAGGTATCCAGCAATGAGGACC	GCATCCAGTAAATGGAGAAACAAAC
MRPS30	GGCTTTTGAGACAAAACTGTGCTG	GGTCGAGTAACATCTGCTTCACT
MRPS5	GAAGAGTGTTCTCGGCAATGGC	TACTGCTGGCTCATCAGGTGAC
MRPL43	GAGGAGAGCATCCACTGCAA	CCTGGATGCTAGGGTTGTCG
MRPS24	TGAGGCAGTTGTCTCCACAC	ACACAACCTTTGAGGGCACA
MRPL27	CGGACAGCCGTTACATCCTT	GCCTGATGACTTTCCACCGA
MRPS26	GCTGGCCAAATCCAAGATCG	CTGGTAACGCTCCATCAGCA
MRPL34	CACTGCGGATATGGCTGTCT	TGATACTCATTCCCGCGAGC
MRPL11	AGGCGTTTCCATCAACCAGT	CTGCCACCTCTTTCCCTGTT
MPV17L2(1)	CAGGCAGTAGTTTGCGACTC	TGCTGGGATGATGATAGGCG

MPV17L22	GCCACAGATCACATCCCTTCT	TGGTTGCCCTACGTATTTCCA
MPV17L2③	CGGGACGTAACGCAATTCTT	TGACCAATAAAAGGCAGGCG
MPV17L2④	GTTCCTTGGTTCCTGAGGGC	AGCGTGTTAGTGACGAGCAG
MPV17L2(5)	ACGCTGCTTAGTCCTTCACC	AGTCGGGTGTCGGGATCAAA
MRPS18C(1)	CTTAACTGCTGCGTGCACAA	TCGTTACCACTGTTAATCGGCA
MRPS18C2	CAAGTCCTCCGTACCTGGTC	GGACCTCCTACGTCACATGC
MRPS18C3	ACCGGAAGCACGCATAAACT	GCAGGTCACGTGAGGCTTAT
MRPS18C④	TTGCTGTTTGCGGTGGTCTA	GCGCTACAATGGAGCATAGGA
MRPS18C(5)	AAGGGACATTTCCAGAGTCGC	AAAGTAACAGACCCGTCCCAG

SI References

- 1. O. Rothfuss, T. Gasser, N. Patenge, Analysis of differential DNA damage in the mitochondrial genome employing a semi-long run real-time PCR approach. *Nucleic Acids Res* **38**, e24 (2010).
- 2. J. T. Robinson, H. Thorvaldsdottir, D. Turner, J. P. Mesirov, igv.js: an embeddable JavaScript implementation of the Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV). *Bioinformatics* **39** (2023).