Fast and accurate modeling of thermoset fracture by active learning quantum-chemical bond scission

Zheng Yu and Nicholas E. Jackson*

1

2

Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois, 61801,

USA

E-mail: jacksonn@illinois.edu

Abstract

A molecular understanding of thermoset fracture is crucial for enhancing performance and 3 durability across applications. However, achieving accurate atomistic modeling of thermoset 4 fracture remains computationally prohibitive due to the high cost associated with quantum me-5 chanical methods for describing bond breaking. In this work, we introduce an active learning 6 (AL) framework for our recently developed machine-learning based adaptable bond topology 7 (MLABT) model that uses datasets generated via density functional theory (DFT) calcula-8 tions that are both minimalistic and informative. Employing MLABT integrated with AL and 9 DFT, we explore fracture behavior in highly crosslinked thermosets, assessing the variations 10 in fracture behavior induced by system temperature, temperature fluctuations, strain rate, cool-11 ing rate, and degree of crosslinking. Notably, we discover that while fracture is minimally 12 affected by temperature, it is strongly influenced by strain rate, suggesting the absence of the 13 time-temperature superposition in thermoset plasticity. Furthermore, while the structural dis-14 parities introduced by different network annealing rates influence the elastic properties, they 15

are inconsequential for thermoset fracture. In contrast, network topology emerges as the dom inant determinant of fracture, influencing both the ultimate strain and stress. The integration
 of MLABT with the AL framework paves the way for efficient and DFT-accurate modeling
 of thermoset fracture, providing an affordable and accurate approach for calculating polymer
 network fracture across chemical space.

21 Introduction

Thermosets, characterized by the presence of irreversible polymer crosslinks and enhanced me-22 chanical properties, are foundational to numerous technological applications ranging from auto-23 motive components and aerospace structures to medical devices and protective coatings.^{1–3} The 24 robust nature of thermosets along with their adaptability have ushered in new horizons for mate-25 rial innovations.^{4,5} Central to maximizing the potential of thermosets in these domains is an in-26 depth understanding of their fracture behaviors.^{6–10} Recent advancements in computational tools 27 and experimental techniques have provided insights into the fracture of thermosets.¹¹⁻¹⁸ How-28 ever, the atomic-scale processes governing these behaviors remain less explored. Delving into 29 this atomic realm promises not only enhanced material predictability but also the prospect of tai-30 lored design.^{19,20} Nevertheless, this pursuit presents formidable challenges: accurate atomic-level 31 modeling of thermoset fracture necessitates substantial computational resources, especially when 32 elucidating intricate bond breakage phenomena with quantum mechanical (QM) methods.²¹ As 33 the demand for higher performance materials grows, overcoming these challenges and obtaining a 34 more comprehensive understanding of thermoset fracture at the molecular scale is imperative. 35

In our previous study, we introduced the Machine Learning based Adaptable Bonding Topology (MLABT) framework, an approach tailored for atomistic simulations of thermosets under large deformation.²² MLABT circumvents limitations of classical molecular dynamics (MD) simulations by itegrating a machine learning (ML) algorithm for detection and execution of bond-breaking events (with near QM accuracy) with any underlying classical force-field. Compared to existing methods combining MD and QM,²¹ MLABT exhibits an approximately two orders of magnitude improved computational efficiency, coupled with heightened sensitivity to rare bond-breaking
events at low strains. This blend of speed and accuracy created by augmenting classical forcefields accurate at low strain with QM-quality bond-breaking detection renders MLABT a robust
and chemically general tool for probing strain hardening and material failure dynamics in polymer
networks.

While the development of MLABT is promising for modeling thermoset fracture, it is not with-47 out its challenges. First and foremost, generating a sufficient volume of training data using QM 48 calculations is resource-intensive. Moreover, provided the rare nature of bond-breaking events, 49 bond evaluations are primarily confined to a narrow strain-hardening window for efficiency rea-50 sons. Second, existing MLABT training data derives from configurations possessing intact cross-51 linked topologies, rather than those emerging *in situ* during fracture. These constraints, revolving 52 around inefficient and insufficient configurational sampling, curtail the full potential of MLABT 53 in offering a computationally efficient and molecularly detailed modeling paradigm for thermoset 54 fracture. Recognizing these limitations, the field of active learning (AL),^{23,24} defined by its ability 55 to iteratively refine and expand training datasets, appears an apt fit for these challenges.^{25,26} 56

In this work we integrate AL into MLABT simulations. This provides two concerted benefits 57 for MLABT models: the ability (i) to systematically navigate the vast configurational space of 58 thermoset fracture and (ii) to employ higher accuracy (i.e. more computationally costly) density 59 functional theory (DFT) calculations that better capture the physics of bond breaking, by virtue 60 of using nearly an order of magnitude less training data than previously. Empowered by this 61 AL-DFT MLABT model, we conduct the first comprehensive exploration of thermoset fracture 62 behaviors using a DFT-accurate bond-breaking model. We scrutinize how the stress-strain behav-63 iors as well as bond breakages are modulated by experimental factors including temperature, strain 64 rate, cooling rate, and the degree of crosslinking. The results from our MLABT analysis reveal 65 that strain rates have a more pronounced effect on bond breakages than temperature, suggesting 66 that the time-temperature superposition principle does not hold for thermoset plasticity. Impor-67 tantly, network topology appears to be more influential than the stability of the glassy structure in 68

determining fracture behavior. Furthermore, we demonstrate that thermoset stress-strain behavior
exhibits small variance under thermal fluctuations, indicating a certain degree of degeneracy in network fracture. Considering that MLABT leverages the DFT accuracy for bond breaking in fracture
modeling, yet doesn't capture the brittle failure seen in experiments, we conjecture that embracing
larger spatiotemporal scales in modeling will be essential for better alignment with experiments.

74 Methods

75 MLABT simulation



Figure 1: Schematic of the Machine-Learning-based Adaptable Bonding Topology (MLABT) method. MLABT can efficiently predict and perform bond breaking on-the-fly in MD simulations with near quantum-chemical accuracy.²²

⁷⁶ MLABT is a method incorporated on-the-fly with classical MD (e.g. OPLS, Amber) to accu-⁷⁷ rately describe quantum-chemically accurate bond breaking at dramatically reduced cost, with a ⁷⁸ focus on the modeling of thermoset deformation and fracture.²² As illustrated in Fig. 1, MLABT ⁷⁹ scans all potentially breakable bond types in the classical MD simulation and predicts bond rupture ⁸⁰ based on the local structures. If a bond breaks, the corresponding topology is automatically mod-⁸¹ ified and MD continues until the next bond breaks. We develop MLABT in an archetypal epoxy ⁸² polymer network, diglycidyl ether of bisphenol A (DGEBA) cured by methylene dianiline (MDA),
⁸³ but the approach is chemically generalizable.

We employ similar simulation parameters as described in our previous work.²² Specifically, a 84 cubic box containing 432 DGEBA and 216 MDA molecules (27,432 atoms in total) is utilized with 85 periodic boundary conditions in three dimensions. Bonding topologies of networks are generated 86 dynamically by simulating curing reactions in MD, resulting in degrees of crosslinking ranging 87 from 77% to 98%. Structures are melted at 800 K for 200 ps and then quenched to 300 K with 88 a constant annealing rate ranging from 0.1 K/ps to 100 K/ps. The obtained glassy structures are 89 then used as initial conditions for MLABT deformation simulations. Only uniaxial deformations 90 are considered in this work. During deformations, the simulation box is deformed every 1 ps at 91 a strain rate of 4×10^9 /s and the atomic coordinates are remapped accordingly. The two trans-92 verse directions are allowed to relax under P=1 atm to avoid the accumulation of artificial stress. 93 We apply the Optimized Potentials for Liquid Simulations All Atoms (OPLS-AA) force-field with 94 the Large-scale Atomic/Molecular Massively Parallel Simulator (LAMMPS) in all MD simula-95 tions.^{27,28} Simulated glass transition temperature, density, and elastic properties are all in good 96 agreement with experiments and previous simulations. 11,29-31 97

Central to MLABT is the ML model tasked with predicting bond breakages by analyzing the 98 bond's instantaneous surroundings. To characterize the local structure, we employ the Smooth 99 Overlap of Atomic Positions (SOAP) descriptor, representing a Gaussian smeared local atomic 100 density based on spherical harmonics and radial basis functions.^{32–34} We apply the support vector 101 machine (SVM) with the radial basis function kernel as the classifier.³⁵ More details can be found 102 in the reference.²² Considering the additional cost of ML prediction that requires the computation 103 of the SOAP vectors for all the relevant atoms, we perform the scanning of bond breaking every 104 0.001 true strain. In our testing, as long as the evaluation frequency is greater than one check every 105 $\Delta \varepsilon = 0.01$, no evident difference is observed in the resultant deformation behavior, as illustrated 106 in Fig. S3 of the Supporting Information. In addition, due to the instability of the structures with 107 broken bonds simulated with OPLS-AA, a timestep of 0.25 fs is utilized in MLABT simulations. 108

Tuning force fields or adding hydrogens to broken bonds could solve this instability issue, but is not performed in the present study.

III Iterative MLABT-based active learning

To improve the generalization ability of MLABT across the entire fracture process, the ML model 112 in this work undergoes iterative refinement, enriched progressively by the incorporation of AL. AL 113 is a data-driven methodology primarily aimed at optimizing the process of data labeling and model 114 training.³⁶ Distinct from traditional ML frameworks, where a model is trained on a pre-labeled 115 dataset, AL centers on the model actively selecting the most informative data points from a vast 116 unlabeled pool of data.^{37,38} Here, we harness the power of AL to elucidate the fracture behaviors 117 of thermosets, minimizing costs of QM computations while maximizing predictive generalization 118 ability at diverse deformation conditions. 119

The overall AL workflow is illustrated in Fig. 2. We start sampling highly strained configura-120 tions in MD simulations and extracting the local structures that potentially contain broken bonds 121 for QM geometry optimization.²² Data pre-screening requires an artificial threshold based either 122 on bond length or stretching energy, which could limit the applicability of the ML model in early 123 bond breaking prediction. To achieve a high fidelity model, we utilize the more accurate DFT 124 method PBEh-3c that improves upon our previous work using the semi-empirical tight-binding 125 method GFN2-xTB.^{22,39} Due to the increased computational cost of PBEh-3c, our computational 126 budget permitted generating a smaller initial training dataset, containing around 5,000 data points. 127 The initial SVM model is fit using 80% of the data and applied to kickstart the AL campaign. 128

To include representative and diverse local structures during deformation into the model training, we employ an iterative pool-based AL strategy, as illustrated in Fig. 2. In each AL iteration, we collect all inputs (SOAP vectors) of the potentially breakable bonds (around 4,000 bonds per frame) in all frames (around 1,250 frames per trajectory) of a MLABT trajectory, which is generated based on the latest re-trained ML model, as an unlabeled data pool. Note that this step takes no additional computational cost since SOAP vectors of these bonds were already computed while



Figure 2: Workflow of the iterative active learning framework for MLABT.

performing MLABT simulations. By doing this, the unlabeled data pool in one iteration already 135 contains comprehensive information of in situ chemical bond local environments within a broad 136 range of strains throughout the thermoset fracture process. To further improve the model's gener-137 alization ability, we introduce some variations in conditions of MLABT simulations during the AL 138 iterations. In the second iteration, we include simulations at various temperatures from 100 K to 139 400 K. In the third iteration, we include simulations starting from initial structures with different 140 bonding topologies. In the fourth iteration, we include simulations with different strain rates and 141 with initial structures in different degrees of crosslinking (78% to 98%). Over all iterations, around 142 85 million unlabeled data instances are collected cumulatively for AL querying. 143

Active learning query strategy

To select the most informative data instances (local configurations) from the large pool of unlabeled data for DFT labeling, we use uncertainty sampling, along with the SVM classifier. In the context of SVM, uncertainty sampling can be intuitively understood by examining the decision function for each prediction.^{40,41} For a data point, the absolute value of the decision function |f(x)| = $|\sum_{i}^{N} \alpha_{i} \gamma_{i} \kappa(x_{i}, x) + b|$ represents its distance to the decision boundary, where $\kappa(x_{i}, x) = \langle \phi(x_{i}) \phi(x) \rangle$ is a kernel function and $\alpha_i \gamma_i \phi(x_i)$ forms a weight vector. The smaller this absolute value, the closer the data point is to the decision boundary, which indicates a higher level of uncertainty. Thus, querying data points with the smallest absolute decision functions maximizes the information gain, refining our model with each iteration.

However, an inherent challenge emerges when adopting this approach: as our model and data 154 evolve across iterations, the absolute values of the decision function can shift, rendering them non-155 comparable across different AL cycles. This poses a problem when trying to maintain a consistent 156 measure of uncertainty across multiple iterations. To circumvent this challenge, we employ Platt 157 scaling-a method wherein a logistic regression model is trained using the decision function's 158 outputs.⁴² Through this process, the SVM's raw decision values are transformed into calibrated 150 probabilities, providing a consistent measure of uncertainty irrespective of the active learning it-160 eration. Within our binary classification context P(1|x) = 1 - P(-1|x), the uncertainty associated 161 with each instance x (SOAP vectors) is defined as 162

$$u(x) = 1 - \max(P(1|x), P(-1|x)) = 0.5 - |P(1|x) - 0.5|$$
(1)

¹⁶³ Under this formulation, data points with probabilities closer to 0.5 are deemed to have maximum ¹⁶⁴ uncertainty, as they lie in regions where the model is most uncertain about its classifications.

Using the outlined query strategy, bonds with maximum uncertainty are identified within each 165 snapshot of the MLABT simulation. To regulate the number of bonds selected in each AL iter-166 ation, we apply an uncertainty threshold of 0.05. For every selected bond, its local environment 167 is extracted from the large MD configuration. This isolated environment is then subjected to full 168 optimization via DFT calculations, as in the initial dataset generation.⁴³ Each batch of labeled data 169 from the AL is partitioned into a training set (comprising 80% of the data) and a testing set (ac-170 counting for the remaining 20%). Subsequently, the SVM model is retrained, incorporating both 171 the initial dataset and the cumulative new AL training data. Test data are composed of both the 172 initial data and the cumulative AL test data, as well as unseen data from a new MLABT trajectory 173

(with maximum uncertainty in every snapshot) based on the final model. Detailed results on the
 model convergence are presented in the subsequent section.

Results



Active learning performance

Figure 3: (a) Max uncertainty of bond breaking prediction in MLABT simulations after AL iterations. 'Model 0' denotes the model trained by the initial data, 'Model 1' and 'Model 4' denotes the updated models after the first and fourth AL iteration, respectively. (b) Initial data and AL data visualized by principle component analysis of the SOAP vectors. The red points represent bonds that are found broken in DFT calculations. The evident difference in data distributions demonstrates that AL explores diverse regions in feature space that are distinct from the initial sampling.

The AL framework in this work is based on the query strategy of uncertainty sampling, as detailed 178 in the Methods section. Since bond breaking events are rare even in material fracture, the majority 179 of bond breaking uncertainties are simply zero, even for the initial ML model with a small dataset, 180 as shown in Fig. S1 of the Supporting Information. However, the maximum uncertainty during the 181 deformation could be high if the bond instance lies closer to the poorly trained decision boundary. 182 As shown in Fig. 3A, the initial model shows high peaks in uncertainty around strains of 0.5-183 0.6, where the bonds start to break, and around strain of 0.9-1.3, where the bonds break rapidly 184 and the resulting stress reaches a maximum. The strain region in between has relatively lower 185 uncertainty, because it is where the initial data are generated. The uncertainty for larger strains 186 (>1.3) decreases, due to weaker interaction between strained bonds in the system that is poorly 187 crosslinked. Nevertheless, the bonds with maximum uncertainty above a threshold of 0.05 in each 188 snapshot are selected, and their local configurations are optimized with DFT to determine bond 189 breaking. 190

Next, we compare the local environments of the AL selected bonds with the bonds in the initial 191 dataset, which are selected by bond stretching energy from configurations in a narrow range of 192 strain, in the reduced dimensions by principle component analysis (PCA). The linear transforma-193 tion (coefficients) is constructed based on the SOAP vectors of the bonds in the initial dataset. As 194 shown in Fig. 3B, the local environments in the initial dataset form four separated clusters. The 195 right two clusters are associated with the 'CT-CA' bonds (connecting the sp3 carbon and the aro-196 matic carbon) located on both DGEBA and MDA, and the left two clusters are associated with the 197 'CT-CT' bonds on DGEBA. Note that only those 'CT-CT' bonds on the DGEBA backbone (the 198 bottom left cluster) are breakable in deformation, while those on DGEBA side chains (the top left 199 cluster) are not. We could remove those from training data, but in this work, they are kept to en-200 hance generalizability. On the other hand, the local environments selected by AL are scattered over 201 the principal component space and distributed densely in regions between the clusters. This result 202 demonstrates the ability of AL to explore the diverse feature space that is unseen in the initial data. 203 As such, including these AL environments in the model training can improve the generalization 204

²⁰⁵ ability of MLABT for simulating thermoset deformations under diverse conditions.



Figure 4: (a) $f_{0.5}$ and geometric mean of the ML model as trained after each AL iteration. (b) Comparison of stress-strain curves simulated by AL-DFT MLABT model and with the xTB model at 300 K. The xTB model overestimates the ultimate stress, compared to the AL-DFT MLABT model and previous simulations.⁴⁴ The shadow regions represent the standard deviations induced by randomness in initial velocity generation over three independent trajectories (same for subsequent figures).

Figure 4A shows the performance of the ML model on the test set after each AL iteration. The selection of the scoring metrics considering the imbalanced classification was discussed in previous work.^{22,45} It can be seen that the initial model exhibits an excellent geometry mean, whereas $f_{0.5}$ is relatively low, indicating a higher rate of false positives on regions outside of the initial training region. Once the model is updated with the AL selected data from the entire strain range using varied deformation and temperatures, $f_{0.5}$ improves immediately while geometric mean remains almost unchanged. With more AL iterations including deformations using various strain rates

and configurations with various degrees of crosslinking, the performance of the model remains 213 almost unchanged, whereas the maximum uncertainty decreases evidently (Fig. 3). After the 214 fourth AL iteration, the maximum uncertainty is almost zero before the first bond breaking, and 215 it remains above 0.15 only in a narrow strain range around 1.2. This performance is reflected 216 in the distribution of prediction uncertainty, as shown in Fig. S1B and S1C of the Supporting 217 Information. In addition, the number of bonds in the same deformation trajectory with uncertainty 218 above 0.05 decreases with more AL iterations, but the rate of decrease slows after the second 219 iteration, as shown in Fig. S2A of the Supporting Information. These features all suggest that the 220 model in the AL framework is converging. To confirm the convergence, we apply the models after 221 each iteration in MLABT simulations with identical initial conditions (positions, velocities). The 222 results of the models after the second iterations are very similar, especially at $\varepsilon < 1.5$, as shown 223 in the Fig. S2B of Supporting Information. As such, we end the AL campaign and employ the 224 model after the fourth iteration as the final model (denoted as 'AL-DFT') in this work for further 225 investigation. 226

MLABT simulations with the new AL-DFT MLABT model provide more accurate results on 227 thermoset fracture than with the previously reported 'xTB' model. As shown in the stress-strain 228 curves (SSC) in Fig. 4B, although the two models produce similar strains for the fracture initiation 229 (the first bond breaking), the ultimate stress, and the material failure, the ultimate stress of AL-230 DFT MLABT is roughly one half of that of xTB, showing improved agreement with previous 231 simulations (1-3 GPa).⁴⁴ This reduced ultimate stress is induced by an increase of broken bonds 232 and already appears in the initial model, suggesting that the GFN2-xTB method compared to DFT 233 underestimates the probability of bond breaking. In addition, we find that simple models only 234 using bond length as the breakage criterion, as reported in earlier simulations, ^{21,46} delay early bond 235 breaking events and fail to model the necking regime after the ultimate stress, as shown in Fig. S4 236 of the Supporting Information. Furthermore, the shadow regions in Fig. 4B shows the standard 237 deviation caused by randomness in velocity initialization, i.e., random seeds in generating initial 238 atom velocities from the Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution. Although the specific broken bonds 239

and locations are different, the overall variance in the SSC during strain hardening is small. This 240 suggests that there is some degeneracy in fracture initiation sites during thermoset deformation that 241 result from velocity initialization, but they weakly affect the overall mechanical properties. The 242 variation of ultimate stress and failure is stronger, probably due to the accumulated differences 243 in bond breaking resulting in evident differences in the broken topology. We note that to our 244 knowledge this study represents the first QM-informed atomistic study to report error bars in stress-245 strain curves of thermoset fracture, as for traditional approaches such error bars would be too 246 computationally costly to compute. 247

248 Bond breaking during deformation



Figure 5: (a) Bond strain distribution immediately before bond breakage during MLABT simulations in the highly crosslinked system (98%) at 300 K with a strain rate of 4×10^9 /s. (b) The numbers of broken bonds in the two dominant broken bond types as a function of strain. (c) The maximum bond strains for various bond types as a function of strain.

The more accurate AL-DFT MLABT model enables investigation of the bond breaking chemistry during thermoset fracture. Figure 5A shows the distribution of bond strain, defined as $(l - l_0)/l_0$, where *l* is the instantaneous bond length and l_0 is the equilibrium bond length, immediately before bond breaking during MLABT simulations in the highly crosslinked system (98%) at 300 K with a strain rate of 4×10^9 /s. Similar results are observed at other conditions. It is surprising that the ²⁵⁴ bond breakages occur at much smaller bond strains than previously expected.⁴⁷ The maximum
²⁵⁵ value around 0.12 is even smaller than the threshold value used in Barr's method for pre-screening
²⁵⁶ local configurations that potentially contain broken bonds,²¹ suggesting that the methods based on
²⁵⁷ artificial criteria and QM calculations can delay bond breaking during deformation.

The actual types of broken bonds in MLABT simulations of thermoset fracture are found to 258 align with those revealed in QM calculations. Note that although we observed two types of broken 259 bonds in strained DGEBA+MDA by QM calculations (both DFT and xTB), it does not guarantee 260 these two types are actually broken during thermoset fracture because the local environments with 261 evolving topology could become very different. As shown in Fig. 5B, the numbers of broken bonds 262 decomposed into the two types show a consistent ratio throughout the entire fracture process. This 263 ratio, i.e., approximately 2:1 for 'CT-CT' and 'CT-CA', is in agreement with the observations in the 264 DFT calculations. This suggests that the bond breaking mechanism in epoxy thermosets remains 265 consistent during fracture, independent of global strain. Furthermore, because our ML model is 266 designed for only predicting these two types, we need to evaluate the possibility of bond breaking 267 in other bond types during fracture. Figure 5C illustrates the maximum bond strain for four types 268 of potentially broken bonds in the entire MLABT simulation. The 'CT-CT' and 'CT-CA' bonds 269 indeed exhibit the highest maximum bond strain, with 'CT-CT' frequently being slightly higher 270 than 'CT-CA'. This is consistent with the fact that only these two types were broken and that 'CT-271 CT' bonds have a higher probability of rupture. The maximum bond strain of 'CT-N' or 'CA-N' 272 are evidently lower and cannot exceed those of the two broken types in the entire fracture range, 273 confirming that they cannot break and negligibly contribute to the ML bond breaking model. 274

Fracture behaviors by MLABT

Utilizing AL-DFT MLABT simulations, we can efficiently probe the fracture behaviors of polymer networks at the atomic scale, combining the molecular precision and computational efficiency of classical MD with bond-breaking fidelity approaching that of DFT. It is imperative to recognize, however, that both the lengthscale and timescale exert significant influence on the network topology's formation and its dynamical response. We focus on extracting physical insights, specifically
 examining how the bond breaking events and fracture behaviors of polymer networks are modu lated by factors such as temperature, strain rates, cooling rates, and the degree of crosslinking.

283 Temperature effect



Figure 6: (a) Stress-strain curves of the same initial structure (98% crosslinked) simulated by AL-DFT MLABT at three temperatures with the same strain rate of 4×10^9 /s. (b)-(c) Corresponding number (b) and rate (c) of the bond breaking events during deformation.

First, we assessed the impact of temperature, held constant during deformation, on the fracture behavior of thermosets. Figure 6 displays (a) the SSC, (b) the count of broken bonds, and (c) the rate of bond breakage (as deduced from the slope in (b)) at three distinct temperatures, 100 K, 300 K, and 500 K, in MLABT simulations of a 98% crosslinked system subjected to a strain rate of 4×10^9 /s. These temperatures are all below the glass transition temperature ($T_g \sim 541$ K). In gen-

eral, bond breaking events appear temperature-insensitive, with temperature only influencing the 289 ultimate stress as a consequence of the temperature effect before yielding. Specifically, a decrease 290 in temperature enhances the elastic modulus and the corresponding yield stress, in agreement with 291 previous experiments and simulations.⁴⁸ However, the frequency of bond breakage events remain 292 consistent in the plastic regime, and consequently, the characteristic strains for fracture initiation, 293 peak stress, and ultimate failure also exhibit temperature independence. Only the stresses during 294 strain softening and hardening vary as a result of the effect on the elastic regime, which was also 295 observed in previous MD simulations without considering bond breaking.⁴⁹ These findings suggest 296 that bond rupture in amorphous polymer networks may not be characterized as a simple activation 297 reaction. The potential reason may be related to heterogeneous local stresses that arise depending 298 on the global strain and the network topology.^{50,51} Additional temperature-dependent behaviors in 290 experiments, such as increased brittleness at lower temperatures,⁵² could be attributed to factors 300 like crystallinity, entanglements, or effects occurring over extended spatiotemporal scales. 301

Moreover, we find that the resultant stress is generally correlated with the bond breakage rate, 302 a trend consistently observed across all conditions evaluated in this study. As the count of bonds 303 on the edge of breaking surges during strain hardening, the cumulative stress also rises until the 304 bond breakage rate peaks, resulting in the ultimate stress. Following this, as the bond breaking rate 305 diminishes, so does the stress, until failure ensues. The total count of bonds required to rupture the 306 thermoset is approximately 55, around 0.2% of the total bonds or 1.5% of the potentially breakable 307 bond types in the system, which is notably smaller than the number of reactions needed for network 308 gelation. 309



Figure 7: (a) Stress-strain curves of the same initial structure (98% crosslinked) simulated by MLABT with three strain rates at 300 K. (b)-(c) Corresponding number (b) and rate (c) of the bond breaking events during deformation.

Next, we investigate the effect of strain rate on the thermoset fracture behavior. As shown in Fig. 7, 311 distinct from temperature, strain rate not only strongly affects the elastic behavior but also plastic 312 and fracture behaviors. In the elastic regime, a larger strain rate results in higher elastic modulus 313 and yield stress (Fig 7A), in agreement with previous experiments and simulations.^{46,47,53–55} Thus, 314 a more noticeable strain softening regime is observed at larger strain rates. More interestingly, the 315 bond breaking occurs more rapidly at higher strain rates, although the characteristic strains for 316 fracture initiation (first bond breaking) and ultimate stress are almost independent of strain rate, 317 as shown in Fig. 7B and C. Consequently, more bonds are broken in deformation with a large 318 strain rate and the resultant ultimate stress is evidently reduced. The reason for this result is that 319

at large strain rates with little stress release, bond breakages do not occur in the most productive 320 way, i.e., breaking apart the network using as few cuts as possible. Hence, the system could 321 remain connected even though a large number of bonds have broken, and as a result, the system 322 exhibits reduced ultimate stress and behaves more ductile at large strain rates, as shown in Fig. 7A. 323 Note that this effect might converge at low strain rates, as the stress is fully relaxed once a bond 324 breaks when the associated timescale is closer to or even longer than the stress field propagation 325 time, which is supported by the smaller difference in the effect when changing the strain rate from 326 4×10^{10} /s to 4×10^{9} /s. 327

The MLABT results suggest a distinct disparity between effects of strain rate and temperature 328 within the plastic regime, although the time-temperature superposition (TTS) is well-known for 329 elucidating the viscoelastic behavior of polymers. To confirm this, we conduct three simulations 330 with various strain rates and temperatures, in which the temperatures are specifically selected to 331 neutralize the timescale difference induced by strain rates based on α relaxation times computed 332 from the self-intermediate scattering functions. As detailed in Fig. S6 of the Supporting Infor-333 mation, although the stress-strain curves are almost identical in the linear regime (excluding the 334 yielding point) as expected from the TTS of elasticity, the bond breaking behaviors in the plastic 335 regime are very different, suggesting that TTS is not obeyed in the plasticity of thermosets. 336

337 Cooling rate effect



Figure 8: (a) Stress-strain curves of polymer networks with the same topology (98% crosslinked) but generated with different annealing (cooling) rates simulated by MLABT at 300 K and with a strain rate of 4×10^{10} /s. (b)-(c) Corresponding numbers and rates of the bond breaking events during deformation.

Furthermore, we study the effect of cooling rate in the melt-quenching process for synthesizing 338 glassy thermosets on its bond breaking and fracture behavior by MLABT simulations. As glass 339 is a non-equilibrium state below the glass transition, the cooling rate and the associated timescale 340 for relaxation controls the temperature at which the supercooled liquid loses ergodicity and the 341 amorphous structure becomes "frozen".⁵⁶ Specifically, a smaller cooling rate results in a lower 342 glass transition temperature, and thereby, a thermodynamically more stable glass state, i.e., a state 343 located lower in the potential energy landscape. These more stable glasses show distinct atomic 344 structures and materials properties compared to glasses with higher cooling rates, such as higher 345

density and higher mechanical strength.^{57,58} Such a cooling rate effect is observed in the elastic 346 regime of thermosets, as shown in Fig. 8A, as the elastic modulus and yield stress increase with 347 reduced cooling rates (details summarized in Table S1 of the Supporting Information). Note that 348 in the simulations, the initial structures have identical bonding topology but only are generated by 349 different cooling rates in melt-quenching simulations initial configurations from 800 K to 300 K 350 prior to deformation. Interestingly, the cooling rate effect does not survive in the plastic behaviors. 351 As strain increases in the strain softening and the initial part of the strain hardening (till strain ~ 0.6), 352 the difference of stress induced in the elastic regime gradually disappears, suggesting that the 353 effect of the initial glassy structures diminishes. Consequently, the following bond breaking effects 354 and the fracture behavior are independent of the cooling rate, as shown in Fig. 8A-C. These 355 observations can be understood by considering that the cooling rate in general determines the 356 stability of initial glassy structures and therefore controls the elastic behavior (without structural 357 change), however, as strain increases, the strain-induced structural modulation becomes dominant 358 through the covalent bonded network, and the difference in the amorphous strained structures 359 becomes negligible. We should also note that this limited cooling rate effect is an outcome of 360 strong topological constraints of thermosets and the short length of strands used in the simulations. 361

362 Effect of crosslinking degree



Figure 9: (a) Stress-strain curves simulated by MLABT for structures with different degrees of crosslinking at 300 K and with a strain rate of 4×10^9 /s. (b)-(c) Corresponding numbers and rates of the bond breaking events during deformation.

Finally, we study how the degree of crosslinking affects bond breakage and fracture behavior of 363 thermosets by MLABT simulations. In this work, the crosslinks are formed dynamically in MD 364 simulations of curing reactions, and thus the degree of crosslinking is controlled by how long 365 the curing process runs. As shown in Fig. 9, we prepare four structures with various degrees of 366 crosslinking from 77% to 98% (all gels) and simulate their deformation responses with MLABT 367 at 300 K with a strain rate of 4×10^9 /s. Note that in this work, we do not provide statistically 368 averaged results over various bonding topologies due to computational cost, however, the structures 369 evaluated herein with the four degrees of crosslinking are generated from the same curing reaction 370 in an effort to emphasize the influence of crosslinking degree. 371

In the system with a higher degree of crosslinking, while the elastic behaviors are subtly 372 changed (due to smaller variations of crosslinking density⁵⁹), the SSC in strain hardening in-373 creases more rapidly, and reaches the ultimate stress at a smaller strain value, as shown in Fig. 374 9A. As the degree of crosslinking decreases, the ultimate stress shifts to a larger strain and the 375 peak value decreases. Specifically, when the crosslinking degree decreases from 98% to 77%, the 376 ultimate stress decreases by roughly 1/3 and the corresponding true strain increases by 1/3. This 377 is generally consistent with results of previous simulations using ReaxFF.⁴⁴ This behavior of SSC 378 can be understood from bond breakages in Fig. 9B and C. As expected, fewer bonds are broken in 379 systems with lower crosslinking degrees, consistent with the lower ultimate stress values. More-380 over, the dominant bond breaking events, indicated by the maximum rate of bond breaking, occur 381 at larger strains, accounting for the shift of ultimate strain. Depending on specific modification of 382 bonding topology, the strain of fracture initiation might shift such that it is delayed to a larger strain 383 at aa crosslinking degree of 77%. We also note that although the effect of crosslinking degree is 384 revealed here, the relationship between network fracture and network topology is intriguing yet 385 more complex (the variance induced by topology at same degrees of crosslinking is illustrated in 386 Fig. S4 of the Supporting Information), which warrants further investigation. 387

388 Discussion

The AL-DFT MLABT framework stands out as a promising approach for accurately modeling 380 thermoset fracture, as bond breaking during fracture is performed at nearly quantum chemical 390 accuracy while computational cost is kept similar to that of classical MD. Specifically, the AL 391 modification of MLABT presents two distinct advantages: i) Integration of AL enables incorpora-392 tion of diverse training data across thermodynamic and configurational conditions, improving the 393 transferability of models to new conditions. ii) By actively pinpointing the most informative data 394 for training, the AL framework is roughly an order of magnitude more efficient in the generation 395 of training data than our previous MLABT model. This heightened efficiency permits utilization 396

³⁹⁷ of more accurate (and computationally costly) QM methods, such as DFT.

Importantly, these two advantages of the AL-DFT MLABT framework manifest in the po-398 tential generalizability of the methodology across chemical space, an area where other reactive 399 models (e.g. ReaxFF) can struggle. As MLABT leverages widely-accepted classical force-fields, 400 such as OPLS and Amber, as its baseline simulation method, the high performance of common 401 quantities such as density and low strain mechanical response is ensured across a broad chemical 402 space. By adding on a QM-quality bond breaking prediction, mediated by ML, to these classical 403 simulations, the MLABT approach is inherently adaptable to new chemistries for which accurate 404 classical force-fields exist. Moreover, the ML component responsible for bond breaking is also 405 inherently adaptable as it leverages structural representations (SOAP vectors) and is informed by 406 QM calculations, making it agnostic to specific chemistries. This flexibility allows for the efficient 407 adaptation of the MLABT framework to new chemistries, a process further streamlined by the AL 408 approach introduced in this study. In contrast, empirical methods like ReaxFF demand a cumber-409 some and iterative parameterization process.⁶⁰ Transferability of the parameter sets in ReaxFF are 410 a well-known (and expected) deficiency compared to classical FF as the prediction task required 411 is more much challenging.⁶¹ Provided these advantages, MLABT may be a suitable and easily 412 implementable alternative to ReaxFF for unparameterized chemistries, especially when a trusted 413 classical FF is already known. 414

As mentioned in the Methods section, a minor limitation of the current MLABT implementa-415 tion is the necessity for a small timestep (0.25 fs as opposed to 1 fs commonly used in standard MD 416 simulations). This issue is primarily due to the instability introduced by broken bonds when using 417 classical force fields. However, this drawback can be fixed in future developments of MLABT, ei-418 ther through optimizing the force fields or by appending hydrogens to atoms involved in the bond 419 rupture. Importantly, the MLABT simulations conducted in this study did not employ these modi-420 fications, ensuring that our reported outcomes remain free from any influence of mechanoradicals 421 that could otherwise alter network dynamics and subsequent bond breakages. The role of these 422 mechanoradicals in subsequent bond breaking processes is a challenging topic reserved for future 423

424 works.

The computational efficiency of MLABT relative to other QM-informed bond-breaking ap-425 proaches also enables a number of crucial insights into the nature of fracture in epoxy thermosets. 426 Specifically, we are able to simulate multiple replicas of our networks both in phase space and 427 topology with DFT accuracy at minimal computational cost. From this added computational sam-428 pling, we observe that thermal fluctuations in the fracture process (as mediated by differing initial 429 seeds in the velocity distribution) have a small impact on the resulting fracture process until the 430 point of material failure. Although the exact bond breaking sites can differ due to randomness in 431 the initial velocity distribution, the variations in the SSC and bond breaking are small during strain 432 hardening. After the ultimate stress, these variations become larger due to accumulated topological 433 differences. 434

Importantly, the MLABT results demonstrate that the polymer network topology plays a more 435 important role in controlling fracture behavior than fine details of the polymer's glassy structure. 436 Specifically, although the elastic mechanical properties depend on the cooling rate in the melt-437 quenching of thermosets, the plastic properties and bond breakages are independent of it (Fig. 8), 438 suggesting that the influence of glassy structure blurs due to strain-induced rearrangement beyond 439 the elastic regime. On the other hand, the degree of crosslinking (Fig. 9) and the topology varia-440 tion at the same degree (Fig. S4) primarily determine the fracture behavior, such as the ultimate 441 strain/stress and the fraction of broken bonds. This again confirms the importance of topological 442 perspective for understanding the fracture mechanisms of polymer networks.^{7,9,62} 443

Lastly, our results show that time and temperature, the superposition of which is often posited to understand the viscoelastic behaviors of polymers, play different roles in the network fracture. Specifically, temperature does not affect bond breakages but slightly changes the resultant stress due to the local relaxation of glassy structures. However, the strain rate can strongly determine the strain-stress behavior by influencing the rate of bond breakages. At large strain rates, the straininduced structural evolution can propagate faster than the release of stress via network topology immediately after bond scission. As a consequence, additional "unnecessary" bonds break, result-

ing in a more fragile network with lower ultimate stress. Note that although this physical scenario 451 seems reasonable from the atomistic perspective, it cannot explain the general trend observed in 452 experiments that a decrease in temperature or an increase in strain rate leads to more brittle frac-453 ture behaviors. This point is further related to the long-recognized anomaly of ductile fracture 454 in molecular modeling of thermosets,⁶³ a phenomenon whose root cause is still under debate.⁶⁴ 455 Given that the MLABT method already offers the QM-level accuracy in bond breaking, yet still 456 demonstrates fragile fracture, and considering the revealed atomistic strain-rate effect is unlikely to 457 contribute to a brittle fracture (when extrapolated at experimental strain rates), modeling at larger 458 lengthscales is imperative to bridge the gap between experiments and simulations. One reasoning 450 is that in typical simulation lengthscales, the crosslinking density ($\sim 0.1 \text{ mole/cm}^3$) significantly 460 exceeds the experimental values (0.001-0.01 mole/cm³).^{65,66} This discrepancy hinders the forma-461 tion of polymer chain entanglements in current molecular dynamics simulations of thermosets. 462 Such entanglements could potentially expedite the fracture towards the elastic regime and exhibit 463 a distinct temperature dependence through chain reorganization.^{67–69} 464

465 Conclusion

We have combined AL with MLABT to create a framework for the atomistic modeling of ther-466 moset fracture with DFT-accuracy and classical FF cost. This integration offers heightened pre-467 dictive accuracy across thermodynamic and configurational space while simultaneously improving 468 efficiency during model training. Moreover, the adaptability of MLABT with AL enables re-460 searchers to explore polymer fracture across diverse chemistries, provided a suitable classical FF, 470 avoiding the pitfalls of cumbersome parameterization in other reactive methods. We have applied 471 the MLABT framework to understand the molecular determinants of fracture in polymer networks, 472 finding that the network topology largely dictates fracture behaviors, while the intrinsic stability 473 of the glassy structures has little influence. Interestingly, strain rate, rather than temperature, pre-474 dominantly impacts network fracture at atomic scale, deviating from conventional paradigms in 475

elasticity. Looking ahead, MLABT-based models of thermoset fracture form a potentially useful
basis for the establishment of chemically generalizable bond-breaking models applicable to a diverse set of polymer chemistries while leveraging the vast array of existing, and high-accuracy,
classical force-fields.

480 Supporting Information

⁴⁸¹ Uncertainty and convergence of the AL MLABT model, effect of MLABT bond scanning fre-⁴⁸² quency on failure, comparison of MLABT with a simple model based on bond lenths, effect of ⁴⁸³ network topology on fracture, time-temperature superposition in polymer network fracture.

484 Acknowledgement

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation Chemical Theory,
Models, and Computation division under award CHE-2154916.

487 **References**

- (1) Jayan, J. S.; Appukuttan, S.; Wilson, R.; Joseph, K.; George, G.; Oksman, K. In *Fiber Rein- forced Composites*; Joseph, K., Oksman, K., George, G., Wilson, R., Appukuttan, S., Eds.;
 Woodhead Publishing Series in Composites Science and Engineering; Woodhead Publishing,
 2021; pp 1–24.
- (2) Auvergne, R.; Caillol, S.; David, G.; Boutevin, B.; Pascault, J.-P. Biobased Thermosetting
 Epoxy: Present and Future. *Chem. Rev.* 2014, *114*, 1082–1115.
- (3) Jin, F.-L.; Li, X.; Park, S.-J. Synthesis and Application of Epoxy Resins: A Review. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry* 2015, 29, 1–11.

- (4) Post, W.; Susa, A.; Blaauw, R.; Molenveld, K.; Knoop, R. J. I. A Review on the Potential and
 Limitations of Recyclable Thermosets for Structural Applications. *Polymer Reviews* 2020,
 60, 359–388.
- (5) Gioia, C.; Lo Re, G.; Lawoko, M.; Berglund, L. Tunable Thermosetting Epoxies Based on
 Fractionated and Well-Characterized Lignins. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2018, *140*, 4054–4061.
- (6) Rottler, J. Fracture in Glassy Polymers: A Molecular Modeling Perspective. J. Phys.: Con *dens. Matter* 2009, 21, 463101.
- ⁵⁰³ (7) Barney, C. W.; Ye, Z.; Sacligil, I.; McLeod, K. R.; Zhang, H.; Tew, G. N.; Riggleman, R. A.;
- ⁵⁰⁴ Crosby, A. J. Fracture of Model End-Linked Networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy* ⁵⁰⁵ of Sciences 2022, 119, e2112389119.
- (8) Wang, S.; Panyukov, S.; Craig, S. L.; Rubinstein, M. Contribution of Unbroken Strands to
 the Fracture of Polymer Networks. *Macromolecules* 2023, *56*, 2309–2318.
- (9) Stevens, M. J. Interfacial Fracture between Highly Cross-Linked Polymer Networks and a
 Solid Surface: Effect of Interfacial Bond Density. *Macromolecules* 2001, *34*, 2710–2718.
- (10) Sbrescia, S.; Ju, J.; Creton, C.; Engels, T.; Seitz, M. Effect of Temperature, Rate, and Molec-
- ular Weight on the Failure Behavior of Soft Block Copoly(Ether–Ester) Thermoplastic Elas tomers. *Soft Matter* 2023, *19*, 5127–5141.
- (11) Ortiz, C.; Kim, R.; Rodighiero, E.; Ober, C. K.; Kramer, E. J. Deformation of a Polydomain,
- Liquid Crystalline Epoxy-Based Thermoset. *Macromolecules* **1998**, *31*, 4074–4088.
- (12) Glotzer, S. C.; Paul, W. Molecular and Mesoscale Simulation Methods for Polymer Materials.
 Annual Review of Materials Research 2002, *32*, 401–436.
- (13) Wu, C.; Xu, W. Atomistic Molecular Modelling of Crosslinked Epoxy Resin. *Polymer* 2006, 47, 6004–6009.

- (14) Zhong, M.; Wang, R.; Kawamoto, K.; Olsen, B. D.; Johnson, J. A. Quantifying the Impact of
 Molecular Defects on Polymer Network Elasticity. *Science* 2016, *353*, 1264–1268.
- (15) Odegard, G. M.; Jensen, B. D.; Gowtham, S.; Wu, J.; He, J.; Zhang, Z. Predicting Mechanical
 Response of Crosslinked Epoxy Using ReaxFF. *Chemical Physics Letters* 2014, *591*, 175–178.
- (16) Li, C.; Strachan, A. Molecular Scale Simulations on Thermoset Polymers: A Review. *Journal of Polymer Science Part B: Polymer Physics* 2015, *53*, 103–122.
- (17) Hsu, Y.-C.; Yu, C.-H.; Buehler, M. J. Using Deep Learning to Predict Fracture Patterns in
 Crystalline Solids. *Matter* 2020, *3*, 197–211.
- ⁵²⁸ (18) Buehler, M. J. Modeling Atomistic Dynamic Fracture Mechanisms Using a Progressive
 ⁵²⁹ Transformer Diffusion Model. *Journal of Applied Mechanics* 2022, 89.
- ⁵³⁰ (19) Wang, S.; Hu, Y.; Kouznetsova, T. B.; Sapir, L.; Chen, D.; Herzog-Arbeitman, A.; John-⁵³¹ son, J. A.; Rubinstein, M.; Craig, S. L. Facile Mechanochemical Cycloreversion of Polymer
- ⁵³² Cross-Linkers Enhances Tear Resistance. *Science* **2023**, *380*, 1248–1252.
- 533 (20) Zhao, X.; Chen, X.; Yuk, H.; Lin, S.; Liu, X.; Parada, G. Soft Materials by Design: Uncon-
- ventional Polymer Networks Give Extreme Properties. *Chem. Rev.* **2021**, *121*, 4309–4372.
- ⁵³⁵ (21) Barr, S. A.; Kedziora, G. S.; Ecker, A. M.; Moller, J. C.; Berry, R. J.; Breitzman, T. D. Bond
 ⁵³⁶ Breaking in Epoxy Systems: A Combined QM/MM Approach. J. Chem. Phys. 2016, 144,
 ⁵³⁷ 244904.
- (22) Yu, Z.; Jackson, N. E. Machine Learning Quantum-Chemical Bond Scission in Thermosets
 under Extreme Deformation. *Applied Physics Letters* 2023, *122*, 211906.
- (23) Lookman, T.; Balachandran, P. V.; Xue, D.; Yuan, R. Active Learning in Materials Science
 with Emphasis on Adaptive Sampling Using Uncertainties for Targeted Design. *npj Comput Mater* 2019, 5, 1–17.

- ⁵⁴³ (24) Prince, M. Does Active Learning Work? A Review of the Research. *Journal of Engineering Education* 2004, 93, 223–231.
- ⁵⁴⁵ (25) Smith, J. S.; Nebgen, B.; Lubbers, N.; Isayev, O.; Roitberg, A. E. Less Is More: Sampling
 ⁵⁴⁶ Chemical Space with Active Learning. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 2018, *148*, 241733.
- (26) Schmidt, J.; Marques, M. R. G.; Botti, S.; Marques, M. A. L. Recent Advances and Application cations of Machine Learning in Solid-State Materials Science. *npj Comput Mater* 2019, *5*, 1–36.
- ⁵⁵⁰ (27) Jorgensen, W. L.; Maxwell, D. S.; Tirado-Rives, J. Development and Testing of the OPLS
- All-Atom Force Field on Conformational Energetics and Properties of Organic Liquids. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 11225–11236.
- ⁵⁵³ (28) Thompson, A. P.; Aktulga, H. M.; Berger, R.; Bolintineanu, D. S.; Brown, W. M.;
 ⁵⁵⁴ Crozier, P. S.; in 't Veld, P. J.; Kohlmeyer, A.; Moore, S. G.; Nguyen, T. D.; Shan, R.;
 ⁵⁵⁵ Stevens, M. J.; Tranchida, J.; Trott, C.; Plimpton, S. J. LAMMPS a Flexible Simulation
 ⁵⁵⁶ Tool for Particle-Based Materials Modeling at the Atomic, Meso, and Continuum Scales.
 ⁵⁵⁷ Comp. Phys. Comm. 2022, 271, 108171.
- ⁵⁵⁸ (29) Grandbois, M.; Beyer, M.; Rief, M.; Clausen-Schaumann, H.; Gaub, H. E. How Strong Is a
 ⁵⁵⁹ Covalent Bond? *Science* 1999, 283, 1727–1730.
- (30) Garcia, F. G.; Soares, B. G.; Pita, V. J. R. R.; Sánchez, R.; Rieumont, J. Mechanical Properties
 of Epoxy Networks Based on DGEBA and Aliphatic Amines. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science* 2007, *106*, 2047–2055.
- (31) Vashisth, A.; Ashraf, C.; Bakis, C. E.; van Duin, A. C. T. Effect of Chemical Structure
 on Thermo-Mechanical Properties of Epoxy Polymers: Comparison of Accelerated ReaxFF
 Simulations and Experiments. *Polymer* 2018, *158*, 354–363.

- 566 (32) Bartók, A. P.; Kondor, R.; Csányi, G. On Representing Chemical Environments. *Phys. Rev. B* 567 2013, 87, 184115.
- (33) De, S.; Bartók, A. P.; Csányi, G.; Ceriotti, M. Comparing Molecules and Solids across Structural and Alchemical Space. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2016, *18*, 13754–13769.
- ⁵⁷⁰ (34) Himanen, L.; Jäger, M. O. J.; Morooka, E. V.; Federici Canova, F.; Ranawat, Y. S.; Gao, D. Z.;
- ⁵⁷¹ Rinke, P.; Foster, A. S. DScribe: Library of Descriptors for Machine Learning in Materials
- 572 Science. *Computer Physics Communications* **2020**, 247, 106949.
- ⁵⁷³ (35) Chang, C.-C.; Lin, C.-J. LIBSVM: A Library for Support Vector Machines. ACM transac ⁵⁷⁴ tions on intelligent systems and technology (TIST) 2011, 2, 1–27.
- ⁵⁷⁵ (36) Cohn, D. A.; Ghahramani, Z.; Jordan, M. I. Active Learning with Statistical Models. *Journal* ⁵⁷⁶ of artificial intelligence research 1996, 4, 129–145.
- 577 (37) Settles, B. Active Learning Literature Survey. 2009,
- (38) Settles, B. From Theories to Queries: Active Learning in Practice. Active Learning and Experimental Design Workshop In Conjunction with AISTATS 2010. 2011; pp 1–18.
- 580 (39) Bannwarth, C.; Ehlert, S.; Grimme, S. GFN2-xTB—An Accurate and Broadly Parametrized
- 581 Self-Consistent Tight-Binding Quantum Chemical Method with Multipole Electrostatics and
- ⁵⁸² Density-Dependent Dispersion Contributions. J. Chem. Theory Comput. **2019**, *15*, 1652– ⁵⁸³ 1671.
- (40) Schohn, G.; Cohn, D. Less Is More: Active Learning with Support Vector Machines. ICML.
 2000; p 6.
- ⁵⁸⁶ (41) Kremer, J.; Steenstrup Pedersen, K.; Igel, C. Active Learning with Support Vector Machines.
 ⁵⁸⁷ WIREs Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery 2014, 4, 313–326.
- (42) Platt, J., et al. Probabilistic Outputs for Support Vector Machines and Comparisons to Regularized Likelihood Methods. *Advances in large margin classifiers* 1999, *10*, 61–74.

- ⁵⁹⁰ (43) Neese, F.; Wennmohs, F.; Becker, U.; Riplinger, C. The ORCA Quantum Chemistry Program
 ⁵⁹¹ Package. J. Chem. Phys. 2020, 152, 224108.
- Meng, Z.; Bessa, M. A.; Xia, W.; Kam Liu, W.; Keten, S. Predicting the Macroscopic Fracture
 Energy of Epoxy Resins from Atomistic Molecular Simulations. *Macromolecules* 2016, 49,
 9474–9483.
- ⁵⁹⁵ (45) Kubát, M.; Matwin, S. Addressing the Curse of Imbalanced Training Sets: One-sided Selec ⁵⁹⁶ tion. International Conference on Machine Learning. 1997.
- Konrad, J.; Meißner, R. H.; Bitzek, E.; Zahn, D. A Molecular Simulation Approach to Bond
 Reorganization in Epoxy Resins: From Curing to Deformation and Fracture. *ACS Polym. Au* 2021, *1*, 165–174.
- Moller, J. C.; Barr, S. A.; Schultz, E. J.; Breitzman, T. D.; Berry, R. J. Simulation of Fracture
 Nucleation in Cross-Linked Polymer Networks. *JOM* 2013, *65*, 147–167.
- (48) Li, C.; Medvedev, G. A.; Lee, E.-W.; Kim, J.; Caruthers, J. M.; Strachan, A. Molecular
 Dynamics Simulations and Experimental Studies of the Thermomechanical Response of an
 Epoxy Thermoset Polymer. *Polymer* 2012, *53*, 4222–4230.
- (49) Li, C.; Strachan, A. Molecular Dynamics Predictions of Thermal and Mechanical Properties
 of Thermoset Polymer EPON862/DETDA. *Polymer* 2011, *52*, 2920–2928.
- (50) Yoshimoto, K.; Jain, T. S.; Workum, K. V.; Nealey, P. F.; de Pablo, J. J. Mechanical Heterogeneities in Model Polymer Glasses at Small Length Scales. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2004, *93*, 175501.
- (51) Riggleman, R. A.; Lee, H.-N.; Ediger, M. D.; de Pablo, J. J. Free Volume and Finite-Size
 Effects in a Polymer Glass under Stress. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2007, *99*, 215501.
- ⁶¹² (52) Pink, E.; Campbell, J. D. The Low-Temperature Macro Deformation of an Epoxide Resin.
 ⁶¹³ *Materials Science and Engineering* 1974, *15*, 187–194.

32

- 614 (53) Mayr, A. E.; Cook, W. D.; Edward, G. H. Yielding Behaviour in Model Epoxy Thermosets
 615 I. Effect of Strain Rate and Composition. *Polymer* 1998, *39*, 3719–3724.
- ⁶¹⁶ (54) Fan, J.; Anastassiou, A.; Macosko, C. W.; Tadmor, E. B. Molecular Dynamics Predictions
 ⁶¹⁷ of Thermomechanical Properties of an Epoxy Thermosetting Polymer. *Polymer* 2020, *196*,
 ⁶¹⁸ 122477.
- (55) Tamrakar, S.; Ganesh, R.; Sockalingam, S.; Haque, B. Z.; Gillespie, J. W. Experimental
 Investigation of Strain Rate and Temperature Dependent Response of an Epoxy Resin Under going Large Deformation. *J. dynamic behavior mater.* 2018, *4*, 114–128.
- ⁶²² (56) Ediger, M. D.; Angell, C. A.; Nagel, S. R. Supercooled Liquids and Glasses. J. Phys. Chem.
 ⁶²³ 1996, 100, 13200–13212.
- ⁶²⁴ (57) Barrat, J.-L.; Baschnagel, J.; Lyulin, A. Molecular Dynamics Simulations of Glassy Poly ⁶²⁵ mers. *Soft Matter* 2010, *6*, 3430–3446.
- (58) Yu, Z.; Liu, Q.; Szlufarska, I.; Wang, B. Structural Signatures for Thermodynamic Stability
 in Vitreous Silica: Insight from Machine Learning and Molecular Dynamics Simulations.
 Phys. Rev. Materials 2021, *5*, 015602.
- (59) Shokuhfar, A.; Arab, B. The Effect of Cross Linking Density on the Mechanical Properties
 and Structure of the Epoxy Polymers: Molecular Dynamics Simulation. *J Mol Model* 2013,
 19, 3719–3731.
- (60) van Duin, A. C. T.; Dasgupta, S.; Lorant, F.; Goddard, W. A. ReaxFF: A Reactive Force Field
 for Hydrocarbons. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2001, *105*, 9396–9409.
- (61) Liu, L.; Liu, Y.; Zybin, S. V.; Sun, H.; Goddard, W. A. I. ReaxFF-lg: Correction of the
 ReaxFF Reactive Force Field for London Dispersion, with Applications to the Equations of
 State for Energetic Materials. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2011, *115*, 11016–11022.

- (62) Lin, S.; Zhao, X. Fracture of Polymer Networks with Diverse Topological Defects. Phys. Rev. 637 E 2020, 102, 052503. 638
- (63) Wu, X.; El-Awady, J. A. In Integrated Computational Materials Engineering (ICME): Ad-639 vancing Computational and Experimental Methods; Ghosh, S., Woodward, C., Przybyla, C., 640 Eds.; Springer International Publishing: Cham, 2020; pp 267–296.

641

- (64) Bukowski, C.; Zhang, T.; Riggleman, R. A.; Crosby, A. J. Load-Bearing Entanglements in 642 Polymer Glasses. Science Advances 2021, 7, eabg9763. 643
- (65) Chen, J.-S.; Ober, C. K.; Poliks, M. D.; Zhang, Y.; Wiesner, U.; Cohen, C. Controlled Degra-644
- dation of Epoxy Networks: Analysis of Crosslink Density and Glass Transition Temperature 645 Changes in Thermally Reworkable Thermosets. *Polymer* **2004**, *45*, 1939–1950. 646
- (66) Zhao, S.; Abu-Omar, M. M. Renewable Epoxy Networks Derived from Lignin-Based 647 Monomers: Effect of Cross-Linking Density. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 2016, 4, 6082– 648 6089. 649
- (67) Schichtel, J. J.; Chattopadhyay, A. Modeling Thermoset Polymers Using an Improved Molec-650 ular Dynamics Crosslinking Methodology. Computational Materials Science 2020, 174, 651 109469. 652
- (68) Bay, R. K.; Zhang, T.; Shimomura, S.; Ilton, M.; Tanaka, K.; Riggleman, R. A.; Crosby, A. J. 653 Decoupling the Impact of Entanglements and Mobility on the Failure Properties of Ultrathin 654 Polymer Films. *Macromolecules* **2022**, *55*, 8505–8513. 655
- (69) Wu, X.; Aramoon, A.; El-Awady, J. A. Hierarchical Multiscale Approach for Modeling the 656 Deformation and Failure of Epoxy-Based Polymer Matrix Composites. J. Phys. Chem. B 657 **2020**, *124*, 11928–11938. 658