Mechanochemical Synthesis of Non-Solvated Dialkylalumanyl Anion and XPS Characterization of AI(I) and AI(II) Species

Satoshi Kurumada,^[a] Ryotaro Yamanashi,^[a] Kengo Sugita,^[a] Koji Kubota,^[b,c] Hajime Ito,^[b,c] Satoru Ikemoto,^[d] Chaoqi Chen,^[d] Takumi Moriyama,^[d] Satoshi Muratsugu,*^[d,e] Mizuki Tada,^[d,e,f] Takanori Koitaya,^[g] Taisuke Ozaki,^[h] Makoto Yamashita*^[a,e]

Dedicated to Professor Rainer Streubel on the occasion of his healthy retirement.

[a]	Dr. S. Kurumada, Mr. R. Yamanashi, Dr. K. Sugita, Prof. Dr. M. Yamashita					
	Department of Molecular and Macromolecular Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Nagoya University					
	Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, 464-8603 Aichi, Japan					
	E-mail: makoto@oec.chembio.nagoya-u.ac.jp					
[b]	Prof. Dr. K. Kubota, Prof. Dr. H. Ito					
	Division of Applied Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Hokkaido University					
	Sapporo, 060-8628 Hokkaido, Japan					
[c]	Prof. Dr. K. Kubota, Prof. Dr. H. Ito					
	Institute for Chemical Reaction Design and Discovery (WPI-ICReDD), Hokkaido University					
	Sapporo, 060-8628 Hokkaido, Japan					
[d]	Mr. S. Ikemoto, Mr. C. Chen, Mr. T. Moriyama, Prof. Dr. S. Muratsugu, Prof. Dr. M. Tada					
	Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Nagoya University					
	Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, 464-8603 Aichi, Japan					
	E-mail: smuratsugu@chem.nagoya-u.ac.jp, muratsugu.satoshi.a5@f.mail.nagoya-u.ac.jp					
[e]	Prof. Dr. S. Muratsugu, Prof. Dr. M. Tada, Prof. Dr. M. Yamashita					
	Integrated Research Consortium on Chemical Science (IRCCS), Nagoya University					
	Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, 464-8602 Aichi, Japan					
[f]	Prof. Dr. M. Tada					
	Research Center for Materials Science (RCMS), Nagoya University					
	Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, 464-8602 Aichi, Japan					
[g]	Prof. Dr. T. Koitaya					
	Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University					
	Kitashirakawa-Oiwake-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan					
[h]	Prof. Dr. T. Ozaki					
	The Institute for Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo					
	5-1-5 Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8581, Japan					

Abstract: A non-solvated alkyl-substituted Al(I) anion dimer was synthesized by a reduction of haloalumane precursor using a mechanochemical method. The crystallographic and theoretical analysis revealed its structure and electronic properties. Experimental XPS analysis of the Al(I) anions with reference compounds revealed the lower Al 2p binding energy corresponds to the lower oxidation state of Al species. It should be emphasized that the experimentally obtained XPS binding energies were reproduced by delta SCF calculations and were linearly correlated with NPA charges and 2p orbital energies.

Aluminum (AI) is the most earth-abundant metal and is widely used in industry. Metallic aluminum in zero-oxidation state [AI(0)], obtained through electrolytic reduction of naturally produced stable AI(III) oxide, and alloy are valued as lightweight materials. In molecular chemistry, AI(III) compounds are often used as Lewis acid catalysts, hydride reagents, and alkyl nucleophiles.^[1] In contrast to the rich chemistry of AI(III) molecules, the molecular chemistry of aluminum in a low oxidation state [AI(II) and AI(I)] has

been developed in the last four decades.^[2] All reported these molecules can be classified to three classes, radical anion,^[3] base-stabilized neutral radical.^{[4],[5]} and dialumanes.^[6] The history of the molecular AI(I) chemistry is relatively short because it has grown in the last 25 years.^[7] There are three different types of Al(I) molecules (Figure 1): (a) a neutral Al compound with one σ -bond, one lone pair of electrons, and two vacant p-orbitals (referred to as alandiyl, alumylene, and aluminylene),^[8] and its basestabilized form and oligomers,^[9] including **A** and (Cp*AI)₄ as the most widely studied species; (b) dialumene, an AI=AI double bond species;^[6s, 10] (c) alumanyl anion, an anionic Al(I) species having a lone pair of electrons on the AI atom.[11].[12] After the first discovery of **B** in 2018,^[11a] the chemistry of alumanyl anion has been rapidly developed in the past few years with a dianionic diamino or (alkyl)(amino) ligand,^[11] including our latest contribution with a simple and scalable derivative $\bm{C}.^{[11k,\,11l]}$ In 2020, we also contributed to this chemistry with alkyl-substituted Al(I) anion **D** having a dianionic cyclic-alkylene ligand.^[12]



Figure 1. Molecular Al(I) species (Dip = 2,6-/Pr₂C₆H₃, Trip = 2,4,6-/Pr₃C₆H₂, Mes = 2,4,6-Me₃C₆H₂, Ad = 1-adamanthyl, **Si** = SiMe₃).

Considering their low oxidation state, one can expect that these Al(II) and Al(I) species would exhibit distinct electronic properties on the AI atom in comparison with those of AI(III) species. To analyze the electronic structure of AI(I) species, AI K-edge XANES spectra of A and (Cp*AI)4 were measured to give its lower energy absorption than that of the corresponding Al(III) species.^[13] Similarly, an AI 2p XPS binding energy of the AI(I) center in an aluminum-phosphomolybdate cluster was reported to be 73.75 eV.^[14] However, the spectrum having a low S/N ratio was not calibrated, the peak value was obtained by deconvolution of a broad peak, and co-existence of Al(I) species with Mo(V) center which is flexible in its oxidation state, would lead to a controversial conclusion. Thus, accurate analysis on the electronic property of AI(I) nuclei is limited to XANES analysis of neutral species.^[15] The thermal instability of the previously reported **D** due to prevented intramolecular C–H cleavage its detailed characterization as AI(I) species. The latest development of mechanochemistry with an elemental metallic reductant for reductive C-C coupling,^[16] preparation of Grignard reagent,^[17] and formation of Mg(I) species^[18] inspired us to apply the mechanochemical method to the synthesis of Al anions. Herein, we report the mechanochemical synthesis of non-solvated dialkylalumanyl anion 1 and a systematic study on the electronic structures of dialkyl- and diamino-Al(I), Al(II), and Al(III) species 1-6 (Figure 2) including newly synthesized dialumane 5 by using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and DFT calculations.



Figure 2. This work. (Si = SiMe₃).

The dimeric alumanylpottassium 1 and symmetrical dialumane 5 were synthesized as illustrated in Scheme 1. The reaction of dilithiobutane 7 with AIBr₃ furnished dialkylaluminum bromide 3. Ball-milling of 3 with Na/K alloy in the presence of a small amoun of hexamethyldisiloxane (TMS₂O) led to a formation of 1 as a red solid in 77% NMR yield. It should be noted that the reduction of 3 under conventional stirring condition in solution gave a trace amount of 1. Recrystallization of 1 from the crude reaction mixture furnished red crystals of 1 in 20% isolated yield. The thermal stability of 1 up to ca. 80 °C is noteworthy, in contrast to the previously reported **D** decomposes in toluene solution at room temperature via C-H cleavage of toluene molecule.[12b] The single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis of 1 revealed the centrosymmetric dimeric structure with two K--AI--K 3-center-2electron bonds, supported by the shorter AI-K distance of 3.133(2) Å than a sum of covalent radii (4.36 Å for AI and K)^[19] (Figure 3).^[20] This dimeric structural motif is similar to those of the previously reported diaminoalumanyl anions. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 1 and 3 indicates a Cs-symmetrical structure. Moreover, the ¹H NMR spectrum of **1** in toluene- d_8 gave an identical spectrum to that of the previously reported D, suggesting 1 was converted to the monomeric structure upon coordination of toluene. The signal at 230 ppm in ²⁷AI NMR spectrum of 3 in C₆D₆ was observed at a lower magnetic field than reported one of three AI(III) Mes₃Al (Mes 2,4,6coordinate molecules, = trimethylphenyl),[21] whereases formally Al(I)-centered dialkylalumanyl anions 1 and D did not give any signals. The dialumane 5 was synthesized by a salt elimination reaction of previously reported C^[11k] and 6^[11k] in 71% isolated yield. The Al-Al bond of 2.5988(5) Å in the crystal of 5 (See SI) was in the range of those [2.4946(9)^[6k]-2.751(2)^[6e] Å] of previously reported dialumanes.



Scheme 1. Synthesis of dimeric alumanylpotassium **1** and tetraaminodialumane **5** ($Si = SiMe_3$, Dip = 2,6-/Pr₂C₆H₃). [a] Yield in parentheses was determined by ¹H NMR with ^{*i*}Bu₃C₆H₃ as an internal standard.



Figure 3. Molecular structure of 1 with thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability; all hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

To reveal electronic structure of 1-6, DFT calculations were performed at the B3LYP/def2svp level of theory (Figure 4). All molecules except for 4 were optimized for their one asymmetric unit obtained by crystallographic analysis, while 4 was optimized for its two asymmetric units to keep the interaction between the anionic aluminum atom and the K⁺[cryptand] cation. The highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) of 1 is differently phased two lone pairs of electrons that are located mainly on the Al atom. The atoms in molecules analysis for 1 showed a polar character of the AI-K bond with a small $\rho(r)$ value (0.010 e/a_0^3) and a positive $\nabla^2 \rho(\mathbf{r})$ value (0.021 e/a⁵₀). A natural population analysis (NPA) of 1 revealed that AI and K atoms are positively and differently charged (AI: +0.566, K: +0.838). Thus, the AI-K bond in 1 can be considered as a polarized bond between Al $^{\scriptscriptstyle -}$ and K^+. This characteristics are similar to those observed in the previously reported AI anions.^{[11],[12]} Similarly, the anionic parts of 4 possesses a lone-pair character at the Al centers with opposite phases for each AI atom. In contrast to that dialkyldialumane 2 has a significant contribution of the AI-AI bond to HOMO, diaminodialumane 5 exhibits non-bonding N-Al-N character. Bromoalumane 3 shows an antibonding combination between Al-C bond and a lone pair of electrons on the Br atom, while the dimeric iodoalumane 6 has non-bonding N-Al-N character.



Figure 4. HOMOs of (a) **1**, (b) **2**, (c) **3**, (d) **4**,^[a] (e) **5**, and (f) **6** calculated at B3LYP/def2svp level of theory. [a] calculated for two asymmetric units.

It is suggested that the formal oxidation states of the AI atom in the Al anions 1 and 4 are I, those in dialumanes 2 and 5 are II, and those in haloalumanes 3 and 6 are III. To experimentally understand the difference in the AI oxidation states 1-6, XPS spectra of 1-6 were measured (Figure 5). A single Al 2p XPS peak of Al(I) species, dialkyl-Al anion 1 and diamino-Al anion 4, was observed at 74.0 eV (1) and 73.8 eV (4), respectively, which is close to that (73.75 eV) of the reported Al(I)-phosphomolybdate solid^[14] although it would have uncertainty (see introduction). These values for 1 and 4 were much smaller than that of alumina [75.6 eV: AI(III)]^[22] and closer to that of aluminum foil [73.1 eV for Al 2p_{1/2} and 72.7 eV for Al 2p_{3/2}: Al(0)],^[23] supporting the reduced state of the AI(I) center in 1 and 4. The AI 2p XPS peaks of 2 and 5 were observed at 75.4 eV (2) and 74.8 eV (5), respectively, which were larger than those of 1 and 4, being consistent with that the AI(II) centers of 2 and 5 are electronically more positive than those of 1 and 4. Similarly, the Al 2p XPS peaks of 3 and 6 exhibited the Al 2p XPS peak at 75.9 eV (3) and 75.2 eV (6), respectively, which are further increased, reflecting the most electronically positive characters of their AI centers among these Al species 1-6. The core level of binding energies of 1-6 (for Al 2p and Br 3d states) were calculated by the delta SCF method^[24] implemented in the OpenMX code.[25] The order of calculated binding energies (1 < 2 < 3, 4 < 5 < 6) fit to that of experimentally obtained binding energies as summarized in Table 1. The means absolute error of the calculated binding energies vs. experimental data for 4-6 (0.11 eV) is smaller than that of 1-3 (0.53 eV). The delta SCF calculations could assign other peaks in 1 (KBr impurity, 69.44 eV) and 3 (Br bonded to AI, 70.81 eV) as well as peaks of Al foil [2p_{3/2} 72.65 eV, 2p_{1/2} 73.16 eV, surface Al₂O₃ 2p (av.) 76.07 eV]. Thus, it was experimentally and theoretically confirmed that the difference in AI oxidation states of 1-6 reflects binding energies in the XPS analysis, supporting the attribution of formal oxidation states of the AI centers in 1-6.

The binding energies obtained by experimental XPS analysis were found to be correlated with NPA charges and energy levels of 2p orbitals (Table 1). As the XPS Al 2p binding energies increased, NPA charges increased as 1 < 2 < 3 and 4 < 5 < 6. This is consistent with the increase of the formal oxidation states for these compounds. Furthermore, the energy levels of 2p-orbitals on the Al atom also increased in the same order. It should be emphasized that these values are linearly correlated with R² > 0.98 (Figure 6), although the accurate physical interpretation for this correlation should be studied in the future.



Figure 5. Al 2p XPS spectra of **1-6** and Al foil.^[a] The peaks at 69.8 eV (for **1**) and 71.0 eV (for **3**) can be assigned to Br 3d core-levels of small impurities (KBr) of **1** and the bromide on the Al atom in **3**. [a] Al foil was spattered to remove oxidized film from the surface (the peak at 76.1 eV can be assigned as the aluminum oxide on surface).

 Table 1. Summary of experimentally obtained binding energy by XPS

 measurement, calculated binding energy using delta SCF method, calculated

 NPA charges and energy of 2p orbital on Al atom at the B3LYP/def2svp level of theory.

compound	1	2	3	4	5	6
Binding energy in XPS analysis (eV)	74.0	75.4	75.9	73.8 ^[a]	74.8	75.2
Calculated binding energy (eV) ^[b]	74.14	74.53	75.53	73.97	74.68	75.24
NPA charges on Al	0.566	1.254	1.692	0.552 ^[a]	1.278	1.550
Calculated energy (eV) of 2p orbital on Al ^[c]	-71.6	-72.9	-73.4	-70.2 ^[a]	-72.7	-73.8

[a] calculated for two asymmetric units, [b] avaraged values from those of $2p_{3/2}$ and $2p_{1/2}$ states with consideration of degeneracy, [c] calculated as an avarage of core $2p_x$, $2p_y$, and $2p_z$ orbitals from NBO analysis.



Figure 6. Linear relationship between the observed binding energy of **1-6** in XPS analysis and (a) NPA charge on the Al atom, or (b) the energy levels of the calculated 2p-orbitals of Al atom.

In conclusion, we reported the synthesis of dialkylalumanylpotassium dimer 1 with ball-milling reduction. A combination of the X-ray structural analysis and DFT calculations revealed the electronic structure of 1 with a contribution of lonepair and vacant 3p-orbital on the Al(I) center. Experimental and theoretical XPS analysis of Al anions, dialumanes, and haloalumanes revealed their different AI 2p binding energies, depending on the difference in the oxidation states. The experimentally observed XPS binding energies were also found to be linearly correlated with calculated NPA charges and 2p energy levels of the Al atom, which fairly supported the attribution of AI formal oxidation states. These findings would open new ways to investigate unusual oxidation states of main group element chemistry and a reference dataset for AI(I) and AI(II) species for XPS analysis.

Supporting Information

The authors have cited additional references within the Supporting Information.^{[26]-[49]}

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) (21H01915 for M.Y.), Grant-in-Aid for Exploratory

Research (22H00335 for S.M.), Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas (20H04808 [hybrid catalysis] for S.M.), Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) (23H01937 for S.M.), JST CREST grant (JPMJCR19R1 for H.I.), and JST FOREST grant (JPMJFR201I for K.K.). S.K. and R.Y. are grateful for a JSPS research fellowship (202115731, 22J23885). The computation was performed using Research Centre for Computational Science, Okazaki, Japan (Project: 21-IMS-C222, 22-IMS-C006). The XPS measurement was conducted at the Institute for Molecular Science, supported by the Nanotechnology Platform Program <Molecule and Material Synthesis> (JPMXP09S21MS1061) and <Advanced Research Infrastructure for Materials Nanotechnology> (JPMXP1222MS1011 and JPMXP1223MS1023) of MEXT, Japan.

Keywords: aluminum • anion • X-ray photoelectron

spectroscopy • mechanochemical synthesis • crystallographic study

- S. Saito, Aluminum in Organic Synthesis in Main Group Metals in Organic Synthesis, 2004, pp. 189-306.
- Inorganic AIX compounds (X = halogen) have been studied as "aluminum subhalides" although their "molecular" structures have not been reported.
 a) M. Tacke, H. Schnoeckel, *Inorg. Chem.* **1989**, *28*, 2895-2896. b) H. Schnockel, *Z. Naturforsch., B: Chem. Sci.* **1976**, *31*, 1291-1292. c) F. C. Wyse, W. Gordy, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1972**, *56*, 2130-2136.
- [3] M. Nakamoto, T. Yamasaki, A. Sekiguchi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 6954-6955.
- [4] P. Henke, T. Pankewitz, W. Klopper, F. Breher, H. Schnöckel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 8141-8145.
- [5] Related CAAC-stabilized aluminum radicals were reported to have negligible spin density on the AI center with AIIII character due to delocalization of spin to the CAAC moiety [CAAC = cyclic (alkyl)(amino)carbene]. a) S. Kundu, S. Sinhababu, S. Dutta, T. Mondal, D. Koley, B. Dittrich, B. Schwederski, W. Kaim, A. C. Stückl, H. W. Roesky, *Chem. Commun.* 2017, 53, 10516-10519. b) B. Li, S. Kundu, A. C. Stückl, H. Zhu, H. Keil, R. Herbst-Irmer, D. Stalke, B. Schwederski, W. Kaim, D. M. Andrada, G. Frenking, H. W. Roesky, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2017, 56, 397-400.
- (a) W. Uhl, Z. Naturforsch., B: Chem. Sci. 1988, 43, 1113-1118; (b) R. J. [6] Wehmschulte, K. Ruhlandt-Senge, M. M. Olmstead, H. Hope, B. E. Sturgeon, P. P. Power, Inorg. Chem. 1993, 32, 2983-2984; (c) M. Mocker, C. Robl, H. Schnöckel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 862-863; (d) A. Ecker, E. Baum, M. A. Friesen, M. A. Junker, C. Üffing, R. Köppe, H. Schnöckel, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1998, 624, 513-516; (e) N. Wiberg, K. Amelunxen, T. Blank, H. Nöth, J. Knizek, Organometallics 1998, 17, 5431-5433; (f) C. Klemp, G. Stößer, I. Krossing, H. Schnöckel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3691-3694; (g) C. Klemp, C. Üffing, E. Baum, H. Schnöckel, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2000, 626, 1787-1791; (h) K. S. Klimek, C. Cui, H. W. Roesky, M. Noltemeyer, H.-G. Schmidt, Organometallics 2000, 19, 3085-3090; (i) R. J. Wright, A. D. Phillips, P. P. Power, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 10784-10785; (j) J. Vollet, R. Burgert, H. Schnöckel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 6956-6960; (k) C. Cui, X. Li, C. Wang, J. Zhang, J. Cheng, X. Zhu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 2245-2247; (I) S. G. Minasian, J. Arnold, Chem. Commun. 2008, 4043-4045; (m) S. J. Bonyhady, D. Collis, G. Frenking, N. Holzmann, C. Jones, A. Stasch, Nat. Chem. 2010, 2, 865-869; (n) I. L. Fedushkin, M. V. Moskalev, A. N. Lukoyanov, A. N. Tishkina, E. V. Baranov, G. A. Abakumov, Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 11264-11276; (o) Y. Zhao, Y. Liu, L. Yang, J. G. Yu, S. Li, B. Wu, X. J. Yang, Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 6022-6030; (p) T. Agou, K. Nagata, N. Tokitoh, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 10818-10821; (q) W. Haider, D. M. Andrada, I.-A. Bischoff, V. Huch, A. Schäfer, Dalton Trans. 2019, 48, 14953-14957; (r) J. Kretsch, A. Kreyenschmidt, T. Schillmöller, R. Herbst-Irmer, D. Stalke, Inorg. Chem. 2020, 59, 13690-13699; (s) C. Weetman, A. Porzelt, P. Bag,

F. Hanusch, S. Inoue, *Chem. Sci.* 2020, *11*, 4817-4827; (t) B. Li, B. L. Geoghegan, H. M. Weinert, C. Wölper, G. E. Cutsail, S. Schulz, *Chem. Commun.* 2022, *58*, 4372-4375.

- [7] C. Jones, A. Stasch, The Chemistry of the Group 13 Metals in the +1 Oxidation State in *The Group 13 Metals Aluminium, Gallium, Indium and Thallium: Chemical Patterns and Peculiarities* (Eds.: S. Aldridge, A. J. Downs), Wiley, **2011**, pp. 285-341.
- [8] (a) J. D. Queen, A. Lehmann, J. C. Fettinger, H. M. Tuononen, P. P. Power, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 20554-20559; (b) X. Zhang, L. L. Liu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2022, 61, e202116658.
- [9] (a) C. Dohmeier, C. Robl, M. Tacke, H. Schnöckel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1991, 30, 564-565; (b) C. Dohmeier, D. Loos, H. Schnöckel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 129-149; (c) C. Cui, H. W. Roesky, H. G. Schmidt, M. Noltemeyer, H. Hao, F. Cimpoesu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 4274-4276; (d) A. Hofmann, T. Tröster, T. Kupfer, H. Braunschweig, Chem. Sci. 2019, 10, 3421-3428; (e) S. K. Mellerup, Y. Cui, F. Fantuzzi, P. Schmid, J. T. Goettel, G. Bélanger-Chabot, M. Arrowsmith, I. Krummenacher, Q. Ye, V. Engel, B. Engels, H. Braunschweig, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 16954-16960.
- [10] (a) P. Bag, A. Porzelt, P. J. Altmann, S. Inoue, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, 139, 14384-14387; (b) R. L. Falconer, K. M. Byrne, G. S. Nichol, T. Krämer, M. J. Cowley, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2021, 60, 24702-24708.
- [11] (a) J. Hicks, P. Vasko, J. M. Goicoechea, S. Aldridge, Nature 2018, 557, 92-95; (b) J. Hicks, P. Vasko, J. M. Goicoechea, S. Aldridge, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 11000-11003; (c) R. J. Schwamm, M. D. Anker, M. Lein, M. P. Coles, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 1489-1493; (d) R. J. Schwamm, M. P. Coles, M. S. Hill, M. F. Mahon, C. L. McMullin, N. A. Rajabi, A. S. S. Wilson, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 3928-3932; (e) K. Koshino, R. Kinjo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 9057-9062; (f) S. Grams, J. Eyselein, J. Langer, C. Färber, S. Harder, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 15982-15986; (g) M. M. D. Roy, J. Hicks, P. Vasko, A. Heilmann, A.-M. Baston, J. M. Goicoechea, S. Aldridge, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 22301-22306; (h) M. J. Evans, M. D. Anker, C. L. McMullin, S. E. Neale, M. P. Coles, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 22289-22292; (i) T. X. Gentner, M. J. Evans, A. R. Kennedy, S. E. Neale, C. L. McMullin, M. P. Coles, R. E. Mulvey, Chem. Commun. 2022, 58, 1390-1393; (j) C. Yan, R. Kinjo, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2022, 61, e202211800; (k) G. Feng, K. L. Chan, Z. Lin, M. Yamashita, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2022, 144, 22662-22668; (I) G. Feng, M. Yamashita, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2023, 62, e202306366.
- [12] (a) S. Kurumada, S. Takamori, M. Yamashita, *Nat. Chem.* 2020, *12*, 36-39; (b) S. Kurumada, K. Sugita, R. Nakano, M. Yamashita, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2020, *59*, 20381-20384; (c) K. Sugita, R. Nakano, M. Yamashita, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2020, *26*, 2174-2177; (d) K. Sugita, M. Yamashita, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2020, *26*, 4520-4523; (e) K. Sugita, M. Yamashita, *Organometallics* 2020, *39*, 2125-2129; (f) S. Kurumada, M. Yamashita, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2022, *144*, 4327-4332.
- [13] (a) A. B. Altman, C. D. Pemmaraju, C. Camp, J. Arnold, S. G. Minasian, D. Prendergast, D. K. Shuh, T. Tyliszczak, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, *137*, 10304-10316; (b) S. G. Minasian, J. L. Krinsky, J. D. Rinehart, R. Copping, T. Tyliszczak, M. Janousch, D. K. Shuh, J. Arnold, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009, *131*, 13767-13783.
- [14] Y.-Q. Zhang, L.-Y. Zhou, Y.-Y. Ma, K. Dastafkan, C. Zhao, L.-Z. Wang, Z.-G. Han, *Chem. Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 1886-1890.
- [15] A related XANES analysis of nontrigonal P(III) molecules was reported. See: K. Lee, A. V. Blake, A. Tanushi, S. M. McCarthy, D. Kim, S. M. Loria, C. M. Donahue, K. D. Spielvogel, J. M. Keith, S. R. Daly, A. T. Radosevich, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 6993-6998.
- [16] W. I. Nicholson, J. L. Howard, G. Magri, A. C. Seastram, A. Khan, R. R. A. Bolt, L. C. Morrill, E. Richards, D. L. Browne, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2021, 60, 23128-23133.
- [17] (a) R. Takahashi, A. Hu, P. Gao, Y. Gao, Y. Pang, T. Seo, J. Jiang, S. Maeda, H. Takaya, K. Kubota, H. Ito, *Nat. Commun.* 2021, *12*, 6691; (b) J. M. Harrowfield, R. J. Hart, C. R. Whitaker, *Aust. J. Chem.* 2001, *54*, 423-425; (c) V. Birke, C. Schutt, H. Burmeier, W. K. L. Ruck, *Fresenius Environ. Bull.* 2011, *20*, 2794-2805; (d) I. R. Speight, T. P. Hanusa, *Molecules* 2020, *25*, 570; (e) V. S. Pfennig, R. C. Villella, J. Nikodemus, C. Bolm, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2022, *61*, e202116514; (f) J. Varma

Nallaparaju, T. Nikonovich, T. Jarg, D. Merzhyievskyi, R. Aav, D. G. Kananovich, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2023**, *61*, e202305775.

- [18] (a) D. Jędrzkiewicz, J. Mai, J. Langer, Z. Mathe, N. Patel, S. DeBeer, S. Harder, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2022**, *61*, e202200511; (b) D. Jędrzkiewicz, J. Langer, S. Harder, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2022**, *648*, e202200138.
- [19] J. Emsley, *The Elements*, 3rd ed., Oxford University Press, New York, **1998**.
- [20] H. T. W. Shere, M. S. Hill, M. F. Mahon, Polyhedron 2023, 244, 116588.
- [21] J. J. Jerius, J. M. Hahn, A. F. M. M. Rahman, O. Mols, W. H. Ilsley, J. P. Oliver, *Organometallics* **1986**, *5*, 1812-1814.
- [22] I. Olefjord, H. J. Mathieu, P. Marcus, Surf. Interface Anal. 1990, 15, 681-692.
- [23] J. A. Rotole, P. M. A. Sherwood, Surf. Sci. Spectra 1998, 5, 4-10.
- [24] T. Ozaki, C.-C. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2017, 118, 026401.
- [25] T. Ozaki, Phys. Rev. B 2003, 67, 155108.
- [26] CrysAlisPRO, CrysAlisPRO, ver. Oxford Diffraction/Agilent Technologies UK Ltd, Yarnton, England, 2015.
- [27] O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard, H. Puschmann, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2009, 42, 339-341.
- [28] M. C. Burla, R. Caliandro, B. Carrozzini, G. L. Cascarano, C. Cuocci, C. Giacovazzo, M. Mallamo, A. Mazzone, G. Polidori, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 2015, 48, 306-309.
- [29] G. Sheldrick, Act. Cryst. Sec. A 2015, 71, 3-8.
- [30] G. Sheldrick, Act. Cryst. Sec. C 2015, 71, 3-8.
- [31] L. J. Bourhis, O. V. Dolomanov, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard, H. Puschmann, Act. Cryst. Sec. A 2015, 71, 59-75.
- [32] (a) C. Lee, W. Yang, R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B* **1988**, *37*, 785-789; (b) A.
 D. Becke, *Phys. Rev. A* **1988**, *38*, 3098-3100; (c) B. Miehlich, A. Savin,
 H. Stoll, H. Preuss, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1989**, *157*, 200-206.
- [33] S. Huzinaga, J. Andzelm, M. Klobukowski, E. Radzio-Andzelm, Y. Sakai, H. Tatewaki, *Gaussian basis sets for molecular calculations*, Elsevier, 1984.
- M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, Williams, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, D. J. Fox, Gaussian 16, Revision C.01, ver. Wallinaford, CT. 2016.
- [35] (a) J. P. Foster, F. Weinhold, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, *102*, 7211-7218;
 (b) A. E. Reed, F. Weinhold, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1983**, *78*, 4066-4073; (c) A. E. Reed, F. Weinhold, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1985**, *83*, 1736-1740; (d) A. E. Reed, R. B. Weinstock, F. Weinhold, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1985**, *83*, 735-746;
 (e) A. E. Reed, L. A. Curtiss, F. Weinhold, *Chem. Rev.* **1988**, *88*, 899-926.
- [36] (a) R. F. W. Bader, Atoms In Molecules A Quantum Theory, Oxford University Press, New York, **1990**; (b) R. F. W. Bader, Chem. Rev. **1991**, 91, 893-928.
- [37] T. A. Keith, AIMALL, ver. (version 14.04.17), TK Gristmill Software, Overland Park KS, USA, 2014.
- [38] Crystal data of 1 involves squeezed small voids, which would be the reason why the calculated binding energy would be slightly differ from the experimental values. The crystal of 2 has co-solvated hexane molecules, which could be removed by evacuation. Therefore, the loss of hexane under the condition for the measurement of XPS spectra would causes change the crystal lattice (calculations with defects did not alter the calculated values). The crystal of 6 has co-solvated toluene molecules on the inversion center and mirror plane, which can not be separated because of the symmetry of the crystal, causing a difficulty for the binding-energy calculations. Therefore, the crystal structure was re-

solved with lower symmetry (P-1) to produce a crystal structure with nondisordered toluene molecules and the resulting CIF file was used as the structural model for calculations.

- [39] T. Ozaki, C.-C. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2017, 118, 026401.
- [40] M. R. Jarvis, I. D. White, R. W. Godby, M. C. Payne, *Phys. Rev. B* 1997, 56, 14972-14978.
- [41] (a) I. Morrison, D. M. Bylander, L. Kleinman, *Phys. Rev. B* 1993, 47, 6728-6731; (b) G. Theurich, N. A. Hill, *Phys. Rev. B* 2001, 64, 073106.
- [42] (a) T. Ozaki, *Phys. Rev. B* 2003, *67*, 155108; (b) K. Lejaeghere, G. Bihlmayer, T. Björkman, P. Blaha, S. Blügel, V. Blum, D. Caliste, I. E. Castelli, S. J. Clark, A. Dal Corso, S. de Gironcoli, T. Deutsch, J. K. Dewhurst, I. Di Marco, C. Draxl, M. Dułak, O. Eriksson, J. A. Flores-Livas, K. F. Garrity, L. Genovese, P. Giannozzi, M. Giantomassi, S. Goedecker, X. Gonze, O. Grånäs, E. K. U. Gross, A. Gulans, F. Gygi, D. R. Hamann, P. J. Hasnip, N. A. W. Holzwarth, D. Iuşan, D. B. Jochym, F. Jollet, D. Jones, G. Kresse, K. Koepernik, E. Küçükbenli, Y. O. Kvashnin, I. L. M. Locht, S. Lubeck, M. Marsman, N. Marzari, U. Nitzsche, L. Nordström, T. Ozaki, L. Paulatto, C. J. Pickard, W. Poelmans, M. I. J. Probert, K. Refson, M. Richter, G.-M. Rignanese, S. Saha, M. Scheffler, M. Schlipf, K. Schwarz, S. Sharma, F. Tavazza, P. Thunström, A. Tkatchenko, M. Torrent, D. Vanderbilt, M. J. van Setten, V. Van Speybroeck, J. M. Wills, J. R. Yates, G.-X. Zhang, S. Cottenier, *Science* 2016, *351*, aad3000.
- [43] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 1996, 77, 3865-3868.
- [44] M. P. Seah, I. S. Gilmore, G. Beamson, Surf. Interface Anal. 1998, 26, 642-649.
- [45] J. A. Rotole, P. M. A. Sherwood, Surf. Sci. Spectra 1998, 5, 4-10.
- [46] I. Olefjord, H. J. Mathieu, P. Marcus, Surf. Interface Anal. 1990, 15, 681-692.
- [47] U. Gelius, P. F. Hedén, J. Hedman, B. J. Lindberg, R. Manne, R. Nordberg, C. Nordling, K. Siegbahn, *Phys. Scr.* **1970**, *2*, 70.
- [48] R. C. Gray, J. C. Carver, D. M. Hercules, J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 1976, 8, 343-357.
- [49] R. Blume, D. Rosenthal, J.-P. Tessonnier, H. Li, A. Knop-Gericke, R. Schlögl, *ChemCatChem* 2015, 7, 2871-2881.

Entry for the Table of Contents



A non-solvated alkyl-substituted Al(I) anion dimer was synthesized by a reduction of haloalumane precursor using a mechanochemical method. The crystallographic and theoretical analysis revealed its structure and electronic properties. Experimental XPS analysis of the Al(I) anions with reference compounds revealed the lower Al 2p binding energy corresponds to the lower oxidation state of Al species. It should be emphasized that the experimentally obtained XPS binding energies were reproduced by delta SCF calculations and were linearly correlated with NPA charges and 2p orbital energies.