Computational Discovery of Stable Metal-Organic Frameworks for Methane-to-Methanol Catalysis
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ABSTRACT: The challenge of direct partial oxidation of methane to methanol has motivated the targeted search of metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) as a promising class of materials for this transformation because of their site-isolated metals with tunable ligand environments. Thousands of MOFs have been synthesized, yet relatively few have been screened for their promise in methane conversion. We developed a high-throughput virtual screening (HTVS) workflow that identifies MOFs from a diverse space of experimental MOFs that have not been studied for catalysis, yet are thermally stable, synthesizable, and have promising unsaturated metal sites for C–H activation via a terminal metal-oxo species. We carried out density functional theory (DFT) calculations on the radical rebound mechanism for methane to methanol conversion on models of the secondary building units (SBUs) from 87 selected MOFs. While we showed that oxo formation favorability decreases with increasing 3d filling, consistent with prior work, previously observed scaling relations between oxo formation and hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) are disrupted by the greater diversity in our MOF set. Accordingly, we focused on Mn MOFs, which favor oxo intermediates without disfavoring HAT or leading to high methanol release energies—a key feature for methane hydroxylation activity. We identified three Mn MOFs comprising unsaturated Mn centers bound to weak-field carboxylate ligands in planar or bent geometries with promising methane to methanol kinetics and thermodynamics. The energetic spans of these MOFs are indicative of promising turnover frequencies for methane to methanol that warrant further experimental catalytic studies.
1. Introduction.

While the abundance of natural gas has motivated the valorization of methane as an energy feedstock,\textsuperscript{1} direct use of methane for the production of higher-value chemicals like methanol is limited by the lack of successful strategies for selective partial methane oxidation.\textsuperscript{1, 2} A limiting factor is the high C–H bond dissociation energy in methane compared to the partially oxidized products, which leads to overoxidation.\textsuperscript{3-6} Instead, energy-intensive routes that first produce syngas from methane at very high operating temperatures and pressures are customarily used in industry.\textsuperscript{7-10} Living systems leverage metalloenzymes such as soluble methane monooxygenase (sMMO) to convert methane selectively into methanol under ambient conditions using molecular oxygen as the oxidant\textsuperscript{11-13} by forming, for example, a mononuclear Fe(IV)=O species that activates methane.\textsuperscript{14-16} These metalloenzymes have inspired the design of synthetic homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysts for the direct conversion of methane to methanol via more energy-efficient methods.\textsuperscript{17-25} Nevertheless, no synthetic catalyst to date is capable of simultaneously achieving high conversions and selectivities as enzymes have, motivating a wider search of candidate catalysts.

Porous materials, such as metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), are an attractive target for bioinspired heterogeneous catalyst design.\textsuperscript{26-29} The well-defined metal sites contained in the secondary building unit (SBU) of the reticular MOF structure\textsuperscript{30, 31} have structural and electronic features that are analogous to the metal cofactors in metalloenzymes,\textsuperscript{32} including weaker-field ligands that favor high-spin electron configurations that are needed for redox-mediated reactions,\textsuperscript{32, 33} as well as pore architectures that resemble an enzyme's binding pocket.\textsuperscript{21, 34} Inspired by sMMO, zeolites containing open Fe sites in the square planar geometry\textsuperscript{35-37} and subsequently, MOFs with SBUs containing open Fe sites in the square pyramidal geometry\textsuperscript{38-40} have
demonstrated C–H activation activity in light alkanes (i.e., ethane and propane). Additionally, MOFs have been used as scaffolds with metal active sites that are active for alkane hydroxylation incorporated in the pore via post-synthetic functionalization methods. Nevertheless, the discovery of new MOFs capable of C–H activation requires tedious iterative trial and error workflows. There are thousands of candidate MOFs that could be experimentally synthesized and tested for catalytic activity which can be extremely time-consuming. Furthermore, evidence of catalytic activity on candidate MOFs must be accompanied by detailed mechanistic studies that capture fleeting intermediates in the catalytic cycle with in situ spectroscopy which can prove challenging for MOFs.

First-principles calculations have thus played a vital role in understanding MOF catalysis, especially in deciphering reaction mechanisms, active site structures, and the roles of the chemical environment in C–H activation by MOFs. While computation has proved valuable in rationalizing the activity of MOFs known to be capable of selective C–H activation, computation can play a leading role by enabling high-throughput virtual screening (HTVS) on the large chemical space of synthesized MOFs. Such HTVS campaigns have been carried out but have typically targeted specific metal-coordination geometries in the MOF SBUs. Notably, most screening efforts overlook MOF stability as a design criteria, which is critical for thermal catalysis. Linear free-energy relationships (LFERs) are often exploited to accelerate catalyst HTVS by drawing correlations between readily computed descriptors and the energetics of individual intermediates or reaction steps. LFERs, however, have shown to be disrupted by changes to the catalyst structure, non-covalent interactions that selectively stabilize specific reaction intermediates or when probing chemical spaces with greater diversity in metal identity, oxidation and spin states. Thus, it is necessary to both expand the search for MOFs
beyond those that have been previously studied computationally or experimentally and to identify MOFs that may have been overlooked because of assumed LFERs that may not hold.

In this work, we developed a HTVS workflow that combines previously developed machine learning\textsuperscript{57, 75} models with DFT to repurpose synthesized MOFs with moderate thermal stability that have not yet been studied experimentally for direct methane to methanol catalysis. We used DFT to compute reaction energetics and show that LFERs fail to generalize across diverse chemical spaces of MOFs. We identified promising MOFs for future experimental studies by using this workflow to first select MOF candidates with good reaction energetics and then compute their full energy landscapes to confirm both their thermodynamic and kinetic feasibility for methane to methanol catalysis.

2. Results and Discussion.

2a. Repurposing synthesized MOFs for methane to methanol catalysis.

The primary factor limiting the application of MOFs in catalysis is their poor stability. Here, we considered a MOF stable and suitable for catalysis if it maintains its structural integrity following solvent removal from its pores during activation, and under elevated temperatures typical in thermal catalysis (e.g., above 200 °C).\textsuperscript{76, 77} We apply artificial neural network (ANN) models developed in prior work\textsuperscript{57} that predict the activation and thermal stabilities of a MOF from connectivity-based revised autocorrelations (RACs) and geometric descriptors. As in prior work,\textsuperscript{75} we start with the 10,143 non-disordered MOF structures in the 2019 Computation-Ready, Experimental All Solvent Removed (CoRE ASR) MOF database v.1.1.2\textsuperscript{78} and retain 9,597 MOFs that we can featurize. From this set, the activation stability ANN predicts that 69.3% of the featurizable MOFs (6,649 MOFs) are stable upon activation (Figure 1). We then label all
activation-stable MOFs with their corresponding thermal decomposition temperatures as predicted by the thermal stability ANN and limit our analysis to MOFs containing a single transition-metal element corresponding to Mn, Fe, Co, or Cu, reducing our set to 1,737 MOFs (Figure 1). We focus on these transition metals because they have been used for methane to methanol catalysis in biological, homogeneous, and heterogeneous systems.\textsuperscript{23, 24, 74, 79} We limited our study to MOFs containing a single transition metal element to avoid studying systems with potential bifunctional catalytic sites that would be ambiguous to model (see Secs. 2b and 4) or for which it would be difficult to predict which transition metal center is the catalytically active species.

Figure 1. Workflow to repurpose synthesized MOFs for catalytic applications. Starting with the sanitized MOF structures contained in the CoRE MOF database, we eliminate MOFs that cannot be featurized. We then apply ANN-based activation and thermal stability prediction models to determine activation-stable MOFs and their corresponding decomposition temperatures and narrow our space down to MOFs containing a single transition metal element corresponding to Mn, Fe, Co, or Cu. This is followed by text mining of the MOF manuscripts to identify CoRE MOFs not previously studied for catalysis. Next, we only retain MOFs with confident activation
stability and decomposition temperature predictions based on uncertainty quantification metrics and that have decomposition temperatures greater than 300°C. Finally, we eliminate MOFs with identical metal-centered features.

Next, we employed text mining methods\textsuperscript{57, 75, 80, 81} to further screen our CoRE MOF dataset for candidates that have not been studied for catalysis but are thermally stable. Of the 1,737 single-metal activation-stable MOFs, 1,313 MOFs have downloadable manuscripts in our corpus curated from the CSD in prior work (Figure 1).\textsuperscript{57, 75} We built a list of catalysis-specific keywords and used keyword matching with the corresponding manuscripts to identify whether a MOF has been studied for catalysis (Supporting Information Table S1). We ignored keyword matches that appear in the introduction section because authors typically highlight catalysis as a practical application for MOFs without studying the MOFs of interest for catalysis. For the introduction, we selected a cutoff of the first 25% of the manuscript, a heuristic chosen by trial and error (Figure 1). We label a CoRE MOF as not used for catalysis if its manuscript has fewer than 3 keyword matches after the introduction (Figure 1 and Supporting Information Table S2). We identify 1,225 single-metal CoRE MOFs that have not been tested experimentally for catalysis despite being predicted or reported to have activation stability.

For suitability in thermal catalysis, a MOF must be stable at high temperatures. The average thermal decomposition temperature from the experimental thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) dataset used to train the thermal stability ANN was 359°C, which corresponds to the lower limit of decomposition temperatures for stable MOFs.\textsuperscript{57} Chemical heuristics, confirmed by analysis of synthesized MOFs, tell us that the most thermally stable MOFs do not contain 3\textit{d} transition metals.\textsuperscript{57} We sought MOFs that contain first-row transition metals with moderate thermal stability for catalytic applications and used a thermal decomposition temperature cutoff of 300°C, which narrowed our dataset to 733 MOFs. Although the decomposition temperature from a TGA
measurement may be expected to be an overestimate of the temperature at which the material may
break down during catalytic conditions, our use of a relatively high cutoff for thermal stability
should still yield MOFs with stabilities suitable for catalysis at elevated (e.g., 200 °C)
temperatures. Retaining only MOFs with confidently predicted stability by applying uncertainty
quantification metrics to ANN predictions, our dataset was reduced to 334 MOFs, 58% of which
had an experimental ground truth from the TGA dataset and 54% were unseen by ANN thermal
model training data (i.e., because they were unavailable or were in the test partition, Supporting
Information Table S3). Next, we identified MOFs with identical metal-centered RACs as these
would have equivalent metal-coordination environments (Figure 1). We retained only the MOF
with the highest decomposition temperature prediction for MOFs with identical metal coordination
environments to avoid redundant calculations on MOF SBU cluster models, reducing our data set
to 184 MOFs (Figure 1).

Next, we used MOFSimplify to extract the SBUs from their periodic structures and
computed atomic-weighted molecular graph determinants of each extracted SBU to retain a
single copy of each unique SBU for each MOF (i.e., as judged by connectivity), with the 184
MOFs yielding 242 unique SBUs (Figure 2). We expect that a metal site in an SBU can only be
catalytically active for methane to methanol catalysis if it has an open metal site (i.e., for a 3d
transition metal, the coordination number cannot exceed five). We computed the coordination
number of each metal site across all 242 SBUs, automatically categorizing metal sites with
coordination numbers 2 and 3 as having open sites. Because metal sites with coordination numbers
4 or 5 are "open" only for certain geometries, we performed a geometry index analysis and
used heuristic cutoffs to identify open sites (Supporting Information Figure S1). Our workflow
retained SBUs with at least one open metal site available for reactivity (Figure 2).
Figure 2. Screening for open metal sites (OMSs) capable of terminal metal-oxo formation for methane to methanol catalysis. MOFSimplify is used to extract unique SBUs from the single-metal MOFs identified as stable for catalytic applications. Only SBUs with at least one open metal site are retained. For SBUs containing the same element in multiple metal sites in a configuration in which at least one corresponds to an open metal site, we only retain those with FSR values between all possible metal pairs exceeding 1.1. Next, we filter for good crystal quality, keeping the SBUs of MOFs with R-factors less than 10%. Lastly, we eliminate any MOFs and their corresponding SBUs that have halogens bound to the SBU or linker. Atoms are colored as follows: Co in pink, Fe in orange, O in red, N in blue, C in gray, H in white, Cl in light green, F in yellow-green.
We studied the formation of a terminal metal-oxo species at the open metal site because these have been found to be capable of C–H activation, but SBUs with multiple metal centers that have strong metal–metal interactions could favor formation of other metal-oxygen species. To reduce the likelihood of identifying SBUs with these preferred intermediates, we computed formal shortness ratios (FSR) for all possible pairs of metals in each SBU with more than one metal site (Supporting Information Figure S2). We eliminated SBUs with a FSR of < 1.1, which is a conservative threshold for identifying SBUs with strong metal–metal interactions. This results in 144 SBUs corresponding to 110 MOFs with open metal sites capable of terminal metal-oxo formation (Figure 2). Lastly, we applied two additional filters in our MOF screening workflows based on practical considerations. We eliminated MOFs that have poor crystal quality as well as MOFs containing halogens bound to the linker or SBU (Figure 2 and Supporting Information Text S1). Therefore, our final data set comprised 101 CoRE MOFs (135 SBUs) that are stable and have potential to be repurposed for methane to methanol catalysis.

2b. Reaction mechanism and modeling approach

We first study the thermodynamics for the radical rebound mechanism of methane to methanol catalysis occurring on cluster models of the SBUs that serve as the active sites within the identified MOFs. To avoid magnetic coupling between adjacent transition metal centers, models of the SBUs with multiple transition metal centers were modified by replacing all but one transition metal center with closed-shell Mg(II) ions (Supporting Information Tables S4–S6 and Figure S3). On select systems, we verified trends were qualitatively unchanged with this approach in comparison to MOFs studied with all transition metal centers present (Supporting Information Table S5). Mg-substituted SBU models with equivalent connectivity are removed. Such an
approach has been previously validated for MOFs with weak to moderate coupling between metal centers (e.g., Fe-MOF-74)\textsuperscript{48}, and we expect our MOFs to be predominantly in this coupling limit due to our criteria for including MOFs with relatively high metal–metal separation (i.e., high FSR, see Secs. 2a and 4). Using these MOF models, we first characterize reactive intermediates to estimate reaction thermodynamics for methane to methanol conversion. In this catalytic cycle, the coordinatively unsaturated transition metal center in the resting state structure (1) of the MOF SBU undergoes two-electron oxidation to form a high-valent terminal metal-oxo species (2) using triplet molecular oxygen as the source of oxygen atom (Figure 3). The metal-oxo formation energy, $\Delta E(\text{oxo})$, is computed as:

$$\Delta E(\text{oxo}) = E(2) - E(1) - \frac{1}{2} E(\text{O}_2)$$

The highly active terminal metal-oxo intermediate catalyzes a hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) step wherein a hydrogen atom is abstracted from methane to form a metal-hydroxo species (3) and a methyl radical (Figure 3). The associated reaction energy, $\Delta E(\text{HAT})$ is:

$$\Delta E(\text{HAT}) = E(3) + E(\text{CH}_3\bullet) - E(2) - E(\text{CH}_4)$$

The methyl radical then rebounds onto the metal-hydroxo species (3) to form a methanol-bound intermediate (4) which is followed by the release of methanol to regenerate the resting state structure (1) (Figure 3). The reaction energy, $\Delta E(\text{release})$ is given by:

$$\Delta E(\text{release}) = E(1) + E(\text{CH}_3\text{OH}) - E(4)$$
Figure 3. Radical rebound catalytic cycle for methane to methanol at the Fe active site of a representative MOF SBU (CSD refcode: NINVAI). Starting with the resting state (1) with the active metal at an oxidation state of n, the cycle proceeds in the clockwise direction to first form the metal-oxo (2) species from triplet oxygen, the metal-hydroxo species (3) via HAT from methane, and the methanol-bound (4) species through methyl radical rebound. The non-reacting Fe atom has been replaced with Mg for the purpose of computational modeling. Color codes: brown – Fe, green – Mg, gray – C, red – O, white – H.

The resting oxidation states of the metals in the MOF SBUs were based on those reported in the manuscript associated with the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) entry, except for Cu. In the case of Cu, we instead assigned a resting oxidation state of +2 even in cases of user-reported oxidation states of +1 due to the unreactive nature of the Cu(I) $d^{10}$ ground state (Supporting Information Table S7). For each metal, we selected the highest possible spin state that is accessible across all intermediates in the radical rebound cycle while ensuring that the spin state is conserved throughout the cycle (Supporting Information Table S8). An $\alpha$-radical transfer was selected for HAT in the generation of the metal-hydroxo species from the metal-oxo species.

2c. Global trends in methane-to-methanol reaction energies.
Given the widespread use of reaction-specific LFERs in catalysis, we investigate whether a diverse design space of MOF SBUs follow LFERs for the radical rebound mechanism of methane to methanol catalysis. First, we examine the overall and metal-specific trends in $\Delta E(\text{oxo})$ reaction energies across all SBUs of the selected CoRE MOFs. The $\Delta E(\text{oxo})$ values span a very wide range (ca. 190 kcal/mol) that are distributed by metal (Figure 4). We find that $\Delta E(\text{oxo})$ favorability is ordered by $d$-filling, with Mn MOFs forming the most stable metal-oxo intermediates (ca. -71 – 15 kcal/mol) followed by Fe MOFs (ca. 5 – 20 kcal/mol), Co MOFs (ca. -97 – 93 kcal/mol), and Cu MOFs (ca. 11 – 69 kcal/mol), respectively (Figure 4). The range of $\Delta E(\text{oxo})$ for Co SBUs is very wide compared to the other metals primarily due to two outliers at each extremum (Figure 4). Omitting the Co SBU outliers, the ordering of $\Delta E(\text{oxo})$ energies aligns with the oxo-wall theory that is applicable to tetragonal transition metal-oxo complexes, although our MOF SBUs have a greater variation in coordination geometries than those that were used to propose the oxo-wall theory (Supporting Information Figure S4). Consistent with this theory, late-transition-metal oxo complexes formed on MOF SBUs are thermodynamically unstable because they have lower formal metal-oxo bond orders (Supporting Information Figure S5). Thus, within our curated dataset, we identify previously overlooked Mn MOFs that form more stable metal-oxo intermediates than those formed by Fe MOFs.
Figure 4. 1D Kernel density estimation (KDE) plot of the distributions of $\Delta E(\text{oxo})$ reaction energies in kcal/mol colored by metal: Mn in green, Fe in red, Co in blue, and Cu in gray. The dashed black vertical line corresponds to a zero value for $\Delta E(\text{oxo})$. The Mn SBU with the most favorable metal-oxo formation energy (CSD refcode: KUFVAK, $\Delta E(\text{oxo}) = -70.7$ kcal/mol) and the Co SBU with the least favorable metal-oxo formation energy (CSD refcode: TEDXOR, $\Delta E(\text{oxo}) = 93.2$ kcal/mol) are shown as insets. Only one catalytically active metal is studied in each of these SBUs, and the remaining metal sites are replaced with Mg for the purpose of computational modeling. Color codes: purple – Mn, pink Co, green – Mg, gray – C, red – O, white – H.

Prior work$^{54, 56}$ on methane to methanol catalysis, which focused on MOF families with experimentally demonstrated O$_2$ chemisorption properties, showed that Fe MOFs exhibit the most favorable oxo formation. In this case, the studied MOFs usually shared a common structure, whereas our dataset includes more diverse coordination environments around the metal centers, leading to prediction of favorable oxo formation by the presently studied Mn MOFs. Our results also align with trends observed in transition metal complexes where homogeneous Mn catalysts also form the metal-oxo species more favorably than Fe catalysts.$^{74}$

We find that $\Delta E(\text{HAT})$ values span a narrower range compared to the $\Delta E(\text{oxo})$ values, with most SBUs having $\Delta E(\text{HAT})$ within a range of 0±20 kcal/mol (Figure 5). Due to the existence of a universal nearly 1:1 Bronsted–Evans–Polanyi (BEP) relation between the HAT kinetic barrier
height and $\Delta E$(HAT), this also suggests that HAT from methane is relatively facile. However, we observe a very weak overall global correlation between $\Delta E$(oxo) and $\Delta E$(HAT) reaction energies (Pearson’s $r = -0.28$, Figure 5). This lack of correlation has also been observed in transition metal complexes, and has been attributed to variations caused by changing metal oxidation and spin states or geometric distortions of the metal with respect to the ligands. For MOFs typically studied in a single spin state, LFERs have been suggested for $\Delta E$(oxo) vs. $\Delta E$(HAT) in direct methane to methanol conversion, but our results show that they do not hold across all metals in our dataset.

**Figure 5.** (top) $\Delta E$(HAT) as a function of $\Delta E$(oxo) in kcal/mol for the SBUs in our set of activation and thermally stable MOFs for methane to methanol catalysis. The data points are colored by metal: Mn in green, Fe in red, Co in blue, and Cu in gray. (bottom) $\Delta E$(oxo) vs. $\Delta E$(HAT) LFER slopes and standard errors per metal. The range of literature slopes is indicated by the shaded orange area while the dashed black line corresponds to the slope of the global $\Delta E$(oxo) vs.
The degree of correlation between the \( \Delta E(\text{oxo}) \) and \( \Delta E(\text{HAT}) \) reaction energies varies by metal. Notably, Cu SBUs show the strongest correlation (Pearson’s \( r = -0.94 \)) between the two reaction energies with a steep slope of -0.95 (Figure 5). We attribute this strong linear behavior to the formation of a terminal Cu-oxyl species on Cu SBUs instead of Cu-oxo intermediates, as observed from the relatively low Mayer bond valences\(^{92}\) of the oxygen atom in their oxo intermediates (Supporting Information Figure S6). In contrast, the Mn SBUs show weak correlation between \( \Delta E(\text{oxo}) \) and \( \Delta E(\text{HAT}) \) reaction energies (Pearson’s \( r = -0.44 \)) with a shallow slope of -0.21 (Figure 5). This suggests that the formation of a stable Mn-oxo species does not come at the cost of decreased HAT reactivity. We also observe limited overall and metal-specific correlation between the reaction energetics and quantum mechanical descriptors (e.g., frontier orbital energetics, spin density, and Mayer bond valence of the metal-oxo) commonly thought to predict C–H activation reactivity (Supporting Information Figures S7 and S8).\(^{54, 64}\) The lack of correlation of reaction energetics with underlying electronic descriptors provides further evidence for LFER disruption. Therefore, Mn MOFs appear to be highly promising for methane to methanol catalysis (Figure 5).

2d. Proposing new Mn MOFs for methane to methanol catalysis.

The energy of methanol release, \( \Delta E(\text{release}) \), is an important quantity in methane to methanol catalysis because it can become rate-limiting and is also indicative of methanol overoxidation in the presence of oxidant at the active site.\(^{6, 62, 91}\) There is limited overall or metal-specific correlation between \( \Delta E(\text{release}) \) and \( \Delta E(\text{oxo}) \) or \( \Delta E(\text{HAT}) \) (Figure 6). The methanol
release energies are consistently high across all SBUs regardless of metal (Figure 6). This could be due to strong electrostatic attraction between the metal center and the bound methanol that is influenced by the overall positive charge on the SBU cluster models.\textsuperscript{91} The high methanol release energies are consistent with known challenges of methanol desorption from the active site.\textsuperscript{74, 93, 94} We compare $\Delta E$(oxo), $\Delta E$(HAT), and $\Delta E$(release) reaction energies in our dataset to those computed for Fe-MOF-74, which is known to catalyze ethane hydroxylation.\textsuperscript{38, 48} We find that Mn MOFs in our dataset form more stable metal-oxo intermediates than Fe-MOF-74 while having comparable HAT and methanol release energies, motivating a further investigation of our MOFs for methane to methanol activity (Supporting Information Figure S9 and Table S9). Despite potential limitations in completing the catalytic cycle due to high methanol release energies, we identify three Mn MOFs with the most promising combination of $\Delta E$(oxo), $\Delta E$(HAT), and $\Delta E$(release) for detailed characterization of the methane to methanol catalytic cycle. These three Mn MOFs are [Mn(HTPA)(DMF)$_2$]$_n$·H$_2$O (also referred to as FIR-34, CSD refcode DADLEC),\textsuperscript{95} [Mn$_3$(ABTC)$_2$(H$_2$O)$_4$·9.5H$_2$O]$_n$ (CSD refcode EBUREA),\textsuperscript{96} and [Mn$_2$(BDC)$_2$(DMF)$_2$]$_n$ (CSD refcode LUSHOX04)\textsuperscript{97} (Figure 6). These MOFs have been studied for their unique structural and magnetic properties but, to our knowledge, have yet to be tested for catalytic applications\textsuperscript{95-97} or studied computationally.
Figure 6. $\Delta E$(release) as a function of $\Delta E$(oxo) in kcal/mol for the SBUs in the set of 67 MOFs for which valid computational results were obtained. The data points are colored by metal: Mn in green, Fe in red, Co in blue, and Cu in gray. The black dashed line corresponds to $\Delta E$(release) = 30 kcal/mol. Data points corresponding to the three Mn MOFs identified as most promising for methane to methanol catalysis are outlined in orange, and their associated periodic MOF structures are shown as insets with $\Delta E$(HAT) values annotated. Color codes: purple – Mn, gray – C, red – O, white – H, blue – N.

For the three selected MOF SBUs, we characterize the full reaction coordinate (i.e., both transition states and reaction intermediates) with thermodynamic corrections for the radical rebound mechanism of methane to methanol catalysis using N$_2$O as an oxidant (Figure 7 and Supporting Information Texts S2 and S3 and Tables S10–S12). While we compute transition states for oxo formation and HAT, we model it as an unassisted dissociation and so we neglect any kinetic barrier. We also assume the rebound of the methyl radical following C–H activation to be barrierless and omit explicit calculation of a barrier for this step.
Figure 7. Gibbs free energy landscapes of (top) Mn(HTPA)(DMF)$_2$.H$_2$O (CSD refcode DADLEC) and (bottom) [Mn$_2$(BDC)$_2$(DMF)$_2$]$_n$ (CSD refcode LUSHOX04) with SBU and active site structures shown as insets. We draw the reaction coordinate from the reactants (R) (plus CH$_4$, and N$_2$.O). DADLEC proceeds directly through an oxo formation TS (TS oxo formation) to form the metal-oxo intermediate (=O) while LUSHOX04 first forms a complex with N$_2$.O (-ON$_2$), followed by an O–N bond cleavage TS (TS O-N cleavage) to form the metal-oxo intermediate (=O). The reaction coordinates then proceed through the HAT TS (TS HAT), the metal-hydroxo intermediate (-OH), the methanol-bound intermediate (CH$_3$.OH), and products (P) comprising the SBU, CH$_3$.OH, and N$_2$. The Gibbs free energy of reaction, $\Delta G_{\text{rxn}}$, and the free energy differences between the TDTS and TDI are also shown. Only one catalytically active metal is studied in each of these SBUs, and the remaining metal sites are replaced with Mg for the purpose of computational modeling. Color codes: purple – Mn, green – Mg, gray – C, red – O, white – H, blue – N.
The DADLEC MOF, which has a square planar geometry at the active Mn site, undergoes a concerted oxo formation step without the formation of a stable N₂O bound intermediate as confirmed by intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations (Figure 7, Supporting Information Figure S10 and Text S2). The three-coordinate trigonal pyramidal Mn center in the SBU of LUSHOX04 instead exhibits stepwise oxo formation. Here, LUSHOX04 first forms a complex with N₂O, which is assumed to be barrierless since N₂O retains its linear geometry in this complex, followed by cleavage of the O–N bond to form the metal-oxo intermediate (Figure 7, Supporting Information Figure S11 and Text S2). The IRC calculations on EBUREA also suggest a stepwise oxo formation, but EBUREA forms a complex with N₂O in which N₂O assumes a bent geometry (Supporting Information Figures S12 and S13 and Text S2). Although we expect this complexation step to have a barrier due to the energetic cost of bending the N–N–O angle in N₂O, we were unable to locate a transition state associated with complexation for EBUREA and thus do not consider this MOF further in our analysis.

We observe relatively low oxo formation barriers of 11 kcal/mol and 5 kcal/mol for DADLEC and LUSHOX04, respectively, indicating facile formation of the active metal-oxo species for C–H activation of methane (Figure 7). We selected N₂O as the oxidant for calculating reaction coordinates due to difficulties mapping out a reaction coordinate in which molecular O₂ is split (i.e., with a co-reductant, as in enzyme catalysis, or via multiple metal centers). We nevertheless computed the energetics of O₂ chemisorption and found it to be favorable in these MOFs but at the cost of fairly high oxo formation barriers with O₂ when CO is used as a co-reductant (Supporting Information Text S2 and Figures S14–S17). This suggests the potential of the three identified Mn MOFs to use benign O₂ as the oxidant, perhaps in the presence of better co-reductants than CO. Both DADLEC and LUSHOX04 have C–H activation barriers of 12
kcal/mol and 7 kcal/mol, respectively, suggesting that the relatively easily formed metal-oxo species can also activate the strong C–H bonds in methane (Figure 7 and Supporting Information Figure S18). Thus, the computed free energy landscapes show that DADLEC and LUSHOX04 have both favorable kinetics and thermodynamics for methane to methanol catalysis.

We next applied the energetic span model to approximate catalytic turnover frequencies for DADLEC and LUSHOX04 in the presence of N₂O as the oxidant.¹⁰¹ Both MOFs have the same turnover-determining transition state (TDTS) that is associated with the oxo formation step (Figure 7). The turnover-determining intermediate (TDI) for DADLEC is the expected methanol-bound intermediate (Figure 7). However, for LUSHOX04, the rebound step is slightly endergonic because the favorable enthalpy is outweighed by the loss of translational entropy. Thus, the TDI for LUSHOX04 is the hydroxo intermediate (Figure 7). The methanol release energy still defines one extremum of the energetic span for LUSHOX04, dictating the overall turnover frequency. The energetic span, 8G for DADLEC and LUSHOX04 are 26.7 kcal/mol and 26.3 kcal/mol, respectively (Supporting Information Table S13). Despite the sensitivity of the quantitative free energy landscapes to the choice of functional, the energetic spans are qualitatively comparable to those on other MOF SBU’s studied computationally for light alkane hydroxylation.³⁹,⁴⁸,⁵⁵,¹⁰² These promising computed turnover frequencies for methane to methanol catalysis merit further experimental validation through steady-state and transient kinetic studies.

3. Conclusions

We developed a HTVS workflow to guide the systematic discovery of MOF catalysts for the conversion of methane to methanol from a diverse space of materials. Unlike previous workflows, our approach mandated both MOF stability and synthesizability while identifying
MOFs with promising unsaturated metal sites (i.e., Mn, Fe, Co, or Cu) amenable to forming a terminal metal-oxo for C–H activation. To determine which of our materials were candidate catalysts, we carried out DFT calculations on 87 selected MOFs to determine methane-to-methanol reaction energetics following the radical rebound mechanism. Despite significant metal-local structural diversity in our MOFs, formation of oxo intermediates becomes less favorable with increasing 3d filling, as observed in octahedral metal complexes. At odds with prior work,54,61 our diverse set indicates opportunities to disrupt scaling limitations between oxo formation and HAT. While metal-specific LFERs hold to varying degrees, Mn MOFs in particular have a weak ΔE(oxo) vs. ΔE(HAT) correlation with a shallow slope. This suggests that favorable metal-oxo formation in Mn MOFs does not correlate to an energetic penalty for HAT, unlike in more commonly pursued Fe MOFs, indicating that Mn MOFs could be promising for methane to methanol conversion.

We showed that methanol release energetics are nearly completely uncorrelated to the other two steps, requiring its explicit consideration when selecting the best MOF candidates for catalysis. Taking release energetics into account, we identified three Mn MOFs with the most promising methane to methanol thermodynamics (CSD refcodes DADLEC, EBUREA, and LUSHOX04). We computed the full free energy landscapes of these MOFs using N₂O as the oxidant and observed relatively low oxo formation barriers and HAT barriers, suggesting good propensity for C–H activation. Furthermore, the computed energetic spans for these MOFs, which are related to their turnover frequencies, are qualitatively comparable to MOFs with experimentally demonstrated C–H activation reactivity. Nevertheless, our review of the original and related manuscripts describing these MOFs confirms that they have yet to be studied experimentally or computationally for catalysis. Thus, these previously synthesized MOFs, which are also predicted
to have the stability required for thermal catalysis, are expected to have favorable kinetics for methane to methanol catalysis, motivating further experimental study.

4. Computational Details.

We obtained crystallographic information files (CIFs) of all candidate MOFs from the 2019 CoRE ASR MOF database v.1.1.2 and used MOFSimplify to extract the SBUs of each MOF. These extracted SBUs comprised the transition metals and their first and second coordination spheres, in addition to any rings that are directly coordinated to a transition metal (Supporting Information Text S4 and Figure S19). We used atomic-weighted molecular graph determinants of the SBUs to identify unique MOF SBUs. We then capped these SBUs with common truncated forms of linkers, such as acetate or formate, using a custom script in molSimplify to generate cluster models for density functional theory (DFT) calculations (Supporting Information Table S6, Text S4, and Figures S20 and S21). As described in Sec. 2b, to avoid magnetic coupling between adjacent transition metal centers, SBUs with multiple transition metal centers were modified by replacing all but one transition metal center with closed-shell Mg(II) ions (Supporting Information Tables S4–S6 and Figure S3). We verified trends were unchanged with this approach in comparison to calculations in which all metal centers were kept in the SBU. This created multiple instances of the same SBU with the active site position varying across all valid open metal sites and symmetrically equivalent SBUs were eliminated using atomic-weighted SBU molecular graph determinants recomputed after metal substitution.

All gas-phase geometry optimizations on the MOF cluster models were performed using DFT with a development version of TeraChem v1.9. Cartesian constraints were applied on the first and second coordination sphere atoms from the metal to mimic the constrained ligand
environment of a MOF stemming from its extended framework. The B3LYP\textsuperscript{106-108} global hybrid functional was employed with the empirical D3 dispersion correction\textsuperscript{109} using Becke–Johnson damping.\textsuperscript{110} The LACVP* composite basis set was used for all calculations, which consists of a LANL2DZ effective core potential\textsuperscript{111,112} for transition metals and the 6-31G* basis set\textsuperscript{113} for all other atoms (Supporting Information Figure S22). All calculations were carried out in an unrestricted formalism with level-shifting\textsuperscript{114} of 0.25 Ha applied to both majority- and minority-spin virtual orbitals to aid self-consistent field (SCF) convergence (Supporting Information Text S5 and Figure S23). Geometry optimizations were performed with the translation rotation internal coordinate (TRIC) optimizer\textsuperscript{115} using the BFGS algorithm with default convergence thresholds of maximum energy gradient of $4.5 \times 10^{-4}$ hartree/bohr and energy difference between steps of $10^{-6}$ hartree.

Metal-oxo geometries were generated using a custom script in molSimplify by adding an oxygen atom at the active site moiety in the MOF cluster (Supporting Information Text S6 and Figure S24). The DFT geometry optimization workflow begins by optimizing the metal-oxo geometry, and if this or any subsequent intermediate calculation fails, downstream intermediate optimizations are not attempted. From the optimized metal-oxo geometry, we followed protocols adapted from prior work\textsuperscript{74} to functionalize the metal-oxo structure with a hydrogen atom to generate initial structures for the metal-hydroxo species and removed the oxo moiety to generate initial structures for the resting state. Initial structures of the methanol-bound species were generated by adding a methyl group to the optimized metal-hydroxo structure (Supporting Information Figure S24).

Submission of jobs was automated by molSimplify\textsuperscript{103,116} with a 96-h wall-time limit per run with up to five resubmissions. Open-shell calculations that failed any of the following checks\textsuperscript{73,}
were eliminated from the entire dataset: if the expectation value of the $\hat{S}^2$ operator deviated from its expected value of $S(S + 1)$ by $> 1 \, \mu_B^2$ for SBUs with only one metal center and $> 1.1 \, \mu_B^2$ for SBUs with more than one metal center or the combined Mulliken spin density on the metal and the oxygen in the active site moiety differed from the total spin by $> 1 \, \mu_B$. Structures whose final geometries were unreasonably distorted as observed by visual inspection were also eliminated (Supporting Information Table S14).

Multiwfn$^{119}$ was used to obtain electronic properties of the metal-oxo and metal-hydroxo intermediates such as the Mulliken spin density for the oxygen atom and the oxygen Mayer bond valence, which is the sum of the bond order between the oxygen atom and the transition metal.

Free energy landscapes of select Mn MOF SBU clusters were obtained using ORCA v5.0.1$^{120}$ with the B3LYP functional with D3 dispersion correction using the Becke–Johnson damping and the LACVP* basis set. Cartesian constraints to mimic MOF rigidity were employed. Starting from TeraChem-optimized structures, we completed additional DFT geometry optimizations to obtain thermochemical corrections on the intermediates. We employed ORCA for the free energy landscape calculations because TeraChem does not support analytical Hessians. Transition states were optimized on ORCA in two steps. First, potential energy surface (PES) scans were computed where the presumed transition state mode, such as a bond length or bond angle, was incrementally changed and fixed while geometry optimizing all other degrees of freedom excluding the first and second coordination sphere atoms of the metals. The maxima from these PES scans were used as initial guesses for a partitioned rational-function optimization (P-RFO)$^{121}$ to locate the transition state structures (Supporting Information Text S2 and S3). Transition state identities were verified by performing frequency calculations to ensure that an imaginary
frequency corresponding to the expected transition state mode was observed among other small imaginary frequencies associated with the imposed constraints. 
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