Toward a hydrogen-free reductive catalytic fractionation of wheat straw biomass

Filippo Brienza,^{a, b} Korneel Van Aelst,^c François Devred,^b Delphine Magnin,^b Bert F. Sels,^c Patrick Gerin,^a Iwona Cybulska^{*a} and Damien P. Debecker^{*b}

^a Applied Microbiology Division, Earth and Life Institute (ELI), UCLouvain, Croix du Sud 2,1348 Louvain-La-Neuve, Belgium.

^b Institute of Condensed Matter and Nanoscience (IMCN), UCLouvain, Place Louis Pasteur 1, 1348 Louvain-La-Neuve, Belgium.

^c Centre for Sustainable Catalysis and Engineering, KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200F, 3001 Leuven, Belgium.

ABSTRACT

The reductive catalytic fractionation (RCF) is an attractive method for the conversion of lignin toward valuable low-molecular weight aromatics during the pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass. A prominent limitation to the upscaling of such technology is represented by the use of pressurized hydrogen gas. In this contribution, the role of hydrogen gas within the RCF of wheat straw biomass is investigated. The use of H_2 was shown to enhance lignin depolymerization, by virtue of an improved hydrogenolysis and hydrogenation of lignin fragments, with a yield of phenolic monomers that increased from ~12 wt% of acid-insoluble lignin in the initial biomass under inert atmosphere, up to ~25 wt% under H₂, for a reaction in methanol, at 250 °C, with Ru/C. The adoption of methanol, ethanol and isopropanol as hydrogen-donor solvents was also investigated in the absence of H₂, and the use of ethanol was found to give the highest yield of monophenolics (up to $\sim 20 \text{ wt\%}$) owing to a better balance between solvolysis, hydrogenolysis, and hydrogenation of lignin. Nevertheless, a substantial loss of the carbohydrate fraction was observed for reactions performed at 250 °C, irrespective of hydrogen pressure and of the solvent employed. The use of a lower temperature of 200 °C in combination with H₃PO₄ resulted in an improved recovery of cellulose in the pulp and in the solubilization of hemicellulose and lignin, with the formation of monosaccharides (~14 wt% of polysaccharides in the initial biomass) and phenolic monomers (up to 18 wt%, in the absence of H_2). Overall, the obtained results show that a tradeoff exists between the removal of H_2 from the process and the production of low-MW phenolics during RCF, which can be improved by accurately tuning the process conditions.

KEYWORDS

Lignocellulose, Biorefinery, Wheat straw, Fractionation, Lignin depolymerization, Heterogeneous catalysis, Hydrogen gas

INTRODUCTION

Lignin is one of the main components of lignocellulosic biomass, accounting for about 10 - 30 wt% of lignocellulose.^{1,2} It is commonly found in the plant cell wall, where it promotes the transport of nutrients and imparts stiffness and resistance to microbial attack.^{3–5} As a biopolymer, it is constituted by alkyl aromatic units, linked together by C-C and ether bonds to form a highly crosslinked network.^{6,7} In lignocellulose, lignin is bound to hemicellulose *via* ether and ester bonds.⁸ In turn, hemicellulose is linked to cellulose *via* hydrogen bonding.^{9,10}

Commonly, lignin is separated from (hemi)cellulose during biomass pretreatment, before further processing of the different lignocellulose fractions.^{11,12} A primary limitation of many pretreatment strategies is that the valorization of the isolated "technical lignin" fraction is hampered by a high degree of condensation and/or by the presence of impurities.¹³ Recently, a new class of so-called "lignin-first" strategies was developed, targeting the chemical passivation of reactive lignin fragments liberated during biomass pretreatment prior to their recondensation.^{14–18} Among these methods, the reductive catalytic fractionation of lignocellulose (RCF) is particularly attractive, as it allows to fractionate biomass and to convert lignin into mono-and oligo-phenolics with a single operation.^{19–21} Under RCF conditions, lignin fragments are released from the lignocellulose matrix by solvolysis, and further broken down *via* solvolytic and hydrogenolytic cleavage of labile ether linkages (predominantly β -O-4 bonds),²² generating reactive (hydroxy)alkenyl-substituted lignin moieties.²³ The latter are ultimately stabilized by catalytic hydrogenation of the C=C bonds in their side chains.^{23–25} Scheme S1 summarizes the key steps of RCF.

Previous works on the RCF of hardwood biomass reported that substantial delignification (up to \sim 90 wt% of lignin in the initial biomass) and (hemi)cellulose preservation (> 90 wt% of

polysaccharides in the initial biomass) could be attained, along with a near-theoretical yield of monophenolics (based on the content of β -O-4 linkages in the lignin matrix).^{26–29} Importantly, the obtained low-molecular weight lignin fraction was shown to be a potential platform for a variety of industrial applications, including the production of phenols,³⁰ drop-in-fuels,³¹ polymers,^{32,33} and inks.³⁰

Despite the exceptional advantages offered by RCF in terms of lignin valorization, the recurrent adoption of high pressures of hydrogen gas represents a limitation to be addressed for reducing processing costs as well as safety, environmental, and equipment requirements.³⁴

From this point of view, previous works by Rinaldi and coworkers on the treatment of wood pellets in the presence of Raney Ni showed that isopropanol could perform as a hydrogen donor solvent, allowing to extract lignin from biomass and to depolymerize it in the absence of hydrogen gas.^{31,35– ³⁸ Along the same lines, Samec and coworkers reported that, in the presence of Pd/C, soluble products from hemicellulose solvolysis could act as hydrogen donors during the hydrogen-free RCF of woody biomass.^{39–41} More recently, Ren *et al.* proposed the RCF biorefining of poplar sawdust carried out under atmospheric pressure, without hydrogen gas.⁴² Instead, ethylene glycol was employed as a hydrogen donor solvent in the process.⁴²}

While extensive research was carried out investigating the hydrogen-free RCF of woody biomass, the treatment of herbaceous feedstocks received less attention in this sense, due to their typically lower lignin content and to the broader variability of lignin units they comprise,⁷ which pose a limitation to the yield and selectivity of lignin derivatives. Moreover, the higher content of minerals in herbaceous biomass may impair the catalytic activity.⁴³ Shen *et al.* explored the RCF of corn stover over cobalt-supported catalysts in the absence of external H₂.⁴⁴ A mixture of

isopropanol, water, and formic acid was adopted as a hydrogen donor solvent in the work. The authors reported that, under optimized conditions, a moderately high yield of monophenolics (~25 wt% of initial lignin) could be obtained from lignin, while hemicellulose and cellulose were hydrolyzed and converted toward furfural and furfuryl alcohol.⁴⁴ The adoption of formic acid as a hydrogen donor to replace H_2 within the RCF was illustrated to be effective also for the treatment of hemp hurd, resulting in excellent yields of phenolic monomers (~40 wt% of initial lignin).⁴⁵ Recently, we investigated the adoption of RCF for the valorization of wheat straw, which was found to lead to a moderately large yield of monophenolics (~25 wt% of initial lignin), for reactions performed in the presence of hydrogen gas.⁴⁶

Clearly, the development of effective hydrogen-free RCF processes for the treatment of herbaceous feedstocks cannot prescind from a thorough understanding of the influence of hydrogen gas and alternative hydrogen donors on the formation of specific lignin and carbohydrate intermediates. In this contribution, the RCF of inexpensive and abundant wheat straw biomass is investigated, exploring the role of the hydrogen gas and of the exogenous gas pressure with respect to the properties of the obtained product streams (Figure 1). The use of different low-boiling alcohols as hydrogen-donor solvents for the catalytic hydrogenolysis and hydrogenation of wheat straw lignin in the absence of H₂ is examined. In addition, the introduction of an acid cocatalyst within the hydrogen-free RCF to milden process conditions for enhancing lignin extraction and the formation of phenolic monomers is studied. Overall, this study provides insight into the development of a hydrogen-free RCF biorefinery to produce a low-MW lignin oil and processable (hemi)cellulose derivatives from wheat straw biomass.



Figure 1 Process outline for the RCF of wheat straw. (MeOH: methanol; EtOH: ethanol; iPrOH: isopropanol).

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

A full list of the materials used in this work and detailed descriptions of the experimental procedures are available in the Supporting information. In this section, the main experimental procedures adopted in this work are briefly described.

RCF experiments were performed in duplicates in a 300 mL Parr batch reactor (Parr Instrument Company; Figure S1). Therefore, 3 g of milled biomass were introduced in the reactor, with 120 mL of solvent (methanol, ethanol or isopropanol), 0.3 g of Ru/C, and a chosen amount of aqueous H₃PO₄ (800 μ L of 85% H₃PO₄, or absent). The reactor was sealed, flushed with N₂, then it was flushed and pressurized with H₂ or N₂ (10 or 30 bar). The speed of the stirrer was set to 750 rpm and the reactor was heated at a rate of about 10 °C min⁻¹ up to a setpoint of 250 °C (or 200 °C). Once the setpoint was reached, the mixture was left to react at constant temperature for a duration comprised between 0 and 6 hours.

After each experiment, the reactor was quickly cooled down to room temperature, depressurized, and its content was collected. A solid and a liquid fraction were separated by centrifugation. The solid fraction was washed with fresh solvent (40 mL) to remove components weakly adsorbed to the pulp. The washing solvent was mixed with the liquid fraction, and the mixture was filtered to eliminate residual solid particles. The filtrate was collected (Figure S2a). The retentate was recovered and added to the solid fraction, which was then dried at 60 °C to a constant weight. Subsequently, the solid fraction was sieved to separate the pulp (Figure S2b) from the spent catalyst (Figure S2c).

The isolated fractions were characterized in terms of dry matter, ash and organic matter contents following well-established protocols.^{47,48}

The carbohydrate content of the pulp was determined *via* acid hydrolysis followed by highperformance liquid chromatography (HPLC) of the released monosaccharides. Further characterization of the pulp was performed *via* attenuated total reflection-Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR), X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) analysis, field emission gun scanning electron microscopy (FEG-SEM), and enzymatic saccharification followed by HPLC of the released monosaccharides.

Portions of the liquid fraction were evaporated under N_2 flow to remove the solvent. Then, the dried residues underwent three successive liquid-liquid extractions with dichloromethane and water (recycling the water phase), to isolate lignin derivatives from more polar carbohydrate products. The dichloromethane extracts were mixed, and the solvent was evaporated under vacuum to obtain a viscous brown lignin oil (Figure S2d), which was then analyzed by gel permeation chromatography (GPC), gas chromatography (GC) coupled with a mass spectrometry (MS) detector and a flame ionization detector (FID), and ¹H-¹³C heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC) nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR).

The water extract was subjected to dilute acid hydrolysis to convert alkylated sugars back to their parent sugars,⁴⁹ before quantification of non-condensed carbohydrate derivatives *via* HPLC.

Model reactions were performed using an identical set-up as for reactions with biomass. In this case, 0.01 g of 2-phenoxy-1-phenylethanol were introduced in the batch reactor, together with 120 mL of methanol and a chosen amount of Ru/C (0.015 g or absent). The reactor was sealed, flushed with N₂, then it was flushed and pressurized with H₂ (1 bar). The reactions were performed at 250 °C for 3 hours. The reactor content was then collected and filtered under vacuum to remove catalyst particles. The reaction products were then characterized *via* GPC and GC-MS/FID.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Role of hydrogen gas and gas pressure

To investigate the role of hydrogen gas and the gas pressure with respect to the product distribution from the RCF of wheat straw, batch RCF experiments were carried out in duplicates treating 3 g of milled wheat straw (*Triticum aestivum*, particle size $\leq 2 \text{ mm}$,⁵⁰ biomass composition reported in Table S1) in 120 mL of methanol, in the presence of 0.3 g of 5 wt% Ru/C and of different amounts of hydrogen or nitrogen gas (10 or 30 bar, measured at room temperature). The experiments were performed at 250 °C, for a duration comprised between 0 and 6 hours ("0 hours" indicates that the fractionation was halted as soon as the temperature setpoint was reached, typically in ~40 minutes). After each experiment, the solid fraction, containing the preserved polysaccharides and the redox catalyst, and the liquid fraction, comprising solubilized lignin and carbohydrate derivatives, were collected and extensively characterized.

The effects of the presence of hydrogen gas and the applied gas pressure on lignocellulose solvolysis were investigated by assessing the mass balance of organic matter (OM) with respect to the recovered pulp and liquid fractions (Figure S3). The absence of major differences observed for the various explored scenarios indicate that the solubilization of lignin and polysaccharides is independent of these parameters. Overall, the recovery of OM in the pulp decreased from about 70 wt% of OM in the initial biomass at 0 hours, to about 30 wt% after 6 hours. Concomitantly, the recovery of OM in the liquid increased from ~ 15 wt% to ~ 27 wt%. The discrepancy between the decrease of OM in the pulp and the increase of soluble OM in the liquid points to a loss of OM that grows with time. This suggests a boost in the formation of volatile products during the treatment (e.g. furans, short organic acids/aldehydes, water, etc.). Further compositional characterization of the isolated pulps showed that the recoveries of C5 and C6 polysaccharides diminished substantially during the course of the fractionation for all scenarios (Table 1), indicating that neither the presence of hydrogen gas nor the exogenous gas pressure affect (hemi)cellulose solvolysis. On the other hand, ATR-FTIR analyses highlighted an increasing presence of lignin at the pulp surface for decreasing H₂ pressure (see the discussion presented in the Supporting information and Figure S4).

	Experimental conditions ^a			Solid			Liquid		
Entry	Gas	Pressure (bar)	Time (h)	Recovery ^b (wt%)			Yield ^c (wt%)	Yield ^d (wt%)	
				C5	C6	Oi	Phenolic monomers	C5, C6 derivatives	
1 ^e	H_{2}	30	0^{f}	84 ± 6	85 ± 3	40 ±	$6 14.6 \pm 3.7$	3.3 ± 0.3	
2 ^e	H_{2}	30	3	38 ± 3	66 ± 5	71 ±	4 25.2 ± 3.1	4.9 ± 0.2	
3 ^e	H_{2}	30	6	27 ± 2	43 ± 1	81 ±	1 27.5 ± 2.6	7.3 ± 0.6	
4	H_{2}	10	0^{f}	83 ± 5	83 ± 3	34 ±	3 11.9 ± 2.8	2.9 ± 0.3	
5	H_{2}	10	3	41 ± 4	61 ± 2	73 ±	4 15.7 ± 2.6	3.7 ± 0.3	
6	H_{2}	10	6	28 ± 3	43 ± 2	82 ±	$5 \qquad 20.7\pm2.4$	5.8 ± 0.6	
7	N_2	10	0^{f}	86 ± 7	82 ± 2	30 ±	3 9.6 ± 1.7	2.6 ± 0.2	
8	N_2	10	3	36 ± 4	62 ± 3	76 ±	5 11.0 ± 1.0	3.4 ± 0.2	
9	N_2	10	6	27 ± 3	45 ± 1	81 ±	4 12.8 ± 2.6	3.7 ± 0.3	
10	N_2	30	0^{f}	85 ± 7	86 ± 2	34 ±	5 8.6 ± 1.1	2.4 ± 0.5	
11	N_2	30	3	35 ± 4	62 ± 4	$68 \pm$	7 10.4 ± 2.5	3.0 ± 0.2	
12	N_2	30	6	26 ± 2	44 ± 2	$80 \pm$	2 12.4 ± 1.2	3.9 ± 0.3	

Table 1 Biomass derivatives isolated in the solid and liquid fractions obtained for the RCF of wheat straw performed under different pressures of H_2 and N_2 .

^a Fractionation experiments were performed in duplicates, treating 3 g of wheat straw biomass (particle size \leq 2 mm) in 120 mL of methanol, at 250 °C, under different pressures of H₂ or N₂ (measured at room temperature), in the presence of 0.3 g of Ru/C, for various durations.

^b Recovery of C5 and C6 polysaccharides in the solid fraction, expressed with respect to the weight of C5 and C6 polysaccharides in the initial biomass.

^c Yield of lignin oil and phenolic monomers in the liquid fraction, expressed with respect to the weight of acidinsoluble lignin contained in the initial biomass.

^d Yield of non-condensed carbohydrate derivatives in the liquid fraction, expressed with respect to the total weight of polysaccharides contained in the initial biomass.

^e Experimental conditions explored in our previous work.⁴⁶

^fFractionation halted immediately after the setpoint temperature was reached.

Further inspection of the pulps *via* XRD showed that neither the use of H₂, nor the applied pressure affected the cellulose crystallinity index (CI), under the explored conditions (Figure S5). Similarly, subjecting the pulps to enzymatic hydrolysis (20 g L⁻¹ of pulp in 0.1 M citrate buffer, pH 4.8, with 15 FPU of Novozymes Cellic CTec2, at 50 °C, shaking at 150 rpm for 72 hours) revealed only minor differences in the digestibility of glucan and xylan for experiments conducted in the presence of H₂ and N₂ (Figure S5). The apparently slight enhancement of the digestibility of

polysaccharides observed for the use of H_2 could be related to the modestly lower presence of lignin at the surface of the pulps obtained in this case (as determined by ATR-FTIR analysis).

Evaporating the methanol solvent from the liquid fraction and subjecting the non-volatile residue to liquid-liquid extraction with dichloromethane and water, according to a procedure reported elsewhere,^{23,26,49} gave a dichloromethane extract and a water extract. Subsequent evaporation under vacuum of the dichloromethane solvent from the former extract yielded a viscous brown oil, reported to comprise lignin derivatives.^{23,26,49} The results in Table 1 show that the yield of oil increased with the reaction time from 30 - 40 wt% of acid insoluble lignin in the initial biomass at short reaction times (i.e. 0 hours), up to about 80 wt% after 6 hours, irrespective of the presence of H₂ and of the gas pressure applied, indicating that lignin solvolysis is independent of these factors.^{23,51} In order to investigate the molecular weight distribution (MWD) of the produced lignin derivatives, lignin oils were subjected to GPC analysis. Figure 2 shows that the presence of H_2 and the H₂ pressure had a positive impact on the formation of phenolic monomers (MW: 100 - 250 g mol⁻¹) and dimers (MW: ~400 g mol⁻¹) that became more evident at prolonged reaction times. The less apparent tailing in the region with MW > 600 g mol⁻¹, observed for experiments carried out in the presence of H₂ (particularly evident after 6 hours of reaction), highlights a reduced recondensation of lignin fragments, typical of the RCF biorefinery.



Figure 2 GPC chromatograms showing the MWD of the components of lignin oils obtained from the RCF of wheat straw carried out under different pressures of H_2 or N_2 (measured at room temperature). Fractionation experiments were performed treating 3 g of biomass in 120 mL of methanol, at 250 °C, in the presence of 0.3 g of Ru/C, for various durations. The area below each chromatogram was normalized with respect to the yield of lignin oil obtained for the corresponding experiment.

Further insight into the yield of monophenolics produced under different conditions was obtained by GC-MS/FID analysis. Figure 3 and Table 1 illustrate that H₂ influences greatly the kinetics of monomers production. In the presence of 30 bar of H₂ the yield of monophenolics reached a plateau value of ~25 wt% of acid insoluble lignin in the initial biomass after 3 hours of fractionation. On the contrary, the use of an initial H₂ pressure of 10 bar resulted in a slower formation of monomers that continued to increase up to 21 wt% after 6 hours. In the absence of H₂, the production of monophenolics was poorer and increased even more slowly with the reaction time (up to ~12 wt% of acid insoluble lignin in the initial biomass after 6 hours). Notably, the N₂ pressure was found not to affect the kinetics of monomers formation. Since no major influence of H₂ and the gas pressure on lignin solvolysis was determined, the differences in the kinetics of monomers production observed for the various scenarios may be attributed to the different effectiveness of catalytic hydrogenolysis and hydrogenation under the explored conditions. Arguably, the catalytic hydrogenolysis and hydrogenation of lignin fragments are more effective for treatments performed under high hydrogen pressure.^{28,52} Other authors recently suggested that labile ether bonds (*e.g.* β -O-4 linkages) in lignin structures are principally broken by solvolysis and not by catalytic hydrogenolysis under RCF conditions.^{23,24} Assuming this hypothesis holds true and considering the results reported above (Table 1, Figure 3), it follows that the totality of producible monophenolics (*i.e.* the maximum amount of phenolic monomers that can be formed from the cleavage of inter-unit lignin linkages, which do not undergo subsequent recondensation) would be liberated in solution during the first 3 hours of fractionation, as observed in the experiments performed under 30 bar of H₂. At longer reaction times, the yield of monomers stabilization against recondensation (*via* catalytic hydrogenation). The non-negligible increment of the monomer yield observed between 3 and 6 hours for a fractionation performed under 10 bar of H₂ disproves the initial hypothesis, suggesting instead that H₂ does as well contribute to the cleavage of lignin interunit bonds in the solubilized lignin fragments *via* catalytic hydrogenolysis.



Figure 3 Yield of phenolic monomers as determined by GC analysis of the lignin oils obtained from the RCF of wheat straw carried out under different pressures of H_2 or N_2 (measured at room temperature). Fractionation experiments were performed treating 3 g of biomass in 120 mL of methanol, at 250 °C, in the presence of 0.3 g of Ru/C, for various durations. The monomer yield was calculated with respect to the acid insoluble lignin (AIL) content in the initial biomass.

To better elucidate the role of catalytic hydrogenolysis with respect to the cleavage of lignin interunit bonds, 2-phenoxy-1-phenylethanol was selected as a model compound for β -O-4 linkages in lignin,^{53,54} and was subjected to RCF conditions, comparing the outcomes achieved for reactions carried out in the presence of Ru/C (for which the model compound can be cleaved both *via* solvolysis and catalytic hydrogenolysis) and in the absence of a redox catalyst (for which the cleavage of the model compound would predominantly occur *via* solvolysis). For both conditions an initial hydrogen pressure of only 1 bar was applied to prevent the complete hydrogenation of aromatic rings in the reaction products. The GPC chromatograms in Figure 4a show that, when the catalyst was employed, the peak associated with 2-phenoxy-1-phenylethanol (MW: ~200 g mol⁻¹) decreased over the course of the reaction and another peak corresponding to monomeric compounds appeared (MW: ~100 g mol⁻¹). In contrast, for a reaction performed without the catalyst, the dimer peak remained almost unchanged and no formation of low- or high-MW products was detected. More specifically, GC analysis revealed that a yield of monomers (including phenol, ethylbenzene and styrene) of nearly 30% (based on carbon content) was obtained in the presence of the redox catalyst, whereas the monomer yield was limited to 2% in its absence (Figure 4b). Such observations demonstrate that solvolysis alone is not sufficient to effectively break down the β -O-4 bond under the applied conditions, thus confirming the essential role of catalytic hydrogenolysis for the cleavage of lignin fragments during RCF. Hydrogenation of the so-formed lignin units is also crucial to promote their preservation against recondensation reactions.



Figure 4 Effect of catalytic hydrogenolysis on the conversion of 2-phenoxy-1-phenylethanol into phenol and styrene/ethylbenzene. (a) Molecular weight distribution of the products as determined by GPC and (b) conversion and yield of monomers as determined by GC-MS/FID. The reactions were performed in duplicates, treating 0.01 g of 2-phenoxy-1-phenylethanol in 120 mL of methanol, in the presence of 0.015 g of Ru/C or in the absence of a redox catalyst, at 250 °C, under 1 bar of H₂ (measured at room temperature), for a duration of 3 hours. The arrows in the figure indicate the reference axis.

The data reported in Figure 3 reveal also that the presence and the pressure of H₂ considerably affected the fate of the released monomer species (1 - 7 in Figure 3). Under hydrogen gas, the formation of monomers possessing side chains with C=C bonds, such as 4-propenyl-substituted species (3) and 4-methyl coumarate/ferulate (6) was detected at short reaction times, but the formation of their reduced counterparts (4 and 7, respectively) prevailed after 3 hours. In particular, the selectivity toward 3 and 6 was higher at low H₂ pressure, and even larger when N₂ was employed, highlighting a slower catalytic hydrogenation under these conditions.^{23,52} In spite of this, the formation of 4 and 7 was detected also under nitrogen gas at prolonged reaction times, suggesting that the solvent or a part of the lignocellulose itself can act as hydrogen donors during RCF, as previously proposed by other authors.^{35,40,51} The yield of dealkylated monomers (1) was found not to be influenced by the presence and the pressure of H₂, hinting at the independence of dealkylation reactions from hydrogen gas. A similar behavior was determined for the yield of 4ethyl-substituted monomers (2). Conversely, the formation of 5 was scarce in the absence of H_2 and became more important under high pressures of H₂. A similar trend was reported in previous works on the RCF of woody biomass.^{23,28} No evident difference in the yields of the various species of monophenolics were observed for experiments performed under different N2 pressures, indicating that the depolymerization and stabilization of lignin fragments is not affected by inert gas pressure.

The water extract obtained from the liquid-liquid extraction of the non-volatile residue of the liquid fraction was subjected to acid hydrolysis, then it was analyzed *via* HPLC to assess the content of non-condensed carbohydrate derivatives produced upon (hemi)cellulose decomposition (*e.g.* mono- and oligosaccharides, polyols, organic acids, etc.). The yield of non-condensed carbohydrate derivatives was larger in the presence of hydrogen gas and increased at higher H₂

pressure (Figure S6), in view of a more considerable production of ethylene glycol and 1,2propylene glycol. These short diols were reported to be formed during RCF *via* hydrogenation of retro-aldol condensation intermediates generated from the hydrolysis of (hemi)cellulose.^{55–57} On the other hand, the yields of monosaccharides and formic acid did not vary markedly for the different scenarios, suggesting that their formation is independent of hydrogen gas and of the operating pressure.

Using the solvent as a hydrogen donor

With the goal of exploring further the role of the solvent as a hydrogen donor for the replacement of H_2 within the RCF of wheat straw, RCF experiments were performed in methanol, ethanol, and isopropanol, under inert atmosphere (30 bar of N_2 , measured at room temperature), and the outcomes were compared.

The analysis of OM in the isolated solid and liquid fractions revealed only few differences deriving from the use of the three solvents (Figure S7). At short reaction times, the use of isopropanol resulted in a slightly higher recovery of OM in the pulp compared to methanol and ethanol and, correspondingly, in a lower recovery of OM in the liquid, pointing to a slower solvolysis in this less polar solvent.²⁹ After a reaction time of 6 hours, the adoption of ethanol as a solvent resulted in a larger recovery of OM in the liquid fraction. Since the OM in the pulp was not lower than that measured for the use of methanol or isopropanol, such observation appears not to be determined by an enhanced solvolysis of lignocellulose in ethanol. On the other hand, the alkylation and esterification of the solubilized lignin and carbohydrate derivatives may be the cause of this behavior.^{58,59} Overall, the OM balance decreased from about 85 wt% of OM in the initial biomass at 0 hours to 55 - 60 wt% after 6 hours for all scenarios, indicating that a substantial conversion

of biomass toward volatiles occurs independently of the solvent that is selected, under the explored reaction conditions.

Table 2 Biomass derivatives isolated in the solid and liquid fractions obtained for the RCF of wheat straw performed with different alcoholic solvents.

Entry	Experimental co	nditions ^a	Solid Recovery ^b (wt%)		Liquid			
		Time (h)			Yield ^c (wt%)		Yield ^d (wt%)	
	Solvent		C5	C6	Oil	Phenolic monomers	C5, C6 derivatives	
1	MeOH	0 ^e	85 ± 7	85 ± 2	34 ± 5	8.6 ± 1.1	2.4 ± 0.5	
2	MeOH	3	35 ± 4	62 ± 4	68 ± 7	10.4 ± 2.5	3.0 ± 0.2	
3	MeOH	6	26 ± 2	44 ± 2	80 ± 2	12.4 ± 1.2	3.9 ± 0.3	
4	EtOH	0 ^e	78 ± 6	88 ± 2	35 ± 3	10.8 ± 0.8	2.3 ± 0.1	
5	EtOH	3	43 ± 5	60 ± 3	86 ± 7	17.8 ± 2.3	3.0 ± 0.2	
6	EtOH	6	35 ± 4	46 ± 1	124 ± 4	19.9 ± 1.5	4.0 ± 0.3	
7	iPrOH	0 ^e	79 ± 6	86 ± 2	18 ± 4	3.0 ± 0.3	1.9 ± 0.1	
8	iPrOH	3	38 ± 4	62 ± 3	56 ± 2	11.6 ± 2.2	3.8 ± 0.3	
9	iPrOH	6	36 ± 4	44 ± 1	77 ± 4	14.4 ± 1.5	3.7 ± 0.3	

^a Fractionation experiments were performed in duplicates, treating 3 g of wheat straw biomass (particle size ≤ 2 mm) in 120 mL of methanol, ethanol or isopropanol, at 250 °C, under 30 bar of N₂ (measured at room temperature), in the presence of 0.3 g of Ru/C, for various durations.

^b Recovery of C5 and C6 polysaccharides in the solid fraction, expressed with respect to the weight of C5 and C6 polysaccharides in the initial biomass.

^c Yield of lignin oil and phenolic monomers in the liquid fraction, expressed with respect to the weight of acidinsoluble lignin contained in the initial biomass.

^d Yield of non-condensed carbohydrate derivatives in the liquid fraction, expressed with respect to the total weight of polysaccharides contained in the initial biomass.

^e Fractionation halted immediately after the setpoint temperature was reached.

The compositional analysis of the isolated pulps showed that the (hemi)cellulose decomposition during the treatment is not affected by the type of alcoholic solvent, as the recoveries of polysaccharides followed a similar trend for all scenarios, diminishing from 80 - 85 wt% at 0 hours to 30 - 35 wt% at 6 hours for C5 polysaccharides, and from 80 - 85 wt% at 0 hours to ~ 45 wt% at 6 hours for C6 polysaccharides (Table 2). Conversely, ATR-FTIR analysis of the pulps suggest that a larger content of lignin is present at the surface of the pulps obtained from RCF performed with less polar alcoholic solvents (see the discussion presented in the Supporting information and

Figure S8). In spite of this, Figure S9 shows that the CIs of the pulps and the enzymatic digestibility of the preserved glucan and xylan did not vary substantially with the use of different alcoholic solvents.

The yield of lignin oil in the presence of the different solvents was found to follow a similar trend as the yield of liquid OM, with a slower formation of oil observed for the use of isopropanol, which confirms its poorer ability to solvolytically extract lignin (Table 2). The largest yield of oil was observed for the use of ethanol at longer reaction times. Notably, the yield of 124 wt% of acid insoluble lignin in the initial biomass, determined after 6 hours for a reaction performed in the presence of ethanol, indicates the inclusion of non-lignin components in the oil. The incorporation of biomass extractives or humins originating from the condensation of dehydration products formed during (hemi)cellulose decomposition may be partially responsible for this behavior.^{60,61} Another explanation could be found in the alkylation and esterification of lignin derivatives by the solvent.^{58,59}

Remarkable differences related to the use of the various alcoholic solvents were observed also in the MWD of the components of the isolated lignin oils (Figure 5). At a short reaction time of 0 hours, the use of isopropanol resulted in a low formation of lignin monomers, dimers and oligomers, consistently with the slower lignin extraction and cleavage with this solvent. On the other hand, the use of methanol and ethanol resulted in a moderate production of lignin monomers. At longer times, while the formation of monophenolics appeared to be hindered in the presence of methanol, a more abundant production of both low- and high-MW lignin derivatives occurred for the use of isopropanol and, more prominently, of ethanol.



Figure 5 GPC chromatograms showing the MWD of the components of lignin oils obtained from the RCF of wheat straw carried out using different alcoholic solvents. Fractionation experiments were performed treating 3 g of biomass in 120 mL of methanol, ethanol or isopropanol, at 250 °C, under 30 bar of N₂ (measured at room temperature), in the presence of 0.3 g of Ru/C, for various durations. The area below each chromatogram was normalized with respect to the yield of lignin oil obtained for the corresponding experiment.

The results obtained from GC analysis of lignin oils corroborated the observations made based on GPC (Table 2, Figure 6). At 0 hours, the use of isopropanol led to the lowest yield of monomers: 3 wt% of acid insoluble lignin in the initial biomass, which increased to ~12 wt% after 3 hours. In contrast, the use of methanol resulted in a much-reduced increase of the monomer yield over time, which went from ~9 wt% at 0 hours to ~10 wt% at 3 hours. While methanol is more effective for lignin solvolysis,²⁹ isopropanol is a better hydrogen donor.^{38,62} We reason that the marginal increment of the monomer yield observed in methanol compared to isopropanol may be due to the combination of a faster lignin solvolysis and a slower catalytic hydrogenolysis and hydrogenation of lignin moieties in methanol, which could ultimately make the rapidly released fragments more subject to recondensation reactions (Scheme S1). An intermediate outcome was observed for the RCF in ethanol, which resulted in a monomer yield of ~11 wt% at 0 hours and of ~18 wt% at 3

hours, suggesting that the timescales of solvolysis, hydrogenolysis and hydrogenation of lignin are better with this solvent, under the applied conditions.

The type of alcoholic solvent employed in the process was also found to influence the formation of different monomer species (Figure 6). 4-Methyl-, 4-ethyl- and 4-isopropyl- coumarate/ferulate were produced in the presence of methanol, ethanol and isopropanol, respectively, confirming the occurrence of lignin esterification by the solvents. The yields of **6** and **7** were lowest for the use of isopropanol. Overall, the yield of monomer species with saturated alkyl chains increased over time at the expense of species possessing unsaturated alkyl chains. A larger yield of unsaturated monomers, such as **3** and **6**, was observed at reaction times equal or greater than 3 hours for the use of ethanol and isopropanol as compared to methanol. This may be explained by a slower solvolytic release of lignin fragments in ethanol and isopropanol.²⁹ Notably, **5**, which is preferentially formed in the presence of H₂ (see above), was observed only for the use of ethanol and isopropanol, highlighting their better hydrogenation performance compared to methanol.^{38,62} Conversely, the yield of **1** and **2** did not vary substantially in the presence of different alcoholic solvents.



Figure 6 Yield of phenolic monomers as determined by GC analysis of the lignin oils obtained from the RCF of wheat straw carried out using different alcoholic solvents. Fractionation experiments were performed treating 3 g of biomass in 120 mL of methanol, ethanol or isopropanol, at 250 °C, under 30 bar of N₂ (measured at room temperature), in the presence of 0.3 g of Ru/C, for various durations. The monomer yield was calculated with respect to the acid insoluble lignin (AIL) content in the initial biomass. Structures **6** and **7** in the legend indicate 4alkyl coumarate/ferulate and 4-alkyl dihydrocoumarate/ferulate species, wherein the alkyl substituent can be a methyl, ethyl or isopropyl group.

Table 2 and Figure S10 show that, for the hydrogen-free RCF of wheat straw, the yield of noncondensed carbohydrate derivatives was lower than 4 wt% of polysaccharides in the initial biomass, irrespective of the type of alcoholic solvent used. Nevertheless, the formation of xylose and glucose was highest when methanol was employed and diminished for the adoption of ethanol and even further for the use of isopropanol (Figure S10), possibly due to a poorer solvolytic release of monosaccharides in the presence of less polar alcoholic solvents. In contrast, the yields of ethylene glycol and 1,2-propylene glycol followed an opposite trend, highlighting the superior performance of isopropanol as a hydrogen donor.^{38,62} Another explanation for such behavior may be that methanol is able to perform a better stabilization of sugars in the form of alkyl glycosides compared to ethanol and isopropanol, thus sugar residues would be less available for (de)hydration and retro-aldol condensation reactions in the former solvent.^{55,63}

Hydrogen-free RCF at mild temperature with an acid cocatalyst

In an effort to overcome the limitations imposed by the extensive decomposition of polysaccharides observed for the RCF of wheat straw in low-boiling alcohols at 250 °C, an alternative hydrogen-free process configuration at milder temperature was investigated. In this framework, an acid cocatalyst was used to promote the cleavage of ester and ether bonds linking lignin to hemicellulose and lignin units with each other (*e.g.* β -O-4 bonds).^{42,49} RCF experiments were performed treating 3 g of milled biomass in 120 mL of methanol, at 200 °C, in the presence of 0.3 g of Ru/C and aqueous H₃PO₄ (800 µL of 85% H₃PO₄, to reach a final concentration in the reaction mixture of 0.1 M), under 30 bar of N₂, for a duration comprised between 0 and 6 hours. Analogous *blank* experiments carried out without adding aqueous H₃PO₄ to the reaction mixture were conducted for comparison.

The mass balance for OM illustrated in Figure S11 shows that the introduction of the acid cocatalyst within RCF resulted in a much larger recovery of OM in the liquid fraction compared to *blank* experiments and, correspondingly, in a lower recovery of OM in the pulp. A plateau value of ~46 wt% of OM in the initial biomass was attained for the recovery of OM in the liquid fraction for the use of H₃PO₄ after 3 hours of fractionation, whereas a plateau at ~15 wt% was obtained after the same time in the absence of the acid, demonstrating the substantial enhancement of lignocellulose solvolysis resulting from the use of the acid cocatalyst. Interestingly, in contrast to the observations made above for the RCF conducted at 250 °C, the mass balance for OM did not reveal any substantial loss with the reaction time: the losses remained around 10 - 15 wt% both in

the presence and in the absence of the acid cocatalyst, pointing to a minor conversion of biomass toward volatile components at milder temperature (200 °C).

Entry	Experimental con	nditions ^a	Solid Recovery ^b (wt%)		Liquid			
		Time (h)			Yi (w	Yield ^c (wt%)		
	Cocatalyst		C5	C6	Oil	Phenolic monomers	C5, C6 derivatives	
1	H ₃ PO ₄	0 ^e	68 ± 5	88 ± 3	13 ± 1	1.4 ± 0.2	8.2 ± 0.7	
2	H_3PO_4	3	16 ± 4	84 ± 2	67 ± 5	15.9 ± 1.5	16.4 ± 2.4	
3	H_3PO_4	6	10 ± 2	76 ± 3	83 ± 8	18.2 ± 1.6	15.2 ± 1.1	
4	-	0 ^e	84 ± 6	92 ± 2	9 ± 1	1.3 ± 0.1	2.1 ± 0.2	
5	-	3	79 ± 6	84 ± 3	36 ± 5	10.2 ± 1.0	2.7 ± 0.2	
6	-	6	70 ± 5	81 ± 2	41 ± 4	10.7 ± 1.0	2.9 ± 0.2	

Table 3 Biomass derivatives isolated in the solid and liquid fractions obtained for the RCF of wheat straw performed in the presence or in the absence of aqueous H₃PO₄.

^a Fractionation experiments were performed in duplicates, treating 3 g of wheat straw biomass (particle size ≤ 2 mm) in 120 mL of methanol, at 200 °C, under 30 bar of N₂ (measured at room temperature), in the presence of 0.3 g of Ru/C and of aqueous H₃PO₄ (800 µL of 85% H₃PO₄, to reach a final concentration in the reaction mixture of 0.1 M), or in the absence of aqueous H₃PO₄, for various durations.

^b Recovery of C5 and C6 polysaccharides in the solid fraction, expressed with respect to the weight of C5 and C6 polysaccharides in the initial biomass.

[°] Yield of lignin oil and phenolic monomers in the liquid fraction, expressed with respect to the weight of acidinsoluble lignin contained in the initial biomass.

^d Yield of non-condensed carbohydrate derivatives in the liquid fraction, expressed with respect to the total weight of polysaccharides contained in the initial biomass.

^e Fractionation halted immediately after the setpoint temperature was reached.

The influence of H₃PO₄ on the pulp morphology was inspected by SEM, which showed that the RCF treatment carried out in the presence of the acid cocatalyst resulted in the exposure of disordered slender fibers (Figure 7a). A fibrous structure was evident as well for a *blank* treatment, but in this case the fibers were packed together and arranged in bundles (Figure 7b), highlighting the effect of the acid with respect to enhancing the disassembly of the lignocellulose structure.



Figure 7 SEM images obtained for the solid fraction isolated after treating 3 g of wheat straw in 120 mL of methanol, at 200 °C, under 30 bar of N₂ (measured at room temperature), in the presence of 0.3 g of Ru/C and of aqueous H₃PO₄ (800 μ L of 85% H₃PO₄, to reach a final concentration in the reaction mixture of 0.1 M) (a), or in the absence of aqueous H₃PO₄ (b), for 3 hours. The images were collected using a Jeol FEG-SEM 7600F, operating at 15 keV. The scale bar is 100 μ m.

Remarkable differences between the acid-catalyzed treatment and a *blank* treatment were observed also in terms of the recovery of polysaccharides (Table 3). Consistently with previous works,^{42,49,63} the use of the acid cocatalyst led to a considerable removal of hemicellulose from the pulp, with a recovery of C5 polysaccharides that decreased from 68 wt% at 0 hours to 10 wt% at 6 hours. A much more contained decline from 84 wt% to 70 wt% was observed for *blank* experiments in the same time range. In contrast, cellulose was well-preserved for both scenarios, with a recovery of about 80 wt% after 6 hours of fractionation. Thus, the use of H₃PO₄ resulted in a substantially larger cellulose purity in the pulp, which reached a maximum of 63 wt% (relative to the OM content of the pulp) after 3 hours of fractionation, whereas cellulose purity did not exceed 41 wt% in the absence of the cocatalyst. The ATR-FTIR spectra of the pulps exhibited extensive lignin and hemicellulose removal both in the presence and in the absence of H₃PO₄ (see the discussion presented in the Supporting information and Figure S12). No major differences were observed for

the acid-catalyzed and the *blank* treatments with respect to the CI, which remained around 50% in both cases for durations between 0 and 6 hours (Figure S13). On the other hand, a larger enzymatic conversion of glucan and xylan in the pulp was determined at short reaction times in the presence of the acid cocatalyst (Figure S13), possibly due to a faster disassembly of the lignocellulose structure that could make cellulose more accessible to enzymatic attack.⁶⁴ At longer times, the enzymatic digestibility of the preserved polysaccharides was slightly larger for a *blank* treatment, reaching 89% and 92% after 6 hours of treatment for glucan and xylan, respectively, compared to a saccharification yield of 80% and 71% achieved in the presence of H₃PO₄. Such difference may be attributed to the higher lignin content at the pulp surface observed *via* FTIR analysis for acidcatalyzed reactions (see the discussion presented in the Supporting information and Figure S12).⁶⁵ Another explanation for this behavior may be the enhanced formation of enzyme inhibitors (*e.g.* humins) in the presence of the acid cocatalyst.^{64,66}

The formation of lignin oil was also remarkably affected by the use of an acid cocatalyst (Table 3). *Blank* reactions resulted in yields of oil that increased from 9 wt% of acid insoluble lignin in the initial biomass at 0 hours, up to a plateau value of ~40 wt% after 3 hours of fractionation. Larger yields of oil were obtained in the presence of H₃PO₄, increasing from 13 wt% at 0 hours to 83 wt% after 6 hours. Such difference points to an enhanced extraction of lignin from biomass by virtue of the action of the acid cocatalyst. Noteworthy, as reported in previous works,^{42,49} condensed carbohydrate derivatives arising from the acid-catalyzed repolymerization of intermediates produced upon (hemi)cellulose decomposition (*i.e.* humins) may be incorporated in lignin oil more substantially in the presence of an acid cocatalyst, thus contributing to its higher yield (see below). The GPC analysis of lignin oils revealed that, for a short reaction time of 0 hours, similar MWD profiles were obtained for the use of H₃PO₄ and for a *blank* treatment.

Conversely, at times greater or equal than 3 hours the use of the acid cocatalyst resulted in a larger formation of monomers, dimers and oligomers, compared to the *blank* reactions (Figure 8). This behavior may be explained by the dual effect of the acid cocatalyst: on the one hand, it promotes the cleavage of ether bonds in lignin structures, thereby liberating higher amounts of short lignin fragments in solution (*e.g.* monomers and dimers).^{49,63} On the other hand, it favors the occurrence of acid-catalyzed recondensation reactions between the formed lignin moieties, yielding more phenolic oligomers.¹³ In addition to the peaks attributed to phenolic monomers (MW: 100 - 250 g mol⁻¹), a peak at MW < 100 g mol⁻¹ was observed for the use of H₃PO₄ at 3 hours of reaction, which increased after 6 hours, possibly associated with the formation of furanic compounds produced upon (hemi)cellulose decomposition.⁴⁹



Figure 8 GPC chromatograms showing the MWD of the components of lignin oils obtained from the RCF of wheat straw carried out in the presence of aqueous H₃PO₄ (800 μ L of 85% H₃PO₄, to reach a final concentration in the reaction mixture of 0.1 M), or in the absence of aqueous H₃PO₄ (*Blank*). Fractionation experiments were performed treating 3 g of biomass in 120 mL of methanol, at 250 °C, under 30 bar of N₂ (measured at room temperature), in the presence of 0.3 g of Ru/C, for various durations. The area below each chromatogram was normalized with respect to the yield of lignin oil obtained for the corresponding experiment.

To shed light on the influence of the acid cocatalyst with respect to the cleavage of lignin interunit linkages and on the incorporation of carbohydrate dehydration products in lignin oil, samples of oil obtained from reactions carried out in the presence and in the absence of H₃PO₄ were subjected to ¹H-¹³C HSQC NMR analysis. The spectra in panels a and b of Figure S14 show that, for a treatment performed in the presence of the acid cocatalyst, a complete disappearance of the cross signals corresponding to β -O-4 linkages was observed, as opposed to the *blank* treatment, for which the cross signals were still apparent. Such evidence suggests that H₃PO₄ plays a prominent role with respect to the cleavage of β -O-4 bonds in lignin structures, promoting the release of lignin units during the hydrogen-free RCF of wheat straw at mild temperature. Moreover, the spectra reported in panels c and d of Figure S14 illustrate that the lignin oil isolated after a reaction performed with the acid cocatalyst comprises furanic structures that are not observed in the absence of H₃PO₄, supporting the idea that the acidic environment promotes dehydration (and condensation) of the intermediates released upon decomposition of (hemi)cellulose from wheat straw and their subsequent incorporation in lignin oil.



Figure 9 Yield of phenolic monomers as determined by GC analysis of the lignin oils obtained from the RCF of wheat straw carried out in the presence of aqueous H₃PO₄ (800 μ L of 85% H₃PO₄, to reach a final concentration in the reaction mixture of 0.1 M), or in the absence of aqueous H₃PO₄ (Blank). Fractionation experiments were performed treating 3 g of biomass in 120 mL of methanol, at 200 °C, under 30 bar of N₂ (measured at room temperature), in the presence of 0.3 g of Ru/C, for various durations. The monomer yield was calculated with respect to the acid insoluble lignin (AIL) content in the initial biomass.

Further GC analysis of the lignin oils corroborated the observations made based on GPC. Table 3 shows that the formation of monophenolics was favored by the presence of H₃PO₄, reaching a yield of 18 wt% of acid insoluble lignin in the initial biomass after 6 hours of reaction, whereas a plateau value of ~10 wt% was attained after 3 hours for the blank treatment. Interestingly, the presence of the acid cocatalyst was not found to influence the selectivity for different monomer species (Figure 9), which was of about 20 wt% for 3 and 70 wt% for 6 at 0 hours, and ~50 wt% for 3 and 40-45 wt% for 6 at reaction times greater or equal than 3 hours. The other monomer species were produced only in marginal amounts, suggesting that the hydrogen-free RCF performed at mild temperature in the presence of an acid cocatalyst may be an attractive method for the selective conversion of wheat straw lignin toward 4-propenyl- and 4-alkylpropenoatesubstituted monomers. We surmise that the preferential formation of species possessing unsaturated alkyl chains may be due to the combined effects of a poorer catalytic hydrogenation in the absence of H_2 ,²³ and of a lower tendency of lignin fragments to undergo recondensation at mild temperature, despite the presence of the acid cocatalyst.²⁵ Notably, the production of monophenolics possessing side chains with alkene functionalities may be advantageous as these compounds can directly serve as starting materials for various applications, including the manufacture of fine chemicals (e.g. via cross metathesis)^{39,67,68} and polymers^{32,69}. Finally, consistently with GPC and NMR analyses, GC chromatograms exhibited a more apparent presence of carbohydrate dehydration products for the lignin oil isolated from a reaction performed with H₃PO₄ compared to a *blank* experiment (Figure S15).

The yield of non-condensed carbohydrate derivatives isolated in the water phase obtained from liquid-liquid extraction of the non-volatile residue of the liquid fraction was also greatly influenced by the employment of H₃PO₄ within the RCF process (Table 3). Figure S16 shows that moderately high yields of xylose and glucose (up to a maximum of about 14 wt% of polysaccharides in the initial biomass) were achieved in the presence of the acid cocatalyst. Conversely, negligible amounts of monosaccharides were measured for *blank* reactions, in line with the less extensive decomposition of hemicellulose observed in this scenario.

To inspect a possible enhancement of the yields of lignin monomers or non-condensed carbohydrate products that could arise from the use of a better hydrogen-donor solvent within the acid-catalyzed process at mild temperature, an additional experiment was conducted subjecting wheat straw to RCF conditions in the presence of ethanol instead of methanol, for a duration of 3 hours. In parallel, control reactions were carried out for comparison pressurizing the reactor with 30 bar of H₂, both for the use of methanol and for ethanol. The outcomes of these process configurations are summarized in Tables S2 - S4. A remarkable difference observed when ethanol was adopted is the greater yield of lignin oil that was attained, hinting to the possible occurrence of lignin alkylation and esterification by the solvent.^{58,59} Under inert atmosphere, the use of ethanol was not found to result in a much larger monomer yield compared to methanol (17 wt% for ethanol 16 wt% for methanol). Nonetheless, the partial formation of 4-propyl-substituted vs. monophenolics measured in ethanol points to an improved hydrogenation of lignin in this solvent (Figure S17). Performing the acid-catalyzed RCF under hydrogen gas resulted in monomer yields that reached ~22 wt% both for the use of methanol and for ethanol, with an extensive hydrogenation of the alkyl side chains, and the formation of 4-propanol-substituted monophenolics, which were not observed under N₂ (Figure S17). The yield of non-condensed carbohydrate derivatives did not change markedly in the presence of ethanol or for the use of H_2 , and monosaccharides were the main products for all the explored scenarios (Figure S18).

A significant finding is that the drop of the monomer yield associated with the replacement of H_2 with N_2 was considerably more contained for the acid-catalyzed process at mild temperature (from ~22 wt% to ~16 wt%) in comparison to that determined for RCF at supercritical conditions (from ~25 wt% to ~12 wt%). Thus, we propose that the mild-temperature acid-catalyzed process can represent a promising strategy for the development of a hydrogen-free RCF treatment of wheat straw biomass. The reader is invited to see the simplified economic assessment presented in the Supporting information, which suggests that the acid-catalyzed, hydrogen-free RCF of wheat straw produces revenues per unit weight of biomass comparable to those reported for the RCF of woody biomass,^{26,70} and for other lignin-first processes.^{17,18}

Conclusions

The reductive catalytic fractionation (RCF) of wheat straw at 250 °C, in the presence of different pressures of hydrogen and nitrogen gas was investigated, and the use of methanol, ethanol and isopropanol as hydrogen-donor solvents within a hydrogen-free RCF was inspected. Hydrogen gas was found to play a prominent role in enhancing the yield of phenolic monomers (up to ~25 wt% of acid insoluble lignin in the initial biomass) and non-condensed carbohydrate derivatives (up to ~7 wt% of polysaccharides in the initial biomass), boosting the formation of monophenolics with saturated side chains from lignin, and short glycols from (hemi)cellulose. Reactions with biomass and model reactions showed that both solvolysis and hydrogenolysis contribute to the cleavage of labile lignin inter-unit bonds during RCF. In the absence of H₂, the use of ethanol as a solvent led to the highest yield of phenolic monomers (up to ~20 wt%), by virtue of a good balance between

solvolysis, hydrogenolysis and hydrogenation of lignin. Nevertheless, an extensive (hemi)cellulose decomposition was observed when processing wheat straw at 250 °C that was recognized as a substantial drawback with respect to the valorization of the carbohydrate fraction. The adoption of a lower treatment temperature of 200 °C in combination with aqueous H₃PO₄ was shown to be a convenient way to overcome such limitation, resulting in a high cellulose recovery in the pulp (\geq 80 wt%), and a considerable solubilization of hemicellulose (with moderate release of monosaccharides in the medium) and lignin. Importantly, the use of H₃PO₄ boosted the formation of monophenolics compared to a treatment without acid cocatalyst, leading to a yield of monomers of up to ~18 wt% under inert atmosphere that did not increase drastically in the presence of H₂.

While process conditions should be further optimized to improve the yield of low-MW lignin derivatives in the absence of hydrogen gas, the present study provides insight into the role of H_2 during RCF, and outlines a pathway toward the development of a hydrogen-free RCF of lignocellulosic biomass.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

- * <u>damien.debecker@uclouvain.be</u>
- * iwona.cybulska@uclouvain.be

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was funded by an FSR grant (Fonds spéciaux de recherche) from UCLouvain and by the Innoviris research grant BRIDGE-RE4BRU. D. P. D. thanks the Francqui Foundation for his Francqui Research Professor Chair. M. Leclercq and T. Nicolay are acknowledged for their help with assembling the experimental setup and with the development of GC-MS/FID methods. The MOCA platform of UCLouvain is acknowledged for its support with analytical techniques.

REFERENCES

- Menon, V.; Rao, M. Trends in Bioconversion of Lignocellulose: Biofuels, Platform Chemicals & Biorefinery Concept. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science* 2012, *38* (4), 522–550. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecs.2012.02.002.
- (2) Cai, J.; He, Y.; Yu, X.; Banks, S. W.; Yang, Y.; Zhang, X.; Yu, Y.; Liu, R.; Bridgwater, A. V. Review of Physicochemical Properties and Analytical Characterization of Lignocellulosic Biomass. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 2017, *76*, 309–322. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.03.072.
- Ragauskas, A. J.; Beckham, G. T.; Biddy, M. J.; Chandra, R.; Chen, F.; Davis, M. F.; Davison, B. H.; Dixon, R. A.; Gilna, P.; Keller, M.; Langan, P.; Naskar, A. K.; Saddler, J. N.; Tschaplinski, T. J.; Tuskan, G. A.; Wyman, C. E. Lignin Valorization: Improving Lignin Processing in the Biorefinery. *Science* 2014, 344 (6185), 1246843–1246843. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1246843.
- (4) Zakzeski, J.; Bruijnincx, P. C. A.; Jongerius, A. L.; Weckhuysen, B. M. The Catalytic Valorization of Lignin for the Production of Renewable Chemicals. *Chem. Rev.* 2010, *110* (6), 3552–3599. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr900354u.
- (5) Rinaldi, R.; Jastrzebski, R.; Clough, M. T.; Ralph, J.; Kennema, M.; Bruijnincx, P. C. A.; Weckhuysen, B. M. Paving the Way for Lignin Valorisation: Recent Advances in Bioengineering, Biorefining and Catalysis. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, 55 (29), 8164– 8215. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201510351.
- (6) Xu, C.; Arancon, R. A. D.; Labidi, J.; Luque, R. Lignin Depolymerisation Strategies: Towards Valuable Chemicals and Fuels. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2014, 43 (22), 7485–7500. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CS00235K.
- Galkin, M. V.; Samec, J. S. M. Lignin Valorization through Catalytic Lignocellulose Fractionation: A Fundamental Platform for the Future Biorefinery. *ChemSusChem* 2016, 9 (13), 1544–1558. https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201600237.
- (8) Giummarella, N.; Pu, Y.; Ragauskas, A. J.; Lawoko, M. A Critical Review on the Analysis of Lignin Carbohydrate Bonds. *Green Chem.* 2019, 21 (7), 1573–1595. https://doi.org/10.1039/C8GC03606C.
- (9) Lee, H. V.; Hamid, S. B. A.; Zain, S. K. Conversion of Lignocellulosic Biomass to Nanocellulose: Structure and Chemical Process. *The Scientific World Journal* 2014, 2014, 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/631013.

- (10) Zhang, X.; Yang, W.; Blasiak, W. Modeling Study of Woody Biomass: Interactions of Cellulose, Hemicellulose, and Lignin. *Energy Fuels* **2011**, *25* (10), 4786–4795. https://doi.org/10.1021/ef201097d.
- (11) Sun, Z.; Fridrich, B.; de Santi, A.; Elangovan, S.; Barta, K. Bright Side of Lignin Depolymerization: Toward New Platform Chemicals. *Chem. Rev.* 2018, *118* (2), 614–678. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00588.
- (12) Galbe, M.; Wallberg, O. Pretreatment for Biorefineries: A Review of Common Methods for Efficient Utilisation of Lignocellulosic Materials. *Biotechnol Biofuels* 2019, 12 (1), 294. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-019-1634-1.
- (13) Schutyser, W.; Renders, T.; Van den Bosch, S.; Koelewijn, S.-F.; Beckham, G. T.; Sels, B. F. Chemicals from Lignin: An Interplay of Lignocellulose Fractionation, Depolymerisation, and Upgrading. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2018, 47 (3), 852–908. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CS00566K.
- (14) Abu-Omar, M. M.; Barta, K.; Beckham, G. T.; Luterbacher, J. S.; Ralph, J.; Rinaldi, R.; Román-Leshkov, Y.; Samec, J. S. M.; Sels, B. F.; Wang, F. Guidelines for Performing Lignin-First Biorefining. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2021, 262–292. https://doi.org/10.1039/D0EE02870C.
- (15) Questell-Santiago, Y. M.; Galkin, M. V.; Barta, K.; Luterbacher, J. S. Stabilization Strategies in Biomass Depolymerization Using Chemical Functionalization. *Nat Rev Chem* 2020, 4 (6), 311–330. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41570-020-0187-y.
- (16) Luo, X.; Li, Y.; Gupta, N. K.; Sels, B.; Ralph, J.; Shuai, L. Protection Strategies Enable Selective Conversion of Biomass. *Angew. Chem.* 2020, 132 (29), 11800–11812. https://doi.org/10.1002/ange.201914703.
- (17) Brienza, F.; Van Aelst, K.; Thielemans, K.; Sels, B. F.; Debecker, D. P.; Cybulska, I. Enhancing Lignin Depolymerization *via* a Dithionite-Assisted Organosolv Fractionation of Birch Sawdust. *Green Chem.* 2021, 23 (9), 3268–3276. https://doi.org/10.1039/D1GC00503K.
- (18) Brienza, F.; Van Aelst, K.; Devred, F.; Magnin, D.; Tschulkow, M.; Nimmegeers, P.; Van Passel, S.; Sels, B. F.; Gerin, P.; Debecker, D. P.; Cybulska, I. Unleashing Lignin Potential through the Dithionite-Assisted Organosolv Fractionation of Lignocellulosic Biomass. *Chemical Engineering Journal* 2022, 450, 138179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2022.138179.
- (19) Renders, T.; Van den Bosch, S.; Koelewijn, S.-F.; Schutyser, W.; Sels, B. F. Lignin-First Biomass Fractionation: The Advent of Active Stabilisation Strategies. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2017, *10* (7), 1551–1557. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7EE01298E.
- (20) Renders, T.; Van den Bossche, G.; Vangeel, T.; Van Aelst, K.; Sels, B. Reductive Catalytic Fractionation: State of the Art of the Lignin-First Biorefinery. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* 2019, 56, 193–201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2018.12.005.
- (21) Van den Bosch, S.; Koelewijn, S.-F.; Renders, T.; Van den Bossche, G.; Vangeel, T.; Schutyser, W.; Sels, B. F. Catalytic Strategies Towards Lignin-Derived Chemicals. *Top Curr Chem (Z)* 2018, 376 (5), 36. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41061-018-0214-3.
- (22) Zakzeski, J.; Bruijnincx, P. C. A.; Jongerius, A. L.; Weckhuysen, B. M. The Catalytic Valorization of Lignin for the Production of Renewable Chemicals. *Chem. Rev.* 2010, *110* (6), 3552–3599. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr900354u.
- (23) Van den Bosch, S.; Renders, T.; Kennis, S.; Koelewijn, S.-F.; Van den Bossche, G.; Vangeel, T.; Deneyer, A.; Depuydt, D.; Courtin, C. M.; Thevelein, J. M.; Schutyser, W.;

Sels, B. F. Integrating Lignin Valorization and Bio-Ethanol Production: On the Role of Ni-Al ₂ O ₃ Catalyst Pellets during Lignin-First Fractionation. *Green Chem.* **2017**, *19* (14), 3313–3326. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7GC01324H.

- (24) Chen, L.; van Muyden, A. P.; Cui, X.; Fei, Z.; Yan, N.; Laurenczy, G.; Dyson, P. J. Lignin First: Confirming the Role of the Metal Catalyst in Reductive Fractionation. *JACS Au* 2021, *1* (6), 729–733. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacsau.1c00018.
- (25) Qiu, S.; Wang, M.; Fang, Y.; Tan, T. Reductive Catalytic Fractionation of Lignocellulose: When Should the Catalyst Meet Depolymerized Lignin Fragments? *Sustainable Energy Fuels* 2020, *4* (11), 5588–5594. https://doi.org/10.1039/D0SE01118E.
- (26) Van den Bosch, S.; Schutyser, W.; Vanholme, R.; Driessen, T.; Koelewijn, S.-F.; Renders, T.; De Meester, B.; Huijgen, W. J. J.; Dehaen, W.; Courtin, C. M.; Lagrain, B.; Boerjan, W.; Sels, B. F. Reductive Lignocellulose Fractionation into Soluble Lignin-Derived Phenolic Monomers and Dimers and Processable Carbohydrate Pulps. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2015, *8* (6), 1748–1763. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5EE00204D.
- (27) Renders, T.; Van den Bosch, S.; Vangeel, T.; Ennaert, T.; Koelewijn, S.-F.; Van den Bossche, G.; Courtin, C. M.; Schutyser, W.; Sels, B. F. Synergetic Effects of Alcohol/Water Mixing on the Catalytic Reductive Fractionation of Poplar Wood. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 2016, 4 (12), 6894–6904. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.6b01844.
- (28) Renders, T.; Cooreman, E.; Van den Bosch, S.; Schutyser, W.; Koelewijn, S.-F.; Vangeel, T.; Deneyer, A.; Van den Bossche, G.; Courtin, C. M.; Sels, B. F. Catalytic Lignocellulose Biorefining in *n* -Butanol/Water: A One-Pot Approach toward Phenolics, Polyols, and Cellulose. *Green Chem.* 2018, 20 (20), 4607–4619. https://doi.org/10.1039/C8GC01031E.
- (29) Schutyser, W.; Van den Bosch, S.; Renders, T.; De Boe, T.; Koelewijn, S.-F.; Dewaele, A.; Ennaert, T.; Verkinderen, O.; Goderis, B.; Courtin, C. M.; Sels, B. F. Influence of Bio-Based Solvents on the Catalytic Reductive Fractionation of Birch Wood. *Green Chem.* 2015, *17* (11), 5035–5045. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5GC01442E.
- (30) Liao, Y.; Koelewijn, S.-F.; Van den Bossche, G.; Van Aelst, J.; Van den Bosch, S.; Renders, T.; Navare, K.; Nicolaï, T.; Van Aelst, K.; Maesen, M.; Matsushima, H.; Thevelein, J. M.; Van Acker, K.; Lagrain, B.; Verboekend, D.; Sels, B. F. A Sustainable Wood Biorefinery for Low–Carbon Footprint Chemicals Production. *Science* 2020, *367* (6484), 1385–1390. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau1567.
- (31) Cao, Z.; Dierks, M.; Clough, M. T.; Daltro de Castro, I. B.; Rinaldi, R. A Convergent Approach for a Deep Converting Lignin-First Biorefinery Rendering High-Energy-Density Drop-in Fuels. *Joule* 2018, 2 (6), 1118–1133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2018.03.012.
- (32) Feghali, E.; van de Pas, D. J.; Torr, K. M. Toward Bio-Based Epoxy Thermoset Polymers from Depolymerized Native Lignins Produced at the Pilot Scale. *Biomacromolecules* 2020, 21 (4), 1548–1559. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biomac.0c00108.
- (33) Schutyser, W.; Van den Bosch, S.; Dijkmans, J.; Turner, S.; Meledina, M.; Van Tendeloo, G.; Debecker, D. P.; Sels, B. F. Selective Nickel-Catalyzed Conversion of Model and Lignin-Derived Phenolic Compounds to Cyclohexanone-Based Polymer Building Blocks. *ChemSusChem* 2015, 8 (10), 1805–1818. https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201403375.
- (34) Cooreman, E.; Vangeel, T.; Van Aelst, K.; Van Aelst, J.; Lauwaert, J.; Thybaut, J. W.; Van den Bosch, S.; Sels, B. F. Perspective on Overcoming Scale-Up Hurdles for the Reductive Catalytic Fractionation of Lignocellulose Biomass. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2020, *59* (39), 17035–17045. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.0c02294.

- (35) Ferrini, P.; Rinaldi, R. Catalytic Biorefining of Plant Biomass to Non-Pyrolytic Lignin Bio-Oil and Carbohydrates through Hydrogen Transfer Reactions. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2014, 53 (33), 8634–8639. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201403747.
- (36) Ferrini, P.; Rezende, C. A.; Rinaldi, R. Catalytic Upstream Biorefining through Hydrogen Transfer Reactions: Understanding the Process from the Pulp Perspective. *ChemSusChem* 2016, 9 (22), 3171–3180. https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201601121.
- (37) Rinaldi, R.; Woodward, R.; Ferrini, P.; Rivera, H. Lignin-First Biorefining of Lignocellulose: The Impact of Process Severity on the Uniformity of Lignin Oil Composition. J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 2018. https://doi.org/10.21577/0103-5053.20180231.
- (38) Ferrini, P.; Chesi, C.; Parkin, N.; Rinaldi, R. Effect of Methanol in Controlling Defunctionalization of the Propyl Side Chain of Phenolics from Catalytic Upstream Biorefining. *Faraday Discuss.* **2017**, *202*, 403–413. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7FD00069C.
- (39) Galkin, M. V.; Samec, J. S. M. Selective Route to 2-Propenyl Aryls Directly from Wood by a Tandem Organosolv and Palladium-Catalysed Transfer Hydrogenolysis. *ChemSusChem* 2014, 7 (8), 2154–2158. https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201402017.
- (40) Galkin, M. V.; Smit, A. T.; Subbotina, E.; Artemenko, K. A.; Bergquist, J.; Huijgen, W. J. J.; Samec, J. S. M. Hydrogen-Free Catalytic Fractionation of Woody Biomass. *ChemSusChem* 2016, 9 (23), 3280–3287. https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201600648.
- (41) Kumaniaev, I.; Subbotina, E.; Sävmarker, J.; Larhed, M.; Galkin, M. V.; Samec, J. S. M. Lignin Depolymerization to Monophenolic Compounds in a Flow-through System. *Green Chem.* 2017, 19 (24), 5767–5771. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7GC02731A.
- (42) Ren, T.; You, S.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, Y.; Qi, W.; Su, R.; He, Z. Highly Selective Reductive Catalytic Fractionation at Atmospheric Pressure without Hydrogen. *Green Chem.* 2021, 23 (4), 1648–1657. https://doi.org/10.1039/D0GC03314F.
- (43) Ebikade, O. E.; Samulewicz, N.; Xuan, S.; Sheehan, J. D.; Wu, C.; Vlachos, D. G. Reductive Catalytic Fractionation of Agricultural Residue and Energy Crop Lignin and Application of Lignin Oil in Antimicrobials. *Green Chem.* 2020, 22 (21), 7435–7447. https://doi.org/10.1039/D0GC02781B.
- (44) Shen, C.; Li, W.; Zhang, B.; Xue, F.; Dou, X.; Zhang, X.; Jiang, Y. Valorization of Lignin in Native Corn Stover via Fractionation-Hydrogenolysis Process over Cobalt-Supported Catalyst without External Hydrogen. *Molecular Catalysis* 2021, 514, 111832. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcat.2021.111832.
- (45) Muangmeesri, S.; Li, N.; Georgouvelas, D.; Ouagne, P.; Placet, V.; Mathew, A. P.; Samec, J. S. M. Holistic Valorization of Hemp through Reductive Catalytic Fractionation. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 2021, acssuschemeng.1c06607. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.1c06607.
- (46) Brienza, F.; Van Aelst, K.; Devred, F.; Magnin, D.; Sels, B. F.; Gerin, P. A.; Cybulska, I.; Debecker, D. P. Reductive Catalytic Fractionation of Wheat Straw Biomass. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 2022, acssuschemeng.2c02012. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.2c02012.
- (47) Sluiter, A.; Hames, B.; Hyman, D.; Payne, C.; Ruiz, R.; Scarlata, C.; Sluiter, J.; Templeton, D.; Wolfe, J. *Determination of Total Solids in Biomass and Total Dissolved Solids in Liquid Process Samples*; National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, CO, USA, 2008.
- (48) Sluiter, A.; Hames, B.; Ruiz, R.; Scarlata, C.; Sluiter, J.; Templeton, D. *Determination of Ash in Biomass*; National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, CO, USA, 2005.

- (49) Anderson, E. M.; Katahira, R.; Reed, M.; Resch, M. G.; Karp, E. M.; Beckham, G. T.; Román-Leshkov, Y. Reductive Catalytic Fractionation of Corn Stover Lignin. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 2016, 4 (12), 6940–6950. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.6b01858.
- (50) Thornburg, N. E.; Pecha, M. B.; Brandner, D. G.; Reed, M. L.; Vermaas, J. V.; Michener, W. E.; Katahira, R.; Vinzant, T. B.; Foust, T. D.; Donohoe, B. S.; Román-Leshkov, Y.; Ciesielski, P. N.; Beckham, G. T. Mesoscale Reaction–Diffusion Phenomena Governing Lignin-First Biomass Fractionation. *ChemSusChem* 2020, *13* (17), 4495–4509. https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.202000558.
- (51) Cheng, C.; Truong, J.; Barrett, J. A.; Shen, D.; Abu-Omar, M. M.; Ford, P. C. Hydrogenolysis of Organosolv Lignin in Ethanol/Isopropanol Media without Added Transition-Metal Catalyst. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 2020, 8 (2), 1023–1030. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b05820.
- (52) Luo, H.; Klein, I. M.; Jiang, Y.; Zhu, H.; Liu, B.; Kenttämaa, H. I.; Abu-Omar, M. M. Total Utilization of Miscanthus Biomass, Lignin and Carbohydrates, Using Earth Abundant Nickel Catalyst. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 2016, 4 (4), 2316–2322. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.5b01776.
- (53) Sturgeon, M. R.; Kim, S.; Lawrence, K.; Paton, R. S.; Chmely, S. C.; Nimlos, M.; Foust, T. D.; Beckham, G. T. A Mechanistic Investigation of Acid-Catalyzed Cleavage of Aryl-Ether Linkages: Implications for Lignin Depolymerization in Acidic Environments. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 2014, 2 (3), 472–485. https://doi.org/10.1021/sc400384w.
- (54) Sturgeon, M. R.; O'Brien, M. H.; Ciesielski, P. N.; Katahira, R.; Kruger, J. S.; Chmely, S. C.; Hamlin, J.; Lawrence, K.; Hunsinger, G. B.; Foust, T. D.; Baldwin, R. M.; Biddy, M. J.; Beckham, G. T. Lignin Depolymerisation by Nickel Supported Layered-Double Hydroxide Catalysts. *Green Chem.* 2014, *16* (2), 824–835. https://doi.org/10.1039/C3GC42138D.
- (55) Li, C.; Zheng, M.; Wang, A.; Zhang, T. One-Pot Catalytic Hydrocracking of Raw Woody Biomass into Chemicals over Supported Carbide Catalysts: Simultaneous Conversion of Cellulose, Hemicellulose and Lignin. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2012, 5 (4), 6383–6390. https://doi.org/10.1039/C1EE02684D.
- (56) Tai, Z.; Zhang, J.; Wang, A.; Zheng, M.; Zhang, T. Temperature-Controlled Phase-Transfer Catalysis for Ethylene Glycol Production from Cellulose. *Chem. Commun.* 2012, 48 (56), 7052. https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cc32305b.
- (57) Deutsch, K. L.; Lahr, D. G.; Shanks, B. H. Probing the Ruthenium-Catalyzed Higher Polyol Hydrogenolysis Reaction through the Use of Stereoisomers. *Green Chem.* 2012, *14* (6), 1635. https://doi.org/10.1039/c2gc00026a.
- (58) Shu, R.; Zhang, Q.; Ma, L.; Xu, Y.; Chen, P.; Wang, C.; Wang, T. Insight into the Solvent, Temperature and Time Effects on the Hydrogenolysis of Hydrolyzed Lignin. *Bioresource Technology* **2016**, *221*, 568–575. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.09.043.
- (59) Huang, X.; Korányi, T. I.; Boot, M. D.; Hensen, E. J. M. Catalytic Depolymerization of Lignin in Supercritical Ethanol. *ChemSusChem* 2014, 7 (8), 2276–2288. https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201402094.
- (60) van Zandvoort, I.; Wang, Y.; Rasrendra, C. B.; van Eck, E. R. H.; Bruijnincx, P. C. A.; Heeres, H. J.; Weckhuysen, B. M. Formation, Molecular Structure, and Morphology of Humins in Biomass Conversion: Influence of Feedstock and Processing Conditions. *ChemSusChem* 2013, 6 (9), 1745–1758. https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201300332.

- (61) Cheng, Z.; Everhart, J. L.; Tsilomelekis, G.; Nikolakis, V.; Saha, B.; Vlachos, D. G. Structural Analysis of Humins Formed in the Brønsted Acid Catalyzed Dehydration of Fructose. *Green Chem.* 2018, 20 (5), 997–1006. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7GC03054A.
- (62) Subbotina, E.; Velty, A.; Samec, J. S. M.; Corma, A. Zeolite-Assisted Lignin-First Fractionation of Lignocellulose: Overcoming Lignin Recondensation through Shape-Selective Catalysis. *ChemSusChem* 2020, 13 (17), 4528–4536. https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.202000330.
- (63) Renders, T.; Schutyser, W.; Van den Bosch, S.; Koelewijn, S.-F.; Vangeel, T.; Courtin, C. M.; Sels, B. F. Influence of Acidic (H 3 PO 4) and Alkaline (NaOH) Additives on the Catalytic Reductive Fractionation of Lignocellulose. *ACS Catal.* 2016, 6 (3), 2055–2066. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.5b02906.
- (64) Liao, Y.; de Beeck, B. O.; Thielemans, K.; Ennaert, T.; Snelders, J.; Dusselier, M.; Courtin, C. M.; Sels, B. F. The Role of Pretreatment in the Catalytic Valorization of Cellulose. *Molecular Catalysis* 2020, 487, 110883. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcat.2020.110883.
- (65) Zoghlami, A.; Paës, G. Lignocellulosic Biomass: Understanding Recalcitrance and Predicting Hydrolysis. *Front. Chem.* 2019, 7, 874. https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2019.00874.
- (66) Hu, F.; Jung, S.; Ragauskas, A. Pseudo-Lignin Formation and Its Impact on Enzymatic Hydrolysis. *Bioresource Technology* 2012, 117, 7–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.04.037.
- (67) Bilel, H.; Hamdi, N.; Fischmeister, C.; Bruneau, C. Transformations of Bio-sourced 4hydroxyphenylpropanoids Based on Olefin Metathesis. *ChemCatChem* **2020**, *12* (20), 5000–5021. https://doi.org/10.1002/cctc.202000959.
- (68) Lummiss, J. A. M.; Oliveira, K. C.; Pranckevicius, A. M. T.; Santos, A. G.; dos Santos, E. N.; Fogg, D. E. Chemical Plants: High-Value Molecules from Essential Oils. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134 (46), 18889–18891. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja310054d.
- (69) Takeshima, H.; Satoh, K.; Kamigaito, M. Bio-Based Functional Styrene Monomers Derived from Naturally Occurring Ferulic Acid for Poly(Vinylcatechol) and Poly(Vinylguaiacol) via Controlled Radical Polymerization. *Macromolecules* 2017, 50 (11), 4206–4216. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.7b00970.
- (70) Tschulkow, M.; Compernolle, T.; Van den Bosch, S.; Van Aelst, J.; Storms, I.; Van Dael, M.; Van den Bossche, G.; Sels, B.; Van Passel, S. Integrated Techno-Economic Assessment of a Biorefinery Process: The High-End Valorization of the Lignocellulosic Fraction in Wood Streams. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 2020, 266, 122022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122022.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

