Selective oxidation of methane to methanol over Au/H-MOR

Wangyang Wang¹[†], Wei Zhou^{1,2}[†]^{*}, Yuchen Tang¹[†], Weicheng Cao^{2,3}, Scott R. Docherty², Kang Cheng¹, Qinghong Zhang¹, Christophe Copéret²^{*}, Ye Wang¹^{*}

¹State Key Laboratory of Physical Chemistry of Solid Surfaces, Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemistry for Energy Materials, National Engineering Laboratory for Green Chemical Productions of Alcohols, Ethers and Esters, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China

²Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences, ETH Zürich, Vladimir-Prelog-Weg 2, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland

³Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work

Corresponding Authors

*e-email: weizhou@ethz.ch
*e-email: ccoperet@inorg.chem.ethz.ch
*e-email: wangye@xmu.edu.cn

Abstract

Selective oxidation of methane to methanol by molecular oxygen is a fascinating route for upgrading abundant methane resource and represents one of the most challenging reactions in chemistry due to the overwhelmingly higher reactivity of the product *versus* the reactant. Here, we report that monometallic gold nanoparticles loaded on mordenite zeolite efficiently catalyze the selective oxidation of methane to methanol by molecular oxygen in the presence of carbon monoxide in aqueous medium. The methanol productivity reaches 1300 μ mol g_{cat}⁻¹ h⁻¹ or 280 mmol g_{Au}⁻¹ h⁻¹ with 75% selectivity at 150 °C, outperforming most of those reported under comparable conditions. Both hydroxyl radicals and hydroperoxide species participate in the activation and conversion of methane; the lower affinity of methanol on gold mainly accounts for higher methanol selectivity.

Methane, which is the main component of natural/shale gas and an inexpensive resource with very large reserves, can be a key feedstock in the transition of energy supplies from fossil fuels to cleaner and renewable energy, contributing to establishing a carbon-neutral society. The catalytic transformation of CH₄ into value-added liquid chemicals that are favorable for transportation and storage has attracted an increasing attention.¹ Methanol is one of the most attractive target products because of its versatility as an energy carrier or a platform molecule for the synthesis of important bulk chemicals like olefins and aromatics.^{2, 3} In the current chemical industry, the conversion of CH₄ to CH₃OH relies on an energy-intensive two-step process, involving the high-temperature reforming of methane to syngas (a mixture of CO and H₂) and the high-pressure methanol synthesis. The direct conversion of CH₄ to CH₃OH, usually viewed as a "holy grail" in chemistry, would play a game-changing role in chemical utilization of CH₄. The challenge arises not only from the activation of CH₄ molecule with only inert C-H bonds but also from the selectivity control due to the overwhelmingly higher reactivity of CH₃OH compared to CH₄.

In spite of advances in CH₄ selective oxidation using a special oxidant such as oleum in homogeneous catalysis,⁴ heterogeneous catalysis using molecular oxygen as an oxidant is more practically attractive but is also more challenging.⁵⁻⁷ The Cu- or Fe-containing zeolite catalyst in combination with a gaseous oxidant (O₂ or N₂O) or the chemical looping approach with Cu-zeolite as a mediator has been demonstrated to be effective for gas-phase CH₄ selective oxidation, but the CH₃OH productivity is low.⁸⁻¹¹ The exploitation of H₂O₂ as an oxidant could enhance CH₃OH formation over several types of heterogeneous catalysts in the liquid phase,¹²⁻¹⁷ but the reaction still suffers from limited CH₃OH productivity and CH₃OH selectivity in most cases due to the formation of other C₁ oxygenates, e.g., methyl hydroperoxide (CH₃OOH) and formic acid (HCOOH), or even CO₂. Further, the rapid decomposition of H₂O₂ leads to low efficiency of H₂O₂ usage in CH₄ selective oxidation, and the consumption of expensive H₂O₂ would hinder the practical application of the H₂O₂-based CH₄ to CH₃OH process.

The reductive activation of O_2 in the presence of a biological reductant, typically dihydronicotinamide adenine dinuleotide (NADH), is a general strategy for selective oxidation in enzymatic systems such as methane monooxygenase.¹⁸ The exploitation of a simple

reductant such as H₂ instead of NADH for O₂ activation over a suitable catalyst could generate active species such as H₂O₂ *in situ*, accomplishing the selective oxidation of CH₄ to CH₃OH in aqueous medium.¹⁹⁻²¹ A CH₃OH formation rate of 92 mmol $g_{Au-Pd}^{-1} h^{-1}$ was recently achieved over a zeolite-embedded Au-Pd alloy catalyst.²⁰ The wasteful over-oxidation of H₂, a strong reductant, to H₂O and the risk of explosion associated with the H₂/O₂ mixture, however, need to be addressed. The use of a milder reductant carbon monoxide to activate O₂ represents an attractive alternative for the selective oxidation of CH₄ with Rh-based catalysts most commonly investigated for this reaction.²²⁻²⁵ Besides CH₃OH, acetic acid could also be formed in some cases owing to the catalytic role of Rh in carbonylation in the presence of CO.²²⁻²⁵ Recent studies disclosed that promoters, such as Cu²⁺ added into the aqueous solution, were required to suppress the over-oxidation of CH₃OH,^{25, 26} making the system quite complicated and hard to control. The design of new types of heterogeneous catalysts and the elucidation of this reaction.

Here, we report our discovery that monometallic Au nanoparticles loaded on mordenite zeolite (H-MOR) with strong Brønsted acidity efficiently catalyze the direct oxidation of CH₄ to CH₃OH in aqueous medium by O₂ in the presence of CO. The CH₃OH selectivity and productivity reach 75% and 280 mmol g_{Au} ⁻¹ h⁻¹, respectively, without any promoters. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy and density functional theory (DFT) calculations suggest that the weak affinity of CH₃OH on the catalyst surface is crucial to maintaining high CH₃OH selectivity.

H-MOR-supported monometallic noble metal (including Au, Rh, Pd, and Ir) catalysts with a typical nominal metal content of 0.50 wt% were prepared by an incipient wetness impregnation method, followed by H₂ reduction at 400 °C. The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images showed that the average sizes of metal particles ranged from 3.6 to 6.5 nm (Figure S1 and S2). We observed a 2.35 Å lattice spacing for Au nanoparticles by highresolution TEM (HRTEM), corresponding to the Au (111) facet (Figure S1B). The high-angle annual dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) images and the energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) mapping revealed that the Au nanoparticles were dispersed on the external surface of H-MOR (Figure S3). Only X-ray diffraction lines ascribed to H-MOR were observed and no diffraction lines of loaded metals appeared (Figure S4), in agreement with the high dispersion of metal nanoparticles.

The catalytic oxidation of CH₄ was performed in a batch reactor typically at 150 °C with gaseous reactants of CH₄, O₂, and CO in a molar ratio of 4/1/1 and a total pressure of 30 bar. The parent H-MOR was inactive for the conversion of CH₄, and the loading of Au, Rh, Pd, and Ir nanoparticles all catalyzed the formation of organic oxygenates from CH₄ (Table S1). The product distribution strongly depended on the identity of the noble metals (Figure 1A). The Au/H-MOR catalyst demonstrated both highest CH₃OH and lowest CO₂ selectivities; the CH₃OH selectivity reached 75% in total carbon-containing products and 94% in all organic oxygenates. Small amounts of CH₃OOH and CH₃COOH as well as trace HCOOH were detected with Au/H-MOR. In contrast, the selectivities of CH₃OH over Rh/H-MOR, Pd/H-MOR, and Ir/H-MOR were only 35%, 8.8%, and 0.9%, respectively with HCOOH formed as the major oxygenated product together with significantly more CO₂ over these catalysts. The higher selectivity of HCOOH *versus* CH₃OH was also observed for the supported Pd, Rh, and Ir catalysts reported previously.^{14, 23, 26} To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report to demonstrate the high efficiency of the supported monometallic Au catalyst for the selective oxidation of CH₄ to CH₃OH by O₂.

We investigated the effect of Au loadings over the Au/H-MOR catalyst. The increase in Au loadings from 0.07 to 0.87 wt% gradually increased the average size of Au nanoparticles from 2.0 to 9.7 nm (Figure S5). The CH₃OH productivity increased significantly with the Au loading from 0.07 to 0.46 wt% and reached the maximum of about 1300 µmol g_{cat}^{-1} h⁻¹ at an Au loading of 0.46 wt% (Figure 1B). CH₃OH was the major product and the selectivity of CH₃OH in organic oxygenates was maintained at \geq 93% for all investigated Au loadings (Table S2). The CH₃OH productivity per gram of Au decreased significantly with an increase in the Au loading and the 0.07 wt% Au/H-MOR catalyst displayed the highest CH₃OH productivity per gram of Au (370 mmol g_{Au}^{-1} h⁻¹) (Figure S6). The estimated turnover frequency (TOF) of CH₃OH, i.e., the moles of CH₃OH formed per mole of surface Au sites per second, displayed a volcanic relationship with the Au particle size (Figure S7), and the Au/H-MOR with an Au particle size

of 5.9 nm showed the highest TOF, indicating that the oxidation of CH_4 to CH_3OH is a structure-sensitive reaction. The combination of CH_3OH selectivity and productivity demonstrates that the present Au/H-MOR system outperforms most of the reported catalytic systems for CH_3OH formation using O_2 in combination with CO or H_2 (Table S3). We further examined the stability of the Au/H-MOR catalyst, and no significant changes in catalytic performances were observed during the catalyst repeated uses (Figure S8). The characterizations for the used catalyst revealed no change in the crystalline structure of H-MOR and no leaching of Au to the liquid solution (Figure S9). The metallic state and the average size of Au nanoparticles were sustained after the reaction (Figure S10).

The effect of support on catalytic behaviors of the supported Au catalyst was also investigated. An Au/SiO₂ catalyst with an average Au particle size of 6.4 nm (Figure S11A) could catalyze the selective oxidation of CH₄ to CH₃OH by O₂ in the presence of CO, but the CH₃OH productivity was only 273 μ mol g_{cat}⁻¹ h⁻¹, about 20% of that for the Au/H-MOR catalyst (Figure 1C). Thus, the Brønsted acidity of zeolite may play a key role in the present system. Au nanoparticles with similar average particle sizes were loaded on several other typical zeolites (Figure S11B-D), and the catalytic studies revealed that all Au/zeolite catalysts showed higher CH₃OH productivity than the Au/SiO₂ catalyst. Among the supported Au catalysts investigated, the Au/H-MOR catalyst demonstrated the highest CH₃OH productivity (Figure S12 and Table S4). Previous studies revealed that H-MOR possesses the strongest Brønsted acidity and the weakest O-H bond among a series of zeolites.²⁷ The high activity of Au/H-MOR possibly arises from the uniquely strong Brønsted acidity of H-MOR zeolite (Figure S13). We further investigated the effect of density of Brønsted acid sites by varying the Si/Al ratio of H-MOR. The increase in the Si/Al ratio from 12.5, the typical value adopted in our work, to 20 decreased the density of Brønsted acid sites while keeping its crystalline structure (Figure S14). The average sizes of Au nanoparticle over these H-MOR samples were kept at 5.4-6.4 nm (Figure S1 and S15). The CH₃OH productivity increased significantly with the density of Brønsted acid sites in spite of slight decreases in the selectivity of CH₃OH due to the enhanced formation of CH₃OOH, CH₃COOH and CO₂ (Figure 1C and Table S5). Therefore, the Brønsted

acidity of H-MOR zeolite plays a pivotal role in the oxidation of CH_4 to CH_3OH by O_2 in the presence of CO.

The Au/H-MOR catalyst initiated CH₄ oxidation at approximately 80 °C, and CH₃OH and CH₃OOH were observed products (Figure 1D and Table S6). The formation of CH₃OH was significantly accelerated upon increasing the reaction temperature and its productivity reached as high as 1920 µmol g_{cat}^{-1} h⁻¹ at 180 °C. It is noteworthy that CH₃COOH was formed considerably at 180 °C, and its selectivity and productivity increased to ~7% and ~200 µmol g_{cat}^{-1} h⁻¹. CH₃COOH is usually formed over Rh-based catalysts owing to the catalytic function of Rh site in CH₃OH carbonylation,^{24, 25} thus the present result indicates that the carbonylation of the formed CH₃OH with CO could also proceed significantly at a high reaction temperature even over the supported monometallic Au catalyst. In the absence of CH₄, no oxygenated products are formed (Table 1, Entry 1). CH₃OH was formed as the major product upon introducing CH₄ and the CH₃OH productivity increased with the CH₄ pressure (Figure S16 and Table S7). These observations clearly indicate that the organic oxygenates are formed from the conversion of CH₄. The O₂ pressure was found to affect the conversion of CH₄ (Figure S17 and Table S8). At fixed CH₄ and CO pressures, a higher O₂ pressure favored the formation of CH₃OH. The CH₃OH selectivity only changed slightly at O₂ pressures \leq 5 bar.

No products were observed in the absence of CO (Table 1, Entry 2), indicating that O₂ alone could not oxidize CH₄ at 150 °C over the Au/H-MOR catalyst. The introduction of CO induced the formation of oxygenated products as well as CO₂ (Table 1, Entry 3). The formation rates of products increased monotonically with the CO pressure, while the CH₃OH selectivity remained almost unchanged (Figure S18 and Table S9). We investigated the role of CO by performing CH₄ oxidation by O₂ in the presence of ¹³CO instead of CO. The mass spectrum of CH₃OH formed under ¹³CO was very close to that under normal CO (Figure S19), clearly revealing that the carbon in CH₃OH originated from CH₄ and not from CO. Further, the ¹³C nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopic study for the reaction using ¹³CO indicates that the carbon in carboxyl group of CH₃COOH originated from CO (Figure S20), confirming that the present Au/H-MOR could catalyze the carbonylation by CO to form CH₃COOH. Regarding the nature of the role of CO in accelerating CH₄ conversion, it was once proposed

that CO can assist the generation of H₂O₂ over a supported Rh catalyst by the reaction of O₂ with H₂ formed via water-gas shift reaction (WGS, $CO + H_2O \rightarrow CO_2 + H_2$) (25), whereas two other studies using Ir catalysts suggested that CO enhanced the selective oxidation of CH₄ or C_2H_6 with O_2 by maintaining the metallic state of Ir during the reaction.^{26, 28} It is noteworthy that H₂O₂ was observed during the reaction of O₂, CO and H₂O over the Au/H-MOR catalyst (Table 1, Entry 1). We found that the Brønsted acidity of H-MOR favored H₂O₂ formation (Figure S21). H₂O₂ could even be detected in the presence of CH₄ and the amount of H₂O₂ showed a maximum at 120 °C (Figure S22). The decreased amount of H₂O₂ at higher temperatures might arise from the increased reactivity of CH₄. We further performed the oxidation of CH₄ using H₂O₂ as an oxidant, and the conversion of CH₄ could occur even at 50 °C, a lower temperature as compared to that for the oxidation by O_2 in the presence of CO. Similarly, CH₃OH was formed as a major product together with CH₃OOH and CO₂ but without CH₃COOH. This further supports that CH₃COOH results from carbonylation of CH₃OH with CO. The CH₃OH productivity was comparable by using $(O_2 + CO)$ or H₂O₂ for CH₄ oxidation at the same temperature (Table 1, Entries 3 and 4, Figure 1D and Figure S23). These results suggest that the active oxygen species formed during the activation of O₂ by CO is similar to that derived by H₂O₂ over our Au/H-MOR catalyst.

Hydroxyl radicals (•OH) were assumed to be active species responsible for the oxidation of CH₄ to CH₃OH by H₂O₂ in several systems.^{13, 19, 26} By using terephthalic acid as a probe molecule, which can readily react with •OH to form a product (2-hydroxyterephthalic acid) with significant fluorescence at around 420 nm,²⁹ we confirmed the generation of •OH radicals in the Au/H-MOR-catalyzed oxidation of CH₄ either using H₂O₂ or using O₂ in the presence of CO (Figure 2A). The electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy using 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline-N-oxide (DMPO) as a radical trapping agent further revealed the generation of •OH radicals probably because their reaction (Figure 2B).³⁰ We could not observe carbon-centered radicals probably because their reaction with •OH radicals was too quickly.¹⁵ It is noteworthy that H₂ could not be detected during the oxidation of CH₄ by O₂ in the presence of CO over the Au/H-MOR catalyst. The formation of H₂ was also quite slow in the reaction of CO and H₂O, suggesting that the WGS activity of the present Au/H-MOR catalyst is negligible at 150 °C.

Thus, we speculate that H_2O_2 is not generated by the reaction between O_2 and the formed H_2 but directly from CO and O_2 in aqueous solution in the presence of our catalyst.³¹ In short, the reaction involves *in situ* generation of H_2O_2 from CO, O_2 , and H_2O , followed by its conversion to •OH and the subsequent oxidation of CH₄ by •OH.

The addition of an •OH scavenger, Na₂SO₃, into our system for the oxidation of CH₄ by O₂ in the presence of CO at 150 °C caused a drop in the productivity of organic oxygenates from 1380 to 507 μ mol g_{cat}⁻¹ h⁻¹, providing further evidence for the role of •OH in the formation of CH₃OH (Table S10). Nevertheless, the considerable remaining activity may suggest that other active species besides •OH also participates in the reaction, considering that the added scavenger is in excess and is able to quench all the •OH radicals generated during the reaction.¹³ We employed *in situ* infrared (IR) spectroscopy to monitor the surface species. Two IR bands at 918 and 854 cm⁻¹ were observed after the adsorption of H₂O₂ on Au/H-MOR at 50 °C (Figure S24), which could be assigned to free H₂O₂ and the O–O stretching of adsorbed peroxide species, respectively.^{32, 33} The introduction of O₂, CO and H₂O gas mixture instead of H₂O₂ onto Au/H-MOR at 150 °C resulted in a broad IR band centered at 850-860 cm⁻¹ (Figure 2C), indicating the formation of hydroperoxide species on the catalyst surface. Thus, we speculate that the surface hydroperoxide species might also participate in the oxidation of CH₄ to CH₃OH.

The reaction kinetics was analyzed to gain mechanistic insights into the formation of different products. The time course for the oxidation of CH₄ by O₂ in the presence of CO over the Au/H-MOR catalyst showed that CH₃OH and CH₃OOH were formed at the very initial stage with selectivities approaching 90% and 10%, respectively, and thus both were primary products (Figure 3A). The formations of CH₃OH and CH₃OOH were confirmed even after 1 min of reaction (Figure S25). Upon increasing the reaction time, the selectivity of CH₃OOH decreased and that of CH₃OH increased, indicating that CH₃OOH could be converted to CH₃OH (Figure 3A). We further compared the time course for Au/H-MOR with those for Rh/H-MOR, Ir/H-MOR, and Pd/H-MOR. CH₃OH and CH₃OOH were the primary products over all these catalysts, but the ratio of CH₃OH/CH₃OOH was the highest over the Au/H-MOR catalyst (Figure 3A and Figure S26). Furthermore, as compared to other catalysts, the Au/H-

MOR catalyst demonstrated a remarkably slower increase in the HCOOH selectivity over the time, suggesting its significantly lower ability of over-oxidation of CH_3OH . The control experiments for the oxidation of CH_3OH instead of CH_4 under equivalent conditions provided further evidence that among the catalysts investigated, the Au/H-MOR catalyst is the least active for the conversion of CH_3OH by O_2 in the presence of CO (Figure 3B).

Generally, CH₃OH can readily be oxidized into over-oxidized products by active species including •OH radicals, and this is the biggest challenge for selective oxidation of CH4 to CH₃OH. To maintain the high selectivity of CH₃OH, a radical scavenger like Cu²⁺ is usually required to be added into the system to suppress the over-oxidation.^{12, 21, 23, 26} The present work discovered that the H-MOR-supported monometallic Au catalyst offers the highest CH₃OH selectivity among a series of supported noble metal catalysts in the absence of other metal additives. The characterization with fluorescence emission spectroscopy showed that the concentration of •OH radicals during the reaction using the Au/H-MOR catalyst was not unique and was on a similar level with those using other catalysts (Figure S27). The unique CH₃OH selectivity of the Au/H-MOR catalyst suggests that besides the concentration of •OH radicals, other factors may also control the CH₃OH selectivity. The low activity of Au/H-MOR toward CH₃OH oxidation (Figure 3B) enables us to speculate that the affinity of CH₃OH on the catalyst may determine the CH₃OH selectivity, because a low affinity of CH₃OH on catalyst surfaces would mitigate its over-oxidation due to the favored desorption and the suppressed readsorption of CH₃OH. It is known that the relaxation time of an adsorbed molecule measured by NMR spectroscopy, described by T_1 (longitudinal relaxation time) and T_2 (transverse relaxation time), can reflect the adsorption strength of a molecule, and a higher T_1/T_2 value corresponds to a stronger interaction with the surface.³⁴⁻³⁶ We thus measured the relaxation time for different catalysts as shown in Figure S28-S30 and Table S11. As summarized in Figure 3C, the T_1/T_2 ratio is clearly correlated to the CH₃OH selectivity. The Au/H-MOR catalyst, which has the lowest T_1/T_2 ratio and thus the lowest affinity to CH₃OH, displays the highest CH₃OH selectivity, whereas the Ir/H-MOR catalyst shows the highest T_1/T_2 ratio and the lowest CH₃OH selectivity. Therefore, our work demonstrates that the T_1/T_2 ratio is a useful descriptor for the affinity of CH₃OH onto the catalyst surface and thus the CH₃OH selectivity during CH₄ oxidation.

We also performed computational studies to evaluate the non-dissociative adsorption energy of CH₃OH, which is closely related to its affinity, on different metal surfaces. Using a slab model, the CH₃OH molecule was adsorbed on the most representative facet, i.e., (111) facet, of different metals. The non-dissociative adsorption energy values are summarized in Figure 3D and Table S12, and the related optimized models are displayed in Figure S31-S34. The nondissociative adsorption energy of CH₃OH on Au (111) is -0.36 eV, and its absolute value is 0.27, 0.30, and 0.63 eV lower than those on the Rh (111), Pd (111) and Ir (111) surfaces, respectively. In agreement with the NMR results, this result offers further evidence that the affinity of CH₃OH onto the Au surface is the lowest among the surfaces of metal catalysts investigated.

In conclusion, we have discovered that the H-MOR zeolite-supported monometallic Au catalyst demonstrates superior activity and selectivity in the selective oxidation of CH_4 to CH_3OH by O_2 in aqueous medium. Au nanoparticles with medium sizes and Brønsted acidity of H-MOR both play crucial roles in the formation of CH_3OH . CO is proposed to accelerate the activation of O_2 in aqueous medium to form hydroxyl radicals and hydroperoxide species responsible for the activation and conversion of CH_4 . The excellent CH_3OH selectivity can be ascribed to the low affinity of CH_3OH on Au surfaces. This work opens a new avenue for the selective oxidation of CH_4 to CH_3OH as well as other important reactions, where the facilitation of product desorption is a key to selectivity.

References

- 1. G. Zichittella, J. Pérez-Ramírez, Status and prospects of the decentralised valorisation of natural gas into energy and energy carriers. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **50**, 2984-3012 (2021).
- 2. P. Tian, Y. Wei, M. Ye, Z. Liu, Methanol to olefins (MTO): from fundamentals to commercialization. *ACS Catal.* **5**, 1922-2138 (2015).
- I. Yarulina, A. D. Chowdhury, F. Meirer, B. M. Weckhuysen, J. Gascon, Recent trends and fundamental insights in the methanol-to-hydrocarbons process. *Nat. Catal.* 1, 398-411 (2018).
- R. A. Periana, D. J. Taube, S. Gamble, H. Taube, T. Satoh, H. Fujii, Platinum catalysts for the high-yield oxidation of methane to a methanol derivative. *Science* 280, 560-564 (1998).
- Z. Guo, B. Liu, Q. Zhang, W. Deng, Y. Wang, Y. Yang, Recent advances in heterogeneous selective oxidation catalysis for sustainable chemistry. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 43, 3480-3524 (2014)._
- P. Tang, Q. Zhu, Z. Wu, D. Ma, Methane activation: the past and future. *Energy Environ*. *Sci.* 7, 2580-2591 (2014).
- X. Meng, X. Cui, N. P. Rajan, L. Yu, D. Deng, X. Bao. Direct methane conversion under mild conditions by thermo-, electro-, or photocatalysis. *Chem* 5, 2296-2325 (2019).
- B. E. Snyder, P. Vanelderen, M. L. Bols, S. D. Hallaert, L. H. Böttger, L. Ungur, K. Pierloot, R. A. Schoonheydt, B. F. Sels, E. I. Solomon, The active site of low-temperature methane hydroxylation in iron-containing zeolites. *Nature* 536, 317-321 (2016).
- K. Narsimhan, K. Iyoki, K. Dinh, Y. Román-Leshkov, Catalytic oxidation of methane into methanol over copper-exchanged zeolites with oxygen at low temperature. *ACS Cent. Sci.* 2, 424-429 (2016).
- 10. L. Sun, Y. Wang, C. Wang, Z. Xie, N. Guan, L. Li, Water-involved methane-selective catalytic oxidation by dioxygen over copper zeolites. *Chem* **7**, 1557-1568 (2021).
- 11. V. L. Sushkevich, D. Palagin, M. Ranocchiari, J. A. van Bokhoven, Selective anaerobic oxidation of methane enables direct synthesis of methanol. *Science* **356**, 523-527 (2017).
- C. Hammond, M. M. Forde, M. H. Ab Rahim, A. Thetford, Q. He, R. L. Jenkins, N. Dimitratos, J. A. Lopez-Sanchez, N. F. Dummer, D. M. Murphy, A. F. Carley, S. H. Taylor, D. J. Willock, E. E. Stangland, J. Kang, H. Hagen, C. J. Kiely, G. J. Hutchings, Direct catalytic conversion of methane to methanol in an aqueous medium by using copper-promoted Fe-ZSM-5. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 51, 5129-5133 (2012).

- M. H. Ab Rahim, M. M. Forde, R. L. Jenkins, C. Hammond, Q. He, N. Dimitratos, J. A. Lopez-Sanchez, A. F. Carley, S. H. Taylor, D. J. Willock, D. M. Murphy, C. J. Kiely, G. J. Hutchings, Oxidation of methane to methanol with hydrogen peroxide using supported gold-palladium alloy nanoparticles. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 52, 1280-1284 (2013).
- W. Huang, S. Zhang, Y. Tang, Y. Li, L. Nguyen, Y. Li, J. Shan, D. Xiao, R. Gagne, A. I. Frenkel, F. F. Tao, Low-temperature transformation of methane to methanol on Pd₁O₄ single sites anchored on the internal surface of microporous silicate. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 55, 13441-13445 (2016).
- X. Cui, H. Li, Y. Wang, Y. Hu, L. Hua, H. Li, X. Han, Q. Liu, F. Yang, L. He, X. Chen, Q. Li, J. Xiao, D. Deng, X. Bao, Room-temperature methane conversion by grapheneconfined single iron atoms. *Chem* 4, 1902-1910 (2018).
- S. Bai, F. Liu, B. Huang, F. Li, H. Lin, T. Wu, M. Sun, J. Wu, Q. Shao, Y. Xu, X. Huang, High-efficiency direct methane conversion to oxygenates on a cerium dioxide nanowires supported rhodium single-atom catalyst. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 954 (2020).
- P. Xie, J. Ding, Z. Yao, T. Pu, P. Zhang, Z. Huang, C. Wang, J. Zhang, N. Zecher-Freeman, H. Zong, D. Yuan, S. Deng, R. Shahbazian-Yassar, C. Wang, Oxo dicopper anchored on carbon nitride for selective oxidation of methane. *Nat. Commun.* 13, 1375 (2022).
- C. E. Tinberg, S. J. Lippard, Dioxygen activation in soluble methane monooxygenase. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 44, 280-288 (2011).
- N. Agarwal, S. J. Freakley, R. U. McVicker, S. M. Althahban, N. Dimitratos, Q. He, D. J. Morgan, R. L. Jenkins, D. J. Willock, S. H. Taylor, C. J. Kiely, G. J. Hutchings, Aqueous Au-Pd colloids catalyze selective CH₄ oxidation to CH₃OH with O₂ under mild conditions. *Science* 358, 223-227 (2017).
- Z. Jin, L. Wang, E. Zuidema, K. Mondal, M. Zhang, J. Zhang, C. Wang, X. Meng, H. Yang, C. Mesters, F.-S. Xiao, Hydrophobic zeolite modification for *in situ* peroxide formation in methane oxidation to methanol. *Science* 367, 193-197 (2020).
- B. Wu, T. Lin, M. Huang, S. Li, J. Li, X. Yu, R. Yang, F. Sun, Z. Jiang, Y. Sun, L. Zhong, Tandem catalysis for selective oxidation of methane to oxygenates using oxygen over PdCu/zeolite. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 61, e202204116 (2022).
- M. Lin, T. Hogan, A. Sen, A highly catalytic bimetallic system for the low-temperature selective oxidation of methane and lower alkanes with dioxygen as the oxidant. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 119, 6048-6053 (1997).

- J. Shan, M. Li, L. F. Allard, S. Lee, M. Flytzani-Stephanopoulos, Mild oxidation of methane to methanol or acetic acid on supported isolated rhodium catalysts. *Nature* 551, 605-608 (2017).
- Y. Tang, Y. Li, V. Fung, D. E. Jiang, W. Huang, S. Zhang, Y. Iwasawa, T. Sakata, L. Nguyen, X. Zhang, A. I. Frenkel, F. F. Tao, Single rhodium atoms anchored in micropores for efficient transformation of methane under mild conditions. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 1231 (2018).
- 25. F. Gu, X. Qin, M. Li, Y. Xu, S. Hong, M. Ouyang, G. Giannakakis, S. Cao, M. Peng, J. Xie, M. Wang, D. Han, D. Xiao, X. Wang, Z. Wang, D. Ma, Selective catalytic oxidation of methane to methanol in aqueous medium over copper cations promoted by atomically dispersed rhodium on TiO₂. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **61**, e202201540 (2022).
- M. Li, J. Shan, G. Giannakakis, M. Ouyang, S. Cao, S. Lee, L. F. Allard, M. Flytzani-Stephanopoulos, Single-step selective oxidation of methane to methanol in the aqueous phase on iridium-based catalysts. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 292, 120124 (2021).
- M. Niwa, N. Katada, New methods for the temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) of ammonia experiment for characterization of zeolite acidity: a review. *Chem. Rec.* 13, 432-455 (2013).
- R. Jin, M. Peng, A. Li, Y. Deng, Z. Jia, F. Huang, Y. Ling, F. Yang, H. Fu, J. Xie, X. Han, D. Xiao, Z. Jiang, H. Liu, D. Ma, Low temperature oxidation of ethane to oxygenates by oxygen over iridium-cluster catalysts. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 141, 18921-18925 (2019).
- 29. K.-i. Ishibashi, A. Fujishima, T. Watanabe, K. Hashimoto, Detection of active oxidative species in TiO₂ photocatalysis using the fluorescence technique. *Electrochem. Commun.* 2, 207-210 (2000).
- P. Bilski, K. Reszka, M. Bilska, C. F. Chignell, Oxidation of the spin trap 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline *N*-oxide by singlet oxygen in aqueous solution. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 118, 1330-1338 (1996).
- P. Lu, Y. Zhao, J. Dong, B.-B. Mei, X.-L. Du, Z. Jiang, Y.-M. Liu, H.-Y. He, Y.-D. Wang, X.-Z. Duan, X.-G. Zhou, Y. Cao, Direct and efficient synthesis of clean H₂O₂ from COassisted aqueous O₂ reduction. *ACS Catal.* 10, 13993-14005 (2020).
- R. Nakamura, Y. Nakato, Primary intermediates of oxygen photoevolution reaction on TiO₂ (rutile) particles, revealed by in situ FTIR absorption and photoluminescence measurements. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126, 1290-1298 (2004).

- N. Feng, H. Lin, H. Song, L. Yang, D. Tang, F. Deng, J. Ye, Efficient and selective photocatalytic CH₄ conversion to CH₃OH with O₂ by controlling overoxidation on TiO₂. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 4652 (2021).
- C. D'Agostino, J. Mitchell, M. D. Mantle, L. F. Gladden, Interpretation of NMR relaxation as a tool for characterising the adsorption strength of liquids inside porous materials. *Chem. Eur. J.* 20, 13009-13015 (2014).
- 35. C. D'Agostino, G. Brett, G. Divitini, C. Ducati, G. J. Hutchings, M. D. Mantle, L. F. Gladden, Increased affinity of small gold particles for glycerol oxidation over Au/TiO₂ probed by NMR relaxation methods. ACS Catal. 7, 4235-4241 (2017).
- N. Robinson, P. Bräuer, A. P. E. York, C. D'Agostino, Nuclear spin relaxation as a probe of zeolite acidity: a combined NMR and TPD investigation of pyridine in HZSM-5. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 23, 17752-17760 (2021).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of Ministry of Science and Technology of China (No. 2022 YFA1504500), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 92145301, 22121001, 91945301, 2222206, and 21972116).

Supporting Information

Details of supplementary characterization and computations have been included in the supporting information



Figure 1. Catalytic performances for CH4 selective oxidation. (A) Performances of H-MORsupported several noble metal catalysts. (B) Effect of Au loadings over the Au/H-MOR catalyst. (C) Performances of Au/SiO₂ and Au/H-MOR with different Si/Al ratios (the number after H-MOR denotes the Si/Al ratio). (D) Effect of reaction temperature. Reaction conditions: catalyst, 0.10 g; H₂O, 20 mL; total pressure, 30 bar; CH₄ pressure, 20 bar; CO pressure, 5 bar; O₂ pressure, 5 bar; reaction time, 1 h; reaction temperature, 150 °C for (A), (B), and (C).



Figure 2. Characterizations of active oxygen species. (A) *In situ* fluorescence emission spectra during CH₄ oxidation by O_2 with CO or by H_2O_2 over Au/H-MOR. (B) *In situ* EPR spectra during CH₃OH oxidation by O_2 with CO or by H_2O_2 over Au/H-MOR. Signals marked by solid diamonds are attributable to the degradation of DMPO to 5,5-dimethyl-2-oxopyrroline-1-oxyl (*31*). (C) *In-situ* IR spectra of the adsorbed species on Au/H-MOR under O_2 , CO and H_2O vapor.



Figure 3. Relationship between CH₃OH selectivity and its affinity. (A) Changes of product selectivity and organic oxygenate productivity with reaction time during CH₄ oxidation over Au/H-MOR. Reaction conditions: catalyst, 0.10 g; H₂O, 20 mL; reaction temperature, 150 °C; total pressure, 30 bar; CH₄ pressure, 20 bar; CO pressure, 5 bar; O₂ pressure, 5 bar. (B) Amounts of HCOOH and CO₂ during the oxidation of CH₃OH. Reaction condition: catalyst, 0.10 g; H₂O, 20 mL; CH₃OH, 200 µmol; reaction temperature, 150 °C; total pressure, 30 bar; N₂ pressure, 20 bar; CO pressure, 5 bar; O₂ pressure, 30 bar; N₂ pressure, 20 bar; CO pressure, 5 bar; O₂ pressure, 30 bar; N₂ pressure, 20 bar; CO pressure, 5 bar; O₂ pressure, 5 bar; O₂ pressure, 20 bar; CO pressure, 5 bar; O₂ pressure, 5 bar; neaction time, 1 h. (C) CH₃OH selectivity during CH₄ oxidation *versus* the T_1/T_2 ratio measured by NMR. (D) Calculated non-dissociative adsorption energy of CH₃OH on noble metal surfaces. The inset represents the computation model of CH₃OH adsorbed on the Au (111) facet.

Entry	Reactants	Amount of organic oxygenates (µmol)				CO_2	CH ₃ OH	CH ₃ OH
		CH ₃ OH	НСООН	CH ₃ OOH	CH ₃ COOH	(µmol)	(%)	$(\mu mol g_{cat}^{-1} h^{-1})$
1°	$CO + O_2 + N_2$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	$N_2 + O_2 + CH_4$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	$\mathrm{CO} + \mathrm{O}_2 + \mathrm{CH}_4$	130	0.90	2.60	4.6	34.5	94.1	1300
4	$H_2O_2+CH_4$	142	0	0	0	33.9	100	1420

Table 1. Catalytic results for control experiments using Au/H-MOR^a

^a Reaction conditions: catalyst, 0.10 g; H_2O , 20 mL; reaction temperature, 150 °C; reaction time, 1 h; total pressure, 30 bar; CH₄ pressure, 20 bar; CO pressure, 5 bar; O₂ pressure, 5 bar. ^b CH₃OH selectivity in all organic oxygenates.

 $^{\rm c}$ The formation of 64 $\mu mol~H_2O_2$ was detected by titration of liquid products with a standard solution of Ce(SO₄)₂.