- 1 Title: Blue light-activatable DNA for remote controlled logic gates in synthetic cells
- 2

3 Authors: Denis Hartmann¹, Razia Chowdhry¹, Jefferson M. Smith¹, Michael J. Booth^{1,2*}

4 Affiliations:

⁵ ¹Department of Chemistry, University of Oxford, Mansfield Road, OX1 3TA, Oxford, UK.

²Department of Chemistry, University College London, 20 Gordon Street, WC1H 0AJ, London
 UK.

8 *Correspondence: <u>m.j.booth@ucl.ac.uk</u>

9

10 Abstract

Cell-free gene expression is a vital research tool to study biological systems in defined minimal 11 environments and has promising applications in biotechnology. Developing methods to 12 control DNA templates for cell-free expression will be important for precise regulation of 13 14 complex biological pathways and use with synthetic cells, particularly using remote, non-15 damaging stimuli such as visible light. Here, we have synthesised blue light-activatable DNA parts that tightly regulate cell-free RNA and protein synthesis. We found that this blue light-16 17 activated DNA could initiate expression orthogonally to our previously generated UV lightactivated DNA, which we used to generate a dual-wavelength light-controlled cell-free AND-18 19 gate. By encapsulating these orthogonal light-activated DNAs into synthetic cells, we used 20 two overlapping patterns of blue and UV light to provide precise spatiotemporal control over 21 the logic gate. Our blue and UV orthogonal light-activated DNAs will open the door for precise 22 control of cell-free systems in biology and medicine.

24 Introduction

Precise control of gene expression has a wide range of applications, including in biological 25 research, biotechnology, and medicine.¹ One area of gene expression that lacks tools for 26 27 control is cell-free expression (CFE), which produces functional RNA/protein from a DNA template. CFE is widely used in biology, biotechnology and synthetic biology^{2,3} as a research 28 tool to study fundamental biological processes in a minimal, cell-like environment.^{4,5} Several 29 important biological mechanisms, such as DNA replication,^{6,7} the genetic code⁸ and the role 30 of mRNA poly-A tails⁹ have been elucidated using CFE systems. A large number of different 31 CFE systems have been developed^{10–12} with modern systems offering high expression yields, 32 versatility, scalability and accessibility. Biosensors based on CFE logic gates have been 33 employed to generate portable detection systems for pathogens^{13–15} and small molecules.^{16–} 34 ¹⁸ CFE has also allowed for the rapid and high-yielding production of mRNA vaccines required 35 for large-scale vaccination efforts against SARS-CoV-2.^{19,20} Encapsulation of a CFE system 36 within a lipid bilayer has also been used to form synthetic cells,^{21–24} allowing for a bottom-up 37 approach towards studying biological processes such as cellular communication^{25–27} and the 38 cell cycle^{28,29} in vitro and has future applications in drug delivery through interactions with 39 living cells.³⁰ 40

The ability to control gene expression in CFE systems will allow the reconstitution of more 41 complex biological pathways for fundamental biological research,^{31,32} as well as targeted 42 interactions between synthetic cells and living cells.³³ To achieve control over these 43 processes, the DNA template in question can be modified to respond to a range of stimuli, 44 including changes in pH,³⁴ redox potential,^{35–37} temperature,³⁸ and light.³⁹ Light as a stimulus 45 is particularly attractive, as it is applied remotely and is largely bioorthogonal, and has already 46 found widespread use in the control of DNA or RNA function.^{39–41} This has often been 47 achieved by the attachment of photoactive chemical moieties ("photocages") to the DNA to 48 inhibit its function before illumination. Our group has previously developed a light-activatable 49 caging system for DNA parts used in CFE systems.⁴² Transcription from a T7 promoter of a 50 DNA template was blocked by seven monovalent streptavidin (mSA), attached to the DNA via 51 UV-photocleavable (2-nitrobenzyl) biotin linkers. Illumination with UV light released the 52 streptavidins, allowing T7 RNA polymerase to bind to the promoter, thereby activating CFE. 53 54 Beyond photocages, reversible systems based on azobenzene-modified DNA photoswitches suffer from leaky off- and poor on-states.⁴³ While systems based on light-sensitive proteins,
such as EL222,⁴⁴ require co-expression of additional genes and also show a leaky off state.
Our photocage approach is simple to synthesise, general to any gene of interest, and requires
no auxiliary protein to be expressed.

59 Most light-activatable DNAs rely on UV photocages. Longer wavelength photocages would be preferred, however, as visible light shows reduced damage to biomolecules^{45,46} and tissue 60 penetration is increased with longer wavelengths.^{47,48} Longer wavelength photocages for use 61 in biological systems have been reported.⁴⁹ An additional advantage of light is the possibility 62 to use multiple wavelengths of light to control different DNAs. To this end, several reports 63 have shown sequential activation of two photocages.^{50–52} However, orthogonal activation of 64 two or more photocages has few reported examples, ^{53–57} mostly due to chromophores having 65 66 overlapping absorbance bands and orthogonal release thus being difficult. There is a clear need to develop longer wavelength/orthogonal light-activated DNA parts for use in CFE. 67

Here, we have built on our previous light-activated DNA design to create blue light-activatable 68 DNA parts, by incorporating an extended coumarin photocage (Figure 1).^{53,58} This blue light-69 activated DNA (bLA-DNA) shows a very tight off-state, rapid photocleavage upon 455 nm 70 illumination, and allows for the light-controlled cell-free synthesis of RNA and protein. Most 71 importantly, this bLA-DNA is orthogonal to the previously developed ultraviolet LA-DNA 72 (uvLA-DNA). We deployed these two LA-DNAs together to create the first cell-free expression 73 74 logic gate controlled with two orthogonal wavelengths of light as inputs. Following preprinting of this manuscript, two-wavelength photocleavage of DNA strands was used to create 75 logic gates based on DNA hybridisation,⁵⁹ however, the photocages had to be installed during 76 solid-phase synthesis and the gates had a high off-state. Our blue and UV LA-DNAs were then 77 encapsulated into synthetic cells and we used two overlapping patterns of light to 78 79 spatiotemporally control the cell-free logic gate. Remote control of cell-free expression using 80 these orthogonal light-activated DNAs will open new possibilities in cell-free biology.





Figure 1: Caged, blue light-activatable DNA for control of cell-free expression (CFE). An amine-modified T7 promoter upstream of a gene of interest was modified with a biotinylated-coumarin derivative. The binding of monovalent streptavidin (mSA) provided the necessary steric bulk to repress transcription from the DNA template. Upon illumination with a 455 nm LED this steric bulk was cleaved off and transcription activated. This blue-light activatable DNA allowed for the control of RNA and protein synthesis in a CFE system, and enabled the construction of an AND gate with 2 wavelengths as inputs, which was spatiotemporally controlled in synthetic cells.

90 Results and Discussion

91 Synthesis of a blue-light photocleavable group carrying a biotin

92 We set out to synthesise a blue light-activatable group able to react with amino-93 functionalised DNA to expand and improve on our existing light-activatable DNA (LA-DNA) 94 technology. This blue-light activatable group carries a biotin-motif linked via a short glycol-95 derived linker to bind to streptavidin, providing the steric bulk necessary for caging the DNA.

96 For this, we chose the widely studied diethylaminocoumarin (DEACM) scaffold, which shows 97 excellent photocleavage upon UV irradiation^{60,61} and has been used to control several 98 biological processes.^{51,62,63} An extended DEACM scaffold has been reported,⁵⁸ which shifted 99 its absorption maximum to ~450 nm. This extended scaffold has been used in biological 100 systems,⁶⁴ shows orthogonality to UV light irradiation,⁵³ and contains a useful attachment 101 point for the biotin functionalisation at the acrylate moiety.



Figure 2: Synthesis of the biotinylated coumarin-based photocage and its reaction with DNA. a, Synthetic scheme describing the synthesis of the biotinylated coumarin active carbonates. b, Optimal conditions for the reaction of the 7 amino-C6-dT modified ssDNA with the coumarin photocage. TBDMS = *tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl-, DMAP = 4-Dimethylaminopyridine, DCM = Dichloromethane, NBS = N-Bromosuccinimide, DMF = Dimethylformamide, TFA = Trifluoroacetic Acid, Boc = *tert*-butyloxycarbonyl- EDC = N-(3-Dimethylaminopropyl)-N'-ethylcarbodiimide, NHS = N-Hydroxysuccinimide, TBAF = Tetrabutylammonium fluoride, THF = Tetrahydrofuran, DMSO = Dimethylsulfoxide

We initially synthesised scaffold 4 using published procedures.⁵⁸ Following deprotection of 110 the *t*-butyl-group on the acrylate using TFA/DCM, peptide coupling using EDC·HCl with a 111 mono-Boc-protected, triethylene-glycol-derived diamine (S1, Supplementary Information) 112 113 yielded the PEG-ylated coumarin **5** in excellent yield, which provides a versatile intermediate 114 for further derivatisation. Following this, Boc-deprotection with TFA/DCM and addition of Biotin-N-Hydroxysuccinimide Ester (Biotin-NHS, S2, Supplementary Information) in DMF 115 under basic conditions yielded the biotinylated coumarin in good yields. In both deprotection 116 117 steps, we observed partial removal of the TBDMS group through TFA, initially installed to 118 prevent side reactions with the alcohol during the peptide coupling step, as well as reaction 119 with the Biotin-NHS, but the TBDMS-deprotected compounds worked well in the same 120 reactions and caused no apparent issues. After deprotection of the TBDMS-group with TBAF, the resulting alcohol 7 was converted into active carbonates for reaction with the amino-121 122 functionalised DNA.

The synthesised N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) carbonate 8a sadly was completely unreactive 123 towards DNA containing 1, 4, or 7 amines (Supplementary Figure 6) in NaHCO₃ buffer, which 124 we have previously employed to attach the UV-activatable 2-nitrobenzene photocage to 125 DNA.⁴² The pentafluorophenol- (PFP) and *p*-nitrophenol- (*p*NP) carbonates **8b/c**, due to their 126 higher degree of stability in aqueous media, did provide reactivity in initial conditions using 127 NaHCO₃ and the 7-amino-modified ssDNA, as seen on denaturing polyacrylamide gel 128 129 electrophoresis (PAGE) (Supplementary Figure 7). However, we found that the PFP-Carbonate **8b** in MOPS pH 8.5 at 37 °C for 3 hours gave the best conversion towards the fully 130 modified DNA (Figure 2b and Supplementary Figure 8). The modified, blue-light activatable 131 T7 promoter DNA (bLA-DNA) was purified via Ion-Paring High-Performance Liquid 132 Chromatography (IP-HPLC) and its presence was confirmed by LC-MS and PAGE 133 (Supplementary Figure 14). It showed greater gel retention, as well as fluorescence of the 134 coumarin before gel-staining, indicating attachment of the desired photocage. We also 135 136 synthesised a surrogate for the carbamate formed during the reaction of DNA with our 137 molecule by reacting **8c** with propargylamine (**S3**, Supplementary Information).

138

140 Control of Cell-Free Expression

To test the caging ability of this new photocage on the DNA, we initially chose in vitro 141 transcription of an RNA aptamer, broccoli, which fluoresces when bound to the small 142 molecule DFHBI.⁶⁵ For this, we annealed a template strand, containing a T7 promoter 143 sequence (complementary to the bLA-T7) upstream of the broccoli aptamer sequence, with 144 the unmodified amine containing T7 promoter sequence (as a control for 100% 145 146 photocleavage) or with our modified T7 promoter, and a third strand complementary to the broccoli template (**Supplementary Table 3**). Following this, mSA was bound to the hybridised 147 148 DNA (Supplementary Figure 15a). We used this DNA template to express the broccoli aptamer using T7 RNA polymerase and measured the RNA output via agarose gel 149 electrophoresis (AGE) (Supplementary Figure 15b) and fluorescence spectroscopy 150 151 (Supplementary Figure 15c) with and without 455 nm illumination. In the fluorescence measurements, we found that the bLA-DNA itself had a high fluorescence background, due 152 to the excitation/emission spectra of the coumarin moiety overlapping with the 153 broccoli/DHFBI complex, which was confirmed by a no polymerase control. After taking into 154 account the background fluorescence, we observed a tight off-state of transcription in the 155 absence of blue light by both gel and fluorescence. Upon illumination with blue light we saw 156 a high recovery of expression, with only 1 minute of irradiation necessary for full activation 157 158 and no discernible difference between 1 and 5 minutes, indicating negligible damage to the 159 system using blue light.

160 Next, we tested the ability of this caging group for blue light-controlled cell-free protein synthesis. For this, we generated a DNA template encoding the fluorescent protein mVenus 161 (mV) by PCR, using the ssDNA bLA-T7 as a forward primer, followed by the addition of mSA to 162 cage the resulting bLA-mV DNA template (Figure 3a). We also synthesised a UV light-163 activatable mV DNA template (uvLA-mV DNA) using a commercial, nitrobenzyl-based 164 photocleavable biotin (from Click-Chemistry-Tools) as previously described (Supplementary 165 166 Figure 10).⁴² We first analysed the bLA-mV DNA, uvLA-mV DNA and amino control NH₂-mV 167 DNA by agarose gel electrophoresis with and without illumination (Figure 3b). As expected, bLA-mV and uvLA-mV had a decreased mobility through the gel, demonstrating the mSA was 168 bound. Irradiation of the bLA-mV DNA for 1 minute with a 455 nm blue LED showed almost 169 170 full recovery to the control NH₂-mV DNA band. Longer illumination did not show additional

photocleavage (Supplementary Figure 16), meaning further uncaging is not possible, which 171 has previously been shown for other coumarin-based photocages.^{66–68} We also observed that 172 upon irradiation with blue light under identical conditions used for the bLA-mV DNA, the 173 174 uvLA-mV DNA did not show any shift in the gel. Irradiation of the uvLA-mV DNA with UV-light 175 for 3 minutes, however, caused a small change in gel retention, indicating partial uncaging. This effect was more pronounced upon UV irradiation for 10 minutes. Excitingly, irradiating 176 bLA-mV DNA for 3 minutes with a 365 nm UV LED showed little/no shift, indicating minimal 177 178 uncaging, particularly compared to the uvLA-mV DNA counterpart.



180 Figure 3: bLA- and uvLA-mVenus DNA under different illumination conditions. a, Preparation of caged, 181 blue light-activatable DNA and uncaging with blue light. bLA-T7 is used as a primer in PCR to generate a 182 modified DNA template. The addition of mSA cages the DNA, preventing transcription. Illumination with blue light then cleaves off the molecule+mSA, allowing for expression from the DNA template. **b**, Agarose 183 184 gel electrophoresis of NH₂-, bLA- and uvLA-mV DNA under different illumination conditions. Modification 185 and binding of mSA gave larger gel retention for both bLA- and uvLA-mV DNA. Illumination of bLA-mV DNA 186 with blue light showed uncaging towards the NH₂-mV DNA template, but was not affected by UV 187 irradiation. Illumination of uvLA-DNA with UV light showed uncaging, but illumination with blue light did 188 not. c, Cell-free expression of NH₂-, bLA- and uvLA-mV DNA with blue and UV irradiation. Both bLA- and 189 uvLA-DNA had a tight off-state in the absence of irradiation. Illumination of bLA-DNA with a blue LED gave 190 70% recovery of expression, whereas illumination with UV yielded only a small increase in expression. For 191 uvLA-DNA, we saw the reverse, where illumination with UV-light gave a 50% recovery of expression,

whereas illumination with blue light yielded no increase in expression of mVenus. n=4 for bLA-DNA andn=3 for uvLA-DNA samples.

Following analysis of the gel mobility, we tested the CFE of mV from the DNA templates in 194 response to blue and UV light. mV was synthesised from these templates using a commercial 195 CFE system (PURExpress®) and the protein output was measured by fluorescence after 196 197 incubation for 4 hours (Figure 3c) and compared to the DNA containing amino-C6-dT in the T7 promoter, representing a theoretical 100% photorelease. As expected, illumination of the 198 199 control NH₂-DNA for 1 minute with 455 nm blue light showed no damaging effect of the blue 200 irradiation on CFE. Without any irradiation of bLA-mV DNA, we saw only a minor increase in fluorescence compared to the no DNA background. This is supported by looking at the RNA 201 transcription of the bLA-mV DNA template using T7 RNA polymerase, where we saw negligible 202 amounts of mV mRNA being formed in the absence of light (Supplementary Figure 17). Upon 203 204 illumination of the CFE containing our bLA-mV DNA for 1 minute with blue light, we observed 70% recovery of expression compared to the NH₂-DNA with an ON/OFF ratio for the bLA-DNA 205 206 of 98%, with the same trend being observed in the mRNA. Illumination of the uvLA-mV DNA 207 with 365 nm UV light showed 50% recovery of expression. As expected, no expression was observed from the uvLA-mV DNA following blue light illumination for 1 minute, or without 208 illumination. Strikingly, irradiation of the bLA-mV with UV light for 3 minutes only showed a 209 210 minor increase in fluorescence compared to the no-light control showing only minimal expression under UV irradiation, demonstrating orthogonal control of CFE from the bLA- and 211 212 uvLA-mV DNAs. We also wanted to test if a graded output can be generated from the bLA-mV DNA by irradiation for less time and lower power (Supplementary Figure 18). We saw that 213 214 just 10 seconds of illumination with blue light produced 63% activity vs. the NH₂-DNA, with longer times at this power only giving marginal increases up to 70% with 1 minute. By 215 216 decreasing the power and illuminating for 10 seconds, a gradual response could be seen, giving rise to 3% or 21% activation vs. NH₂-DNA, respectively. 217

219 Development of an orthogonal, dual-wavelength light-activated cell-free AND gate

As we saw only minimal mV expression activation from the bLA-DNA under UV-irradiation, we wanted to investigate whether our bLA-DNA, together with the uvLA-DNA, was suitable for orthogonally activating different DNA parts of a cell-free logic gate with two different wavelengths.

224 To generate this cell-free logic gate, we chose a split enzyme assay based on the reporter enzyme β -Galactosidase (β -Gal). β -Gal was one of the first split enzymes reported.⁶⁹ Through 225 226 splitting at a loop region, two inactive protein parts (labelled α and ω) were formed, which were able to spontaneously self-assemble in solution and reconstitute enzymatic activity.⁷⁰ 227 We cloned the α and ω subunits from the lacZ gene, encoding full-length β -Gal, into the 228 PURExpress control template using homologous recombination (Supplementary Figure 19). 229 From this, we generated the linear, blue-activatable ω -subunit DNA by PCR using the bLA-T7 230 231 primer, as well as the linear, UV-activatable α -subunit DNA by PCR using the uvLA-T7 primer. 232 Similarly, the amine-only DNAs were prepared as a positive control for 100% photocleavage (Supplementary Figure 20). Using these parts, a remote-controlled cell-free AND-gate was 233 constructed, using UV and blue light as orthogonal inputs (Figure 4a, b). Reconstitution of 234 activity was measured using the enzymatic hydrolysis of non-fluorescent carboxyumbelliferyl-235 β -D-galactopyranoside (CUG) to the fluorescent umbelliferone-3-carboxylic acid (UCA). 236

237 We expressed the two DNA parts in a CFE system, with either no irradiation, UV-, blue- or both UV and blue irradiation, incubated for 4 hours at 37 °C and then measured the relative 238 239 amount of enzyme produced through the development of fluorescence of UCA over time. Initially, we used the UV-activatable primer employed for mV above (Figure 4) (prepared from 240 the UV-photocleavable biotin obtained from Click-Chemistry-Tools), to cage the α -subunit 241 DNA (Supplementary Figure 23). This molecule showed a tight OFF-state in the absence of 242 243 light and presence of UV light only, and a slightly elevated OFF-state upon irradiation with blue light. Upon irradiation with UV and then blue light we saw a good increase in 244 fluorescence output over time, as anticipated. However, the overall ON-state observed over 245 1 hour was low. To improve on this, we tested a different UV-activatable molecule we have 246 previously used (UV-photocleavable biotin from AmberGen).⁴² We again expressed the DNA 247

parts in CFE under different inputs of light and measured the enzymatic production of UCA (Figure 4c, Supplementary Figure 24). In the absence of light, no fluorescence was observed even after one hour of incubation (99.9% OFF state). In the presence of either UV- or bluelight alone, UCA was only produced to 14% (UV) and 17% (blue) of that produced by the control NH₂-DNA parts. Following illumination with both wavelengths in either order, almost full reconstitution of enzymatic activity was observed compared to the amine control, with 94% for blue then UV (p-value = 0.1799) and 92% for UV then blue (p-value = 0.503). These



255 Figure 4: DNA-Based AND-gate controlled by two wavelengths of light. a, Schematic Representation of the LA-256 DNA-based cell-free AND-Gate. The two inactive β -Galactosidase segments, α and ω , were encoded in a UV or 257 blue LA-DNA part. By placing both light-activatable DNAs inside a CFE system, we generated an AND-gate 258 controlled by light, following the AND-truth table in **b**. The output was fluorescence from the enzymatic 259 hydrolysis product umbelliferone-3-carboxylic acid (UCA). b, Light-controlled AND Truth Table. In the absence 260 of light, no output should be detected. With either UV or Blue-light only, no output should be detected either, 261 but with both wavelengths of light applied, there is output. **c**, Activity of the light-activated AND gate. Split β -262 Galactosidase activity was only reconstituted when both UV and blue light were applied (in either order). Protein 263 Image from the protein databank, ID: IDP0. n=3

values were calculated by measuring the fluorescence of each sample at the time point of half
the maximum enzymatic output from the control NH₂-DNA parts (Supplementary Figure 24,
10 minute mark, orange line), where the product formation was at steady-state (as indicated
by the linear slope).⁷¹ These results demonstrate, to our knowledge, the first description of a
remote-controlled cell-free expression logic gate activated by two orthogonal wavelengths of
light.

270 Control and Spatiotemporal Patterning of Cell-Free Expression inside Synthetic Cells

Synthetic cells, compartments that demonstrate a minimal cellular functionality, have shown 271 great promise in biology, biotechnologies and medicine.⁷² However, these require control 272 mechanisms for future applications, especially using remote stimuli that can simply cross the 273 compartment surface.³³ We wanted to test if our new bLA-DNA was suitable for the control 274 of different types of synthetic cells and might be applied, with uvLA-DNA, for orthogonal 275 276 spatiotemporal control of their functional. We encapsulated our bLA-DNA and a CFE system 277 within giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) and water-in-oil droplets, two of the most widely used types chassis' for synthetic cells.⁷³ 278

Initially, we prepared giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) containing PURExpress as previously 279 described, using egg phosphatidyl choline (egg-PC) and the inverted emulsion method.^{74,75} 280 281 We encapsulated bLA-mNeonGreen (mNG) DNA (Supplementary Figure 25), an unmodified 282 NH₂-mNG control DNA, or no DNA with the CFE system inside the vesicles. Texas-Red-Dextran was also included for visualisation of the resulting synthetic cells (Supplementary Figure 26a). 283 mNeonGreen was chosen for its high brightness, rapid maturation, and better overlap with 284 the filter cubes of our epifluorescence microscope.⁷⁶ After preparation of the GUVs, samples 285 286 were illuminated, if required, incubated at 37 °C for 5 hours, and then imaged by fluorescence 287 microscopy (Supplementary Figure 26, b-e). As expected, high fluorescence was observed in 288 the synthetic cells that contained the control NH₂-mNG DNA and no fluorescence was observed in the synthetic cells that contained no DNA. Excitingly, within the synthetic cells 289 containing bLA-mNG DNA in the absence of blue light, we saw no expression of mNG. 290 291 However, upon illumination for 1 minute with 455 nm blue light before incubation, we saw high fluorescence in the synthetic cells, comparable to synthetic cells containing the control 292 293 NH₂-mNG DNA.

To then allow us to spatially pattern the control of cell-free protein synthesis inside synthetic 295 cells, we prepared emulsion droplets immobilised in an organogel. We emulsified the CFE 296 297 containing NH₂- or bLA-mNG DNA and TexasRed-Dextran using a solution of SEBS polymer⁷⁷ and 2% Span80⁷⁸ in hexadecane by agitation along a PCR rack. A photomask was applied to 298 the droplet-containing organogel and illuminated with blue light prior to incubation for 4 299 hours (Figure 5a). Using this approach, we were able to pattern simple shapes such as dots 300 301 and lines (Figure 5b-e), whereas the unmodified DNA was expressed in all droplets 302 (Supplementary Figure 27), demonstrating the ability to control synthetic cells spatially and 303 temporally using blue light and our bLA-DNA.





305

Figure 5: 1- and 2-wavelength patterning of immobilised emulsion droplet synthetic cells. a) Through application of photomasks over organogel-immobilised emulsion droplet synthetic cells, spatial and temporal control over gene expression could be achieved. By applying blue light to bLA-mNeonGreen DNA-containing droplets, photopatterns of dots (**b-c**) and a line (**d-e**) could be achieved. Applying UV and blue light through

orthogonal line photomasks onto droplets containing the uvLA- α and bLA- ω DNA parts of split β -gal (**f**), twowavelength patterning could be achieved, with fluorescence only observed in the zone where both wavelengths overlap (**g-h**).

Encouraged by these results, we then aimed to spatiotemporally control our orthogonal blue 313 314 and UV light-activated logic gate within these synthetic cells (Figure 5f). For this, we encapsulated the CFE system, $uvLA-\alpha$ and $bLA-\omega$ DNA with fluorescein-di- β -D-315 galactopyranoside (FDG), another common substrate for β -Galactosidase, chosen for its 316 improved sensitivity, higher brightness of the hydrolysis product fluorescein, and better 317 overlap with the filter cubes of our microscope. We then applied the line photomask 318 horizontally and illuminated with UV, before turning the line photomask 90° and illuminating 319 320 with blue light, followed by incubation for 3 hours at 37 °C. At the intersection of both illumination lines a two-wavelength zone of activation was formed, only within which high 321 322 fluorescence was observed (Figure 5g,h; Supplementary Figure 28). This indicated that only in this zone the orthogonal DNA parts were activated, producing the enzyme and then 323 fluorescein. When using NH₂-DNA, no pattern was observed (Supplementary Figure 29). This 324 325 is, to our knowledge, the first report of two-wavelength spatial control of gene expression 326 inside synthetic cells.

327 Conclusion

We have developed a new, blue light-activatable photocage for controlling DNA templates. 328 This blue light-activatable DNA (bLA-DNA) was used to control cell-free protein synthesis in 329 330 bulk and within synthetic cells, and showed a very tight off-state in the absence of light and rapid photouncaging upon illumination with a blue LED. Due to the orthogonality of this bLA-331 DNA from our previous UV light-activated DNA, we also generated the first dual-wavelength 332 remote-controlled, cell-free expression AND-gate based on split β -Galactosidase, which we 333 334 applied to spatiotemporally pattern synthetic cells. This technology will be an important addition to the toolkit of cell-free biology as it is independent of the encoded gene and does 335 not require extra biochemical components to function. In the future, this approach might be 336 applied to other commonly employed promoters, such as SP6 or CMV. The ability to activate 337 multiple cell-free DNA parts with different wavelengths of light might be used to produce 338 spatiotemporal logic gates to study pattern formation in living systems. Overall, construction 339 of orthogonal and visible light-activated DNA parts will open up applications in cell-free 340

- 341 systems from unpicking biological pathways in minimal systems to use for communication of
- 342 synthetic cells with living systems in biology and medicine.

344 Acknowledgements

- We would like to thank M. Howarth and M. Fairhead for providing the monovalent streptavidin. Wewould also like to thank S. Santhakumar and R. Hicklin for technical discussions.
- D.H. is grateful to the EPSRC Centre for Doctoral Training in Synthesis for Biology and Medicine
 (EP/L015838/1) for a studentship, generously supported by AstraZeneca, Diamond Light Source,
 Defence Science and Technology Laboratory, Evotec, GlaxoSmithKline, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer,
 Syngenta, Takeda, UCB and Vertex.
- R.C. is supported by funding from the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC)(BB/M011224/1).
- J.M.S. is supported through the Synthetic Biology Centre for Doctoral Training EPSRC funding(EP/L016494/1).
- M.J.B. is supported by a Royal Society University Research Fellowship and an EPSRC New Investigator
 Award (EP/V030434/1).
- 357 Conflicts of Interest
- 358 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

359 Contributions

- 360 D.H. and M.J.B conceived the project. D.H. designed, performed, and analysed the experiments, with
- 361 contributions from R.C., J.M.S, and M.J.B. D.H. and M.J.B wrote the paper.

362 References

- 363 (1) Debart, F.; Dupouy, C.; Vasseur, J. J. Stimuli-Responsive Oligonucleotides in Prodrug-Based
 364 Approaches for Gene Silencing. *Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry* 2018, 14 (1), 436–469.
 365 https://doi.org/10.3762/bjoc.14.32.
- Garenne, D.; Noireaux, V. Cell-Free Transcription–Translation: Engineering Biology from the
 Nanometer to the Millimeter Scale. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* 2019, *58*, 19–27.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2018.10.007.
- 369 (3) Garenne, D.; Haines, M. C.; Romantseva, E. F.; Freemont, P.; Strychalski, E. A.; Noireaux, V. Cell370 Free Gene Expression. *Nature Reviews Methods Primers* 2021, *1* (1), 1–18.
 371 https://doi.org/10.1038/s43586-021-00046-x.
- 372 (4) Silverman, A. D.; Karim, A. S.; Jewett, M. C. Cell-Free Gene Expression: An Expanded Repertoire
 373 of Applications. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 2020, *21* (3), 151–170.
- 374 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-019-0186-3.
- 375 (5) Elowitz, M.; Lim, W. A. Build Life to Understand It. *Nature* 2010, *468* (7326), 889–890.
 376 https://doi.org/10.1038/468889a.
- Fuller, R. S.; Kaguni, J. M.; Kornberg, A. Enzymatic Replication of the Origin of the Escherichia
 Coli Chromosome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 1981, 78 (12 II), 7370–7374. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.78.12.7370.
- 380 (7) Blow, J. J.; Laskey, R. A. Initiation of DNA Replication in Nuclei and Purified DNA by a Cell-Free
 381 Extract of Xenopus Eggs. *Cell* **1986**, *47* (4), 577–587. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092382 8674(86)90622-7.
- (8) Nirenberg, M. W.; Matthaei, J. H. The Dependence of Cell-Free Protein Synthesis in E. Coli upon
 Naturally Occurring or Synthetic Polyribonucleotides. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 1961, 47, 1588–1602.
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.47.10.1588.
- 386 Inttps://doi.org/10.1073/phas.47.10.1588.
 387 (9) Preiss, T.; Hentze, M. W. Dual Function of the Messenger RNA Cap Structure in Poly(A)-Tail-
- Promoted Translation in Yeast. *Nature* **1998**, *392* (6675), 516–520.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/33192.
- (10) Kelwick, R.; Webb, A. J.; MacDonald, J. T.; Freemont, P. S. Development of a Bacillus Subtilis
 Cell-Free Transcription-Translation System for Prototyping Regulatory Elements. *Metabolic Engineering* 2016, *38*, 370–381. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymben.2016.09.008.
- 393 (11) Didovyk, A.; Tonooka, T.; Tsimring, L.; Hasty, J. Rapid and Scalable Preparation of Bacterial
 394 Lysates for Cell-Free Gene Expression. *ACS Synthetic Biology* 2017, *6* (12), 2198–2208.
 395 https://doi.org/10.1021/acssynbio.7b00253.
- (12) Shimizu, Y.; Inoue, A.; Tomari, Y.; Suzuki, T.; Yokogawa, T.; Nishikawa, K.; Ueda, T. Cell-Free
 Translation Reconstituted with Purified Components. *Nature Biotechnology* 2001, *19* (8), 751–
 755. https://doi.org/10.1038/90802.
- (13) Pardee, K.; Green, A. A.; Ferrante, T.; Cameron, D. E.; Daleykeyser, A.; Yin, P.; Collins, J. J.
 Paper-Based Synthetic Gene Networks. *Cell* **2014**, *159* (4), 940–954.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.10.004.
- 402 (14) Ma, D.; Shen, L.; Wu, K.; Diehnelt, C. W.; Green, A. A. Low-Cost Detection of Norovirus Using
 403 Paper-Based Cell-Free Systems and Synbody-Based Viral Enrichment. *Synthetic Biology* 2018, 3
 404 (1). https://doi.org/10.1093/synbio/ysy018.
- 405 (15) Pardee, K.; Green, A. A.; Takahashi, M. K.; Braff, D.; Lambert, G.; Lee, J. W.; Ferrante, T.; Ma, D.;
 406 Donghia, N.; Fan, M.; Daringer, N. M.; Bosch, I.; Dudley, D. M.; O'Connor, D. H.; Gehrke, L.;
 407 Collins, J. J. Rapid, Low-Cost Detection of Zika Virus Using Programmable Biomolecular
- 408 Components. *Cell* **2016**, *165* (5), 1255–1266. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.04.059.
- 409 (16) Gräwe, A.; Dreyer, A.; Vornholt, T.; Barteczko, U.; Buchholz, L.; Drews, G.; Ho, U. L.; Jackowski,
 410 M. E.; Kracht, M.; Lüders, J.; Bleckwehl, T.; Rositzka, L.; Ruwe, M.; Wittchen, M.; Lutter, P.;
- 411 Müller, K.; Kalinowski, J. A Paper-Based, Cell-Free Biosensor System for the Detection of Heavy

- 412 Metals and Date Rape Drugs. *PLOS ONE* **2019**, *14* (3), e0210940.
- 413 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210940.
- 414 (17) Salehi, A. S. M.; Shakalli Tang, M. J.; Smith, M. T.; Hunt, J. M.; Law, R. A.; Wood, D. W.; Bundy,
 415 B. C. Cell-Free Protein Synthesis Approach to Biosensing HTRβ-Specific Endocrine Disruptors.
 416 Analytical Chemistry 2017, 89 (6), 3395–3401. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.6b04034.
- (18) Wen, K. Y.; Cameron, L.; Chappell, J.; Jensen, K.; Bell, D. J.; Kelwick, R.; Kopniczky, M.; Davies, J.
 C.; Filloux, A.; Freemont, P. S. A Cell-Free Biosensor for Detecting Quorum Sensing Molecules in
 P. Aeruginosa-Infected Respiratory Samples. ACS Synthetic Biology 2017, 6 (12), 2293–2301.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acssynbio.7b00219.
- (19) Turner, J. S.; O'Halloran, J. A.; Kalaidina, E.; Kim, W.; Schmitz, A. J.; Zhou, J. Q.; Lei, T.; Thapa,
 M.; Chen, R. E.; Case, J. B.; Amanat, F.; Rauseo, A. M.; Haile, A.; Xie, X.; Klebert, M. K.; Suessen,
 T.; Middleton, W. D.; Shi, P. Y.; Krammer, F.; Teefey, S. A.; Diamond, M. S.; Presti, R. M.;
 Ellebedy, A. H. SARS-CoV-2 MRNA Vaccines Induce Persistent Human Germinal Centre
 Responses. *Nature* 2021, *596* (7870), 109–113. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03738-2.
- (20) Baden, L. R.; El Sahly, H. M.; Essink, B.; Kotloff, K.; Frey, S.; Novak, R.; Diemert, D.; Spector, S.
 A.; Rouphael, N.; Creech, C. B.; McGettigan, J.; Khetan, S.; Segall, N.; Solis, J.; Brosz, A.; Fierro,
 C.; Schwartz, H.; Neuzil, K.; Corey, L.; Gilbert, P.; Janes, H.; Follmann, D.; Marovich, M.;
 Mascola, J.; Polakowski, L.; Ledgerwood, J.; Graham, B. S.; Bennett, H.; Pajon, R.; Knightly, C.;
 Leav, B.; Deng, W.; Zhou, H.; Han, S.; Ivarsson, M.; Miller, J.; Zaks, T. Efficacy and Safety of the
 MRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2021, *384* (5), 403–416.
 https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa2035389.
- 433 (21) Tawfik, D. S.; Griffiths, A. D. Man-Made Cell-like Compartments for Molecular Evolution.
 434 Nature Biotechnology 1998, 16 (7), 652–656. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt0798-652.
- (22) Noireaux, V.; Libchaber, A. A Vesicle Bioreactor as a Step toward an Artificial Cell Assembly.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2004, 101
 (51), 17669–17674. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0408236101.
- 438 (23) Murtas, G.; Kuruma, Y.; Bianchini, P.; Diaspro, A.; Luisi, P. L. Protein Synthesis in Liposomes
 439 with a Minimal Set of Enzymes. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 2007,
 440 363 (1), 12–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2007.07.201.
- (24) Schwille, P.; Spatz, J.; Landfester, K.; Bodenschatz, E.; Herminghaus, S.; Sourjik, V.; Erb, T. J.;
 Bastiaens, P.; Lipowsky, R.; Hyman, A.; Dabrock, P.; Baret, J.-C.; Vidakovic-Koch, T.; Bieling, P.;
 Dimova, R.; Mutschler, H.; Robinson, T.; Tang, T.-Y. D.; Wegner, S.; Sundmacher, K. MaxSynBio:
 Avenues Towards Creating Cells from the Bottom Up. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* 2018, *57* (41), 13382–13392. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201802288.
- (25) Adamala, K. P.; Martin-Alarcon, D. A.; Guthrie-Honea, K. R.; Boyden, E. S. Engineering Genetic
 Circuit Interactions within and between Synthetic Minimal Cells. *Nature Chemistry* 2017, *9* (5),
 431–439. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.2644.
- (26) Joesaar, A.; Yang, S.; Bögels, B.; van der Linden, A.; Pieters, P.; Kumar, B. V. V. S. P.; Dalchau, N.;
 Phillips, A.; Mann, S.; de Greef, T. F. A. DNA-Based Communication in Populations of Synthetic
 Protocells. *Nature Nanotechnology* 2019, *14* (4), 369–378. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565019-0399-9.
- 453 (27) Hennig, S.; Rödel, G.; Ostermann, K. Artificial Cell-Cell Communication as an Emerging Tool in
 454 Synthetic Biology Applications. *Journal of Biological Engineering* 2015, *9* (1), 13.
 455 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13036-015-0011-2.
- (28) Olivi, L.; Berger, M.; Creyghton, R. N. P.; De Franceschi, N.; Dekker, C.; Mulder, B. M.; Claassens,
 N. J.; ten Wolde, P. R.; van der Oost, J. Towards a Synthetic Cell Cycle. *Nature Communications* **2021**, *12* (1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-24772-8.
- (29) Kretschmer, S.; Ganzinger, K. A.; Franquelim, H. G.; Schwille, P. Synthetic Cell Division via
 Membrane-Transforming Molecular Assemblies. *BMC Biology* 2019, *17* (1), 1–10.
 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-019-0665-1.

- 462 (30) Krinsky, N.; Kaduri, M.; Zinger, A.; Shainsky-Roitman, J.; Goldfeder, M.; Benhar, I.; Hershkovitz,
 463 D.; Schroeder, A. Synthetic Cells Synthesize Therapeutic Proteins inside Tumors. *Advanced*464 *Healthcare Materials* 2018, 7 (9), 1701163. https://doi.org/10.1002/adhm.201701163.
- 465 (31) Siegal-Gaskins, D.; Tuza, Z. A.; Kim, J.; Noireaux, V.; Murray, R. M. Gene Circuit Performance
 466 Characterization and Resource Usage in a Cell-Free "Breadboard." ACS Synthetic Biology 2014,
 467 3 (6), 416–425. https://doi.org/10.1021/sb400203p.
- (32) Noireaux, V.; Bar-Ziv, R.; Libchaber, A. Principles of Cell-Free Genetic Circuit Assembly.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2003, 100
 (22), 12672–12677. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2135496100.
- (33) Smith, J. M.; Chowdhry, R.; Booth, M. J. Controlling Synthetic Cell-Cell Communication.
 Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences 2022, *8*, 1321. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmolb.2021.809945.
- 473 (34) Li, L.; Jiang, Y.; Cui, C.; Yang, Y.; Zhang, P.; Stewart, K.; Pan, X.; Li, X.; Yang, L.; Qiu, L.; Tan, W.
 474 Modulating Aptamer Specificity with PH-Responsive DNA Bonds. *Journal of the American*475 *Chemical Society* 2018, *140* (41), 13335–13339. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b08047.
- 476 (35) Zhang, L.; Li, Y.; Yu, J. C.; Chan, K. M. Redox-Responsive Controlled DNA Transfection and Gene
 477 Silencing Based on Polymer-Conjugated Magnetic Nanoparticles. *RSC Advances* 2016, *6* (76),
 478 72155–72164. https://doi.org/10.1039/c6ra16578h.
- (36) Sahoo, S.; Kayal, S.; Poddar, P.; Dhara, D. Redox-Responsive Efficient DNA and Drug Co-Release
 from Micelleplexes Formed from a Fluorescent Cationic Amphiphilic Polymer. *Langmuir* 2019,
 35 (45), 14616–14627. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.9b02921.
- (37) Wang, Y.; Ma, B.; Abdeen, A. A.; Chen, G.; Xie, R.; Saha, K.; Gong, S. Versatile Redox-Responsive
 Polyplexes for the Delivery of Plasmid DNA, Messenger RNA, and CRISPR-Cas9 Genome-Editing
 Machinery. ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces 2018, 10 (38), 31915–31927.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.8b09642.
- (38) Knutson, S. D.; Sanford, A. A.; Swenson, C. S.; Korn, M. M.; Manuel, B. A.; Heemstra, J. M.
 Thermoreversible Control of Nucleic Acid Structure and Function with Glyoxal Caging. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2020, *142* (41), 17766–17781.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.0c08996
- 489 https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.0c08996.
 490 (39) Hartmann, D.; Smith, J. M.; Mazzotti, G.; Chowdhry, R.; Booth, M. J. Controlling Gene
- 491 Expression with Light: A Multidisciplinary Endeavour. *Biochemical Society Transactions* 2020,
 492 48 (4), 1645–1659. https://doi.org/10.1042/BST20200014.
- 493 (40) Deiters, A. Light Activation as a Method of Regulating and Studying Gene Expression. *Current*494 *Opinion in Chemical Biology* 2009, *13* (5–6), 678–686.
 495 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2009.09.026.
- (41) Gardner, L.; Deiters, A. Light-Controlled Synthetic Gene Circuits. *Current Opinion in Chemical* Biology 2012, 16 (3–4), 292–299. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2012.04.010.
- 498 (42) Booth, M. J.; Restrepo Schild, V.; Graham, A. D.; Olof, S. N.; Bayley, H. Light-Activated
 499 Communication in Synthetic Tissues. *Science Advances* 2016, 2 (4), e1600056.
 500 https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1600056.
- Kamiya, Y.; Takagi, T.; Ooi, H.; Ito, H.; Liang, X.; Asanuma, H. Synthetic Gene Involving
 Azobenzene-Tethered T7 Promoter for the Photocontrol of Gene Expression by Visible Light.
 ACS Synthetic Biology 2015, 4 (4), 365–370. https://doi.org/10.1021/sb5001092.
- 504 (44) Jayaraman, P.; Yeoh, J. W.; Jayaraman, S.; Teh, A. Y.; Zhang, J.; Poh, C. L. Cell-Free Optogenetic
 505 Gene Expression System. ACS Synthetic Biology 2018, 7 (4), 986–994.
 506 https://doi.org/10.1021/acssynbio.7b00422.
- (45) Rauer, C.; Nogueira, J. J.; Marquetand, P.; González, L. Cyclobutane Thymine Photodimerization
 Mechanism Revealed by Nonadiabatic Molecular Dynamics. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2016, *138* (49), 15911–15916. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b06701.
- 510 (46) de Gruijl, F. R. [33] Photocarcinogenesis: UVA vs UVB. *Methods in Enzymology* **2000**, *319*, 359–
- 511 366. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(00)19035-4.

- (47) Polesskaya, O.; Baranova, A.; Bui, S.; Kondratev, N.; Kananykhina, E.; Nazarenko, O.; Shapiro, T.;
 Nardia, F. B.; Kornienko, V.; Chandhoke, V.; Stadler, I.; Lanzafame, R.; Myakishev-Rempel, M.
 Optogenetic Regulation of Transcription. *BMC Neuroscience* 2018, *19* (S1), 12.
 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12868-018-0411-6.
- (48) Ruggiero, E.; Alonso-De Castro, S.; Habtemariam, A.; Salassa, L. Upconverting Nanoparticles for
 the near Infrared Photoactivation of Transition Metal Complexes: New Opportunities and
 Challenges in Medicinal Inorganic Photochemistry. *Dalton Transactions* 2016, 45 (33), 13012–
- 519 13020. https://doi.org/10.1039/c6dt01428c.
- (49) Klán, P.; Šolomek, T.; Bochet, C. G.; Blanc, A.; Givens, R.; Rubina, M.; Popik, V.; Kostikov, A.;
 Wirz, J. Photoremovable Protecting Groups in Chemistry and Biology: Reaction Mechanisms
 and Efficacy. *Chemical Reviews* **2013**, *113* (1), 119–191. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr300177k.
- (50) Zhang, D.; Jin, S.; Piao, X.; Devaraj, N. K. Multiplexed Photoactivation of MRNA with Single-Cell
 Resolution. *ACS Chemical Biology* 2020, *15* (7). https://doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.0c00205.
- (51) Deng, J.; Bezold, D.; Jessen, H. J.; Walther, A. Multiple Light Control Mechanisms in ATP-Fueled
 Non-equilibrium DNA Systems. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* 2020, *59* (29),
 12084–12092. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202003102.
- 528 (52) Bollu, A.; Klöcker, N.; Špaček, P.; P. Weissenboeck, F.; Hüwel, S.; Rentmeister, A. Light529 Activated Translation of Different MRNAs in Cells via Wavelength-Dependent Photouncaging.
 530 Angewandte Chemie International Edition n/a (n/a), e202209975.
 531 https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202209975.
- (53) Olson, J. P.; Banghart, M. R.; Sabatini, B. L.; Ellis-Davies, G. C. R. Spectral Evolution of a
 Photochemical Protecting Group for Orthogonal Two-Color Uncaging with Visible Light. *Journal*of the American Chemical Society 2013, 135 (42), 15948–15954.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/ja408225k.
- (54) Peterson, J. A.; Yuan, D.; Winter, A. H. Multiwavelength Control of Mixtures Using Visible LightAbsorbing Photocages. *Journal of Organic Chemistry* 2021, *86* (14), 9781–9787.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.joc.1c00658.
- 539 (55) Bochet, C. G. Wavelength-Selective Cleavage of Photolabile Protecting Groups. *Tetrahedron* 540 *Letters* 2000, 41 (33), 6341–6346. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)01050-9.
- (56) San Miguel, V.; Bochet, C. G.; Del Campo, A. Wavelength-Selective Caged Surfaces: How Many
 Functional Levels Are Possible? *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2011, *133* (14), 5380–
 5388. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja110572j.
- (57) Hansen, M. J.; Velema, W. A.; Lerch, M. M.; Szymanski, W.; Feringa, B. L. Wavelength-Selective
 Cleavage of Photoprotecting Groups: Strategies and Applications in Dynamic Systems. *Chemical Society Reviews* 2015, 44 (11), 3358–3377. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CS00118H.
- (58) Olson, J. P.; Kwon, H. B.; Takasaki, K. T.; Chiu, C. Q.; Higley, M. J.; Sabatini, B. L.; Ellis-Davies, G.
 C. R. Optically Selective Two-Photon Uncaging of Glutamate at 900 Nm. *Journal of the*American Character 2012, 125 (16), E054, E057, https://doi.org/10.1021/ia.4010270
- 549 *American Chemical Society* **2013**, *135* (16), 5954–5957. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja4019379.
- (59) Liu, L. S.; Leung, H. M.; Morville, C.; Chu, H. C.; Tee, J. Y.; Specht, A.; Bolze, F.; Lo, P. K.
 Wavelength-Dependent, Orthogonal Photoregulation of DNA Liberation for Logic Operations.
 ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2022. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.2c20757.
- (60) Hamerla, C.; Neumann, C.; Falahati, K.; Von Cosel, J.; Van Wilderen, L. J. G. W.; Niraghatam, M.
 S.; Kern-Michler, D.; Mielke, N.; Reinfelds, M.; Rodrigues-Correia, A.; Heckel, A.; Bredenbeck, J.;
 Burghardt, I. Photochemical Mechanism of DEACM Uncaging: A Combined Time-Resolved
 Spectroscopic and Computational Study. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* 2020, *22* (24),
 13418–13430. https://doi.org/10.1039/c9cp07032j.
- (61) Suzuki, A. Z.; Watanabe, T.; Kawamoto, M.; Nishiyama, K.; Yamashita, H.; Ishii, M.; Iwamura,
- 559 M.; Furuta, T. Coumarin-4-Ylmethoxycarbonyls as Phototriggers for Alcohols and Phenols. 560 *Organic Letters* **2003**, *5* (25), 4867–4870. https://doi.org/10.1021/ol0359362.
- 561 (62) Pinheiro, A. V.; Baptistap, P.; Lima, J. C.; Baptista, P.; Lima, J. C. Light Activation of
- 562 Transcription: Photocaging of Nucleotides for Control over RNA Polymerization | Nucleic Acids

- 563 Research | Oxford Academic. *Nucleic Acids Research* **2008**, *36* (14), e90–e90.
- 564 https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn415.
- 565 (63) Schönleber, R. O.; Bendig, J.; Hagen, V.; Giese, B. Rapid Photolytic Release of Cytidine 5'566 Diphosphate from a Coumarin Derivative: A New Tool for the Investigation of Ribonucleotide
 567 Reductases. *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry* 2002, *10* (1), 97–101.
- 568 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0968-0896(01)00254-1.
- (64) Chang, D.; Lindberg, E.; Feng, S.; Angerani, S.; Riezman, H.; Winssinger, N. Luciferase-Induced
 Photouncaging: Bioluminolysis. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* 2019, *58* (45),
 16033–16037.
- (65) Filonov, G. S.; Moon, J. D.; Svensen, N.; Jaffrey, S. R. Broccoli: Rapid Selection of an RNA Mimic
 of Green Fluorescent Protein by Fluorescence-Based Selection and Directed Evolution. *Journal*of the American Chemical Society 2014, 136 (46), 16299–16308.
- 575 https://doi.org/10.1021/ja508478x.
- (66) Kumagai, R.; Ono, R.; Sakimoto, S.; Suzuki, C.; Kanno, K.; Aoyama, H.; Usukura, J.; Kobayashi,
 M.; Akiyama, H.; Itabashi, H.; Hiyama, M. Photo-Cleaving and Photo-Bleaching Quantum Yields
 of Coumarin-Caged Luciferin. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry* 2023,
 434, 114230. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2022.114230.
- (67) Lin, Q.; Yang, L.; Wang, Z.; Hua, Y.; Zhang, D.; Bao, B.; Bao, C.; Gong, X.; Zhu, L. Coumarin
 Photocaging Groups Modified with an Electron-Rich Styryl Moiety at the 3-Position: LongWavelength Excitation, Rapid Photolysis, and Photobleaching. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* 2018, *57* (14), 3722–3726. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201800713.
- International Edition 2018, 57 (14), 3722–3726. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201800713.
 Bojtár, M.; Kormos, A.; Kis-Petik, K.; Kellermayer, M.; Kele, P. Green-Light Activatable, WaterSoluble Red-Shifted Coumarin Photocages. Organic Letters 2019, 21 (23), 9410–9414.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.orglett.9b03624.
- 587 (69) Ullmann, A.; Jacob, F.; Monod, J. Characterization by in Vitro Complementation of a Peptide
 588 Corresponding to an Operator-Proximal Segment of the β-Galactosidase Structural Gene of
 589 Escherichia Coli. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **1967**, *24* (2), 339–343.
 590 https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(67)90341-5.
- 591 (70) Broome, A. M.; Bhavsar, N.; Ramamurthy, G.; Newton, G.; Basilion, J. P. Expanding the Utility of
 592 β-Galactosidase Complementation: Piece by Piece. *Molecular Pharmaceutics* 2010, 7 (1), 60–
 593 74. https://doi.org/10.1021/mp900188e.
- 594 (71) Lorsch, J. R. Chapter One Practical Steady-State Enzyme Kinetics. In *Methods in Enzymology*;
 595 Lorsch, J., Ed.; Laboratory Methods in Enzymology: Protein Part A; Academic Press, 2014; Vol.
 596 536, pp 3–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-420070-8.00001-5.
- 597 (72) Stano, P. Is Research on "Synthetic Cells" Moving to the next Level? *Life* 2019, *9* (1), 3.
 598 https://doi.org/10.3390/life9010003.
- 599 (73) Spoelstra, W. K.; Deshpande, S.; Dekker, C. Tailoring the Appearance: What Will Synthetic Cells
 600 Look Like? *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* 2018, *51*, 47–56.
 601 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2017.11.005.
- 602 (74) Pautot, S.; Frisken, B. J.; Weitz, D. A. Production of Unilamellar Vesicles Using an Inverted
 603 Emulsion. *Langmuir* 2003, *19* (7), 2870–2879. https://doi.org/10.1021/la026100v.
- 604 (75) Smith, J. M.; Hartmann, D.; Booth, M. J. Engineering Cellular Communication between Light605 Activated Synthetic Cells and Bacteria. *bioRxiv* 2022, 2022.07.22.500923.
 606 https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.500923.
- (76) Shaner, N. C.; Lambert, G. G.; Chammas, A.; Ni, Y.; Cranfill, P. J.; Baird, M. A.; Sell, B. R.; Allen, J.
 R.; Day, R. N.; Israelsson, M.; Davidson, M. W.; Wang, J. A Bright Monomeric Green Fluorescent
 Protein Derived from Branchiostoma Lanceolatum. *Nature Methods* 2013, *10* (5), 407–409.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2413.
- (77) Venkatesan, G. A.; Sarles, S. A. Droplet Immobilization within a Polymeric Organogel Improves
 Lipid Bilayer Durability and Portability. *Lab Chip* 2016, *16* (11), 2116–2125.
- 613 https://doi.org/10.1039/C6LC00391E.

- 614 (78) Torre, P.; Keating, C. D.; Mansy, S. S. Multiphase Water-in-Oil Emulsion Droplets for Cell-Free
- 615 Transcription–Translation. *Langmuir* **2014**, *30* (20), 5695–5699.
- 616 https://doi.org/10.1021/la404146g.