Effect of water deuteration on protein electron transfer
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Abstract

Traditional theories of long-range protein electron transfer describe the reaction rate in terms of the tunneling distance and the reaction free energy. They do not recognize two physical effects: (i) local wetting of the active site by hydration water and (ii) protein identity affecting the rate through dynamics and flexibility. We find, by molecular dynamics simulations, a significant, \( \sim 25 \) times, slowing down of the rate of protein electron transfer upon deuteration. H/D substitution changes the rate constant pre-exponential factor in the regime of electron transfer controlled by medium dynamics. Switching from light to heavy water increases the effective medium relaxation time. The effect is caused by both a global change in the flexibility of the protein backbone and locally stronger hydrogen bonds to charged residues.

TOC Graphic
Deuteration of water in chemical kinetics is commonly associated with the kinetic isotope effect (KIE), i.e., the effect of H/D substitution on the reaction rate constant, most commonly applied to H-transfer reactions. The results reported here can be classified as solvent KIE (caused by the solvent effect) applied to electron transfer: we report significant changes in the rate of protein electron transfer when heavy water, D\textsubscript{2}O, replaces the normal water, H\textsubscript{2}O, as the solvating medium of the protein. Defining KIE as the ratio of electron-transfer rate constants in H\textsubscript{2}O and D\textsubscript{2}O, KIE = \( k_{ET}^{H} / k_{ET}^{D} \), we demonstrate here that KIE for protein electron transfer is produced through the effect of deuteration on the rate constant pre-exponential factor \( A^{H,D} \)

\[
\text{KIE} = A^{H} / A^{D} > 1
\]  

(1)

The pre-exponential factor is predicted to decrease upon H/D substitution and the modification of the activation barrier is insignificant.

The textbook explanation of the KIE relates changes in the rate constant to altering frequencies of localized vibrations involving hydrogen atoms upon isotope substitution. Given high frequencies of these vibrations, this is a quantum effect often reduced to a shift of zero-point energy upon deuteration, with a corresponding effect on the reaction activation barrier. In contrast, the effect of H/D substitution considered here involves changes in the global dynamics of the protein-water thermal bath affecting the rate pre-exponential factor (eq 1). No modification of the protein itself, due to exchangeable protons, is considered here.

Protein electron transfer is mostly characterized by the rate of long-range electron tunneling and medium reorganization quantified by the medium reorganization energy \( \lambda \). The canonical formulation for \( \lambda \) is Marcus theory operating in terms of electric polarization of the medium. H/D substitution can enter the theory only through changes in the static, \( \epsilon_{s} \), and optical, \( \epsilon_{\infty} \), dielectric constants of the medium combined in the Pekar factor \( c_{0} = \epsilon_{\infty}^{-1} - \epsilon_{s}^{-1} \).

The dielectric constants and some dynamic properties of H\textsubscript{2}O and D\textsubscript{2}O are listed in
Table 1. They are compared to corresponding data for two force-field water models used in the simulations described below: TIP3P\textsuperscript{11} and TIP3P-HW.\textsuperscript{12} Close values of dielectric constants for two water isotopes suggest a very minor effect on the electron-transfer activation barrier. The only noticeable difference in the properties of normal and heavy water belongs to dynamics: heavy water is about 20\% slower than normal water when self-diffusion and viscosity are concerned.

Table 1: Physical properties of H\textsubscript{2}O and D\textsubscript{2}O at \textit{T} = 298 K. Also listed are physical properties of force-field water models (TIP3P and TIP3P-HW).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>$\epsilon_\infty$</th>
<th>$\epsilon_s$</th>
<th>$D^a$</th>
<th>$\eta^b$</th>
<th>$\mu^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H\textsubscript{2}O</td>
<td>1.777</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>0.891</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D\textsubscript{2}O</td>
<td>1.764</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.095</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIP3P</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>94.3\textsuperscript{d}</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIP3P-HW\textsuperscript{12}</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIP3P-HWm</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Diffusion constant, $\times 10^{-5}$ cm\textsuperscript{2}/s; \textsuperscript{b}viscosity, mPa$\times$s; \textsuperscript{c}dipole moment in the gas phase, D. \textsuperscript{d}from J. Molec. Phys. 2022, 364, 119935.

Despite no significant difference in dielectric properties required to alter the activation barrier, a number of reports\textsuperscript{13–17} have shown a substantial effect of deuteration on the rate of protein electron transfer. An early experimental evidence relevant to our study is the observation by Murgida and Hildebrandt\textsuperscript{15} of the H/D effect on the rate of electrochemical protein electron transfer. The rate constant was found to saturate to a plateau with decreasing thickness of the monolayer assembled at the electrode, and it is in that plateau region that the effect of H/D substitution was found. In a different study,\textsuperscript{14} intramolecular electron transfer between the active site of azurin and the reduced disulfide bridge linking two cysteine residues showed a weak acceleration of electron transfer in D\textsubscript{2}O (inverse KIE): KIE $\simeq$ 0.67. The donor-acceptor (edge-to-edge) distance\textsuperscript{18} $\simeq$ 2 nm places this reaction in the nonadiabatic (tunneling\textsuperscript{19}) regime, where no significant solvent KIE is anticipated.\textsuperscript{15} Consistent with this picture, a more recent study has reported a nearly 300-fold decrease in the conductivity of microbial nanowires composed of polymerized cytochrome OmcS upon deuteration.\textsuperscript{17,20} These nanowires are made of cytochrome hemes stacked at 3.5–5 Å edge-to-
edge distance\textsuperscript{17,21} suggesting that these reactions fall into the plateau region where solvent KIE is expected.

The saturation of the electrochemical rate constant in protein-film voltammetry\textsuperscript{15,22–25} is related to a long-established dynamical effect of the medium on electron transfer.\textsuperscript{26–30} This formulation allows a crossover from an exponential falloff of the rate with the distance to the electrode at larger separations to a saturation plateau at shorter distances, where the rate constant’s pre-exponential factor is dictated by the medium dynamics and becomes independent of the protein-electrode separation. An important observation by Murgida and Hildebrandt\textsuperscript{15} is that it is only in the dynamics-controlled region of the reaction that one finds the effect of H/D substitution essential. This observation suggests that diffusive reaction dynamics along the electron-transfer reaction coordinate are affected by deuteration. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulation and theoretical calculations presented in this Letter support this hypothesis. Here and elsewhere\textsuperscript{31} we use MD simulations to study the activation parameters of transferring a hole from the tryptophan (Trp\textsuperscript{+}) cation radical to Cu\textsuperscript{I} active site of azurin\textsuperscript{32}

\begin{align}
\text{Cu}^I - \text{Trp}^+ & \xrightarrow{\text{ket}} \text{Cu}^{II} - \text{Trp} \quad (2)
\end{align}

Here, 1 and 2 mark the initial and final electron-transfer states, respectively. The hole at Trp is initiated by photoexcited Re\textsuperscript{I}-diimine at the surface of azurine resulting in its fast arrival to the Trp residue.\textsuperscript{32} The reaction shown in eq 2 is the rate-determining step.

MD simulations were set up as described elsewhere\textsuperscript{31} and in Supplementary Information (SI). Briefly, azurin protein in two electron-transfer states was solvated with 36469 TIP3P/TIP3P-HW (Table 1) water molecules and the production simulations of 300 ns were done in the NVT ensemble. TIP3P-HW force field\textsuperscript{12} is adopted as the model for D\textsubscript{2}O. Additionally, TIP3P-HW force field was slightly modified to increase water’s dipole moment by 10%. We found that the self-diffusion constant of bulk water is very sensitive to its dipole moment (Table S1) and used this observation to explore solvation by a significantly slower water model. It turned out that the hydration pattern in the protein pocket around Trp\textsuperscript{+} is
highly sensitive to the water model as explained below.

The exponential distance falloff of the rate constant is predicted by the nonadiabatic (Marcus-Levich\textsuperscript{33}) theory of electron transfer. The nonadiabatic rate constant is proportional to the squared electronic coupling $V(R) \propto \exp[-\gamma R/2]$ and the Boltzmann factor involving the activation barrier $\Delta F^\dagger$ required for tunneling resonance (see SI for more details)

$$k_{NA} \propto V(R)^2 e^{-\beta \Delta F^\dagger}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(3)}

where $\beta = (k_B T)^{-1}$. Given that $V(R)$ decays exponentially with the donor-acceptor distance $R$, one gets an exponential distance decay of the rate constant $k_{NA} \propto V(R)^2 \propto \exp[-\gamma R]$. The overall rate constant of electron transfer includes an additional term\textsuperscript{26–30} accounting for the medium dynamics through the dynamical crossover parameter $g$ and takes the form

$$k_{ET} = (1 + g)^{-1} k_{NA}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(4)}

Since $g \propto \tau_X V^2$, one obtains the rate constant scaling as $k_{ET} \propto \tau_X^{-1}$ indicative of overdamped Kramers’ kinetics\textsuperscript{34–36} at a sufficiently large electronic coupling $V$. The relaxation time $\tau_X$ is the time of the Stokes-shift dynamics\textsuperscript{37} describing relaxation of the donor-acceptor energy gap $X(t)$ viewed as the reaction coordinate for radiationless transitions.$^{38,39}$

The diffusional reaction dynamics for protein-water thermal bath are complex, potentially involving many nuclear degrees of freedom. The most significant nuclear modes affecting the reaction dynamics were identified\textsuperscript{40} from the analysis of kinetic data extracted from protein-film electrochemistry.$^{23,41}$ These are the energy-gap reaction coordinate $X(t)$ and the donor-acceptor distance $R(t)$. With the account of these two nuclear modes, the parameter $g$ in eq 4 is given by the following equation\textsuperscript{42}

$$g = \frac{2\pi V^2 \tau_X}{\hbar \sigma_X} \frac{e^{3\gamma^2 \langle (\delta R)^2 \rangle / 2}}{\sqrt{2\beta \Delta F^\dagger + 4(\tau_X / \tau_R) \gamma^2 \langle (\delta R)^2 \rangle}}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(5)}
in which $\sigma_X^2 = \langle (\delta X)^2 \rangle = 2\lambda B T$ is the variance of the electron-transfer energy gap and $\delta X = X - \langle X \rangle$. All parameters in eq 5, except for $\gamma$, depend on the electron-transfer state $i = 1, 2$; this dependence is dropped for brevity.

Table 2: Reorganization energies (eV) for the entire system (azurin and hydration water) and for the protein component from MD simulations of azurin in TIP3P and TIP3P-HW water at $T = 300$ K. Also listed are the activation barriers $\Delta F^\dagger$ (eV) and the ratio of the nonadiabatic (NA) and full (ET) rate constants in normal and heavy water.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>$\lambda$ prot.+ TIP3P</th>
<th>$\lambda$ protein</th>
<th>$\lambda^{\text{St}}$</th>
<th>$\lambda^{\text{St}}$ protein</th>
<th>$\Delta F^\dagger$</th>
<th>$k^H_{\text{NA}}/k^D_{\text{NA}}$</th>
<th>$k^H_{\text{ET}}/k^D_{\text{ET}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trp$^+$ – Cu$^I$</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp – Cu$^I$</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.028</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prot.+ TIP3P-HW</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp$^+$ – Cu$^I$</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.036</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The activation barrier entering the Boltzmann factor in the rate constant in eq 3 is the main focus of Marcus theory of electron transfer.\(^8,9\) It is commonly determined through the crossing point of two Marcus parabolas $F_i(X)$, $i = 1, 2$ given as functions of the energy-gap reaction coordinate.\(^39,43\) However, electron transfer between azurin’s active site and Trp creates different wetting patterns\(^31\) in two electron-transfer states, resulting in non-parabolic free-energy surfaces. The non-parabolic character of $F_i(X)$ is seen from the fact that different routes to the electron-transfer reorganization energy produce different results (Table 2).

The reorganization energy of electron transfer is best defined through the variance of the reaction coordinate in the corresponding state

$$\lambda_i = \frac{1}{2} \beta \langle (\delta X)^2 \rangle_i$$

(6)

Two separate reorganization energies $\lambda_i$ are found (Table 2), which are also different from the Stokes-shift reorganization energy\(^44\)

$$2\lambda^{\text{St}} = X_1 - X_2$$

(7)
Here, \( X_i = \langle X \rangle_i \) are two average values of the energy gap calculated from trajectories in equilibrium with the corresponding electron-transfer states \( i = 1, 2 \). In Marcus theory, all three reorganization energies are equal, \( \lambda^{ST} = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \).

The presence of three distinct reorganization energies demands an extension of Marcus crossing parabolas to a theory involving non-parabolic free-energy surfaces. This is accomplished here by applying the Q-model of electron transfer.\(^{43,45}\) This model stipulates the following inequality between three reorganization energies

\[
\lambda_2 < \lambda^{ST} < \lambda_1
\]

where \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) can be swapped to match a given reaction. The free energy surfaces shown in Figure 1 are calculated from \( \lambda_i \) and \( \lambda^{ST} \) listed in Table 2 (see SI) and the experimental reaction free energy\(^{31,32,46} \) \( \Delta F_0 = -0.959 \) eV following from the reduction potential of azurin,\(^{47} \) \( E^0 = 0.341 \) V, and the reduction potential for the formation of the radical cation Trp\(^+\), \( E^0 = 1.3 \) V.\(^{46,48}\) The analytical Q-model is compared to the results of simulations in \( \text{D}_2\text{O} \) (TIP3P-HW, points). The lower portions of the curves are simulation points produced directly from MD. The upper parts of the free energy surfaces are obtained by shifting the lower sets of points according to the linear relation between the free energy surfaces\(^{43,49,50}\)

\[
F_2(X) = F_1(X) + X
\]

required when Gibbsian ensemble statistics hold.\(^{31,43}\)

It is clear from both Figure 1 and Table 2 that deuteration does not strongly affect the activation barrier of electron transfer. This is clearly seen from the ratio of nonadiabatic rate constants in normal and deuterated water

\[
\text{KIE}_{\text{NA}} = k_{\text{NA}}^\text{H} / k_{\text{NA}}^\text{D} = \exp[-\beta \Delta \Delta F^\dagger]
\]

which is fully specified by the change in the activation barrier, \( \Delta \Delta F^\dagger \). This result is obtained by assuming \( \Delta F_0 \) not being affected by deuteration (H/D effect on the reduction potential of cytochrome \( c \) is about \( \simeq 1 \) %\(^{51})\). The reduction potential of azurin is 10 mV more
Figure 1: Free energy surfaces of electron transfer calculated in the Q-model\textsuperscript{43,45} (lines, see SI) and compared to MD simulations in D\textsubscript{2}O (points). The calculations are based λ\textsubscript{i} and λ\textsuperscript{St} from MD simulations (Table 2) and the experimental value for the reaction free energy Δ\textit{F}_0 = −0.959 eV. The dashed lines (Q-model) refer to H\textsubscript{2}O and the solid lines refer to D\textsubscript{2}O. The upper portions of the simulation data (D\textsubscript{2}O) are obtained from the results around the minima by applying the linear relation \( F_2(X) = F_1(X) + X \).

Positive in D\textsubscript{2}O then in H\textsubscript{2}O (3% change),\textsuperscript{14} which is not sufficient to substantially affect the rate. However, the strongest H/D effect, ∼ 50 mV, on redox potentials are found for redox couples containing aquo ligands,\textsuperscript{3} which might be relevant to partially hydrated Trp residue. Neglecting this complication in the present calculations, deuteration makes the forward rate nearly 25 times slower when quantified by the overall rate constant \( k_{ET} \) (Table 2). This change comes from the alteration of the dynamical crossover parameter \( g \) in eq 4. The main effect of deuteration on the reaction dynamics is through the reaction pre-exponential factor (eq 1).

The dynamical parameters of the reaction shown in eq 2 are listed in Table 3. They are required to calculate the dynamical crossover parameter \( g \) in eq 5. The relaxation times of the energy gap, \( \tau_X \), and of the donor-acceptor distance, \( \tau_R \), are integral relaxation times calculated from the corresponding time correlation functions (see SI). The average distance \( R_i = \langle R_i \rangle \) is used to calculate the electronic coupling \( V = V(R_i) \) in eq 5. Together with the distance variance \( \langle (\delta R)^2 \rangle_i \) and the activation barrier \( \Delta F^\ddagger_i \) from Table 2, these properties determine the crossover parameters \( g_i \) listed in Table 3.

The effect of medium dynamics on the electron-transfer rate becomes essential when
Table 3: Relaxation times (ps) and donor-acceptor distances for Cu-Trp charge transfer (eq 2). Also listed is the crossover parameter \( g \) (eq 5) and the rate constants \( k_{NA} \) (eq 3) and \( k_{ET} \) (eq 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>( \tau_X )</th>
<th>( \tau_R )</th>
<th>( \langle R \rangle ), Å</th>
<th>( \langle (\delta R)^2 \rangle ), Å²</th>
<th>( g )</th>
<th>( k_{NA} ), ns⁻¹</th>
<th>( k_{ET} ), ns⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>protein+ TIP3P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp⁺ – Cu¹</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp – Cu¹I</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protein+ TIP3P-HW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp⁺ – Cu¹</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1511</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp – Cu¹I</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( g > 1 \) in eq 5. This condition is achieved when the equilibrium donor-acceptor distance \( R_i = \langle R \rangle_i \) is shorter than the crossover distance \( R^*_i \) determined by the condition \( g_i(R^*_i) = 1 \). We find \( R^*_1 = 14.2 \) Å and \( R^*_2 = 10.6 \) Å for the reaction in D₂O, which implies \( R^*_1 > R_1 \) and \( R^*_2 < R_2 \) (Table 3). As a result, one finds a substantial separations in the values of the crossover parameter \( g_1 \gg g_2 \) for D₂O in Table 3. Both relaxation times, \( \tau_X \) and \( \tau_R \), contribute to \( g \), and both terms, \( \beta \Delta F^\dagger \) and \( 4(\tau_X/\tau_R)\gamma^2\langle (\delta R)^2 \rangle \), in the denominator in eq 5 have comparable values. However, the main physical factor contributing to \( g_1 \) in D₂O is a large distance variance in the initial electron-transfer state \( \langle (\delta R)^2 \rangle_1 \) (Figure 2).

Figure 2: Normalized distribution of donor-acceptor distances \( R \) between the Cu atom of the active site of azurin and the indole ring of Trp⁺. Calculations are done in the Trp⁺ – Cu¹ \((i = 1)\) state of the protein in H₂O (TIP3P), D₂O (TIP3P-HW), the modified force field D₂Om (TIP3P-HWm in Table 1).

The standard models for long-range protein electron transfer\(^{52,53}\) predict reaction rates
in terms of the tunneling distance and the reaction free energy (driving force). They do not recognize either the importance of local wetting of the active site by hydration water or the possibility that protein identity can affect the rate through its dynamics and flexibility. Both effects turn out to be essential for electron transfer in azurin. The alteration of the local wetting pattern around the Trp residue caused by changing charge distribution (electrowetting, Figure 3) leads to non-parabolic free energy surfaces of electron transfer with state-dependent reorganization energies (Figure 1). However, this new physics does not predict a noticeable effect of deuteration on the reaction rate within the standard nonadiabatic framework of long-range electron transfer (eq 4): the activation energy is nearly constant upon H/D substitution (eq 9).

Figure 3: Water density maps within 6 Å cutoff from the center of the indole ring of Trp+/Trp in TIP3P (left), TIP3P-HW (middle) and TIP3P-HWm (right) water models. The dots in the maps indicate the appearance of water’s oxygen atoms within the 6 Å cutoff distance during the last 30 ns of the MD trajectory. The maps are obtained for Trp+/CuI (top row) and Trp−CuII (bottom row) electron-transfer states.

It turns out that including medium dynamics is required to understand the potential effect of H/D substitution on protein electron transfer. The transition to the distance-independent Kramers’ kinetics at $R < R^*$ brings protein identity to the theory of protein electron transfer. The rate constant is now affected by protein flexibility through fluctuations of
the donor-acceptor distance and by protein dynamics through the relaxation times $\tau_X$ and $\tau_R$. Changing from H$_2$O to D$_2$O makes the protein more flexible (Table 2 and Figure 4). This global change in protein flexibility also propagates to the distribution of donor-acceptor distances, making it broader in D$_2$O (Figure 2). A strong increase of the distance variance in D$_2$O compared to H$_2$O is the main reason for a significantly higher value of the crossover parameter $g$ in D$_2$O and a corresponding drop of the rate constant (Table 3). The hydration pattern seems to be strongly affected by water's identity: the distribution sharply narrows when the modified force field of water, with a 10% higher dipole moment, is used (green line in Figure 2). At the same time, there is no apparent change in the overall number of D$_2$O compared to H$_2$O around the indole ring of Trp (Figure S13). Changes in the statistics of the donor-acceptor distance (Figure 2) are caused by local differences in the strength of H-bonds between water and the indole ring of Trp.

![Figure 4: Radial distribution function of oxygens (solid lines) of H$_2$O (red) and of D$_2$O (black) around the nitrogen of the indole ring (a). The dashed line show the distribution functions of water's hydrogens. The lower panels show the running averages of the rmsd’s of backbone atoms of azurin in H$_2$O (red) and D$_2$O (black) in two oxidation states of Trp (b and c).](image)

Proteins are found to be more compact and globally less flexible in D$_2$O.\textsuperscript{55,56} This is
attributed to a stronger hydrophobic effect in D$_2$O and increased rigidity of the native structure. Structural tightening is also faster than H/D exchange of internal protons, which implies the solvent effect on the protein structure rather than strengthening of intramolecular D-bonds.$^{56}$ Our simulations indeed show a tighter structure of D$_2$O-hydrated azurin in the Trp-Cu$^I$ state (Figure 4b). However, the structure becomes more flexible upon H/D substitution for the Trp$^+$/Cu$^{II}$ state, as quantified by atomic rmsd’s of the protein backbone atoms (Figure 4c). This structural softening is reflected by a broader distribution of electrostatic fluctuations contributing to the free energy surfaces of electron transfer arising from the protein component of the thermal bath (Figure 5 and Table 2) and in a broader distribution of donor-acceptor distances (Figure 2).

![Figure 5: Free energy surfaces of electron transfer for the protein component of the thermal bath from MD simulations in H$_2$O (open points) and D$_2$O (filled points). The free energy surfaces are plotted with zero reaction free energy and are shifted to cross at $X = 0$.](image)

The reason for changing statistics of the donor-acceptor distance is local. It is promoted by the hydrogen bond between the oxygen atom of hydration water and the hydrogen atom bonded to nitrogen of the indole ring. The D-bond is stronger in heavy water,$^{57,58}$ as indicated by the height of the first peak of the pair distribution function shown in Figure 4a. The protons of water are pointing outside (dashed lines in Figure 4a), thus supporting the bonding orientation of water close to the indole ring. Distribution functions of water relative to other atoms of the indole ring (Figures S15 and S16) show larger distances for the first
peak and confirm the assignment of the nitrogen atom as the binding site.

The temperature dependence of the KIE\(^2\) predicted by the present model is complex. The variance of the donor-acceptor distance scales linearly with temperature in standard models of harmonic vibrations, but can potentially be complicated by the protein dynamical transition.\(^{59-61}\) The slope of the dependence \(\langle (\delta R)^2 \rangle = \chi T\) is substantially increased at the temperature \(\sim 200\) K of the dynamical transition, where \(\chi\) is the inverse force constant (inverse resilience when applied to atomic displacements probed by neutron scattering\(^{60}\)). The relaxation time changes with temperature according to the Arrhenius law with the activation energy \(E_r\) and one can anticipate a complex dependence on temperature for the dynamical crossover parameter

\[
g \propto \exp \left[ \frac{E_r}{k_B T} + \frac{3}{2} \gamma^2 \chi T \right] \tag{10}\]

The crossover from nonadiabatic to dynamics-controlled electron transfer should be accompanied by a change in the Arrhenius slope of the rate constant. To illustrate consequences of \(g(T)\), one can calculate the apparent activation enthalpy adopting zero reaction free energy, \(\Delta F_0 = 0\), and Marcus model for the activation barrier\(^{40}\)

\[
\Delta H^\ddagger = \lambda/4 + E_r - k_B T \gamma^2 \langle (\delta R)^2 \rangle \tag{11}\]

This equation offers the possibility of a negative apparent activation enthalpy at sufficiently high temperatures and an overall non-Arrhenius dependence of the reaction rate on temperature. Bell-shaped Arrhenius plots were reported for conductivity in OmcS bacterial nanowires.\(^{17,20}\)

The main conclusion of this computational study is that there is no clearly distinguishable effect of deuteration on the activation barrier of electron transfer. A substantial effect, \(\sim 25\) times slower rate, arises from the effect of deuteration on the dynamics and flexibility of the protein in the regime of dynamically-controlled electron transfer. This conclusion is in
agreement with early experiments by Murgida and Hildebrandt.\textsuperscript{15}
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