phospholipid trapping for automated Chromatographic H/D 1 exchange mass spectrometry analysis of membrane protein-lipid 2 assemblies 3 4 5 6 **Authors** 7 8 Dietmar Hammerschmid\*, Valeria Calvaresi, Chloe Bailey, Benjamin Russell Lewis, Argyris Politis, Mike 9 Morris, Laetitia Denbigh, Malcolm Anderson, Eamonn Reading\* 10 11 12 13 **Corresponding Authors** 14 Dietmar Hammerschmid - Department of Chemistry, King's College London, 7 Trinity Street, SE1 1DB London, UK; orcid.org/0000-0002-0210-3690; Email: dietmar.hammerschmid@kcl.ac.uk 15 Eamonn Reading - Department of Chemistry, King's College London, 7 Trinity Street, SE1 1DB 16 London, UK; orcid.org/0000-0001-8219-0052; Email: eamonn.reading@kcl.ac.uk 17 18 19 Authors 20 Valeria Calvaresi - Department of Chemistry, King's College London, 7 Trinity Street, SE1 1DB London, 21 UK; orcid.org/0000-0002-1756-8853 22 Chloe Bailey - Department of Chemistry, King's College London, 7 Trinity Street, SE1 1DB London, 23 UK; orcid.org/0000-0003-1750-5788 Benjamin Russell Lewis - Department of Chemistry, King's College London, 7 Trinity Street, SE1 1DB 24 London, UK; orcid.org/0000-0002-0248-6177 25 Argyris Politis - Department of Chemistry, King's College London, 7 Trinity Street, SE1 1DB London, 26 27 UK; orcid.org/0000-0002-6658-3224 Present address, School of Biological Sciences, The University of Manchester, Oxford Road, M13 9PTUK 28 29 Manchester M13 9PT, UK, Manchester Institute of Biotechnology, The University of Manchester, Princess 30 Street, M1 7DN Manchester, UK 31 Mike Morris - Waters Corporation, Stamford Avenue, Altrincham Road, SK9 4AX Wilmslow, UK 32 Laetitia Denbigh - Waters Corporation, Stamford Avenue, Altrincham Road, SK9 4AX Wilmslow, UK 33 Malcolm Anderson - Waters Corporation, Stamford Avenue, Altrincham Road, SK9 4AX Wilmslow, UK 34

### 36 Abstract

Lipid interactions modulate the function, folding, structure, and organization of membrane proteins. 37 38 Hydrogen/deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) has emerged as a useful tool to understand 39 the structural dynamics of these proteins within lipid environments. Lipids, however, have proven 40 problematic for HDX-MS analysis of membrane-embedded proteins, due to their presence impairing 41 proteolytic digestion, causing liquid chromatography column fouling, ion suppression, and/or mass spectral 42 overlap. Here, we describe the integration of a chromatographic phospholipid trap column into the HDX-43 MS apparatus to enable online sample delipidation prior to protease digestion of deuterium labeled proteinlipid assemblies. We demonstrate the utility of this method on membrane scaffold protein lipid nanodisc -44 both empty and loaded with the ~115 kDa transmembrane protein AcrB - proving efficient and automated 45 phospholipid capture with minimal D-to-H back-exchange, peptide carry-over, and with minimal protein 46 loss. Our results provide insights into the efficiency of phospholipid capture by ZrO<sub>2</sub>-coated and TiO<sub>2</sub> 47 48 beads, and describe how solution conditions can be optimized to maximize the performance of our online, but also the existing offline, delipidation workflows for HDX-MS. We envision that this HDX-MS method 49 will significantly ease membrane protein analysis, allowing to better interrogate their dynamics in artificial 50 lipid bilayers or even cell membranes. 51 52

### 54 Introduction

55 Membrane proteins have an intimate relationship with their surrounding lipid bilayer<sup>[1–5]</sup>. The amphipathic 56 nature of the lipid bilayer combined with the high degree of hydrophobicity possessed by membrane 57 proteins makes their study significantly more difficult compared to their soluble protein counterparts. To 58 interrogate these systems, new analytical tools are required; the importance of this endeavor being 59 intensified by the fact that membrane proteins are key targets for more than half of modern drugs<sup>[6]</sup>.

60

Protocols have been established to enable hydrogen/deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) 61 62 analysis of membrane proteins within lipid vesicles<sup>[7]</sup>, liposomes<sup>[8]</sup>, nanodiscs<sup>[9-12]</sup> and so-called 'native nanodiscs', which allow membrane proteins to stay in contact with the native lipid milieu<sup>[5,13,14]</sup>. In HDX-63 64 MS, a protein is diluted into a deuterated buffer enabling H/D exchange of its labile backbone amide 65 hydrogens. This reaction is quenched at different time intervals by dropping pH and temperature to 2.5 and 0 °C, respectively. The quenched protein sample is then digested by an acid-labile protease (e.g. pepsin) 66 into peptides and the incorporation of deuterium measured by liquid chromatography (LC)-MS analysis. 67 Post deuterium labeling, however, lipids can cause manifold issues in the bottom-up HDX-MS workflow<sup>[15]</sup>. 68 These problems range from a reduced protein digestion efficiency due to potential interference with the 69 70 protease, to fouling of the liquid chromatography system, peptide-lipid co-elution that adds to spectral 71 complexity, and peptide ion suppression.

72

73 The addition of ZrO<sub>2</sub>-coated beads post deuterium labeling offers a sophisticated strategy for depriving the 74 protein samples of lipid components under HDX quench conditions<sup>[16]</sup>. Yet, beads need to be removed 75 through filtration before sample injection into the mass spectrometer, which is laborious and time 76 consuming, thus, may affect reproducibility among technical replicates and inevitably increases the D-to-H 77 back-exchange<sup>[17–20]</sup>. This process has recently been automated for HDX-MS applications: Anderson et al<sup>[21]</sup> 78 developed a robot-assisted workflow with nanofilter vials, where the labeled protein is transferred to the 79 base of a filter system containing  $ZrO_2$  beads, and after binding of phospholipids, the sample is filtered 80 through a nanofilter cartridge by a LEAP X-Press module harvesting the protein for subsequent injection. 81 The ZrO<sub>2</sub> beads and cartridge are then disposed after each experiment. Other approaches for phospholipid 82 removal that avoid the use of ZrO<sub>2</sub> beads have also been developed, including TCA precipitation<sup>[7]</sup> and the 83 use of size-exclusion chromatography (SEC)<sup>[22]</sup>. Here, we report an alternative chromatographic approach 84 which enables online, regeneratable phospholipid trapping by a ZrO<sub>2</sub> bead column. This exploits the Lewis 85 acid chemistry of ZrO2 - whilst avoiding the need for precipitation, size separation, filtration or bead 86 disposal - to offer a robust automated system for HDX, digestion and LC-MS analysis of proteins within 87 lipid environments.

88

We determined crucial parameters, such as delipidation efficiency, system robustness, and back-exchange levels, and minimized unspecific protein/peptide adsorption to the column matrix. We also investigated

- 91 titanium oxide (TiO2) beads as an alternative to ZrO2-based protein delipidation. Furthermore, we
- 92 established an automated wash method for bead regeneration in parallel to peptide LC-MS analysis, which
- 93 enables intervention-free sample acquisition and makes the phospholipid trap column reusable. Finally, we
- 94 demonstrated the functionality of the delipidation protocol by HDX-MS analysis of empty and loaded
- 95 membrane scaffold protein phospholipid nanodiscs, the latter loaded examples containing multidrug efflux
- 96 pump transmembrane subunit AcrB. We envision that this automated and robust delipidation workflow
- 97 will make HDX-MS analysis of membrane-embedded proteins routine.
- 98

### 100 Experimental Section

#### 101 Materials

102 Zirconia (ZrO<sub>2</sub>) coated silica bulk (Cat No. 5425-U) was purchased from Supelco. Titansphere (TiO<sub>2</sub>) 100Å 103 5µm, bulk, (Cat No. GL-5020-75000) was purchased from GL Sciences. 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC; Cat No. 850457) and E. coli Total Lipid Extract (EPL; Cat No. 100500) were 104 purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids. N-Dodecylphosphocholine (Fos-choline-12; Cat No. F308S) and n-105 106 Dodecyl-β-D-Maltopyranoside (DDM; Cat No. D310) were purchased from Anatrace. Phosphorylase b (PhosB) from rabbit muscle (Cat No. P6635), Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA; Cat No. A2153), Deuterium 107 oxide (99.9 atom%D; Cat No. 151882), Ammonium hydroxide (Cat No. 221228), 2,5-Dihydroxybenzoic 108 acid (Cat No. 149357), and DL-Lactic acid (Cat No. 69785) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Water 109 110 (Optima<sup>TM</sup> LC/MS grade; Cat No. W61), Acetonitrile (Optima<sup>TM</sup> LC/MS grade; Cat No. A9551), Methanol (Optima<sup>TM</sup> LC/MS grade; Cat No. A4561), Isopropanol (OptimaTM LC/MS grade; Cat No. A4611), and 111 112 Formic acid (99.0+%, Optima<sup>TM</sup> LC/MS grade; Cat No. A11750) were purchased from Fisher Scientific. 113 Guanidinium hydrochloride (Cat No. 0118) and glycine (Cat No. 1504) were purchased from VWR Life 114 Sciences. Potassium phosphate monobasic (Cat No. 094578) and dibasic (Cat No. 094672) were purchased 115 from Flourochem.

116

### 117 Instrumentation (Standard HDX)

All experiments were performed on an ultraperformance liquid chromatography (UPLC) system (nanoACQUITY, Waters, Wilmslow, UK) coupled to an electrospray ionization quadrupole time-of-flight (ESI-Q-ToF) mass spectrometer (Xevo G2-XS, Waters, Wilmslow, UK). The standard nanoACQUITY system contains a refrigerated HDX manager with a two-valve configuration, i.e. injection and trapping valve, supplies solvent flow via Auxiliary (ASM) and Binary (BSM) Solvent Managers. The HDX manager was kept at 0 °C during all measurements.

124

### 125 Delipidation Setup (Extended HDX)

The standard configuration was extended by an additional 'delipidation' valve which was positioned between injection and trapping valve (**Figure 1**). The delipidation valve was equipped with an in-house packed phospholipid trap column (ZrO<sub>2</sub> or TiO<sub>2</sub>), which was kept on ice (**Figure S1C**).

129

### 130 Column Packing

131 Columns for chromatographic phospholipid trap column (ZrO2 or TiO2 beads) and protein digestion

132 (Pepsin agarose resin, Cat No. 20343; Thermo Fisher) were packed in-house using both a Microbore Guard

133 Column (1.0 mm ID x 2 cm unpacked; Part No. C-128) and an Analytical Guard Column (2.0 mm ID x 2

134 cm unpacked; Part No. C-130B) from UVISION Technologies (London, UK). Beads were resuspended

and washed in solvent A (0.23% formic acid in H<sub>2</sub>O, pH 2.5). Column parts were cleaned by sonication in

136 solvent A. The column was assembled without the frit (Figure S1B) on the side from which the column

137 was packed using a syringe with an appropriate adapter. After packing, the missing frit was inserted, and 138 the column was flushed back-to-back with solvent A by applying a constant pressure with the ASM for a 139 couple of minutes, allowing the bead matrix to settle.

140

#### 141 Lipid Preparation

POPC and EPL (composition: 67.0% phosphatidylethanolamine, 23.2% phosphatidylglycerol, 9.8% cardiolipin) lipids were dissolved in cyclohexane and transferred into a glass vial. Cyclohexane was evaporated under a gentle N<sub>2</sub> stream. The dried lipid film was flash frozen in liquid N<sub>2</sub> and further freezedried for five hours. Lipids were resuspended (5 mg/mL) in 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) under gentle agitation for 1 hour, followed by sonication for 1 hour. Fos-choline-12 (5 mg/mL) was solubilized in solvent A. Before injection, lipids were diluted to the appropriate concentration and finally added to ice-cold 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 2.3 (1:1 vol/vol; final pH 2.5).

149

#### 150 Preparation of MSP1E3D1 scaffold protein

pMSP1E3D1 containing "extended" MSP1D1 (Addgene) - which contains repeats of helices 4, 5 and 6, an 151 N-terminal 7-his tag followed by spacer sequence and TEV protease cleavage site - was overexpressed in 152 E. coli BL21(DE3) cells as described previously<sup>[23,24]</sup>. Cells were resuspended in lysis buffer (20 mM Na-153 154 phosphate, pH 8.0, 1% Triton X-100, 10 µg/ml DNAase, 1 mM PMSF, and a protease inhibitor tablet) 155 and sonicated on ice applying 3 x 10 second pulses with 30 seconds breaks. Cell lysate was centrifuged at 156 25,000 x g for 30 minutes. The supernatant was mixed with 4 ml of Ni-NTA Superaffinity resin and incubated for 1 hour at room temperature under gentle agitation. The resin was loaded into a pre-chilled 157 158 Biorad polyprep column for subsequent purification at 4 °C. The resin was washed with 10 column volumes (CVs) of wash buffer A (40 mM Tris-HCl, 300 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, pH 8.0), 10 CVs of wash 159 160 buffer B (40 mM Tris-HCl, 300 mM NaCl, 50 mM Na-cholate, 20 mM Imidazole, pH 8.0), and finally 10 161 CVs of wash buffer C (40 mM Tris-HCl, 300 mM NaCl, 50 mM Imidazole, pH 8.0). MSP1E3D1 protein 162 was eluted with 5 CVs of elution buffer (40 mM Tris-HCl, 300 mM NaCl, 500 mM Imidazole, pH 8.0). 163 Fractions were tested for purity by SDS-PAGE and the cleanest samples were pooled and dialyzed against MSP buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 100 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, pH 7.4) at 4 °C. Finally, the sample was 164 filtered using a 0.22 µm membrane, aliquoted, flash frozen with liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C. 165

166

### 167 Nanodisc Preparation

- 168 Lipid nanodiscs (POPC or EPL) were prepared as previously described<sup>[23,25]</sup>. Lipids were re-solubilized with
- 169 MSP buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 100 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, pH 7.4) containing 200 mM and 500 mM
- 170 Na-cholate for POPC and EPL lipids respectively. MSP1E3D1 was added to the resuspended lipids at a
- 171 1:85 and 1:60 MSP:lipid molar ratio for POPC and EPL lipids respectively. Nanodisc mixtures with lipids,
- 172 Na-cholate, and MSP were incubated at 4 °C for 30 min. BioBeads SM-2 (Bio-Rad) were added (~0.5 g of
- 173 beads per 1 mL volume) to remove Na-cholate and drive nanodisc self-assembly. The MSP:lipid:cholate

- 174 reconstitution was incubated with beads for at least 8 h with at least three bead changes. Beads were
- removed by filtration and generated nanodiscs were then purified using a Superdex 200 10/300 Increase
- 176 GL column (GE Healthcare) in MSP buffer (Figure S2). Purity and size were assessed by SDS-PAGE and
- 177 dynamic light scattering (DLS) using a Particle Size Analyzer LiteSizer 500 (Anton Parr).
- 178

#### 179 Preparation of AcrB in Nanodiscs

AcrB was purified in DDM as described previously<sup>[26]</sup>. After purification, AcrB was inserted into nanodiscs according to the previously established protocols<sup>[23,27]</sup>. Briefly, AcrB in 0.03% (w/v) DDM detergent was mixed with POPC and MSP solution at a final 40:1:0.5 lipid:MSP1E3D1:AcrB molar ratio in MSP buffer with final concentration of 0.0116% (w/v) DDM and 16 mM Na-cholate. DDM was removed by the addition of SM2 Bio-beads (Bio-Rad) into the mixture and left in an orbital shaker overnight at 4 °C. AcrB nanodiscs were purified using a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 (GE Healthcare) in AcrB sample buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, pH 7.4) (**Figure S3**).

187

### 188 Lipid Measurements

- The HDX manager was equipped with a Vanguard column (BEH C4, 300 Å, 1.7 µm, 2.1 mm x 5 mm; 189 Waters) only. Lipids were trapped on the C4 column and washed with solvent A for 3 minutes at 190 191 200 µL/min. Subsequently, lipids were eluted by a 3-minute linear gradient from 5 to 95% solvent B (0.23% formic acid in acetonitrile, pH 2.5) at 40 µL/min. Eluted lipids were measured in positive ion mode between 192 50 and 2,000 m/z on the Xevo G2-XS mass spectrometer. The phospholipid trap column was cleaned with 193 194 3% NH4OH in methanol and re-equilibrated in solvent A during the subsequent wash run. Experiments 195 were performed in the standard two-valve and extended three-valve HDX-MS configuration. Detailed LC settings are provided in Supporting Information (Table S1 and S2). The extracted ion chromatogram (EIC) 196 197 of the respective lipid was generated and mass spectra were combined at full width half maximum (FWHM).
- 198 The obtained intensity read was used to calculate the delipidation efficiency of the column/system.
- 199

### 200 Protein Measurements

PhosB was solubilized in equilibration buffer (10 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.0) and diluted 1:1 201 (vol/vol) with the quench buffer (100 mM potassium phosphate, pH 2.3). The HDX manager of the 202 nanoACQUITY system was equipped with a Vanguard column (BEH C18, 130 Å, 1.7 µm, 2.1 mm x 5 203 204 mm; Waters) and an Acquity UPLC column (BEH C18, 130 Å, 1.7 µm, 1.0 mm x 100 mm; Waters) for peptide trapping and separation, respectively. Protein digestion was performed online with the UPLC 205 chromatographic system using an in-house packed protease column (immobilized pepsin agarose resin) at 206 15 °C. The generated peptides were trapped and washed with solvent A at 200 µL/min for 3 minutes. 207 Subsequently, peptides were separated by applying a 7.5-minute linear gradient from 8 to 35% solvent B at 208 209 40 µL/min. Peptides were measured in positive ion mode between 50 and 2,000 m/z on the Xevo G2-XS 210 mass spectrometer. Experiments were performed in triplicates on the standard two-valve and extended 211 three-valve configuration applying standard bottom-up HDX-MS workflow. Detailed LC settings are 212 provided in Supporting Information (**Table S3 and S5**).

213

#### 214 Evaluation of Back-exchange

PhosB was digested on the in-house packed protease column at a flow rate of 200 µL/min. The generated 215 peptides were collected for 1 minute and subsequently freeze dried for five hours. Peptides were 216 217 resuspended in deuterated labeling buffer (10 mM potassium phosphate, pH<sub>read</sub> 6.6; 100% final D<sub>2</sub>O content) for four hours. The reaction was quenched by adding 1:1 (vol/vol) ice-cold quench buffer (500 218 mM glycine-HCl, pH 2.35). Measurements were performed in triplicates on both standard two-valve and 219 220 extended three-valve configuration. Peptide trapping and separation was performed on standard C18 trap 221 and analytical columns at 0 °C. The protease column was replaced by a union and the compartment was kept at 15 °C. The phospholipid trap column was kept on ice. Detailed LC settings are provided in 222 223 Supporting Information (Table S3 and S5).

224

### 225 Evaluation of Peptide Carry-over

PhosB was solubilized in equilibration buffer (10 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.0) and diluted 1:1 (vol/vol) by the addition of ice-cold quench buffer (500 mM glycine-HCl, pH 2.35). Measurements were performed in three subsequent runs with a standard wash runs, i.e. pepsin wash (1.6 M guanidinium-HCl, 4% acetonitrile, 0.8% formic acid), in between. Then, a blank run (1:1 mix of equilibration and quench buffer) was performed and carry-over was evaluated based on peptide intensities. Detailed LC settings are provided in Supporting Information (**Table S3 and S5**).

232

#### 233 H/D Exchange Mass Spectrometry of Empty MSP1E3D1 Nanodisc

234 The extended HDX valve configuration was used and equipped with a ZrO<sub>2</sub>-packed phospholipid trap column (kept on ice) upstream an in-house packed pepsin column (kept at 15 °C). The HDX manager was 235 equipped with a Vanguard column (BEH C18, 130 Å, 1.7 µm, 2.1 mm x 5 mm; Waters) and an Acquity 236 237 UPLC column (BEH C18, 130 Å, 1.7 µm, 1.0 mm x 100 mm; Waters) for peptide trapping and separation, respectively. Deuterium labeling was performed with a PAL3 RTC HDX robot (Trajan Scientific, 238 Morrisville, US). MSP1E3D1 nanodiscs, both POPC (1:85 protein:lipid) and EPL (1:60 protein:lipid), were 239 240 diluted 20-fold (95% D<sub>2</sub>O final) into deuterated labeling buffer (20 mM Tris, 100 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM 241 EDTA, pHread 7.0) for 10, 100, 1,000, and 10,000 seconds at 20 °C. References were performed in non-242 deuterated equilibration buffer. The reaction was quenched by adding 1:1 (vol/vol) ice-cold nanodisc 243 quench buffer (500 mM glycine-HCl, 1.6 M guanidinium-HCl, 0.8 mM Na-cholate, pH 2.35). Three 244 technical replicates were performed with standard bottom-up HDX-MS workflow applying a 7.5-minute linear gradient from 8 to 35% solvent B at 40 µL/min. Peptides were measured in positive ion mode 245 246 between 50 and 2,000 m/z on the Xevo G2-XS mass spectrometer, applying settings to minimize gas-phase 247 back-exchange<sup>[28]</sup>. The phospholipid trap column was cleaned with 3% NH<sub>4</sub>OH in methanol and reequilibrated in solvent A during the subsequent wash run. Labeling experiments were also performed on free soluble MSP1E3D1 (95%  $D_2O$  for 10, 100, 1,000, and 10,000 sec), which was measured on both standard two- and extended three-valve configuration. Detailed LC settings are provided in Supporting Information (**Table S3 and S5**).

252

### 253 Mass Spectrometry of AcrB Nanodiscs

AcrB nanodiscs were equilibrated in non-deuterated AcrB sample buffer (no  $D_2O$  labeling performed) and ice-cold nanodisc quench buffer was added 1:1 (vol/vol). Three technical replicates were performed with standard bottom-up HDX-MS workflow applying a 9.0-minute linear gradient from 8 to 40% solvent B at  $40 \mu$ L/min (**Figure S14**). The total protein amount injected was 20 pmol. Detailed LC settings are provided in Supporting Information (**Table S4 and S5**).

259

### 260 Data Processing

Lipid spectra were processed with MassLynx 4.2 (Waters, Wilmslow, UK). Protein identification (PhosB 261 and MSP1E3D1) and peptide filtering were performed with ProteinLynx Global Server 3.0 (PLGS) and 262 DynamX 3.0, respectively (Waters, Wilmslow, UK). PLGS workflow parameters for peptide identification 263 264 were: peptide tolerance: automatic; fragment tolerance: automatic; min fragment ion matches per peptide: 2; minimum fragmention matches per protein: 7; minimum peptide matches per protein: 3; maximum 265 266 protein mass 250,000; primary digest reagent: non-specific; false discovery rate: 100. DynamX parameters 267 for peptide filtering were: minimum intensity: 1481; minimum sequence length: 5; maximum sequence length: 25; minimum products per amino acid: 0.11; minimum consecutive products: 1; minimum score: 268 269 6.62; maximum MH+ error (ppm): 5; file threshold: n-1<sup>[29]</sup>. Bimodal isotopic envelope analysis was performed with HX-Express2 on the MSP1E3D1 peptide WDNLEKETEGLRQEMSKD, after spectra 270 271 were smoothed 4 x 2 using Savitzky-Golay in MassLynx<sup>[30]</sup>.

272

## 274 **Results and Discussion**

#### 275 Automated Phospholipid Trapping

Automation endeavors always aim for both repeatability - ideally free of user interventions - and system 276 277 robustness. To meet these requirements for the automated trapping of phospholipids in HDX-MS 278 experiments, we integrated an additional valve online with the chromatographic system but placed outside 279 the standard two-valve Waters HDX chamber, conventionally used for standard bottom-up HDX-MS 280 analysis (Figure 1). The delipidation valve is equipped with a phospholipid trap column, which is kept refrigerated in a polystyrene box containing ice (0 °C) and supplied with eluents by an additional binary 281 solvent manager (BSM) to provide independence from standard HDX-MS solvents. The three-valve system 282 is of straightforward use and fully automated (in our case, controlled by the Waters MassLynx software), 283 284 and can be coupled to a robot performing automated deuterium labeling and sample injection.

285

286 The developed online delipidation method - with integrated phospholipid trap - works as follows. 1) After the sample injection, the sample components are fed by an auxiliary solvent manager (ASM) through the 287 phospholipid trap column, where lipids are retained, while the protein passes through. 2) The protein is 288 further guided to the online protease column for digestion, and generated peptides are captured in the 289 290 peptide trap column for desalting. 3) At the end of the trapping time, the phospholipid trap column is automatically configured off-line with the protease column. While the latter can be selectively flushed with 291 a protease wash solution, the phospholipid trap column is washed with an alternate solvent (which we 292 293 denote as solvent B2) for removal of retained phospholipids, which are directed to a waste compartment. 294 The cleaning step (regeneration) of the phospholipid trap column occurs simultaneously to LC peptide 295 separation, thus with no extra-time added to the sample run. 4) In conventional HDX-MS measurements, 296 following each protein sample run, the analytical segments are usually washed with a sawtooth-gradient run. 297 In the three-valve system, during this wash run, the phospholipid trap column remains configured off-line 298 from the protease column and is re-equilibrated with solvent A (typically 0.23% formic acid), preparing it 299 for the subsequent sample injection. The three-valve configuration also provides flexibility, as the 300 phospholipid trap column can be positioned up- or downstream the protease column, allowing sample 301 delipidation to be performed at protein or peptide level, respectively, without requiring further modifications on the LC methods. 302



304

Figure 1: Schematic illustration of the automated phospholipid trapping workflow. The conventional two-valve configuration in standard HDX-MS is extended by an additional valve (dashed box) flanked by injection and trapping valve. The delipidation valve is equipped with a phospholipid trap column and operated by an extra BSM to provide independence from standard HDX-MS solvents. In this configuration, the sample passes through the phospholipid trap column where lipids are retained, and the protein is transported further to the protease column following the standard bottom-up workflow of protein digestion, peptide trapping, and subsequent analysis. After delipidation and protein digestion, the ZrO<sub>2</sub> column can be cleaned simultaneously to peptide analysis using the BSM-2.

312

### 313 Determining the Delipidation Efficiency

To investigate whether phospholipids are retained after passing through the phospholipid trap column, we measured lipids on both the standard two-valve and the extended three-valve HDX-MS system. Initially, we injected four 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC) aggregates (0.01, 0.1, 1, and 10 pmol) in duplicates and plotted the obtained MS signal against the amount of lipid (**Figure S4A**). After that, we increased the concentration of POPC and ran triplicates over the delipidation system (three-valve configuration), applying both the  $ZrO_2$  and the  $TiO_2$  column. The remaining POPC signal after phospholipid trapping was used to calculate the delipidation efficiencies for the applied column/system.

321

The extracted ion chromatogram (EIC) as well as the MS signal of POPC impressively illustrates a >100-1,000-fold lipid reduction for both bead types, with  $ZrO_2$  outperforming TiO<sub>2</sub> (Figure 2A, 2B). We performed similar lipid trapping experiments applying an *Escherichia coli* lipid extract (EPL) and Fos-choline-12. Again, we plotted the intensity of the lipid signal against the injected amount (Figure S4B, S4C) and exploited the remaining MS signal to calculate the system's delipidation capacity (Table 1, S6, S7; Figure S5). For EPL, we determined lipid removal efficiency for each lipid individually, which is ~96% for ZrO<sub>2</sub> and ~87% for TiO<sub>2</sub>, slightly lower than for POPC (Table 1, S6, S7). Both bead types, however, do not 329 show any discrimination in terms of lipid length (Figure S6). In standard HDX-MS analysis of membrane proteins, detergents are usually added to the quench buffer to facilitate nanodisc rupture<sup>[10,13]</sup>. Hence, we 330 also determined the delipidation capacity in presence of detergents, e.g. 0.1% DDM or 0.1% Fos-choline-331 12 (a concentration above their critical micelle concentration). DDM causes a significant drop of the 332 delipidation efficiency (32% and 60% of POPC removal for ZrO2 and TiO2 respectively, Table 1), 333 334 potentially due to steric hindrance through a bigger micelle formation. However, Fos-choline-12 exerts no 335 detrimental effect in terms of measured lipid removal. Moreover, despite structural similarities with phospholipids, i.e. the phosphatidylcholine headgroup, TiO<sub>2</sub> fails to retain Fos-choline-12, while ZrO<sub>2</sub> 336 shows an even stronger binding than for POPC (Table 1; Figure S5). Therefore, while the presence of 337 338 DDM in the quench buffer appears disadvantageous, Fos-choline-12 appears highly suitable as delipidationcompatible quench buffer additive, as ZrO2 beads also prevent the disadvantageous Fos-choline-12 339 contamination of the downstream chromatography and MS source. Current workflows perform the 340 delipidation step offline from the UPLC system<sup>[10,13,21]</sup>, which greatly differs to the online chromatographic 341 approach presented here. Comparing both approaches in terms of their lipid removal capacity reveals a 342 better performance of the column-based workflow introduced here (Table 1), adding another advantage 343 344 to the automation benefit. 345



347

Figure 2: Delipidation efficiency and system robustness of the automated phospholipid trapping workflow. (A) Extracted ion chromatogram of POPC (760.6 m/z) before (solid black) and after (ZrO<sub>2</sub>: solid red, TiO<sub>2</sub>: dashed red) applying online sample delipidation. (B) Mass spectra of various POPC amounts acquired w/o the ZrO<sub>2</sub> trap column in place. (C) Delipidation efficiency of both bead types (ZrO<sub>2</sub>: solid line, TiO<sub>2</sub>: dashed line) over the course of 30 POPC injections with appropriate column cleaning in between.

Lipid/Sample	Column	Lipid amount	Efficiency [%]	
			$ZrO_2$	TiO <sub>2</sub>
POPC	2 x 20 mm	10 pmol	$99.97 \pm 0.01$	$99.96 \pm 0.01$
POPC	$2 \ge 20 \text{ mm}$	10 pmol	$31.94 \pm 6.92$	$60.26 \pm 1.65$
in DDM				
POPC	$2 \ge 20 \text{ mm}$	10 pmol	$99.83 \pm 0.06$	$99.71 \pm 0.04$
in Fos-choline-12				
EPL (PE 33:1)	2 x 20 mm	10 ng	$97.30 \pm 0.33$	$86.90 \pm 0.50$
Fos-choline-12	$2 \ge 20 \text{ mm}$	100/10 pmol	$99.99 \pm 0.00$	$7.39 \pm 4.38$
POPC	no column (offline)	10 pmol	95.44 ± 0.21	ND
POPC	1 x 20 mm	10 pmol	$98.95 \pm 0.29$	ND
POPC	1 x 20 mm (blocked)	10 pmol	89.03 ± 0.49	ND
POPC(optimized	1 x 20 mm	10 pmol	$90.59 \pm 1.00$	ND
quench buffer)	(blocked)			

Table 1: Delipidation capacity of  $ZrO_2$  and  $TiO_2$ . Overview of delipidation efficiencies of  $ZrO_2$  and  $TiO_2$  beads determined for different column dimensions and a variety of phospholipids w/o the presence of detergents. A detailed overview of all delipidation rates is provided in **Table S6-S8**. ND = not determined.

357

#### 358 Column Regeneration

359 Recurring lipid injections require the phospholipid trap column to be cleaned to retain delipidation capacity 360 over a long period of time. We tested the suitability of different MS-compatible solvents, such as 361 acetonitrile, isopropanol, and methanol, for cleaning the phospholipid trap column. Standard protocols in phosphoproteomics apply an increasing basicity with ammonium hydroxide (NH4OH) to elute 362 phosphopeptides from TiO<sub>2</sub><sup>[31-35]</sup>, providing an alternative to organic solvents. To investigate the lipid 363 cleaning capacity of the different solvents, we loaded 1 pmol POPC on the ZrO<sub>2</sub> column and subsequently 364 365 applied a saw-tooth gradient of 5 x two minutes washes from 0-100% solvent B2 (Figure S7). Success, i.e. 366 cleaning of the phospholipid trap column, would not only be indicated by MS detection of the lipid, but also by a decreasing of its signal intensity over the various gradient cycles. Such an EIC profile is displayed 367 for methanol and 3% NH<sub>4</sub>OH, which performs even better in combination (Figure S7). 368

369

### 370 Delipidation System Robustness

371 We investigated the robustness of the entire delipidation system by recurring POPC injections (30 372 injections) with appropriate cleaning (3% NH<sub>4</sub>OH in methanol) of the phospholipid trap column, both 373 ZrO2 and TiO2, in between. Advantageously, the column regeneration occurs simultaneously to the analytical gradient. The subsequent wash run - a step commonly applied to prevent sample carry-over -374 375 was applied to not only clean the C4 trap column but also to re-equilibrate the phospholipid trap column 376 to solvent A (0.23% formic acid), i.e. to pH 2.5. The delipidation efficiency is comparable with previous results (Table 1) and, most impressively, remains over 99% for  $ZrO_2$  throughout (Figure 2C). The 377 378 delipidation rates obtained for TiO<sub>2</sub> are slightly lower (~97-98%), yet reasonably sufficient, and show a 379 drop by 3% within the last three injections.

#### 381 ZrO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> Trap:Protein Interactions

The integration of an additional column into the delipidation system might lead to unfavorable, unspecific 382 interactions between the target protein and the phospholipid trap column matrix. To investigate such 383 384 unspecific adsorption effects, we conducted bottom-up PhosB measurements on both systems, i.e. standard 385 two-valve and extended three-valve HDX-MS configuration. We solubilized PhosB in 10 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.0) and diluted it in 100 mM potassium phosphate (pH 2.3), simulating HDX quench 386 conditions (final pH of 2.5 at 0 °C). Then, we compared the peptide intensities obtained before and after 387 passing through the TiO<sub>2</sub> or ZrO<sub>2</sub> column. We measured the extent of unspecific binding of PhoB to the 388 phospholipid trap column on both protein and peptide level, as the phospholipid trap column can be 389 390 operated up- and downstream the protease column. Unfortunately, the degree of unspecific column:peptide and in particular column:protein interactions were significant (Figure 3A), making reliable peptide 391 measurements difficult not to say impossible. Put simply, unspecific adsorption effects are more severe for 392 393 intact protein than on the peptide level. Only TiO2:peptide interactions seem to be mostly negligible. On 394 the protein level, the loss in intensity amounts to two orders of magnitude on average independent of the 395 bead type, which decreases the signal-to-noise ratio to an unacceptable degree for most peptides. We also calculated the percentage of detected peptides relative compared to control measurements performed in 396 397 the two-valve configuration. The loss of identifiable peptides ranges from 15 to 30% on the protein level for both bead types and for the ZrO<sub>2</sub>:peptide configuration (Figure 3A). Solely the setup for TiO<sub>2</sub>:peptide 398 399 measurements is acceptable in terms of peptide loss. The addition of a chaotropic agent to the quench 400 buffer, e.g. 3.0 M guanidinum-HCl (1.5 M final) as potential suppressor of unspecific protein/peptide adsorption leads to a marginal increase of signal. The number of undetected peptides however remains 401 402 largely unimproved, accounting still for 15-20%.

403

Following this, we intensified our endeavors to prevent unspecific adsorption to the column matrix. For this purpose, we (i) cut the column volume by  $\frac{3}{4}$ , i.e. halving the column diameter to 1 mm, and (ii) tested different quench buffers to potentially shield unspecific binding sites. At this point, we also decided to solely focus on ZrO<sub>2</sub> beads as TiO<sub>2</sub> shows (i) a lower delipidation capacity and (ii) no benefit in terms of unspecific binding on the protein level – the preferred configuration, as lipids are ideally removed predigestion to not hamper proteolysis<sup>[36,37]</sup>. The smaller phospholipid trap column performs equally efficiently (~99%) in sample delipidation as demonstrated for the bigger column (**Table 1**).

411

412 We applied the following quench buffers: (i) solvent A (0.23% formic acid), (ii) 500 mM glycine-HCl pH 413 2.35, (iii) 5 mg/mL 2,5-Dihydroxybenzoic acid (DHB) in H<sub>2</sub>O, (iv) 15 mg/mL DL-lactic acid in H<sub>2</sub>O and 414 compared them with the standard quench (100 mM potassium phosphate, pH 2.3) (**Figure S8**). All quench 415 buffers led to a pH of 2.5 upon 1:1 mix (vol/vol) with the standard protein buffer (10 mM potassium 416 phosphate pH 7.0).

418 DHB and DL-lactic acid were selected as they have previously proven beneficial to prevent unspecific 419 binding in phosphoproteomics<sup>[35,38]</sup>. Glycine-HCl was chosen for two reasons. First, it is already known as 420 reliable quench buffer in HDX-MS<sup>[39–41]</sup>. Second, amino acids, e.g. arginine, have shown to potentially 421 prevent unspecific protein binding in size exclusion chromatography<sup>[42,43]</sup>.

422

423 DL-lactic acid exhibits minor but unsatisfactory improvements, while other quench buffers, i.e. solvent A 424 (0.23% formic acid) and DHB, do not lead to any performance gain. The quench buffer of 500 mM glycine-HCl appears to prevent unspecific interaction sites in the  $ZrO_2$  matrix. The average loss of peptide intensity 425 is with roughly one order of magnitude (90%) still high, yet, more importantly, almost 100% of the peptides 426 427 could be recovered through a sufficient signal-to-noise ratio (Figure S8). Quite unexpected though, with 428 an increasing number of technical replicates performed, we observed that the issue of unspecific 429 interactions between protein and ZrO<sub>2</sub> beads became less severe, as evidenced by the obtained 430 chromatograms (Figure S9). This phenomenon could be explained by the protein increasingly 'blocking' unspecific binding sites of the beads. To test whether unspecific protein adsorption could be reduced by 431 protein bead blocking, we prepared a phospholipid ZrO<sub>2</sub> bead trap column blocked with 3% bovine serum 432 albumin (BSA) solubilized in solvent A (0.23% formic acid). BSA is not only a common blocking agent in 433 immunoassays to prevent unspecific protein binding<sup>[44]</sup>, but has also been applied in combination with ZrO<sub>2</sub> 434 beads to obtain robust and reliable results in cell lysis assays<sup>[45]</sup>. We then injected PhosB over the blocked 435 436 and unblocked ZrO<sub>2</sub> phospholipid trap columns using 500 mM glycine-HCl (pH 2.35) as quench buffer. 437 The average peptide signal intensity increases four to five times when ZrO<sub>2</sub> beads are blocked with BSA (Figure S8). 438

439

### 440 Optimized Phospholipid Trap Conditions

441 Finally, we determined the ideal glycine-HCl concentration in the quench buffer. The best performances, 442 measured in terms of peptide signal intensity and identifications, are obtained with 200 and 500 mM glycine-443 HCl quench buffers, with no significant differences between the two concentrations. To note, the average peptide signal drops at higher concentrated glycine-HCl quench buffers, which might be explained by 444 445 peptide ion suppression due to uncomplete desalting or a detrimental effect on the protein digestion (Figure 3B). We then compared the performance of our optimized online delipidation system, in terms of 446 protein recovery, to the off-line ZrO<sub>2</sub>-based delipidation protocol proposed in the literature<sup>[10]</sup>. Although a 447 higher amount (25 mg) of beads is used to pack the phospholipid trap column in the online system 448 compared to the 10 uL (3 mg) used in the off-line workflow, in our hands unspecific adsorption in the 449 450 offline protocol was much higher compared to the automated workflow with glycine-HCl and/or the 451 blockage of the beads (Figure 3B). This experiment highlights that this problem requires to be addressed 452 when beads are handled manually (off-line), as reliable measurements are hardly feasible without an adequate strategy to overcome unspecific protein binding. We envision that the BSA-blockage of beads and 453

the use of glycine-HCl as quench buffer will be suitable to prevent protein unspecific adsorption to beads

455 also in the offline workflow.

456



458 Figure 3: Unspecific adsorption of proteins/peptides to the stationary phase of the phospholipid trap 459 column. (A) Boxplot representing the normalized peptide signal abundance of measurements performed on the delipidation system relative compared to the standard two-valve HDX-MS configuration. Experiments were 460 conducted on both protein and peptide level +/- 1.5 M guanidinium-HCl using either a TiO<sub>2</sub>- or a ZrO<sub>2</sub>-based 461 462 column. (B) Normalized peptide intensities after applying different glycine-HCl quench buffers w/o BSA bead blocking in comparison with phosphate buffer (protein level only). Experiments with phosphate buffer were 463 464 performed either automated (column-based) or manual after addition of ZrO2 beads with subsequent filtering of the sample. The y-axis on the right-hand side (green) indicates the percentage of peptides with sufficiently high signal-to-465 466 noise ratios for reliable peak assignment. IQR ≡ interquartile range. Both glycine-HCl and potassium phosphate (100 467 mM) quench buffers were mixed 1:1 (vol/vol) with PhosB in 10 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.0.

#### 468 Back-exchange and Carry-over

Back-exchange and peptide carry-over are crucial parameters to control in HDX-MS, which can be 469 470 negatively influenced by an extended flow path and/or an additional column matrix. To investigate the impact of the integrated delipidation system, we conducted PhosB measurements on both configurations 471 472 and compared back-exchange and carry-over on a large ensemble of peptides (Figure S10). For the backexchange control, we pre-digested PhosB and maximally deuterated the generated peptides with 100% D<sub>2</sub>O. 473 474 While back-exchange levels unsurprisingly vary across peptides, hardly any differences are observed when 475 comparing the same peptide between the two systems (Figure S10A). This similitude is also reflected by the calculated average of back-exchange, which accounts for  $30.02 \pm 0.26$  % in the standard two-valve and 476 477  $30.34 \pm 0.63$  % in the extended three-valve configuration (**Table S9**). The assessment of peptide carry-over 478 also highlights that the delipidation system performs equally well as compared to the standard HDX-MS system, with a total average of 0.77% (85% of all peptides show less than 1% carry-over) for both systems. 479 We also measured PhosB in presence of 1,000 pmol POPC (20 times excess) to investigate whether lipids 480 affect carry-over of hydrophobic peptides. In summary, all peptides show comparable degrees of 481 persistence in both configurations as well as in presence of lipids, which excludes the phospholipid trap 482 483 column as source of peptide carry-over (Figure S10B and Table S10).

484

#### 486 HDX-MS Analysis of the Nanodisc Membrane Scaffold Protein using Online Delipidation

After optimization, we aimed to test the automated delipidation workflow on nanodisc samples. Nanodiscs utilize a membrane scaffold protein (MSP) – a derivative of apolipoprotein A-1 – capable of encasing an inner lipid core, providing a phospholipid bilayer for membrane protein solubilization<sup>[23,46]</sup>. Thus, the MSP protein exists in lipid-free as well as nanodisc form, which makes this type of lipid vesicle an ideal membrane protein system to test the performance of novel HDX-MS workflows.

492

First, we measured free MSP1E3D1 - the utilized MSP protein - on the standard two-valve HDX-MS 493 configuration. Subsequently, we applied the extended delipidation configuration to measure MSP1E3D1 in 494 495 the free as well as nanodisc state (Figure 4). The base peak ion chromatogram displays 2-3 x lower 496 intensities when performed on the extended three-valve configuration delipidation system (Figure 4A), 497 which is in agreement with previous observations for unspecific protein adsorption under optimized 498 conditions (Figure 3B). More importantly, intensities obtained from analysis on the delipidation system both in absence and presence of lipids, i.e. free and nanodisc MSP1E3D1, are of equal magnitude. 499 Furthermore, no differences in the chromatographic profile are present across all chromatograms, 500 501 indicating that the blocking reagent does not get released over time. For peptide identification we not only targeted MSP1E3D1, but also the blocking protein BSA and the primary digestion reagent pepsin. Free 502 503 MSP1E3D1 vielded a total number of 122 identified peptides in the two-valve configuration compared to 504 116 peptides obtained for MSP1E3D1 in POPC nanodiscs measured with the three-valve system (Figure 505 4B). Of interest, the amount of non-MSP1E3D1 peptides account for approximately 10% in both 506 experiments, confirming that the phospholipid trap column is only releasing small quantities of the blocking 507 protein. Full sequence coverage could be obtained for MSP1E3D1 in nanodiscs, measured with the three-508 valve system (Figure S11A) – even slightly better than for free MSP1E3D1 (Figure S11B) – demonstrating 509 the functionality of the automated HDX-MS workflow with online delipidation of nanodisc samples. 510



512 Figure 4: Comparison of MSP1E3D1 (nanodisc) measurements performed on standard two-valve and

extended three-valve configuration. (A) Base peak chromatogram of free MSP1E3D1 measured in standard (solid
black) and extended (solid red) HDX-MS configuration as well as of nanodisc MSP1E3D1 (dashed red). (B) Number

514 black) and extended (solid red) HDX-MS configuration as well as of nanodisc MSP1E3D1 (dashed red). (B) Number 515 of identified peptides (after filtering with PLGS and DynamX) for the blocking reagent, the protease pepsin, and

- 516 MSP1E3D1 protein. Peptides identified for each protein species are also reported as a percentage of total peptides
- 517 identified.
- 518

511

- 520 Finally, we performed deuterium labeling experiments (ranging from 10 to 10,000 sec) on POPC and EPL
- 521 nanodiscs as well as on free MSP1E3D1. The deuteration of backbone amide hydrogens in native proteins
- 522 is mediated by transient opening/closing events in their H-bonding networks<sup>[47,48]</sup>. Hence, depending on
- 523 the rate constants for H-bond opening and closing, two different kinetic regimes can be distinguished for
- 524 the H/D exchange. When the rate constant for closing ( $k_{cl}$ ) is much slower ( $k_{cl} \ll k_{ch}$ ) or much faster
- 525  $(k_{cl} >> k_{ch})$  than the chemical H/D exchange rate, which is referred to as EX1 and EX2 kinetic, respectively.
- 526 The EX1 kinetic is characterized by a complete exchange at all backbone amides once unfolding has
- 527 occurred. Proteins under physiological conditions however tend to follow EX2 kinetic regimes where
- 528 structural dynamics, i.e. the rate for H-bond closing, is much faster than the chemical H/D exchange rate.
- 529
- 530 We observed that MSP1E3D1 predominantly displays structural dynamics following EX1 kinetic regimes, 531 both in its lipid-free soluble form and when it encases lipids to form nanodiscs (Figure 5A). To note, we were able to verify that the isotopic envelope bimodality observed across several peptides of the free 532 MSP1E3D1 does not result from artefacts, e.g peptide carry-over, induced by the three-valve system, as it 533 was observed, and at comparable extent, also for the free form analyzed with the standard two-valve system 534 535 (Figure S12). Importantly, and in line with previous reports<sup>[49]</sup>, we found that nanodiscs formation leads to stabilization of MSP1E3D1, which is displayed by more intense low-mass envelopes in the EX1 regime of 536 537 MSP1E3D1 peptides in both nanodiscs forms compared to the soluble form (Figure 5A, S13). 538 Furthermore, peptide spectra show significant differences in the evolution of the EX1 kinetics and 539 deuterium uptake for MSP1E3D1 between the two types of nanodiscs, indicating selective modulations of 540 MSP by nanodisc lipids. Lipid-modulated differences are however not always present, as indicated by 541 peptide RTHLAPYLDD (Figure 5B).
- 542

Finally, we wanted to test the applicability of the workflow on loaded nanodiscs containing AcrB, a ~115 kDa transmembrane protein. We measured AcrB solubilized in POPC nanodiscs under optimized quench conditions and after data processing and peptide filtering, we could obtain 301 peptides and 82.7% sequence coverage (**Figure 5C**), which is higher than previously reported for AcrB in DDM<sup>[26]</sup> proving the utility of the established online delipidation setup.

- 548
- 549



Figure 5: Deuteration of MSP1E3D1 in free, POPC, and EPL nanodisc form. (A) Stacked spectral plot of peptide WDNLEKETEGLRQEMSKD (residues 40-57; m/z 552.76; +4) follows an EX1 kinetic regime. The uptake plot shows a clear difference between both nanodiscs and free MSP1E3D1 indicating a lipid-selective modulation upon disc formation. A detailed analysis of the different spectra (bimodal fitting) is shown in Figure S13. (B) Stacked spectral plot of peptide RTHLAPYLDD (residues 128-137; m/z 600.80; +2). The uptake plot shows again stabilization in both nanodiscs. (C) Sequence coverage of AcrB obtained from measurements in POPC nanodisc (magenta: coverage; grey: no coverage). Dashed lines indicate transmembrane domain.

558

## 559 **Conclusion**

560 Here, we present an extended HDX-MS system that enables automated sample delipidation for lipid-561 solubilized membrane proteins. We incorporated a ZrO2-packed phospholipid trap column online with 562 protein digestion and subsequent peptide analysis. This setup allows phospholipids to be retained in the 563 ZrO<sub>2</sub> matrix of the phospholipid trap column, while proteins pass through and undergo digestion with 564 subsequent peptide trapping. Therefore, the system not only provides an automated but also an economic, 565 and environmentally friendly way of protein delipidation (i.e. reduction of phospholipid trapping beads and 566 plastic usage). We compared ZrO2 and TiO2 beads in terms of delipidation efficiency and unspecific 567 protein/peptide binding. ZrO<sub>2</sub> beads have been shown to outperform TiO<sub>2</sub> with delipidation efficiency, which was well above 99% for POPC throughout a course of 30 injections. The efficiency of ZrO<sub>2</sub> beads 568 569 was also shown to be independent of the type and length of phospholipids, and unlike TiO<sub>2</sub>, even capable of retaining Fos-choline-12. We also assessed the level of back-exchange and peptide carry-over for the 570 571 extended system, verifying that both do not show any noticeable increase compared to the standard HDX-572 MS configuration.

573

574 The additional column matrix initially led to a significant amount of unspecific protein and peptide binding, 575 which led to unfavourable loss of peptide signals. Minimizing protein loss was a crucial step and required the optimization of both bead and solution conditions. Increasing the amount of protein injected to obtain 576 577 a sufficiently high MS signal, a strategy commonly applied so far, is unfavorable for two main reasons; i) 578 the increase in sample consumption of the target membrane proteins, which are generally obtained in low 579 amounts due to a challenging expression and purification; and ii) the proportional increase in the amount 580 of lipid components injected, at the expense of their effective removal. We could demonstrate that such 581 protein:bead unspecific adsorption, hence protein loss, can be largely minimized by blocking unspecific 582 binding sites utilizing a combination of blocking reagent, e.g. BSA, and a suitable quench buffer of 200-500 583 mM glycine-HCl, with only a minor effect on lipid removal efficiencies. However, we note that the 584 application of ZrO<sub>2</sub> beads still remains a compromise between delipidation efficiency and the prevention 585 of unspecific protein adsorption. Engineering a different type of beads or a dedicated ZrO<sub>2</sub>-based trap 586 column could potentially overcome this issue in future. Furthermore, and more generally, the optimized extended LC setup, equipped with an additional pump, could be utilized to integrate other substrate traps 587 588 or chromatographic/enzymatic columns into the conventional HDX-MS apparatus, to enhance the 589 flexibility in HDX-MS analysis of complex protein samples.

590

Finally, we conducted measurements of MSP nanodiscs to determine the workability of the system. The number of identified peptides of MSP1E3D1 and the sequence coverage map – two crucial metrics in HDX-MS – have demonstrated equal performance compared to control measurements of the free protein, proving the functionality of the developed delipidation setup. Furthermore, we obtained 82.7% sequence

595 coverage of the AcrB membrane protein solubilized in POPC nanodisc, demonstrating the applicability of

- 596 the system on proteins of interest. This workflow will facilitate membrane protein characterization in HDX-
- 597 MS to progress our understanding of protein dynamics in lipid environments. Overall, our developments
- 598 will advance the field of membrane protein structural mass spectrometry, which is now at the point where
- 599 a lipid milieu must be considered due to its putative relationship with protein structure and function.
- 600
- 601

## 602 **Notes**

603 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

604

# 605 Acknowledgments

606 Work at King's College London by D.H. and E.R. was supported by a UKRI Future Leader Fellowship

(MR/S015426/1) to E.R.. C.B. was supported by a King's College London iCASE Studentship with Waters
 Corporation and B.R.L by a King's College London Studentship. V.C. was supported by the Leverhulme

Trust (RPG-2019-178) to A.P, and a King's College London funded research associate position to E.R.

610 A.P. is an EPSRC Research Fellow (EP/V011715/1).

611

612

## 614 **References**

- Levental, I. & Lyman, E. Regulation of membrane protein structure and function by their lipid
   nano-environment. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 2022* 1–16 (2022) doi:10.1038/s41580-022 00524-4.
- Laganowsky, A. *et al.* Membrane proteins bind lipids selectively to modulate their structure and
  function. *Nature* 510, 172–175 (2014).
- 3. Yeagle, P. L. Non-covalent binding of membrane lipids to membrane proteins. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Biomembranes* 1838, 1548–1559 (2014).
- 4. Lee, A. G. Biological membranes: the importance of molecular detail. *Trends Biochem Sci* 36, 493–
  500 (2011).
- 624 5. Overduin, M. & Esmaili, M. Memtein: The fundamental unit of membrane-protein structure and
  625 function. *Chem Phys Lipids* 218, 73–84 (2019).
- 626 6. Overington, J. P., Al-Lazikani, B. & Hopkins, A. L. How many drug targets are there? *Nat Rev*627 *Drug Discov* 5, 993–996 (2006).
- 628 7. Donnarumma, D. *et al.* Native State Organization of Outer Membrane Porins Unraveled by HDx 629 MS. *J Proteome Res* 17, 1794–1800 (2018).
- 8. Hansen, R. K., Broadhurst, R. W., Skelton, P. C. & Arkin, I. T. Hydrogen/deuterium exchange of
  hydrophobic peptides in model membranes by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. J Am
  Soc Mass Spectrom 13, 1376–1387 (2002).
- Redhair, M., Clouser, A. F. & Atkins, W. M. Hydrogen-deuterium exchange mass spectrometry of
  membrane proteins in lipid nanodiscs. *Chem Phys Lipids* 220, 14–22 (2019).
- Martens, C., Shekhar, M., Lau, A. M., Tajkhorshid, E. & Politis, A. Integrating hydrogen–
  deuterium exchange mass spectrometry with molecular dynamics simulations to probe lipidmodulated conformational changes in membrane proteins. *Nat Protoc* 14, 3183–3204 (2019).
- Martens, C. *et al.* Direct protein-lipid interactions shape the conformational landscape of
  secondary transporters. *Nat Commun* 9, (2018).
- Reading, E. Assessing Membrane Protein Structural Dynamics within Lipid Nanodiscs. *Trends Biochem Sci* (2019) doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2019.08.003.
- Reading, E. *et al.* Interrogating Membrane Protein Conformational Dynamics within Native Lipid
  Compositions. *Angewandte Chemie* 129, 15860–15863 (2017).
- Reading, E. Structural Mass Spectrometry of Membrane Proteins within Their Native Lipid
  Environments. *Chemistry A European Journal* 24, 13391–13398 (2018).
- 646 15. Masson, G. R. *et al.* Recommendations for performing, interpreting and reporting hydrogen
- 647 deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) experiments. *Nat Methods* **16**, 595–602 (2019).
- 648 16. Hebling, C. M. et al. Conformational analysis of membrane proteins in phospholipid bilayer
- 649 nanodiscs by hydrogen exchange mass spectrometry. Anal Chem 82, 5415–5419 (2010).

- Walters, B. T., Ricciuti, A., Mayne, L. & Englander, S. W. MINIMIZING BACK EXCHANGE
  IN THE HYDROGEN EXCHANGE MASS SPECTROMETRY EXPERIMENT. J Am Soc
  Mass Spectrom 23, 2139 (2012).
- Bai, Y., Milne, J. S., Mayne, L. & Englander, S. W. Primary structure effects on peptide group
  hydrogen exchange. *Proteins: Structure, Function, and Genetics* 17, 75–86 (1993).
- Wales, T. E., Fadgen, K. E., Gerhardt, G. C. & Engen, J. R. High-speed and high-resolution
  UPLC separation at zero degrees celsius. *Anal Chem* 80, 6815–6820 (2008).
- Iacob, R. E. & Engen, J. R. Hydrogen exchange mass spectrometry: Are we out of the quicksand? *J Am Soc Mass Spectrom* 23, 1003–1010 (2012).
- Anderson, K. W., Gallagher, E. S. & Hudgens, J. W. Automated Removal of Phospholipids from
  Membrane Proteins for H/D Exchange Mass Spectrometry Workflows. *Anal Chem* 90, 6409–6412
  (2018).
- Calvaresi, V., Redsted, A., Norais, N. & Rand, K. D. Hydrogen-Deuterium Exchange Mass
  Spectrometry with Integrated Size-Exclusion Chromatography for Analysis of Complex Protein
  Samples. *Anal Chem* 93, 11406–11414 (2021).
- Denisov, I. G., Grinkova, Y. v., Lazarides, A. A. & Sligar, S. G. Directed Self-Assembly of
  Monodisperse Phospholipid Bilayer Nanodiscs with Controlled Size. J Am Chem Soc 126, 3477–
  3487 (2004).
- Denisov, I. G., Baas, B. J., Grinkova, Y. v. & Sligar, S. G. Cooperativity in Cytochrome P450 3A4:
  LINKAGES IN SUBSTRATE BINDING, SPIN STATE, UNCOUPLING, AND PRODUCT
  FORMATION. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 282, 7066–7076 (2007).
- Roos, C. *et al.* Characterization of co-translationally formed nanodisc complexes with small
  multidrug transporters, proteorhodopsin and with the E. coli MraY translocase. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Biomembranes* 1818, 3098–3106 (2012).
- Reading, E. *et al.* Perturbed structural dynamics underlie inhibition and altered efflux of the
  multidrug resistance pump AcrB. *Nature Communications 2020 11:1* 11, 1–11 (2020).
- 676 27. Daury, L. *et al.* Tripartite assembly of RND multidrug efflux pumps. *Nature Communications 2016*677 *7:1* 7, 1–8 (2016).
- 678 28. Guttman, M. *et al.* Tuning a High Transmission Ion Guide to Prevent Gas-Phase Proton
  679 Exchange During H/D Exchange MS Analysis. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 27, 662–668 (2016).
- Sørensen, L. & Salbo, R. Optimized Workflow for Selecting Peptides for HDX-MS Data
  Analyses. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 29, 2278–2281 (2018).
- Guttman, M., Weis, D. D., Engen, J. R. & Lee, K. K. Analysis of overlapped and noisy
  hydrogen/deuterium exchange mass spectra. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 24, 1906–1912 (2013).
- Aryal, U. K. & Ross, A. R. S. Enrichment and analysis of phosphopeptides under different
  experimental conditions using titanium dioxide affinity chromatography and mass spectrometry. *Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom* 24, 219–231 (2010).

- Kyono, Y., Sugiyama, N., Imami, K., Tomita, M. & Ishihama, Y. Successive and selective release
  of phosphorylated peptides captured by hydroxy acid-modified metal oxide chromatography. *J Proteome Res* 7, 4585–4593 (2008).
- Simon, E. S., Young, M., Chan, A., Bao, Z. Q. & Andrews, P. C. Improved enrichment strategies
  for phosphorylated peptides on titanium dioxide using methyl esterification and pH gradient
  elution. *Anal Biochem* 377, 234–242 (2008).
- 693 34. Cantin, G. T., Shock, T. R., Sung, K. P., Madhani, H. D. & Yates, J. R. Optimizing TiO2-based
  694 phosphopeptide enrichment for automated multidimensional liquid chromatography coupled to
  695 tandem mass spectrometry. *Anal Chem* **79**, 4666–4673 (2007).
- Larsen, M. R., Thingholm, T. E., Jensen, O. N., Roepstorff, P. & Jørgensen, T. J. D. Highly
  Selective Enrichment of Phosphorylated Peptides from Peptide Mixtures Using Titanium Dioxide
  Microcolumns. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics* 4, 873–886 (2005).
- 699 36. Calvaresi, V. *et al.* Conformational dynamics of free and membrane-bound human Hsp70 in
  700 model cytosolic and endo-lysosomal environments. *Communications Biology 2021 4:1* 4, 1–11 (2021).
- 70137.Burke, J. E. *et al.* Interaction of group IA phospholipase A2 with metal ions and phospholipid
- vesicles probed with deuterium exchange mass spectrometry. *Biochemistry* 47, 6451–6459 (2008).
  Sugiyama, N. *et al.* Phosphopeptide enrichment by aliphatic hydroxy acid-modified metal oxide
  chromatography for nano-LC-MS/MS in proteomics applications. *Molecular and Cellular Proteomics*6, 1103–1109 (2007).
- 39. Comamala, G. *et al.* Hydrogen/Deuterium Exchange Mass Spectrometry with Integrated
  Electrochemical Reduction and Microchip-Enabled Deglycosylation for Epitope Mapping of
  Heavily Glycosylated and Disulfide-Bonded Proteins. *Anal Chem* 93, 16330–16340 (2021).
- To Heavily Glycosylated and Disulfide-Bonded Proteins. *Anal Chem* **93**, 16330–16340 (2021)
- 40. Rey, M. et al. Effective removal of nonionic detergents in protein mass spectrometry,
- 710 hydrogen/deuterium exchange, and proteomics. *Anal Chem* **82**, 5107–5116 (2010).
- 41. Soya, N., Roldan, A. & Lukacs, G. L. Differential Scanning Fluorimetry and Hydrogen Deuterium
  Fibrosis. *Methods Mol Biol* 1873, 53–67 (2019).
- Yumioka, R., Sato, H., Tomizawa, H., Yamasaki, Y. & Ejima, D. Mobile phase containing arginine
  provides more reliable SEC condition for aggregation analysis. *J Pharm Sci* 99, 618–620 (2010).
- 43. Ejima, D., Yumioka, R., Arakawa, T. & Tsumoto, K. Arginine as an effective additive in gel
  permeation chromatography. *J Chromatogr A* 1094, 49–55 (2005).
- 44. Hasui, K. & Murata, F. A new simplified catalyzed signal amplification system for minimizing
  non-specific staining in tissues with supersensitive immunohistochemistry. *Arch Histol Cytol* 68, 1–
  17 (2005).
- Vandeventer, P. E. *et al.* Mechanical Disruption of Lysis-Resistant Bacterial Cells by Use of a
  Miniature, Low-Power, Disposable Device. *J Clin Microbiol* 49, 2533–2539 (2011).

- 46. Bayburt, T. H., Grinkova, Y. v. & Sligar, S. G. Self-Assembly of Discoidal Phospholipid Bilayer
  Nanoparticles with Membrane Scaffold Proteins. *Nano Lett* 2, 853–856 (2002).
- Konermann, L., Tong, X. & Pan, Y. Protein structure and dynamics studied by mass
  spectrometry: H/D exchange, hydroxyl radical labeling, and related approaches. *Journal of Mass Spectrometry* 43, 1021–1036 (2008).
- 48. Hvidt, A. & Nielsen, S. O. Hydrogen Exchange in Proteins. Adv Protein Chem 21, 287–386 (1966).
- 49. Morgan, C. R. et al. Conformational transitions in the membrane scaffold protein of phospholipid

bilayer nanodiscs. Molecular and Cellular Proteomics 10, (2011).

- 730
- 731