# Modified Akuamma Alkaloids with Increased Potency at the Mu-opioid Receptor

Madeline R Hennessy <sup>1‡</sup>, Anna M Gutridge <sup>2‡</sup>, Alexander R French<sup>2,4</sup>, Elizabeth S Rhoda <sup>2</sup>, Yazan J. Meqbil<sup>2</sup>, Meghna Gill <sup>1</sup>, Yavnika Kashyap <sup>1</sup>, Kevin Appourchaux<sup>3</sup>, Barnali Paul<sup>3</sup>, Zaijie Jim Wang <sup>1,4</sup>, Richard M. van Rijn <sup>2,5,6,7</sup>, Andrew P. Riley <sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Pharmacy, University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, IL 60612 USA, <sup>2</sup> Department of Medicinal Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, College of Pharmacy, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907 USA, <sup>3</sup> Center for Clinical Pharmacology, University of Health Sciences & Pharmacy at St. Louis and Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110 USA, <sup>4</sup> Departments of Neurology and Bioengineering, University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, IL 60612 USA, <sup>5</sup> Purdue Institute for Drug Discovery, <sup>6</sup> Purdue Institute for Integrative Neuroscience, <sup>7</sup> Purdue Interdisciplinary Life Sciences Graduate Program, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907 USA

KEYWORDS: Opioid receptor, pain, natural products

Abstract. Akuammine (1) and pseudo-akuammigine (2) are indole alkaloids found in the seeds of the akuamma tree (*Picralima nitida*) that are used as a traditional treatment for pain in West Africa. Both alkaloids are agonists of the mu-opioid receptor ( $\mu$ OR); however, they produce minimal effects in animal models of antinociception, likely due to their modest potency. To further probe the interactions of 1 and 2 at the opioid receptors, we have prepared a collection of semi-synthetic derivatives with modifications to the C10, C11, C16, and N1 positions of the indole core. Evaluation of this collection at the  $\mu$ OR and kappa opioid receptor ( $\kappa$ OR) revealed structural-activity relationship trends and derivatives with improved potency at the  $\mu$ OR. Most

notably, the introduction of a phenethyl moiety to the N1 of **2** produces a 70-fold increase in potency and a 7-fold increase in selectivity for the  $\mu$ OR. The *in vitro* potency of this compound was reflected *in vivo* in rodents, producing an ED<sub>50</sub> = 77.6 mg/kg and 77.1 mg/kg in a tail-flick antinociception assay and a hot-plate assay, respectively. The improved potency of these analogs highlights the promise of exploring natural product scaffolds that can be used to probe the opioid receptors.

# Introduction

Amid the opioid crisis, opioid-related deaths have been on the rise with over 90 Americans dying from overdoses each day.<sup>1</sup> Whereas in previous decades opioid deaths have been dominated by analgesics like morphine and its semi-synthetic derivatives, more recently more potent synthetic opioids like fentanyl have been the larger source of fatalities.<sup>2</sup> Regardless of the drug class, clinically used and illicit opioids produce their powerful analgesic effects through the potent activation of the mu opioid receptor ( $\mu$ OR).<sup>3,4</sup> This same activation of the  $\mu$ OR also produces life-threatening respiratory depression responsible for opioid-related deaths and along with other adverse effects including constipation, tolerance, and dependence that limit the clinical utility of opioid analgesics.<sup>5</sup> Thus, while opioid analgesics remain an essential component of modern medicine, there is a pressing need to develop safer opioid analgesics.

Several promising strategies have emerged to develop safer opioid analgesics including the development of biased agonists,<sup>6</sup> mixed pharmacology,<sup>7</sup> partial agonism,<sup>8-10</sup> and investigation of delta and kappa opioid receptor ( $\delta$ OR and  $\kappa$ OR) agonists.<sup>11</sup> The exploration of each of these strategies has been greatly aided by the discovery and design of novel opioid ligands, particularly those that lack the common pharmacophore shared by morphinan and fentanyl-derived analgesics. For example, the salvinorin A derivative herkinorin was among the first nonnitrogenous  $\mu$ OR agonists and provided the first evidence for signaling bias with respect to  $\beta$ -Arrestin-2 recruitment at the  $\mu$ OR.<sup>12</sup> More recently, of the structure-activity relationships (SAR) studies on the mitragynine alkaloids have revealed how even small changes to the scaffold allow for the fine-tuning of opioid receptor signaling.<sup>8, 13-15</sup> In addition to providing new chemical tools to probe the opioid receptor and its function, the development of these novel classes of opioids offers new opportunities to develop potential analgesics.

In light of the promising advantages of investigating structurally distinct  $\mu$ OR agonists, we began re-investigating the alkaloids of the akuamma plant (*Picralima nitida*), <sup>16</sup> which has been used in West Africa as a traditional treatment for opioid withdrawal, fever, and pain.<sup>17</sup> We recently developed an extraction protocol that allows for the isolation of six monoterpene indole alkaloids known as the akuamma alkaloids directly from commercial P. nitida seeds (Figure 1).<sup>18</sup> As a class, these alkaloids are structurally distinct from traditional opioid ligands represented by morphine and fentanyl. Akuammine (1), pseudo-akuammigine (2), and akuammidine (3) act as moderately potent  $\mu$ OR agonists with potencies ranging from 2.6 – 5.2  $\mu$ M. Due to their agonist activity at the  $\mu$ OR, 1-3 were evaluated in thermal antinociception assays in mice. However, contrary to previous studies and the traditional use of *P. nitida* as an analgesic, 1-3 produced minimal pain-relieving effects.<sup>18, 19</sup> Given the modest potency of these alkaloids at the  $\mu$ OR, we reasoned that a derivative with increased potency at the  $\mu$ OR would produce more effective antinociceptive effects. To test this hypothesis, here we report the first structure-activity relationship (SAR) study of the akuamma alkaloids 1 and 2. We employed strategic, chemoselective, late-stage functionalization of the indole nucleus, to introduce modifications at the C10, C11, and N1 positions of 1 and 2. Ultimately, these SAR studies resulted in the discovery of a µOR agonist with a 70-fold greater potency than the parent

compound **2**. This dramatic increase in agonistic potency highlights the utility of semi-synthesis to discover new ligand classes that can serve as both suitable chemical probes to further understand opioid receptor signaling and promising drug leads to develop much-needed safer opioid analgesics.



Figure 1. The six major alkaloids (1-6) isolated from akuamma seeds.

# Results

The structural complexity of **1** and **2** presents several challenges for investigating their activity at the opioid receptors. Although functional groups like the C10 phenol serve as apparent synthetic handles to alter the scaffold, the complexity of the molecules could also confound the selectivity and reactivity of certain chemical transformations. Therefore, to gain initial insight into the SAR of **1** and **2**, we employed a series of highly chemoselective transformations to diversify their indole rings.

# Aromatic modifications (C10 and C11)

The C10 phenol of **1** represents a logical starting point for our SAR studies; however, we found that the aliphatic N4 tertiary amine is generally more nucleophilic than the corresponding phenoxide anion. Our initial attempts to functionalize the phenol via alkylation with

iodomethane resulted in the methylation of the aliphatic nitrogen to produce the corresponding quaternary ammonium ion. However, methylation of **1** with trimethylsilyl diazomethane exclusively produced the methyl ether **7** (**Scheme 1**).<sup>20</sup> Similarly, the acetylation of **1** with acetic anhydride, but not acetyl chloride, favored *O*-acylation to produced ester **8**.



Scheme 1. (A) Semi-synthesis of C10 analogues of 2 and C11 analogues of 1. Reagents and conditions: (a)  $(CH_3)_2SiCHN_2$ , THF, MeOH, rt, 48 h (b) Ac<sub>2</sub>O, DMAP, TEA, DCM, rt, 4 h (c) Tf<sub>2</sub>NPh, DMAP, DCM, rt, 1 h (d) Zn(CN)<sub>2</sub>, Pd(dppf)Cl<sub>2</sub>, TEA, DMF, 120 °C, 24 h (e) boronic acid, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, PhMe, MeOH, 80 °C, 4 – 30 h. (f) NBS, TFA, DCM, 0°C to rt, 5 h (g) NIS, TFA, DCM, 0°C to rt, 5 h. Numbers in parentheses represent isolated percent yields. All Suzuki coupling analogues (11 – 15 and 21 – 26) consist of para substituted aryl rings except for 3-furanyl analogues 16 and 26.

After successful substitution of the phenol, the corresponding aryl triflate was prepared by reacting the phenol with *N*-phenyl-bis-(trifluoromethane sulfonimide) and 4dimethylaminopyridine.<sup>21</sup> The resulting aryl triflate, 9,<sup>22</sup> was leveraged as a pseudohalide intermediate in palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions to compounds 10-16.<sup>15</sup> Nitrile analog 10 was synthesized from 9 in a palladium-catalyzed cyanation with Zn(CN)<sub>2</sub> as the nitrile source.<sup>23</sup> Suzuki-Miyaura cross-couplings were used to append aromatic and heterocyclic rings (11-16).<sup>15</sup> In addition to introducing substitutions at C10, reduction of 9 with formic acid as the hydride source provides 2.<sup>24</sup> Given the relatively low isolation yields of 2 from *P. nitida*, this two-step process provides a convenient way to access **2** for additional SAR studies from the more abundant **1**.

To further investigate the impact of substitutions on the aromatic ring, halides were introduced at C10 of **2** and C11 of **1** employing an acid-mediated halogenation with *N*bromosuccinimide and *N*-iodosuccinimide (**17-20**). Intriguingly, the use of trifluoroacetic acid was necessary for the reaction to progress to completion. In addition to accelerating the reaction, the acidic conditions likely also serve as an *in situ* protection of the alkyl amines. The aryl iodide **20** was also shown to be a competent coupling partner for Suzuki-Miyaura reactions allowing for the synthesis of a series of C11-substituted derivatives of **1** (**21-26**).

# Modifications to the esters

The C16 methyl ester of **1** and **2** were targeted as we anticipated they could be converted into useful points of diversification through reduction to the primary alcohol (**Scheme 2, 27-28**) or hydrolysis to the carboxylic acid. However, the ester proved remarkably resistant to chemical modifications, presumably due to its attachment to a sterically encumbered quaternary carbon. For example, no reaction is observed when subjecting **1** to lithium aluminum hydride in refluxing THF. Surprisingly, the methyl ester of **2** is readily reduced to the primary alcohol **28** with lithium aluminum hydride at room temperature. At the moment, it is unclear how the phenol of **1** has such a profound impact on the reactivity of the remote ester. Further highlighting the chemical stability of the methyl ester, treatment of **5** with KOH effectively hydrolyzes the acetyl ester to the primary alcohol **29**, while leaving the adjacent methyl ester intact.



**Scheme 2.** Semi-synthesis of C16 akuamma alkaloid analogues. Reagents and conditions: (a) LAH, TFA, 0 °C to rt, 4 h (b) KOH, MeOH, rt, 1 h. Number in parentheses represent isolated yields.

# Indole nitrogen modifications

After modifying the C10 and C11 positions of **1** and **2**, we shifted our efforts to substituting the N1 position of the indole ring (**Scheme 3**). Direct substitution of **1** and **2** are not feasible as the N1 position is substituted with methyl group which, despite multiple attempts, proved difficult to remove.<sup>25-28</sup> An alternative to directly substituting **1** or **2** is to alkylate the structurally similar alkaloid **5**, which conveniently lacks an N1 methyl group. Notably, the deacetylated analog of **5** (**29**) exists in equilibrium with the hemiaminal ether **30**, which is favored under acidic conditions.<sup>29</sup> Recently Zhang et. al. exploited this equilibrium in the total synthesis of **2** utilizing a trioxane, TFA, and triethylsilane in a modified Eschweiler-Clarke reaction to methylate **29**.<sup>29, 30</sup> Inspired by this finding, we adopted a similar strategy by replacing trioxane with various dimethyl acetals to produce derivatives of **2** bearing alkyl substituents to indolic nitrogen (**31-33**, **Scheme 3**).<sup>31, 32</sup> The requisite dimethyl acetals are generated from reacting aldehydes with methanol in the presence of catalytic aqueous HCl.<sup>33</sup>



**Scheme 3.** Semi-synthesis of N1 pseudoakuammigine analogues. Reagents and conditions: (a) dimethyl acetal, TFA, TES, DCM, rt, 18 h. Number in parentheses represent isolated yields.

# *In vitro* pharmacology

Having generated a series of 22 novel "akuammalogs", we looked to study what effects these modifications to the aromatic core of **1** and **2** would have on their activity at the opioid receptors. Since our previous study had determined that **1** and **2** have moderate affinities for the  $\mu$ OR and  $\kappa$ OR, with minimal affinity for the  $\delta$ OR,<sup>18</sup> we first examined the derivatives in competitive radioligand binding assays at these two receptors. To streamline our process and more efficiently identify SAR trends, we began by assessing all compounds at 1  $\mu$ M for their ability to displace [<sup>3</sup>H]-DAMGO or [<sup>3</sup>H]-U69,593 from at the  $\mu$ OR and  $\kappa$ OR, respectively (**Figure 2A**). Compounds that displaced >50% of radioligand at 1  $\mu$ M in these initial screening assays were then further evaluated in full dose-response experiments to determine the binding affinity and cAMP inhibition functional activity assays to determine opioid receptor activation (**Figure 2B-E**).



Figure 2. Pharmacological characterization of the akuammalogs at the  $\mu$ OR and  $\kappa$ OR. The akuammalogs were screened at 1  $\mu$ M in a competitive radioligand binding assay with [<sup>3</sup>H]DAMGO and [<sup>3</sup>H]U69,593, using DAMGO and U50,488 as controls (**A**). Compounds **19-20** and **31-33** were further screened in a full-dose response radioligand binding assay at the  $\mu$ OR using [<sup>3</sup>H]DAMGO and akuammine (**1**) and pseudoakuammigine (**2**) as controls, respectively (**B**, **D**). Inhibition of forskolin-induced cAMP in a GloSensor assay in transfected HEK-293 cells at the  $\mu$ OR (**C**, **E**). All curves are representative of the averaged values from a minimum of three independent assays.

When the binding affinity was assessed, compounds bearing modifications at the C10 position (7, 8, and 10-18) displaced <50% of the radioligands and possess considerably less affinity than 1 or 2 (Figure 2A). These results indicate that C10 substitutions of the akuamma alkaloids are not well tolerated and suggest that the C10 phenol of 1 may make important ligand-receptor interactions with the opioid receptor. This notion was supported by the binding affinity for the C11 substituted derivatives of 1 that retain the C10 phenol. Although the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling products 21-26 do not displace >50% of the radioligand at either the  $\mu$ OR or  $\kappa$ OR at 1  $\mu$ M, they do induce more displacement than the C10 modified analogs (Figure 2A). Moreover, at 10  $\mu$ M, 21-26 displace nearly 100% of [<sup>3</sup>H]DAMGO from the  $\mu$ OR, whereas 10-18 still

induce little displacement at this higher concentration (**Supplementary Figure S1**). This higher concentration also revealed that most of the C11 Suzuki-Miyaura analogs do not retain a preference for the  $\mu$ OR that we observed in **1** and **2** and show similar affinities for the  $\kappa$ OR.

In contrast to the other C11-substituted derivatives, halogenation of **1** at C11 (**19-20**) results in slightly improved affinity for the opioid receptors. Both **19** and **20** induced >50% displacement at 1  $\mu$ M and possess an increased affinity to the  $\mu$ OR and  $\kappa$ OR compared to **1** (**Figure 2A, Table 1**). This improved affinity for the receptor is consistent with the phenol acting as hydrogen bond donor with the electronegative halogens lowering the pKa of the phenol and increasing its hydrogen bond donating ability. Although **19** and **20** retain a preference for the  $\mu$ OR, their selectivity over the  $\kappa$ OR is diminished relative to **1** (**Supplementary Figure S2**). We next used the GloSensor cAMP assay to measure G-protein activation <sup>34</sup>; **19** and **20** possess increased potency (EC<sub>50</sub> = 0.93  $\mu$ M and 1.2  $\mu$ M, respectively) at the  $\mu$ OR relative to **1** (**Table 1**). In addition to increasing the potency of **1**, these modifications also decrease the efficacy relative to the parent compound with compounds **19** and **20** producing partial agonism at the  $\mu$ OR with E<sub>max</sub> values of 40% and 32%, respectively (**Figure 2C, Table 1**).

	μOR Affinity <sup>a</sup>		κOR Affinity <sup>a</sup>		кOR/µOR selectivity	cAMP inhibition at µOR <sup>b</sup>		
Compound	$pK_i \pm SEM c$	(K <sub>i</sub> , μM)	$pK_i \pm SEM c$	(K <sub>i</sub> , μM)		pIC <sub>50</sub> ± SEM °	IC <sub>50</sub> (μM)	E <sub>max</sub> % ± SEM <sup>c</sup>
DAMGO	$9.1\pm0.1$	0.0009	-	-	-	$8.2\pm0.1$	0.007	$96\pm4$
U-50	-	-	$9.5\pm0.1$	0.0005	-	-	-	-
1	$6.5\pm0.1$	0.30	$5.8\pm0.1$	1.67	5.6	$5.6\pm0.2$	2.60	$62\pm 6$
2	$6.2\pm0.1$	0.33	$5.6\pm0.1$	2.25	6.8	$5.3\pm0.1$	5.24	$82\pm7$
19	$6.9\pm 0.1$	0.12	$6.6\pm0.1$	0.33	2.8	$6.0\pm0.2$	0.93	$40\pm 5$
20	$6.8\pm 0.1$	0.22	$6.2\pm0.1$	0.58	2.6	$5.9\pm0.4$	1.22	$32\pm 6$
31	$7.0\pm0.1$	0.10	$5.9\pm0.1$	1.17	12	$6.6\pm0.2$	0.24	$59\pm4$
32	$7.3\pm 0.1$	0.054	$7.3\pm 0.1$	0.049	0.91	$6.6\pm0.2$	0.23	$63 \pm 4$
33	$7.9\pm0.1$	0.012	$6.2\pm0.1$	0.58	48	$7.1\pm0.2$	0.075	$76\pm5$

Table 1. In vitro pharmacology of akuammalogs using radioligand binding and cAMP inhibition.

<sup>a</sup>Affinity at the  $\mu$ OR and  $\kappa$ OR was determined by radioligand displacement using [<sup>3</sup>H]U69,593 and [<sup>3</sup>H]DAMGO; <sup>b</sup>cAMP inhibition at the  $\mu$ OR was determined through GloSensor assay; <sup>c</sup> Mean  $\pm$  standard error on the mean.

Having assessed the effects of C10 and C11 modifications of the aromatic ring, we moved to investigate the modifications to the indolic nitrogen of **2**. Upon the initial binding screen, we saw a significant increase in radioligand displacement at both the  $\mu$ OR and  $\kappa$ OR for compounds **31** – **33** (**Figure 2A**). Extending the length of the alkyl group from methyl in **2** to an ethyl group in **31** increased binding affinity at the  $\mu$ OR (K<sub>i</sub> = 0.10  $\mu$ M) while retaining selectivity over the  $\kappa$ OR (K<sub>i</sub> = 1.2  $\mu$ M) (**Figure 2D**, **Table 1**). Appending a phenyl ring to the methyl group of **2** (**32**) dramatically increased opioid receptor affinity; however, it also resulted in a loss of  $\mu$ OR selectivity with a  $\mu$ OR K<sub>i</sub> = 0.054  $\mu$ M and a  $\kappa$ OR K<sub>i</sub> = 0.049  $\mu$ M. Unexpectedly, extending the linker by a single methylene unit as in **33** produced a 27-fold increase in  $\mu$ OR binding affinity relative to **2**. This modification also produces a decreased affinity for the  $\kappa$ OR relative to **32**, resulting in **33** possessing 48-fold selectivity for the  $\mu$ OR (K<sub>i</sub> = 0.012  $\mu$ M) over the  $\kappa$ OR (K<sub>i</sub> = 0.58  $\mu$ M). Using the GloSensor cAMP inhibition assay to measure  $\mu$ OR activation, derivatives **31-33** activated the  $\mu$ OR with potencies following a similar trend to that observed in the radioligand binding assays. Most notably, compound **33**, bearing the phenethyl substitution on the N1 position was again identified as being considerably more potent than the parent natural product **2** with similar levels of efficacy (EC<sub>50</sub> = 0.075  $\mu$ M, E<sub>max</sub> = 76%)

# (Figure 2E, Table 1).

Given the potency of **33** in the GloSensor assay, we sought to further interrogate its *in vitro* activity by measuring  $\beta$ -arrestin ( $\beta$ Arr2) recruitment. It is now well-appreciated that the recruitment of  $\beta$ -arrestins to GPCR is capable of initiating G-protein-independent signaling cascades.<sup>35</sup> In the case of the  $\mu$ OR,  $\beta$ Arr2-recruitment has been associated in a number of studies with the adverse effects of opioid analgesics including respiratory depression and tolerance.<sup>36, 37</sup> So-called G-protein biased agonists that preferentially activate the G-protein pathway have been proposed as a strategy to develop safer opioid analgesics.<sup>37-39</sup> We had previously observed that activation of the  $\mu$ OR by the akuamma alkaloids **1-3** does not result in recruitment of  $\beta$ Arr2 to the receptor.<sup>18</sup> Due to the low potency of **1-3** at the  $\mu$ OR, it is also possible these ligands are capable of inducing  $\beta$ Arr2 recruitment but at concentrations higher than were tested. However, **33**, which is considerably more potent in the GloSensor assay, yet still does not produce any significant recruitment of  $\beta$ Arr2 to the  $\mu$ OR (<10% at 10  $\mu$ M; **Figure 3A**), indicating **33** is a G-protein biased agonist. The limited recruitment complicates calculating a reliable bias factor for the compound.

To evaluate the G-protein signaling properties of **33**, we employed the recently developed TRUPATH assay (**Figure 3B-C**).<sup>8, 40</sup> The GloSensor assay measures the inhibition of cAMP production by adenylate cyclase as a downstream measure of activation of the  $G_{i/o}$ -signaling cascade induced by agonists activating a GPCR. By relying on the measurement of downstream events, these assays can overestimate the efficacy of ligands at the opioid receptors.<sup>41</sup>

Conversely, the TRUPATH assay system employs bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET) biosensors to measure the dissociation of the G-protein heterotrimer that initiates the signaling cascade. Importantly, the TRUPATH system can interrogate activation of specific  $G\alpha_{i/o}$  transducers, which in turn, could reveal signaling bias for different ligands.

The novel derivative **33**, the natural product **2**, and the positive control DAMGO were evaluated using the BRET-based TRUPATH assay to determine their abilities to activate the  $\mu$ OR coupled to the G $\alpha_{i/o}$  subtypes G $\alpha_{i-1}$ , G $\alpha_{i-2}$ , G $\alpha_{i-3}$ , G $\alpha_{oA}$ , G $\alpha_{oB}$ , and G $\alpha_z$ . Using this assay, both **2** and **33** appear as balanced agonists, producing similar potencies and efficacies in all G $\alpha_{i/o}$ subtypes. Consistent with the GloSensor assay, natural product **2** is a low potency, partial agonist producing 40-86% of the maximal effect produced by DAMGO (**Figure 3B-C**). Similarly, compound **33** possessed increased potency compared to **2** with all G $\alpha_{i/o}$  subtypes, although produced considerably higher levels of efficacy.



**Figure 3.**  $\mu$ OR signaling properties of compound **33**. The ability of **33** to recruit  $\beta$ -arrestin-2 in a PathHunter assay at  $\mu$ OR with DAMGO as a control (A); Recruitment G<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>- subtype screening of **2**, **33**, and DAMGO in h $\mu$ OR. TRUPATH heatmaps demonstrate **33** and  $\mu$ OR agonists activate the G<sub>i/o</sub>-class of transducers with varying levels of potency (A) and efficacy (B). Akuammalog **33** appears to exhibit increased potency and efficacy of G<sub>Z</sub> as relative to other G-protein transducers as compared to the parent compound **2**. Heatmap colors refer to mean log(EC<sub>50</sub>) and normalized efficacy values. All curves are representative of the averaged values from a minimum of three independent assays.

#### In vivo activity

Given the increased potency of 33 relative to the natural products 1 and 2, we elected to evaluate its antinociceptive effects in mice. In the first series of experiments, mice received different doses of **33**, and were subjected to the tail-flick <sup>42, 43</sup> and hot-plate antinociception <sup>44</sup> assays. For the positive control, we used another naturally occurring compound morphine (10 mg/kg) in these assays. Compound 33 at high doses (80 and100 mg/kg) exhibited antinociceptive effect in both warm-water tail-flick assay and hot-plate assay. At 100 mg/kg, 33's antinociception was comparable to that of the morphine (10 mg/kg) in the tail-flick assay with respect to peak effect and the duration of action, whereas the peak effect time was slightly delayed (Figure 4A). In the subsequent dose response assay, ED<sub>50</sub> was estimated to be 77.6 mg/kg (Figure 4B). Similar antinociception effect was observed in the hot-plate assay, although the effects at 80 or 100 mg/kg were not as robust as in the tail-flick assay in comparison to the positive control (Figure 4C), with an estimated ED<sub>50</sub> of 77.1 mg/kg (Figure 4D). The small differences in efficacy are likely due to differences in stimulus intensity and nociceptive pathways. The tail-flick assay is largely a spinal reflex assay whereas hotplate involves more processing from the supraspinal regions. These results from tail-flick and hot plate assays clearly demonstrate that the increased potency of 33 relative to the naturally occurring akuamma alkaloids is translated into improved in vivo efficacy. However, compound 33 at the high doses (80, 100 mg/kg) also impaired motor coordination in the accelerating rotarod test (Supplementary Figure S3). Although this impaired locomotor activity is significant, other µOR agonists, including morphine, also produce motor incoordination.<sup>45</sup> As such, this effect is likely produced through via µOR activation and further supports that compound 33 is active in vivo.



Figure 4. Antinociceptive effect of compound 33. (A) Tail-flick assay. (B) Dose-response curve of compound 33 based on the tail-flick assay. (C) Hot-plate assay. (D) Dose-response curve of compound 33 based on the hot-plate assay. Mice received different doses of compound 33 (10–100 mg/kg subcutaneously) or morphine (10 mg/kg subcutaneously) or vehicle (control group). Time course of the antinociception response after dosing was measured and plotted over 4 h. The ED<sub>50</sub> was estimated to be 77.6 mg/kg for the tail-flick assay and 77.1 mg/kg for the hot-plate assay. Data are expressed in mean  $\pm$  SEM, \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001 vs the control group.

# **Discussion and Conclusion**

Natural products have been used for millennia for the treatment of pain.<sup>46</sup> Within the last century, the isolation of bioactive components from these ethnomedicines has provided numerous chemical probes to elucidate many signaling mechanisms in the central nervous system.<sup>47</sup> For example, the investigation of the pharmacology of morphine, isolated from *Papaver somniferum*, resulted in the identification of the opioid receptors.<sup>48</sup> In more recent instances, by exploring the SAR of other naturally occurring opioid ligands like salvinorin A and mitragynine, medicinal chemists have unearthed novel compounds with unique pharmacology

that have revealed further insight into the molecular mechanisms of analgesia and opioid-induced side effects. <sup>12-14, 49</sup>

The results from this SAR study add to this tradition by providing new chemical scaffolds that can engage the µOR binding pocket in unique ways. To rationalize the SAR trends we observed, we used induced-fit docking (Maestro) to identify potential binding modes and interactions made between two of the more potent derivatives (19 and 33) and the  $\mu$ OR (Figure 5). When docked into the nanobody-stabilized structure of the active-state  $\mu$ OR, both 19 and 33 adopted similar top-scoring docking poses that share considerable overlap with the cocrystallized morphinan ligand BU72. Notably, the alkyl tertiary amines of 19 and 33 form saltbridge with Asp147, which have been previously observed to anchor other cationic nitrogens within the opioid binding pocket.<sup>50, 51</sup> Similarly, the aromatic rings of **19** and **33** occupy similar space to the phenolic ring of BU72.<sup>52</sup> In the case of **19**, the phenol moiety engages His297 via a network of water-mediated hydrogen bonds (Figure 5A). This hydrogen-bond interaction between a phenol oxygen and this network of water appears to be a highly conserved interaction and has been observed in both the morphinans BU72 and  $\beta$ -FNA and a tyrosine residue of DAMGO bound to the  $\mu$ OR.<sup>53-55</sup> This proposed binding mode of **19** is consistent with the SAR we observed that indicated replacing or substituting the C10 phenol of 1 led to significantly reduced affinity for the µOR. Furthermore, while the binding pocket adjacent to C11 can accommodate the bromine of 19, the steric bulk from the aryl rings of 21-26 prevents the ligands from binding tightly to the  $\mu$ OR.



**Figure 5.** Binding modes and interactions of **19** (cyan) and **33** (green) as compared to BU72 (orange) ( $\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}$ , respectively). Crystal structure of  $\mu$ OR co-crystallized with agonist BU-72 (PDB: 5C1M) was used as a starting point for all molecular docking simulation studies. Ligand receptor interactions are depicted as dashed lines: hydrogen bonds (magenta), salt-bridge (yellow), pi-pi stacking (blue). For clarity, ligand-receptor interactions are omitted for BU-72.

In our SAR studies, we observed the installation of a phenethyl moiety to the N1 position of **2** dramatically increased its affinity and potency at the  $\mu$ OR. This improved activity likely indicates that the additional phenethyl moiety in **33** extends into a subpocket of the binding site, allowing it to make additional ligand-receptor interactions. This notion is supported by the docking pose of **33** that suggests the phenethyl group extends into a cavity toward the bottom of the binding site. Interestingly, in the co-crystal structures, this subpocket is occupied by the *N*methyl group of BU72 and the cyclopropylmethyl group of  $\beta$ -FNA.<sup>56</sup> As exemplified by  $\beta$ -FNA, in the classic "message-address" concept of opioid ligands, longer alkyl at this position generally possesses antagonist activity. However, the relatively high efficacy of **33** (E<sub>max</sub> = 76%) suggests that extending further into this pocket or making additional ligand interactions allows for receptor activation. Notably, the binding pose does indicate a possible pi-pi stacking interactions with Tyr326, which has been previously implicated in  $\mu$ OR activation.<sup>57, 58</sup> By offering a new scaffold to probe this subpocket by engaging these and other potential ligand-receptor interactions, the akuamma alkaloids may reveal new ways to modulate  $\mu$ OR activation and signaling properties.

In summary, we have conducted the first SAR of the akuamma alkaloids by leveraging highly chemoselective, late-stage functionalization of the indole nucleus of **1** and **2**. Through these studies we identified that replacement of the N1-methyl group of **2** with larger alkyl groups engages an allosteric pocket of the  $\mu$ OR, leading to increased affinity. Most notably, we discovered lead compound **33** that possess considerably improved potency and selectivity compared to the parent natural product **2**. Compound **33** also exhibited antinociceptive effect in tail-flick and hot-plate assays in mice. Taken together, these *in vitro* and *in vivo* results provide further support that the continued investigation of opioid natural products can lead to new classes of potent opioid ligands. Moreover, these initial discoveries provide a foundation for future studies to probe how the akuamma alkaloids interact with the  $\mu$ OR binding pocket.

# Experimental

#### Chemistry

General Experimental Procedures. All solvents and reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used directly without further purification. Akuamma seed powder was purchased from Relax Remedy and alkaloids were isolated and purified as previously described.<sup>18</sup> Bruker 400 MHz, Bruker 400 MHz HD, and Bruker 600 MHz spectrometers were used to record <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra and they were referenced to the residual solvent peaks (CHCl<sub>3</sub>: <sup>1</sup>H  $\delta$ =7.26, <sup>13</sup>C  $\delta$ =77.16 ppm). High-resolution mass spectra were obtained on a Shimadzu LCMS-IT-TOF and observed values are within 5.0 ppm of calculated exact masses of the indicated ions. High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was conducted on an Agilent 1260 Infinity II fitted

with a DAD detector and a Phenomenox Luna Omega PS-C18 column (100 x 4.6 mm). A gradient of acetonitrile/water (20-45%) each containing 0.1% formic acid with a flow rate of 1 mL/min was used. The purity of all compounds was found to be >95% as determined by HPLC.

O-methylakuammine (7). In an oven-dried round bottom flask under nitrogen, 1 (150.5 mg, 0.393 mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL of a 4:1 mixture of THF/MeOH. A solution of TMS-diazomethane in hexanes (2 M, 1.9 mL, 3.8 mmol) was added dropwise and the reaction stirred for 21 hours at room temperature. After 21 hours an additional 5 equivalents of TMS-diazomethane were added. After 48 hours, the reaction was quenched with 1M acetic acid and basified with sodium bicarbonate. The reaction solvent was removed on the rotary evaporator and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate (3x50 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with 2-4% methanol/dichloromethane to afford 126 mg of 7 (81% yield) as a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.74 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.54 (d, J= 8.4 Hz, 1H), 5.41 (q, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (s, 1H), 3.96 (s, 1H), 3.94 (d, J = 7.72 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.66 (dd, *J* = 13.9, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 3.48 (s, 1H), 3.36 -3.25 (m, 1H), 2.85 (d, J = 16.6 Hz, 1H), 2.78 (s, 3H), 2.58 (dd, J = 13.8, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 2.05 (d, J = 14.1 Hz, 1H), 1.52 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.47 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 172.17, 154.03, 145.93, 140.59, 118.69, 111.02, 110.98, 110.02, 104.25, 74.17, 58.46, 56.00, 54.65, 53.84, 52.85, 52.09, 50.58, 41.06, 31.10, 29.84, 29.50, 28.04, 13.07. HRMS calculated for  $C_{23}H_{29}N_2O_4$ :  $[M + H]^+$ : 397.2124 (found); 397.2127 (calcd).

*O-acetylakuammine (8)*. In an oven-dried round bottom flask under nitrogen, **1** (50.0 mg, 0.131 mmol) was dissolved in 5 mL dichloromethane. DMAP (16.0 mg, 0.131 mmol), TEA (55  $\mu$ L, 0.39 mmol), and acetic anhydride (25  $\mu$ L, 0.26 mmol) were added and the reaction stirred for 4 hours

at room temperature. The reaction was diluted with water and basified with sodium bicarbonate. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane (3x10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography with 1-6% methanol/dichloromethane to afford 39 mg of **8** (71% yield) as a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.87 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.82 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 6.63 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 5.60 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.54 – 4.49 (s, 1H), 4.16 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 3.91 – 3.83 (m, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.66 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (s, 1H), 3.38 (ddd, J = 16.1, 14.4, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 3.13 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 2.85 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 2.83 (s, 3H), 2.47 (ddd, J = 14.5, 4.3, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 2.25 (s, 3H), 2.10 (ddd, J = 14.6, 3.7, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 1.72 (dd, J = 15.9, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 1.57 (dd, J = 7.1, 2.4 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  171.17, 170.02, 148.86, 144.58, 138.60, 134.99, 123.08, 121.06, 116.73, 110.83, 102.59, 74.40, 57.98, 53.94, 53.38, 53.07, 52.50, 50.04, 39.98, 29.58, 28.65, 26.95, 21.24, 13.20. HRMS calculated for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>29</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 425.2071 (found); 425.2076 (calcd).

*O-trifluoromethansulfonylakuammine (9).* In an oven dried round bottom flask under nitrogen **1** (100 mg, 0.2618 mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL anhydrous dichloromethane at room temperature. To the solution was added DMAP (96 mg, 0.7854 mmol) and *N*-phenylbis(trifluoromethanesulfonimide) (187 mg, 0.524 mmol). The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 1 hour. The reaction was concentrated via rotary evaporation. The crude mixture was diluted into 30 mL water and extracted with ethyl acetate (3x30 mL). The combine organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified via column chromatography (0-4% MeOH/DCM) to yield 130 mg of the desired product (97% yield) as a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.03 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 6.61 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 5.46 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.25 (s, 1H), 4.01 – 3.93 (m, 2H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.66 – 3.52

(m, 3H), 3.34 (td, J = 14.6, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 2.89 (d, J = 16.8 Hz, 1H), 2.85 (s, 3H), 2.67 (dd, J = 13.5, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 2.37 – 2.29 (m, 1H), 2.14 – 2.04 (m, 1H), 1.54 (dd, J = 7.1, 2.4 Hz, 3H), 1.48 (dd, J = 15.3, 4.4 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  170.80, 150.77, 143.42, 139.03, 124.79, 121.45, 117.07, 116.85, 111.66, 111.31, 102.19, 74.66, 57.81, 53.99, 53.47, 53.27, 52.75, 50.21, 39.55, 29.57, 27.96, 26.60, 13.29. HRMS calculated for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 392.1970 (found); 392.1974 (calcd).

*Pseudoakuammigine (2).* In an oven-dried round bottom flask under nitrogen, **9** (200 mg, 0.3891 mmol) was dissolved in 3 mL of dry DMF. To the solution at room temperature was added TEA (1.08 mL, 7.7821 mmol), formic acid (0.74 mL, 1.945 mmol), and dpppPd(II)Cl<sub>2</sub> (46 mg, 0.07782 mmol). Following the additions, the temperature of the reaction was increased to 80C and allowed to stir under N<sub>2</sub> for 4 hours. Upon completion, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and diluted with 300 mL H<sub>2</sub>O. The solution was extracted with 50 mL EtOAc 3X. The combined organic layers were washed with 30 mL H<sub>2</sub>O 2X and 30 mL bring 1X. The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with 0–20 % methanol/dichloromethane to afford 97 mg of 7 (69% yield) as a white solid whose <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were identical to **2** isolated from *P. nitida*.<sup>18</sup>

*10-cyanopseudoakuammigine (10)*. In an oven-dried round bottom flask under nitrogen, **9** (200.0 mg, 0.3891 mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL anhydrous DMF at room temperature. To the solution was added anhydrous TEA (5.3 mL, 40 mmol) followed by Pd(dppf)Cl<sub>2</sub> (22.9 mg, 0.0389 mmol) and zinc cyanide (23.0 mg, 0.194 mmol). The reaction was stirred at 120 °C for 24 hours. The reaction was diluted with 400 mL water and extracted with ethyl acetate (3x75 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with fresh water (150 mL) three times and 200 mL of

brine once. The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by recrystallization in ether and ethanol to afford 8.2 mg of the desired product (6 % yield) as a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.44 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (d, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 5.42 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (s, 1H), 3.96 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (d, *J* = 17.0 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.55 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.52 (s, 1H), 3.46 – 3.27 (m, 2H), 2.89 (s, 3H), 2.82 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 2.61 (dd, *J* = 12.7, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.28 (d, *J* = 12.6 Hz, 1H), 2.08 (ddd, *J* = 13.9, 3.7, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 1.53 (dd, *J* = 7.1, 2.6 Hz, 3H), 1.39 (dd, *J* = 15.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  171.77, 155.55, 141.89, 140.30, 133.29, 126.50, 120.54, 118.92, 109.83, 103.74, 102.05, 74.83, 58.52, 54.60, 54.07, 52.69, 52.38, 51.18, 41.09, 31.30, 28.81, 28.03, 13.03. HRMS calculated for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 392.1970 (found); 392.1974 (calcd).

General Procedure A: Suzuki-Miyaura Coupling. In an oven-dried round bottom flask under nitrogen, **9** or **20** (1.0 equiv) was dissolved in 3 mL anhydrous toluene and 2 mL anhydrous methanol at room temperature. To the solution was added  $K_2CO_3$  (2.0 equiv) followed by boronic acid (1.1 equiv) and Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (5 mol%). The reaction was stirred at 80 °C for until consumption of the starting material as indicated by TLC. The reaction was cooled to room temperature concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (0 - 4% MeOH/DCM) afford the desired product as a white solid.

*10-phenylpseduoakuammigine (11).* Prepared from **9** (45.0 mg, 0.0875 mmol) according to General Procedure A to yield 16.6 mg of **11** (43% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.49 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 3H), 7.35 (s, 1H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.73 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.55 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 4.41 (s, 1H), 4.09 (d, *J* = 16.3 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.75 (dd, *J* = 13.8, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.57 (s, 1H), 3.49 – 3.34 (m, 1H), 3.03 (d, J = 16.5 Hz, 1H), 2.89 (s, 3H), 2.76 (dd, J = 13.5, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 2.43 (d, J = 13.7 Hz, 1H), 2.11 (d, J = 14.2 Hz, 1H), 1.68 (dd, J = 15.6, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 1.57 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  171.75, 150.94, 141.64, 139.24, 138.08, 133.80, 128.86, 126.92, 126.75, 126.55, 121.52, 121.15, 110.58, 103.25, 74.52, 58.20, 54.27, 53.57, 52.95, 52.32, 50.44, 40.50, 29.96, 29.37, 27.48, 13.19. HRMS calculated for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>31</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 443.2330 (found); 443.2335 (calcd).

*10-(p-tolyl)-pseduoakuammigine (12).* Prepared from **9** (50.0 mg, 0.0972 mmol) according to General Procedure A to yield 34 mg of **12** (77% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.38 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 3H), 7.32 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 6.73 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.58 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (s, 1H), 4.13 (d, *J* = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.69 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (s, 1H), 3.44 (td, *J* = 15.0, 5.8 Hz, 2H), 3.10 (d, *J* = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 2.88 (s, 3H), 2.81 (dd, *J* = 13.2, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 2.48 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 2.12 (ddd, *J* = 14.5, 3.8, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 1.72 (dd, *J* = 15.7, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 1.58 (dd, *J* = 7.1, 2.4 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  171.58, 150.54, 138.88, 138.72, 136.74, 136.34, 134.00, 129.60, 126.82, 126.63, 122.01, 121.35, 110.74, 102.92, 74.49, 58.07, 54.26, 53.43, 53.23, 52.37, 50.43, 40.27, 29.84, 29.42, 27.26, 21.15, 13.23. HRMS calculated for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>33</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 457.2479 (found); 257.2491 (calcd).

10-(*p*-methoxyphenyl)-pseduoakuammigine (13). Prepared from **9** (45.0 mg, 0.0875 mmol) according to General Procedure A to yield 7.0 mg of **13** (17%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.44 – 7.36 (m, 2H), 7.34 (dt, *J* = 8.1, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (t, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.97 – 6.91 (m, 2H), 6.71 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 5.53 (q, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (s, 1H), 4.08 (d, *J* = 16.7 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 6H), 3.75 (d, *J* = 13.9 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (d, *J* = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 3.57 (s, 1H), 3.46 – 3.37 (m, 1H), 3.02 (d, *J* = 16.5 Hz, 1H), 2.87 (s, 3H), 2.78 – 2.72 (m, 1H),

2.42 (d, *J* = 14.3 Hz, 1H), 2.14 – 2.08 (m, 1H), 1.66 (dt, *J* = 15.7, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 1.57 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 171.80, 158.66, 150.47, 139.24, 138.38, 134.33, 133.50, 127.74, 126.41, 121.16, 120.95, 114.31, 110.55, 103.29, 74.47, 58.21, 55.49, 54.36, 53.57, 53.05, 52.30, 50.52, 40.52, 30.05, 29.36, 27.51, 13.18. HRMS calculated for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>33</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 473.2439 (found); 2473.2440 (calcd).

*10-(p-cyanophenyl)-pseduoakuammigine (14).* Prepared from **9** (50.0 mg, 0.0972 mmol) according to General Procedure A to yield 9.8 mg of **14** (22% yield).<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.67 – 7.55 (m, 4H), 7.42 – 7.35 (m, 2H), 6.73 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.50 – 5.42 (q, 1H), 4.23 (s, 1H), 3.98 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.93 (s, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.63 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.61 – 3.55 (m, 1H), 3.54 (s, 1H), 3.44 – 3.31 (m, 1H), 2.89 (s, 3H), 2.63 (dd, *J* = 13.4, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.33 (d, *J* = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 2.14 – 2.06 (m, 2H), 1.54 (dd, *J* = 7.0, 2.4 Hz, 3H), 1.51 (d, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  170.99, 151.51, 145.65, 138.54, 132.79, 132.24, 127.94, 127.33, 127.16, 125.18, 121.62, 119.19, 111.49, 110.24, 101.89, 74.59, 57.77, 53.81, 53.31, 52.74, 49.98, 39.62, 29.58, 27.92, 26.58, 13.36. HRMS calculated for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 468.2280 (found); 468.2287 (calcd).

10-(*p*-fluorophenyl)-pseduoakuammigine (15). Prepared from **9** (36.0 mg, 0.0760 mmol) according to General Procedure A to yield 14.1 mg of **15** (44% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.47 – 7.38 (m, 2H), 7.34 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.07 (t, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.73 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.57 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (s, 1H), 4.12 (d, J = 16.5Hz, 1H), 4.00 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.78 (dd, J = 13.6, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (d, J = 7.8Hz, 1H), 3.62 – 3.57 (bs, 1H), 3.43 (td, J = 15.0, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 3.07 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 2.88 (s, 3H), 2.79 (dd, J = 13.2, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.45 (d, J = 14.8 Hz, 1H), 2.11 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1H), 1.70 (dd, J = 15.6, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 1.58 (dd, J = 7.1, 2.4 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 171.84, 163.52, 161.08, 151.01, 139.35, 137.94, 133.18, 128.45, 128.37, 127.10, 121.98, 121.59, 115.97, 115.76, 110.92, 103.21, 74.71, 58.34, 54.42, 53.73, 53.23, 52.59, 50.57, 40.56, 35.00, 31.92, 30.04, 29.84, 29.61, 27.53, 22.99, 14.45, 13.41. HRMS calculated for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>F: [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 461.2237 (found); 461.2240 (calcd).

*10-(3'-furanyl)-pseduoakuammigine (16).* Prepared from **9** (50.0 mg, 0.0972 mmol) according to General Procedure A to yield 28.3 mg of **16** (67% yield).<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.59 (t, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (t, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.65 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.60 (t, *J* = 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.47 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (s, 1H), 4.02 (s, 1H), 3.97 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.72 – 3.61 (m, 2H), 3.53 (d, *J* = 3.3 Hz, 1H), 3.43 – 3.30 (m, 1H), 2.92 (d, *J* = 16.7 Hz, 2H), 2.85 (s, 3H), 2.65 (dd, *J* = 13.4, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 2.42 – 2.32 (m, 1H), 2.09 (ddd, *J* = 14.2, 3.7, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 1.59 (d, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 1.55 (dd, *J* = 7.1, 2.4 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  172.05, 150.90, 143.53, 139.64, 137.48, 126.95, 125.43, 124.59, 120.51, 119.82, 110.36, 109.03, 103.62, 74.47, 58.36, 54.37, 52.70, 52.18, 50.50, 40.81, 30.62, 29.84, 29.25, 27.79, 13.13. HRMS calculated for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>29</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 433.2118 (found); 433.2127 (calcd).

*General Procedure B:* In an oven-dried round bottom flask under nitrogen, **1** or **2** (1.0 equiv) was dissolved in 1:1 anhydrous dichloromethane and trifluoroacetic acid at 0 °C. Over 1 hour, a cold solution of NBS or NIS (1.1 equiv) dissolved in a 1mL 1:1 DCM/TFA was added to the solution. After the addition of NBS or NIS, the reaction was allowed to stir at 0°C for 5 hours. The reaction was cooled poured into ice water and basified with NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. The solution was washed with sodium thiosulfate and extracted DCM (3x10 mL). The organic layers were combined, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was then purified by column chromatography (0.5-10% MeOH/DCM) to yield the desired product.

*10-bromopseudoakuammigine (17).* Prepared from **2** (70 mg, 0.1913 mmol) and NBS according to general procedure B to yield 32 mg of **17** (38% yield) as a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.22 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.51 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 5.43 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (s, 1H), 3.95 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.93 (s, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.60 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.55 (dd, *J* = 13.8, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (d, *J* = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 3.30 (ddd, *J* = 15.3, 14.0, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 2.86 (d, *J* = 16.7 Hz, 1H), 2.81 (s, 3H), 2.61 (dd, *J* = 13.4, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 2.31 (d, *J* = 14.1 Hz, 1H), 2.07 (ddd, *J* = 14.1, 3.7, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 1.53 (dd, *J* = 7.1, 2.5 Hz, 3H), 1.47 (dd, *J* = 15.1, 4.3 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  171.90, 150.97, 141.32, 139.45, 130.33, 126.01, 119.16, 111.84, 111.53, 103.77, 74.51, 58.50, 54.57, 54.07, 52.82, 52.24, 50.81, 41.02, 31.02, 29.15, 27.93, 13.07. HRMS calculated for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Br: [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 445.1125 (found); 445.1127 (calcd).

*10-iodopseudoakuammigine (18).* Prepared from **2** (150 mg, 0.4098 mmol) and NIS according to general procedure B to afford 150.3 mg of **18** (75% yield) as a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.44 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.45 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 5.52 (q, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (s, 1H), 4.05 (d, *J* = 16.7 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.73 – 3.64 (m, 1H), 3.62 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.55 (s, 1H), 3.34 (td, *J* = 14.9, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 2.98 (d, *J* = 16.5 Hz, 1H), 2.81 (s, 3H), 2.72 (dd, *J* = 13.2, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 2.38 (d, *J* = 14.2 Hz, 1H), 2.08 (ddd, *J* = 14.4, 3.7, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 1.59 (d, *J* = 3.9 Hz, 1H), 1.55 (dd, *J* = 7.1, 2.5 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  171.48, 151.27, 141.11, 136.75, 131.57, 121.25, 112.68, 102.88, 81.89, 74.53, 58.20, 54.22, 53.72, 52.87, 52.39, 50.44, 40.51, 29.21, 27.41, 22.82, 14.25, 13.16. HRMS calculated for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>I: [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 493.0987 (found); 493.0988 (calcd).

*11-bromoakuammine* (**19**). Prepared from **1** (30.0 mg, 0.0785 mmol) and NBS according to general procedure B to afford 11.9 mg of **19** (34% yield) as a white solid.<sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ

6.84 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 6.65 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 5.59 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (s, 1H), 4.14 (d, J = 16.5 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.73 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (s, 1H), 3.35 (td, J = 15.1, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 3.15 – 3.08 (m, 1H), 3.08 (s, 3H), 2.83 – 2.78 (m, 1H), 2.45 (d, J = 14.9 Hz, 1H), 2.11 – 2.06 (m, 1H), 1.56 (dd, J = 7.2, 2.6 Hz, 4H).<sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  171.12, 151.65, 142.01, 141.44, 125.28, 119.80, 110.71, 110.12, 105.40, 73.72, 57.96, 53.85, 53.07, 52.58, 49.79, 40.00, 32.62, 29.85, 28.72, 26.99, 14.27, 13.28. HRMS calculated for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Br: [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 461.1078 (found); 461.1076 (calcd).

*11-iodoakuammine* (**20**). Prepared from **2** (86.0 mg, 0.225 mmol) and NIS according to general procedure B afford 20.1 mg of **19** (22% yield) as a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.12 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 5.59 (q, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (s, 1H), 4.16 (d, *J* = 16.6 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (s, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.72 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (s, 1H), 3.35 (td, *J* = 15.3, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.14 (d, *J* = 15.3 Hz, 1H), 3.06 (s, 3H), 2.83 (d, *J* = 12.5 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (d, *J* = 14.8 Hz, 1H), 2.08 (d, *J* = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 1.58 (s, 1H), 1.55 (dd, *J* = 7.1, 2.5 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  171.02, 151.35, 144.90, 141.35, 128.74, 126.48, 114.39, 111.35, 103.10, 75.09, 73.69, 57.81, 53.84, 53.42, 52.63, 52.36, 49.80, 39.91, 33.48, 29.85, 26.86, 13.30. HRMS calculated for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>I: [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 509.0938 (found); 509.0937 (calcd).

*11-phenyl-akuammine (21).* Prepared from **20** (30.0 mg, 0.0590 mmol) according to General Procedure A to yield 14.9 mg of **21** (55% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.35 (d, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 4H), 7.30 (m, 1H), 6.64 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.50 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 5.45 (q, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 4.13 (s, 1H), 4.00 (s, 1H), 3.94 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (d *J* = 4.3 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.75 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.48 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 3.40 – 3.28 (m, 1H), 2.92 (d, *J* = 16.7 Hz, 1H), 2.68 (dd, *J* = 13.2, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.33 (s, 1H), 2.28 (s, 3H), 2.08 – 1.99 (m, 1H), 1.63 – 1.54 (m, 1H), 1.54 – 1.47 (m, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  170.78, 150.80, 141.19, 139.61, 139.13, 130.60,

129.18, 128.33, 127.62, 125.45, 117.36, 109.99, 109.51, 102.45, 73.55, 57.55, 53.61, 53.45, 52.75, 52.55, 49.43, 39.21, 33.07, 27.40, 26.35, 13.36. HRMS calculated for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>31</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 459.2273 (found); 459.2284 (calcd).

*11-(p-tolyl)-akuammine (22).* Prepared from **20** (30.0 mg, 0.0590 mmol) according to General Procedure A to yield 17.3 mg of **22** (62% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.21 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.16 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 6.64 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.55 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 5.58 (q, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (s, 1H), 4.16 (d, *J* = 16.5 Hz, 1H), 4.09 – 3.98 (m, 1H), 3.96 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.78 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (s, 1H), 3.46 – 3.32 (m, 1H), 3.13 (d, *J* = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 2.42 (d, *J* = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 2.04 (dd, *J* = 14.7, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 1.72 (dd, *J* = 15.6, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 1.57 (dd, *J* = 7.1, 2.3 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  170.98, 150.81, 141.32, 139.81, 137.35, 136.33, 129.09, 124.74, 117.33, 109.37, 102.75, 73.54, 57.67, 53.73, 53.43, 52.70, 52.64, 49.57, 39.42, 33.01, 27.80, 26.55, 21.38, 13.36. HRMS calculated for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>33</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 473.2438 (found); 473.2440 (calcd).

*11-(p-methoxyphenyl)-akuammine (23).* Prepared from **20** (30.0 mg, 0.0590 mmol) according to General Procedure A to yield 10.6 mg of **23** (62% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.27 (s, 2H), 6.89 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 6.61 (s, 1H), 6.50 (s, 1H), 5.47 (q, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (s, 1H), 4.01 (d, *J* = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.76 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 3.50 – 3.48 (m, 1H), 3.35 (s, 1H), 2.94 (d, *J* = 16.8 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (bs, 1H), 2.34 (s, 1H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.03 (d, *J* = 13.2 Hz, 1H), 1.57 (s, 1H), 1.54 (dd, *J* = 7.1, 2.4 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  171.93, 158.81, 150.45, 142.23, 141.42, 132.37, 130.21, 127.87, 120.03, 116.58, 114.28, 113.49, 111.73, 109.49, 73.46, 58.34, 55.41, 54.32, 53.27, 52.88, 52.26, 50.22, 40.61, 32.83, 31.74, 27.68, 13.15. HRMS calculated for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>33</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 489.2389 (found); 489.2389 (calcd).

*11-(p-cyanophenyl)-akuammine (24).* Prepared from **20** (39.5 mg, 0.0778 mmol) according to General Procedure A to yield 14.4 mg of **24** (38% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.66 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H),7.47 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 6.72 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 5.56 (q, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (s, 1H), 4.09 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.92 (s, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.75 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (s, 1H), 3.40 (ddd, J = 21.7, 13.8, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.06 (d, J = 17.2 Hz, 1H), 2.82 (dd, J = 13.2, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.38 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.28 (s, 3H), 2.05 (dt, J = 14.1, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 1.69 – 1.60 (m, 1H), 1.56 (dd, J = 7.2, 2.3 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  171.34, 151.48, 144.77, 141.66, 141.19, 132.01, 126.20, 122.67, 118.91, 116.38, 111.01, 103.58, 73.52, 57.98, 53.91, 53.16, 53.00, 52.57, 49.86, 39.94, 33.58, 29.08, 27.07, 13.26.HRMS calculated for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 484.2235 (found); 484.2236 (calcd).

11-(*p*-fluorophenyl)-akuammine (**25**). Prepared from **20** (44 mg, 0.0868 mmol) according to General Procedure A to yield 18.8 mg of **25** (46% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.32 (s, 2H), 7.08 – 7.02 (m, 2H), 6.64 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.48 (d, *J* = 2.9 Hz, 1H), 5.46 (q, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (s, 1H), 3.98 (d, *J* = 19.2 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.83 – 3.77 (m, 1H), 3.74 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.48 (s, 1H), 3.38 – 3.30 (m, 1H), 2.91 (d, *J* = 16.9 Hz, 1H), 2.67 (d, *J* = 13.9 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (s, 1H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 2.04 (dd, *J* = 14.7, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 1.57 (d, *J* = 14.0 Hz, 1H), 1.53 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  171.99, 162.94, 161.30, 150.23, 142.52, 141.80, 136.03, 131.00, 126.84, 119.61, 116.39, 115.03, 110.01, 104.63, 73.50, 58.43, 54.39, 53.38, 52.85, 52.25, 50.31, 40.73, 32.96, 30.73, 27.79, 13.13. HRMS calculated for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>F: [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 477.2190 (found); 477.2190 (calcd).

11-(3'-furanyl)-akuammine (26). Prepared from 20 (80.0 mg, 0.158 mmol) according to General Procedure A to yield 8.0 mg of 26 (11% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.42 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 2H), 6.58 (dd, J = 44.2, 2.5 Hz, 2H), 6.47 (s, 1H), 5.56 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (s, 1H), 4.12 (d, J = 44.2, 2.5 Hz, 2H), 6.47 (s, 1H), 5.56 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (s, 1H), 4.12 (d, J = 44.2, 2.5 Hz, 2H), 6.47 (s, 1H), 5.56 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (s, 1H), 4.12 (d, J = 3.2 Hz).

J = 16.5 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.76 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (s, 1H), 3.40 (dd, J = 15.2, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.09 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 2.81 (dd, J = 13.2, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (s, 3H), 2.42 (d, J = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 2.06 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 1H), 1.64 (dd, J = 15.9, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 1.56 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  171.32, 150.42, 142.66, 142.28, 140.19, 123.69, 119.14, 117.04, 112.47, 110.03, 73.51, 57.95, 53.92, 53.11, 52.82, 52.48, 49.83, 39.93, 32.39, 28.81, 27.02, 13.23. HRMS calculated for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>29</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 449.2070 (found); 449.2076 (calcd).

16-hydroxymethyl pseudoakuammigine (28). In an oven dried round bottom flask under nitrogen 5 mL of anhydrous THF was added. At 0C, LAH (11 mg, 2 equiv) was added to the reaction flask. A solution of 2 (50 mg, 1 equiv) in 2 mL of anhydrous THF was added dropwise to the reaction at 0 °C. The reaction was stirred for 3 hours at room temperature. LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (11 mg, 2 equiv) was added at 0 °C. After 1 hour the reaction was halted over ice by the dropwise addition of 300 uL H<sub>2</sub>O, 300 uL 15% NaOH, and 1 mL H<sub>2</sub>O. 3 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O was added to the reaction and the solution was filtered over celite. The filtrate was extracted with 30 mL EtOAc three times. The organic layers were combined, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified via column chromatography (0-5% MeOH/DCM) to yield 13 mg (67% yield) of the desired product. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.13 (td, J = 7.7, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.75 (td, J = 7.5, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 5.42 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, J = 7.1 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (s, 1H), 4.13 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (d, J = 16.9 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (d, J = 7.3Hz, 1H), 3.60 (dd, J = 13.5, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 3.46 (s, 1H), 2.85 (s, 3H), 2.82 -2.76 (m, 2H), 2.56 (dd, J = 13.5, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.28 -2.18 (m, 1H), 2.04 (ddd, J = 14.1, 3.8, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 1.81 (dd, J = 7.1, 2.4 Hz, 3H), 1.22 (dd, J = 14.2, 4.3 Hz, 1H).<sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 152.03, 144.09, 139.40, 127.48, 123.49, 119.77, 117.54, 110.22, 103.48, 61.82, 56.42, 55.07, 54.74, 53.18, 50.85, 36.30, 30.57, 29.86, 29.20, 28.17, 13.43.HRMS calculated for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 339.2069 (found); 339.2073 (calcd).

*Desacetyl-akuammiline (29).* In a round bottom flask, **5** (115 mg, 0.2919 mmol) was solubilized in 10 mL of MeOH at room temperature. To the solution was added 8.2 mL of a 10% w/v KOH solution (50 eqiv). After 2 hours, the MeOH was removed by rotary evaporation. The resultant solution was diluted with 10 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O and extracted with 20 mL DCM 3X. The organic layers were combined, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was then purified by column chromatography (0-5% MeOH/DCM) to yield 103 mg the desired product (99% yield) whose spectra were consistent with the literature.<sup>59</sup>

*General Procedure C: Reductive Alkylation.* In an oven-dried round bottom flask under nitrogen, **29** (1.0 equiv) was dissolved in 5 mL anhydrous dichloromethane. The solution was treated with trifluoroacetic acid (9.0 equiv), triethylsilane (30 equiv), and appropriate dimethyl acetal (10 equiv). The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 18 hours. The solution was neutralized with saturated sodium bicarbonate and extracted DCM (3x20 mL). The organic layers were combined, dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude product was purified via column chromatography (2% MeOH/DCM) to yield the desired product.

*N-1-ethyl psuedoakuammigine* (**31**). Prepared from **29** (40 mg, 0.1135 mmol) according to General Procedure C and acetaldehyde dimethyl acetal to yield 13 mg of **31** (30%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.17 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.78 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.71 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.68 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (s, 1H), 4.28 (d, *J* = 15.9 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.71 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (s, 1H), 3.53 – 3.39 (m, 2H), 3.28 (s, 1H), 3.24 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 2.95 (dd, *J* = 12.7, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 2.59 (d, *J* = 14.9 Hz, 1H), 2.13 (d, *J* = 14.8 Hz, 1H), 1.83 – 1.74 (m, 1H), 1.61 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 4H), 1.31 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, 1H), 2.13 (d, *J* = 7.1 Mz).

CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  170.82, 149.41, 136.93, 128.68, 128.42, 125.18, 122.50, 120.54, 110.21, 101.48, 74.50, 57.16, 53.63, 52.45, 49.78, 39.25, 38.55, 29.70, 27.42, 26.30, 22.69, 14.71, 13.23. HRMS calculated for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>29</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 381.2172 (found); 381.2178 (calcd).

*N*-*1*-*benzyl psuedoakuammigine* (**32**). Prepared from **29** (40 mg, 0.1135 mmol) according to General Procedure C and benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal to yield 32 mg of **32** (64%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.42 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.30 – 7.21 (m, 1H), 7.10 (dd, *J* = 7.5, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (td, *J* = 7.7, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 6.75 (td, *J* = 7.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.49 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 5.43 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 4.68 (d, *J* = 16.1 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (dt, *J* = 3.5, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (d, *J* = 16.2 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (d, *J* = 17.7 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (dd, *J* = 13.9, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.67 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (d, *J* = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 3.46 – 3.32 (m, 1H), 2.86 (dd, *J* = 16.8 Hz, 1H), 2.66 (dd, *J* = 13.6, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 2.37 (ddd, *J* = 14.1, 4.3, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 2.08 (ddd, *J* = 14.0, 3.7, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 1.58 (d, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 1.54 (dd, *J* = 7.0, 2.4 Hz, 3H) <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  172.24, 152.02, 142.17, 139.42, 128.75, 127.52, 127.41, 127.09, 122.53, 120.63, 118.56, 111.37, 104.50, 74.56, 58.71, 54.74, 53.91, 53.60, 52.08, 51.03, 49.62, 41.04, 31.47, 28.28, 13.09. HRMS calculated for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>31</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 443.2332 (found); 443.2335 (calcd).

*N-1-phenethyl psuedoakuammigine (33).* Prepared from **29** (30 mg, 0.0851 mmol) according to General Procedure C and phenylacetaldehyde dimethyl acetal to yield 25 mg mg of **33** (63%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.35 – 7.27 (m, 4H), 7.25 – 7.17 (m, 1H), 7.16 – 7.06 (m, 2H), 6.75 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.68 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 5.50 (q, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (s, 1H), 4.07 (d, *J* = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.72 – 3.55 (m, 3H), 3.53 (d, *J* = 3.4 Hz, 1H), 3.43 – 3.29 (m, 2H), 3.09 – 2.93 (m, 3H), 2.69 (dd, *J* = 13.4, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 2.11 (d, *J* = 14.2 Hz, 1H), 1.55 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 172.27, 150.75, 141.84, 139.86, 128.83,

128.70, 127.55, 126.40, 122.70, 120.45, 119.79, 118.72, 109.38, 103.93, 74.57, 58.39, 54.67, 53.79, 53.53, 52.06, 50.98, 46.06, 41.00, 36.28, 31.20, 28.13, 13.08. HRMS calculated for  $C_{29}H_{33}N_2O_3$ : [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 457.2486 (found); 457.2491 (calcd).

# In Vitro Pharmacology

Drugs. Forskolin and DMSO were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, United States).(2S)-2-[[2-[[(2R)-2-[[(2S)-2-Amino-3-(4-

hydroxyphenyl)propanoyl]amino]propanoyl]amino]acetyl]-methylamino]-N-(2hydroxyethyl)- 3phenylpropanamide (DAMGO), and 2-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-Nmethyl-N-[(1R,2R)-2-pyrrolidin-1-ylcyclohexyl] acetamide (U50,488) were purchased from Tocris Bioscience (Bio-Techne Corporation, Minneapolis, MN, United States). [<sup>3</sup>H]DAMGO (53.7 Ci/mmol, lot#2376538; 51.7 Ci/mmol, lot#2815607), [3H]U69,593 (60 Ci/mmol, lot#2367921 and lot#2644168; 49.2 Ci/mmol, lot#2791786), were purchased from PerkinElmer (Waltham, MA, United States).

*Competitive radioligand binding*. Competitive radioligand binding experiments with full doseresponse curves were completed as previously described<sup>18,60</sup> using [<sup>3</sup>H]-DAMGO and [<sup>3</sup>H]-U69,593 at  $\mu$ OR and  $\kappa$ OR, respectively, with one exception. Instead of incubating the reaction mix for 90 minutes, an incubation time of 180 minutes was used to capture slower binding kinetics of some of the analogs. The same competitive radioligand binding method was used for the binding screens except instead of full dose-response curves, single concentrations of 10  $\mu$ M and 1  $\mu$ M were used. In these experiments, the single concentration points were run in duplicate and a full-dose response curve of a positive control was included in each assay for data normalization purposes (DAMGO for  $\mu$ OR, U50,488 for  $\kappa$ OR). Binding screen composites are made up of three individual replicates. *GloSensor cAMP inhibition assay.* GloSensor assays were completed as previously described using HEK cells transiently expressing pGloSensor22F, and either HA-mouse  $\mu$ OR or FLAGmouse  $\kappa$ OR.<sup>18,61</sup> For assays shown in Figure 2E, DMSO was added to the buffer for positive controls to control for any solvent-related effects.

*PathHunter* β-Arrestin-2 assay. β-Arrestin-2 recruitment assays were completed as previously described using PathHunter cell lines stably expressing  $\mu$ OR and β-arrestin-2.<sup>18, 61</sup>

TRUPATH assay. The TRUPATH assay was performed as previously described, using DAMGO as a positive control.<sup>40</sup> Cells were plated either in 6-well dishes at a density of 700,000-800,000 cells per well, or 10 cm dishes at 7–8 million cells per dish. Cells were transfected 2–4 h later, using a 1:1:1:1 DNA ratio of receptor: $G\alpha$ -RLuc8: $G\beta$ : $G\gamma$ -GFP2 (100 ng per construct for 6well dishes, 750 ng per construct for 10 cm dishes), except for the Gy-GFP2 screen, where an ethanol coprecipitated mixture of  $G\beta 1$ –4 was used at twice its normal ratio (1:1:2:1). Transit 2020 (Mirus Biosciences) was used to complex the DNA at a ratio of 3 µl Transit per µg DNA, in OptiMEM (Gibco-ThermoFisher) at a concentration of 10 ng DNA per µl OptiMEM. The next day, cells were harvested from the plate using Versene (0.1 M PBS + 0.5 mM EDTA, pH 7.4) and plated in polyD-lysine-coated white, clear-bottom 96-well assay plates (Greiner Bio-One) at a density of 30,000-50,000 cells per well. One day after plating in 96-well assay plates, white backings (PerkinElmer) were applied to the plate bottoms, and growth medium was carefully aspirated and replaced immediately with 60 µl of assay buffer (1× Hank's balanced salt solution (HBSS) + 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4), followed by a 10  $\mu$ l addition of freshly prepared 50  $\mu$ M coelenterazine 400a (Nanolight Technologies). After a 5 min equilibration period, cells were treated with 30 µl of drug for an additional 5 min. Plates were then read in an LB940 Mithras plate reader (Berthold Technologies) with 395 nm (RLuc8-coelenterazine 400a) and 510 nm (GFP2)

emission filters, at integration times of 1 s per well. Plates were read serially six times, and measurements from the sixth read were used in all analyses. BRET2 ratios were computed as the ratio of the GFP2 emission to RLuc8 emission.

*Statistics.* Cellular pharmacological data was analyzed using GraphPad 9 (GraphPad Prism software, La Jolla, CA, United States) and is shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM. For binding, GloSensor and PathHunter assays, composite figures consist of a curve averaged from a minimum of three independent assays that were each normalized to a positive control.

# Animal Studies.

*Animals*. C57BL/6 mice (20-25 g, mixed sexes, Jackson laboratory) were used in the study. The mice were housed in a 14/10-hour light/dark cycle (5:00 AM on/7:00 PM off) with access to food and water *ad libitum*. All animal experiments were performed in accordance with the National Institutes of Health's Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals after getting approval from the University of Illinois Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

*Tail-Flick Assay.* To determine basal nociception and antinociception, tail-flick test was performed.<sup>43, 62</sup> Distal one-third of the mouse tail was immersed in a water bath (VWR Model 1130S) that was maintained at 52°C. The latency of the mouse to a rapid tail-flick response was recorded. Antinociceptive effects of morphine (10 mg/kg s.c) and different doses of 33 (mg/kg s.c) were evaluated at 15, 30, 60, 120, 180 and 240 min after drug administration. The antinociceptive effect was expressed as percentage of maximal possible effect (MPE) and the cut-off time was set at 12 seconds to prevent damage to the tail.

*Hot-Plate Assay.* Hot-plate test was performed to test basal nociception and antinociception.<sup>42</sup> Mice were placed in a glass cylinder on a heated plate (Ugo Basil Hot/Cold Plate 35100) maintained at  $55 \pm 0.1$ °C. The latency to hind-paw licking, flinching, withdrawal or jumping was

recorded as a response. Antinociceptive effects of morphine (10 mg/kg s.c) and different doses of **33** (mg/kg s.c) were evaluated at 15, 30, 60, 120, 180 and 240 min after drug administration. Compound **33** was formulated using 5.4:6.5:0.1 ratio of DMSO, PBS, and 20% aqueous tartaric acid. The antinociceptive effect was expressed as percentage of maximal possible effect (MPE). Cut-off time was set at 45 seconds to prevent damage to the paws.

*Rotarod Assay.* The locomotor activity of the mice after the treatment with **33** was tested using the rotarod test.<sup>44</sup> On Day 1, mice were placed on the rotarod (Model series 8, IITC Life Science, Woodland Hills, CA) and trained on a fixed speed (4 rpm) for 60 s. The training session from Day 1 was repeated on the second day and the mice that failed to stay on the rotarod for 60 s were excluded from further studies. On test day (Day 3), the mice were placed on an accelerating rotarod (4 - 40 rpm over 300 seconds) and the latencies to fall were recorded 15 min after the administration of 33 (50, 65, 80 mg/kg s.c).

*Statistics*. All data are presented as Mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. MPE% = 100% \*(postdrug latency - predrug latency) / (cutoff - predrug latency). Comparisons between groups were analyzed using a one-way analysis of variance followed by *post hoc* analyses using Dunnett's t test (multiple groups). For comparison between groups for antinociceptive effect at different time points a two-way repeated measure analysis of variance followed by *post hoc* analyses using Dunnett's t test (multiple groups) was used. Statistical significance was established at 95% confidence limit.

**Molecular Docking.** The crystal structure of the  $\mu$ OR bound to the agonist BU72 (PDB: 5C1M) was imported and prepared using the Protein Preparation module in Schrödinger Maestro (version 12.9.137). Compounds **19** and **33** were imported as SMILES and lowest energy conformations generated using the LigPrep module. The Induced Fit Docking Module was used to generate possible posses with the extended sampling protocol and without any constraints. The docking

pose with the highest docking score for each ligand was exported to PyMOL for visualization and figure generation.

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **Supporting Information**

The following files are available free of charge. Additional supplementary figures (S1-3) <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra for compounds **7-33**.

# AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

\*Andrew P. Riley – Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Pharmacy, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60612, United States. Email: apriley@uic.edu

#### **Author Contributions**

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript. <sup>‡</sup>These authors contributed equally.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Clinical and Translational Sciences KL2 training program (KL2TR002002) and NIH Grant R35GM147005. M.R.H was supported by the NIH T32 Training Grant T32AT007533. This research was supported by funds awarded to AG by the American Foundation of Pharmaceutical Education in the form of a pre-doctoral fellowship; to RvR by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (AA025368, and AA026949) and the National Institute on Drug Abuse (DA045897) of the National Institutes of Health

#### REFERNCES

Volkow, N. D.; Collins, F. S. The Role of Science in Addressing the Opioid Crisis. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2017, 377, 391-394.

2. Vardanyan, R. S.; Hruby, V. J. Fentanyl-related compounds and derivatives: current status and future prospects for pharmaceutical applications. *Future medicinal chemistry* **2014**, *6*, 385-412.

3. Pasternak, G. W.; Pan, Y. X. Mu opioids and their receptors: evolution of a concept. *Pharmacol. Rev.* **2013**, *65*, 1257-1317.

4. Varga, B. R.; Streicher, J. M.; Majumdar, S. Strategies towards safer opioid analgesics-A review of old and upcoming targets. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **2021**, .

5. Paul, A. K.; Smith, C. M.; Rahmatullah, M.; Nissapatorn, V.; Wilairatana, P.; Spetea, M.; Gueven, N.; Dietis, N. Opioid Analgesia and Opioid-Induced Adverse Effects: A Review. *Pharmaceuticals* **2021**, *14*, .

 Madariaga-Mazón, A.; Marmolejo-Valencia, A. F.; Li, Y.; Toll, L.; Houghten, R. A.; Martinez-Mayorga, K. Mu-Opioid receptor biased ligands: A safer and painless discovery of analgesics? *Drug Discov. Today* 2017, *22*, 1719-1729.

7. Günther, T.; Dasgupta, P.; Mann, A.; Miess, E.; Kliewer, A.; Fritzwanker, S.; Steinborn, R.; Schulz, S. Targeting multiple opioid receptors - improved analgesics with reduced side effects? *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 2018, *175*, 2857-2868.

Chakraborty, S.; DiBerto, J. F.; Faouzi, A.; Bernhard, S. M.; Gutridge, A. M.; Ramsey, S.; Zhou, Y.;
 Provasi, D.; Nuthikattu, N.; Jilakara, R.; Nelson, M. N. F.; Asher, W. B.; Eans, S. O.; Wilson, L. L.;
 Chintala, S. M.; Filizola, M.; van Rijn, R. M.; Margolis, E. B.; Roth, B. L.; McLaughlin, J. P.; Che, T.;
 Sames, D.; Javitch, J. A.; Majumdar, S. A Novel Mitragynine Analog with Low-Efficacy Mu Opioid

Receptor Agonism Displays Antinociception with Attenuated Adverse Effects. J. Med. Chem. 2021, 64, 13873-13892.

9. Virk, M. S.; Arttamangkul, S.; Birdsong, W. T.; Williams, J. T. Buprenorphine is a weak partial agonist that inhibits opioid receptor desensitization. *J. Neurosci.* **2009**, *29*, 7341-7348.

10. Gillis, A.; Gondin, A. B.; Kliewer, A.; Sanchez, J.; Lim, H. D.; Alamein, C.; Manandhar, P.; Santiago, M.; Fritzwanker, S.; Schmiedel, F.; Katte, T. A.; Reekie, T.; Grimsey, N. L.; Kassiou, M.; Kellam, B.; Krasel, C.; Halls, M. L.; Connor, M.; Lane, J. R.; Schulz, S.; Christie, M. J.; Canals, M. Low intrinsic efficacy for G protein activation can explain the improved side effect profiles of new opioid agonists. *Sci. Signal.* 2020, *13*, eaaz3140. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.aaz3140.

11. Vanderah, T. W. Delta and kappa opioid receptors as suitable drug targets for pain. *Clin. J. Pain* 2010, 26 *Suppl 10*, 10.

Tidgewell, K.; Harding, W. W.; Lozama, A.; Cobb, H.; Shah, K.; Kannan, P.; Dersch, C. M.; Parrish,
 D.; Deschamps, J. R.; Rothman, R. B.; Prisinzano, T. E. Synthesis of Salvinorin A Analogues as Opioid
 Receptor Probes. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2006, *69*, 914-918.

13. Bhowmik, S.; Galeta, J.; Havel, V.; Nelson, M.; Faouzi, A.; Bechand, B.; Ansonoff, M.; Fiala, T.; Hunkele, A.; Kruegel, A. C.; Pintar, J. E.; Majumdar, S.; Javitch, J. A.; Sames, D. Site selective C–H functionalization of Mitragyna alkaloids reveals a molecular switch for tuning opioid receptor signaling efficacy. *Nature Communications* **2021**, *12*, 3858.

14. Chakraborty, S.; Majumdar, S. Natural Products for the Treatment of Pain: Chemistry and Pharmacology of Salvinorin A, Mitragynine, and Collybolide. *Biochemistry* **2021**, *60*, 1381-1400.

15. Váradi, A.; Marrone, G. F.; Palmer, T. C.; Narayan, A.; Szabó, M. R.; Le Rouzic, V.; Grinnell, S. G.; Subrath, J. J.; Warner, E.; Kalra, S.; Hunkele, A.; Pagirsky, J.; Eans, S. O.; Medina, J. M.; Xu, J.; Pan, Y.;

Borics, A.; Pasternak, G. W.; McLaughlin, J. P.; Majumdar, S. Mitragynine/Corynantheidine
Pseudoindoxyls As Opioid Analgesics with Mu Agonism and Delta Antagonism, Which Do Not Recruit
β-Arrestin-2. J. Med. Chem. 2016, 59, 8381-8397.

16. Menzies, J. R.; Paterson, S. J.; Duwiejua, M.; Corbett, A. D. Opioid activity of alkaloids extracted from Picralima nitida (fam. Apocynaceae). *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **1998**, *350*, 101-108.

17. Toce, M. S.; Chai, P. R.; Burns, M. M.; Boyer, E. W. Pharmacologic Treatment of Opioid Use Disorder: a Review of Pharmacotherapy, Adjuncts, and Toxicity. *Journal of medical toxicology : official journal of the American College of Medical Toxicology* **2018**, *14*, 306-322.

Creed, S. M.; Gutridge, A. M.; Argade, M. D.; Hennessy, M. R.; Friesen, J. B.; Pauli, G. F.; van Rijn,
 R. M.; Riley, A. P. Isolation and Pharmacological Characterization of Six Opioidergic Picralima nitida
 Alkaloids. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2021, *84*, 71-80.

19. Duwiejua, M.; Woode, E.; Obiri, D. D. Pseudo-akuammigine, an alkaloid from Picralima nitida seeds, has anti-inflammatory and analgesic actions in rats. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **2002**, *81*, 73-79.

20. van 't Erve, T. J.; Rautiainen, R. H.; Robertson, L. W.; Luthe, G. Trimethylsilyldiazomethane: a safe non-explosive, cost effective and less-toxic reagent for phenol derivatization in GC applications. *Environ. Int.* **2010**, *36*, 835-842.

21. Gill, D.; Hester, A. J.; Lloyd-Jones, G. On the preparation of ortho-trifluoromethyl phenyl triflate. *Organic & biomolecular chemistry; Org Biomol Chem* **2004**, *2*, 2547-2548.

Montesinos-Magraner, M.; Vila, C.; Rendón-Patiño, A.; Blay, G.; Fernández, I.; Muñoz, M. C.;
 Pedro, J. R. Organocatalytic Enantioselective Friedel–Crafts Aminoalkylation of Indoles in the
 Carbocyclic Ring. ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 2689-2693.

23. Zhu, S.; Yu, Y.; Li, S.; Wang, L.; Zhou, Q. Enantioselective Hydrogenation of α-Substituted Acrylic Acids Catalyzed by Iridium Complexes with Chiral Spiro Aminophosphine Ligands. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 8872-8875.

24. Guo, S.; Liu, Y.; Zhao, L.; Zhang, X.; Fan, X. Rhodium-Catalyzed Selective Oxidative
(Spiro)annulation of 2-Arylindoles by Using Benzoquinone as a C2 or C1 Synthon. *Org. Lett.* 2019, *21*, 6437-6441.

25. McCamley, K.; Ripper, J. A.; Singer, R. D.; Scammells, P. J. Efficient N-Demethylation of Opiate Alkaloids Using a Modified Nonclassical Polonovski Reaction. *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 9847-9850.

26. Glotz, G.; Kappe, C. O.; Cantillo, D. Electrochemical N-Demethylation of 14-Hydroxy Morphinans: Sustainable Access to Opioid Antagonists. *Org. Lett.* **2020**, *22*, 6891-6896.

27. Kok, G. B.; Pye, C. C.; Singer, R. D.; Scammells, P. J. Two-Step Iron(0)-Mediated N-Demethylation of N-Methyl Alkaloids. *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 4806-4811.

28. Abdel-Monem, M.; Portoghese, P. S. N-Demethylation of morphine and structurally related compounds with chloroformate esters. *J. Med. Chem.* **1972**, *15*, 208-210.

29. Zhang, X.; Kakde, B.; Guo, R.; Yadav, S.; Gu, Y.; Li, A. Total Syntheses of Echitamine,
Akuammiline, Rhazicine, and Pseudoakuammigine. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* 2019, *58*, 6053–6058.

30. Popp, T.; Bracher, F. N-Methylation of Aromatic Amines and N-Heterocycles under Acidic Conditions with the TTT (1,3,5-Trioxane–Triethylsilane–
Trifluoroacetic Acid) System. *Synthesis* 2015, *47*, 3333-3338.

31. Righi, M.; Bedini, A.; Piersanti, G.; Romagnoli, F.; Spadoni, G. Direct, One-Pot Reductive Alkylation of Anilines with Functionalized Acetals Mediated by Triethylsilane and TFA. Straightforward Route for Unsymmetrically Substituted Ethylenediamine. *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, *76*, 704-707.

32. Park, E. S.; Lee, J. H.; Kim, S. J.; Yoon, C. M. One-Pot Reductive Amination of Acetals with Aromatic Amines Using Decaborane (B10H14) in Methanol. *null* **2003**, *33*, 3387-3396.

33. Dong, J.; Yu, L.; Xie, J. A Simple and Versatile Method for the Formation of Acetals/Ketals Using Trace Conventional Acids. *ACS Omega* **2018**, *3*, 4974-4985.

34. Wang, F. I.; Ding, G.; Ng, G. S.; Dixon, S. J.; Chidiac, P. Luciferase-based GloSensor<sup>™</sup> cAMP assay: Temperature optimization and application to cell-based kinetic studies. *Methods* **2022**, *203*, 249-258.

35. Mores, K. L.; Cassell, R. J.; van Rijn, R. M. Arrestin recruitment and signaling by G protein-coupled receptor heteromers. *Neuropharmacology* **2019**, *152*, 15-21.

36. Raehal, K. M.; Bohn, L. M. The role of beta-arrestin2 in the severity of antinociceptive tolerance and physical dependence induced by different opioid pain therapeutics. *Neuropharmacology* **2011**, *60*, 58-65.

37. Schmid, C. L.; Kennedy, N. M.; Ross, N. C.; Lovell, K. M.; Yue, Z.; Morgenweck, J.; Cameron, M.
D.; Bannister, T. D.; Bohn, L. M. Bias Factor and Therapeutic Window Correlate to Predict Safer Opioid Analgesics. *Cell* 2017, *171*, 1165-1175.e13.

38. Crowley, R. S.; Riley, A. P.; Alder, A. F.; Anderson, R. J.,3rd; Luo, D.; Kaska, S.; Maynez, P.;
Kivell, B. M.; Prisinzano, T. E. Synthetic Studies of Neoclerodane Diterpenes from Salvia divinorum:
Design, Synthesis, and Evaluation of Analogues with Improved Potency and G-protein Activation Bias at
the μ-Opioid Receptor. *ACS Chem. Neurosci.* 2020, *11*, 1781-1790.

39. Crowley, R. S.; Riley, A. P.; Sherwood, A. M.; Groer, C. E.; Shivaperumal, N.; Biscaia, M.; Paton,
K.; Schneider, S.; Provasi, D.; Kivell, B. M.; Filizola, M.; Prisinzano, T. E. Synthetic Studies of
Neoclerodane Diterpenes from Salvia divinorum: Identification of a Potent and Centrally Acting μ Opioid
Analgesic with Reduced Abuse Liability. *J. Med. Chem.* 2016, *59*, 11027-11038.

40. Olsen, R. H. J.; DiBerto, J. F.; English, J. G.; Glaudin, A. M.; Krumm, B. E.; Slocum, S. T.; Che, T.; Gavin, A. C.; McCorvy, J. D.; Roth, B. L.; Strachan, R. T. TRUPATH, an open-source biosensor platform for interrogating the GPCR transducerome. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2020**, *16*, 841-849.

41. Chakraborty, S.; DiBerto, J. F.; Faouzi, A.; Bernhard, S. M.; Gutridge, A. M.; Ramsey, S.; Zhou, Y.; Provasi, D.; Nuthikattu, N.; Jilakara, R.; Nelson, M. N. F.; Asher, W. B.; Eans, S. O.; Wilson, L. L.; Chintala, S. M.; Filizola, M.; van Rijn, R. M.; Margolis, E. B.; Roth, B. L.; McLaughlin, J. P.; Che, T.; Sames, D.; Javitch, J. A.; Majumdar, S. A Novel Mitragynine Analog with Low-Efficacy Mu Opioid Receptor Agonism Displays Antinociception with Attenuated Adverse Effects. *J. Med. Chem.* 2021, *64*, 13873-13892.

42. He, Y.; Chen, Y.; Tian, X.; Yang, C.; Lu, J.; Xiao, C.; DeSimone, J.; Wilkie, D. J.; Molokie, R. E.; Wang, Z. J. CaMKIIα underlies spontaneous and evoked pain behaviors in Berkeley sickle cell transgenic mice. *Pain* **2016**, *157*, 2798-2806.

43. Tang, L.; Shukla, P. K.; Wang, L. X.; Wang, Z. J. Reversal of Morphine Antinociceptive Tolerance and Dependence by the Acute Supraspinal Inhibition of Ca<sup>2+</sup>/Calmodulin-Dependent Protein Kinase II. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **2006**, *317*, 901.

44. He, Y.; Wilkie, D. J.; Nazari, J.; Wang, R.; Messing, R. O.; DeSimone, J.; Molokie, R. E.; Wang, Z. J.
PKCδ-targeted intervention relieves chronic pain in a murine sickle cell disease model. *J. Clin. Invest.*2016, *126*, 3053-3057.

45. Stone, L. S.; German, J. P.; Kitto, K. F.; Fairbanks, C. A.; Wilcox, G. L. Morphine and Clonidine Combination Therapy Improves Therapeutic Window in Mice: Synergy in Antinociceptive but Not in Sedative or Cardiovascular Effects. *PLOS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e109903.

46. Hou, T.; Xu, F.; Peng, X.; Zhou, H.; Zhang, X.; Qiu, M.; Wang, J.; Liu, Y.; Liang, X. Label-free cell phenotypic study of opioid receptors and discovery of novel mu opioid ligands from natural products. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **2021**, *270*, 113872.

47. Prisinzano, T. E. Natural Products as Tools for Neuroscience: Discovery and Development of Novel Agents to Treat Drug Abuse. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2009**, *72*, 581-587.

48. Pasternak, G. W.; Pan, Y. Mu Opioids and Their Receptors: Evolution of a Concept. *Pharmacol. Rev.*2013, 65, 1257-1317.

49. Kruegel, A. C.; Grundmann, O. The medicinal chemistry and neuropharmacology of kratom: A preliminary discussion of a promising medicinal plant and analysis of its potential for abuse. *Neuropharmacology* **2018**, *134*, 108-120.

50. Ellis, C. R.; Racz, R.; Kruhlak, N. L.; Kim, M. T.; Zakharov, A. V.; Southall, N.; Hawkins, E. G.; Burkhart, K.; Strauss, D. G.; Stavitskaya, L. Evaluating kratom alkaloids using PHASE. *PLOS ONE* **2020**, *15*, e0229646.

51. Vo, Q. N.; Mahinthichaichan, P.; Shen, J.; Ellis, C. R. How μ-opioid receptor recognizes fentanyl. *Nature Communications* **2021**, *12*, 984.

52. Huang, W.; Manglik, A.; Venkatakrishnan, A. J.; Laeremans, T.; Feinberg, E. N.; Sanborn, A. L.; Kato, H. E.; Livingston, K. E.; Thorsen, T. S.; Kling, R. C.; Granier, S.; Gmeiner, P.; Husbands, S. M.; Traynor, J. R.; Weis, W. I.; Steyaert, J.; Dror, R. O.; Kobilka, B. K. Structural insights into μ-opioid receptor activation. *Nature* **2015**, *524*, 315-321. 53. Manglik, A.; Kruse, A. C.; Kobilka, T. S.; Thian, F. S.; Mathiesen, J. M.; Sunahara, R. K.; Pardo, L.; Weis, W. I.; Kobilka, B. K.; Granier, S. Crystal structure of the μ-opioid receptor bound to a morphinan antagonist. *Nature* **2012**, *485*, 321-326.

54. Cong, X.; Campomanes, P.; Kless, A.; Schapitz, I.; Wagener, M.; Koch, T.; Carloni, P. Structural Determinants for the Binding of Morphinan Agonists to the μ-Opioid Receptor. *PLOS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0135998.

55. Huang, W.; Manglik, A.; Venkatakrishnan, A. J.; Laeremans, T.; Feinberg, E. N.; Sanborn, A. L.; Kato, H. E.; Livingston, K. E.; Thorsen, T. S.; Kling, R. C.; Granier, S.; Gmeiner, P.; Husbands, S. M.; Traynor, J. R.; Weis, W. I.; Steyaert, J.; Dror, R. O.; Kobilka, B. K. Structural insights into μ-opioid receptor activation. *Nature* **2015**, *524*, 315-321.

56. Kaserer, T.; Lantero, A.; Schmidhammer, H.; Spetea, M.; Schuster, D. μ Opioid receptor: novel antagonists and structural modeling. *Scientific Reports* **2016**, *6*, 21548.

57. Lipiński, P. F. J.; Jarończyk, M.; Dobrowolski, J. C.; Sadlej, J. Molecular dynamics of fentanyl bound to μ-opioid receptor. *Journal of Molecular Modeling* **2019**, *25*, 144.

58. Tian, X.; Zhang, J.; Wang, S.; Gao, H.; Sun, Y.; Liu, X.; Fu, W.; Tan, B.; Su, R. Tyrosine 7.43 is important for mu-opioid receptor downstream signaling pathways activated by fentanyl. *Frontiers in Pharmacology* **2022**, *13*, .

59. Benayad, S.; Ahamada, K.; Lewin, G.; Evanno, L.; Poupon, E. Preakuammicine: A Long-Awaited Missing Link in the Biosynthesis of Monoterpene Indole Alkaloids. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, *2016*, 1494-1499.

60. Cassell, R. J.; Mores, K. L.; Zerfas, B. L.; Mahmoud, A. H.; Lill, M. A.; Trader, D. J.; van Rijn, R. M. Rubiscolins are naturally occurring G protein-biased delta opioid receptor peptides. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol.* **2019**, *29*, 450-456.

61. Chiang, T.; Sansuk, K.; van Rijn, R. M. β-Arrestin 2 dependence of δ opioid receptor agonists is correlated with alcohol intake. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **2016**, *173*, 332-343.

62. He, Y.; Wang, Z. J. Nociceptor Beta II, Delta, and Epsilon Isoforms of PKC Differentially Mediate Paclitaxel-Induced Spontaneous and Evoked Pain. *J. Neurosci.* **2015**, *35*, 4614.



**TOC Figure**