PyrroTriPol: a Semi-rigid Trityl-Nitrooxide for High Field Dynamic Nuclear Polarization
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Magic angle spinning (MAS) dynamic nuclear polarization (DNP) has significantly broadened the scope of solid-state NMR to study biomolecular systems and materials. In recent years, the advent of very high field DNP combined with fast MAS has brought new challenges in the design of polarizing agents (PA) to enhance nuclear spin polarization. Here, we present a tritryl-nitrooxide PA family based on a piperazine linker, named PyrroTriPol, for both aqueous and organic solutions. These new radicals have similar properties to that of TEMTriPol-I and can be readily synthesized, and purified in large quantities thereby ensuring widespread application. The family relies on a rigid bridge connecting the trityl and the nitrooxide offering a better control of the electron spin-spin interactions thus providing improved performance across a broad range of magnetic fields and MAS frequencies while requiring reduced microwave power compared to bis-nitroxides. We demonstrate the efficiency of the PyrroTriPol family under a magnetic field of 9.4, 14.1 and 18.8 T with respect to TEMTriPol-I. In particular, the superiority of PyrroTriPol was demonstrated on γ-Al2O3 nanoparticles which enabled the acquisition of a high signal-to-noise surface-selective 27Al multiple-quantum MAS experiment at 18.8 T and 40 kHz MAS frequency.

1. Introduction

Over the past decade, dynamic nuclear polarization (DNP) has emerged as a powerful technique to increase the sensitivity of solid-state magic angle spinning (MAS) NMR by transferring polarization from unpaired electrons to the nuclei of the substrate of interest. 1-6 In the case of exogeneous DNP, the sample is doped with a small paramagnetic molecule, referred to as a polarizing agent (PA), and the sample irradiated with microwaves (µw) during signal acquisition. 2,4-8 Polarization transfer results in substantial increase in sensitivity, e.g. with a theoretical enhancement of ~H–660 and 13C–2600, enabling new insights into structure and function in biology 9-16 and materials. 3,5,17-20

Several DNP mechanisms have been proven to be active under MAS at high magnetic field (> 5 T), namely the solid effect (SE), the Overhauser effect (OE) and the cross effect (CE). CE is to date the most efficient mechanism in terms of returned sensitivity at high magnetic fields (> 10 T) using continuous µw irradiation. 7,8,21-25 The ideal PA for CE should consist of two coupled unpaired electrons, each of which should have a sharp-line electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectrum, separated by the Larmor frequency of the nucleus of interest. 23,26,27 However, such ideal radical pairs are currently not known. Therefore, an extended effort has been made in the last two decades to synthesize improved PAs for MAS-DNP. The quest started in 2003 with the introduction of the first broad-line bis-nitroxides by Griffin and coworkers. 7 The biradical structures were subsequently optimized and nowadays efficient PAs include AMUPol, 28 TEKPol, 29 bCTol(-M), 30,31 and more recently TinyPol 32 and (c) AsymPol-POK, 33,34 Hetero-biradicals containing overlapping narrow and broad EPR lines, such as TEMTriPol-I, 35,36 and HyTEK, 37 were introduced to overcome the drawbacks of bis-nitroxides, such as AMUPol and TEKPol, 28,29 that exhibit a decreased CE-DNP efficiency at very high field (> 10 T) and fast MAS (> 15 kHz). 35-38 These hetero-biradicals require lower µw field to saturate one of the electron spin transitions as compared to nitroxides, which possess broader EPR lines that are harder to saturate. 39,40 This results in easier creation of a polarization difference between the two electrons, hence, better CE efficiency. 23,39 There is thus a clear relevance to develop further hetero-biradicals, especially for either low power µw sources or high field and fast MAS applications.

It is worth noting that the performance drop of bis-nitroxides at high magnetic field and fast MAS, can be mitigated, in part, using structures with large enough electron-electron (e-e) spin couplings (dipolar $D_{ab}$ and $J_{ab}$ exchange interaction) and suitable relative g-tensor relative orientation. 33,40-42 This was first demonstrated with the introduction of the AsymPol biradical family, which yields short buildup times and excellent...
DNP efficiency defined as $\varepsilon_B/\sqrt{T_B}$ with $\varepsilon_B$ defined as the signal gain with µw compared to the thermal equilibrium signal (not the signal in absence of µw). These radicals can very efficiently polarize proton-dense systems, including at high fields and fast MAS. Yet, a performance drop in larger diameter MAS rotors (e.g. 3.2 mm, sapphire) is still observed above 14.1 T. In recent years, two organic radicals with relatively narrow EPR lines have been included in new biradical PAs for DNP, first the Finland trityl and second I,3-bis(diphenylene)-2-phenyllial (BDPA). For water-based applications, the trityl-nitroxide TEMTriPol-I, and the hydrophilic NATriPol derivatives have been shown to exhibit good performance at high magnetic fields. The solubility and the DNP performance were further improved by replacing the Finland trityl by its hydrophilic derivatives OX063 which led to the development of the SNAPol biradical. These PAs appear promising but are not commercially available yet. One of the limiting factors might be their relatively challenging preparation. For instance, the synthesis of TEMTriPol-I involves a difficult and time-consuming HPLC purification step, which lead to low yield in our hands. For organic solvents, HyTEK-2, a BDPA-nitroxide, has recently been reported as an efficient polarizing agent with DNP enhancement factors of up to 200 and a buildup time of 8 s using a 32 mM radical concentration in a 0.7 mm rotor at 21.1 T. A major drawback of BDPA-based biradicals is their limited solubility in aqueous solutions and apparent low persistence even in organic solvents, although new BDPA derivatives with improved properties have recently been reported. Trityl-nitroxide structures for organic solvents were also prepared and generated enhancements of ~50 using 15 mM solution, however their performance was only assessed at 9.4 T.

Here we introduce a new trityl-nitroxide family, dubbed PyrroTriPol, that can be used in aqueous and non-aqueous based media. We show that these new PAs are very efficient especially at high magnetic and fast MAS and that they also require ~2-3 times less microwave power than bis-nitroxides. The new PA family was designed with a rigid piperazine linker and a pyrroloxynyl radical. The carbon-carbon double bond from the five membered ring radical is conjugated with the carbonyl group from the linker and we demonstrate that it improves the stiffness of the linker. The main goal was to restrict the distribution of conformations that is typically encountered with flexible linkers (e.g., TEMTriPol-I), to limit the presence of too large e-e couplings, since it can lead to ineffective CE rotor events. Furthermore, this new biradical can be synthesized with high yield and is easily purified. The latter point is important since an upscale of the synthesis of such compounds can be envisioned readily, will ensure widespread application.

The MAS-DNP enhancement, buildup time, and overall sensitivity were evaluated for PyrroTriPol and PyrroTriPol-OMe at various magnetic fields (9.4, 14.1, 18.8 T), and compared with TEMTriPol-I, one of the best biradicals for aqueous solution, and a modified version (TEMTriPol-OMe), soluble in organic solvents (Figure 1). Overall, we demonstrate that these biradicals exceed the performance of TEMTriPol-I in water-based matrices and TEMTriPol-I-OMe, the organic solvent version of TEMTriPol-I, in organic solvent. As a demonstration of high performance, we report a record enhancement at 18.8 T and 40 kHz MAS frequency with PyrroTriPol-OMe, which reaches 150 with a buildup time of 3.2 s in organic solvent using a biradical concentration of only 16 mM, and 90 for γ-alumina making it one of the best performing biradicals for high field MAS-DNP of materials.

2. Results and Discussion

Bis-nitroxides versus hetero-biradicals for MAS-DNP

Bis-nitroxides have been actively developed in recent years to provide better performance than the first generation of biradicals. Some of these PAs are attractive solutions since they can also be synthesized in large scale enabling a broader access to the community. In addition, recent structures with larger e-e couplings such as, AsymPol-PkO/cAsymPol-PkO and m-TinyPol have partly mitigated the magnetic field and MAS dependency. For instance, it is worth pointing out that the Boltzmann enhancement factor $\varepsilon_B$ for the AsymPol-Pk biradicals is numerically predicted not to change significantly between 9.4, 14.1 and 18.8 T, at least not to the extent observed experimentally. Based on spin dynamics simulations performed with a fixed µw nutation field and spinning frequency, no drop is expected for AsymPol-Pk. This prediction held true when comparing 9.4 and 14.4 T experimental results obtained with the same diameter rotor (3.2 mm Saphire) for AMUPol, or AsymPol-Pk, but a clear drop of efficiency is observed when going to 18.8 T. We, and others, hypothesize that one of the reasons for the performance drop relates to the difficulty to produce large nutation frequency (> 0.1 MHz) throughout the sample volume (inside NMR rotors) at very high magnetic fields (> 18.8 T). This effect is directly related to µw absorption at submillimeter wavelength, where increased loss tangent coefficients imply reduced µw penetration depth, while increasing µw sample heating. This effect is partly mitigated with the use of smaller diameter rotors (< 3.2 mm), and this was asserted to explain the better DNP performance when using 1.3 and 0.7 mm rotors.

In the case of hetero-biradicals, such as trityl-nitroxide or BDPA-nitroxide, the PAs possess one slowly relaxing electron spin with a narrow EPR line. This explains their moderate µw power requirement to generate efficient DNP. They also have some additional built-in features: the isotropic g-values of carbon and nitroxide radicals are approximately separated by the 1H Larmor frequency, leading to a much lower or even non-existing depolarization effect. In addition, the presence of a narrow line improves the efficiency of all the rotor events, including the CE and dipolar// rotor events efficiency for a given e-e coupling, magnetic field and spinning frequency.

Design of a new trityl-nitroxide with a rigid bridge: the PyrroTriPol family

In the case of TEMTriPol-I, the presence of a broad distribution of e-e couplings was evidenced via high-field EPR at 100 K.
This broad distribution is likely due to the high flexibility of the TEMTriPol-I molecule which leads to multiple conformations, and thus a range of e-e couplings, at cryogenic temperatures. This feature was used to explain the relative robustness of the PA with respect to an increase of magnetic field, but also to highlight that a significant fraction of e-e couplings were too large to contribute to the CE mechanism, particularly at 9.4 T and even at 18.8 T. Indeed, even if sizable e-e couplings \( |D_{ab} + 2J_{ab}| \) are helpful for the CE rotor events, they should not exceed the Larmor frequency of the targeted nuclear spins. Indeed, the radicals PyrroTriPol and TEMTriPol-OMe, in their extended conformations, are nearly identical (around 14.6-14.9 Å).

Synthesis procedure

PyrroTriPol was synthesized by peptide linking of nitroxide 3 with piperazine to give 4, and subsequent coupling reaction with trityl radical 7 to form PyrroTriPol-OMe (Scheme 1). Saponification of PyrroTriPol-OMe yielded the water-soluble biradical PyrroTriPol (Scheme 1). Similarly, we prepared TEMTriPol-OMe and its methyl ester derivatives TEMTriPol-I-OMe. The synthesis started by deprotection of boc-glycine-TEMPO 1, followed by peptide coupling with trityl radical 7 to form TEMTriPol-I-OMe. Hydrolysis of the esters of TEMTriPol-I-OMe yielded TEMTriPol-I. Details regarding the synthesis can be found in the SI, but from Scheme 1, it is clear that PyrroTriPols give higher yield.

**Density Functional Theory and Molecular Dynamics calculations**

The bridge designed for PyrroTriPol was subjected to a theoretical analysis based on Density Functional Theory (DFT), followed by Molecular Dynamics (MD) calculations. These calculations were conducted to assess the conformation distribution of PyrroTriPol and the results were compared to those of TEMTriPol-I. The MD simulations were carried out in explicit glycerol/water mixture, to faithfully reproduce their behaviour, over 300 ns. The distance between the central carbon of the trityl and the middle of the NO bond of the nitroxides was measured and the corresponding histogram is reported in Error! Reference source not found.. The MD simulations predict a more rigid bridge for PyrroTriPol as compared to TEMTriPol-I.

Indeed, the distribution of the distance for TEMTriPol-I is very broad, and extends between 8 and 15 Å, with a centre of mass located at 11 Å (corresponding to \( \sim 39 \text{ MHz} \) e-e dipolar coupling). In the case of PyrroTriPol, the distance distribution of PyrroTriPol is clearly bimodal. The main “mode”, or the major conformer, corresponds to a conformation where the molecule is “extended” (see Figure S1-c). The trityl-nitroxide distance spans 13.3 to 15 Å with an average value at 13.7 Å (\( D_{ab} \sim 20 \text{ MHz} \)). The other mode represents a minor conformer where the molecule is “contracted” (see Figure S1-d), with an average trityl-nitroxide distance of 11.5 Å (\( D_{ab} \sim 35 \text{ MHz} \)).
MAS-DNP field sweep profiles and exchange distribution

To confirm the prediction, the DNP-enhanced ssNMR signal was measured as a function of the magnetic field at both 9.4 and 14.1 T, for a fixed µw frequency for both water-soluble PyrroTriPol and TEMTriPol-I [Error! Reference source not found.].

At first sight, we note that the largest enhancement is observed at a positive optimum around 9.402 and 14.099 T, for both TEMTriPol-I and PyrroTriPol. These field positions correspond to the approximate on-resonance irradiation of the trityl moiety. At the low-field side, the DNP enhancement is negative and much smaller than the positive maximum for both PyrroTriPol and TEMTriPol-I. Importantly, the MAS-DNP field profiles differ around the maximum positive enhancement: this maximum is sharp and symmetric in the case of PyrroTriPol. In the case of TEMTriPol-I, the field profile presents a shoulder next to the optimal positive enhancement, in perfect agreement with previously reported data and thereby confirming the reproducibility of this behavior.36

As detailed in our previous work,36 the relatively broad positive maximum for TEMTriPol-I implies the presence of a broad distribution of e-e couplings (dipolar, D_{a,b} and exchange, J_{a,b}). The absence of a shoulder in the PyrroTriPol case proves that the semi-rigid linker clearly mitigates the presence of these large e-e couplings. This result is fully consistent with the DFT / MD simulations that predict a narrow distribution of average dipolar couplings around 20 MHz in the case of PyrroTriPol.

To confirm these predictions, we measured the EPR spectra of TEMTriPol-I and PyrroTriPol at 240 GHz (see Figure S2). The EPR spectrum of PyrroTriPol can be fitted using the two conformers that were extracted from the MD simulations. The main component of the EPR spectrum, 80 %, arises from the “extended” conformer of PyrroTriPol (Figure S1-c) which possesses a dipolar coupling D_{a,b} ≈ 25 MHz and an exchange interaction J_{a,b} ≈ −8 MHz. The minor component relates to the contracted conformer (Figure S1-d), it represents 20 % of the signals and possesses a dipolar coupling D_{a,b} ≈ 43 MHz and an exchange interaction J_{a,b} ≈ −35 MHz.

As shown below, the presence of relatively smaller but sizeable e-e couplings in the case of PyrroTriPol ensures that all the trityl-nitroxide conformations can be saturated efficiently using a single µw irradiation frequency at the magnetic field of 9.4 T and above.

**Microwave power dependence**

![Figure 3 Experimental MAS-DNP field profiles for PyrroTriPol (red squares) and TEMTriPol-I (black circles) at 10 mM radical concentration, in d_2-glycerol/D_2O/H_2O (60:30:10; v/v/v) at magnetic fields (µw frequencies/H Larmor frequency) of (a) 9.4 T (263.67 GHz/402 MHz) and (b) 14.1 T (395.45 GHz/600 MHz).](image)

![Figure 4 Experimental µw power dependence of the normalized enhancement measured at 14.1 T, 8 kHz MAS frequency and ~100 K as a function of the µw power at the probe base for 10 mM PyrroTriPol in d_2-glycerol/D_2O/H_2O (60:30:10; % vol), (red open circle), 16 mM PyrroTriPol-O-Me in 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane (TCE) (red circles), 16 mM TEMTriPol-I-O-Me in TCE (black squares) and 16 mM TEKPol in TCE (blue diamonds). hBN was added to all the samples measured in TCE.](image)

One of the key strengths of hetero-biradicals such as tritylnitroxide or BDPA-nitroxide is in the fact that the longitudinal relaxation times, T_{1E}, of the trityl and BDPA are significantly longer than those of the nitroxides. They can be longer by one to two orders or magnitude at 100 K.63,64 When the g-tensor interaction dominates the EPR spectrum, its stochastic modulation through the Raman process is the dominant mechanism for relaxation, and since both BDPA and trityls have...
smaller g-anisotropy, i.e. smaller spin orbit coupling, their relaxation times are longer.\textsuperscript{63,65,66} The narrower linewidth also implies that the µw rotor events are more efficient when irradiating the narrow line radical.\textsuperscript{36} In addition, for a given magnetic field, MAS frequency and e-e couplings, CE and dipolar-J rotor events are favored thanks to the presence of the narrow line radical. This was used to explain the high DNP efficiency of TEMTriPol-I and the relatively low power / µw nutation frequency requirement.\textsuperscript{36}

The µw power dependency for PyrroTriPol and PyrroTriPol-OMe was measured at both 9.4 and 14.4 T using a 3.2 mm sapphire rotor. The 14.1 T instrument is equipped with a quasi-optic bench, which enables continuous variation the µw power from 0 to 12 W using a polarization grid while maintaining the gyrotron's parameters constant. The power was measured with an Ophir pyrometer 3A-P-THz and it was calibrated using a water load placed after the taper that reduces the beam from 16 to 7.6 mm.\textsuperscript{57} In this setup, the power read corresponds to the beam power propagating inside the probe’s waveguide. Error! Reference source not found. reports the normalized enhancement measured at the maximum field position, for PyrroTriPol, PyrroTriPol-OMe, TEMTriPol-I-OMe and TEKPol at 14.1 T. Each of the trityl-nitroxides have an optimal µw power of 4-6 W. In contrast, TEKPol requires more than 11 W to reach its optimum. We also note that the optimal µw power for PyrroTriPol and PyrroTriPol-OMe is lower than for TEMTriPol-I-OMe. This may be because the tritl linewidth is not broadened by the exchange interaction, slightly improving the efficiency of the µw rotor events as compared to the TEMTriPol-I-OMe.

Similar experiments were carried at 400 MHz / 263 GHz. The µw power was calibrated at the probe base (using a water load before the taper) and adjusted by varying the collector current, covering 8 to 22 W approximately. In this setup the power corresponds to what propagates into the 19 mm overmoded waveguide. The effective power at the sample is estimated to be 2 to 4 times smaller. In the case of bis-nitroxides (AMUPol/AsymPol-POK), a steady improvement is seen up to the maximum gyrotron output power, whereas less than a third of that power is already sufficient to saturate TEMTriPol-I and PyrroTriPol. At this stage, it is worth noting that 400 MHz / 263 GHz Bruker gyrotrons operating in its fundamental mode have an overmoded HE11 Gaussian beam output with >90% purity and that each waveguide connection and miter bend can further introduce mode “impurities”.\textsuperscript{68,69} These mode impurities contribute to the power measured (calorimetric detection) although they are not useful for DNP and are in part reflected by the taper. This problem is less present in the case of the second harmonic gyrotron operating at 395 GHz which produces high quality Gaussian beams\textsuperscript{58} especially combined with the quasi-optic bench. Indeed a back-to-back horn does not improve the beam purity.\textsuperscript{57}

The beam quality is improved when using Klystrons (≤S W)\textsuperscript{58,71,72} and solid-state sources (<500 mW),\textsuperscript{69,73,74} that have been recently introduced for DNP experiments at 263 GHz. As a consequence, these power-limited sources are more efficient than gyrotrons in the low power range, so it will be very interesting to test them with polarizing agents such as PyrroTriPol,\textsuperscript{68,69,71,73} For instance, simulations can predict the power dependence at 14.1 T for both PyrroTriPol-OMe and TEKPol (see figure S7 (a)). Using the same parameters, the simulations predict that a 500 mW solid-state source should be able to provide about half the sensitivity obtained with a gyrotron at 9.4 T / 263 GHz in a 3.2 mm rotor (Figure S7 (b)).

PyrroTriPol efficiency for frozen solutions at 9.4 and 14.1 T

The performance of PyrroTriPol, TEMTriPol-I, PyrroTriPol-OMe and TEMTriPol-I-OMe was assessed on frozen solutions at 9.4 and 14.1 T and 8 kHz MAS frequency. The aqueous glass-forming DNP matrix corresponds to a partially deuterated solution of D$_2$O/glycerol/D$_2$O/H$_2$O (60:30:10; v/v/v) ([$^1$H] = 11 M, binradical concentration 10 mM) containing 0.25 M U-$^{13}$C-$^{15}$N-L-proline. In the case of the organic solvent, a 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane (TCE) solution ([$^1$H] = 18 M, binradical concentration 16 mM) was used to impregnate hBN particles to improve the quality of the glass, the µw penetration and the reproducibility of the results.\textsuperscript{75} The returned sensitivity, depolarization, \( \varepsilon_{\text{Depo}} \), DNP enhancement factor, \( \varepsilon_{\text{on/off}} \) and buildup time, \( T_B \), are presented in Figure S5.

![Figure 5 Experimental relative sensitivity (red), \( \varepsilon_{\text{Depo}} \) (orange), \( T_B \) (green) and \( \varepsilon_{\text{on/off}} \) (blue) measured for 10 mM TEMTriPol-I and PyrroTriPol in d$_2$O/glycerol/D$_2$O/H$_2$O (60:30:10, %vol) containing 0.25 M U-$^{13}$C-$^{15}$N-L-proline, 16 mM TEMTriPol-I-OMe and PyrroTriPol-OMe in TCE with hBN. The MAS frequency was 8 kHz and the temperature was ~ 110 K at 9.4 T (a) and 100 K at 14.1 T (b) and the $^{13}$C signals after $^1$H was measured.][1]

The first noticeable point is that all trityl-tempo biradicals have very limited depolarization effect, with \( \varepsilon_{\text{Depo}} \approx 0.9 \) — 1 at both 9.4 T and 14.1 T. This result was expected and is in full agreement with previous work conducted on TEMTriPol-I,\textsuperscript{36} which explained the limited depolarization effect of such [1](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemscibio.1c00015)
hetero-biradicals and homo-biradicals with large g-tensor distance.\textsuperscript{36,41}

The second point is that DNP buildup times, $T_{dB}$, are similar for PyrroTriPol / TEMTriPol-I and PyrroTriPol-OMe / TEMTriPol-I-OMe respectively. The relatively fast buildups are the consequence of efficient $D/J$ rotor events, due to the presence of a narrow line radical and of sufficient e-e couplings. Although TEMTriPolS have, on average, larger e-e couplings than PyrroTriPolS, it only translates into a slightly shorter buildup time. This can, in part be explained by the fact that couplings present in PyrroTriPol are sufficient to ensure efficient $D/J$ and CE rotor events (given the presence of a narrow line radical) and that some of the couplings present in TEMTriPol-I are too large to contribute to the CE (especially at low magnetic field).

The biradicals are thus similar with regards to depolarization and buildup times, however they differ more significantly when considering the hyperpolarization performance. At 9.4 T, PyrroTriPol yields relatively high DNP enhancement factor ($\varepsilon_{\text{on/off}} \approx 80$), higher than TEMTriPol-I ($\varepsilon_{\text{on/off}} \approx 50$), in agreement with ref \textsuperscript{[36]}. The higher $\varepsilon_{\text{on/off}}$, yet similar depolarization and buildup, results in higher polarization gain that makes the resulting sensitivity is 1.6 times higher for PyrroTriPol than in the TEMTriPol-I case.

At 14.1 T, the results are less favorable to PyrroTriPol. The buildup times for PyrroTriPol and TEMTriPol-I are similar (2.1 and 1.9 s) but the enhancement for TEMTriPol-I is slightly higher, 70 vs 64. We further investigated this behavior and carried out experiments at 5 mM concentration. At lower concentration we observe that PyrroTriPol yields enhancements as large as 80 at 5 mM and ~105 at 3 mM but with longer buildup times, 4.5 s and 6.2 s respectively. This indicates that PyrroTriPol, like TEMTriPol-I,\textsuperscript{42} has a propensity to aggregate in water-based glass matrices and this was partially confirmed by EPR (see Figure S4). A PyrroTriPol derivative is currently under development to improve solubility and prevent aggregation. The performance of PyrroTriPol in organic solvent-based DNP matrix is strikingly high. At 9.4 T it returns a record $\varepsilon_{\text{on/off}} \approx 120$, significantly larger than alternate trityl-nitroxide structures previously reported,\textsuperscript{49,76} while TEMTriPol-I-OMe reaches an enhancement factor $\varepsilon_{\text{on/off}} \approx 50$. Since depolarization and buildups are nearly equivalent, this translates into an x2.4 improvement in sensitivity compared to TEMTriPol-I-OMe. At higher magnetic field of 14.1 T, shown in Figure 5, the performance contrast between the PyrroTriPol-OMe and TEMTriPol-I-OMe is even larger. PyrroTriPol-OMe yields $\varepsilon_{\text{on/off}} \approx 106$ while TEMTriPol-I-OMe stalls at 32. This means that PyrroTriPol-OMe improves the sensitivity by a factor ~2.5 at 14.1 T, relative to TEMTriPol-I-OMe. Additional experiments on PyrroTriPol-OMe and TEMTriPol-OMe were carried out using a 10 mM biradical concentration. In that case, the enhancement of PyrroTriPol-OMe is unchanged while it slightly increases for TEMTriPol-OMe from 32 to 44. In both cases, the buildup times become longer: 3.5 and 3.8 s respectively. These additional experiments indicate that TEMTriPol-OMe aggregates in TCE while PyrroTriPol-OMe remains well solubilized.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5** The performance contrast between the PyrroTriPol and TEMTriPol-I-OMe respectively. These additional experiments slightly increases for TEMTriPol-I-OMe at 14.1 T, the results are less favorable to PyrroTriPol. The buildup times for PyrroTriPol and TEMTriPol-I are similar (2.1 and 1.9 s) but the enhancement for TEMTriPol-I is slightly higher, 70 vs 64. We further investigated this behavior and carried out experiments at 5 mM concentration. At lower concentration we observe that PyrroTriPol yields enhancements as large as 80 at 5 mM and ~105 at 3 mM but with longer buildup times, 4.5 s and 6.2 s respectively. This indicates that PyrroTriPol, like TEMTriPol-I,\textsuperscript{42} has a propensity to aggregate in water-based glass matrices and this was partially confirmed by EPR (see Figure S4). A PyrroTriPol derivative is currently under development to improve solubility and prevent aggregation. The performance of PyrroTriPol in organic solvent-based DNP matrix is strikingly high. At 9.4 T it returns a record $\varepsilon_{\text{on/off}} \approx 120$, significantly larger than alternate trityl-nitroxide structures previously reported,\textsuperscript{49,76} while TEMTriPol-I-OMe reaches an enhancement factor $\varepsilon_{\text{on/off}} \approx 50$. Since depolarization and buildups are nearly equivalent, this translates into an x2.4 improvement in sensitivity compared to TEMTriPol-I-OMe. At higher magnetic field of 14.1 T, shown in Figure 5, the performance contrast between the PyrroTriPol-OMe and TEMTriPol-I-OMe is even larger. PyrroTriPol-OMe yields $\varepsilon_{\text{on/off}} \approx 106$ while TEMTriPol-I-OMe stalls at 32. This means that PyrroTriPol-OMe improves the sensitivity by a factor ~2.5 at 14.1 T, relative to TEMTriPol-I-OMe. Additional experiments on PyrroTriPol-OMe and TEMTriPol-OMe were carried out using a 10 mM biradical concentration. In that case, the enhancement of PyrroTriPol-OMe is unchanged while it slightly increases for TEMTriPol-OMe from 32 to 44. In both cases, the buildup times become longer: 3.5 and 3.8 s respectively. These additional experiments indicate that TEMTriPol-OMe aggregates in TCE while PyrroTriPol-OMe remains well solubilized.
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**Figure 6** (a) Chemical structures of DiPyrroTriPol-OMe / DiTEMTriPol-I-OMe for organic solutions, (b) experimental sensitivity, buildup time, and enhancement measured at 9.4 T and 14.1 T and 8 kHz MAS frequency, at 11 mM concentration in TCE with hBN.

As such, this first experimental dataset does not verify the previously developed hypothesis: chemically tethering two or three nitroxide to a trityl does not necessarily enhance $\varepsilon_{\text{on/off}}$ or shorten the buildup time $T_{DB}$. This seems to indicate that special conditions are required; not all biradicals made of two nitroxides and one trityl may outperform their biradical counterparts and special design may be required. We show in Figure 5 that MAS-DNP simulations\textsuperscript{26} predict that PyrroTriPol should moderately surpass DiPyrroTriPol-OMe though the reason behind is beyond the scope of this article.

**Trityl-nitroxide biradicals versus triradicals**

Thanks to the high yielding PyrroTriPol synthesis, the performance of the trityl-nitroxide biradical was also compared to a triradical, containing one trityl and two or three nitroxides. This topic was recently addressed by Li et al.\textsuperscript{77} and one of the explored hypotheses is that increasing the number of nitroxides moieties should improve the DNP performance. Since PyrroTriPol-OMe performed well in TCE, without showing signs of aggregation, we thus synthesized the triradical version, dubbed DiPyrroTriPol-OMe, which was compared to TEMTriPol-I-OMe and DiTEMTriPol-I-OMe (Figure 6a).

The performance of these triradicals at 9.4 and 14.1 T is shown in Figure 6 (b). Overall, the performance is similar or lower than their biradical counterparts for a fixed electron concentration. At 11 mM, DiPyrroTriPol-OMe performs reasonably well ($\varepsilon_{\text{on/off}} \approx 73$ and 78 at 9.4 and 14.1 T, respectively) but is less efficient that PyrroTriPol-OMe because of slower DNP build up times ($T_{DB} = 1.8$ and 3.8 s at 9.4 T and 14.1 T). In the case of DiTEMTriPol-I-OMe, the DNP performance are clearly drops with $\varepsilon_{\text{on/off}} \approx 20$ and 16 at 9.4 and 14.1 T, respectively.
MAS-DNP NMR on proton-dense molecular solids

As recently highlighted,\textsuperscript{34} it is also important to benchmark PAs on proton-dense molecular solids. To that end, we choose two microcrystalline powders, cellulose and adenosine, to further investigate the performance of PyrroTriPol and TEMTriPol PAs. In each case, the proton density is relatively high (92 and 102 M, respectively). The overall sensitivity is consistently larger for the PyrroTriPol PAs compared to the TEMTriPol PAs (Figure 7).

In the case of cellulose (Figure 7(a)), the sensitivity is ~62 s\(^{-1/2}\) for PyrroTriPol, which is x1.4 higher than for TEMTriPol-I at a biradical concentration of 10 mM, corresponding to about a factor of x2 in timesaving at 9.4 T. Similar conclusions can be drawn for adenosine (Figure 7(b)), in which the PyrroTriPol-OMe and TEMTriPol-I-OMe are tested. Improvement of about a factor of x2.2 for PyrroTriPol-OMe, translates into a timesaving of x4.8.

Overall, the sensitivity improvement yielded by PyrroTriPol is consistently larger than than for TEMTriPol-I, with a factor of x1.5 and x3 for aqueous and organic solvents, respectively. The superiority of PyrroTriPol is again more pronounced in the case of organic solutions (PyrroTriPol-OMe), which is consistent with the results obtained at lower magnetic field and lower MAS frequency.

Application of PyrroTriPol-OMe at 18.8 T and 40 kHz MAS

Over the last decade, MAS-DNP has been proved extremely relevant to study the surface of materials,\textsuperscript{75,80}–\textsuperscript{83} including aluminas.\textsuperscript{84–86} The approach often relies on polarizing the solvent protons by DNP before transferring the magnetization to near surface nuclei.\textsuperscript{80} In the case of aluminas, the approach can be used to perform primastrato NMR studies of the various aluminum sites present in the first layer.\textsuperscript{86} For quadrupolar nuclei, such as \(^{27}\)Al, there is a clear interest in performing these experiments at high magnetic field. Indeed, the second order quadrupolar broadening is inversely proportional to the magnetic field.

MAS-DNP efficiency at very high field (18.8 T) and fast MAS (40 kHz)

The MAS-DNP performance of the PyrroTriPol family was also evaluated and compared to TEMTriPol-I at high magnetic field (18.8 T) and fast MAS frequency (40 kHz) using a 1.3 mm DNP probe. Due to limited access to instrument time, the depolarization factor was not measured and only the DNP enhancement factor, buildup time and sensitivity are reported (Figure 8). The DNP buildup times (\(T_B\)) at 18.8 T are longer than at lower field and lower MAS frequency. PyrroTriPol and TEMTriPol-I yield \(T_B = 5\) s at 40 kHz and their organic solvent counterparts give \(T_B = 4.5\) s for TEMTriPol-I-OMe, and 3.2 s for PyrroTriPol-OMe. Such an increase in buildup time is expected as the CE rotor events become less efficient. This is consistent with theoretical predictions\textsuperscript{23,39,40,78} and previously reported experiments on bis-nitroxide, trityl-nitroxide and BDPA-nitroxide PAs.\textsuperscript{35–37,45,79} More interestingly, the DNP enhancements are still high for PyrroTriPol and PyrroTriPol-OMe with \(\tilde{e}_{on/off} = 100\) and 150, respectively. For comparison, TEMTriPol-I and TEMTriPol-OMe give 68 and 50, respectively.

Figure 7 Experimental sensitivity (blue), Optimal recycling delay for sensitivity, \(T_{opt}\) as defined in ref [34] (grey) measured for (a) cellulose microcrystalline powder impregnated with 10 mM TEMTriPol-I and PyrroTriPol in \(d_2\)glycerol/D\(_2\)/H\(_2\)O (60:30:10 v/v) containing 0.25 M of U-\(^{13}\)C,\(^{15}\)N-proline and for adenosine microcrystalline powder impregnated with (b) 16 mM TEMTriPol-I-OMe and PyrroTriPol-OMe. All the data were recorded at 9.4 T, 8 kHz MAS and 110 K. Chemical structures of (a) cellulose and (b) adenosine are shown above the data plots.

Figure 8 Experimental performance of 10 mM PyrroTriPol and TEMTriPol-I in \(d_2\)glycerol/D\(_2\)/H\(_2\)O (60:30:10 v/v) containing 0.25 M of U-\(^{13}\)C,\(^{15}\)N-proline and 16 mM PyrroTriPol-OMe and TEMTriPol-I-OMe in TCE, at 18.8 T, 40 kHz MAS and ~110 K. The sensitivity here was calculated as \(\tilde{e}_{on/off}/\sqrt{\tilde{T}_B}\).

Figure 9 Experimental DNP-enhanced \(^{27}\)Al CP MQMAS NMR spectrum of \(\gamma\)-alumina nano-powder impregnated with 16 mM PyrroTriPol-OMe in TCE, recorded at 18.8 T, 40 kHz MAS frequency and ~110 K, giving a DNP enhancement factor \(\tilde{e}_{on/off} \sim 90\).
Thanks to the excellent performance of PyrroTriPol-OMe in TCE, a primostrato \({}^{1}H\)^{27}Al Cross Polarization (CP) Multiple Quantum Magic Angle Spinning (MQMAS) 2D experiment\(^{87,88}\) was performed on \(\gamma\)-Alumina at 18.8 T and 40 kHz MAS using a non-aqueous impregnation. This is particularly relevant for the study of materials that are sensitive to water or air. This experiment (~14 h) is presented in Figure 9 and was made possible because of the excellent DNP enhancement factor \(\langle x_{\text{on/off}} \rangle \approx 90\) and with a buildup time of \(\approx 9\) s obtained with 16 mM PyrroTriPol-OMe in TCE. This is a powerful illustration of the DNP efficiency of the newly-developed PyrroTriPol family, opening many perspectives to study different systems containing quadrupolar nuclei, where high field and fast MAS are required.

### Conclusion and perspectives

We have introduced a new family of trityl-nitroxide PAs, dubbed the PyrroTriPol family, for both aqueous and organic solvents. This work was motivated by the need for improved PAs that can work efficiently at high magnetic field and fast MAS, soluble in either water or organic solvent compatible systems. The work is built upon the ground-breaking TEMTriPol\(^{155}\) and the fine understanding of its DNP mechanism.\(^{36}\) TEMTriPol-I was the first biradical showing near field independent enhancements, and a very good performance at 18.8 T. In addition, it requires lower \(\mu\)W power (compared to bis-nitroxide PAs), does not generate depolarization and possesses significant e-e coupling, which enables fast buildup times.\(^{36}\) However, its flexible linker yielded a distribution of large exchange interaction which are not all optimal for DNP. In addition, it was recently shown that it has the tendency to aggregate.\(^{43}\) To overcome these limitations, we developed the PyrroTriPol family, which are based on a semi-rigid piperazine linker between the trityl and the nitroxide.

This linker provides more stiffness and reduces flexibility compared to TEMTriPol-I, which in turn reduces the number of accessible conformations and conserves optimal electron-electron interactions. This latter was demonstrated using MD simulations and manifested in the field profiles of both PyrroTriPol and TEMTriPol-I at 9.4 and 14.1 T. More importantly, we demonstrate that the specific EPR properties of the trityl radical exhibit higher DNP efficiency with lower \(\mu\)W power, compared to bis-nitroxides. This is an important point since it partly mitigates the \(\mu\)W power loss encountered at high magnetic fields. As expected, the PyrroTriPol family exhibits a substantial improvement in MAS-DNP sensitivity when compared to TEMTriPol-derivatives at all applied magnetic fields and MAS frequencies. This is clearly pronounced in the case of PyrroTriPol-OMe, for which we obtain high DNP enhancement and short buildup time constants, even at 18.8 T and 40 kHz. This enables the recording of a CP MQMAS experiment at 18.8 T and 40 kHz on \(\gamma\)-Al_{2}O_{3}.

In terms of perspective, we believe that the PyrroTriPol PAs have the potential to become broadly accessible to the DNP community. Indeed, the first two members introduced in this work (PyrroTriPol and PyrroTriPol-OMe) appear stable and straightforward to synthesize and purify, as compared to TEMTriPol-I. Thus, large scale synthesis can be envisioned. Other derivatives, with improved solubility, are currently under development.

In addition, the benefits of the PyrroTriPol PAs extend beyond the high field DNP realm since the \(\mu\)W power requirement is significantly lower than with bis-nitroxide PAs. This has significant implications as recent works have explored the use of lower power sources to provide more sustainable MAS-DNP solutions at 9.4 T using either extended interaction klystrons (EKs) or solid-state sources.\(^{69,73,88}\) The combination of these low power cost-effective sources and PyrroTriPol PAs is expected to enable a broader access to DNP.
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