Pre-Synthetic Redox Gated Metal-to-Insulator Transition and Photothermoelectric Properties in Nickel Tetrathiafulvalene-Tetrathiolate Coordination Polymers

Jiaze Xie,^{#+} Jia-Ahn Pan,^{#+} Baorui Cheng,^{+§} Tengzhou Ma,[§] Alexander S. Filatov,⁺ Shrayesh N. Patel,[§] Jiwoong Park,^{+§} Dmitri V. Talapin⁺ and John S. Anderson^{*+}

[†]Department of Chemistry, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, United States

^sPritzker School of Molecular Engineering, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, United States

ABSTRACT: Photothermoelectric (PTE) materials are promising candidates for solar energy harvesting and photodetection applications, especially for near-infrared (NIR) wavelengths. Although the processability and tunability of organic materials is highly advantageous, examples of organic PTE materials are comparatively rare and their PTE performance is typically limited by poor photothermal (PT) conversion. Here we report the use of redox-active Sn complexes of tetrathiafulvalene-tetrathiolate (TTFtt) as transmetalating agents for the synthesis of presynthetically redox tuned NiTTFtt materials. Unlike the neutral material NiTTFtt, which exhibits n-type glassy-metallic conductivity, the reduced materials Li₁₂Ni₀₄[NiTTFtt] and [Li(THF)_{1.5}]_{1.2}Ni_{0.4}[NiTTFtt] (THF = tetrahydrofuran) display physical characteristics more consistent with p-type semiconductors. The broad spectral absorption and electrically conducting nature of these TTFtt-based materials enable highly efficient NIR-thermal conversion and good PTE performance. Furthermore, in contrast to conventional PTE composites, these NiTTFtt coordination polymers are notable as single-component PTE materials. The pre-synthetically tuned metal-to-insulator transition in these NiTTFtt systems directly modulates to their PT and PTE properties.

INTRODUCTION

Photoelectric energy conversion, where light is converted into electricity, underpins solar energy capture and generation.¹ The most general route is the direct capture of photons and conversion into an electrical potential through photovoltaic (PV) materials,² such as emerging perovskite cells.³ Although the efficiency of perovskite PVs has dramatically increased to more than 25% from 3.8% in the last two decades,³ there are still fundamental challenges to the efficiency ceilings of this technology. For instance, it is reported that up to 40% of the thermodynamic loss in PVs occurs due to carrier thermal relaxation.⁴ Furthermore, the spectral windows of many PVs have a maximum onset of photogeneration around 800 nm, which means that nearly the entire near-infrared (NIR) spectrum is reflected or lost as waste heat.⁵

In addition to direct PV capture of photons, an alternative route is photothermoelectric (PTE) conversion where light is converted into heat via a photothermal (PT) process, followed by a heat to voltage conversion in a thermoelectric (TE) process. The development of PTE devices or solar thermoelectric generators (STEGs) could feasibly increase the utilization of solar energy by both allowing the harvesting of waste heat as well as increasing the solar absorption window.⁶ However, the efficiency of STEGs is still limited by PT efficiency and performance.^{6a} This motivates the development of new PTE materials.

Beyond energy capture, NIR-sensitive PTE materials also have utility in applications such as photodetectors⁷ and night cameras.⁸ A recent review has summarized the progress of photodetectors based on the PTE effect, ⁹ suggesting that PTE devices can realize ultra-broadband photodetection without cooling units and external bias. Such characteristics are highly desirable in the military and civilian fields. For instance, the Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter launched by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) in 2005 contained an uncooled PTE detector to monitor the climate of Mars.⁹

Although PTE effects have now been observed in a wide variety of materials, including 2D materials such as graphene¹⁰ and $MoS_{2,}^{11}$ organic PTE materials are comparatively rare, particularly for NIR applications. Conducting organic polymers are an important branch of TE materials as they are light, flexible, and exhibit intrinsically low thermal conductivity. New TE organic materials with prominent PT effects are promising candidates for wearable PTE devices, as reported for NIR-sensitive poly(3,4-ethylenedioxyselenophene) derivatives (PEDOS) which generates an output voltage of 900 μ V in 3s under 808 nm laser irradiation (2.33 W/cm).¹² This work demonstrates the potential of organic materials in PTE applications, but this field is still under-explored. Indeed,

aside from another single report of Cu-ethylenetetrathiolate (ett) polymers from 2015,¹³ there are no other examples of organic PTE materials.

Tetrathiafulvalene (TTF)-based charge transfer salts and metal-organic-frameworks (MOFs) have recently been reported to exhibit excellent NIR PT conversions.¹⁴ One example is even able to heat up to 250 °C in 25 s under 0.4 Wcm⁻² irradiation at 808 nm.^{14d} This rapid and efficient performance suggests that TTF-based materials exhibit exceptional PT properties, but the conductivity required for PTE applications is still a limitation in this area.

Despite this issue, there have been enormous strides in realizing conductive coordination polymers (CPs) over the past decade. Conducting CPs or MOFs¹⁵ have shown promising applications in superconductors, ¹⁶ energy storage, ¹⁷ thermoelectrics, ¹⁸ and other applications. ¹⁹ Among many conductive motifs, dithiolene-based frameworks in particular exhibit significant delocalization and high conductivity due to better energy match between sulfur atoms and metal centers.²⁰ The poor thermal conduction of organic components also makes dithiolene-based CPs potential candidates for thermoelectrics. For example, in 2016, a ZT value of 0.30(3) was reported for Ni-ett chains.^{18c} In addition to thermal and electrical conductivity, square-planar bis(dithiolene) complexes are also commonly characterized with strong NIR absorptions as well as PT conversion properties. Some materials have been developed for photothermal therapy and photo-controlled drug delivery.²¹

Our laboratory has been interested in TTF-based materials, particularly those composed of tetrathiafulvalene-tetrathiolate units (TTFtt). We recently reported that neutral NiTTFtt has remarkably high conductivity and glassy-metallic character while being stable to heat, air, and acid/base (pH 0-14).²² Given the exemplary PT properties of TTF units and metal-dithiolenes in other reports, we hypothesized that these materials could be good candidates for PTE applications. Furthermore, we rationalized that modulating the redox-state of these materials via presynthetic redox changes on the TTFtt linker precursors might enable tuning of their PT or TE properties, and hence would reveal a new strategy for designing PTE properties in new materials. Here we demonstrate that this strategy of pre-synthetic redox manipulation,²³ using either neutral TTFtt(SnBu₂)₂ or dicationic [TTFtt(SnBu₂)₂][BAr^F₄]₂ (BAr^F₄ = tetrakis(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)borate, Bu = n-Butyl) as transmetalating precursors, enables control over the redox state of NiTTFtt CPs. In addition to the previously reported neutral NiTTFtt material (NiTTFtt), using TTFtt(SnBu₂)₂ leads to reduced NiTTFtt²⁻ chains, with Li^+ and $Li(THF)_{x^+}$ (THF = tetrahydrofuran) as counter ions, in the materials Li1.2Ni0.4[NiTTFtt] (Li-NiTTFtt) and [Li(THF)_{1.5}]_{1.2}Ni_{0.4}[NiTTFtt] (LiTHF-NiTTFtt), respectively.



Scheme 1. Synthesis of Li-NiTTFtt, LiTHF-NiTTFtt, and NiTTFtt via pre-synthetic redox control. The synthetic strategy was developed in a previous molecular study (top, Ref. 23).

Interestingly, unlike n-type metallic NiTTFtt, physical characterization suggests these reduced polymers are typical p-type semiconductors. The broad spectral absorption and electrically conducting nature of these TTFtt-based materials enable highly efficient NIR-thermal conversion and good PTE performance. Furthermore, tuning of the PTE performance can be achieved by changing the redox states of the TTFtt ligands in these materials. Unlike conventional STEGs made of several different materials combined in a composite, the materials presented here are single-component PTE candidates. Such single-component materials are desirable for ease of device fabrication and durability of performance. The NiTTFtt systems reported here represent the best single-component organic PTE materials for both p-type and n-type applications. The tunable PT, TE, conductivity, and carrier properties of this system demonstrate that using synthetic strategies to rationally change the redox states of coordination polymers is a powerful route for generating new PTE candidates and other functional materials.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis and Redox Control

NiTTFtt is synthesized by transmetallation of $[TTFtt(SnBu_2)_2][BAr^{F_4}]_2$ with excess $[TEA]_2[NiCl_4]$ (TEA = tetraethylammonium). To investigate the formation of alternative redox isomers of this material, the neutral linker precursor TTFtt(SnBu₂)₂ was reacted with in situ formed Li2[NiCl4] in THF resulting in new coordination polymers with anionic NiTTFtt²⁻ chains (Scheme 1). The counterions for these chains depend on the workup of the material and are either Li(THF)x⁺ in the as-synthesized material LiTHF-NiTTFtt or Li⁺ in the more-thoroughly dried material Li-NiTTFtt. The different redoxstates of the TTF linkers in these materials is immediately apparent in their air-stability. Unlike NiTTFtt, Li-NiTTFtt and LiTHF-NiTTFtt are extremely sensitive to air or chemical oxidants. As such, the characterization of these materials was carried out under anaerobic conditions.

We initially turned to characterizing the composition and formulae of these materials by a suite of techniques including X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) spectroscopy, Inductively Coupled Plasma-Optical Emission Spectroscopy /Mass Spectrometry (ICP-OES/MS), and X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) (see SI). All analyses suggest a Ni:S ratio of 1:5.7 (1.4:8) and a Li:Ni ratio of 0.9:1 (1.2:1.4) in LiTHF-NiTTFtt and Li-NiTTFtt, which gives a formula of Li_{1.2}Ni_{1.4}C₆S₈ with only trace Sn (0.03:1 Sn:Ni) which we assign to chain ends (Table S1). This empirical formula suggests Ni ions are not only incorporated into the backbone of the material but are also serving as counter cations, as has been observed in other Ni-bisdithiolene polymers.^{18a} Multiple chemical environments for Ni are also supported by the fact that Ni-K edge X-ray Absorption



Figure 1. Ni K-edge XANES spectra of LiTHF-NiTTFtt (blue), Li-NiTTFtt (green) and NiTTFtt (red).



Figure 2. Raman spectra of LiTHF-NiTTFtt (blue), Li-NiTTFtt (green) and NiTTFtt (red).

Near Edge Structure (XANES) spectra of Li-NiTTFtt and LiTHF-NiTTFtt (Figure 1) are different in shape/structure from that of NiTT-Ftt, albeit with identical K-edge positions. Fits of the extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) of Li-NiTTFtt with a simple Ni bisdithiolene model suggest Ni-S bond lengths of 2.161(6) Å, which are very similar to the Ni-S bonds in NiTTFtt of 2.177(9) Å (Table S3). The similarity of these distances supports similar structures and Ni(II) oxidation states for both materials indicating that the redox differences between these two materials are TTFtt-based. However, we note that the presence of the additional Ni(II) countercations, whose positions are unclear and have not been modeled, is a convoluting factor in interpreting structural parameters from XAS. Nevertheless, accounting for Ni(II) countercations verifies the presence of reduced chains with an overall 2charge, indicating that a formally neutral TTF core is maintained in the final products. Combustion analysis (Table S2) further confirms the formula assignments of [Li1.2Ni0.4]NiTTFtt for Li-NiTTFtt and [(LiTHF_{1.5})_{1.2}Ni_{0.4}]NiTTFtt for LiTHF-NiTTFtt.

In addition to the composition analysis, we also attempted to interrogate redox differences spectroscopically. While XPS characterization of the S 2p peaks to assign redox states is ambiguous due to multiple sulfur chemical sites (Figure S2), we did observe a Raman band for **NiTTFtt** at around 1100 cm⁻¹, corresponding to ν_{CS} modes (Figure 2, red). This



Figure 3. Solid-state cyclic voltammograms of LiTHF-NiTTFtt. Conditions: 0.1 M LiPF₆/MeCN at 10 mV/s scan rate. The CV plot of molecular $[(Nidppe)_2TTFtt][BArF_4]$ is reproduced from ref. 23.

feature shifts to 950 cm⁻¹ in the case of the reduced congener Li-NiTT-Ftt (Figure 2, green). Similar shifts to lower energy have been observed in TTF[Ni(dmit)₂]₂ (dmit = 1,3-dithiole-2-thione-4,5-dithiolate) systems with the general interpretation that higher frequencies indicate more positive charge character on the organic fragment.²⁴ This supports the conclusion from XAS of ligand based redox-changes. While the Raman spectrum of LiTHF-NiTTFtt is convoluted by overlapping THF vibrational modes, an absorption at 950 cm⁻¹ is apparent in infrared spectra of both Li-NiTTFtt and LiTHF-NiTTFtt (Figure 5) but absent in that of NiTTFtt, which supports similar oxidation states in the two reduced materials.

We then performed solid-state cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements on LiTHF-NiTTFtt to explore its redox-properties (Figure 3, blue). Similar to the CV plot of [(Nidppe)₂TTFtt][BAr^F] (Figure 3, black),²³ two quasi-reversible oxidation processes for LiTHF-NiTTFtt at -0.89 V and -0.78 V vs. Fc⁺/Fc are assigned as TTF-based redox events. The irreversible wave at 0.70 V vs Fc+/Fc is tentatively attributed to a Ni^{II}/Ni^{III} oxidation although we cannot exclude the possibility that these features arise from the dithiolene moieties.²³ Regardless, all observed redox potentials are shifted cathodically compared to the molecular analogue (TTF/TTF \cdot ⁺/TTF²⁺, -0.58 and -0.11 V; Ni^{II}/Ni^{III}, 0.79 V). The exact reason for this shift is not immediately apparent but may be due to differences in packing and negative charge delocalization. Regardless, these negative potentials provide a possible rationale for the empirical formulas of early reports of NiTTFtt materials which indicate partial oxidation.²⁵ Indeed, we noticed that in our hands the use of typically redoxneutral solvents such as methanol (as widely used in the literature) in Li-NiTTFtt syntheses resulted in oxidized materials. This observation underscores the importance of using rigorously anaerobic and anhydrous conditions to access different redox congeners of dithiolene based materials in a reliable manner.

Redox Induced Crossing of the Metal-to-Insulator Transition

Once we had established the overall composition of these materials, we then turned to examine their electrical properties. Compared to **NiTT**-**Ftt**, which is highly conductive $(4.7(3) \times 10^2 \text{ S/cm}, \text{ four-probe, room temperature pressed pellets}), the room temperature conductivities of$ **Li-NiTTFtt**(10(1) S/cm, four-probe; 1.7(8) S/cm, two-probe) and**LiTHF-NiTTFtt**(1.2(9) ×10⁻⁵ S/cm, two-probe) are much lower. Additionally, the Seebeck coefficients of**Li-NiTTFtt**and**LiTHF**-







Figure 5. Physical characterization supporting a metal-to-insulator transition via redox control. (A) A summary of each species' physical properties. (B) Ultraviolet photoelectron spectra. (C) Plots of variable-temperature resistance. (D) 3D Mott Variable-Range Hopping Models and linear fits. (E) IR transmittance spectra (baselined) as Nujol mulls. Blue: LiTHF-NiTTFtt; Green: Li-NiTTFtt; Red: NiTTFtt.

NiTTFtt are positive at +10.0(6) and +31.5(4) uV/K, respectively, which is notably different than the value of -3.6(1) uV/K found in NiTTFtt (Figure 5A). The sign of the Seebeck coefficients and the measured conductivity suggest that the reduced NiTTFtt²⁻ materials are p-type semiconductors. The differing metal/semiconductor character of these redox congeners is also supported by Ultraviolet Photoelectron Spectroscopy (UPS) analysis where the work function cut off at about 0.5 eV in Li-NiTTFtt and LiTHF-NiTTFtt is notably distinct from NiTTFtt (Figure 5B).

To further study the charge transport mechanism of these materials we carried out variable-temperature (VT) resistance measurements on Li-NiTTFtt and LiTHF-NiTTFtt. As shown in Figure 5C, the resistance of both Li-NiTTFtt and LiTHF-NiTTFtt materials increase exponentially as the temperature decreases, in contrast to the resistance curve of NiTTFtt which is almost flat. Arrhenius fits above 200 K provide activation energies of Li-NiTTFtt and LiTHF-NiTTFtt of ~0.18 eV and ~0.23 eV, respectively. Due to their amorphous nature, the temperaturedependent conductivity behaviors deviate from the Arrhenius law at low temperature, especially for Li-NiTTFtt (Figure S12). Therefore, 3D Mott Variable-Range Hopping (3D VRH) models were applied as shown in Figure 5D. We recently reported a characteristic temperature, T₀, value extracted from this 3D VRH analysis on a NiTTFtt pellet which is extremely small, 13 K. Such a value is consistent with a metallic glass $(T_0 1-10^4 \text{ K})^{26}$ but not with a semiconductor. In contrast, the obtained T₀ values for Li-NiTTFtt and LiTHF-NiTTFtt are 5.1×10⁶ K and 1.1×10⁹ K, respectively, which are typical for amorphous semiconductors.²⁶ The larger T₀ value of LiTHF-NiTTFtt implies a lower density of states at the Fermi level as compared to Li-NiTTFtt. Taken together with the larger Seebeck coefficient of LiTHF-NiTTFtt, these results may suggest that larger counterions between chains increase hopping barriers and lower carrier concentrations in these materials.



Figure 4. UV-vis-NIR diffuse reflectance spectra of LiTHF-NiTTFtt (blue), Li-NiTTFtt (green) and NiTTFtt (red) using KCl as a non-absorbing matrix.



Figure 6. Photo-thermal conversion of **NiTTFtt** (red) and **Li-NiTTFtt** (green). (A) Temperature with different NIR laser power densities (808 nm) of a **NiTTFtt** pellet. (B) Reproducibility tests on a **NiTTFtt** pellet at 1.5 Wcm⁻² (ten heating-cooling cycles). (C) Linear relationships between temperature and irradiation power densities. (D) Temperature with different NIR laser power densities (808 nm) of a **Li-NiTTFtt** pellet. (E) Reproducibility tests on a **Li-NiTTFtt** pellet at 0.30 Wcm⁻² (ten heating-cooling cycles). (F) Comparison of PT parameters between NiTTFtt materials and other reported organic PT materials. Note: experiments on **Li-NiTTFtt** were performed in a N₂-filled glovebox with the same setup as that of **NiTTFtt**. ¹The absorption of **Li-NiTTFtt** is assumed to be identical to **NiTTFtt** for efficiency estimates due to their similar NIR absorption;²Ref. 12; ³Ref.14a.

Semiconducting molecular materials commonly exhibit long-wavelength absorptions in their IR spectra due to the small HOMO-LUMO gaps of delocalized π -systems.²⁷ In the case of these NiTTFtt²⁻ chains, we also found a strong and broad absorption around 3200 cm⁻¹ in the IR spectra of both **Li-NiTTFtt** and **LiTHF-NiTTFtt** (Figure 5E). The energy of this feature indicates a π - π * bandgap of about 0.4 eV. Notably, this absorption band is absent in **NiTTFtt** which also supports a metallic transition upon oxidation. Similar trends have been observed in TTFbased organic metals.^{27, 28} Hence, this phenomenon is further support of a metal-to-insulator transition upon reduction of the NiTTFtt chains.

In summary, all physical characterization supports that these reduced polymers are p-type semiconductors with bandgaps of ~0.2-0.4 eV, in contrast to the oxidized material **NiTTFtt** which is metallic. Furthermore, we observe that the large counterion seemingly plays an important role in hopping transport between neighboring chains, as **LiTHF-NiTTFtt** exhibits significantly lower conductivity.

NIR Photo-Thermal Conversion

Despite different charge transport mechanisms, diffuse reflectance spectra demonstrate that all three materials have broad absorptions over the visible and NIR regions (Figure 4). These broad absorptions suggest that these materials may effectively capture light, and particularly lowenergy light, to convert it into thermal energy.

Since LiTHF-NiTTFtt becomes desolvated with sufficient heating, only Li-NiTTFtt and NiTTFtt were tested for NIR photothermal behavior. Before testing PT conversion, the thermal stabilities of Li-NiTT-Ftt and NiTTFtt were examined by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA, Figure S13) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC, Figure S14). Both materials are stable up to at least 150 °C under appropriate testing conditions (Li-NiTTFtt under N₂ gas and NiTTFtt in air). Encouraged by this stability, we recorded the temperature of pressed pellets under 808 nm laser irradiation using an IR thermal camera. As shown in Figure 6A, NiTTFtt shows a linear temperature increase to values as high as 120 °C in 20 s when scanning the light power density from 0.50 to 2.0 W/cm². Similar behavior is observed with Li-NiTTFtt pellets with a maximum of 118 °C in 30 s at a much lower power density of 0.40 W/cm² (Figure 6D). Linear fits reveal a temperature rise per 0.1 W/cm² radiation of 4.4 K and 23 K for NiTTFtt and Li-NiTTFtt respectively (Figure 6C). The performance of these materials, particularly with regard to the magnitude and speed of their PT response, are excellent: the performance of Li-NiTTFtt is among the best reported for TTF-based materials.¹⁴ Samples maintained their performance even after exposure to 10 laser on/off cycles (1.5 Wcm⁻² for NiTTFtt and 0.30 Wcm⁻² for Li-NiTTFtt, Figure 6B and E).

To assess the efficiency of the light-to-heat conversion, the PT efficiency, η_{PT} , was determined with a modified Roper's model (via a cooling process),²⁹ from the following equation:

$$\eta_{\rm PT} = \frac{hS\Delta T_{\rm max}}{I(1-10^{-A_{\rm 808}})} = \frac{\sum_{i} m_i C_{p_i} \Delta T_{\rm max}}{\tau I(1-10^{-A_{\rm 808}})} \ge \frac{mC_p \Delta T_{\rm max}}{\tau I(1-10^{-A_{\rm 808}})}$$

Where I is the laser power; A_{808} is the absorbance of the materials at the wavelength of 808 nm; h is the heat transfer coefficient; S is the surface area of the system; m_i and $C_{p,1}$ are the mass and heat capacity of the system components, respectively; τ is the cooling time coefficient; ΔT_{max} is the temperature difference between the maximum and the ambient temperature.

Since the samples were laid on a white thermally-insulated foam, which displayed no detectable temperature change during the experiments, we assume the heat was only maintained in the pellet at thermal equilibrium. We determined the materials' specific heats by DSC (Figure S15 and S16), revealing values of 0.87(1) Jg⁻¹K⁻¹ for **NiTTFtt** and 0.86(4) Jg⁻¹K⁻¹ for **Li-NiTTFtt**. The value of τ was determined by fitting the decay behavior of the temperature after the laser was turned off (Figure S18) which provided values of 7.2(1) s and 8.2(2) s for **NiTTFtt** and **Li**-



Figure 7. NIR-Seebeck voltage production from pellets of **NiTTFtt** (red) and **Li-NiTTFtt** (green). (A) Diagram of the photo-thermal voltage measurements. (B) Seebeck voltage generated from a **NiTTFtt** pellet with various NIR laser intensities. (C) Cycling tests on **NiTTFtt** with a NIR laser intensity of 1.5 Wcm⁻² at a switching time of 15 s. (D) Linear relationships between Seebeck voltages and irradiation power densities. (E) Seebeck voltage generated from a **Li-NiTTFtt** pellet with various NIR laser intensities. (F) Cycling tests on **Li-NiTTFtt** pellet with various NIR laser intensities. (F) Cycling tests on **Li-NiTTFtt** pellet with various NIR laser intensities. (F) Cycling tests on **Li-NiTTFtt** pellet with various NIR laser intensities. (F) Cycling tests on **Li-NiTTFtt** with a NIR laser intensity of 0.30 Wcm⁻² at a switching time of 20 s. Note: experiments on **Li-NiTTFtt** were performed under the same conditions as the above PT experiments.

NiTTFtt, respectively. The absorbance of **NiTTFtt** at 808 nm was determined from its specular reflectance spectrum (6 % reflectance vs Al). Due to air-sensitivity, we were unable to collect the specular reflectance spectrum of **Li-NiTTFtt**. However, as both **NiTTFtt** and **Li-NiTTFtt** have strong absorptions in the NIR region, the A₈₀₈ of **Li-NiTTFtt** here was assumed to be the same as that of **NiTTFtt**. With all these parameters, the PT efficiencies of **NiTTFtt** and **Li-NiTTFtt** are estimated as 71 % and 94 %, which are higher than the best reported organic PT materials (71 %) and even higher than many inorganic materials such as Au nanoparticles and quantum dots.³⁰

These results demonstrate that both **NiTTFtt** and **Li-NiTTFtt** possess 1) broad absorption spectra stretching into the NIR; 2) a high temperature rise per unit power; 3) excellent stability and reproducibility; 4) remarkable PT conversion efficiency. These features, combined with the electrical conductivity of these material, are promising for PTE conversion, and thus we then investigated these materials in that context.

NIR-Seebeck Voltage

The PTE performance was studied by measuring the Seebeck voltage of pressed pellets under different NIR irradiation powers using a homemade setup (Figure S19 and S20). Two diametrical ends of a circular pellet were contacted with gold or silver paste while one (hot) end was irradiated with a laser (Figure 7A). The temperatures of the cold and hot ends were monitored with an IR thermal camera. As shown in Figure 7D, the temperature rise and the generated voltage were linearly dependent on the NIR laser power for both **Li-NiTTFtt** and **NiTTFtt** while the sign of the voltage was reversed as expected due to the different charge carriers present in the two materials. It is noteworthy that the PTE responses for both materials, which are about 12s for **Li-NiTTFtt** and 1.5 s for **NiTTFtt** (Figure 7B and E), reach equilibrium much faster than their PT effects. The substrate represents a significant cooling pathway which plays an important role in the PTE responsivity and response time. The response time can be dramatically improved with a moderate sacrifice in responsivity by replacing the substrate with other materials with low thermal conductivity, a strategy which has been widely adopted in thin film-based PTE detectors.^{9, 31} Therefore, the response time of both **Li-NiTTFtt** and **NiTTFtt** can be further improved as needed via simple modifications of the sample holder. Finally, we note that the calculated values of the Seebeck coefficients from PTE experiments (Figure S21), ~ -2.9 μ VK⁻¹ for **NiTTFtt** and 9.1 μ VK⁻¹ for **Li-NiTTFtt**, are consistent with the values measured within a Peltier setup at room temperature (-3.6(1) μ VK⁻¹ and 10.0(6) μ VK⁻¹, respectively).

Optimized polyselenophene films exhibited a temperature rise of ~15 Kcm²/W under 808 nm laser irradiation with η_{PT} = 42.5 %. Correspondingly, the previously reported Seebeck voltage is about 420 μ Vcm²/W.¹² Under the same conditions, the produced voltage of **Li-NiTTFtt** is 1700 μ Vcm²/W. Previously reported Cu-ett materials have PTE voltages that are also significantly smaller at ~360 μ Vcm²/W.¹³ While this work was the first example of a single-component organic PTE material with a record PT efficiency for NIR light, the PT and PTE performances of the p-type **Li-NiTTFtt** material substantially exceed these pioneering organic materials. On the other hand, **NiTTFtt** is the first n-type organic PTE material to be reported to our knowledge.

Importantly, both **NiTTFtt** and **Li-NiTTFtt** pellets show reproducible PTE effects; the light driven voltage was stable over multiple cycles of NIR switching (Figure 7C and F). Considering that the temperature change here is over 60 °C, in contrast to a much smaller 9 °C change in PEDOS films,¹² this stability is notable, particularly for **NiTTFtt** which exhibited this stability in air.

We note that the source of the PTE efficiency in these NiTTFtt materials is different from conventional PTE materials that typically possess high Seebeck coefficients but low PT conversion. The TE properties of both NiTTFtt and Li-NiTTFtt are comparatively poor, and the PTE properties primarily arise from excellent PT conversion. Such effective PT conversion leads to a significant temperature difference which is needed to optimize TE efficiency in STEGs.^{6a} As such, preserving this excellent PT conversion while improving the Seebeck coefficients of these materials is a promising strategy for improved PTE conversion. Indeed, we note that the NiTTFtt system itself provides the opportunity to chemically tune PTE properties. We have thus far explored the behaviors of NiTTFtt and Li-NiTTFtt, which are the two extreme oxidation states of the NiTTFtt system. However, pre-synthetic redox control of the TTFtt-tin transmetalation precursors also allows for programming intermediate oxidation states. This strategy should enable tuning of the Seebeck coefficient and ZT figures similarly to how these parameters can be optimized via doping of conducting polymers.³² Secondly, the counter ion size and identity is another dimension for chemical modulation, as suggested by the significantly different conductivities and Seebeck coefficients of Li-NiTTFtt and LiTHF-NiTTFtt. Larger counterions may significantly reduce the carrier concentrations, which in turn would magnify the Seebeck coefficient and voltage. We hypothesize that the TE performance of these materials can be enhanced by selecting counterions with suitable sizes, such as tetralkylammoniums. Finally, installation of different transition metals in the chain skeleton is another approach to tune properties. The electron density on the metal will affect the chain's band-filling, the identity of the charge carriers, and their concentration. Thus, the modular properties of this metal-organic material offer a great deal of promise for tunable properties in PT, TE, and PTE applications.

CONCLUSION

In this work, we demonstrate that pre-synthetic redox control enables us to isolate both reduced and oxidized NiTTFtt chains. Reduction of the TTFtt linkers results in the n-type metallic NiTTFtt polymer becoming p-type semiconductors. Comprehensive physical characterization of optical, thermal, and electrical properties demonstrates that these conducting NiTTFtt polymers exhibit efficient and high-performance PT conversion for NIR light. Furthermore, the heat generated from this conversion also shows promise in PTE applications, particularly for singlecomponent organic materials. Considering the broad UV to NIR optical absorption, as well as fast and intense PTE responses, these materials also show potential in broadband PTE optical detector applications.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Methods Unless otherwise noted, all synthetic manipulations were performed in N2-filled MBraun UNILab glovebox. Dichloromethane (DCM) was initially dried and sparged with Ar on a solvent purification system from Pure Process Technologies and stored over 4 Å molecular sieves. Methanol (MeOH) was dried with NaOH and distilled before being transferred into the glovebox. The dried MeOH was then passed through activated alumina and stored over 4 Å molecular sieves in the glovebox. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was stirred with liquid NaK alloy, filtered through activated alumina and stored over 4 Å molecular sieves. TTFtt(SnBu₂)₂ (TTFtt = tetrathiafulvalene-2,3,6,7tetrathiolate; Bu = n-butyl), $Fc^{BzO}BAr^{F_4}$ (Fc^{BzO} = benzoyl ferrocenium; $\left(\left[BAr^{F_{4}}\right]\right)$ = tetrakis(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)borate), Ni(DME)Cl₂ (DME = 1,2-dimethyloxyethane) and NiTTFtt were synthesized following previously reported procedures.^{22, 23, 33} Combustion elemental analyses (C, H, N) were performed by Midwest Microlabs.

Except for PT and PTE related experiments, the data involved with NiTTFtt was all reproduced from Ref. 22.

LiTHF-/Li-NiTTFtt TTFtt($SnBu_2$)₂ (0.500 mmol, 400 mg) in 6 mL THF was added into a 12 mL THF solution of Ni(DME)Cl₂ (0.500 mmol, 110 mg) and LiCl (2.50 mmol, 105 mg) with vigorous stirring for 40 mins. The immediate precipitate was isolated via centrifugation and washed with THF (5 × 12 mL). After being dried under vacuum for 30 mins until visibly dry and the dark purple powders were collected as LiTHF-NiTTFtt. To keep batch-to-batch consistency, the evacuation time was kept to exactly 30 mins and the product LiTHF-NiTTFtt was stored in a -35 °C freezer to avoid solvent loss over time. 131 mg Li-NiTTFtt was isolated as a black powder (85%) after further evacuation of LiTHF-NiTTFtt at 100 °C. Detailed characterization and composition data are provided in the main text as well as below.

Pressed pellets were prepared at 800 MPa in the glovebox by using a hydraulic pellet press (TMAX-15T) and dies with different sizes (7 mm and 8 mm round dies and 6 mm square dies). Prior to pressing, powders were ground to particle sizes below 20 μ m. During pressing the pressure was maintained for 20 mins after stabilizing at 800 MPa. The thickness of the pressed pellets is around 100-300 μ m.

Inductively Coupled Plasma- Mass Spectrometry/Optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP-MS/OES) ICP-MS data was obtained with an Agilent 7700x ICP-MS and analyzed using ICP-MS Mass Hunter version B01.03. The samples were diluted in 2% HNO₃ matrix and analyzed with a ¹⁵⁹Tb internal standard against a 12-point standard curve over the range from 0.1 to 500 ppb. The correlation was >0.9997 for all analyses of interest. Data collection was performed in Spectrum Mode with five replicates per sample and 100 sweeps per replicate. Solutions for ICP-MS were prepared by digesting 2 mg of material in 1 mL HNO₃ (trace metal grade) solution in a fume hood overnight at room temperature. The solution was diluted with ultrafiltered deionized water for ICP-MS analysis. Reported errors are the standard deviation of measurements on three batches of each material.

An Agilent 700 series spectrometer was used for ICP-OES. The sample preparation was undertaken according to reported procedures ³⁴ to improve the accuracy of Sulfur determination. Solutions for ICP-OES were prepared by digesting 2 mg of materials in 0.5 mL HNO₃ and 0.5 mL H_2O_2 (trace metal grade) solutions in tight-sealed high-density polyethylene (HDPE) centrifuge tubes overnight and then diluted with ultrafiltered deionized water. Reported errors are the standard deviation of measurements on three batches of each material.

X-ray fluorescence (XRF) measurements were performed on pressed pellets with a Rigaku NEX DE VS spectrometer under a He atmosphere.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) data were collected with the AXIS Nova spectrometer (Kratos Analytical) equipped with a monochromatic Al K_a X-ray source. The Al anode was powered at 10 mA and 15 kV. The instrument work function was calibrated to give a Au $4f_{7/2}$ metallic gold binding energy of 83.95 eV. The instrument base pressure was ca. 5×10^{-9} Torr. The analysis area size was 0.3×0.7 mm². For calibration purposes, the binding energies were referenced to the C 1s peak at 284.8 eV. Survey spectra were collected with a step size of 1 and 160 eV pass energy. Ultraviolet photoelectron spectra (UPS) were collected with the AXIS Nova spectrometer using UV-radiation source. The high-resolution spectra were collected with a pass energy of 20 and a 0.1 eV step size. Pressed pellets were affixed to conductive carbon tape under N₂ before loading into the spectrometer. X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy (XAS) Powder samples of LiNiTT-Ftt and LiTHF-NiTTFtt were prepared by grinding finely with polypropylene as a binder. A Teflon washer (5.3 mm internal diameter) was sealed on one side with Kapton tape and the ground powder was then transferred to the inside of this ring before compacting with a Teflon rod and sealing the remaining face with Kapton tape. X-ray absorption nearedge spectra (XANES) were employed to probe the local environment around Ni. Data were acquired at the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratory with a bending magnet source with ring energy at 7.00 GeV. Ni K-edge (8333 eV) data were acquired at the MRCAT 10-BM beam line in transmission. The incident, transmitted, and reference X-ray intensities were monitored using gas ionization chambers. A metallic nickel foil standard was used as a reference for energy calibration and was measured simultaneously with experimental samples. X-ray absorption spectra were collected at room temperature. Data collected was processed using the Demeter software suite³⁵ by extracting the EXAFS oscillations $\chi(k)$ as a function of photoelectron wavenumber k. The theoretical paths were generated using FEFF6³⁶ and the models were done in the conventional way using the fitting program Artemis.

Infrared (IR) spectroscopy data were recorded on a Bruker Tensor II FTIR spectrometer with MCT detector operated at 77 K. Data were processed and background corrected with the OPUS software (version 7.5). An additional manual correction for scattering was also applied. Samples were prepared under N_2 by grinding solid samples with Nujol, placed between two KBr crystal plates, and measured in air under ambient conditions.

Raman spectroscopy data were obtained with a Horiba LabRamHR Evolution confocal microscope. A Si (111) wafer was used for calibration. The samples of 7 mm round pressed pellets were excited using a 532 nm light source operating at 5 % of its power and using 100x long path objective and a 600 mm⁻¹ grating. For the air-sensitive samples of **Li-NiTTFtt** and **LiTHF-NiTTFtt**, the pellets were sealed with additional glass slides and double-layer imaging spacers (Grace Secure Seal, 20 mm DIA × 0.12 mm Depth).

Solid-State Cyclic Voltammetry (CV) Electrochemical experiments were performed using CV on a BASi Epsilon potentiostat/galvanostat. 4 mg fine powder of LiTHF-NiTTFtt was added into 0.05 mL Nmethylpyrrolidone (NMP) solution of 10 mg/mL polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF). After the resulting mixture was violently shaken, a few drops were pipetted onto the bottom of a glassy carbon electrode. After the solvent was mostly dried under ambient conditions, the glassy carbon electrode was further dried in a vial under vacuum overnight. The mass of materials deposited on the electrode, 1.4 mg, was calculated by subtraction of the mass of the glassy carbon electrode before and after deposition. In addition to the glassy carbon working electrode, a Pt flake and a Ag wire were used as the counter and reference electrodes, respectively. The reference electrode potential was measured vs. the FeCp₂⁺/FeCp₂ couple in a separate solution of the relevant electrolyte (with blank glass carbon working electrode instead) to correct for the liquid junction potential. The CV experiments were taken in 0.1 M LiPF₆/MeCN at 10 mV/s scan rate. All operations were done in the N₂filled glovebox.

Room-Temperature Electrical Conductivity and Seebeck Measurements Electrical conductivity measurements of **LiTHF-NiTTFtt** and **Li-NiTTFtt** were primarily performed in a two-contact geometry at room temperature under N₂. Samples were prepared as pressed pellets clamped between two brass electrodes (4.8 mm diam.) in a plastic sleeve, allowing measurement of the sample thickness with calipers. Linear sweep voltammetry was conducted using a BASi Epsilon potentiostat/galvanostat, with the reference and counter electrode terminals connected to one electrode and the working electrode terminal to the other. The resulting data were fit to a straight line to obtain the sample resistance. Reported errors are the standard deviation of measurements on four batches of each material.

As LiTHF-NiTTFtt is poorly conductive (about 10^{-6} - 10^{-5} S/cm), twoprobe conductivity measurements are roughly as accurate as four-probe methods since the instrument resistance (~1 Ω) is significantly smaller than the sample pellet. ³⁷ Therefore, the electrical conductivity of LiTHF-NiTTFtt is reported based on two-probe measurements. However, Li-NiTTFtt is fairly conductive, the conductivity of which would therefore be underestimated with two-probe measurements. Thus, in addition to the two-probe method, the conductivity of Li-NiTTFtt was also determined with a four-probe approach as discussed below.

The four-probe measurements of Li-NiTTFtt are based on a previously reported setup for NiTTFtt.^{22, 38} Gold electrical contacts (75 nm thick) for electronic conductivity (σ) and Seebeck coefficient (α) measurements were deposited onto 8 mm pressed pellets via thermal evaporation through homemade shadow masks. The electronic conductivity was measured in the in-plane direction by using a four-probe geometry with a 0.2 mm spacing between electrodes and electrodes length of 1 mm. The Seebeck coefficient was measured with two 1 mm² gold pads, which are either 3 or 1 mm apart. A detailed schematic is shown in the previous report. Four probe conductivity measurements were performed using a custom-designed probe station in an argon glovebox. Voltage and current measurements were performed using a Keithley 2400 source meter and a Keithley 6221 precision current source. A constant current was applied to the outer contacts, and the resulting steady state voltage response was recorded from the two inner contacts. The resistance (R, ohm) of the sample was extracted from the slope of the IV sweep by using Ohm's law (R = V/I). The conductivity σ was then calculated via the following equation:

$$\rho = \frac{v}{I} \, \frac{\pi t}{(\frac{\sinh{(\frac{t}{S})}}{(\frac{\sinh{(\frac{t}{S})}}{\sinh{(\frac{t}{2S})}})}}, \label{eq:rho}$$

Where t is the thickness of the sample and s is the separation distance between neighboring probes.

This formula has been previously applied for a thick Si wafer,³⁹ which is similar to the round pressed pellet. The Seebeck coefficient measurements were performed on the same probe station. Two Peltier elements were placed 5 mm apart to provide the temperature difference ($\Delta T = T_H$ $-T_{\rm C}$). Two thermocouples were used to collect the hot and cold side temperatures, and two probes were used to measure the corresponding voltage value. A minimal amount of thermally conductive silicone paste was applied to the tips of the thermocouple to ensure good thermal contact between the thermocouple and the gold pads. A delay of 200 s was used for voltage measurements to ensure that a steady-state temperature gradient and voltage were reached. The measurements were taken within an approximate ΔT of ±3 K around 300 K so that the Seebeck coefficient did not change significantly over T $\pm \Delta$ T. A series of measurements on Ni foil (0.03 mm, >99.9%) were performed at 25 °C to determine the systematic error. The measured Seebeck coefficient of Ni is $-20.3 \pm 1.3 \,\mu\text{V/K}$, which matched well with reported values in the literature (-19 μ V/K at 25 °C). ^{40, 41} While the Seebeck measurements were identical, the electrical conductivity of LiTHF-NiTTFtt was measured by using a two-probe method due to high resistivity.

Variable-Temperature Electrical Resistance The as-synthesized powders of Li-NiTTFtt and LiTHF-NiTTFtt were pressed into 6 mm

 \times 6 mm squared pellets and cut into 2 mm \times 6 mm slides. The pellet slides were then mounted into the ceramic dual inline package (KYOCERA C-dip 16 pins) and two ends of pellets were connected with gold nodes by conductive silver paste. The variable temperature I-V measurements (two-probe) were carried out in a CRYOSTATION® S50 system (Montana Instruments). For Li-NiTTFtt, the system was first cooled to 40 K and then slowly warmed up to target temperatures at 10 K step to 200 K and then at 20 K step until the sample thermally equilibrated at room temperature (295 K). At each temperature, the system was under vacuum and allowed to stabilize for 10 minutes before I-V profiles were collected from -100 mV to 100 mV. Ohmic I-V profiles were observed for all temperatures from 40 K to 295 K, and a linear fit of the I–V curve was used to get the conductance (G) of the sample. Since the resistance of the LiTHF-NiTTFtt sample became large and out of the instrument's measurement range, the conductance was recorded starting from 180 K to 295 K with a15 K step.

UV-Vis-NIR diffuse reflectance spectroscopy data were collected on a Varian Cary 5000 spectrophotometer with powder samples loaded in a Praying Mantis air-free diffuse reflectance cell with KCl powder as the non-adsorbing matrix. The Kubelka-Munk conversion of the raw diffuse reflectance spectrum was obtained by applying the formula $F(R) = (1-R)^2/2R$.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed using a TA Instruments Discovery analyzer. Approximately 2 mg of sample was loaded into a pre-tared Pt pan and measured under N₂ or air. Samples were measured from 35 °C to 700 °C using a linear temperature ramp of 10 °C min⁻¹. During loading samples into the pan, samples had to be exposed to the air for about one min.

Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) for Li-NiTTFtt and NiTTFtt was performed using a TA Instruments Discovery analyzer. Approximately 7 mg of sample was sealed into a Tzero pan with a hermetic lid. The air-sensitive Li-NiTTFtt sample was sealed in the glovebox (the TA press was pumped into the glovebox). Under N₂, the samples were measured in the temperature range of 20-150 °C using a linear temperature ramp of 10 °C min⁻¹ over two cycles.

Photo-Thermal Conversion Measurements An 8 mm round pellet of **NiTTFtt** (thickness 272 μ m) was placed in a white thermally-insulated foam holder. The laser source is composed of a laser diode emitting at 808 nm (Thorlabs, L808P500MM) placed in a laser diode mount (Thorlabs, TCLDM9), which is controlled by a driver (TED 200C) and temperature controller (LDC 205C). The IR camera (FLIR, E30bx) was used as a temperature monitor. For **Li-NiTTFtt**, the setup is similar with minor adjustments: 1) a 7 mm round pellet was used; 2) the measurements were done in a N₂-filled glovebox instead of in-air; 3) while the IR camera was pumped into the glovebox, the laser source was still placed outside with the light coming through a quartz window and focused on the pellet by an adjusting mirror. The laser power for both cases was calibrated with a power sensor (Thorlabs, S310C).

NIR-Seebeck Voltage Measurements For PTE experiments on Li-**NiTTFtt**, we used a similar setup in the glovebox but measured the Seebeck voltage with two probes connected to a multimeter (Agilent 34410A, associated with software, Keysight Benchvue). While one end was contacted with gold pads (on a homemade gold-deposited glass slide), the other end of the In wires was connected with the pellet edges along a diametrical dimension with Ag paste. To avoid light shielding from the Ag paste, the face of the pellet that did not contain Ag paste was exposed to the light. For **NiTTFtt**, since we found the Ag paste was degraded in air after heating, some gold pads were deposited on the pellet's edge and used for direct connection with the probes. In order to create good electrical contacts between the gold pads and the probes, the soft foam substrate was replaced with thermally/electrically-insulating Kapton tape.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*jsanderson@uchicago.edu

Author Contributions

[#]These authors contributed equally.

Notes

J. S. A. and J. X. have applied for a provisional patent on the materials described in this article. There are no further conflicts to declare.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the Army Research Office under Grant W911NF-20-1-0091. We thanks support from the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Award DE-SC0019215 and the funding from the National Science Foundation under grant DMR-2002367. We thank Daniel Laorenza and Prof. Danna Freedman for assistance with diffuse reflectance spectroscopy, Xiaomin Jiang, Yingjie Fan, Taokun Luo and Prof. Wenbin Lin for assistance with ICP-MS measurements. We thank Maia Czaikowski for assistance with Raman spectroscopy and Garrett Grocke for the help of gold deposition and four-probe conductivity and Seebeck measurements. This research used resources of the Advanced Photon Source (APS), a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science User Facility operated for the DOE Office of Science by Argonne National Laboratory under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. We thank McKenna Goetz and beamline staffs for assistance with XAS measurements at beamline APS 10-BM-A, B. Parts of this work were carried out at the Soft Matter Characterization Facility of the University of Chicago. This work made use of the shared facilities at the University of Chicago Materials Research Science and Engineering Center, supported by National Science Foundation under award number DMR-2011854.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Mills, D. Advances in Solar Thermal Electricity Technology. *Sol. Energy* **2004**, *76* (1–3), 19–31. (b)Roeb, M.; Müller-Steinhagen, H. Concentrating on Solar Electricity and Fuels. *Science.* **2010**, *329* (5993), 773–774.

(2) (a) Ueno, K.; Oshikiri, T.; Sun, Q.; Shi, X.; Misawa, H. Solid-State Plasmonic Solar Cells. *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *118* (6), 2955–2993. (b) Cheng, Y.-J.; Yang, S.-H.; Hsu, C.-S. Synthesis of Conjugated Polymers for Organic Solar Cell Applications. *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *109* (11), 5868– 5923. (c) Jena, A. K.; Kulkarni, A.; Miyasaka, T. Halide Perovskite Photovoltaics: Background, Status, and Future Prospects. *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *119* (5), 3036–3103.

(3) Kim, J. Y.; Lee, J.-W.; Jung, H. S.; Shin, H.; Park, N.-G. High-Efficiency Perovskite Solar Cells. *Chem. Rev.* **2020**, *120* (15), 7867–7918.

(4) Polman, A.; Atwater, H. A. Photonic Design Principles for Ultrahigh-Efficiency Photovoltaics. *Nat. Mater.* 2012, *11* (3), 174–177.
(5) (a) Zhao, X.; Yao, C.; Liu, T.; Hamill, J. C.; Ngongang Ndjawa, G. O.; Cheng, G.; Yao, N.; Meng, H.; Loo, Y. Extending the Photovoltaic Response of Perovskite Solar Cells into the Near-Infrared with a Narrow-Bandgap Organic Semiconductor. *Adv. Mater.* 2019, *31* (49), 1904494. (b) Bai, Y.; Lang, K.; Zhao, C.; Guo, Q.; Zeng, R.; Wang, J.;

Hayat, T.; Alsaedi, A.; Tan, Z. Strategies Toward Extending the Near-Infrared Photovoltaic Response of Perovskite Solar Cells. *Sol. RRL* **2020**, *4* (2), 1900280.

(6) (a) Kraemer, D.; Poudel, B.; Feng, H.-P.; Caylor, J. C.; Yu, B.; Yan, X.; Ma, Y.; Wang, X.; Wang, D.; Muto, A.; McEnaney, K.; Chiesa, M.; Ren, Z.; Chen, G. High-Performance Flat-Panel Solar Thermoelectric Generators with High Thermal Concentration. *Nat. Mater.* **2011**, *10* (7), 532–538. (b) Park, T.; Na, J.; Kim, B.; Kim, Y.; Shin, H.; Kim, E. Photothermally Activated Pyroelectric Polymer Films for Harvesting of Solar Heat with a Hybrid Energy Cell Structure. *ACS Nano* **2015**, *9* (12), 11830–11839. (c) Limpert, S.; Burke, A.; Chen, I.-J.; Anttu, N.; Lehmann, S.; Fahlvik, S.; Bremner, S.; Conibeer, G.; Thelander, C.; Pistol, M.-E.; Linke, H. Bipolar Photothermoelectric Effect Across Energy Filters in Single Nanowires. *Nano Lett.* **2017**, *17* (7), 4055–4060.

(7) (a) Liu, H.; Liu, Y.; Dong, S.; Xu, H.; Wu, Y.; Hao, L.; Cao, B.; Li, M.; Wang, Z.; Han, Z.; Yan, K. Photothermoelectric SnTe Photodetector with Broad Spectral Response and High On/Off Ratio. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2020, *12* (44), 49830–49839. (b) Wang, F.; Lv, Y.; Xu, Y.; Cao, L.; Chen, L.; Zhang, C.; Yao, S.; Xu, J.; Zhou, J.; Chen, Y. Enhanced Photothermoelectric Detection in Co:BiCuSeO Crystals with Tunable Seebeck Effect. *Opt. Express* 2022, *30* (5), 8356.
(8) Koyama, S.; Inaba, Y.; Kasano, M.; Murata, T. A Day and Night Vision MOS Imager With Robust Photonic-Crystal-Based RGB-and-IR. *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* 2008, *55* (3), 754–759.

(9) Lu, X.; Sun, L.; Jiang, P.; Bao, X. Progress of Photodetectors Based on the Photothermoelectric Effect. *Adv. Mater.* **2019**, *31* (50), 1902044. (10) (a) Antidormi, A.; Cummings, A. W. Optimizing the Photothermoelectric Effect in Graphene. *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **2021**, *15* (5), 054049. (b) Yamashita, H.; Tsunoda, K.; Nishino, H.; Sato, S. Signal-to-Noise Characteristics of Graphene Photodetectors Based on Photothermoelectric Effect. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2021**, *129* (17), 173101. (c) Viti, L.; Cadore, A. R.; Yang, X.; Vorobiev, A.; Muench, J. E.; Watanabe, K.; Taniguchi, T.; Stake, J.; Ferrari, A. C.; Vitiello, M. S. Thermoelectric Graphene Photodetectors with Sub-Nanosecond Response Times at Terahertz Frequencies. In *Frontiers in Optics and Photonics*; De Gruyter, **2021**; Vol. 10, pp 89–98.

(11) Buscema, M.; Barkelid, M.; Zwiller, V.; van der Zant, H. S. J.; Steele, G. A.; Castellanos-Gomez, A. Large and Tunable Photothermoelectric Effect in Single-Layer MoS₂. *Nano Lett.* **2013**, *13* (2), 358–363.

(12) Kim, B.; Shin, H.; Park, T.; Lim, H.; Kim, E. NIR-Sensitive Poly(3,4-Ethylenedioxyselenophene) Derivatives for Transparent Photo-Thermo-Electric Converters. *Adv. Mater.* **2013**, *25* (38), 5483–5489.

(13) Huang, D.; Zou, Y.; Jiao, F.; Zhang, F.; Zang, Y.; Di, C.; Xu, W.; Zhu, D. Interface-Located Photothermoelectric Effect of Organic Thermoelectric Materials in Enabling NIR Detection. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2015**, *7* (17), 8968–8973.

(14) (a) Wang, Y.; Zhu, W.; Du, W.; Liu, X.; Zhang, X.; Dong, H.; Hu, W. Cocrystals Strategy towards Materials for Near-Infrared Photothermal Conversion and Imaging. *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* **2018**, 57 (15), 3963–3967. (b) Su, J.; Xu, N.; Murase, R.; Yang, Z.; D'Alessandro, D. M.; Zuo, J.; Zhu, J. Persistent Radical Tetrathiafulvalene-Based 2D Metal-Organic Frameworks and Their Application in Efficient Photothermal Conversion. *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* **2021**, 60 (9), 4789–4795. (c) Yan, T.; Li, Y.; Su, J.; Wang, H.; Zuo, J. Charge Transfer Metal-Organic Framework Containing Redox-Active TTF/NDI Units for Highly Efficient Near-Infrared Photothermal Conversion. *Chem. – A Eur. J.* **2021**, 27 (43), 11050–11055. (d) Yan, T.; Li, Y.-Y.; Gu, Q.-Y.; Li, J.; Su, J.; Wang, H.-Y.; Zuo, J.-L. A Tetrathiafulvalene/Naphthalene Diimide-Containing Metal–Organic Framework with Fsc Topology for

Highly Efficient Near-Infrared Photothermal Conversion. *Inorg. Chem.* **2022**, *61* (7), 3078–3085.

(15) (a) Sun, L.; Campbell, M. G.; Dincă, M. Electrically Conductive Porous Metal-Organic Frameworks. *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* **2016**, 55 (11), 3566–3579. (b) Xie, L. S.; Skorupskii, G.; Dincă, M. Electrically Conductive Metal–Organic Frameworks. *Chem. Rev.* **2020**, *120* (16), 8536–8580.

(16) Huang, X.; Zhang, S.; Liu, L.; Yu, L.; Chen, G.; Xu, W.; Zhu, D. Superconductivity in a Copper(II)-Based Coordination Polymer with Perfect Kagome Structure. *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* **2018**, 57 (1), 146–150.

(17) Liu, J.; Song, X.; Zhang, T.; Liu, S.; Wen, H.; Chen, L. 2D Conductive Metal–Organic Frameworks: An Emerging Platform for Electrochemical Energy Storage. *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60* (11), 5612–5624.

(18) (a) Sun, Y.; Sheng, P.; Di, C.; Jiao, F.; Xu, W.; Qiu, D.; Zhu, D. Organic Thermoelectric Materials and Devices Based on P- and n-Type Poly(Metal 1,1,2,2-Ethenetetrathiolate)S. Adv. Mater. 2012, 24 (7), 932-937. (b) Jiao, F.; Di, C.; Sun, Y.; Sheng, P.; Xu, W.; Zhu, D. Inkjet-Printed Flexible Organic Thin-Film Thermoelectric Devices Based on p- and n-Type Poly(Metal 1,1,2,2-Ethenetetrathiolate)s/Polymer Composites through Ball-Milling. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 2014, 372 (2013), 20130008. (c) Sun, Y.; Qiu, L.; Tang, L.; Geng, H.; Wang, H.; Zhang, F.; Huang, D.; Xu, W.; Yue, P.; Guan, Y.; Jiao, F.; Sun, Y.; Tang, D.; Di, C.; Yi, Y.; Zhu, D. Flexible N-Type High-Performance Thermoelectric Thin Films of Poly(Nickel-Ethylenetetrathiolate) Prepared by an Electrochemical Method. Adv. Mater. 2016, 28 (17), 3351-3358.(d) Sun, L.; Liao, B.; Sheberla, D.; Kraemer, D.; Zhou, J.; Stach, E. A.; Zakharov, D.; Stavila, V.; Talin, A. A.; Ge, Y.; Allendorf, M. D.; Chen, G.; Léonard, F.; Dincă, M. A Microporous and Naturally Nanostructured Thermoelectric Metal-Organic Framework with Ultralow Thermal Conductivity. Joule 2017, 1 (1), 168-177.

(19) (a) Noro, S.; Chang, H.-C.; Takenobu, T.; Murayama, Y.; Kanbara, T.; Aoyama, T.; Sassa, T.; Wada, T.; Tanaka, D.; Kitagawa, S.; Iwasa, Y.; Akutagawa, T.; Nakamura, T. Metal-Organic Thin-Film Transistor (MOTFT) Based on a Bis(o -Diiminobenzosemiquinonate) Nickel(II) Complex. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127 (28), 10012-10013. (b) Miner, E. M.; Fukushima, T.; Sheberla, D.; Sun, L.; Surendranath, Y.; Dincă, M. Reduction Electrochemical Oxygen Catalysed by Ni₃(Hexaiminotriphenylene)₂. Nat. Commun. 2016, 7 (1), 10942. (c) Huang, X.; Yao, H.; Cui, Y.; Hao, W.; Zhu, J.; Xu, W.; Zhu, D. Conductive Copper Benzenehexathiol Coordination Polymer as a Hydrogen Evolution Catalyst. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2017, 9 (46), 40752-40759. (d) DeGayner, J. A.; Jeon, I.-R.; Sun, L.; Dincă, M.; Harris, T. D. 2D Conductive Iron-Quinoid Magnets Ordering up to Tc = 105 K via Heterogenous Redox Chemistry. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139 (11), 4175–4184. (e) Hoppe, B.; Hindricks, K. D. J.; Warwas, D. P.; Schulze, H. A.; Mohmeyer, A.; Pinkvos, T. J.; Zailskas, S.; Krey, M. R.; Belke, C.; König, S.; Fröba, M.; Haug, R. J.; Behrens, P. Graphene-like Metal-Organic Frameworks: Morphology Control, Optimization of Thin Film Electrical Conductivity and Fast Sensing Applications. *CrystEngComm* **2018**, 20 (41), 6458–6471.

(20) Kusamoto, T.; Nishihara, H. Zero-, One- and Two-Dimensional Bis(Dithiolato)Metal Complexes with Unique Physical and Chemical Properties. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2019**, 380, 419–439.

(21) Camerel, F.; Fourmigué, M. (Photo)Thermal Stimulation of Functional Dithiolene Complexes in Soft Matter. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2020**, 2020 (6), 508–522.

(22) DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1281292/v1

(23) Xie, J.; Boyn, J. N.; Filatov, A. S.; McNeece, A. J.; Mazziotti, D. A.; Anderson, J. S. Redox, Transmetalation, and Stacking Properties of Tetrathiafulvalene-2,3,6,7-Tetrathiolate Bridged Tin, Nickel, and Palladium Compounds. *Chem. Sci.* **2020**, *11* (4), 1066–1078.

(24) de Caro, D.; Fraxedas, J.; Faulmann, C.; Malfant, I.; Milon, J.; Lamère, J.-F.; Collière, V.; Valade, L. Metallic Thin Films of $TTF[Ni(Dmit)_2]_2$ by Electrodeposition on (001)-Oriented Silicon Substrates. *Adv. Mater.* **2004**, *16* (910), 835–838.

(25) (a) Rivera, N. M.; Engler, E. M.; Schumaker, R. R. Synthesis and Properties of Tetrathiafulvalene-Metal Bisdithiolene Macromolecules. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1979, 4, 184-185. (b) Poleschner, H.; John, W.; Hoppe, F.; Fanghänel, E.; Roth, S. Tetrathiafulvalene. XIX. Synthese Und Eigenschaften Elektronenleitender Polv-Dithiolenkomplexe Mit Ethylentetrathiolat Und Tetrathiafulvalentetrathiolat Als Brückenliganden. J. für Prakt. Chemie 1983, 325 (6), 957-975. (c) Vogt, T.; Faulmann, C.; Soules, R.; Lecante, P.; Mosset, A.; Castan, P.; Cassoux, P.; Galy, J. A LAXS (Large Angle X-Ray Scattering) and EXAFS (Extended X-Ray Absorption Fine Structure) Investigation of Conductive Amorphous Nickel Tetrathiolato Polymers. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110 (6), 1833-1840. (d) Yoshioka, N.; Nishide, H.; Inagaki, K.; Inagaki, K.; Tsuchida, E. Electrical Conductive and Magnetic Properties of Conjugated Tetrathiolate Nickel Polymers. Polym. Bull. 1990, 23 (6), 631–636. (e) Dahm, S.; Strunz, W.; Keller, H. J.; Schweitzer, D. Preparation and Physical Properties of Highly Conducting Metal (M = Ni, Co, Cu) Coordination Polymers. Synth. Met. 1993, 55 (2-3), 884-889.

(26) Halim, J.; Moon, E. J.; Eklund, P.; Rosen, J.; Barsoum, M. W.; Ouisse, T. Variable Range Hopping and Thermally Activated Transport in Molybdenum-Based MXenes. *Phys. Rev. B* **2018**, *98* (10), 104202.

(27) Kobayashi, A.; Fujiwara, E.; Kobayashi, H. Single-Component Molecular Metals with Extended-TTF Dithiolate Ligands. *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104* (11), 5243–5264.

(28) Kobayashi, Y.; Terauchi, T.; Sumi, S.; Matsushita, Y. Carrier Generation and Electronic Properties of a Single-Component Pure Organic Metal. *Nat. Mater.* **2017**, *16* (1), 109–114.

(29) Paściak, A.; Pilch-Wróbel, A.; Marciniak, Ł.; Schuck, P. J.; Bednarkiewicz, A. Standardization of Methodology of Light-to-Heat Conversion Efficiency Determination for Colloidal Nanoheaters. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2021**, *13* (37), 44556–44567.

(30) Zhao, Y.; He, Z.; Zhang, Q.; Wang, J.; Jia, W.; Jin, L.; Zhao, L.; Lu, Y. 880 nm NIR-Triggered Organic Small Molecular-Based Nanoparticles for Photothermal Therapy of Tumor. *Nanomaterials* **2021**, *11* (3), 773.

(31)Yang, H.; Fu, B.; Li, D.; Tian, Y.; Chen, Y.; Mattila, M.; Yong, Z.; Li, R.; Hassanien, A.; Yang, C.; Tittonen, I.; Ren, Z.; Bai, J.; Li, Q.; Kauppinen, E. I.; Lipsanen, H.; Sun, Z. Broadband Laser Polarization Control with Aligned Carbon Nanotubes. *Nanoscale* **2015**, 7 (25), 11199–11205.

(32) Bubnova, O.; Khan, Z. U.; Malti, A.; Braun, S.; Fahlman, M.; Berggren, M.; Crispin, X. Optimization of the Thermoelectric Figure of Merit in the Conducting Polymer Poly(3,4-Ethylenedioxythiophene). *Nat. Mater.* **2011**, *10* (6), 429–433.

(33) Boudier, A.; Breuil, P.-A. R.; Magna, L.; Olivier-Bourbigou, H.; Braunstein, P. Nickel(II) Complexes with Imino-Imidazole Chelating Ligands Bearing Pendant Donor Groups (SR, OR, NR₂, PR₂) as Precatalysts in Ethylene Oligomerization. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2012**, 718, 31–37.

(34) Morrison, C.; Sun, H.; Yao, Y.; Loomis, R. A.; Buhro, W. E. Methods for the ICP-OES Analysis of Semiconductor Materials. *Chem. Mater.* **2020**, *32* (5), 1760–1768.

(35) Ravel, B.; Newville, M. ATHENA, ARTEMIS, HEPHAESTUS: Data Analysis for X-Ray Absorption Spectroscopy Using IFEFFIT. J. Synchrotron Radiat. **2005**, *12* (4), 537–541.

(36) Rehr, J. J.; Albers, R. C. Theoretical Approaches to X-Ray Absorption Fine Structure. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **2000**, *72* (3), 621–654.

(37) Sun, L.; Park, S. S.; Sheberla, D.; Dincă, M. Measuring and Reporting Electrical Conductivity in Metal-Organic Frameworks: Cd₂(TTFTB) as a Case Study. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138* (44), 14772–14782.

(38) Ma, T.; Dong, B. X.; Grocke, G. L.; Strzalka, J.; Patel, S. N. Leveraging Sequential Doping of Semiconducting Polymers to Enable Functionally Graded Materials for Organic Thermoelectrics. *Macromolecules* **2020**, *53* (8), 2882–2892.

(39) D. Schroder, *Semiconductor material and device characterization*, 3rd edition. Piscataway NJ; Hoboken N.J.: IEEE Press; Wiley, **2006**.

(40) Schmidt, V.; Mensch, P. F. J.; Karg, S. F.; Gotsmann, B.; Das Kanungo, P.; Schmid, H.; Riel, H. Using the Seebeck Coefficient to Determine Charge Carrier Concentration, Mobility, and Relaxation Time in InAs Nanowires. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2014**, *104* (1), 012113.

(41) Beretta, D.; Bruno, P.; Lanzani, G.; Caironi, M. Reliable Measurement of the Seebeck Coefficient of Organic and Inorganic Materials between 260 K and 460 K. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **2015**, *86* (7), 075104.



