AlInP(001) surface structure and electronic properties
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Total-energy and electronic structure calculations based on density-functional theory are performed in order to determine the atomic structure and electronic properties of Al0.5In0.5P(001) surfaces. It is found that most of the stable surfaces obey the electron counting rule and are characterized by surface atom dimerization. The dimer related surface states are predicted to occur in the vicinity of the bulk band edges. For a very narrow range of preparation conditions, a surface covered with a monolayer of buckled phosphorus dimers, where half of the phosphorus atoms are hydrogen-saturated, is found to be stable. The occurrence of this structure is confirmed by low energy electron diffraction and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy data measured on epitaxially grown Al0.52In0.48P(001) epilayers lattice matched to GaAs.

INTRODUCTION

III–V compound semiconductors are important for various electronic and optoelectronic applications, e.g., high-electron-mobility transistors, light-emitting diodes, photodetectors, electro-optic modulators, and frequency-mixing components. Solar cells made of III–V semiconductors reach the highest efficiencies of any photovoltaic technology so far [1]. The Al0.5In0.5P (AlInP) material system is frequently used as window layer in solar cells, due to its favorable combination of chemical stability, sufficiently wide bandgap, and high quality heteroepitaxial interfaces with many absorbers [2]. In this context, the Fermi level pinning due to AlInP surface states is highly relevant for the device performance [3]. This holds also for the usage of AlInP as intermediate layer to form low resistance ohmic contacts [4].

However, there is little information available on the atomic structure and electronic properties of AlInP surfaces. It has been noted that different growth conditions lead to different degrees of CuPt ordering in the bulk material [5, 6], i.e., alternating group III layers perpendicular to the [111] or [111] directions. This is likely to be related to the formation of surface dimers, which induce strain in the material [7]. Very recently, some models for clean AlInP(001) surfaces were established, which show that structures different from the ones known from binary III–V(001) surfaces may occur [8]. However, this study was restricted to clean surfaces. Often, AlInP is grown by metal organic vapor phase epitaxy (MOVPE) and chemical beam epitaxy (CBE). Since hydrogen is present in MOVPE and CBE, it can be expected to be present at the AlInP surface as well [9, 10].

Therefore, we here extend the previous study [8] to account for the possibility of surface adsorbed hydrogen. A systematic search for stable Al0.5In0.5P(001) surface structures is performed, where the existence of hydrogen is considered as an additional degree of freedom. The initial geometries for structure optimization are generated by systematically varying the surface stoichiometry of both CuPt-A and CuPt-B type ordered Al0.5In0.5P crystals. Altogether, more than 80 surfaces are probed computationally. The computational predictions for typical MOVPE growth conditions are compared with low energy electron diffraction (LEED) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) data measured on epitaxially grown Al0.52In0.48P(001) samples.

COMPUTATIONAL METHODOLOGY

In detail, density-functional theory (DFT) calculations are performed using the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) [11]. The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) with the PBE functional [12] is used to model the electron exchange and correlation interaction. The electron-ion interaction is described by the projector-augmented wave (PAW) scheme [13, 14]. The electronic wave functions are expanded into plane waves up to a kinetic energy cutoff of 400 eV. The Brillouin zone integration is performed using Γ-centered 4 × 4 × 1 meshes. The (001) surfaces are modeled by supercells containing 13 and 14 atomic layers for cation and anion terminated surfaces, respectively, and a vacuum region of ~13.5 Å. The slab bottom dangling bonds are saturated with fractionally charged H atoms. The electric field resulting
from the inequivalence of the two surfaces is taken into account by a dipole correction to the electrostatic potential. The atoms are structurally relaxed until they experience forces smaller than 0.02 eV/Å. The calculations are performed at the equilibrium lattice parameter of Al$_{0.5}$In$_{0.5}$P calculated to be 5.751 Å.

In order to compare the various clean and hydrogen covered surfaces energetically, the chemical potentials $\mu_{A_i}$ of the respective surface constituents need to be taken into account. The surface ground state is determined by the minimum of the thermodynamic potential

$$\Omega = U - TS - \sum_i \mu_{A_i} n_{A_i},$$

(1)

where $U$ is the total energy of the system. In solids, the entropy term, $TS$, contributes very little to the difference in $\Omega$ under usual experimental conditions [15] and is neglected in the following. The chemical potentials $\mu_{A_i}$ for $A_i = \text{In}$, $\text{Al}$, and $\text{P}$ are restricted by their bulk values

$$\mu_{A_i} \leq \mu_{A_i,\text{bulk}}.$$ 

(2)

Additionally, the stability of Al$_{0.5}$In$_{0.5}$P requires

$$\mu_{\text{Al}} + \mu_{\text{In}} + 2\mu_{\text{P}} = \mu_{\text{AlInP}},$$

(3)

$$= \mu_{\text{Al, bulk}} + \mu_{\text{In, bulk}} + 2\mu_{\text{P, bulk}} - \Delta H_f, \text{AlInP}_{5}.$$ 

This allows for formulating the formation energy in dependence on $\Delta \mu_{\text{In}}$ and $\Delta \mu_{\text{Al}}$, i.e., the variations of the In and Al chemical potentials with respect to their bulk values. For the heat of formation $\Delta H_f, \text{AlInP}_5$, we calculate a value of $-1.49$ eV. The hydrogen chemical potential, $\Delta \mu_H$, with respect to an isolated molecule, provides an additional and independent degree of freedom. In the approximation of a two-atomic ideal gas, it is written in dependence on partial pressure $p$ and temperature $T$ as

$$\Delta \mu_H(p, T) = \frac{k_B T}{2} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\lambda^3}{k_B T} \right) - \ln Z_{\text{rot}} - \ln Z_{\text{vib}} \right],$$

(4)

where $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, $\lambda$ the de Broglie thermal wavelength of the H$_2$ molecule,

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi \hbar^2}{mk_B T}},$$

(5)

and $Z_{\text{rot}}$ and $Z_{\text{vib}}$ are its rotational and vibrational partition functions, respectively. By increasing the temperature, the hydrogen chemical potential is lowered, i.e., less energy is gained by taking a H atom out of the reservoir and attaching it to the surface. A variation of the hydrogen chemical potential with respect to its molecular value at zero temperature of $\Delta \mu_H \sim -0.6$ eV corresponds to the growth conditions in the experiment described below.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Surface phase diagram

Figure 1 contains the calculated phase diagram of the Al$_{0.5}$In$_{0.5}$P(001) surfaces structures considered here. Only 10 out of the more than 80 candidate structures considered in our work turn out to be energetically relevant. Schematic top views of these surfaces are compiled in Fig. 2. At hydrogen-deficient conditions ($\Delta \mu_H \sim -1$ eV), P-dimer structures known from clean III-V(001) surfaces [16, 17] dominate the phase diagram. This concerns the c-4×4 structure for anion-rich surfaces, and the β2-2×4 surface for intermediate preparation conditions. The occurrence of [110] oriented P dimers in the P-rich c-4×4 structure and of [110] oriented dimers in 2×4 surface reconstructions is in accord with MOVPE-grown AlnP surfaces in N$_2$ atmosphere [5]. Hetero-dimer structures featuring either In-P or Al-P dimers in the topmost layer occur for cation-rich preparation conditions. They differ from the mixed-dimer structure known from InP(001) by the staggered arrangement of the second-layer cation dimers, due to the size mismatch between Al and In.

For a broad range of intermediate values of the hydrogen chemical potential, $\Delta \mu_H \sim -0.2 \cdots -0.8$ eV, the 2D-2H surface is found to be stable. It corresponds to a 2×2 reconstructed surface formed by P dimers, see Fig. 2. Hydrogen is adsorbed in an alternating sequence on these buckled P dimers. Similar structures were observed for the (001) surface of InP, GaP, and In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P prepared by gas phase epitaxy [9, 10, 18]. The P dimers of the 2×2-2D-2H surface break up at extremely H-rich conditions, $\Delta \mu_H \sim 0$ eV. In this case, the surface P atoms are alternatingly decorated by one and two hydrogens, forming the 2×2-4P-6H structure. The hydrogen-covered surface P atoms are replaced by H-decorated In-P and Al-P hetero-dimers, if the surface is prepared in hydrogen and cation-rich conditions.

Zunger et al. [19] suggested that the creation of a sub-surface selectivity for occupation by a small cation under the strained anion dimer rows, and occupation by a large cation underneath the opening between dimer rows is the main thermodynamic driving force for CuPt ordering in GaInP alloys. The present calculations support this picture for AlnP. All stable P-dimer structures identified here are characterized by P dimers that form above Al, while In occupies the corresponding subsurface positions between the P-dimer rows, see Fig. 2. No such correlation is found for the hetero-dimer structures. Mixed anion-cation dimers form on top of In, e.g., in the 2×2-2InMD-2H structure, as well as above Al, e.g., in the 2×1-AlMD-2H structure. This agrees with the observation that the degree of atomic ordering of MOVPE-grown AlnP depends sensitively on the preparation conditions [5].
FIG. 1: Energetically favored Al$_{0.5}$In$_{0.5}$P(001) surface structures, see Fig. 2, in dependence on the Al, In and H chemical potentials. The thermodynamically allowed range of the chemical potentials according to Eqns. 2 and 3 is indicated by dashed lines. The inset shows the dependence of the hydrogen chemical potential on temperature and partial pressure according to Eq. 4.

FIG. 2: Top view of stable relaxed clean as well as hydrogenated Al$_{0.5}$In$_{0.5}$P(001) surface structures identified in the present work.

**Experiment**

In order to compare the theoretical calculations with the surface reconstruction of Al$_{0.5}$In$_{0.5}$P(001) obtained experimentally, thin Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P(001) layers were prepared in a horizontal MOVPE reactor using H$_2$ carrier gas at 100 mbar. The AlInP(001) epilayers were grown on GaInP(001) buffer layers on n-GaAs(001) substrate with 0.1° miscut toward the [111] direction. After deoxidation of GaAs(001) substrate under tertiarybutylarsine at 620 °C (surface temperature), 100 nm GaAs(001) and 100 nm GaInP(001) buffer layers were grown. Tertiarybutylphosphine (TBP), trimethylindium (TMIn), trimethylgallium (TMGa), and trimethylaluminium (TMAI) were used as precursors. The epitaxially grown layers were doped n-type (~ $1 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$) using ditertiarybutyl silane (DTBSi). The Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P(001) layer was grown at 100 mbar with a V/III ratio of 60 at 600 °C. To compensate the desorption of P from the AlInP(001) surface during cooling, the TBP precursor was kept open until reaching 300 °C. Subsequently, the TBP precursor was switched off and the sample was annealed for 10 min at 310 °C to remove the excess of P and TBP precursor residuals from the surface. Lattice matching of the GaInP(100) and Al-InP(001) layers to the substrate was confirmed ex situ by X-ray diffraction (XRD) in reference samples. In order to investigate the surface reconstruction and chemical com-
position of the as-prepared AlInP(001) surfaces, selected samples were transferred from the MOVPE reactor in ultra-high vacuum (UHV) via a dedicated UHV shuttle [20] for low energy electron diffraction (LEED, SPECS ErLEED 100-A) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, SPECS Focus 500/Phoibos 150/1D-DLD-43-100, monochromated Al-Kα, 1486.74 eV).

The preparation conditions (temperature and partial pressure) described above correspond to a H chemical potential of about −0.6 eV. For this chemical potential, the $2\times2$-2D-2H surface is by far the most dominant structure in the phase diagram, see Fig. 1. Figure 3 shows an XPS survey scan (top) as well as the Al 2p, In 3d$_{5/2}$, and P 2p core-level photoemission lines measured at 30° (middle) and 90° (bottom) take-off angle (with respect to surface plane).

![XPS survey spectrum of a 32 nm-thick Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P(001) layer (top) as well as the Al 2p, In 3d$_{5/2}$, and P 2p core-level photoemission lines measured at 30° (middle) and 90° (bottom) take-off angle (with respect to surface plane).](image)

To increase the surface sensitivity of the measurement, the photoelectron take-off angle (with respect to the surface plane) was varied from 90° to 30° against normal emission (Fig. 3, middle). The survey scan shows no oxygen or carbon on the sample. The fits of the XPS data show that one component is sufficient to fit the Al 2p$_{1/2}$, Al 2p$_{3/2}$, and In 3d$_{5/2}$ core level peaks. The binding energy of metallic Al and In is at lower energy, at 72.75 eV and at 443.75 eV, respectively [21], and not seen in the measured data. For the P 2p core level, the presence of an extra component is obvious. The data are fitted with two spin-orbit pairs, with the same FWHM and peak ratio of 2:1 for each pair. The second, lower intensity component (red line) is shifted toward higher binding energy, and its binding energy can be correlated with P dimers on the surface [21]. The intensity ratio of the peak related to the P dimers is increasing at the more surface sensitive measurement (P 2p core level, middle), which confirms a P-rich surface. Thus, the XPS measurements exclude cation-rich models and suggest P dimer structures such as the $2\times2$-2D-2H or the $\beta2$-2×4 surface.

In order to further investigate the surface structure, LEED was applied. Figure 4 shows the LEED diffraction patterns recorded at 52 eV (left hand side) and 64 eV (right hand side). The slightly diffuse LEED pattern and spots with blurred contrast indicate a reduced atomic order of the surface. This could be due to surface defects, lack of short range order in the surface reconstruction, e.g., due to missing hydrogen passivation, or due to traces of contaminants such as oxygen. Aluminium containing surfaces are well known for their high affinity to oxygen incorporation [8]. Nevertheless, the LEED patterns clearly exhibit first and half order spots. In addition to the first order spots, both diffraction patterns exhibit half-order spots indicating a $2\times1$-like surface reconstruction (marked with white circles) and diffused streaks in $\times2$ direction (indicated by a white arrows). This LEED pattern is very similar to measurements on P-rich and partially hydrogen-covered InP(001) and GaP(001) surfaces: The adjacent rows of buckled P dimers stabilized by one hydrogen atom can be arranged in-phase or out-of-phase [9, 22, 23]. The in-phase arrangement results in a p(2×2) unit cell, while the out-of-phase arrangement corresponds to a c(4×2) unit cell. Scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) scans of such P-rich InP(001) and GaP(001) surfaces show that those two domains are randomly distributed [22, 23]. Their superposition leads to the $2\times1$-like LEED pattern with characteristic streaks in the $\times2$ half-order (see Fig. 1 in Ref. [23]). This excludes the $\beta2$-2×4 surfaces and is strong evidence that the surface prepared here corresponds to the $2\times2$-2D-2H reconstruction predicted from ab initio thermodynamics.
Binary III-V surface reconstructions can be understood in terms of a simple electron counting model (ECM) [24]: A surface structure satisfies this model if all cation dangling bonds are empty and all anion dangling bonds are full, given the number of available electrons. This model may be extended to hydrogen-covered surfaces [25] and is satisfied by the majority of the stable ternary surface structures identified here. In fact, the $2\times1$-AlMD-2H structure is the only exception to the ECM. It is stable in a very narrow range of preparation conditions that are both cation and hydrogen rich.

The $2\times1$-AlMD-2H structure is not only the only stable structure that does not comply with the ECM. It is also the only structure that gives rise to a metallic band structure, see Fig. 5. It features one-dimensional Al-In atomic wires along the [110] direction, which are partially H decorated. The Al-In metal bonds result in a quasi-one-dimensional electron band, which disperses along the atomic wire direction and pins the Fermi energy at mid-gap position. Similar electronic properties are calculated for the $2\times2$-2InMD-2H structure. Here, an In-In atomic wire extends along the [110] direction and gives rise to two strongly dispersive electron bands. These two bands, one occupied and one empty, are separated by a small band gap of about 0.2 eV and pin the Fermi energy at mid-gap position. However, these two structures are stable only in a very small window of preparation conditions, where the cation-rich surface is exposed to hydrogen.

The $\beta^2$-2×4, the $2\times2$-4P-6H, and, in particular the $2\times2$-2D-2H structures are far more prominent in the calculated surface phase diagram. They correspond to P-rich surfaces without hydrogen, in extremely hydrogen-rich, and at intermediate conditions, respectively. In case of the $2\times2$-2D-2H structure, an occupied bound surface state is observed that extends slightly above the bulk valence band maximum (VBM), see Fig. 5. This state is mainly related to the dangling bonds on the up atom of the phosphorus dimer. Exposing the P-rich AlInP(001) surface to very hydrogen-rich conditions leads to the $2\times2$-4P-6H structure and removes essentially all surface states from the band gap region. In fact, the only remaining surface state occurs at about 0.2 eV below the bulk VBM and corresponds to dangling bonds at under-coordinated surface P atoms. In case of the hydrogen-free $\beta^2$-2×4 surface, an occupied surface state is observed at about 0.2 eV above the bulk VBM. This only weakly dispersive feature corresponds to an anti-bonding $\pi^*$ combination of $p_z$ orbitals localized at the third-layer P dimer. Additionally, an empty surface state extends slightly into the region of the bulk band gap. It is related to empty dangling bonds located at the three-fold coordinated second-layer cations. It is mainly In localized, but also has some Al contribution.

Generally, with the exception of the $2\times1$-AlMD-2H and $2\times2$-2InMD-2H structures discussed above, it is found that all stable surfaces are characterized by occupied surface states close to the bulk VBM that are derived from surface anions or anion-cation-bonds. The unoccupied surface states occur close to the bulk conduction band minimum and are cation related.
CONCLUSIONS

In summary, it is shown that the AllnP(001) surface produced in the MOVPE environment is composed of a complete layer of phosphorus dimers. Half of the P dangling bonds on the dimers are hydrogen saturated, and the other half are filled with lone pairs of electrons. These lone pairs form a bound surface state slightly above the valence band maximum. DFT calculations suggest the existence of further structures, depending on the surface preparation conditions. P-rich surfaces are characterized by P dimers, while Al-P and In-P hetero-dimers form for more cation-rich surface preparation conditions. Most stable surface structures obey the electron counting rule. Dimer-related surface states are found close to the bulk valence band maximum. Exposure of the surface to extreme hydrogen-rich conditions is predicted to quench the dimerization and to remove all surface states from the region of the bulk band gap. For cation- and hydrogen-rich preparation conditions, metal atomic wires may form on the surface. They give rise to quasi-one-dimensional surface bands that pin the Fermi energy in the mid gap region.
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