Scalable, Chemoselective Ni-Electrocatalytic Sulfinylation of Aryl Halides with SO2

Terry Shing-Bong Lou,^a Yu Kawamata,^a Tamara Ewing,^a Guillermo A. Correa-Otero,^a Michael R. Collins,^b and Phil S. Baran^{*a}

^a Department of Chemistry, Scripps Research, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA.

^b Oncology Medicinal Chemistry Department, Pfizer Pharmaceuticals, 10770 Science Center Drive, San Diego, CA 92121, USA

* Correspondence to: pbaran@scripps.edu

ABSTRACT

Simple access to aryl sulfinates from aryl iodides and bromides is reported using an inexpensive Ni-electrocatalytic protocol. The reaction exhibits a broad scope, uses stock solution of simple SO_2 as sulfur source, and can be scaled up in batch and recycle flow settings. The limitations of this reaction are clearly shown and put into context by benchmarking with state-of-the-art Pd-based methods.

MAIN TEXT

Sulfur-containing functional groups in the S(VI)-oxidation state appended to arenes are widespread in products of societal importance such as medicines, agrochemicals, and other functional materials.¹⁻⁸ One of the most convenient precursors to these essential derivatives is any sulfinates, existing in the S(IV)-oxidation state. These can be considered as versatile gateway intermediates to numerous desirable functionalities in either the S(IV) or S(VI) oxidation state such as sulfoxides, sulfinate esters, sulfinamides, sulfinyl chlorides, sulfonamides, sulfonyl halides, sulfones, and sulfonate esters (Figure 1A).⁹⁻¹⁶ As such, there is burgeoning interest in accessing aryl sulfinates directly from readily available aryl precursors through canonical cross-coupling logic (Figure 1B).¹⁷⁻²¹ While SO₂ is ambiphilic in nature, it commonly reacts as an electrophile with carbanion equivalents.²²⁻²⁵ For instance, boronic acids have been employed using a variety of transition metals (e.g. Pd(II), ²⁶⁻²⁹ Cu(I), ³⁰⁻³², Cu(II), ³³ Ni(II), ¹⁴ Au(I), ^{34, 35} and Ru(II)³⁶) or even Bi-catalysis³⁷ along with various SO₂ sources such as DABSO, K₂S₂O₅, or SO₂ itself. Unlike aryl boronic acids, the use of aryl halides is akin to a cross-electrophile coupling, which is more challenging as this requires exogenous reductant to balance the redox. The use of aryl halides under Pd-catalysis was pioneered by the groups of Pfizer,³⁸ Willis,³⁹⁻⁴² and Ball⁴³ to access a range of useful S(VI) derivatives. All of these processes proceed through the intermediacy of aryl sulfinates and require in situ oxidation to their S(VI) analogs. Aryl diazonium species have also been employed in a Sandmeyer process in flow using a solution of SO_2 .⁴⁴ Finally, electrochemical C-H sulfonvlation has been developed by the Waldvogel group to convert electron rich arenes to valuable S(VI) derivatives.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷ Building on prior work from this lab on the development of Ni-electrocatalytic amination^{48, 49} and etherification⁵⁰ of aryl halides we now present an analogously useful method for aryl sulfinate synthesis using simple SO_2 as a sulfur source (Figure 1C). The current method is benchmarked against known Pd-based methods, exhibits good chemoselectivity across a range of substrates, and can be easily scaled up in both batch and recycle flow settings.



Figure 1. (**A**) Aryl sulfinates as the gateway to various S(IV) and S(VI) functional groups. (**B**) Established metalcatalyzed sulfinylation for the formation of various sulfonyl-containing compounds. (**C**) Recent advancement of Ni catalysis enabled by electrochemistry.

The development of a workable electrochemical aryl sulfinate synthesis from the corresponding halide proved challenging stemming from the redox active nature of SO₂ combined with its ability to interfere with Ni-catalysis. Unlike Ni-electrocatalytic amination or etherification reactions, which are redox neutral, sulfinylation is a net reductive process. By employing an electrochemical reaction mode, exogenous reductant can be replaced by electrons through the use of a sacrificial anode. Preliminary analysis of all constituents of the reaction (Ni catalyst, aryl halide, SO₂) revealed that SO₂ would be subject to preferential reduction with a mild reduction potential of only -0.78 V vs Ag/AgCl in CH₃CN. This is particularly problematic because the reduced radical anion could dimerize to form dithionite dianion, or form aggregates with SO₂.⁵¹ Although super-stoichiometric SO₂ could be employed to overcome this, excessive SO₂ can poison Ni catalysts. Various SO₂ surrogates have been developed and used over the last decade, however, their limited solubility in organic solvents at room temperature make them poor candidates for use in a simple electrochemical setup. Despite these concerns, optimization was deliberately focused on the use of SO₂ in a stock solution (in dimethylacetamide, DMA) of controlled concentration (as determined by iodometry)

due to its inexpensive nature and high solubility. In support of this choice, the use of SO₂ solutions in electrochemical reactions have been documented in as early as 1960s,⁵²⁻⁵⁵ and has recently been reviewed by Waldvogel.²⁵ Furthermore, SO₂ readily forms Lewis adducts with amines, so a sterically hindered tertiary amine could in principle form an amine-SO₂ complex *in-situ* to overcome issues of aggregation and catalyst poisoning.^{56, 57}

We began by evaluating the known Pd-catalyzed sulfinylation of aryl halides on substrate **1** (Table 1A). These conditions often require sterically hindered, electron-rich phosphine ligands, such as AmPhos^{41, 42} and PAd₂Bu^{39, 43} which impede their effectiveness with ortho-substituted and electron-rich substrates. Not surprisingly, various reported Pd-catalyzed methods delivered the corresponding sulfinate **2** in only modest yield. Finally, no sulfinate product was detected using a Ni catalyst which was optimized for boronic acids.¹⁴

With those background results in hand, attention turned to the development of a workable Ni-electrocatalytic solution. In the fully optimized system, 5 mol% of preformed Ni(dtbpy)₃Br₂ complex was used as a catalyst, and a stock solution of SO₂ in DMA was used as the S source. The stock solution can be conveniently prepared in-house by simply bubbling SO₂ gas into the solvent, and can be stored in a sealed vessel at 4 °C. In fact, a 5.6 M solution still retained 84% of its SO₂ content after repeated usage for 6 months. *N*,*N*-Diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) and *N*-methylphthalimide (NMPI) (0.5 equiv each) were added to the reaction, and 3 equiv of tetra-*n*-butylammonium bromide (TBABr) was employed as the electrolyte. The electrolysis was performed in acetonitrile, using a standard ElectraSyn 2.0 potentiostat, with Zn anode and Ni foam cathode, at 4 mA (or 5 mA/cm²) for 6 F/mol. The reaction was conducted over a nitrogen atmosphere without the need for a glovebox. To simplify the isolation and quantification of the e-sulfinylation reaction, sulfinates were converted *in situ* into the stable sulfonyl fluorides. Sulfonyl fluorides are a versatile functional group, capable of SuFEx Click chemistry,^{58, 59} acting as a covalent warhead in protein inhibitors,⁶⁰⁻⁶² and as synthetic intermediates to other S(VI) derivatives.^{42, 43, 63-69} The fluorination was optimized and performed in the same pot after sulfinylation, using inexpensive TBAF and *N*-chlorosuccinimide (NCS).

The optimization of an electrocatalytic reaction is not as complicated as it may seem.^{70,71} Although numerous variables not associated with canonical organic methodology need to be evaluated such as electrode, electrolyte, and current, the results are independently optimizable. Thus, keeping all variables constant, the individual variables can be systemically optimized and combined to give higher yields. A graphical summary is presented in Table 1B to show representative reaction permutations from the entire optimization process. To highlight a few important factors, it was found that 3 equiv of TBABr was needed to produce sulfinate in a good yield. While SO₂ is capable to form Lewis adduct with amines in a 1:1 ratio, 0.5 equiv of DIPEA with 2 equiv of SO₂ elicited a marginally higher yield. This is likely due to the instability of DIPEA-SO₂ adduct⁷² – allowing a rapid equilibrium between the Lewis adduct and free SO₂, while preventing aggregation between SO₂ and its radical anion. CH₃CN was found to be the best solvent, but when an acetonitrile stock solution of SO₂ was used, an impermeable black passivation layer was formed on the Zn anode, which eventually insulates the electrode and halts the electrolysis. In contrast, when a DMA stock solution was employed, deposits forming at the electrode peeled off leading to the choice of a 9:1 CH₃CN/DMA mixture as reaction solvent. Control experiments have shown that all of the components are necessary for a successful sulfinylation. Omission of the Ni catalyst or DIPEA resulted in no sulfinate formation. Electricity is also needed for

the reaction to proceed, as no sulfinate was formed when stirred with Zn dust. Inspired by a recent report on the use of perylene bisimide mediators⁷³ in facilitating reductive reactions, such compounds were also screened (see supporting information for list). From that study the addition of NMPI was found beneficial, where its absence led to a drastic drop in yield.

Table 1. (A) Optimized conditions for one-pot sulfonyl fluoride synthesis through electrochemical sulfinylation and fluorination, and comparison of sulfinate 2 formation from 2-iodothioanisole (1) with established conditions. (B) Evaluation of the effect of various reaction parameters.



^{*a*} HPLC yields of sulfinate **2**. ^{*b*} Yields of sulfonyl fluoride **3** determined by ¹⁹F-NMR spectroscopy.

When Selectfluor or NFSI was employed in fluorination, which is commonly employed to convert sulfinates into sulfonyl fluorides, little sulfonyl fluoride **3** was detected. This is likely due to the high concentration of other halides (Br and I) in the pot which can compete with sulfinate for oxidation. As increasing the amount of the fluorinating agents up to 4 equiv was found to be ineffective, more cost-effective options were pursued using TBAF as a fluoride source and NCS as an oxidant (4 equiv each). This delivered the sulfonyl fluoride **3** in 61% NMR yield (60% isolated), which is comparable to the sulfinylation HPLC yield (63%).

With the established optimized conditions for any sulfinate synthesis, the substrate scope was explored. To improve the handling of the sulfinylated product, all sulfinates were fluorinated and isolated as sulfonyl fluorides (Table 2). In general, the Ni-catalyzed electrochemical sulfinylation, i.e. e-sulfinylation, works well on aryl iodides with various electron-donating and withdrawing groups, with a great tolerance to different substituents, particularly at the orthoposition (a notable limitation in Pd-catalyzed sulfinylation). Electron-deficient aryl bromides were also successfully converted into sulfinates and isolated as sulfonyl fluorides. Aryl sulfonyl fluorides substituted with one or more electron-donating groups, such as methyl groups (4 and 5), methoxy ethers (6–8), a 1,3-benzodioxole (9), a 2-amino group (10), and a *tert*-butyl carbamate (11) were isolated in modest to good yields. Electron-neutral substrates, such as 2-thioanisole (3) and 2-biphenyl (12) were also converted effectively. For comparison, established Pd conditions were also performed on these substrates, yet these usually only resulted in poor to modest yields, as determined by ¹⁹F-NMR spectroscopy. In particular, the *ortho*-substituted thioanisole (3) and aniline (10) were poor substrates in Pd-catalysis, possibly due to their coordination to Pd. In contrast, this was not the case in e-sulfinylation, as both substrates were able to deliver sulfonyl fluorides in good 60% and 51% yields, respectively. Next, a series of sulfonyl fluorides were synthesized electrolytically from electron-deficient aryl iodides, showing good tolerance to a range of functional groups, including reductively labile halides, such as F (13), Cl (14), and Br (15), amide (16), trifluoromethyl (17), 2-methylbenzothiazole (18), ketone (19), ester (20), carboxylic acid (21), and nitrile (22, 23). Whilst state-of-the-art Pd catalysis performed comparably, and sometimes better, on these substrates, the synthesis of 19 and 23 were unsuccessful, likely due to their Lewis basicity. Notably, when 1-bromo-4-iodobenzene was employed, excellent chemoselectivity was achieved with Ni-catalyzed e-sulfinylation - only the iodide was converted into sulfinate, then sulforyl fluoride 15. The C–Br bond remained intact and no 4-iodobenzenesulforyl fluoride 15a was detected; in contrast, poor selectivity between the bromide and iodide was observed under both benchmarked Pd conditions, resulting in a mixture of 15 and 15a, which have similar polarity and hence are difficult to separate.

In addition, the viability of electrochemical sulfinylation on aryl bromides bearing an electron-withdrawing group was showcased, including nitrile (23, 24), sulfone (25), ketone (26), and ester (27) functionalities. In these cases, 2 equiv of NFSI was used for the fluorination step. Furthermore, the preparation of substituted 3- and 4-pyridine sulfonyl fluorides from the corresponding iodides were also successful in the presence of an electron-donating group, such as a 2-methoxy (28, 30) and a 2-amino group (29), despite 3-iodopyridine only delivered 36 in 25% yield. These were found to be challenging under Pd conditions as well, which only delivered 28 and 29 in modest 28% and 21% yield, respectively. Meanwhile, a few unsuccessful examples are listed to acknowledge the limitations of the current method, which gave low yields in the presence of a phenol (31), an aldehyde (32) or a nitro group (33). Only trace amount of sulfonyl fluorides was recorded when β -iodostyrene (34) and 4-iodo-1-methylpyrazole (35) were employed.



Table 2. Reaction scope of sulfonyl fluoride using Ni-electrocatalysis.

^a Isolated yields presented. ^{b 19}F-NMR yields. ^c NFSI (1.5 equiv) was used in fluorination in place of TBAF and NCS.

To further highlight the excellent selectivity and versatility of the current method, 4-iodophenylboronic acid pinacol ester (**37**) was subjected to e-sulfinylation, as depicted in Figure 2A. Although typical fluorination of sulfinate **38** resulted in partial substitution on the boronic ester, the addition of KHF₂ (4 equiv) enabled its full conversion into trifluoroborate – a versatile functionality in cross-coupling reactions. The preservation of the C–B bond enabled efficient access to the bifunctional sulfonyl fluoride **39** in a 57% overall isolated yield. Meanwhile, the two benchmarked Pd conditions reported by the Ball and Willis laboratories, with the addition of KHF₂, delivered **39** in 24% and 50%, respectively. Whilst the sulfinylation of aryl boronic acids using metal catalysis has been well documented, for instance, 4-iodophenylboronic acid was converted into 4-iodobenzene sulfinate using a Ni catalyst,¹⁴

this method demonstrates an orthogonal reactivity for accessing sulfinylated product carrying a cross-coupling handle. The capability of further coupling reactivities would make **39** another candidate as SuFEx building block for the quick installation of arylsulfonyl fluoride module.⁷⁴ On the other hand, sulfinate **38** can be alkylated by 4-methylbenzyl bromide to produce sulfone **40** directly in 62%. Finally, a sulfonamide, a functional group commonly found in active pharmaceutical ingredients, could also be accessed easily through a sulfonyl chloride generated *in situ*, as evidenced by the formation of **41** in 51%. All these transformations of sulfinate **38** were conveniently performed in a one-pot manner – no additional work up is needed prior to the derivatizations, hence proving the compatibility of various ingredients in e-sulfinylation with these downstream reactions.



Figure 2. (A) One-pot derivatization of aryl sulfinate **38** into various sulfonyl functional groups. (B) Scale-up reaction in (I) gram scale using ElectraSyn 2.0 setup, (II) multigram scale in capped reagent bottle, and (III) decagram scale in recycle flow system. ^{*a*} TBAF (4 equiv), NCS (4 equiv), rt, 0.5 h, then aq. KHF₂ (4 equiv), rt, 2 h. ^{*b*} 4-MeC₆H₄CH₂Br (2 equiv), rt, 1 h. ^{*c*} Morpholine (3 equiv), NCS (4 equiv), rt, 1 h. ^{*d*} Refer to Table 2 and supporting information for comparison conditions, followed by the addition of aq. KHF₂ (4 equiv).

In order to capitalize on the superior functional group tolerance and chemoselectivity over canonical methods using expensive Pd catalysts, the scalability of the developed method was illustrated in Figure 2B, as exemplified by the sulfinylation of 1-bromo-4-iodobenzene **42**. When 1 g of substrate was employed, this produced the sulfonyl

fluoride 15 in 53%, which is comparable to a 0.3 mmol-scale reaction. In fact, scaling up the electrochemical reaction was straightforward in this case. A brief optimization revealed that the Ni catalyst loading can be lowered to 2 mol% without sacrificing efficiency or yield. Current density, i.e. current per unit area of each of the electrode, should be maintained at 5 mA/cm². A larger immersed area of electrodes enabled a higher current to be applied, and therefore shortened the time required for the electrolysis. The concentration with respect to the substrate has little impact on the reaction when above 0.1 M, which allows flexible adjustment of the solvent volume to achieve the optimum current density. To demonstrate the ease of scaling up the reaction, a 20-mL vial was used with the ElectraSyn 2.0 potentiostat (Figure 2B-I). A larger Zn electrode was constructed by tying up small Zn plates together using stainless steel wire; the Ni foam electrode was simply cut into the desired dimensions. Using this set-up, 25 mA of current was applied and the electrolysis was completed in 23 h with 6 F/mol of electricity passed. Alternatively, scaling up in batch was also achieved using a capped reagent bottle at 10-mmol scale, forming sulfonyl fluoride 15 in a similar 51% yield (Figure 2B-II). With more room to accommodate larger pieces of Zn and Ni foam electrodes, a constant current of 90 mA was applied, and the e-sulfinylation was completed in 18 h. Furthermore, a 45-mmol scale reaction (12.7 g of aryl iodide 42) was also performed using a recycle flow system (Figure 2B-III). While the use of copper tubing to connect the flow reactor with the pump and the reservoir was found crucial for a successful electrolysis, no further optimization was needed. By applying a constant current of 0.45 A for 16 h, 50% of sulforyl fluoride 15 was yielded upon fluorination (see supporting information for details). This evidenced the compatibility of using SO_2 as a reagent with electrochemistry and flow chemistry, as well as the workability of scaling up the reaction in both batch and flow settings.

Since the e-sulfinylation is a net reductive process, its mechanism is clearly distinct from the established electrochemical amination^{48, 49} and etherification⁵⁰ reactions. A cyclic voltammetry study (Figure 3A) revealed an irreversible reduction of SO₂ at -0.78 V against Ag/AgCl standard electrode in CH₃CN, and a reversible reduction at similar potential when DIPEA was present, indicating that the amine coordination to SO_2 profoundly changes its redox behavior. Since diminished yield of sulfinate 2 was observed without DIPEA, long-lived SO_2^{-} might be beneficial for an efficient reaction. When the reaction was monitored by HPLC throughout its entire course (Figure 3B), little conversion of anyl iodide 1 and NMPI was observed during the first 2 F/mol. As 2 equiv of SO_2 was employed, this is in agreement with the preferential reduction of SO_2 over other constituents in the reaction. Therefore, it is proposed that the plausible mechanism would first involve single-electron reduction of SO₂, followed by the reduction of the Ni(II) complex (Figure 3C, step I). The low-valent Ni species would undergo oxidative addition with aryl iodide (step II), and SO2. would substitute iodide and reduce the Ni center (step III). The SO₂-coordinated aryl Ni complex then undergoes SO₂ insertion to give an Ni-sulfinate species (step IV), where substitution by a halide releases any sulfinate product from the Ni center (step V). While a workable yield of sulfinate 2 was recorded in the absence of NMPI, it is thought that NMPI acted as a reductive mediator,^{75, 76} which also mitigates direct reduction of aryl iodide 1 on the cathode. Although an Ni(I/III/II) system is proposed herein, we cannot exclude the involvement of other possible low-valent Ni-catalytic cycles.



Figure 3. (A) Cyclic voltammograms of SO₂ alone (grey dotted line), SO₂ with DIPEA (blue line), Ni(dtbpy)₃Br₂ (red line), NMPI (green line), and 2-iodoanisole (1) (yellow line), which were taken with *n*-Bu₄NBF₄ [0.1 M] as electrolyte in CH₃CN, glassy carbon working electrode, Ag/AgCl as reference, at 298 K. Scan rate = 200 mV s⁻¹. (B) Reaction profile of the e-sulfinylation of 2-iodoanisole (1) over a course of 8 F/mol, with the amount of aryl iodide 1 (yellow squares), sulfinate product 2 (red dots), anisole (blue triangles), and NMPI (green diamonds) determined by HPLC using 4,4'-di-*tert*-butylbiphenyl as internal standard. (C) Proposed mechanism for e-sulfinylation.

In conclusion, the first Ni-catalyzed sulfinylation of aryl halides has been enabled at room temperature using electrochemistry. The employment of an inexpensive catalyst and SO_2 stock solution not only provides a mild and economical alternative to canonical Pd catalysis, but also represents a chemoselective method with admirable tolerance to various functional groups that is currently absent in other methods. The scalability and practicality of this reaction were demonstrated in both batch and flow, with convenient access to various S(VI) functional groups, such as sulfonyl fluorides, sulfone and sulfonamide, in a one-pot fashion.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Experimental procedures, tables of optimization, cyclic voltammograms, reaction profile, and characterization of compounds.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

* pbaran@scripps.edu

Author Contributions

TSBL and PSB conceptualized the study, TSBL, YK, MRC, PSB designed experiments, TSBL, TE, GACO performed experiments, TSBL and YK analyzed experimental data, TSBL and PSB wrote the manuscript, and YK and MRC proofread the manuscript.

Notes

Authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Financial support for this work was provided by National Science Foundation Center for Synthetic Organic Electrochemistry (CHE-2002158), National Institutes of Health (GM-118176), and Croucher Foundation (postdoctoral fellowship to T.S.-B.L.). We thank Dr. D.-H. Huang and Dr. L. Pasternack (Scripps Research) for assistance with NMR spectroscopy, Dr. J. Chen, B. Sanchez, Q. Nguyen, and E. Sturgell (Scripps Automated Synthesis Facility) for assistance with LCMS and HRMS.

REFERENCES

- 1. Kleemann, A.; Engel, J., Pharmaceutical Substances: Syntheses, Patents, Applications. Thieme: 1999.
- 2. McGrath, N. A.; Brichacek, M.; Njardarson, J. T., A Graphical Journey of Innovative Organic Architectures That Have Improved Our Lives. *J. Chem. Educ.* **2010**, *87* (12), 1348-1349.
- 3. Winum, J.-Y.; Scozzafava, A.; Montero, J.-L.; Supuran, C. T., Therapeutic potential of sulfamides as enzyme inhibitors. *Med. Res. Rev.* 2006, *26* (6), 767-792.
- 4. Appleby, A. P.; Müller, F.; Carpy, S., Weed Control. In Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, 2001.
- 5. Markwardt, F.; Drawert, J.; Walsmann, P., Synthetic low molecular weight inhibitors of serum kallikrein. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **1974**, *23* (16), 2247-2256.
- 6. Dong, J.; Sharpless, K. B.; Kwisnek, L.; Oakdale, J. S.; Fokin, V. V., SuFEx-Based Synthesis of Polysulfates. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53* (36), 9466-9470.
- 7. Chan, W.-H.; Lam-Leung, S. Y.; Ng, C.-F.; Wang, S.-S., Synthesis, characterization and reverse osmosis performance of poly(amide-sulfonamide)s. *Polymer* **1993**, *34* (20), 4377-4381.
- 8. Ager, D. J.; Pantaleone, D. P.; Henderson, S. A.; Katritzky, A. R.; Prakash, I.; Walters, D. E., Commercial, Synthetic Nonnutritive Sweeteners. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1998**, *37* (13-14), 1802-1817.

- Lenstra, D. C.; Vedovato, V.; Ferrer Flegeau, E.; Maydom, J.; Willis, M. C., One-Pot Sulfoxide Synthesis Exploiting a Sulfinyl-Dication Equivalent Generated from a DABSO/Trimethylsilyl Chloride Sequence. *Org. Lett.* 2016, 18 (9), 2086-9.
- Lo, P. K. T.; Oliver, G. A.; Willis, M. C., Sulfinamide Synthesis Using Organometallic Reagents, DABSO, and Amines. J. Org. Chem. 2020, 85 (9), 5753-5760.
- 11. Gafur, S. H.; Waggoner, S. L.; Jacobsen, E.; Hamaker, C. G.; Hitchcock, S. R., Efficient Synthesis of Sulfinate Esters and Sulfinamides via Activated- Esters of p-Toluenesulfinic Acid. *Synthesis* **2018**, *50* (24), 4855-4866.
- 12. Furukawa, M.; Okawara, T., Convenient Syntheses of Sulfinamide Derivatives. *Synthesis* **1976**, *1976* (05), 339-340.
- 13. Liang, S.; Hofman, K.; Friedrich, M.; Manolikakes, G., Recent Advances in the Synthesis and Direct Application of Sulfinate Salts. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2020**, *2020* (30), 4664-4676.
- 14. Lo, P. K. T.; Chen, Y.; Willis, M. C., Nickel(II)-Catalyzed Synthesis of Sulfinates from Aryl and Heteroaryl Boronic Acids and the Sulfur Dioxide Surrogate DABSO. *ACS Catalysis* **2019**, *9* (12), 10668-10673.
- Banks, R. E.; Besheesh, M. K.; Mohialdin-Khaffaf, S. N.; Sharif, I., N-Halogeno compounds. Part 18. 1-Alkyl-4-fluoro-1,4-diazoniabicyclo[2.2.2]octane salts: user-friendly site-selective electrophilic fluorinating agents of the N-fluoroammonium class. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1996, (16), 2069-2076.
- 16. Reddy, R. J.; Kumari, A. H., Synthesis and applications of sodium sulfinates (RSO2Na): a powerful building block for the synthesis of organosulfur compounds. *RSC Adv.* **2021**, *11* (16), 9130-9221.
- 17. Liu, G.; Fan, C.; Wu, J., Fixation of sulfur dioxide into small molecules. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13 (6), 1592-1599.
- Andrews, J. A.; Willis, M. C., DABSO A Reagent to Revolutionize Organosulfur Chemistry. *Synthesis* 2022, 54 (07), 1695-1707.
- 19. Sahoo, A. K.; Dahiya, A.; Rakshit, A.; Patel, B. K., The Renaissance of Alkali Metabisulfites as SO2 Surrogates. *SynOpen* **2021**, *05* (03), 232-251.
- 20. Pelzer, G.; Keim, W., Palladium-catalyzed synthesis of sulfinic acids from aryldiazonium tetrafluoroborates, sulfur dioxide and hydrogen. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **1999**, *139* (2), 235-238.
- Alvarez, E. M.; Plutschack, M. B.; Berger, F.; Ritter, T., Site-Selective C–H Functionalization–Sulfination Sequence to Access Aryl Sulfonamides. *Org. Lett.* 2020, 22 (12), 4593-4596.
- 22. Emmett, E. J.; Willis, M. C., The Development and Application of Sulfur Dioxide Surrogates in Synthetic Organic Chemistry. *Asian J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *4* (7), 602-611.
- Hamada, T.; Yonemitsu, O., An Improved Synthesis of Arylsulfonyl Chlorides from Aryl Halides. *Synthesis* 1986, 1986 (10), 852-854.
- Woolven, H.; González-Rodríguez, C.; Marco, I.; Thompson, A. L.; Willis, M. C., DABCO-Bis(sulfur dioxide), DABSO, as a Convenient Source of Sulfur Dioxide for Organic Synthesis: Utility in Sulfonamide and Sulfamide Preparation. *Org. Lett.* 2011, *13* (18), 4876-4878.
- 25. Blum, S. P.; Hofman, K.; Manolikakes, G.; Waldvogel, S. R., Advances in photochemical and electrochemical incorporation of sulfur dioxide for the synthesis of value-added compounds. *Chem. Commun.* **2021**, *57* (67), 8236-8249.

- Shavnya, A.; Hesp, K. D.; Mascitti, V.; Smith, A. C., Palladium-Catalyzed Synthesis of (Hetero)Aryl Alkyl Sulfones from (Hetero)Aryl Boronic Acids, Unactivated Alkyl Halides, and Potassium Metabisulfite. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2015, *54* (46), 13571-13575.
- Deeming, A. S.; Russell, C. J.; Willis, M. C., Palladium(II)-Catalyzed Synthesis of Sulfinates from Boronic Acids and DABSO: A Redox-Neutral, Phosphine-Free Transformation. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, 55 (2), 747-50.
- Wang, M.; Zhao, J.; Jiang, X., Aryl Methyl Sulfone Construction from Eco-Friendly Inorganic Sulfur Dioxide and Methyl Reagents. *ChemSusChem* 2019, *12* (13), 3064-3068.
- 29. Vedovato, V.; Talbot, E. P. A.; Willis, M. C., Copper-Catalyzed Synthesis of Activated Sulfonate Esters from Boronic Acids, DABSO, and Pentafluorophenol. *Org. Lett.* **2018**, *20* (17), 5493-5496.
- 30. Mao, R.; Zheng, D.; Xia, H.; Wu, J., Copper(i)-catalyzed sulfonylation of (2-alkynylaryl)boronic acids with DABSO. *Org. Chem. Front.* **2016**, *3* (6), 693-696.
- Chen, Y.; Willis, M. C., Copper(i)-catalyzed sulfonylative Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling. *Chem. Sci.* 2017, 8 (4), 3249-3253.
- 32. Wang, X.; Yang, M.; Kuang, Y.; Liu, J.-B.; Fan, X.; Wu, J., Copper-catalyzed synthesis of sulfonamides from nitroarenes via the insertion of sulfur dioxide. *Chem. Commun.* **2020**, *56* (23), 3437-3440.
- 33. Chen, Y.; Murray, P. R. D.; Davies, A. T.; Willis, M. C., Direct Copper-Catalyzed Three-Component Synthesis of Sulfonamides. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140 (28), 8781-8787.
- 34. Zhu, H.; Shen, Y.; Wen, D.; Le, Z.-G.; Tu, T., Selective Synthesis of ortho-Substituted Diarylsulfones by Using NHC-Au Catalysts under Mild Conditions. *Org. Lett.* **2019**, *21* (4), 974-979.
- 35. Johnson, M. W.; Bagley, S. W.; Mankad, N. P.; Bergman, R. G.; Mascitti, V.; Toste, F. D., Application of Fundamental Organometallic Chemistry to the Development of a Gold-Catalyzed Synthesis of Sulfinate Derivatives. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53* (17), 4404-4407.
- Gulbe, K.; Turks, M., Synthesis of Sulfones via Ru(II)-Catalyzed Sulfination of Boronic Acids. J. Org. Chem. 2020, 85 (8), 5660-5669.
- 37. Magre, M.; Cornella, J., Redox-Neutral Organometallic Elementary Steps at Bismuth: Catalytic Synthesis of Aryl Sulfonyl Fluorides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143* (51), 21497-21502.
- 38. Shavnya, A.; Coffey, S. B.; Smith, A. C.; Mascitti, V., Palladium-Catalyzed Sulfination of Aryl and Heteroaryl Halides: Direct Access to Sulfones and Sulfonamides. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15* (24), 6226-6229.
- 39. Emmett, E. J.; Hayter, B. R.; Willis, M. C., Palladium-catalyzed synthesis of ammonium sulfinates from aryl halides and a sulfur dioxide surrogate: a gas- and reductant-free process. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53* (38), 10204-8.
- 40. Flegeau, E. F.; Harrison, J. M.; Willis, M. C., One-Pot Sulfonamide Synthesis Exploiting the Palladium-Catalyzed Sulfination of Aryl Iodides. *Synlett* **2016**, *27* (01), 101-105.
- 41. Davies, A. T.; Curto, J. M.; Bagley, S. W.; Willis, M. C., One-pot palladium-catalyzed synthesis of sulfonyl fluorides from aryl bromides. *Chem. Sci.* **2017**, *8* (2), 1233-1237.
- 42. Lou, T. S.-B.; Bagley, S. W.; Willis, M. C., Cyclic Alkenylsulfonyl Fluorides: Palladium-Catalyzed Synthesis and Functionalization of Compact Multifunctional Reagents. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58* (52), 18859-18863.
- 43. Tribby, A. L.; Rodriguez, I.; Shariffudin, S.; Ball, N. D., Pd-Catalyzed Conversion of Aryl Iodides to Sulfonyl Fluorides Using SO2 Surrogate DABSO and Selectfluor. *J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, *82* (4), 2294-2299.

- Malet-Sanz, L.; Madrzak, J.; Ley, S. V.; Baxendale, I. R., Preparation of arylsulfonyl chlorides by chlorosulfonylation of in situ generated diazonium salts using a continuous flow reactor. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2010, 8 (23), 5324-5332.
- 45. Blum, S. P.; Schaffer, L.; Schollmeyer, D.; Waldvogel, S. R., Electrochemical synthesis of sulfamides. *Chem. Commun.* **2021**, *57* (39), 4775-4778.
- 46. Blum, S. P.; Schollmeyer, D.; Turks, M.; Waldvogel, S. R., Metal- and Reagent-Free Electrochemical Synthesis of Alkyl Arylsulfonates in a Multi-Component Reaction. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2020**, *26* (38), 8358-8362.
- Blum, S. P.; Karakaya, T.; Schollmeyer, D.; Klapars, A.; Waldvogel, S. R., Metal-Free Electrochemical Synthesis of Sulfonamides Directly from (Hetero)arenes, SO2, and Amines. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2021, 60 (10), 5056-5062.
- Kawamata, Y.; Vantourout, J. C.; Hickey, D. P.; Bai, P.; Chen, L.; Hou, Q.; Qiao, W.; Barman, K.; Edwards, M. A.; Garrido-Castro, A. F.; deGruyter, J. N.; Nakamura, H.; Knouse, K.; Qin, C.; Clay, K. J.; Bao, D.; Li, C.; Starr, J. T.; Garcia-Irizarry, C.; Sach, N.; White, H. S.; Neurock, M.; Minteer, S. D.; Baran, P. S., Electrochemically Driven, Ni-Catalyzed Aryl Amination: Scope, Mechanism, and Applications. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2019, *141* (15), 6392-6402.
- Li, C.; Kawamata, Y.; Nakamura, H.; Vantourout, J. C.; Liu, Z.; Hou, Q.; Bao, D.; Starr, J. T.; Chen, J.; Yan, M.; Baran, P. S., Electrochemically Enabled, Nickel-Catalyzed Amination. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2017, 56 (42), 13088-13093.
- Zhang, H. J.; Chen, L.; Oderinde, M. S.; Edwards, J. T.; Kawamata, Y.; Baran, P. S., Chemoselective, Scalable Nickel-Electrocatalytic O-Arylation of Alcohols. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2021, 60 (38), 20700-20705.
- Martin, R. P.; Sawyer, D. T., Electrochemical reduction of sulfur dioxide in dimethylformamide. *Inorg. Chem.* 1972, 11 (11), 2644-2647.
- 52. Knittel, D.; Kastening, B., An electrosynthesis of sulphones. J. Appl. Electrochem. 1973, 3 (4), 291-296.
- 53. Seo, E. T.; Sawyer, D. T., Electrochemical oxidation of dissolved sulphur dioxide at platinum and gold electrodes. *Electrochim. Acta* **1965**, *10* (3), 239-252.
- 54. Wille, H. J.; Knittel, D.; Kastening, B.; Mergel, J., Electrosynthesis of sulphones: coupled cathodic-anodic process. *J. Appl. Electrochem.* **1980**, *10* (4), 489-494.
- 55. Knittel, D., Cathodic reduction of SO2 in the presence of organic dihalides. *Monatshefte für Chemie Chemical Monthly* **1982**, *113* (1), 37-41.
- Hata, T.; Kinumaki, S., Reactions of Ammonia and Aliphatic Amines with Sulphur Dioxide. *Nature* 1964, 203 (4952), 1378-1379.
- 57. Douglas, J. E.; Kollman, P. A., Trimethylamine-SO2, the prototype strong charge-transfer complex. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978**, *100* (16), 5226-5227.
- Dong, J.; Krasnova, L.; Finn, M. G.; Sharpless, K. B., Sulfur(VI) fluoride exchange (SuFEx): another good reaction for click chemistry. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2014, 53 (36), 9430-48.
- 59. Barrow, A. S.; Smedley, C. J.; Zheng, Q.; Li, S.; Dong, J.; Moses, J. E., The growing applications of SuFEx click chemistry. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2019, 48 (17), 4731-4758.
- 60. Jones, L. H.; Kelly, J. W., Structure-based design and analysis of SuFEx chemical probes. *RSC Med. Chem.* **2020**, *11* (1), 10-17.

- Zheng, Q.; Woehl, J. L.; Kitamura, S.; Santos-Martins, D.; Smedley, C. J.; Li, G.; Forli, S.; Moses, J. E.; Wolan, D. W.; Sharpless, K. B., SuFEx-enabled, agnostic discovery of covalent inhibitors of human neutrophil elastase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 2019, *116* (38), 18808-18814.
- Grimster, N. P.; Connelly, S.; Baranczak, A.; Dong, J.; Krasnova, L. B.; Sharpless, K. B.; Powers, E. T.; Wilson, I. A.; Kelly, J. W., Aromatic Sulfonyl Fluorides Covalently Kinetically Stabilize Transthyretin to Prevent Amyloidogenesis while Affording a Fluorescent Conjugate. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, *135* (15), 5656-5668.
- 63. Lou, T. S.-B.; Willis, M. C., Sulfonyl fluorides as targets and substrates in the development of new synthetic methods. *Nat. Rev. Chem.* **2022**, *6* (2), 146-162.
- Mahapatra, S.; Woroch, C. P.; Butler, T. W.; Carneiro, S. N.; Kwan, S. C.; Khasnavis, S. R.; Gu, J.; Dutra, J. K.; Vetelino, B. C.; Bellenger, J.; am Ende, C. W.; Ball, N. D., SuFEx Activation with Ca(NTf2)2: A Unified Strategy to Access Sulfamides, Sulfamates, and Sulfonamides from S(VI) Fluorides. *Org. Lett.* 2020, 22 (11), 4389-4394.
- 65. Barrow, A. S.; Moses, J. E., Synthesis of Sulfonyl Azides via Lewis Base Activation of Sulfonyl Fluorides and Trimethylsilyl Azide. *Synlett* **2016**, *27* (12), 1840-1843.
- Smedley, C. J.; Zheng, Q.; Gao, B.; Li, S.; Molino, A.; Duivenvoorden, H. M.; Parker, B. S.; Wilson, D. J. D.; Sharpless, K. B.; Moses, J. E., Bifluoride Ion Mediated SuFEx Trifluoromethylation of Sulfonyl Fluorides and Iminosulfur Oxydifluorides. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2019, 58 (14), 4552-4556.
- 67. Hyatt, J. A.; White, A. W., Synthesis of Aryl Alkyl and Aryl Vinyl Sulfones via Friedel-Crafts Reactions of Sulfonyl Fluorides. *Synthesis* **1984**, *1984* (03), 214-217.
- 68. Shirota, Y.; Nagai, T.; Tokura, N., The Reaction Between Benzylsulfonyl Halide and Phenyllithium. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1966**, *39* (2), 405-405.
- 69. Frye, L. L.; Sullivan, E. L.; Cusack, K. P.; Funaro, J. M., Sulfonylation of organometallic reagents with arenesulfonyl fluorides: a simple one-step synthesis of sulfones. *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57* (2), 697-701.
- 70. Kingston, C.; Palkowitz, M. D.; Takahira, Y.; Vantourout, J. C.; Peters, B. K.; Kawamata, Y.; Baran, P. S., A Survival Guide for the "Electro-curious". *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2020**, *53* (1), 72-83.
- 71. Leech, M. C.; Lam, K., A practical guide to electrosynthesis. Nat. Rev. Chem. 2022, 6 (4), 275-286.
- 72. Eugene, F.; Langlois, B.; Laurent, E., Reduction and Sulfonylation of Olefins by Solutions of Diisopropylethylamine and Sulfur Dioxide. *Phosphorus, Sulfur, Silicon Relat. Elem.* **1993**, *74* (1-4), 377-378.
- Sun, G.; Ren, S.; Zhu, X.; Huang, M.; Wan, Y., Direct Arylation of Pyrroles via Indirect Electroreductive C– H Functionalization Using Perylene Bisimide as an Electron-Transfer Mediator. Org. Lett. 2016, 18 (3), 544-547.
- 74. Lou, T. S.-B.; Willis, M. C., Arylsulfonyl fluoride boronic acids: Preparation and coupling reactivity. *Tetrahedron* **2020**, *76* (1), 130782.
- Kawamata, Y.; Hayashi, K.; Carlson, E.; Shaji, S.; Waldmann, D.; Simmons, B. J.; Edwards, J. T.; Zapf, C. W.; Saito, M.; Baran, P. S., Chemoselective Electrosynthesis Using Rapid Alternating Polarity. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2021, *143* (40), 16580-16588.
- Villagrán, C.; Banks, C. E.; Pitner, W. R.; Hardacre, C.; Compton, R. G., Electroreduction of N-methylphthalimide in room temperature ionic liquids under insonated and silent conditions. *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 2005, *12* (6), 423-428.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

