Cyclic(alkyl)(amino)carbene Ruthenium Complexes for Z-Stereoselective (Asymmetric) Olefin Metathesis

Jennifer Morvan,^[a] François Vermersch,^[b] Jan Lorkowski,^[a] Jakub Talcik,^[a] Thomas Vives, ^[a] Thierry Roisnel,^[a] Christophe Crévisy,^[a] Nicolas Vanthuyne,^[c] Guy Bertrand,^{*[b]} Rodolphe Jazzar,^{*[b]} and Marc Mauduit^{*[a]}

In memory of Professor Robert H. Grubbs

- [a] Dr. J. Morvan, Dr. J. Lorkowski, J. Talcik, T. Vives, Dr. T. Roisnel, Dr. C. Crévisy, Dr. M. Mauduit Univ Rennes, Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Chimie de Rennes, CNRS, ISCR UMR 6226, F-35000 Rennes, France E-mail: marc.mauduit@ensc-rennes.fr
- [b] F. Vermersch, Dr. R. Jazzar, Pr. G. Bertrand UCSD-CNRS Joint Research Chemistry Laboratory (IRL 3555), Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093-0358, United States E-mail: rjazzar@ucsd.edu, gbertrand@ucsd.edu
- [c] Dr. N. Vanthuyne Aix Marseille Univ., CNRS, Centrale Marseille, iSm2, Marseille, France

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

Abstract: The first Z-stereoselective catechodithiolate ruthenium complexes containing cyclic(alkyl)(amino)carbene ligands are reported. Isolated in nearly quantitative yields or in-situ generated, these catalysts demonstrated remarkable Z selectivity (Z/E ratio up to >98/2) in ring-opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP), ring-opening-cross metathesis (ROCM) and cross-metathesis (CM). Thanks to the efficient chiral HPLC resolution of racemic CAAC-complex precursors, optically pure dithiolated complexes were also synthesized allowing to produce enantioenriched Z-ROCM products in >99/1 Z/E with good levels of enantioselectivity.

Discovered in the mid of last century, olefin metathesis¹ has become a practical and versatile synthetic tool to efficiently produce carbon-carbon double bonds. Relevant applications were successfully disclosed in various fields such as natural product synthesis,² the transformation of renewable feedstocks³ or the production of innovative materials (polymers).⁴ This resounding success stems from the elaboration of well-defined, air stable and easy to handle ruthenium-arylidene complexes that proved to be highly tolerant towards many organic functionalities.¹ Obviously, the asymmetric version of this reaction was also intensively studied with either optically pure ruthenium or molybdenum catalysts, offering a straightforward access to highly valuable chiral building blocks with high enantiopurity.⁵ As the Zalkene moiety is ubiquitous in numerous relevant chiral molecules, special attention has been given to the design of catalysts which can control both the enantioselectivity and the Zselectivity6,7 of metathetic transformations. Nevertheless, as depicted in Figure 1, examples remain scarce.⁸ For instance, chiral Mo-complex Mo-1 bearing a monodentate BINOL-type ligand demonstrated a high enantioinduction in asymmetric ringopening cross-metathesis (AROCM) combined with a remarkable degree of Z-selectivity (Figure 1, eq. 1).8a Stereogenic-atruthenium complex Ru-1 featuring a chiral bidentate Nheterocyclic carbene (NHC) ligand furnished tetrahydropyran products in high ees and good to excellent Z: E ratio (Figure 1, eq. 2).^{8b}

a) State of the art in Z-enantioselective olefin metathesis



Figure 1 a) Previously described Z-enantioselective olefin metathesis catalysed by Mo- or Ru-complexes. b) Development of achiral and optically pure Z-stereoretentive CAAC-Ru complexes (this work).

Optically pure cyclometalated Ru-catalyst Ru-2 has proved to be highly efficient in AROCM, affording various Z-alkenes with high ees (Figure 1, eq. 3).8c Noticeable, Ru-2 also promoted the first Z-asymmetric cross-metathesis (ACM), albeit with a moderate 50% ee was observed (Figure 1, eq. 4).8d Despite these significant breakthroughs, the development of new chiral Z-selective metathesis catalysts remains a challenging objective. Recently, our groups reported an expedient access to the first optically pure Ru-3 complexes containing cyclic(alkyl)(amino)carbene (CAAC) ligands (Figure 1, b).9,10 The latter demonstrated excellent catalytic performances in asymmetric olefin metathesis with good enantioselectivities (up to 92%).^{10a} In light of these promising results, we wished to investigate the development of their Zenantioselective congeners (Figure 1, b). Herein, we focused our attention on catechodithiolate Ru-complexes,7e,f a class of catalysts which combine easy accessibility (one step from 2nd generation available commercially Hoveyda-type complexes)¹¹ and remarkable efficiency towards a wide range of Z-alkenes in high purity (>98% Z). Since, their asymmetric version has not yet been reported, we investigated both achiral and chiral CAAC ligands and their use in Z-stereoselective ROMP, ROCM, CM and also in asymmetric ROCM.

We initiated our study by the synthesis of catechodithiolate Rucatalysts starting from previously reported CAAC-containing Hoveyda type complexes Ru-3 (Scheme 1).12 Even in the presence of the sterically congested chiral quaternary center (i.e. Ru-4c), complexes Ru-3a-c featuring a N-2,6-diethylphenyl (DEP) group afforded the expected dithiolate Ru-4a-c in nearly quantitative isolated yields (97-99%, within 20 min at ambient temperature). In marked contrast, Ru-3d-f complexes containing the bulkier N-2,6-diisopropylphenyl (DIPP) group appeared more challenging. In this case, Ru-3d required a prolonged reaction time (6 h, 40 °C) to afford the corresponding dithiolate Ru-4d in 99% isolated yield, whereas rapid decomposition of the corresponding dithiolate Ru-species was observed for Ru-3e-3f. The later, likely results from a severe steric clash between the catechol dithiolate and the DIPP moiety of the CAAC ligand leading to extremely short-lived Ru-4e-4f complexes.¹³ According to the dissymmetry of the CAAC unit, 2 rotamers could be expected for Ru-4 complexes. ¹⁴ However, ¹H and ¹³C NMR analysis showed that only one rotamer exist in solution for Ru-4ad (See Supplementary Information (SI) for details).







Figure 2 Solid-state structure of complex Ru-4d from single crystal X-ray diffraction. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Overhauser effects (nOe) between the prominent benzylidene proton and the aryl alkyl groups were observed in NOESY experiments performed in Tol-D8 at 0 °C which features the *N*-Aryl above the styrenyl-ether moiety. While we were not able to obtain suitable crystals from ^{DEP}CAAC **Ru-4a-c**, we could perform an X-ray diffraction analysis of **Ru-4d** (Figure 2), which confirm the structure of the rotamer observed in solution.¹⁵

Catalytic performances of catechodithiolate CAAC Ru-4a-d were initially evaluated in the ROMP of norbornene 2a (Table 1). All complexes demonstrated good reactivity at 0.1 mol%, allowing full conversion within 30 min and affording the expected polymer 3a in 89-98% isolated yield. While an excellent >95% syndiotacticity was observed in each case, a slight difference of Z:E ratio occurred ranging from 92:8 (entry 3; Ru-4c) to >98:2 (entry 4; Ru-4d). It is worth noting that the NHC-containing catalyst Z-Hov showed similar performances, however yielding 3a as an atactic polymer (entry 5).16 The ROMP of norbornadiene or exonorbonene derivatives 2b-g¹⁷ were next studied with DEPCAAC Ru-4b and DIPPCAAC Ru-4d catalysts (Scheme 2, a). Here also, excellent Z-selectivities (>98:2) and yields (94-98%) were reached, except for substrates 2e-g which gave no or low conversion even under more drastic conditions (see SI for details). Of note, a prolonged reaction time (1-3 h vs 10-30 min.) was required for diol 3c, but without any alteration of the Zselectivity.18

Table 1. Catalytic performances of cathecodithiolate CAAC Ru-4a-d in ringopening metathesis polymerization of norbornene 2a.



[a] Isolated yield. [b] Molar ratio of *E* and *Z* isomers were obtained by ¹H NMR analysis (CDCl₃). [c] Determined by ¹³C NMR spectroscopy at 60 °C (CDCl₃) after hydrogenation of the polymers (see SI for details).



Scheme 2. Scope of ROMP (**a**) and ROCM (**b**) catalysed by catechodithiolate DEPCAAC **Ru-4b** or DIPPCAAC **Ru-4d**. [a] Conversions were determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard. [b] Isolated yield. [c] Molar ratio of *E* and *Z* isomers were monitored by ¹H NMR analysis (CDCI₃ or DMSO-*d*₆). [d] Determined by ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. [e] Catalysts **Ru-4b,d** were used. [f] Determined by GC analysis.

Interestingly, polymer **3b** was formed in up to 75% syndiotacticity with ^{DEP}CAAC **Ru-4b**, surpassing the **Z-Hov** catalyst (55%).¹⁹ The lower 50% syndioselectivity observed with ^{DIPP}CAAC **Ru-4d** could result from steric clash with the bulkier DIPP substituent.¹⁹ On the other hand, only atactic polymers **3c,d** were obtained from ROMP of functionalized norbornenes **2c** and **2d** independent of the catalyst used, also suggesting that a significant steric clash occurred between the CAAC units and the substrates.

We next turned our investigation to ROCM transformation involving norbornenes **2e** and **2f** and various cross-olefin partners (Scheme 2, b). Here also, **Ru-4d** proved to be highly efficient, furnishing internal alkenes in moderate to high yield (55-93%) and excellent *Z*-selectivity (>98%). However, the reaction with allylbenzene leading to succinimide **9** failed.

The catechodithiolate CAAC-Ru complexes were also investigated in cross-metathesis between 1-decene **10** and *cis*-butenediol **11a** (Table 2).

Table 2. Catalytic performances of catechodithiolate CAAC-Ru-complexes Ru-4a-d in cross-metathesis between 1-decene 10 and *cis*-butenediol 11a.

+ HO + 11a (4 equiv)	COH THF, 50 °C, 16 h	0 OH	
catalyst	Conv. (%) ^[a] (yield) ^[b]	Z:E ratio ^[c]	
Ru-4a	36 (32)	>98:2	
Ru-4b	35 (26)	98:2	
Ru-4c	40 (31)	98:2	
Ru-4d	50 (48)	98:2	
Ru-4d	42 (36)	>98:2	
Ru-4d	50 (48)	98:2	
	HO + (4 equiv) catalyst Ru-4a Ru-4b Ru-4c Ru-4c Ru-4d Ru-4d Ru-4d	HO Ru-catalyst (5 mol%) 0H THF, 50 °C, 16 h 11a (4 equiv) catalyst Conv. (%) ^[a] (yield) ^[b] Ru-4a 36 (32) Ru-4b 35 (26) Ru-4c 40 (31) Ru-4d 50 (48) Ru-4d 50 (48)	

[a] Conversions were determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy using 1,3,5trimethoxybenzene as internal standard. [b] Isolated yield. [c] Molar ratio of *E* and *Z* isomers were monitored by ¹H NMR analysis (CDCl₃). [d] Reaction performed at 20 °C. [e] Reaction performed at 80 °C in 2-Me-THF.

We observed excellent *Z*:*E* ratios (98:2) across of our range of catalysts, with **Ru-4d** also appearing to be the most efficient, furnishing the expected *Z*-product **12** in a moderate 48% isolated yield (entry 4). Performing the reaction at higher or lower temperature did not improve the conversion (entries 6 and 7). Since higher catalyst loading (10 mol%) or sequential addition of catalyst (4x 1.25 mol%) were also unsuccessful to improve the conversion (17%, see SI for details), we suspect self-poisoning of the active catalytic species.^{10f,g} We next studied the performance of **Ru-4d** in various CM reactions. As depicted in scheme 3, high levels of *Z*-selectivity were obtained, ranging from 95% to >98%. Nevertheless, the conversion remained moderate furnishing the corresponding *Z*-products in 25-43% isolated yield. Furthermore, low conversion was observed in the case of styrene as olefin partner.



Scheme 3. Scope of cross-metathesis catalysed by catechodithiolate ^{DIPP}CAAC Ru-4d. [a] Conversions were determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard. [b] Isolated Yield. [c] Determined by GC.

Having showed the high Z-selectivity in ROMP, ROCM and CM, we next investigated the performance in Z-enantioselective ROCM of optically pure catechodithiolate DEPCAAC-Ru complexes featuring various groups at the chiral quaternary center (i.e. Ph, 2-napthyl, 3,5-dimethylphenyl). We also considered their nitro-Grela variant with a -NO2 activating group on the styrenylether fragment. First, we performed the preparative HPLC resolution of DEPCAAC Ru-3c-g,i on a Chiralpak IE phase (Scheme 3, see SI for details),²⁰ affording each enantiomer in nearly quantitative yield and excellent optical purity (>98.5% ee). Note that the chiroptical properties of these optically pure Rucomplexes were obtained through Electronic Circular Dichroism (ECD) (see SI for details). We unambiguously confirmed the absolute configuration of second eluted Ru-3g,i complexes by Xray diffraction study (S, Figure 3)¹⁴ and attributed by analogy the same (S) configuration to second eluted Ru-3c,h. Optically pure complexes (-)-(S)-Ru-3c and (+)-(R)-Ru-3c were then converted into corresponding catechodithiolated counterparts (-)-(S)-Ru-4c and (+)-(R)-Ru-4c in 99% isolated yield (Scheme 4).



Scheme 4. Scope of optically pure ^{DEP}CAAC-Ru complexes **Ru-3c,g-i** and catechodithiolate **Ru-4c** [a] Isolated yield after preparative chiral resolution. [b] Determined by chiral-stationary phase HPLC analysis.



Figure 3 Solid-state structure of optically pure (–)-(S)-Ru-3g (left) and (–)-(S)-Ru-3i (right) from single crystal X-ray diffraction. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability. Most hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

2c	OH OH + Ph (20 equiv)	Ru-c	ratalyst (x mol%	5) - Ph HO	л. Сон 19
Entry	catalyst (mol%)	Time (h)	Conv. (%) ^[a] (yield) ^[b]	Z:E ratio ^[c]	er (Z)- 13 (%) ^[d]
1	(<i>R</i>)- Ru-3c (1)	2	99 (29)	65:35	76:24 ^[e]
2	(<i>R</i>)- Ru-4c (5)	2	99 (26)	99:1	78:22
3 ^[f]	IS (<i>R</i>)- Ru-4c (5)	2	99 (26)	99:1	77.5:22.5
4 ^[f]	IS (R)- Ru-4g (5)	0.5	99 (20)	99:1	77.5:22.5
5 ^[f]	IS (<i>R</i>)- Ru-4h (5)	0.5	99 (44)	99:1	78.5:21.5
6 ^[f]	IS (<i>R</i>)- Ru-4i (5)	0.5	99 (31)	99:1	78:22

[a] Conversions were determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy using 1,3,5trimethoxybenzene as internal standard. [b] Isolated yield. [c] Determined by GC analysis. [d] Determined by HPLC analysis on chiral phase. [e] er for (*E*)-**19**: 69.5:30.5. [f] The catechodithiolate catalyst was generated in situ by reacting **1** with Et₂Zn followed by the addition of respective (*R*)-**Ru-3** (see Scheme 5 and SI for details)

(+)-(R)-**Ru-4c** was then evaluated in *Z*-enantioselective ROCM between *exo*-norbornene **2c** and styrene to furnish enantioenriched cyclopentane **19** with 99% *Z*-selectivity and 78:22 enantiomeric ratio (Table 3, entry 2). This catalytic performance is quite similar to that of (R)-**Ru-3c** affording **19** in 29% isolated yield²¹ and 76:24 er (entry 1). While the selectivity remained moderate, it is worth mentioning that previous AROCM involving *exo*-norbonenes are scarce and have been obtained in even lower enantioselectivities (up to 67:33 er for **19**).²²

We next turned our attention to optically pure nitro-Grela type precatalysts ^{DEP}CAAC-**Ru-3g-i**. Unexpectedly, the corresponding dithiolated complexes proved to be too unstable in solution to be isolated. Gratifyingly by capitalizing on recent results from our lab,^{10a} we confirmed that (*R*)-**Ru-4c** can be generated *in situ* (IS) promoting the AROCM with the same efficiency (entry 3 *vs* 2). Under similar conditions, we observed faster reactivity with nitroGrela IS (+)-(*R*)-**Ru-4g-i** affording full conversion within 30 min. In all cases, (*Z*)-**19** was exclusively formed with similar levels of enantioselectivity, meanwhile the highest isolated yield (44%, entry 5) was obtained with IS (+)-(*R*)-**Ru-4h** featuring a 2-napthyl at the chiral quaternary center.

Having identified *in situ* generated (+)-(*R*)-**Ru-4h** as the most efficient *Z*-enantioselective CAAC-Ru catalyst, we evaluated its scope across a broad range of substrates (Scheme 5). ROCM products **4-8** and **20-23** were formed in excellent *Z*-selectivity ranging from 95:5 to 99:1 *Z*/*E* ratio, except for **24** and **25** for which the starting-material was recovered despite a higher catalyst loading and/or a prolongated reaction time. The highest enantioselectivies (82:18 to 83:17 er) were reached with *exo*-norbornenes featuring an anhydride or a succinimide function, leading respectively to *trans* cyclopentanes **4-5** and **6-7** with 56-83% isolated yield. A drop in enantioselectivity was observed with protected diols reacting with styrene (**20-22**; 64.5:35.5 to 75:25 er), although these ers remain higher than in previous reports.²² Finally, a similar level of enantioselectivity was also observed with 1-decene as cross-olefin partner (**23**; 72.5:27.5 er).

In summary, we have developed the first Z-stereoselective catechodithiolate ruthenium complexes containing cyclic(alkyl)(amino)carbene ligands.



Scheme 5. Scope of Z-enantioselective ROCM catalysed by *In Situ* (IS) generated optically pure catechodithiolate ^{DEP}CAAC-**Ru-4h**. [a] Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard. [b] Isolated yield. [c] Determined by GC analysis. [d] Determined by GC analysis on chiral phase. [e] The corresponding polymer was also formed as by-product.

Among a selection of CAAC Ru-complexes, DEPCAAC Ru-4b and DIPPCAAC Ru-4d have proven to be the most efficient toward the formation of Z-internal olefins. Moderate to good yields and remarkable Z-selectivity (>98%) were obtained in various ROMP, CM and ROCM transformations. Notably, the resulting polymers from norbornene 2a and norbornadiene 2b were formed with good to excellent syndiotacticity (75 to >95%), surpassing that of NHCbased catechodithiolate Ru-catalysts. Importantly, thanks to the efficient and rapid access to optically pure CAAC Ru-complexes (>98.5% ee), the first synthesis of enantiopure catechodithiolate DEPCAAC-Ru complexes was achieved. Isolated or formed in situ, those new chiral Z-selective catalysts demonstrated good catalytic performances in Z-enantioselective ROCMs involving reluctant exo-norbornene derivatives (up to 99:1 Z:E ratio; and up to 83:17 er). Further works dealing with the continuous flow synthesis of enantioenriched Z-alkenes are underway and will be reported soon.23

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the CNRS, the Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Chimie de Rennes, the Aix-Marseille Université and the University of California San Diego. This work was supported by the Region Bretagne (ARED 2018 "Biometa" N° 601, grant to J.M.), the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-19-CE07-0017 ChiCAAC) and the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, Catalysis Science Program, under Award # DE-SC0009376. The generous gift of ruthenium complexes by Umicore AG & Co is gratefully acknowledged. We are grateful to Jean-Paul Guégan, Elsa Caytan and the PRISM core facility (Biogenouest©, UMS, Biosit, Université de Rennes 1) for NMR experiences.

Keywords: Olefin metathesis • Ruthenium • CAAC • *Z*-selectivity • Enantioselectivity

 a) Handbook of Metathesis, 2nd Edition (Eds.: R. H. Grubbs, A. G. Wenzel, D. J. O'Leary, E. Khosravi); Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2015; b) Olefin Metathesis: Theory and Pratice (Ed: K. Grela), John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, N. J., **2014;** c) O. M. Ogba, N. C. Warner, D. J. O'Leary, R. H. Grubbs, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2018**, *47*, 4510.

- [2] Metathesis in Natural Product Synthesis: Strategies, Substrates, and Catalysts (Eds: Cossy, J.; Arseniyadis, S.; Meyer, C.) Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2010.
- C. Bruneau, C. Fischmeister in Alkene Metathesis for Transformations of Renewables in Organometallics for Green Catalysis (Eds: P. Dixneuf, J. F. Soulé) Topics in Organometallic Chemistry, vol 63. Springer, 2018.
- [4] a) C. Slugovc in Olefin Metathesis: Theory and Practice (Ed: K. Grela) John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, N. J., 2014, pp. 329; b) S. Kovacic, C. Slugovc, Mater. Chem. Front., 2020, 4, 2235.
- [5] Selected book chapter, see: B. Stenne, S. K. Collins, Enantioselective Olefin Metathesis in Olefin Metathesis: Theory and Pratice (Ed.: K. Grela), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim (Germany), 2014.
- [6] For selected reviews on Z-stereoselective and Z-stereoretentive metathesis, see: a) A. H. Hoveyda, J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 4763; b) M. H. Herbert, R. H. Grubbs, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 5018; c) T. P. Montgomery, T. S. Ahmed, R. H. Grubbs, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 11024; d) T. P. Montgomery, A. M. Johns, R. H. Grubbs, Catalysts 2017, 7, 87. e) D. S. Müller, O. Baslé, M. Mauduit, Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2018, 14, 2999.
- [7] For Ru-catalyst developments, see for instance: a) B. K. Keitz, K. Endo, P. R. Patel, M. B. Herbert, R. H. Grubbs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, *134*, 693; b) Y. Xu, J. J. Wong, A. E. Samkian, J. Hoon Ko, S. Chen, K. N. Houk, R. H. Grubbs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2020, *142*, 20987; c) G. Occhipinti, F. R. Hansen, K. W. Törnroos, V. R. Jensen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, *135*, 3331; d) W. Smit, J. B. Ekeli, G. Occhipinti, B. Woźniak, K. W. Törnroos, V. R. Jensen, *Organometallics* 2020 *39*, 397; e) R. K. M. Khan, S. Torker, A. H. Hoveyda, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, *135*, 10258; f) Z. Liu, C. Xu, J. del Pozo, S. Torker, A. H. Hoveyda, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2019, *141*, 7137.
- [8] a) M. Yu, I. Ibrahem, M. Hasegawa, R. R. Schrock, A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 2788; b) R. K. M. Khan, R. V. O'Brien, S. Torker, B. Li, A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 12774; c) J. Hartung, R. H. Grubbs, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 10183; d) J. Hartung, P. K. Dornan, R. H. Grubbs, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 13029.
- a) V. Lavallo, Y. Canac, C. Prasang, B. Donnadieu, G. Bertrand, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 5705. b) R. Jazzar, R. D. Dewhurst, J.-B. Bourg, B. Donnadieu, Y. Canac, G. Bertrand. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 2899- 2902. c) F. Vermersch, L. Oliveira, J. Huner, M. Soleilhavoup, R. Jazzar, G. Bertrand. J. Org. Chem. 2022, 87, 3511. For recent reviews, see: c) M. Soleilhavoup, G. Bertrand, Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 256; d) M. Melaimi, R. Jazzar, M. Soleilhavoup, G. Bertrand, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 10046; e) U. S. D. Paul, U. Radius, U. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2017, 2017, 3362. f) R. Jazzar, M. Soleilhavoup, G.

Bertrand, *Chem. Rev.* **2020**, 120, 4141. g) R. K. Singh, T. K. Khan, S. Misra, A. K. Singh, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2021**, 956, 122133.

- [10] a) J. Morvan, F. Vermersch, Z. Zhang, L. Falivene, T. Vives, V. Dorcet, T. Roisnel, C. Crévisy, L. Cavallo, N. Vanthuyne, G. Bertrand, R. Jazzar, M. Mauduit, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 19895; b) For pioneer developments in olefin metathesis, see: D. R. Anderson, V. Lavallo, D. J. O'Leary, G. Bertrand, R. H. Grubbs, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 7262; c) For a specific review on CAAC-Ru-complexes, see: J. Morvan, M. Mauduit, G. Bertrand, R. Jazzar, ACS Catal. 2021, 11, 1714; for more recent developments; see: d) S. A. Gonsales, Z. C. Mueller, F. Zhao, P. H. S. Paioti, L. Karmazin, J. Wan, F. Liu, K. N. Houk, A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2021, 143, 20640, e) O. Eivgi, A. Vaisman, N. G. Lemcoff, ACS Catal. 2021, 11, 703; f) D. L. Nascimento, M. Foscato, G. Occhipinti, V. R. Jensen, D. E. Fogg, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2021, 143, 11072; g) G. Occhipinti, D. L. Nascimento, M. Foscato, D. E. Fogg, V. R. Jensen, Chem.Sci. 2022, doi:10.1039/d2sc00855f.
- [11] Catechodithiolate Ru-complexes can also be generated via a in situ protocol avoiding the requirement of a glovebox, see: D. S. Müller, I. Curbet, Y. Raoul, J. Le Nôtre, O. Baslé, M. Mauduit Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 6822.
- [12] For Ru-3a-c see: a) R. Gawin, A. Kozakiewicz, P. A. Guńka, P. Dąbrowski, K. Skowerski, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 981; for Ru-3d,e, see ref 10b; for Ru-3f, see: b) V. M. Marx, A. H. Sullivan, M. Melaimi, S. C. Virgil, B. K. Keitz, D. S. Weinberger, G. Bertrand, R. H. Grubbs, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 1919.
- [13] %Vbur of Ru-3a = 33.7%; %Vbur of Ru-3b = 35.0%; %Vbur of Ru-3c = 36.6%; %Vbur of Ru-3d = 35.4%; %Vbur of Ru-3e = 38.3%; %Vbur of Ru-3f = 40.4% (see SI for details). Obtained thanks to SambVCa free online server, see: L. Falivene, Z. Cao, A. Petta, L. Serra, A. Poater, R. Oliva, V. Scarano, L. Cavallo, *Nat. Chem.* 2019, *11*, 872.
- [14] M. Nagyházi, G. Turczel, A. Balla, G. Szálas, I. Tóth, G. T. Gál, B. Petra, P. T. Anastas, R. Tuba, *ChemCatChem* **2020**, *12*, 1953.
- [15] Deposition Numbers 1941524, 1941529, 2164941, 2172111, 2172112, 2172113 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures. www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures.
- [16] Catechodithiolate NHC-containing Ru-complexes were investigated in the ROMP of norbornadiene, see: M. S. Mikus, S. Torker, A. H. Hoveyda, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, 55, 4997.
- [17] Endo-norbornene derivatives were also investigated but only starting materials were recovered. We suspected an important steric clash occurred avoiding the approach of CAAC-Ru-4 to the substrate.
- [18] Prolonged reaction time could be detrimental to the Z-selectivity, see: A. Dumas, R. Tarrieu, T. Vives, T. Roisnel, V. Dorcet, O. Baslé, M. Mauduit, ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 3257.
- [19] A lower syndiotacticity of 55% was obtained for polymer 3b with Z-Hov complex. Nevertheless, by tuning the catechodithiolate moiety to reduce steric hindrance around the reactive site, the syndioselectivity was significantly improved (>95%), see ref .16.
- [20] The chiral HPLC resolution of chiral transition-metal complexes remains scarce, see: a) L. Norel, M. Rudolph, N. Vanthuyne, J. A. G. Williams, C. Lescop, C. Roussel, J. Autschbach, J. Crassous, R. Réau, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 99; b) N. Hellou, C. Jahier-Diallo, O. Baslé, M. Srebro-Hooper, L. Toupet, T. Roisnel, E. Caytan, C. Roussel, N. Vanthuyne, J. Autschbach, M. Mauduit, J. Crassous, Chem. Commun., 2016. 52. 9243: c) A. Vanitcha, C. Damelincourt, G. Gontard, N. Vanthuyne, V. Mouriès-Mansuy, Louis Fensterbank, Chem. Commun., 2016, 52, 6785; d) N. Hellou, M. Srebro-Hooper, L. Favereau, F. Zinna, E. Caytan, L. Toupet, V. Dorcet, M. Jean, N. Vanthuyne, J. A. G. Williams, L. Di Bari, J. Autschbach, J. Crassous, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 8236; e) L. Kong, J. Morvan, D. Pichon, M. Jean, M. Albalat, T. Vives, S. Colombel-Rouen, M. Giorgi, V. Dorcet, T. Roisnel, C. Crévisy, D. Nuel, P. Nava, S. Humbel, N. Vanthuvne, M. Mauduit, H. Clavier, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 93; f) T. Martinez, A. Vanitcha, C. Troufflard, N. Vanthuyne, J. Forté, G. Gontard, G. Lemière, V. Mouriès-Mansuy, L. Fensterbank, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 179879: see also ref. 9a.

- [21] The low isolated yields observed for 13c are meanly due to the competitive formation of polymer 3c (see scheme 2), despite the large excess of styrene (20 equiv).
- [22] For rare examples of AROCM with *exo*-norbornene derivatives, see: a) J. J. Van Veldhuizen, D. G. Gillingham, S. B. Garber, O. Kataoka, A. H. Hoveyda, J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 12502; b) J. M. Berlin, S. D. Goldberg, R. H. Grubbs, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 7591; c) B. K. Keitz, R. H. Grubbs, *Organometallics* **2010**, *29*, 403.
- [23] J. Morvan, T. McBride, I. Curbet, S. Colombel-Rouen, T. Roisnel, C. Crévisy, D. L. Browne, M. Mauduit, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 19685.

Table of Contents



The first *Z*-stereoselective catechodithiolate ruthenium complexes containing cyclic(alkyl)(amino)carbene (CAAC) ligands are reported. Isolated in nearly quantitative yields, these catalysts demonstrate remarkable *Z* selectivity (*Z*:*E* ratio up to 99:1) in ring-opening metathesis polymerization, ring-opening cross-metathesis and cross-metathesis. Good *Z*-enantioselectivity was also obtained with optically pure catechodithiolate CAAC-Ru catalysts in asymmetric ring-opening-cross metathesis (up to 83:17 er).

Institute and/or researcher Twitter usernames: @EnscrOmc; @chimie_ISCR