# Divide-and-conquer: a flexible deep learning strategy for exploring metabolic heterogeneity from mass spectrometry imaging data

4

|   |                        | 4                   |                 |          | d                |         |        |        | -1 f               |         |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------|------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------------------|---------|
| F | $\int e^{i} Guo^{a,t}$ | <sup>t</sup> livena | Dong $a, \#, *$ | Viananan | X11 <sup>a</sup> | Thichao | M/11 e | Vinhin | Zhanα <sup>⊥</sup> | Vongwei |
| 5 | Lei Guo                | , Jiyang            | Doing ,         | Alanghan | Λu,              | Linchao | wu,    | 1 mom  | znang,             | Tongwei |

Wang <sup>g</sup>, Pengfei Li <sup>g</sup>, Chao Zhao <sup>b,c.\*</sup>, Zongwei Cai <sup>c,\*</sup> 6

<sup>a</sup> National Institute for Data Science in Health and Medicine, Department of Electronic
Science, Xiamen University, Xiamen, China

<sup>b</sup> Bionic Sensing and Intelligence Center, Institute of Biomedical and Health
 Engineering, Shenzhen Institute of Advanced Technology, Chinese Academy of

- 11 Sciences, Shenzhen, China
- <sup>c</sup> State Key Laboratory of Environmental and Biological Analysis, Department of
- 13 Chemistry, Hong Kong Baptist University, Hong Kong SAR, China
- <sup>14</sup> <sup>d</sup> School of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia.
- <sup>15</sup> <sup>e</sup> School of Artificial Intelligence, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China.
- <sup>16</sup> <sup>f</sup> Department of Oncology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Medical College, Xi'an
- 17 Jiaotong University, Shaanxi, China
- 18 <sup>g</sup> Bruker Scientific Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China
- 19
- 20

```
21 <sup>#</sup> Co-first authors
```

- 22
- 23 \* Corresponding authors,
- 24 Jiyang Dong, jydong@xmu.edu.cn
- 25 Chao Zhao, chao.zhao@siat.ac.cn
- 26 Zongwei Cai, zwcai@hkbu.edu.hk
- 27

# 28 Abstract

There is growing awareness that metabolic heterogeneity of organism provides vital 29 30 insight into the disease with molecular mechanism and personalized therapy. The screening of metabolism-related sub-regions that affect disease development is 31 32 essential for the more focused exploration how disease progress aberrant phenotypes, even carcinogenesis and metastasis. Mass spectrometry imaging (MSI) technique has 33 distinct advantages to reveal the heterogeneity of organism based on the in situ 34 molecular profiles. The challenge of heterogeneous analysis has been to perform an 35 36 objective identification among biological tissues with different characteristics. By introducing the divide-and-conquer strategy to architecture design and application, we 37 establish here a flexible unsupervised deep learning model, called divide-and-conquer 38 39 (dc)-DeepMSI, for metabolic heterogeneity analysis from MSI data without prior knowledge of histology. dc-DeepMSI can be used to identify either spatially contiguous 40 region-of-interest (ROIs) or spatially sporadic ROIs. We demonstrate that the novel 41 42 learning strategy successfully obtain sub-regions that are statistically linked to invasion status and molecular phenotypes of breast cancer, as well as organizing principles 43 44 during developmental phase.

45

46

# 47 Introduction

Mass spectrometry imaging (MSI) could provide a plethora of metabolic information 48 49 directly from biological specimens, including spatial distribution, abundance and composition of thousands of biomolecules<sup>1,2</sup>. Identification from MSI data the region-50 51 of-interest (ROIs), which are statistically linked sub-regions or biologically functional regions, is usually used to differentiate cell types from heterogeneous tissue and in turn 52 to contribute to our understanding of the cellular specificity of tissue<sup>3,4</sup>, and allows 53 better targeting the lesions and distant metastases that are associated with disease 54 diagnosis and prognosis<sup>5,6</sup>. In particular, ROIs analysis has become a critical foundation, 55 allowing for subsequent detection of known biomarkers and discovery of unknown 56 biomarkers with a major focus in tumor research<sup>7</sup>. Nevertheless, the fundamental 57 58 question of how to improve accuracy and specificity of ROIs analysis is not crystal clear. 59

Segmentation is the common method for ROIs analysis in MSI data, which is accomplished by clustering data points (MSI image pixels) with similar characteristics into a cluster (*i.e.*, ROI). An effective segmentation result means that each cluster could link to a sub-region or a molecular phenotype, and the difference between clusters on MSI data can be used to interpret the biological heterogeneity on the tissue<sup>8</sup>.

MSI segmentation by far is a challenging task because of the complexities of MSI data in high dimensionality, low signal-to-noise ratio, and lack of benchmark datasets<sup>9</sup>. The existing methods for MSI segmentation can be roughly divided into supervised and unsupervised depending on whether prior knowledges of ROI label are used. In

supervised methods, data from histopathology, pathology or other imaging modals like 69 MRI are often evident to the ROI labels of MSI pixels, then guiding the segmentation 70 of MSI data<sup>10,11</sup>. However, MSI data is of much more metabolic information which can 71 72 shape some "hidden" sub-regions that might not be distinguished by histological or 73 other imaging techniques, therefore, segmentation results will be biased if supervised by histological data or other imaging modals. Nevertheless, some MSI studies are lack 74 of prior knowledges of ROI labels because of the extremely precious human tissue 75 specimens, which makes the supervised segmentation unpractical. On the contrary, 76 77 unsupervised segmentation is exploratory approach in which no prior information is needed for pixels clustering, so the unsupervised segmentation is more practical and 78 gains more extensive attention than supervised one in MSI segmentation. 79

80 Dozens of unsupervised methods have been proposed for MSI segmentation in the past decades<sup>12-14</sup>. For example, Abdelmola *et al.* use t-distributed stochastic neighbor 81 embedding (t-SNE) to reduce the dimensionality of MSI data, then uses k-means to 82 83 segment MSI data into a certain number of clusters that are expected to be in coincidence with the prognostic tumor subpopulations<sup>12</sup>; The widely used vendor 84 software<sup>13</sup>, SCiLS Lab uses k-means to conduct MSI segmentation on some selected 85 ions, rather than on extracted features; Cardinal package provides a new unsupervised 86 87 clustering algorithm, namely spatial shrunken centroids, to produce a smooth MSI segmentation<sup>14</sup>; and so on. To our best knowledge, most of the existing unsupervised 88 methods apply statistical model-based clustering algorithms like k-means to identify 89 ROIs from MSI data. Since model-based clustering algorithms usually rely on a certain 90

mathematical hypothesis of  $ROI^{15}$ , for example, *k*-means assume that data points from 91 a same cluster are high-dimensional spherical distribution around the ROI center<sup>16</sup>. 92 93 Model-based clustering algorithm would fail to identify the ROIs that are unsatisfied with its underlying hypothesis. However, as we known, MSI dataset are of highly 94 95 heterogenous, that is, data points from different sub-regions might distribute inhomogeneously across the MSI dataset. Thus, different sub-regions are of specific 96 discriminate validities under a certain model-based clustering algorithm, making the 97 segmentation results be poor-determined. It is urgent to develop a flexible clustering 98 99 algorithm which is adaptive to the high heterogeneity of MSI data.

Deep learning is flourishing in recent years and achieved great success in various 100 fields especially for biomedical image analysis. Deep learning features in data-driven 101 strategy and the ability of learning automatically the local structure from the data<sup>17</sup>, 102 which allows us to develop a flexible and adaptive clustering algorithm for MSI 103 segmentation. Although deep learning-based methods have been proposed for some 104 contexts of MSI data analysis like classification<sup>18,19</sup>, the deep learning-based 105 unsupervised segmentation for MSI segmentation is rarely reported because of the high-106 107 dimensionality of MSI data and the sensitivity of unsupervised deep learning methods in parameters initialization. 108

Here, we propose a flexible deep learning-based method called divide-and-conquer (dc)-DeepMSI for segmentation of MSI data by introducing the dc strategy into model designation, training and application. The task of MSI segmentation is divided into two separated sub-tasks, namely dimensionality reduction and feature clustering, then two

independent modules are designed and trained to conquer the two sub-tasks accordingly. 113 In particular, a convolutional neural network (CNN) based deep learning architecture 114 115 is designed to meet with the high heterogeneity of MSI data, and to achieve a flexible unsupervised MSI segmentation. In addition, to achieve a more accuracy segmentation, 116 117 dc-DeepMSI provides with two specific modes, namely SPAT-spec and spat-SPEC, for typical ROIs including spatially contiguous ROIs and spatially sporadic ROIs. We 118 illustrate the feasibility of dc-DeepMSI in two typical applications, experimental results 119 120 show that dc-DeepMSI successfully identify elven-different organs from a whole-body 121 mouse fetus MSI image, and effectively explore the metabolic heterogeneity from a human breast tumor MSI image. Biomarker screening are performed on the ROIs 122 identified by dc-DeeepMSI from the tumor tissue, which further demonstrate that dc-123 DeepMSI can be used to detect the ROIs connected with clinical diagnosis, and thereby 124 help to illuminate the metabolism associated diseases. 125

126 **Results** 

# 127 1. dc-DeepMSI: A Divide-and-conquer Strategy Based Model to Segment MSI 128 Data.

By introducing the divide-and-conquer strategy into deep neural network, a deep learning model named dc-DeepMSI is proposed here for unsupervised segmentation of high-dimensional MSI data, in which the task is divided into two independent sub-tasks, dimensionality reduction and feature clustering. Two separate modules are designed and trained accordingly in dc-DeepMSI to meet with the two sub-tasks, as shown in **Fig. 1a**. The dimensionality reduction module (the upper panel of **Fig. 1a**) is

implemented by an autoencoder with the intention of preserving the information and 135 suppressing the noise as much as possible<sup>20</sup>. The features clustering module (the lower 136 137 panel of Fig. 1a) is designed as two competitive-cooperative CNN and their temporally ensemble copies. Two CNNs are structurally identical with independent parameters 138 initialization, the output of one ensemble CNN feeds into the other CNN network, and 139 vice versa, with intent to reduce the randomness and to achieve stable feature clustering. 140 More architectural details of dc-DeepMSI including loss function, activation function 141 and implementation are presented in the "Methods" section. 142

143 The CNN networks here play the roles of feature extraction (FE) and argmax classification, where FE block is accomplished by components following by a classifier 144 145 (Fig. 1b). By setting two hyper-parameters, *i.e.*, the convolutional kernel size s and the weight of total variation (TV) loss  $\omega_3$ , dc-DeepMSI can switch its working modes 146 between the general mode of SPAT-spec and the specific mode of spat-SPEC to meet 147 with different ROI scenarios in a variety of specimens. Based on the extracted features 148 149 of hyperspectral data, the general mode SPAT-spec clusters data points (MSI pixels) by both of their spatial closeness and spectral similarity, which is designed for 150 identification of the most common ROIs in which MSI pixels are spatially contiguous 151 across the MSI dataset. The specific mode spat-SPEC, by setting s = 1 and  $\omega_3 = 0$ , 152 clusters MSI pixels by their spectral similarities, which is designed for ROIs 153 identification in which MSI pixels are spatially sporadic across the MSI dataset. 154 155 Nevertheless, the two modes are not antagonistic. Spatially sporadic ROIs can also be successfully identified by dc-DeepMSI of SPAT-spec mode with a small  $\omega_3$ , in which 156



158

Fig. 1 | Schematic overview of dc-DeepMSI. a, Architecture of dc-DeepMSI. The 159 upper half part is dimensionality reduction module which reduces a high-dimensional 160 161 MSI data **X** to a low-dimensional feature map **Y**. The dimension reduction module is implemented by an autoencoder which consists of two fully connection layers in both 162 encoder and decoder blocks. The lower half part is feature clustering module which is 163 consisted of two CNN networks and two ensemble CNN networks. Each CNN network 164 165 consists of a feature extraction (FE) block and an argmax classification. The cluster label from one ensemble CNN network is feed into its counterpart CNN network by 166 loss function  $\mathcal{L}_{sta}$  to stabilize the segmentation result. When dc-DeepMSI reaches 167

convergence, the four CNN networks will also converge to a similar cluster label. b, 168 Architecture of FE block. A FE block consists of n CNN components and a linear 169 classifier, in which a CNN component consists of a 2D convolutional layer with  $s \times s$ 170 kernel size and p filters, a batch normalization layer and a ReLU activation function. 171 The n CNN components are used to carry out a deeper feature extraction from the 172 dimension reduced data Y to an p-dimensional feature map. And the linear classifier, 173 which is consisted of a 2D convolutional layer with  $1 \times 1$  kernel size and q filters and 174 175 a batch normalization layer, is used to map and normalize a *p*-dimensional feature map to a q-dimensional response map **R**. 176

177

# 178 2. dc-DeepMSI Identifies Sub-organs of Mouse Fetus

The ROIs, in which data points contiguously distribute across the dataset, is a 179 common scenario in MSI data of various biological tissues. Among them, MSI images 180 of whole-body mouse fetus are a typical example with such spatially contiguous ROIs. 181 Most noteworthy, molecular features and organs identification of mouse fetus are 182 considered to be the complex and critical preprocessing with applications in areas such 183 as embryological genetics, pathology and pharmacology<sup>21</sup>. Due to limitations in terms 184 of technology, we have not been able to profile the multi-organ structures of mouse 185 fetus from MSI images. To address this issue, we construct a dc-DeepMSI model on 186 the MSI data of fetus mouse (embryonic day18) to identify organs and their sub-organs, 187 in which the general mode SPAT-spec is adopted in view of the spatial continuity of 188 MSI pixels from a same ROI. A total of 11 organs are identified by dc-DeepMSI 189 including brain, orbital cavity, genioglossus muscle, submaxillary gland, sternebra, 190 191 thymus, deposits of brown fat, heart, adrenal gland, kidney and intestine (Fig. 2a). More importantly, functional sub-organ structures are recognized from whole brain organ, 192

such as, dorsal pallium (isocortex) and hippocampal formation (Hpf) region, midbrain,
brainstem and cerebellum (Fig. 2a).

195 To illustrate the performance of dc-DeepMSI on segmentation of MSI data, three commonly used methods are carried out on the MSI dataset of fetus mouse for 196 197 comparison, including *t*-SNE+k-means which is implemented by the Python library Scikit-learn<sup>12</sup>, a pipeline provided by commercial SCiLS Lab software<sup>22</sup>, and a pipeline 198 implemented by Cardinal package  $^{23}$ . Segmentation map of *t*-SNE+*k*-means method 199 shows abundant isolated clusters and obscure boundaries on mouse fetus, especially on 200 201 fetal brain, which might due to the lack of spatial denoising procedure in the method (Fig. 2b). SCiLS Lab software tends to segment some big-size organs, such as brain 202 and thoracic cavity, while fails to identify the sub-organs (Fig. 2c). Segmentation result 203 204 of Cardinal package is a little bit better than that of SCiLS Lab software, but still miss some sub-organs, such as the brain of mouse fetus (Fig. 2d). The failure of sub-organs 205 identification might due to the adoption of feature selection instead of feature extraction 206 in dimension reduction procedure in SCiLS Lab and Cardinal package, which may 207 result in severe information loss. These results demonstrate that dc-DeepMSI 208 outperforms the other three methods in more and accuracy organ/sub-organ analysis. 209

Robustness and stability are two pivotal indicators for deep learning-based method<sup>24</sup>. Here we illustrate the robustness of dc-DeepMSI on anti-noise in organ segmentation of mouse fetus. Poisson noise is generated and added on the MSI data, then dc-DeepMSI and the other three methods are carried out on the noisy data, respectively. dc-DeepMSI shows that its robustness against the noise by identifying accurately most of the organs and sub-organs from the noisy fetus data, for example, brain and its sub-organs (**Fig. 2e**). *t*-SNE+*k*-means method whereas delivers too many isolated clusters on the segmentation map (**Fig. 2f**). More experiments on anti-noise ability evaluation by using *k*-means clustering, spectral clustering and Gaussian mixture model (GMM) clustering are detailed on **Supplementary Note 1** and **Supplementary Fig. 1**.

Sensitive to parameters initialization is another nuisance in most of deep learning-221 based methods<sup>25</sup>. Here we design a comparative experiment to illustrate the model 222 223 stability of dc-DeepMSI. Two different deep learning models are constructed. One is an end-to-end architecture model without explicit dimension reduction module 224 (Supplementary Fig. 2a). The other is a deep model proposed in Kim's work<sup>26</sup>, in 225 226 which dimension reduction module is explicitly designed, but feature clustering module is implemented by a single-CNN structure (Supplementary Fig. 2b), which differs 227 from dc-DeepMSI model. Twenty times of training with different parameters 228 229 initialization are carried out, and the adjusted rand index (ARI) values are calculated (Fig. 2g). The end-to-end model has a small ARI mean = 0.68 and a large ARI 230 standard deviation (std = 0.020), which implies the high sensitivity of parameters 231 initialization. Kim's model improves its stability by dimension reduction module 232 architecture (ARI mean = 0.74, std = 0.021). While dc-DeepMSI is of the best 233 model stability (ARI mean = 0.78, std = 0.017) because of the divide-and-conquer 234 strategy and double-CNN structures. More detailed evaluation results can refer to the 235 Supplementary Note 2 and Supplementary Table. 1. 236





239

Fig. 2 | Identification of sub-organs of mouse fetus. a-d, Color encoded 240 segmentation maps obtained from dc-DeepMSI, t-SNE+k-means, SCiLS Lab, Cardinal 241 on original MSI data. Compared with the other three methods, dc-DeepMSI shows a 242 smoothing clustering result as well as a better resolution of sub-organs. e-f, 243 Segmentation maps obtained from dc-DeepMSI and t-SNE+k-means on a noisy MSI 244 245 data. g, Comparison ARI values of an end-to-end model, Kim's model and dc-DeepMSI model. The organs and sub-organs are as follows, (1) dorsal pallium (isocortex) and 246 hippocampal formation (Hpf) regions, (2) midbrain and brainstem, (3) cerebellum, (4) 247 orbital cavity, (5) genioglossus muscle, (6) submaxillary gland, (7) sternebra, (8) 248 thymus, (9) deposits of brown fat, (10) heart, (11) adrenal gland, (12) kidney and (13) 249 250 intestine.

251

### 252 **3. dc-DeepMSI Explores Metabolic Heterogeneity of Human Breast Tumor**

Being different from the organ identification depending on spatially contiguous 253 254 ROIs, some MSI datasets suggest that cellular distribution is characterized by the sporadic arrangement, as well as diversity on morphology, such as, human tumors, 255 biofilm and single cell imaging 6,12,27. To specify the dc-DeepMSI application on 256 spatially sporadic ROIs detection, taking the human breast sample as an example, the 257 specific mode of dc-DeepMSI is carried out on intratumor regions to explore tumor 258 metabolic heterogeneity. Thus, another divide-and-conquer based strategy is leveraged 259 260 by dc-DeepMSI on application, in which the MSI dataset of complex tumor sample is divided into cancerous and para-carcinoma regions using the general mode of dc-261 DeepMSI, then exploring of tumor metabolic heterogeneity is conquered using the 262 263 specific mode of dc-DeepMSI.

# 264 **3.1 Cancerous and para-carcinoma discriminating via the general mode**

Cancerous cells from solid tumors, e.g., the human breast sample shown in 265 Supplementary Fig. 3, possess the pathological characteristics of spatial continuity $^{28}$ . 266 Accordingly, both cancerous ROIs and para-carcinoma ROIs in the MSI data of tumor 267 sample are spatial contiguous. Specially, margins of sub-regions are supposed to be 268 natural edges of sub-populations of tumor samples. In view of this situation, we 269 construct a general mode SPAT-spec of dc-DeepMSI to separate ROIs of carcinoma 270 from para-carcinoma. As expected, the MSI data is successfully segmented into two 271 separate sub-regions with clearly boundary, namely cancerous (blue) and para-272 carcinoma (light gray) regions (Fig. 3a), which shows good consistency with the results 273

from morphological evaluation (**Supplementary Fig. 3**). Scatter plot shows that data points from cancerous region (colored points) and data points from para-carcinoma region (grey points) can be clearly separated from each other in the cubic embedding space (**Fig. 3m**), or say the feature space of dimension reduced MSI data, which implies that molecular features are significantly different from each other between cancerous and para-carcinoma sub-region, and demonstrates the accuracy and efficiency of dc-DeepMSI in cancerous sub-region detection.

## 281 **3.2 Tumor intra-heterogeneity exploring via the specific mode**

282 Human tumor has significantly intra-heterogeneity in molecular phenotypes, microenvironment and metabolic regulation<sup>29</sup>. The morphological analysis of the 283 invasive ductal carcinoma with neuroendocrine differentiation (NED) indicates that 284 285 breast tumor displays significant intra-tumor heterogeneity that is featured in the sporadic distribution between cancerous regions with different degrees in 286 differentiation and stromal regions. As show in Supplementary Fig. 3, at least two 287 288 typical cancerous regions can be classified by using immunohistochemistry (IHC) analysis according to the chromogranin A expression, including the cancerous region 289 with NED (18%) and cancerous region (15%), as well as respective typical invasive 290 regions. 291

To explore the molecular phenotypes and microenvironments in tumor sample, we build a model of dc-DeepMSI with the specific mode spat-SPECT on the MSI data of human breast tumor sample. dc-DeepMSI cluster data points in the intact tumor sample into ten-different sub-regions (**Fig. 3b**), in which most of sub-regions are in agreement

with the results of the morphological information. For example, three sub-regions are 296 assigned and associated to two major molecular phenotypes (Fig. 3c, 3d, 3e). 297 298 Additionally, there are one invasive sub-region (Fig. 3f) and six stromal sub-regions (Fig. 3g-3l). Herein, invasive ductal carcinoma with NED-related segmentation from 299 300 intact tumor sample is given in Fig. 3c, 3d, showing the discrete imaging patterns with obscure boundary between the nests of neoplastic cells, which is basically consistent 301 with morphological results. We also achieve the accurate invasive ductal carcinoma-302 related segmentation (Fig. 3e). The results exhibit the clear boundary between the nests 303 304 of neoplastic cells according to the morphological results. Continuously, typical invasive region (Fig. 3f) and stromal region (Fig. 3j) are segmented from the intact 305 sample, demonstrating the sporadic infiltration of neoplastic cells in the fibrous stroma, 306 307 as well as the randomness of spatial distribution of stromal region, respectively.

Scatter plot shows that data points from a same sub-region are gather together, 308 while data points from different sub-regions are clearly separated from each other in 309 310 the embedding space, which illustrates the distinct metabolic difference among the ten sub-regions (Fig. 3m). Scatter plots of the ten sub-regions are shown in 311 Supplementary Fig. 4. Violin plots display the distribution of Euclidean distances 312 between data points of each sub-region and data points of para-carcinoma in the 313 314 embedding space (Fig. 3n). As we can expected, the data points of two molecular phenotypes-related regions are far away from each other in the cubic embedding space, 315 316 while data points of the stromal sub-regions locate in between the molecular phenotypes and the para-carcinoma, which indicates that lipid profiles of stromal sub-regions are 317

more similar to para-carcinoma than the invasion and the two major molecular phenotypes-related regions. The results show the ability of dc-DeepMSI in exploring metabolic heterogeneities from MSI data of tumor sample.





Fig. 3 | Results of dc-DeepMSI on human breast cancer data. a, Cancerous and para-carcinoma regions. b, Intact tumor sample. c, d, Invasive ductal carcinoma with NED-related sub-regions, called IDC-NED-1, IDC-NED-2, respectively. e, Invasive ductal carcinoma-related sub-regions, called IDC. f, Typical invasive region. g-l,

Stromal regions 1-6, called str 1-6. **m**, Scatter plot of data points in embedding space corresponding to **b**. **n**, Violin plot of Euclidean distances between data points of each sub-regions in cancerous and data points of para-carcinoma in the embedding space.

329

# **4. Screening of the underlying molecular markers**

331 The underlying molecular markers from ROIs can help us to interpret and validate dc-DeepMSI segmentation results. As a traditional application scenario, MSI is capable 332 of providing the spatial distribution of marker by an expression of single ion. 333 Nevertheless, both multiple molecules and their interaction play an important role in 334 335 complex biological regulations, making it difficult to use the expression of single ion to elaborate the spatial heterogeneity of bio-samples. To solve this problem, a two-stage 336 screening approach is used here to identify the molecular markers between two given 337 338 ROIs, namely target ROI and control ROI, as follows:

The first stage uses three univariate statistics to quantify the difference of abundance of a ion between target and control ROIs, that is, fold-change (FC), area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) and Hedges'*g* effect size (ES)<sup>30</sup>. Then ions are defined as markers of the target ROI with respect to control ROI if they satisfy with the criteria as follows,

344  $(\text{ES} \times \text{AUC}) \ge 1.5 \text{ and } |\log_2 \text{FC}| \ge 1.$ 

345 If no marker is found in the first stage, we continue the second stage.

346 The second stage builds a linear regression model on the abundance matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  and 347 the ROIs belonging vector  $\mathbf{y}$  vector <sup>31</sup>,

 $y = \boldsymbol{\beta}_0 + \boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{X} + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ 

Where  $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_i, \dots)$  is the regression coefficients for ions, and  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  is the residuals errors. By imposing a least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) penalty on the optimization of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ , only a few ions are of non-zero coefficients, then these ions are defined as the co-expressive ions of the target ROI, which acts as a marker. More detailed definitions of FC, Hedges' *g* ES and LASSO optimization can refer to "**Methods**" section.

The existing evidences have suggested that abundances and spatial distribution of 355 lipids are expressed abnormally in human breast tumor tissues, with a close relationship 356 357 to aggressiveness and metastatic potentials of tumors. Tumor cells can generate excess lipids to maintain metabolic supplies and support tumor proliferation and invasion<sup>32,33</sup>. 358 Taking the human breast tumor for instance, the two-stage screening approach is carried 359 360 out on each sub-region (target ROI) with respect to the other 9 sub-regions (control ROI) to identify the lipid markers or co-expressive lipid ions of the target ROI. Volcano 361 plots and ion images are used to visualize the screening results (Fig. 4). And the lists 362 363 including single- and multi- co-expressive lipid markers from the ROIs of breast tumor sample can refer to the Supplementary Table 2. 364

According to the results of two-stage screening approach, 4 lipid ions are found to be the lipid markers of carcinoma with respect to para-carcinoma regions. For example, the abundant m/z 743.65.73 PE (36:2), which is observed in cancerous regions corresponding on the haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stain image, is absent in the paracarcinoma regions (**Fig. 4b**). We find that 8 lipids up-regulate in the specific subregions containing invasive ductal carcinoma with NED, such as m/z 839.98 PC (40:3)

# 371 (**Fig. 4d**) and *m*/*z* 795.89 PE (40:4) (**Fig. 4f**).

372 In the tumor sample at the invasive ductal carcinoma, invasive and stromal subregions, the results have shown a series of ions jointly contribute to shape their own 373 374 molecular profiles. For example, co-expression of 17 ions in invasive ductal carcinomaassociated sub-regions (**Fig. 4h**), which is equivalent to a complex marker with ES =375 1.57, AUC = 0.87 and  $\log_2(FC) = -0.15$ . Similarly, co-expression of 10 ions is 376 accumulated in invasive sub-regions (Fig. 4j), which is equivalent to a complex marker 377 378 with ES = 3.49, AUC = 0.94 and  $\log_2(FC) = -0.26$ . In addition, the one of stromal sub-regions is delineated by the co-expression of 30 ions (Fig. 41), which is equivalent 379 to a complex marker with ES = 1.66, AUC = 0.83 and  $\log_2(FC) = -0.13$ . A more 380 381 detailed result is available in Supplementary Fig. 5. We have demonstrated the significant heterogeneity of spatial distribution of lipid 382

markers in the form of single-ion expression and multi-ions co-expression by the proposed two-stage screening approach, which has an important conductive function to metabolic reprogramming of tumor progression.



Fig. 4 | Molecular markers among sub-regions identified by dc-DeepMSI. The 387 volcano plots show three measures including ES, AUC and log<sub>2</sub>(FC) between the 388 target sub-region and the control region for all ions. Color encoded ion's images show 389 the normalized abundances of selected markers or co-expressive ions. In volcano plots, 390 391 the color represents the value of  $ES * AUC * |log_2(FC)|$ , and the warmer the color, the larger the value. The point size in volcano plots represents the absolute LASSO 392 regression coefficient, the larger the size, the bigger the absolute coefficient. The target 393 394 and control regions in **a**,**b** are cancerous and para-carcinoma regions, respectively. The 395 target sub-region is c, d, IDC-NED-1. e, f, IDC-NED-2. g, h, IDC. i, j, Invasion. k, l, Stromal 5; respectively, and the control region is the remain sub-regions excluded the 396 target sub-region. 397

398

386

#### Discussion 399

400 The screening and identification of metabolism-related sub-regions plays an

important role for better describing the molecular characteristics throughput the 401 biological process and for optimizing the diagnosis and treatment of diseases<sup>34</sup>. 402 Previous studies reported the potential of MSI for the discovery of metabolic 403 heterogeneity in tumor tissues<sup>35</sup>. Actually, MSI dataset is appropriate for the metabolic 404 heterogeneous analysis because of MSI provides us with: (1) very rich biological 405 information from molecular level, usually achieve thousands of compounds 406 simultaneously; (2) spatial resolved and (3) (relative) quantitative molecular 407 information for *in situ* analysis of bio-samples. In this paper, for the first time, we 408 409 introduce a divide-and-conquer strategy into deep neural network, and present a flexible dc-DeepMSI model to screen ROIs of spatially sporadic or spatially contiguous from 410 MSI datasets of complex bio-samples, like human tumor or mouse fetus. dc-DeepMSI 411 412 provides the possibility to characterize the molecular phenotypes and biomarkers in human tumors, and as well identifies sub-organs in mouse fetus based on spectral 413 similarity and spatial closeness of targeted subpopulations. 414

The proposed model of dc-DeepMSI outperforms state-of-the-art MSI 415 416 segmentation methods, which benefits from the following aspects: (1) The adoption of divide-and-conquer strategy greatly reduces complexity of a deep learning model, and 417 as well improve the model stability. (2) The autoencoder based dimensionality 418 reduction leads to a stable and low-dimensional representation of MSI data while 419 minimizing information loss. (3) Feature clustering using two structurally identical but 420 randomly initialized CNNs achieves a robust segmentation, in which the two CNNs 421 work in an adversarial-and-collaborative way. Moreover, two temporally ensemble 422

423 CNNs stabilize effectively the segmentation. Several results have proven dc-DeepMSI
424 is a straightforward and more robust approach to identify the presence of sub-regions
425 characterized by similar mass spectrometry profiles, providing results that are not
426 captured by histological technologies.

We provide in this paper a deep learning-based method to identify underlying metabolic heterogeneity from high-dimensional MSI data. Nevertheless, the proposed model is also expected to be broadly applicable in multiple computational tasks with hyperspectral imaging techniques, such as microscopy imaging, remote sensing imaging, and other medical imaging. We believe that our work will facilitate the extensive applications of unsupervised deep learning on high-dimensional data analysis.

# 434 Methods

# 435 **Experimental datasets.**

The procedures of animal experiments are approved by the Institutional Animal 436 Care and Use Committee at Shenzhen Institutes of Advanced Technology, Chinese 437 Academy of Sciences (Shenzhen, China). All of mice are treated humanely with the 438 consideration of alleviating suffering. Six-week-old C57BL/6 male and female mice 439 are housed under specific pathogen free condition with controlled temperature, 440 humidity and 12 hrs dark: light cycle. One male and two females are bred and observed 441 442 by a vaginal plug. And then, females are placed in a separate cage after successful mating. We collect the whole-body mouse fetus at embryonic day 18 for MALDI-MSI 443 analysis. 444

Human tumor samples are collected from patients with breast caner during the surgical tumor operation at the Second Affiliated Hospital of Medical College, Xi'an Jiaotong University. The patients are recruited with consent in this study and handled in accordance with approved procedures from the Institutional Review Board of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Medical College, Xi'an Jiaotong University and Shenzhen Institutes of Advanced Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

The procedures of MALDI-MSI and histological analysis are described in the previous work of Zhao et al  $^{22,36}$ . In short, the mouse fetus and human breast tumor samples are sectioned at a 14 µm-thickness by using CryoStar Nx79 cryostat (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Germany). Then, sections are thaw-mounted onto ITO slides for MALDI-MSI analysis. Subsequently, the serial sections are mounted on 4% paraformaldehyde coated glass slides then used for H&E staining. MSI datasets are
collected by using the RapifleX MALDI Tissuetyper (Bruker Daltonics, Germany) with
N-(1-Naphthyl)-ethylenediamine dihydrochloride matrix. H&E images are acquired
using by Nanozoomer 2.0RS digital pathology scanner (Hamamatsu, Japan) with 0.4 ×
amplification.

461 **Data Preparation.** The raw MSI data is collected using Bruker RapifleX MALDI 462 Tissuetyper. SCiLS Lab vendor software is used to read and export MSI data to *.imzML* 463 files. MALDIquant package is then used to carry out data preprocessing including 464 spectral alignment, peak detection, peak binning, *etc* <sup>37</sup>. Finally, we obtain a data matrix 465  $\mathbf{X}_{M \times N \times H}$ , in which *M*, *N* are pixel numbers of horizontal and vertical coordinates of 466 MSI image respectively, and *H* is the hyperspectral dimensionality, or say the ions 467 (*m/z*) number.

468 The architecture of dc-DeepMSI. dc-DeepMSI is consisted of two modules, *i.e.*, 469 dimensionality reduction (DR-module) and feature clustering (FC-module), as shown 470 in Fig. 1a. DR-module is to learn a nonlinear mapping  $f(\cdot | \vartheta)$  to project the high-471 dimensional data  $X_{M \times N \times H}$  into a low-dimensional data  $Y_{M \times N \times L}$  as follows,

472 
$$\mathbf{Y}_{M \times N \times L} = f(\mathbf{X}_{M \times N \times H} | \boldsymbol{\vartheta})$$
(1)

473 Where  $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$  is the network parameters in DR-module to be trained. FC-module is to learn 474 a nonlinear mapping function  $g(\cdot | \boldsymbol{\theta})$  from  $\mathbf{Y}_{M \times N \times L}$  to segmentation map/cluster 475 label  $\mathbf{C}_{M \times N}$  as follows,

476 
$$\mathbf{C}_{M \times N} = g(\mathbf{Y}_{M \times N \times L} | \boldsymbol{\theta})$$
(2)

477 where  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  is the network parameters in FC-module to be trained.

To achieve the nonlinear mapping, FC-module is designed with two parallel feature 478 extraction (FE) blocks and two temporally ensemble FE blocks, as shown in Fig. 1a. 479 Firstly, each FE block is implemented by a CNN of n components and a linear 480 classifier (Fig. 1b), in which the CNN component is consisted of a 2D convolutional 481 layer of p channels and  $s \times s$  kernel size, a batch normalization layer and a ReLU 482 activation function, while the linear classifier is consisted of a 2D convolutional layer 483 of q filters and  $1 \times 1$  kernel size. The output of FE block is a response map 484  $\mathbf{R}_{M \times N \times q} = (r_{m,n,i})$ , on which a segmentation map, or say cluster label  $\mathbf{C}_{M \times N} =$ 485  $(C_{m,n})$ , will be produced by applying *argmax* classifying, 486

487 
$$C_{m,n} \coloneqq \{i \mid r_{m,n,i} \ge r_{m,n,j}, \forall j \neq i \le q\}$$
(3)

488 Secondly, the temporally ensemble FE block is accomplished by averaging the 489 parameters of its corresponding FE block at each iteration t as follows,

490 
$$\mathbf{\theta}^{E}(t) = \alpha \cdot \mathbf{\theta}^{E}(t-1) + (1-\alpha) \cdot \mathbf{\theta}(t)$$
(4)

491 where  $\theta(t)$  and  $\theta^{E}(t)$  are the parameters of FE block and its corresponding 492 temporally ensemble FE block at time t, and  $0 \le \alpha < 1$  is the ensemble momentum. 493 Specifically, the two FE blocks and two ensemble FE blocks map the input 494  $\mathbf{Y}_{M \times N \times L}$  to 4-different segmentation maps as,

495  

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{C}_{M\times N}^{1} = g^{1}(\mathbf{Y}_{M\times N\times L}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{1}) \\ \mathbf{C}_{M\times N}^{2} = g^{2}(\mathbf{Y}_{M\times N\times L}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{2}) \\ \mathbf{C}_{M\times N}^{1E} = g^{1E}(\mathbf{Y}_{M\times N\times L}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{1E}) \\ \mathbf{C}_{M\times N}^{2E} = g^{2E}(\mathbf{Y}_{M\times N\times L}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{2E}) \end{cases}$$
(5)

496 The four FE blocks work adversarially and collaboratively to achieve a final 497 segmentation map  $C_{M \times N}$ .

# 498 Training strategy and implementation. Divide-and-conquer strategy is designed to

train the DR module and FC module, respectively. DR-module is implemented by an autoencoder framework <sup>20</sup>, which is consisted of two blocks, i.e., the encoder block and the decoder block as follows,

502 
$$\mathbf{Y}_{M \times N \times L} = f(\mathbf{X}_{M \times N \times H} | \boldsymbol{\vartheta})$$

503 
$$\mathbf{X'}_{M \times N \times H} = f^d(\mathbf{Y}_{M \times N \times L} | \boldsymbol{\vartheta}^d)$$

where f and  $f^d$  are the mapping functions of encoder and decoder,  $\vartheta$  and  $\vartheta^d$  are the parameter of encoder and decoder blocks respectively.  $\mathbf{Y}_{M \times N \times L}$  is the reduced data. We use a loss function  $\mathcal{L}_{rec}$  to train the autoencoder module as follows,

507 
$$\mathcal{L}_{rec} = \frac{1}{M \times N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} 1 - \left( \frac{\mathbf{X}_{m,n} \cdot \mathbf{X}'_{m,n}}{\|\mathbf{X}_{M \times N}\|_{2} \cdot \|\mathbf{X}'_{M \times N}\|_{2}} \right)$$
(6)

508 where  $\|\cdot\|_2$  is  $l_2$ -norm.

509 The loss function  $\mathcal{L}$  in FC-module is a weighted combination of three parts as,

510 
$$\mathcal{L} = \omega_1 \cdot (\mathcal{L}_{sim}(\mathbf{R}^1, \mathbf{C}^1) + \mathcal{L}_{sim}(\mathbf{R}^2, \mathbf{C}^2))$$

511 
$$+ \omega_2 \cdot \left( \mathcal{L}_{sta}(\mathbf{R}^1, \mathbf{C}^{2E}) + \mathcal{L}_{sta}(\mathbf{R}^2, \mathbf{C}^{1E}) \right)$$
(7)

512 
$$+ \omega_3 \cdot (\mathcal{L}_{TV}(\mathbf{R}^1) + \mathcal{L}_{TV}(\mathbf{R}^2))$$

513 where  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$  are combinational weights,  $\mathcal{L}_{sim}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{sta}$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_{TV}$  are three loss 514 functions to optimize the network parameters.

Firstly, the similarity loss of  $\mathcal{L}_{sim}$  is to make pixels with similar features be assigned to same cluster, which is designed based on cross entropy between the response map **R** and segmentation map **C** as follows,

518 
$$\mathcal{L}_{sim}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}) = \frac{1}{M \times N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{q} -\delta(i - C_{m,n}) \cdot \ln r_{m,n,i}$$
(8)

519 where

520 
$$\delta(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t = 0\\ 0, & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

521 Secondly, the stability loss of  $\mathcal{L}_{sta}$  is to stabilize the segmentation result, which 522 is calculated using to the response map of one FE model (**R**) and the segmentation map 523 of the temporally average of another FE block ( $\mathbf{C}^{\sim E}$ ) as follows:

524 
$$\mathcal{L}_{sta}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}^{\sim E}) = \frac{1}{M \times N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \max(0, r_{m,n}^{\text{neg}} - r_{m,n}^{\text{pos}} + \alpha)$$
(9)

525 where  $\alpha$  is a margin parameter, and

526 
$$r_{m,n}^{\text{neg}} \coloneqq \left\{ r_{i,j} \left\| \min\left( \left\| r_{m,n} - r_{i,j} \right\|_{2}^{2} \right), \forall \ C_{i,j}^{\sim E} \neq C_{m,n}^{\sim E} \& m, n \neq i, j \right\}$$

527 
$$r_{m,n}^{\text{pos}} \coloneqq \left\{ r_{i,j} \left\| max \left( \left\| r_{m,n} - r_{i,j} \right\|_{2}^{2} \right), \forall C_{i,j}^{\sim E} = C_{m,n}^{\sim E} \& m, n \neq i, j \right\} \right\}$$

528 Thirdly, the total variation (TV) loss of  $\mathcal{L}_{TV}$  is to make pixels of spatially close 529 be in a same cluster, which is used to decrease the differences between neighboring 530 pixels,

531 
$$\mathcal{L}_{TV}(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{1}{M \times N} \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left\| r_{m+1,n} - r_{m,n} \right\|_{1} + \left\| r_{m,n+1} - r_{m,n} \right\|_{1}$$
(10)

532 where  $\|\cdot\|_1$  is  $l_1$ -norm.

Stochastic gradient descent optimizer is adopted to train both DR-module and FCmodule, where the learning rate and the momentum are set to be 0.01 and 0.9 respectively. Network parameters are initialized to be normal distribution N(0,0.02). The proposed model is implemented in Python with PyTorch library and trained the models on a workstation equipped with a GPU Nvidia GTX 2080Ti graphics card.

538 Lipid ions screening method. Three commonly used metrics including Hedges' g

effect size (ES), Fold-change (FC), area under the curve (AUC) are used to screen lipid

540 markers for each sub-region in breast tumor sample,

541 **Hedges' g** is a measure of effect size (ES) that tells us how much one ROI differs 542 from another, which can be calculated as,

where  $\mu_1$ ,  $\mu_2$  are the mean abundances of the target ROI and the control ROI respectively, and  $\sigma^*_{pooled}$  is the pooled and weighted standard deviation,

546 
$$\sigma_{pooled}^* = \sqrt{\frac{(n_1 - 1)\sigma_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)\sigma_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 1}}$$
(12)

where  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are the standard deviations of the target ROI and the control ROI respectively. The larger the effect size, the greater the difference between two ROIs.

Fold-change (FC) is used to evaluate the abundance difference between two given
ROIs, which is calculated as follows:

where  $\mu_1$ ,  $\mu_2$  are the mean abundances of the target ROI and the control ROI respectively.

The area under the curve (AUC) is a measure of the ability of a classifier to distinguish between classes and is used as a summary of the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. The higher the AUC, the better the performance of the model at distinguishing between the positive and negative classes. Here the positive and negative classes are the target and control ROIs respectively, and logistic regression is adopted to be the classifier model.

560 Furthermore, least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regression 561 is used to identify co-expressive lipid ions for the target ROI with respect to the control 562 ROI<sup>31</sup>.

Let  $\mathbf{X}_{N \times P}$  be the data matrix of two given ROIs with *N* data points (pixels) and *P* lipid ions in each pixel, **y** be the ROI belonging vector of the *N* pixels. We can build a linear regression model on (**X**, **y**) as follows,

566 
$$\mathbf{y} = \boldsymbol{\beta}_0 + \boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{X} + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$$
(14)

567 where  $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_P)$  is the regression coefficients, and  $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$  is the residuals errors. 568 Impose LASSO penalty on the optimization of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ , we have<sup>31</sup>

569 
$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{lasso} = \underset{\boldsymbol{\beta}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \beta_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{P} x_{ij} \beta_j)^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{P} |\beta_j| \right\}$$
(15)

570 Then most of the regression coefficients will be zero.

571 The lipid ions of non-zero regression coefficients are defined as the co-expressive

572 lipid ions of the target ROI, which acts as a lipid marker.

573

# 574 **Data availability**.

575 All of the datasets analyzed in this paper are public and can be referenced at

576 https://github.com/gankLei-X/dc-DeepMSI.

# 577 Code availability

578 Source code is available at https://github.com/gankLei-X/dc-DeepMSI.

579

# 580 **References**

| 581 | 1. | Kompauer, M., Heiles, S. & Spengler, B. Autofocusing MALDI mass                  |
|-----|----|--|
| 582 |    | spectrometry imaging of tissue sections and 3D chemical topography of nonflat    |
| 583 |    | surfaces. Nat. Methods. 14, 1156-1158 (2017).                                    |
| 584 | 2. | Doerr, A. Mass spectrometry imaging takes off. Nat. Methods. 15, 32-32 (2018).   |
| 585 | 3. | Rappez, L. et al. SpaceM reveals metabolic states of single cells. Nat. Methods  |
| 586 |    | <b>18</b> , 799-805 (2021).  |
| 587 | 4. | Sun, C. L. et al. Spatially resolved metabolomics to discover tumor-associated   |
| 588 |    | metabolic alterations. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 116, 52-57 (2019).        |
| 589 | 5. | Aichler, M. & Walch, A. MALDI Imaging mass spectrometry: current frontiers       |
| 590 |    | and perspectives in pathology research and practice. Lab. Invest. 95, 422-431    |
| 591 |    | (2015).  |
| 592 | 6. | Yuan, Z. et al. SEAM is a spatial single nuclear metabolomics method for         |
| 593 |    | dissecting tissue microenvironment. Nat. Methods 18, 1223-1232 (2021).           |
| 594 | 7. | Schwamborn, K. & Caprioli, R. M. Molecular imaging by mass spectrometry -        |
| 595 |    | looking beyond classical histology. Nat. Rev. Cancer 10, 639-646 (2010).         |
| 596 | 8. | Verbeeck, N., Caprioli, R. M. & van de Plas, R. Unsupervised machine learning    |
| 597 |    | for exploratory data analysis in imaging mass spectrometry. Mass Spectrom. Rev.  |
| 598 |    | <b>39</b> , 245-291 (2020).  |
| 599 | 9. | Rafols, P. et al. Signal preprocessing, multivariate analysis and software tools |
| 600 |    | for MA(LDI)-TOF mass spectrometry imaging for biological applications.           |
| 601 |    | Mass Spectrom. Rev. 37, 281-306 (2018).  |

| 602 | 10. Hanselmann, M. et al. Active learning for convenient annotation and              |
|-----|--|
| 603 | classification of secondary ion mass spectrometry images. Anal. Chem. 85, 147-       |
| 604 | 155 (2013).  |
| 605 | 11. Guo, D. et al. Deep multiple instance learning classifies subtissue locations in |
| 606 | mass spectrometry images from tissue-level annotations. Bioinformatics 36,           |
| 607 | 300-308 (2020).  |
| 608 | 12. Abdelmoula, W. M. et al. Data-driven identification of prognostic tumor          |
| 609 | subpopulations using spatially mapped t-SNE of mass spectrometry imaging             |
| 610 | data. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 113, 12244-12249 (2016).                       |
| 611 | 13. Mallah, K. et al. Lipid changes associated with traumatic brain injury revealed  |
| 612 | by 3D MALDI-MSI. Anal. Chem. 90, 10568-10576 (2018).                                 |
| 613 | 14. Jones, M. A. et al. Discovering new lipidomic features using cell type specific  |
| 614 | fluorophore expression to provide spatial and biological specificity in a            |
| 615 | multimodal workflow with MALDI imaging mass spectrometry. Anal. Chem.                |
| 616 | <b>92</b> , 7079-7086 (2020).  |
| 617 | 15. Dexter, A., Race, A. M., Styles, I. B. & Bunch, J. Testing for multivariate      |
| 618 | normality in mass spectrometry imaging data: a robust statistical approach for       |
| 619 | clustering evaluation and the generation of synthetic mass spectrometry              |
| 620 | imaging data sets. Anal. Chem. 88, 10893-10899 (2016).                               |

- 621 16. Tarpey, T. & Flury, B. Self-consistency: A fundamental concept in statistics.
  622 *Statistical Science* 11, 229-243 (1996).
- 623 17. LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y. & Hinton, G. Deep learning. *Nature* **521**, 436-444 (2015).

| 624 | 18. Behrmann, J. et al. Deep learning for tumor classification in imaging mass      |
|-----|---|
| 625 | spectrometry. <i>Bioinformatics</i> <b>34</b> , 1215-1223 (2018).                   |
| 626 | 19. Manifold, B., Men, S. Q., Hu, R. Q. & Fu, D. A versatile deep learning          |
| 627 | architecture for classification and label-free prediction of hyperspectral images.  |
| 628 | Nat. Mach. Intell. 3, 306-315 (2021).   |
| 629 | 20. Hinton, G. E. & Salakhutdinov, R. R. Reducing the dimensionality of data with   |
| 630 | neural networks. Science 313, 504-507 (2006).                                       |
| 631 | 21. Liu, Y. et al. High-spatial-resolution multi-omics sequencing via deterministic |
| 632 | barcoding in tissue. Cell 183, 1665-1681 (2020).                                    |
| 633 | 22. Zhao, C. et al. MALDI-MS imaging reveals asymmetric spatial distribution of     |
| 634 | lipid metabolites from bisphenol S-induced nephrotoxicity. Anal. Chem. 90,          |
| 635 | 3196-3204 (2018).   |
| 636 | 23. Bemis, K. D. et al. Probabilistic segmentation of mass spectrometry (MS)        |
| 637 | images helps select important ions and characterize confidence in the resulting     |
| 638 | segments. Mol. Cell. Proteomics 15, 1761-1772 (2016).                               |
| 639 | 24. Bai, X. et al. Explainable deep learning for efficient and robust pattern       |
| 640 | recognition: A survey of recent developments. Pattern Recogn. 120,                  |
| 641 | doi:10.1016/j.patcog.2021.108102 (2021).  |
| 642 | 25. Erhan, D., et al. Why does unsupervised pre-training help deep learning? J.     |
| 643 | Mach. Learn. Res. 11, 625-660 (2010).   |
| 644 | 26. Kim, W., Kanezaki, A. & Tanaka, M. Unsupervised learning of image               |
| 645 | segmentation based on differentiable feature clustering. IEEE T. Image Process.     |
|     |   |

- 646 **29**, 8055-8068 (2020).
- 647 27. Spraker, J. E., Luu, G. T. & Sanchez, L. M. Imaging mass spectrometry for
- natural products discovery: a review of ionization methods. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 37,
  150-162 (2020).
- 650 28. Foulkes, W. D., Smith, I. E. & Reis, J. S. Triple-negative breast cancer. *N. Engl.*651 *J. Med.* 363, 1938-1948 (2010).
- 652 29. Martinez-Outschoorn, U. E., Peiris-Pages, M., Pestell, R. G., Sotgia, F. &
  653 Lisanti, M. P. Cancer metabolism: a therapeutic perspective. *Nat. Rev. Clin.*654 *Oncol.* 14, 11-31 (2017).
- 655 30. Hedges, L. V. Distribution theory for Glass's estimator of effect size and related
  656 estimators. *J. Educ. Behav. Stat.* 6, 107-128 (1981).
- 657 31. Tibshirani, R. Regression shrinkage and selection via the Lasso. J. R. Statist.
  658 Soc. B. 58, 267-288 (1996).
- 32. Schulze, A. & Harris, A. L. How cancer metabolism is tuned for proliferation
  and vulnerable to disruption. *Nature* 491, 364-373 (2012).
- 33. Morad, S. A. F. & Cabot, M. C. Ceramide-orchestrated signalling in cancer cells. *Nat. Rev. Cancer.* 13, 51-65 (2013)
- 34. Baker, M. Metabolomics: from small molecules to big ideas. *Nat. Methods* 8,
  117-121 (2011).
- 35. Calligaris, D. *et al.* Application of desorption electrospray ionization mass
   spectrometry imaging in breast cancer margin analysis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
- 667 U. S. A. 111, 15184-15189 (2014).

- 36. Zhao, C. *et al.* Airborne fine particulate matter induces cognitive and emotional
  disorders in offspring mice exposed during pregnancy. *Sci. Bull.* 66, 578-591
  (2021).
- 671 37. Gibb, S. & Strimmer, K. MALDIquant: a versatile R package for the analysis
  672 of mass spectrometry data. *Bioinformatics* 28, 2270-2271 (2012).

# 673 Acknowledgments

The work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China

675 (91843301, 81871445 and 22176195), the National Key Research Program of China

- 676 (2017YFC1600505 and 2017YFE0191000), the Natural Science Foundation of
- 677 Guangdong Province, China (2021A1515010171), and Key projects of basic research
- 678 in Shenzhen (JCYJ20210324115811031).

# 679 Author contributions

Jiyang Dong, Lei Guo, Xiangnan Xu and Zhichao Wu performed the experiments of dc-DeepMSI. Yinbin Zhang and Chao Zhao provided the tumor analysis. Chao Zhao and Zongwei Cai provided the MSI data. Yongwei Wang and Pengfei Li provided consultancy and discussion in data analysis. Jiyang Dong and Chao Zhao co-wrote the manuscript and analyzed the data. Jiyang Dong, Chao Zhao and Zongwei Cai supervised and directed the study.

686 Additional information

687 **Supplementary Information**. The online version of this article contains 688 Supplementary Information (Fig. 1-5, Table 1,2, and Note 1,2), which is available to 689 authorized users. 690 Conflict statement. The authors declare there is no conflict of interest in this691 manuscript.