Bioorthogonal, fluorogenic targeting of voltage-sensitive fluorophores for visualizing membrane potential dynamics in cellular organelles
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ABSTRACT: Electrical potential differences across lipid bilayers play foundational roles in cellular physiology. Plasma membrane voltage is the most widely studied; however, the bilayers of organelles like mitochondria, lysosomes, nuclei, and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) also provide opportunities for ionic compartmentalization and the generation of transmembrane potentials. Unlike plasma membranes, organelar bilayers, cloistered within the cell, remain recalcitrant to traditional approaches like patch-clamp electrophysiology. To address the challenge of monitoring changes in organelle membrane potential, we describe the design, synthesis, and application of LUnAR RhoVR (Ligation Unquenched for Activation and Redistribution Rhodamine based Voltage Reporter) for optically measuring membrane potential changes in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) of living cells. We pair a tetrazine–quenched RhoVR for voltage sensing with a transcyclooctene (TCO)–conjugated ceramide (Cer–TCO) for targeting to the ER. Bright fluorescence is observed only at the coincidence of LUnAR RhoVR and TCO in the ER, minimizing non-specific, off-target fluorescence. We show that the product of LUnAR RhoVR and Cer–TCO is voltage sensitive and that LUnAR RhoVR can be targeted to intact ER in living cells. Using LUnAR RhoVR, we use two-color, ER-localized, fast voltage imaging coupled with cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging to validate the electroneutrality of Ca\(^{2+}\) release from internal stores. Finally, we use LUnAR RhoVR to directly visualize functional coupling between plasma-ER membrane in patch clamped cell lines, providing the first direct evidence of the sign of the ER potential response to plasma membrane potential changes. We envision that LUnAR RhoVR, along with other existing organelle-targeting TCO probes, could be applied widely for exploring organelle physiology.

Electrical potentials across biological membranes, including those that form membrane-bound organelles, regulate and initiate a host of physiological processes.\(^1\) Although electrical potentials across external plasma membranes have been extensively studied, organelle membrane potentials remain relatively under-explored, by comparison. The membranes of organelles, ensconced within the confines of the cellular membrane, are difficult to access with electrodess, making determination of organelle membrane potential difficult.\(^2\) While optical methods for monitoring changes in or measuring values of plasma membrane potentials are a powerful complement to electrode-based methods, delivering and targeting voltage-sensitive dyes to specific, internal organelle membranes for visualizing organelle membrane potential is an outstanding challenge.

Many strategies exist to target voltage sensitive fluorophores to mitochondria: most rely on lipophilic, cationic groups which accumulate in the mitochondrial matrix in a potential-dependent fashion. Approaches to other organelles are limited. Recent efforts to optically measure membrane potential of internal organelles have used DNA nanodevices\(^5\) or genetic targeting with small molecule quenchers to target lysosomal membranes.\(^6\) Other approaches use completely genetically encoded voltage-sensitive fluorescent proteins which express in both external and internal membranes to track membrane potential, but labeling can be ambiguous because of variable expression levels.\(^7\) A need exists for robust approaches to monitoring membrane potentials of cellular organelles.

We wondered whether we might adapt VoltageFluor dyes for delivery to organelle membranes and take advantage of the photoinduced electron transfer (PeT) voltage-sensing mechanism to monitor membrane potential in organelles. Most existing VoltageFluors are designed to be cell impermeant for measuring cellular membrane potential and thus do not effectively stain organelle membranes.\(^8\) However, we recently found that modifications to a rhodamine-based voltage reporter (RhoVR) enabled passage through cell membranes and localization to mitochondria.\(^8\)

Fluorescent dyes can be targeted to subcellular locations either through charge,\(^10\)–\(^12\) covalently attached groups specific to a subcellular location,\(^13\) genetic targeting of protein constructs,\(^14\)–\(^16\) or bioorthogonal chemistry in which one reaction partner is attached to a targeting group and another
Scheme 1. Structures of LUuAR RhoVR and Cer-TCO for targeted delivery of RhoVRs to organelles

A small molecule rhodamine voltage sensor functionalized with a tetrazine quencher (LUuAR RhoVR1) reacts with a targeted transcyclooctene (TCO) to form a covalent adduct with unquenched fluorescence. When this reaction is performed in live cells with a targeted transcyclooctene, for example ceramide-TCO, the small molecule voltage sensor is targeted to the membrane of interest with quenched fluorescence from any off-target localization.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of LUuAR RhoVRs

is attached to the fluorescent probe to allow targeting in living cells. A VoltageFluor targeted using bioorthogonal chemistry would be targetable to multiple subcellular locations using different targeted reaction partners. We selected a transcyclooctene (TCO)/tetrazine click reaction to use for subcellular targeting of VoltageFluors due to its rapid reaction kinetics and the ability of tetrazines to quench the fluorescence of xanthen dyes. Fluorescence becomes unquenched after the tetrazine group reacts with a strained alkene to form a covalent adduct, tethering unquenched dye to the subcellular location targeted by the alkene.

To realize this strategy, we made a Ligation Unquenched for Activation and Redistribution Rhodamine Voltage Reporter (LUuAR RhoVR). In this approach, TCO is targeted to the membrane of interest using either a chemical targeting group or genetically targeted protein, after which the voltage reporter reacts with the targeted TCO to covalently bind it to the membrane of interest. Off-target background fluorescence is minimized because of quenching by the unreacted tetrazine group. (Scheme 1). After synthesizing and screening four different rhodamine-tetrazine linker chemistries for fluorescence turn-on (Figure S4), we settled on an amide linkage between the RhoVR and the tetrazine due to its superior turn-on and synthetic compatibility with published VoltageFluor syntheses (Scheme 1).

The synthesis of tetrazine-containing LUuAR RhoVR proceeds from a reduction of a brominated carboxytretramethyl rhodamine (I) to the corresponding alcohol (2) followed by a Heck reaction with a molecular wire. The alcohol is then converted to a primary amine and coupled using carbodiimide chemistry to 2-methyl-6-carboxyphenyl tetrazine(24) to give LUuAR RhoVR1 (Scheme 2). A similar synthesis with a molecular wire lacking aniline and methoxy groups gives LUuAR RhoVR0, a voltage insensitive compound which serves as a useful control for cellular studies.

LUuAR RhoVR1 and 0 possess absorbance and emission spectra characteristic of tetramethyl rhodamine, with absorbance centered at 557 nm and an emission maximum of
Table 1. Reactions of LUnAR with Transcyclooctenes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RhovR^1</th>
<th>TCO</th>
<th>Φ_0^b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LUnAR 1</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUnAR 1</td>
<td>TCO-ol</td>
<td>0.26 (6.2×)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUnAR 1</td>
<td>TCO-PEG₃₁₈-Halo</td>
<td>0.28 (6.7×)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUnAR 1</td>
<td>Cer-TCO</td>
<td>0.20 (4.8×)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUnAR 0</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUnAR 0</td>
<td>TCO-ol</td>
<td>0.32 (10.0×)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUnAR 0</td>
<td>Cer-TCO</td>
<td>0.17 (5.3×)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a The indicated LUnAR RhovR (200 µM) was reacted with the indicated transcyclooctene (10 mM) in 5:1 water:dioxane and then diluted to 500 nM in ethanol with 0.001% HCl for spectral measurements. b Quantum yield of fluorescence. Values in parenthesis indicate fold increase over unreacted RhovR.

578 nm (Figure 1, Figure S2). The fluorescence of LUnAR RhovR 1 increases 7-fold upon reaction with TCO-ol (25); LUnAR RhovR 0 increases 10-fold (Figure 1, Table 1). Although the fluorescence increases, the absorbance shows very little change before and after reaction with TCO, indicating that the increase in brightness results primarily from a change in the quantum yield of fluorescence. Similar turn-on ratios are achieved with TCO compounds bearing HaloTag ligands and ceramide (Table 1).

We first tested a HaloTag targeting system for targeting LUnAR RhovRs to both the exterior and interior leaflets of the plasma membrane. TCO tethered to a HaloTag chloroalkane ligand via a flexible polyethyleneglycol (PEG) linker would first be localized to HaloTag. Then, treatment with LUnAR RhovR would result in labeling of HaloTag proteins (Scheme 1). LUnAR RhovR1 labels the plasma membrane when HaloTag is localized to the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane (Figure S3a-c); however, when HaloTag is localized to the endomembrane via prenylation³⁹ (Figure S4), we observe very low levels of cellular fluorescence, likely because the long PEG linkers used to ensure voltage sensitivity of RhovRs in HaloTag targeted systems³⁹ prevent substantial internalization of RhovR (Figure S3d-f). Labeling of cells expressing the same endomembrane HaloTag show robust fluorescence when labeled with the cell-permeable JF646-HaloTag ligand, supporting this hypothesis (Figure S3f-h).

We verified the voltage-sensitivity of the product of LUnAR RhovR 1 and TCO by reacting TCO-PEG₃₁₈-Halo (25) with LUnAR RhovR 1 in vitro and applying this to cells expressing outer leaflet HaloTag (Figure S5).²⁹ Patch clamp electrophysiology on these cells reveal that LUnAR RhovR 1 / TCO conjugates respond to membrane potential change, with a sensitivity of approximately 21% (± 0.72%, S.E.M. for n = 3 cells, Figure S5).

After establishing the voltage sensitivity of LUnAR RhovR1-TCO conjugates at the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane, we turned to the organelle specific lipid-TCO developed by the Schepartz lab for their High Density
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Figure 2. LUnAR RhovR 1 + Cer-TCO localize to the endoplasmic reticulum of HeLa cells. Confocal slices of HeLa cells preincubated with Cer-TCO followed by labeling with a) LUnAR RhovR 1 (magenta) and c) the plasma membrane marker BeRST0 (cyan). b) An overlay of the two signals shows no significant overlap. Scale bar is 20 μm. Confocal slices of HeLa cells preincubated with Cer-TCO followed by labeling with d) LUnAR RhovR 1 (magenta) and e) ER Tracker Green (green). e) An overlay of the two signals shows overlap in white. Scale bar is 20 μm. d) Plot of Pearson’s coefficients for colocalization analysis of LUnAR RhovR 1 and ER green or BeRST. 90° control indicates analysis in which one of the images has been rotated 90° during the colocalization analysis. * indicates p < 0.05; “ns” indicates p > 0.05 (student’s t-test, two tailed unequal variance).

Environmentally-sensitive probes (HIDE probes)³⁵ to target LUnAR RhovRs to organelles. We loaded HeLa cells with a ceramide-TCO that targets the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), followed by loading with LUnAR RhovR 1 or LUnAR RhovR 0. Cell treated with Cer-TCO followed by LUnAR RhovR 1 show strong fluorescence associated with internal structures (Figure 2a, Figure S6, S7). LUnAR signal colocalizes with the ER (Pearson’s coefficient of 0.8 with ER Tracker Green, Figure 2d) and shows negligible plasma membrane staining (Pearson’s coefficient of 0.35 with membrane-associated BeRST.³³ Figure 2d, Figure S6). Similar colocalization analysis reveals minimal colocalization with mitochondria (when using mitotracker deep red as a marker for mitochondria³⁰, ³¹) or Golgi (using GalNAc – BFP as Golgi marker).³⁴ The voltage insensitive control compound, LUnAR RhovR 0, shows similar cellular localization (Figure S6, S8).
Having established that LUnAR RhoVR1 can label to ER via reacting with pre-localized Cer-TCO (Figure 2) and that the reaction product of LUnAR RhoVR1 + TCO is voltage sensitive (Figure S5), we next sought to determine whether LUnAR RhoVR1 is voltage sensitive in the ER membrane. Because of the difficulty associated with patch clamp electrophysiological calibration of ER membrane potential in living cells, we modified a previously reported literature method for inducing voltage changes in intracellular membranes. In our method (Figure 3a, Figure S9), cells loaded with LUnAR RhoVR1 targeted to the ER via Cer-TCO are first treated briefly with digitonin to selectively permeabilize the cell membrane, but not organelle membranes. Digitonin permeabilizes cholesterol-rich membranes. The plasma membrane contains up to 50% cholesterol content, while ER membranes contain only about 5% cholesterol content. Thus, the digitonin treatment selectively permeabilizes the plasma membrane while leaving the ER membrane intact.

We established plasma permeability by co-incubation with calcein-AM: cells with intact cell membranes retained calcein-AM fluorescence, while cells with permeabilized membranes showed little to no calcein fluorescence (Figure 3a). After treatment with digitonin, cells are incubated in a buffer mimicking the intracellular environment (high [K+] of 130 mM) and containing the potassium ionophores valinomycin and nigericin which allows K+ ions to equilibrate along their electrochemical gradient (Figure 3b).

Subsequently, a solution of low [K+] (10 mM) is perfused onto the cells (Figure 3c) while fluorescence images are taken over time (Figure 3e-h, S10). A short voltage transient is induced due to the different K+ concentrations on either side of the ER membrane and high K+ permeability induced by valinomycin and nigericin, resulting in a net negative potential on the luminal side of the ER (Figure 3c). Accompanying this hyperpolarization is a transient increase in LUnAR RhoVR1 fluorescence of approximately 5% (Figure 3e). When a high [K+] solution is perfused back on the cells, the potential across the ER membrane is positive on the luminal side (Figure 3d), and a small decrease in fluorescence occurs (Figure 3e). This response is likely
smaller than the response to the initial hyperpolarization due to incomplete equilibration of the ER lumen with the low [K+] solution and thus a smaller magnitude of the reverse voltage transient.

The increase in fluorescence associated with ER lumen hyperpolarization is only observed in cells with permeabilized plasma membranes; non-permeabilized cells in the same dish, identified by their high intracellular calcein fluorescence, do not respond to differing [K+] perfusion (Figure 3f, Figure S11). In separate control experiments, perfusion with identical high [K+] solutions results in no fluorescence change (Figure 3g). Finally, if the high/low [K+] perfusion is repeated with voltage insensitive LUnAR RhoVR o, we observe no change in fluorescence (Figure 3h). As an additional control, perfusing FCCP, which transports protons across membranes down their concentration gradient,4 rather than [K+], results in minimal changes in fluorescence (Figure S12). This supports localization of LUnAR RhoVR 1 to the ER, rather than mitochondria, and corroborates colocalization data (Figure 2, Figure S6-8), since we previously established that low doses of FCCP (500 nM) cause a change in the mitochondrial membrane potential.9 It further corroborates that the fluorescence changes we observe upon switching [K+] result from membrane potential changes in the ER, rather than off-target pH sensing, since the pH of the ER is similar to the cytosol,13 while the pH gradient between the cytosol and other organelles like mitochondria and lysosome is much steeper.4,14 Taken together, these results demonstrate that LUnAR RhoVR 1 is able to sense voltage transients in the ER.

We next use LUnAR RhoVR1 to examine two physiological processes in which ER membrane potential changes may play a role: Ca2+ release from ER stores and electrical coupling between the ER and plasma membranes. We first examine ER voltage changes during Ca2+ release. The ER and sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR) are major cellular stores of calcium ions.43 During cellular signaling processes, Ca2+ channels in the ER/SR open and Ca2+ is released from these internal stores,43 moving down its electrochemical gradient and into the cytosol.44

The movement of Ca2+ out of the ER down its electrochemical gradient results in negative potentials in the ER lumen relative to the cytosol, unless cations enter the ER lumen to balance the charge. Potassium may flow into the ER lumen from the cytosol to compensate for the outward flow of Ca2+. Previous reports find that SR membrane potential does not change during Ca2+ release.45-46 However, the fluorescent reporters used in these experiments have limited time resolution due to their slower kinetics.47-49 VoltageFluors, because of their PeT mechanism, sense rapid changes in membrane potential,28,50 and thus we saw an opportunity to extend the conclusions of prior work by looking for evidence of rapid changes in ER membrane potential in a non-excitable cell line.

Histamine treatment induces Ca2+ oscillations in HeLa cells which necessitates release of Ca2+ from internal stores.52 By simultaneously measuring ER membrane potential in HeLa cells with LUnAR RhoVR1/Cer-TCO at 200 Hz (Figure 4a) and Ca2+ oscillations via Oregon Green BAPTA (OGB, Figure 4b), we were able to look for rapid changes in ER voltage that could be linked to Ca2+ release. Intact cells were treated with Cer-TCO and LUnAR RhoVR 1 to label ER and OGB (Figure 4ab) and stimulated with histamine to evoke Ca2+ release. Fluorescence traces were then aligned so that the steepest increase in OGB fluorescence is synced to t = 0 in all traces (Figure S13). We observe no change from the baseline fluorescence of LUnAR RhoVR during histamine-evoked Ca2+ release when examining either individual cells (Figure 4c) or average responses across multiple trials (Figure 4d).

To establish a limit of detection, we developed an automated analysis routine (Figure S13). We examined each cell region of interest (ROI) to search for any cell on a coverslip that has a fluorescence change 10 standard deviations above the noise during the RhoVR recording. We then
created artificial positives to test the limit of detection, increasing the fluorescence of an ROI at a single timepoint by 1 to 5% (Figure 4e). Then we asked what fraction of cells had detectable single-frame fluorescence changes. The unmodified data had no cells with a detectable fluorescence change, but the automated analysis could readily detect changes of 2.5% or greater (p < 0.001, Student’s t-test, 2-tailed unequal variance, Figure 4f). Taken together, these results extend the current literature hypothesis that voltage changes during Ca\(^{2+}\) release from the ER are not electrogenic and are likely compensated for by the movement of other ions into the ER. The more rapid time sensitivity of LUnAR RhoVRs compared to existing probes rules out rapid voltage transients down to 5 ms in duration and <2.5% ΔF/F. The lower time bound is due to the limits of the image collection rate rather than the limits of probe response kinetics.

To further explore the ability of LUnAR RhoVRs to measure biologically relevant voltage changes, we turned to patch-clamp electrophysiology to examine the electrical relationship between plasma membrane potential and ER membrane potential. A previous report using an internally targeted genetically-encoded voltage indicator (GEVI) gave evidence for electrical coupling between the plasma membrane and the ER in HEK cells and hippocampal neurons. However, these results may be confounded by non-selective localization of the GEVI. Additionally, the orientation of the GEVI in intracellular membranes is unknown; therefore, the direction of fluorescence change cannot be used to determine the direction of the voltage change. We set out to extend these results into another cell line through simultaneous electrical measurements of plasma membrane potential and optical measurements of ER membrane potential with LUnAR RhoVR 1. We induced plasma membrane depolarization (more positive inside) and hyperpolarization (more negative inside) in LUnAR RhoVRs / Cer-TCO stained cells using whole-cell voltage clamp (Figure 5a).

Depolarizing steps in the plasma membrane (more positive potentials, Figure 5b) are accompanied by decreases in LUnAR RhoVR1 fluorescence (Figure 5c), which corresponds to a depolarization of the ER lumen (more positive on the inside). This result is consistent with [K\(^{+}\)] perfusion experiments (Figure 3) and, along with the [K\(^{+}\)] calibration, provides the first unambiguous confirmation of the correspondence of the sign of membrane potential change between ER and PM membrane potentials. Depolarization at the PM results in depolarization of the ER.

Notably, the fluorescence response of LUnAR RhoVR1 exactly follows the time course of the plasma membrane voltage command (Figure 5d, zoom-in of a single voltage step), suggesting a direct, or tight coupling of ER-PM potentials. When cells are stained with voltage insensitive LUnAR RhoVR0, the fluorescence does not change with membrane potential (Figure 5e/f), confirming that the observed fluorescence change with LUnAR RhoVR 1 is a response to ER membrane potential.

Finally, a plot of the change in LUnAR RhoVR 1 fluorescence in the ER (ΔF/F) vs. the plasma membrane potential command reveals a plot with a substantial deviation from linearity. This is in contrast to the voltage sensitivity of preformed LUnAR RhoVR 1 on the plasma membrane of patch-clamped HEK cells, which shows a linear relationship between ΔF/F and plasma membrane command voltage (Figure 5e).

The ΔF/F response of LUnAR RhoVR 1 in the ER appears to have two linear components. At negative plasma membrane potentials (≤ 0 mV), we find a linear response of -1.4% per 100 mV (± 0.39, S.E.M., n = 5, R\(^2\) = 0.997, Figure 5g). However, at positive potentials (> 0 mV), the ΔF/F voltage dependence becomes shallower: -0.4% per 100 mV (± 0.12, S.E.M., n = 5, R\(^2\) = 0.97, Figure 5g). This greater than 3-fold change in the response at negative vs positive potentials is statistically significant (p = 0.037, paired t-test, n = 5, Figure S14). Because the fluorescence response
of pre-formed LUnAR RhoVR on the plasma membrane is linear with respect to voltage (Figure S5e), this non-linear behavior may be due to underlying voltage changes in the ER. An intriguing possibility is that the ER rectifies, or opposes, plasma membrane potential commands at values more positive than 0 mV, and investigations are underway to explore the cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying this observation.

In this work, we report a new small-molecule sensor capable of targeting subcellular membranes. We show that LUnAR RhoVR is capable of targeting the ER of living cells and reporting on membrane potential fluctuations in this organelle. Using dual plasma membrane patch clamp and ER voltage imaging (Figure 5), we corroborate previous observations showing correspondence between ER and PM potentials. By combining patch clamp experiments with ionic calibration (Figure 3) we directly establish that the directionality of the ER membrane potential change corresponds to the voltage change in the plasma membrane. We envision that LUnAR RhoVR will be an important addition to the suite of methods available for monitoring ER physiology. Partnering LUnAR RhoVR with other lipid-based HIDE probes will allow access to the physiology of additional organelles.
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