Construction of a Reduction-responsive DNA Microsphere using a Reduction-cleavable Spacer based on a Nitrobenzene Scaffold
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Table of Contents: We describe that the reduction-cleavable spacer (RCS) containing a nitrobenzene scaffold can be incorporated into a single-stranded DNA sequence to enable the construction of a reduction-responsive DNA architecture with spherical morphology at micrometer scale. The RCS could allow for the introduction of the reduction-responsiveness into various functional oligonucleotides as well as nucleic acid-based architectures.
Abstract:

Here, we describe the design and synthesis of a new reduction-cleavable spacer (RCS) based on a nitrobenzene scaffold for constructing reduction-responsive oligonucleotides according to standard phosphoramidite chemistry. In addition, we demonstrate that the introduction of the RCS in the middle of an oligonucleotide (30 nt) enables the construction of a self-assembled microsphere capable of exhibiting a reduction-responsive disassembly.

Introduction

Nucleic acids have been employed as programmable building blocks in the construction of various DNA nanostructures through self-assembly, which is based on Watson–Crick base pairing [1]. DNA nanostructures with stimuli-responsive properties have been used in various applications such as sensors [2], controlled release and delivery [3], and actuators [4]. For introducing stimuli responsiveness in constitutional nucleic acid strands, two distinct chemical approaches using cleavable bonds have been employed: These approaches are (i) the modification of nucleotide (monomer) units and (ii) the introduction of a stimuli-responsive cleavable spacer in the middle of a nucleic acid strand [5] (Figure 1). Our group has devoted research efforts to develop redox-responsive nucleic acids. For instance, we developed a reduction-responsive guanosine monomer unit that can be introduced into DNA aptamer [6] and DNAzyme [7] using the chemical approach (i). Recently, we have established a postmodification approach to introduce reduction [8] and oxidation [9]-responsive units (nitrobenzyl and boronobenzyl groups, respectively) into the terminal phosphate groups of nucleic acids. Nevertheless, to effectively trigger a dynamic structural change in a self-assembled structure of nucleic acids in response to redox-stimuli, the development of redox-responsive spacer units using the chemical approach (ii) is desired. Regarding this, photo-cleavable spacers have been successfully used to induce dynamic structural transitions in DNA nanostructures [10]. For example, the photo-controlled release of entrapped substances from DNA origami nanostructures [11] and DNA microcapsules [12] have been reported. In addition, enzyme-cleavable spacers based on a dipeptide have been developed to promote the applications of therapeutic oligonucleotides [13].

Here, we describe the design and synthesis of a new reduction-cleavable spacer (RCS; Figure 1B) based on a nitrobenzene scaffold for constructing reduction-responsive nucleic acids according to standard phosphoramidite chemistry. In addition, we demonstrate the introduction of the RCS in the middle of an oligonucleotide sequence (30 nt) for constructing self-assembled microspheres [14] exhibiting reduction-responsive disassembly.
Figure 1 Redox-responsive nucleic acids based on the (A) modification of nucleotide (monomer) units with a cleavable chemical bond (typical reduction and oxidation responsive units, which can be introduced at nucleobase [5c, 5f, 6, 7] or phosphate [5c, 5g, 8, 9] moiety, are shown) and (B) introduction of a stimuli-responsive cleavable spacer in the middle of strand. The chemical structure of reduction-cleavable spacer (RCS) consisting of a nitrobenzene scaffold and plausible structure after cleavage are shown.

Results and discussion

The molecular design of the new RCS is depicted in Figures 1 and 2 and the synthetic scheme is shown in Figure S1 (Supporting Information). After the reduction of nitro groups in the RCS to amino and/or aminooxy groups [6a], a cleavage reaction via β-1,6-elimination could occur, as depicted in Figure 1B. The p-nitrobenzene scaffold including RCS can show the nicotinamide-adenine dinucleotide (phosphate) (NA(P)DH)-dependent cleavage with the aid of enzymes, such as a nitroreductase [6a, 15, 16]. In contrast, no response toward thiol-reducing agents including reduced glutathione, which cannot reduce the nitro groups, is expected [8]. In this study, we introduced the RCS into a single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) s1 to obtain s1RCS (Figure 2A). The resulting s1RCS could form DNA microspheres by combining with two additional ssDNAs, i.e., s2 and s3, via thermal annealing process. The process is shown in Figure 2B [14]. In addition, because the RCS was introduced between the TWJ core and SE sequence, the reduction-responsive cleavage could result in the disassembly of DNA microspheres through the loss of their three-dimensional network structure as depicted in Figure 2B.
Figure 2 (A) DNA sequences used in this study. (B) Schematic showing the formation of DNA microsphere 1RCS from three ssDNAs (s1RCS + s2 + s3). Reduction-responsive DNA microsphere using a reduction-cleavable spacer of nitrobenzene scaffold.

Solid phase synthesis and purification of ssDNA s1RCS were performed according to the standard procedure described in the supporting information (Figure S1). To investigate the formation of microspheres from the two pairs of three ssDNAs (“s1 + s2 + s3” for DNA microsphere 1 and “s1RCS + s2 + s3” for DNA microsphere 1RCS), CLSM observations were performed using a fluorescent dye (i.e., EvaGreen) to visualize the morphologies [17]. First, we investigated the effect of the concentrations of ssDNA (i.e., 2.5 and 10 µM) and Mg²⁺ (12.5 and 25 mM) on the microsphere formation ability. As shown in Figure 3A_ii, for DNA...
microsphere 1RCS, particulate morphology was observed at high ssDNA of 10 µM when Mg²⁺ concentration is 25 mM, whereas not-well defined structures were found at low Mg²⁺ concentration of 12.5 mM even at the higher ssDNA concentration of 10 µM (Figure 3A_i). On the contrary, for the DNA microsphere 1 (Figure 3B), similar particulate morphology was observed at low ssDNA and Mg²⁺ concentrations (2.5 µM and 12.5 mM, respectively), which is consistent with a previous report [14]. Moreover, as shown in Figure 3C, the DNA microsphere 1RCS exhibited a lower circularity than the DNA microsphere 1. The average sizes of the DNA microspheres 1 and 1RCS estimated from CLSM images under the same conditions were 1.61 ± 0.47 and 1.68 ± 0.64 µm, respectively (Figure 3D). The observed differences in the morphology as well as formation ability between the DNA microspheres 1 and 1RCS would be ascribed to flexibility and extra length of RCS introduced between the TWJ core and the SE, which could attenuate efficient formation of networked structures suitable for microsphere formation. Although the formation of smaller self-assembled structures (i.e., <1 µm) in the solutions containing “s1RCS + s2 + s3” might be expected [14a], we focused on DNA microspheres 1 and 1RCS in the following study.
Figure 3 Representative CLSM images of (A) DNA microspheres $1^{RCS}$ consisting of ssDNAs “s1$^{RCS}$ + s2 + s3” and (B) DNA microspheres 1 consisting of “s1 + s2 + s3” prepared under different conditions. Magnified images of A_ii and B_ii are shown in panel (C). (D) Histogram analysis of the size of DNA microspheres $1^{RCS}$ and 1 prepared at 10 µM ssDNA in the presence of 25 mM Mg(OAc)$_2$. Conditions: Solution A:B = 5:1 (v/v); Solution A: [DNA (s1 or s1$^{RCS}$, s2, s3)] = 2.5 or 10 µM in an aqueous buffer (10 mM Tris-acetate (pH 8.3) containing 12.5 or 25 mM Mg(OAc)$_2$ and 1.0 mM EDTA), Solution B: [EvaGreen] = 25 µM in an aqueous buffer (10 mM Tris-acetate (pH 8.3) containing 1.0 mM EDTA), CLSM observations were conducted at ambient temperature.

With the DNA microspheres $1^{RCS}$ were in hand, their stimuli-responsiveness was next investigated. First, the reduction-responsive cleavage of s1$^{RCS}$ in the single-stranded state was evaluated using polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE). A decrease in the band intensity of s1$^{RCS}$ (lane 4–9) was observed with a concurrent increase in that of the cleaved product, as shown in Figure 4A. This depends on the concentration of the chemical reducing agent used (i.e., Na$_2$S$_2$O$_4$). Further, the cleaved product showed
band shift comparable to that observed in s1W/SE (lane 1); thus, it can be assigned to s1F. The band assignable to a shorter fragment pSE (10 nt) was not found under the conditions. Nevertheless, we disclosed that the significant amount (approximately 85%) of s1RCS was consumed after the addition of 20 mM Na2S2O4 as the final concentration (lane 8, Figure 4B). We then performed CLSM observations to evaluate the reduction-responsiveness of the DNA microsphere 1RCS. The obtained results revealed that the particulate morphology disappeared within 1 min after the addition of the aqueous Na2S2O4 (28 mM as the final concentration, which is expected to be enough from Figure 4B), as shown in Figure 5A_ii. This indicated the reduction-responsive degradation/disassembly of the DNA microsphere 1RCS. Further, PAGE analysis revealed the formation of the cleaved product under the given conditions for the reduction-responsive disassembly of DNA microsphere 1RCS (lane 7, Figure 4C). In contrast, after adding nonreducing agent Na2SO4 (28 mM as the final concentration), a certain extent of aggregation possibly due to the increased salt concentration was observed but disassembly was not induced (Figure 5A_iv). Moreover, reduced glutathione (5 mM as the final concentration, which is comparable with its intracellular concentration) did not significantly change the morphology (Figure 5A_v). These results indicate that the selective disassembly of the DNA microsphere 1RCS in response to Na2S2O4 was triggered by the reduction of the nitrobenzene scaffold in s1RCS and the subsequent cleavage reaction via β-1,6-elimination, as depicted in Figure 2A. In contrast, DNA microsphere 1 showed no degradation/disassembly response, while a certain extent of aggregation, toward the chemical reducing agent Na2S2O4 (28 mM as the final concentration) under the same conditions as for the DNA microsphere 1RCS (Figure 5B_ii). Finally, the DNA microspheres 1RCS and 1 showed endonuclease DNase I-responsive degradations, as shown in Figure 5A_iii and 5B_iii, respectively, which is reasonable and consistent with previous reports [14,17].
Figure 4 (A) PAGE (20%, denatured, SYBR Green II) analysis to evaluate reduction-responsive cleavage of ssDNA s1\textsuperscript{RCS} and Na\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} dependence of remained s1\textsuperscript{RCS} (%). (B) PAGE (20%, denatured, SYBR Green II) analysis to evaluate reduction-responsive cleavage of DNA microsphere 1\textsuperscript{RCS}. Conditions: Solution A:B = 5:1 (v/v), Solution A: [DNA (s1 or s1\textsuperscript{RCS}, s2, s3)] = 10 µM in an aqueous buffer (10 mM Tris-acetate (pH 8.3) containing 25 mM Mg(OAc)\textsubscript{2} and 1.0 mM EDTA), Solution B: [Na\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} or Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}] = 0 ~ 300 mM in an aqueous buffer (10 mM Tris-acetate (pH 8.3) containing 25 mM Mg(OAc)\textsubscript{2} and 1.0 mM EDTA), ambient temperature.
**Figure 5** Stimuli responsiveness of DNA microspheres (A) 1\(^{RCS}\) and (B) 1. Representative CLSM images of DNA microsphere 1\(^{RCS}\) and 1 (i) before and after the addition of stimuli (ii: Na\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_4\) (after 1 min), iii: DNase I (after 15 min), iv: Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) (after 1 min), v: GSH (after 1 min)). Stimuli responsiveness (+, −) of each DNA microsphere is summarized in panel (vi). **Conditions:** Solution A:B:C = 5:1:1 (v/v/v), Solution A: [DNA (s1 or s1\(^{RCS}\), s2, s3)] = 10 µM in an aqueous buffer (10 mM Tris-acetate (pH 8.3) containing 25 mM Mg(OAc)\(_2\) and 1.0 mM EDTA), Solution B: [EvaGreen] = 25 µM in an aqueous buffer (10 mM Tris-acetate (pH 8.3) containing 1.0 mM EDTA), Solution C: [Na\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_4\) or Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\)] = 200 mM or [Na\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_4\) or Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\)]/[DNA s1 or s1\(^{RCS}\) = approx. 4,000) or [GSH] = 35 mM in an aqueous buffer (10 mM Tris-acetate (pH 8.3) containing 1.0 mM EDTA) or [DNase I] = 0.1 U/µL, ambient temperature.

**Conclusion**

In summary, we demonstrated that the RCS containing a nitrobenzene scaffold can be incorporated...
into the ssDNA sequence to enable the construction of a reduction-responsive DNA architecture with spherical morphology at micrometer scale. Since the preparation of RCS-based phosphoramidite reagent for the construction of oligonucleotides containing RCS is straightforward, the RCS could allow for the introduction of the reduction-responsiveness into various functional oligonucleotides and nucleic acid-based architectures toward therapeutic and diagnostic applications.
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