Synthesis and Columnar Organization of Partially Fluorinated Dehydrobenz[18]annulenes
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**Abstract:** Two diamond-shaped and partially fluorinated dehydrobenz[18]annulene macrocycles have been synthesized through a one-pot synthesis relying on fourfold Sonogashira coupling. Single crystal structures of the prepared macrocycles show continuous columnar stacks of these molecules that are mediated by the fluoroarene–alkyne, arene–alkyne, fluoroarene–fluoroarene, and alkyne–alkyne $\pi\cdots\pi$ interactions instead of the expected fluoroarene–arene $\pi\cdots\pi$ interaction.

Shape-persistent dehydrobenzannulenes (DBAs; also known as arylene ethynylene macrocycles, AEMs) of various geometries and sizes have been pursued as synthetic targets for more than half a century. These $\pi$-conjugated macrocycles with conformationally rigid backbones and central cavities have been used in supramolecular chemistry and molecular recognition, host-guest chemistry, as components of organic sensors and organogels, nanoporous solids, and liquid crystals. Moore and coworkers report that these $\pi$-conjugated macrocycles can form dimers and higher aggregates through $\pi\cdots\pi$ interactions between the aromatic rings started attempts to modulate this aggregation by the installation of electron-donating, electron-withdrawing, or hydrogen bonding units onto the DBAs. As benzene and hexafluorobenzene $\pi\cdots\pi$ stack in an electronically complementary and well-ordered alternating arrangement—a fact first recognized by Patrick and Prosser in 1960, and since studied in great detail—we speculated that their joint incorporation into the DBA structures could result in the formation of higher order columnar assemblies in the solid state.

Given their interest to supramolecular chemistry, several partially and fully fluorinated DBAs have been synthesized and their aggregation behavior has been studied through concentration-dependent NMR and UV-vis spectroscopy, vapor pressure osmometry (VPO), and single crystal X-ray diffraction (XRD). Shu and co-workers have shown that the favored fluoroarene–arene interactions led to the aggregation of a hexagonally shaped, partially fluorinated diyne-linked DBAs, evidence by the SEM images which showed the formation of rod-like crystallites with a hexagonal cross-section. However, most of these studies were performed on the six- or three-fold symmetric DBAs in which the arene and the fluoroarene components shared either an ortho or a meta substitution pattern. In this Letter, we present the synthesis and crystallographic characterization of two diamond-shaped partially fluorinated DBAs 1 and 2 (Scheme 1). These two macrocycles have two meta-connected arene and two ortho-connected tetrafluoroarene rings bridged by ethynylene moieties. Macrocycle 2 was synthesized to examine the influence of the exoannular substitution on the packing of the diamond-shaped macrocycle.


One-pot syntheses of macrocycles 1 and 2 (Scheme 1) were accomplished by reacting the commercially available 1,2-diiodo-3,4,5,6-tetrafluorobenzene (3) with diynes 4 and 5, which themselves were prepared following literature procedures. Macrocycle 1 was isolated as a brown solid in 22% yield after successive recrystallizations from PhMe/MelCO and PhMe/MeCN solvent systems. Macrocycle 2 was obtained after column chromatography eluting with DCM/hexanes as a white solid in 5% yield. Their relatively low yields were caused by the presence of side products which were either linear uncyclized oligomers or the reduced unreactive materials in which the aryl-appended iodine atom was replaced with a hydrogen. These side
products were not isolated, but the one-step synthesis allowed the preparation of sufficient amounts of 1 and 2.

To study the packing behavior of 1 and 2, single crystals of both macrocycles were grown. Diffraction-quality needle-shaped single crystals of 1 were produced by allowing the solution of 1 in hot N,N-diethylacetamide (DEA) to first slowly cool down to room temperature and then slowly evaporate over two days. Rod-shaped single crystals of 2 were obtained from its hot solution of THF, which was first slowly cooled to room temperature and then left to slowly evaporate over two days.

Macrocycle 1 crystallizes in the P 2_1/n space group with two molecules per unit cell. As shown in Figure 1A, it is only slightly distorted from planarity, with the dihedral angle between the planes of fluorinated and non-fluorinated rings of 9.58°, and the distance between two intramolecular hydrogens of 2.42(3) Å. The extended packing diagram (Figure 1B) shows that the macrocycles organize into columnar stacks through slipped parallel stacking as shown in Figure 1C. Closer inspection of the packing shows that the crystal structure is held together by the T-shaped fluoroarene–fluoroarene interactions between the macrocycles of 1 with 2.91(3) Å short contact between a fluorine and a carbon atom (Figure 1D). The interactions between the neighboring macrocycles within the stack are not the expected fluoroarene–arene interactions, but instead the apparent fluoroarene–alkyne [π–π] interactions highlighted in Figure 1E. Two macrocycles arrange in a slipped stacking interaction in a way where two of the carbon atoms of the fluoroarene reside above the alkyne with a centroid–centroid distance of 3.29(3) Å, and two additional short arene–alkyne contacts of 3.37(3) Å; both are shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii of two carbon atoms (3.40 Å).

Intrigued by the observation of fluoroarene–alkyne short contacts instead of the expected fluoroarene–arene [π–π] interactions in the case of 1, we searched for other examples of fluoroarene–alkyne interactions in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD). Figure 4 shows several examples. In the co-crystal of octafluoronaphthalene and diphenylacetylene (Figure 4A), alternate stacks form between two molecules via the fluoroarene–alkyne interactions with short contacts of 3.32(2) Å. Similarly, as shown in Figure 4B, the structure of the co-crystal of 4,4-diphenylethylnyl-2,2-bipyridine with hexafluorobenzene also shows that the fluoroarene is not interacting with the benzene ring but with the acetylenic moiety. Fluorinated bistolane derivative shown in Figure 4C also shows continuous stacking led by the πinteraction between a fluoroarene and an alkylne instead of the fluoroarene–arene interactions.

Several additional examples show the presence of the fluoroarene–alkyne interaction despite the presence of an arene moiety available for a possible fluoroarene–arene interaction. This situation is observed in organic compounds, as well as in some of the metal complexes, although not many studies aimed to explain the observed patterns.

Figure 1. A: Crystal structure of macrocycle 1. Its extended packing diagram (B) shows slipped columnar stacking of molecules of 1 (C), mediated by T-shaped fluoroarene–fluoroarene interactions and (D) and fluoroarene–alkyne [π–π] interactions (E).

Macrocycle 2 (Figure 2A) crystallizes in the P2_1/c space group with two molecules per unit cell. The dihedral angle between the planes of fluorinated and non-fluorinated rings is again very small (5.84°) and the distance between the intramolecular hydrogens is 2.43(3) Å. As seen in macrocycle 1, macrocycle 2 also forms a continuous columnar stack (Figure 2B) with slipped stacking between the macrocycles (Figure 2C) and T-shaped interactions (Figure 2D). However, their closer inspection reveals an interaction different from that observed in 1: the molecules of 2 are not forming the stacking via the fluoroarene–alkyne interaction but via fluoroarene–fluoroarene and alkylne–alkyne interactions (Figure 2E). The two macrocycles are slipped in a way which results in the shortest contacts of 3.22(2) Å between the carbons of the fluoroarenes, and 3.32(2) Å between the carbons of the alkynes. The third interaction between the two molecules of the macrocycle 2 is hydrogen bonding in the ester group with a short contact of 2.71(2) Å between the oxygen and hydrogen of the methyl group. This [C–H···O] hydrogen bond thus appears to play an important role in modulating the slipped-stacking of molecules of 2.

Figure 2. A: Crystal structure of macrocycle 2. Its extended packing diagram (B) shows slipped columnar stacking of molecules of 2 (C), mediated by T-shaped interactions (D), as well as a combination of hydrogen bonding, fluoroarene–fluoroarene, and alkylne–alkyne [π–π] interactions (E).

The non-fluorinated analog of 1, dehydrobenz[18]annulene 6, was previously synthesized. The two compounds and the esterified analog 2 have very similar molecular structures, their packing arrangements differ quite profoundly. The crystal structure of 6 is held together by four T-shaped arene interactions between a hydrogen and a carbon of another arene (Figure 3A) with only short contact of 2.87(3) Å, whereas the fluorinated analogs 1 and 2 form slipped parallel stacks via fluoroarene–alkyne and arene–alkyne [π–π] interactions in the case of 1 (Figure 3B), and hydrogen bonding, fluoroarene–fluoroarene and alkylne–alkyne [π–π] interactions in the case of 2 (Figure 3C). The fluorination thus plays a critical role in forcing columnar assembly of macrocycles 1 and 2.

Figure 3. Comparison of the interaction patterns between the DBA macrocycles 6 (A), 1 (B), and 2 (C).
In summary, we prepared two partially fluorinated and factor in the difference in the packing behavior of the macrocycles formation. The interaction energy for the dimer of macrocycle is very close to that reported by Zhong et al. for stacked hexameric macrocycles. However, fluorinating the arene may change this conclusion; the above-mentioned linear synthons, macrocycles, and our macrocycle 1 show that the fluorooarenes prefer to engage into the \([\pi-\pi]\) interaction with the alkylene moieties even in the presence of arenes within the molecule available for a potential fluoroarene–arene interaction.

Density functional theory (DFT) computations were performed for dimers of the macrocycle 1 and 2 to understand factors relevant to their self-association. Both 1 (–31.4 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) and 2 (–39.6 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) exhibit large negative dimerization energies, suggesting strong interactions between the macrocycles. All computations were performed at B3LYP-D3/6-311+G(d,p)/B3LYP-D3/6-31+G(d) employing Gaussian. The interaction energy for the dimer of 1 may be attributed to multiple \(\pi\)-interactions between the triple bonds and the arene rings. It is interesting to note that in the optimized geometry for alkylene–arene \(\pi\)-interactions, the alkylene normally resides over the center of the arene ring, but in the fluorinated macrocycle 1 the alkylene moieties reside over the edge of the fluoroarene rings. We hypothesize that this slipped packing helps maximize the number of \(\pi\)-interactions. The stronger interaction energy in the dimer of macrocycle 2 shows that hydrogen bonding plays an important role in stabilizing the packing arrangement. In fact, the computed interaction energy of macrocycle 2 is very close to that reported by Zhong et al. for stacked hexameric macrocycles with multiple hydrogen bonding interactions that drive the dimer formation. Thus, hydrogen bonding can be seen as the decisive factor in the difference in the packing behavior of the macrocycles 2 and 1.

In summary, we prepared two partially fluorinated dehydrobenz[18]annulenes 1 and 2 via a one-pot route. Their single crystal structures show that the two macrocycles organize into columnar stacks, which are critically enabled by the presence of the fluorinated rings. In macrocycle 1, those rings engage in apparent \([\pi-\pi]\) stacking fluoroarene–alkyne interactions. While the fluoroarene–arene interaction was found to direct the columnar stacks in the most symmetric hexameric macrocycles, in the case of diamond-shaped macrocycles with ortho- and meta-linked fluoroarene and arene groups, the columnar stacking has been achieved via fluoroarene–alkyne interaction. Our ongoing work aims to explore the generality of this assembly pattern and its relevance in the construction of advanced materials.

