# Visualisation and quantification of flooding phenomena in gas diffusion electrodes (GDEs) used for electrochemical CO<sub>2</sub> reduction: A combined EDX/ICP–MS approach

Ying Kong<sup>a,b</sup>, Huifang Hu<sup>a</sup>, Menglong Liu<sup>a,b</sup>, Yuhui Hou<sup>a,b</sup>, Viliam Kolivoška<sup>c</sup>, Soma Vesztergom<sup>d,a,\*</sup>, Peter Broekmann<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

> <sup>a</sup> University of Bern, Department of Chemistry, Biochemistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences Freiestrasse 3, 3012 Bern, Switzerland
> <sup>b</sup>National Centre of Competence in Research (NCCR) Catalysis, University of Bern Freiestrasse 3, 3012 Bern, Switzerland
> <sup>c</sup> J. Heyrovský Institute of Physical Chemistry of the Czech Academy of Sciences Dolejškova 2155/3, CZ–18223 Prague, Czech Republic
> <sup>d</sup> Eötvös Loránd University, Department of Physical Chemistry Pázmány Péter sétány 1/A, 1117 Budapest, Hungary

# Abstract

The most promising strategy to up-scale the electrochemical  $CO_2$  reduction reaction  $(ec-CO_2RR)$  is based on the use of gas diffusion electrodes (GDEs) that allow current densities close to the range of 1 A/cm<sup>2</sup> to be reached. At such high current densities, however, the flooding of the GDE cathode is often observed in  $ec-CO_2RR$  electrolysers. Flooding hinders the access of  $CO_2$  to the catalyst, and by thus leaving space for (unwanted) hydrogen evolution, it usually leads to a decrease of the observable Faradaic efficiency of  $CO_2$  reaction products. To avoid flooding as much as possible has thus become one of the most important aims of to-date  $ec-CO_2RR$  engineering, and robust analytical methods that can quantitatively assess flooding are now in demand. As flooding is very closely related to the formation of carbonate salts within the GDE structure, in this paper we use alkali (in particular, potassium) carbonates as a tracer of flooding. We present a novel analytical approach —based on the combination of cross-sectional energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) mapping and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP–MS) analysis— that can not only visualise, but can also quantitatively describe the electrolysis time dependent flooding in GDEs, leading to a better understanding of electrolyser malfunctions.

*Keywords:* carbon dioxide electroreduction, gas diffusion electrodes, flooding, precipitate formation, energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) mapping, inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP–MS)

# 1. Introduction

Great progress has recently been made towards the development of new technologies that enable a large-scale reduction of the atmospheric concentration of  $CO_2$ , one of the most important contributors of global warming [1]. Among these technologies, electrochemical ones deserve special attention as electrolysers —ideally powered by renewable energy sources— can effectively be used to convert  $CO_2$  into a variety of commodity chemicals and synthetic fuels, such as CO, formic acid, different alcohols and hydrocarbons [2].

In the past few years, the efficiency of newly developed catalysts for the electrochemical  $CO_2$  reduction reaction (*ec*- $CO_2RR$ ) has become so high that under ambient conditions it is not any longer the catalytic activity but rather the limited rate of liquid-phase  $CO_2$  transport that hinders the process [3]. Several attempts have thus been made to boost *ec*- $CO_2RR$  by increasing the rate of  $CO_2$  transport, and the most promising of these up-scale strategies involve the use of gas diffusion electrodes (GDEs) [4–7].

The use of GDEs enables current densities that are at least one order of magnitude larger than those measurable in standard H-type cells [3, 7]. The benefit of achieving high currents comes, however, at the cost of stability issues, and it is primarily the rapid flooding of GDEs that raises most concerns [7–11].

In zero-gap electrolysers [7] where the catalyst dispersed over a gas diffusion layer (GDL) contacts the electrolyte solution through a wet membrane, flooding usually occurs at high current densities where either the  $CO_2$ 

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding authors.

*Email addresses:* ying.kong@unibe.ch (Ying Kong), huifang.hu@unibe.ch (Huifang Hu), menglong.liu@unibe.ch (Menglong Liu), houyuhui.catalysis@gmail.com (Yuhui Hou), viliam.kolivoska@jh-inst.cas.cz (Viliam Kolivoška), vesztergom@chem.elte.hu (Soma Vesztergom), peter.broekmann@dcb.unibe.ch (Peter Broekmann)

reduction reaction itself or its parasitic side-process, hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) cause a significant nearelectrode *p*H increase. The CO<sub>2</sub> supply of the GDE reacts with the electro-generated OH<sup>-</sup> ions, which leads to the localised formation of carbonate salts that in turn increases the wettability [8–10] of the GDE and intensifies flooding.

As flooding limits the access of  $CO_2$  to the catalyst, it usually leads to a decrease of the observable Faradaic efficiency of  $CO_2$  reduction products, and leaves space for unwanted hydrogen evolution. To suppress flooding as much as possible, and to understand its mechanism and key factors has thus become one of the most important aims of to-date *ec*- $CO_2RR$  research, and analytical tools that can quantitatively assess the means and extent of flooding are now in demand.

Since flooding is widely believed to be triggered primarily by the massive formation of carbonate precipitates on-top, and within deeper layers of the GDE [7–15], the amount and distribution of carbonates —in case of  $CO_2$  electrolysers operated with a KOH anolyte, these are typically potassium carbonates and bicarbonates— seem to be an important tracer of flooding within the threedimensional GDE structure.

The aim of this paper is to show that the postelectrolysis analysis of GDE samples by energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) elemental mapping can easily be used to visualise the electrolysis time dependent penetration of K<sup>+</sup>containing precipitates into the GDE. In order to make the obtained distribution profiles comparable for electrodes that received different electrolysis treatments, it is necessary to convert the relative distribution profiles to absolute concentration vs. depth plots that already bear quantitative information. This latter aim can be achieved by an inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS)based determination of the total potassium content of the GDE [16]. The described EDX/ICP-MS combination is a powerful and very robust technique that allows a better understanding of ec-CO<sub>2</sub>RR electrolyser malfunctions by enabling the accurate visualisation and quantification of flooding phenomena in GDE samples.

### 2. The Method

The scheme of a typical gas-fed zero-gap  $CO_2$  electrolyser is shown in Figure 1, with the blown-up section depicting the GDE cathode in direct contact with the anion exchange membrane. The GDE cathode is created by evenly covering the surface of a gas diffusion layer (GDL) with a catalyst ink that can be achieved by using several techniques [3] including drop-casting, airbrushing, vacuum filtering, etc. The uniformity of the catalyst layer thickness depends however not only on the applied technique but also on the imperfections of the gas diffusion layer: especially cracks found on the GDL surface (see, *e.g.*, Figure 5.b in Section 4) may lead to non-uniform coverage [17–19] and to the burial of some catalyst particles in deeper trenches. The GDL itself is a bilayer structure that consists of an upper (microporous) and an underlying (fibrous) layer. The microporous layer acts as an electrically conductive mechanical support to the catalyst, holding it in direct contact with the membrane. In many electrolysers, the applied catalyst ink contains silver nanoparticles that direct *ec*-CO<sub>2</sub>RR towards the formation of CO as a dominant reaction product [8, 11, 15, 20–22]. Note here that CO belongs to the most economically viable *ec*-CO<sub>2</sub>RR products [23] and has large global annual production [3]. The cathode reaction of CO<sub>2</sub>-to-CO conversion can be written as

$$CO_2 + H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow CO + 2OH^-, \tag{1}$$

and the operation of the electrolyser should be optimised so that parasitic hydrogen evolution reaction (HER),

$$2H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2 + 2OH^-,$$
 (2)

would take place only incidentally.

In the design shown in Figure 1, a constant (humidified)  $CO_2$  flow penetrates the fibrous part of the GDL, and the  $CO_2$  molecules are transported through the pores of the thin microporous layer to reach the catalyst where they are reduced to CO.

The (essentially wet) membrane has two important roles in the electrolyser: one is that it enables water (a reactant of ec-CO<sub>2</sub>RR) to access the catalyst, and another is that it allows the passage of the formed OH<sup>-</sup> ions (a by-product of CO<sub>2</sub> reduction) from the cathode surface to the anode compartment of the cell. The anode compartment is filled with a solution of KOH, and OH<sup>-</sup> ions are oxidised on the Ir anode to form O<sub>2</sub> gas:

$$4OH^- \rightarrow 2H_2O + O_2 + 4e^-.$$
 (3)

The wettability of the GDL plays a crucial role in determining the performance of gas-fed zero-gap electrolysers. The presence of some amount of water, based on Equation (1), is essential; however, if too much water enters the GDL structure, it may block the access of  $CO_2$  to the catalyst, shifting the balance of the cathode reaction from the preferred *ec*-CO<sub>2</sub>RR towards the parasitic HER [24].

For this reason, GDLs are usually made hydrophobic by the manufacturer (*e.g.*, by a treatment with polytetrafluoroethylene, PTFE) and the conditions of the electrolysis are optimized to uphold hydrophobicity as long as possible. From this point of view, the imperfections of the anion exchange membrane deserve special attention, especially if the membrane allows not only the transfer of OH<sup>-</sup> ions, but also the transference of cations. As the real-life behaviour of anion exchange membranes is far from ideal [25], the transference of cations very often occurs, and for example in case of the electrolyser shown by Figure 1, K<sup>+</sup> ions of the anolyte can thus appear on the cathode side of the cell.

The alkalination of the cathode surface —note that  $OH^$ ions are produced both by Reaction (1) and (2)— clearly



Figure 1: Scheme of a zero-gap gas-flow  $CO_2$  electrolyser employing a gas diffusion electrode. The gas diffusion electrode/membrane assembly is shown by the inset at the initial stage of electrolysis.

acts as a driving force for the transport of  $K^+$  ions from the anode compartment to the cathode, where the alkaline conditions and the presence of CO<sub>2</sub> facilitate the formation of  $K_2CO_3$  or KHCO<sub>3</sub> salts. If concentrations are high enough [9], both  $K_2CO_3$  and KHCO<sub>3</sub> can form precipitates on-top and within the deeper layers of the GDE. This reduces the hydrophobicity of the GDE and triggers flooding, which ultimately breaks down the overall cell performance.

There seems to be a consensus in literature with regard to that the formation of carbonate precipitates on the cathode side of ec-CO<sub>2</sub>RR electrolysers is an important indicator of flooding [9–11, 21, 26–28]. In spite of this, scanning electron microscopy (SEM)-based imaging techniques like EDX elemental mapping have only scarcely been used to study the penetration of carbonate precipitates into GDE structures [7, 11, 28]. The reason behind this is obvious: while EDX elemental (K) mapping, applied in a cross-sectional view to study the penetration of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>/KHCO<sub>3</sub> precipitates into the GDE structure can give a good qualitative picture about the relative distribution of the formed K<sup>+</sup> salts, the comparison of such elemental maps is by no means straightforward. Such comparisons would require EDX elemental mapping to provide truly quantitative information, which is usually not the case.

Indeed, the quantification of elemental maps is a very demanding task that would not only necessitate the acquisition, storage, and subsequent analysis of entire spectra, pixel-by-pixel [29], but it would also require the in-depth understanding of electron beam/material interactions, which is particularly challenging when working with heterogeneous and highly porous materials (*e.g.*, GDEs).

X-ray signal intensities are known to depend largely

on (amongst other parameters) the applied acceleration voltage [29], and this is exemplarily demonstrated by the cross-sectional EDX elemental (K) maps of Figure 2. These maps, taken at different applied accelerating voltages, show the same GDE sample that exhibits marks of *ec*-CO<sub>2</sub>RR-induced K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>/KHCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation within its structure.

Maps of the top row of Figure 2 are those shown by the operating software of the SEM instrument. The automatic colouration of these maps is optimised for human perception, and as a result, the maps could lead us to the erroneous conclusion that the potassium concentrations visualised by them are markedly different. As, however, the four maps are made of the very same sample, this is certainly not the case: the maps are differently coloured only because the corresponding K peaks in the EDX spectra become more intense at higher accelerating voltages.

Fortunately, from most SEM operating software, EDX maps can be exported not only in the form of images but also in the form of two-dimensional numerical arrays that represent the (in our case, K) signal intensities over the sampled area. If we create such numerical representations from the four maps shown in the top row of Figure 2, we get four arrays on which we can perform mathematical operations. We can, for example, normalise the arrays to their (overall) maximum, and we can then convert the arrays back into images. If we use the same colour-code that was applied before, we obtain the images shown by the middle row of Figure 2. These coloured maps already give a trustworthy representation of intensity ratios; however, at low accelerating voltages, the normalised intensities happen to be so low that we see almost entirely dark fields.

In order to reduce the dependency of intensities on the



Figure 2: Electrolysis-induced potassium penetration into a GDE sample visualised by EDX elemental maps (cross-sectional view), recorded using different accelerating voltages as shown. Images of the same column show maps of the exact same intensity dataset, coloured differently. The brightness and the contrast of images in the top row was automatically adjusted for optimal perception by the human eye — this is how most SEM operating software would show these maps. Colour intensities in the middle row were normalised to the largest intensity value detected. In case of the third row, the maps were normalised individually, each to its own maximum intensity. The graphs show the differences of the two normalisation concepts in row-by-row intensity summation. The EDX measurements were made on sample GDE#2, following passage of -1839 C cm<sup>-2</sup> charge (cf. to Sections 3 and 4, as well as to Figure 5).

applied accelerating voltage, we have to normalise each array individually, using their respective maxima as an individual norm. By this approach, we get to the images shown in the bottom row of Figure 2. These elemental maps, while they all appear a bit dark for the human eye, all look essentially the same. Subtle differences between the images do exist, however, primarily because not only the overall intensity of the spectrum peaks but also the penetration depth of the electron beam depends on the accelerating voltage [29].

The above two normalisation concepts are illustrated

also by the graphs of Figure 2 that contain sums of intensities for each image row, plotted *vs*. the respective row index. The graphs clearly show that while the recorded K signal intensity does vary greatly with the applied accelerating voltage, and while the exact relationship between local K concentration and intensity may not be known, EDX mapping is still robust enough to deliver a relative distribution of potassium-containing precipitates along the line normal to the GDE surface.

Such relative distributions, obtained from GDEs that had undergone different *ec*-CO<sub>2</sub>RR stressing treatment



Figure 3: The strategy to quantitatively assess flooding phenomena in GDEs uses  $K_2CO_3/KHCO_3$  precipitates as a tracer of flooding. Following electrolysis, the carbonate precipitates formed over the GDE are visible to the bare eye (and can also be seen by top-down EDX mapping). By cross-sectional EDX, the relative distribution of K can be mapped along the surface normal. Absolute concentration (mass over volume) profiles can be created by applying a scaling factor that sets the integral of the profile equal to the (surface area normalised) K mass determined by ICP–MS analysis.

can of course not directly be compared, unless we determine by some other analytical method the total potassium content of the GDE. A variety of elemental analysis methods can be used to achieve this goal; in our practice we favour ICP–MS-based determination.

The essence of the method described here is schematically shown in Figure 3. On the top surface of GDEs that have previously been used for ec-CO<sub>2</sub>RR, the appearance of precipitates is usually visible already to the bare eye. The centrally located, salt-covered part (of known geometric area) is removed by a punching blade, and the resulting 'coin' is cut into two by a sharp knife. One part of the coin is briefly sonicated and then left for over-night in a known volume (usually  $1 \text{ cm}^3$ ) of cc. HNO<sub>3</sub>, so that its K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>/KHCO<sub>3</sub> content is quantitatively leached. The concentration of the resulting solution is then determined by ICP-MS in known (usually 500 or 1000 times) dilutions: by this method, the total potassium mass that is contained by the given volume of the GDE is calculated and normalised to the base area of the analysed sample. This yields a total surface area-normalised concentration that is usually in the range of a few mg  $cm^{-2}$ .

The other half of the coin (Figure 3) is used for crosssectional EDX K elemental mapping: in order to improve statistics, usually multiple locations of the same cut are mapped, and sometimes, even additional cuts are made and sampled. The resulting EDX maps are then exported in numerical form, and depth profiles of the relative K distribution are created by row-wise summation. Row indices are turned into spatial coordinates knowing the resolution of the map.

The individual relative distributions are aligned on the distance scale by means of (differential) edge detection, and an averaged curve is calculated. The relative distributions are subsequently re-scaled into absolute concentration profiles (usually expressed in units of  $\mu$ g cm<sup>-3</sup>); the applied scaling factor is chosen so that the full space integral of the averaged absolute concentration profile equals the surface area-normalised concentration determined by ICP–MS.

The described cross-sectional EDX/ICP–MS combination approach yields concentration depth profiles that are comparable for GDE samples that are either of different original structure or that underwent different *ec*-CO<sub>2</sub>RR stressing treatment (see later Figure 5), and can lead to a better understanding of flooding-related phenomena. Note here that in order to obtain statistically reliable data, both the ICP–MS and the EDX mapping measurements are to be repeated several times, using GDE samples that received the very same treatment. As it will be shown later in Figure 5, this approach helps to identify possible outliers. Also note that ICP–MS measurements may not have to be performed on all (rather just on a few) studied samples, which may reduce the time demand of the analysis.

As the described EDX/ICP–MS combination approach is a post-experimental characterisation technique, it cannot be used for a real-time observation of flooding-related phe-

Table 1: Parameters of the gas diffusion layers used in this study.

	GDL#1, Freudenberg H23C8	GDL#2, Sigracet 36BB
Microporous layer thickness* Fibrous layer thickness* Electrical resistivity <sup>†</sup> Area density <sup>†</sup> Air permeability <sup>†</sup>	41 μm 194 μm 8 mΩ cm <sup>2</sup> 135 g cm <sup>-2</sup> 90 Gurley s	97 μm 160 μm 9 mΩ cm <sup>2</sup> 105 g cm <sup>-2</sup> 3 Gurley s

\* Average values, determined by cross-sectional SEM

<sup>+</sup> Values provided by the manufacturer.

nomena like it is possible, for example, in *operando* tomographic X-ray absorption spectroscopy [30]. The EDX/ICP– MS combination is, however, a more robust and a considerably cheaper method than X-ray absorption or scattering measurements that require specially designed cells and a significant amount of synchrotron beam-time, and the amount of information gathered from EDX/ICP–MS studies may effectively complement the results of *operando* investigations.

The versatility of the method will be illustrated in Section 4, where we used two different gas diffusion electrodes for  $CO_2$  electrolysis in a gas-fed zero-gap configuration, finding that the different structure of the two GDLs result in different  $K_2CO_3/KHCO_3$  deposition patterns and, ultimately, different electrolyser stabilities.

### 3. Experimental

Two commercial gas diffusion layers (Table 1), a Freudenberg H23C8 (GDL#1) and a Sigracet 36BB (GDL#2) paper, were used in this study. The microporous layers of both GDLs contain ~ 5% PTFE as a water repelling agent.

To prepare a catalyst ink, 4.5 mg of silver nanowires (Ag NWs, prepared as described in [31]) and 0.8 mg of carbon black (VULCAN<sup>TM</sup> VXC72R, Cabot) were separately dispersed in 20 cm<sup>3</sup> of isopropanol (BASF SE, assay  $\geq$  99.0%) by half hour of sonication. Both suspensions were intermixed and sonicated for an additional half hour, then dried by a rotary evaporator (Büchi R210, 40 °C, 65 mbar). The resulting mixture was then re-dispersed in 18 cm<sup>3</sup> of isopropanol containing 180  $\mu\ell$  of Nafion 117 solution (Sigma-Aldrich, ~ 5 wt% in a mixture of lower aliphatic alcohols and water) and subjected to 20 minutes of sonication. Subsequently, the resulting ink was carefully dispersed by using an airbrush pistole (Infinity CR plus) propelled by nitrogen gas (99.999%, Carbagas, Switzerland) onto the GDL substrate.

A zero-gap electrolyser (see Figure 1, details of the electrolyser were described previously in [7, 32–35]) was used for the electrochemical reduction of CO<sub>2</sub>. The Ag NW-coated GDEs were placed on the stainless steel body, with the Ag NW layer directed upwards. The such formed

cathode was then covered by an anion exchange mem--brane (AEM, X37-50 RT, Dioxide materials), on top of which a PTFE chamber was placed to support the anolyte,  $_{-a}$  2.0 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> aqueous KOH solution (KOH, analytical grade, was purchased from Sigma Aldrich). A central orifice with a diameter of 3 mm at the bottom of the anode compartment provided contact between the anolyte and the membrane-cathode assembly, and the area of this opening  $(0.0707 \text{ cm}^2)$  was considered the geometric surface area of the cathode, used for the normalization of current to current density. An iridium (Ir) wire (99.9%, MaTeck Material-Technologie & Kristalle GmbH, Germany) separated by a glass frit from the anolyte compartment, and a Ag|AgCl|3 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> KCl electrode (double junction design, Metrohm) immersed directly into the anolyte were used as counter and reference electrodes. A humidified CO<sub>2</sub> stream (99.999%, Carbagas, Switzerland) was fed to the fibrous layer of the cathode from the backside of the cell at a constant volumetric flow rate of 18 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>. Electrolyses were carried out using an ECi-200 potentiostat (Nordic Electrochemistry) at a constant current of -20 mA, resulting in a current density of -283 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, during which the formed gaseous reaction products were quantified by online gas chromatography (GC, SRI Instruments), as described elsewhere [7].

For the SEM and EDX studies presented in the paper, a Zeiss Gemini 450 SEM was applied, equipped with an InLens secondary electron (SE), a backscatter electron detector (BSD) and a SuperEDX detector.

Contact angle measurements were carried out using a Krüss Advance Drop Shape Analyzer DSA25 (Krüss GmbH, Hamburg, Germany). Electrodes were mounted on a flat stage and water drops (milli-Q water,  $1.4 \ \mu \ell$ ) were deposited at room temperature.

#### 4. Demonstrative Experiments

Two gas diffusion electrodes —one supported by a Freudenberg H23C8 paper (GDL#1), and another by a Sigracet 36BB paper (GDL#2)— were created and used in our experiments. The two GDLs, as can be seen in Table 1, are of different structure, and the majority of differences arise from that while the microporous layer of GDL#1 is thinner but more compact (Figure 4.a), the relatively thick microporous layer of GDL#2 is laced by small cracks that are visible also to the bare eye (Figure 4.b).

When made subject to constant-current electrolyses experiments (applied current density:  $-283 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ ), both GDEs initially produce CO at close to 100% Faradaic efficiency. As the electrolysis continues to longer times, the observed Faradaic efficiency however drops down (Figure 4.c and 4.d), and the observed stability loss commences earlier in case of GDE#1 (with the compact structure) than in case of GDE#2 (having a cracked microporous layer).

The observed efficiency loss is due to the flooding of the GDEs, which can be inferred not only from pre- and postelectrolysis sessile drop goniometry that clearly demon-



Figure 4: The top-down optical microscopy image of the two studied gas diffusion electrodes exhibit a compact microporous layer in case of GDE#1 (a), while the top surface of GDE#2 (b) is laced by small cracks. Both GDEs exhibit severe stability losses (a decrease of the Faradaic efficiency of CO production as a function of the passed charge) when made subject to electrolysis at a constant current density of -283 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. While GDE#1 quickly losses stability (c), GDE#2 remains stable for longer time (d). The hydrophobicity of both GDLs decrease heavily during prolonged *ec*-CO<sub>2</sub>RR stressing (consumed charge: -1839 C cm<sup>-2</sup>), as revealed by the pre- and post-electrolysis contact angle measurements shown in the insets of (c) and (d). This hydrophobicity loss is caused by the appearance of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> or KHCO<sub>3</sub> precipitates on-top of both GDEs during electrolysis, as clearly shown by the top-down EDX elemental maps of (e) and (f), recorded after the total charge of -1839 C cm<sup>-2</sup> is passed through the GDEs.

strates a significant decrease of the surface hydrophobicity during electrolysis (insets of Figure 4.c and 4.d), but also from top-down EDX elemental mapping that exhibits the formation of massive amounts of  $K_2CO_3/KHCO_3$  precipitates on top of both GDE samples (Figure 4.e and 4.f).

These top-down EDX elemental maps provide a straightforward explanation of the observed stability differences: while at the end of electrolysis, GDE#1 is more or less uniformly covered by  $K_2CO_3/KHCO_3$  precipitates (and it is safe to assume that this uniform precipitate layer practically blocks all active Ag catalyst sites), we observe a rather non-uniform coverage (and an only partially blocked catalytic activity) in case of GDE#2. As to why the two GDEs are covered differently by the formed carbonate precipitates, top-down EDX mapping provides no answer, however. In order to address this question we have to rely on the combined cross-sectional EDX/ICP–MS method described previously in Section 2.

When GDE samples are made subject to electrolyses consuming different amounts of charge, and the samples

are processed as was shown before in Figure 3, the obtained absolute concentration *vs.* depth profiles are those shown by Figure 5. Based on these profiles, a very important observation can be made: namely, that the total amount of formed precipitates, as well as the extent to which they penetrate the GDE structure is markedly different on GDE#1 and #2.

On GDE#1 —with the compact surface—  $K_2CO_3$  and KHCO<sub>3</sub> precipitates are mainly formed (and remain) inside the catalyst layer and inside the pores of the microporous layer, and appear only in little amount in the underlying (fibrous) structure. As the microporous layer has a very limited capacity to store the formed carbonates (note that with increasing charge, the concentration profiles seem to saturate), catalytic sites become blocked, and this results in the quick break-down of the measured Faradaic efficiency (cf. to Figure 4.c).

This situation is eased by the cracks on the surface of GDE#2, which allow a direct access of water to the fibrous layer, hence carbonates will also be able to precipitate in deeper parts of the GDE structure. Neither the water that penetrates the fibrous layer, nor the carbonate crystals which are formed there will block the active catalytic sites on-top of the microporous layer. As a result, the break-down of the Faradaic efficiency of CO production will commence on GDE#2 much later than on GDE#1, since in the (due to the cracks, more penetrable) structure of GDE#2 a significantly bigger amount of K<sup>+</sup>-containing precipitates has to be formed to effectively block the access of CO<sub>2</sub> to the catalyst layer. In case of GDE#1, blockage to the same effect occurs earlier, as in the absence of eluviation accelerating cracks, the formed precipitates quickly reach a critical concentration in the microporous layer.

Our results thus demonstrate that the crackedness of the GDL surface (maybe, somewhat counter-intuitively) plays a seemingly beneficial role in the water management of the GDE.

#### 5. Conclusion

When ec-CO<sub>2</sub>RR is carried out in a gas-fed zero-gap electrolyser, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>/KHCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation inside the GDE structure is a sovereign symptom (and probably an important driving force) of flooding. By determining, following electrolysis, the concentration distribution of carbonate salt leftovers within a GDE, we can assess the extent of flooding that occurred during the operation of the electrolyser, and we can better explain any observed stability losses and electrolyser malfunctions.

In this paper, a robust analytical method, based on the combination of cross-sectional EDX elemental mapping and ICP–MS-based analysis, was suggested in order to achieve the above goal. The novelty of this combination is that by ICP–MS measurements we turn EDX elemental —an essentially qualitative technique— into a quantitative characterisation tool that makes the obtained concentration profiles comparable across different GDE samples.



Figure 5: Depth profiles of the absolute K<sup>+</sup> concentrations in different GDE samples, following electrolyses consuming different charges. The profiles were created by the cross-sectional EDX mapping/ICP–MS combination described in Section 2. (Grey curves show individual, magenta curves the averaged profiles.) The integral of each profile —that is, the total potassium content of the GDE normalised to surface area, determined by ICP–MS—, as well as the Faradaic efficiency of CO production, measured at the moment before the electrolysis was stopped, is given in each panel. The background shading of the panels pictures the GDL as a bi-layer structure (darker shading corresponds to the microporous, lighter to the fibrous layer regime).

The basic concept and the technical details of the method were accurately described in the paper, and we also presented a short case study that demonstrated the applicability of the method.

We prepared two gas diffusion electrodes (one with a compact, another with a cracked microporous layer support) and made these subject to *ec*-CO<sub>2</sub>RR stressing. We found that while the GDE with the compact surface looses stability (in terms of CO producing efficiency) rather quickly, the one with the cracked surface preserves its stability for a longer time. The newly developed, cross-sectional EDX elemental mapping/ICP–MS-based depth profiling tool provided an insight to the background of these efficiency losses. We found that the fibrous layer of GDEs (provided that it is accessible to water through the cracks of the microporous layer) may act as a reservoir for flooding, and as such, it can prevent (or at least postpone) the flooding-related deactivation of the catalyst layer.

The EDX/ICP–MS-based K precipitation mapping approach described in this paper will in future be used for the creation of statistically meaningful datasets based on which a systematic comparison of various gas diffusion layers and catalyst design concepts can be carried out.

#### Acknowledgement

P. Broekmann acknowledges financial support by NCCR Catalysis. V. Kolivoška acknowledges financial support from the Czech Science Foundation (project number 18-09848S). S. Vesztergom acknowledges support from the National Research, Development and Innovation Office of Hungary (NKFIH grant FK135375). Y. K., M. L. and H. H. acknowledge the financial support by the Chinese Scholarship Council (CSC).

# References

- [1] C. Hepburn, E. Adlen, J. Beddington, E. A. Carter, S. Fuss, N. M. Dowell, J. C. Minx, P. Smith, Ch. K. Williams, The technological and economic prospects for CO<sub>2</sub> utilization and removal, Nature 575 (7781) (2019) 87–97. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1681-6.
- [2] C. A. R. Pappijn, M. Ruitenbeek, M.-F. Reyniers, K. M. Van Geem, Challenges and opportunities of carbon capture and utilization: Electrochemical conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to ethylene, Front. Energy Res. 8 (2020) 557466. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2020.557466.
- [3] B. Endrődi, G. Bencsik, F. Darvas, R. Jones, K. Rajeshwar, Cs. Janáky, Continuous-flow electroreduction of carbon dioxide, Prog. Energy Combust. Sci. 62 (2017) 133–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecs.2017.05.005.
- [4] J.-B. Vennekoetter, R. Sengpiel, M. Wessling, Beyond the catalyst: How electrode and reactor design determine the product spectrum during electrochemical CO<sub>2</sub> reduction, Chem. Engin. J. 364 (2019) 89–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.01.045.

- [5] Y. Gu, J. Wei, X. Wu, X. Liu, A study on improving the current density performances of CO<sub>2</sub> electrolysers, Sci. Rep. 11 (2021) 11136. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-90581-0.
- [6] B. Jung, S. Park, C. Lim, W. H. Lee, Y. Lim, J. Na, Ch.-J. Lee, H.-S. Oh, U. Lee, Design methodology for mass transfer-enhanced largescale electrochemical reactor for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction, Chem. Eng. J. 424 (2021) 130265. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.130265.
- [7] M. de J. Gálvez-Vázquez, P. Moreno-García, H. Xu, Y. Hou, H. Hu, I. Z. Montiel, A. V. Rudnev, Sh. Alinejad, V. Grozovski, B. J. Wiley, M. Arenz, P. Broekmann, Environment matters: CO<sub>2</sub>RR electrocatalyst performance testing in a gasfed zero-gap electrolyzer, ACS Catal. 10 (2020) 13096–13108. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c03609.
- [8] K. Yang, R. Kas, W. A. Smith, Th. Burdyny, Role of the carbon-based gas diffusion layer on flooding in a gas diffusion electrode cell for electrochemical CO<sub>2</sub> reduction, ACS Energy Lett. 6 (2020) 33–40. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.0c02184.
- [9] M. E. Leonard, L. E. Clarke, A. Forner-Cuenca, S. M. Brown, F. R. Brushett, Investigating electrode flooding in a flowing electrolyte, gas-fed carbon dioxide electrolyzer, ChemSusChem 13 (2) (2019) 400–411. https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201902547.
- [10] M. E. Leonard, M. J. Orella, N. Aiello, Y. Román-Leshkov, A. Forner-Cuenca, F. R. Brushett, Flooded by success: On the role of electrode wettability in CO<sub>2</sub> electrolyzers that generate liquid products, J. Electrochem. Soc. 167 (2020) 124521. https://doi.org/10.1149/1945-7111/abaa1a.
- [11] B. Endrődi, A. Samu, E. Kecsenovity, T. Halmágyi, D. Sebők, Cs. Janáky, Operando cathode activation with alkali metal cations for high current density operation of water-fed zero-gap carbon dioxide electrolysers, Nature Energy 6 (2021) 439–448. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-021-00813-w.
- [12] D. McLaughlin, M. Bierling, R. Moroni, Ch. Vogl, G. Schmid, S. Thiele, Tomographic reconstruction and analysis of a silver CO<sub>2</sub> reduction cathode, Adv. Energy Mater. 10 (19) (2020) 2000488. https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.202000488.
- [13] F. Bienen, D. Kopljar, A. Löwe, S. Geiger, N. Wagner, E. Klemm, K. A. Friedrich, Revealing mechanistic processes in gas-diffusion electrodes during CO<sub>2</sub> reduction via impedance spectroscopy, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 8 (2020) 13759–13768. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.0c04451.
- [14] F. Bienen, J. Hildebrand, D. Kopljar, N. Wagner, E. Klemm, K. A. Friedrich, Importance of time-dependent wetting behavior of gasdiffusion electrodes for reactivity determination, Chem. Ing. Tech. 93 (2021) 1015–1019. https://doi.org/10.1002/cite.202000192.
- [15] E. R. Cofell, U. O. Nwabara, S. S. Bhargava, D. E. Henckel, P. J. A. Kenis, Investigation of electrolyte-dependent carbonate formation on gas diffusion electrodes for CO<sub>2</sub> electrolysis, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 13 (2021) 15132–15142. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.0c21997.
- [16] B. De Mot, M. Ramdin, J. Hereijgers, Th. J. H. Vlugt, T. Breugelmans, Direct water injection in catholyte-free zero-gap carbon dioxide electrolyzers, ChemElectroChem 7 (2020) 3839–3843. https://doi.org/10.1002/celc.202000961.
- [17] D. Raciti, T. Braun, B. M. Tackett, H. Xu, M. Cruz, B. J. Wiley, Th. P. Moffat, High-aspect-ratio Ag nanowire mat electrodes for electrochemical CO production from CO<sub>2</sub>, ACS Catal. 11 (2021) 11945–11959. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.1c02783.
- [18] A. J. Welch, A. Q. Fenwick, A. Böhme, H.-Y. Chen, I. Sullivan, X. Li, J. S. DuChene, C. Xiang, H. A. Atwater, Operando local pH measurement within gas diffusion electrodes performing electrochemical carbon dioxide reduction, J. Phys. Chem. C 125 (2021) 20896–20904. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c06265.
- [19] H.-R. Jhong, F. R. Brushett, P. J. A. Kenis, The effects of catalyst layer deposition methodology on electrode performance, Adv. Energy Mater. 3 (2013) 589–599. https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201200759.
- [20] H. Haspel, J. Gascon, Is hydroxide just hydroxide? Unidentical CO<sub>2</sub> hydration conditions during hydrogen evolution and carbon dioxide reduction in zero-gap gas diffusion electrode reactors, ACS Appl. Energy Mater. 4 (2021) 8506–8516. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsaem.1c01693.

- [21] D. G. Wheeler, B. A. W. Mowbray, A. Reyes, F. Habibzadeh, J. He, C. P. Berlinguette, Quantification of water transport in a CO<sub>2</sub> electrolyzer, Energy Environ. Sci. 13 (12) (2020) 5126–5134. https://doi.org/10.1039/d0ee02219e.
- [22] A. Reyes, R. P. Jansonius, B. A. W. Mowbray, Y. Cao, D. G. Wheeler, J. Chau, D. J. Dvorak, C. P. Berlinguette, Managing hydration at the cathode enables efficient CO<sub>2</sub> electrolysis at commercially relevant current densities, ACS Energy Lett. 5 (2020) 1612–1618. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.0c00637.
- [23] S. Verma, B. Kim, H.-R. Jhong, S. Ma, P. J. A. Kenis, A grossmargin model for defining technoeconomic benchmarks in the electroreduction of CO<sub>2</sub>, ChemSusChem 9 (2016) 1972–1979. https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201600394.
- [24] N. T. Nesbitt, Th. Burdyny, H. Simonson, D. Salvatore, D. Bohra, R. Kas, W. A. Smith, Liquid–solid boundaries dominate activity of CO<sub>2</sub> reduction on gas-diffusion electrodes, ACS Catal. 10 (2020) 14093–14106. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c03319.
- [25] T. Luo, S. Abdu, M. Wessling, Selectivity of ion exchange membranes: A review, J. Membr. Sci. 555 (2018) 429–454. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2018.03.051.
- [26] R. Wang, H. Haspel, A. Pustovarenko, A. Dikhtiarenko, A. Russkikh, G. Shterk, D. Osadchii, S. Ould-Chikh, M. Ma, W. A. Smith, K. Takanabe, F. Kapteijn, J. Gascon, Maximizing Ag utilization in high-rate CO<sub>2</sub> electrochemical reduction with a coordination polymer-mediated gas diffusion electrode, ACS Energy Lett. 4 (2019) 2024–2031. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.9b01509.
- [27] B. Endrődi, E. Kecsenovity, A. Samu, F. Darvas, R. V. Jones, V. Török, A. Danyi, Cs. Janáky, Multilayer electrolyzer stack converts carbon dioxide to gas products at high pressure with high efficiency, ACS Energy Lett. 4 (2019) 1770–1777. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.9b01142.
- [28] M. Liu, Y. Kong, H. Hu, N. Kovács, Ch. Sun, I. Z. Montiel, M. de J. Gálvez Vázquez, Y. Hou, M. Mirolo, I. Martens, J. Drnec, S. Vesztergom, P. Broekmann, The capping agent is the key: Structural alterations of Ag NPs during CO<sub>2</sub> electrolysis probed in a zero-gap gas-flow configuration, J. Catal. 404 (2021) 371–382. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2021.10.016.
- [29] J. J. Friel, Ch. E. Lyman, Tutorial review: X-ray mapping in electron-beam instruments, Microsc. Microanal. 12 (2006) 2–25. https://doi.org/10.1017/s1431927606060211.
- [30] N. J. Firet, Th. Burdyny, N. T. Nesbitt, S. Chandrashekar, A. Longo, W. A. Smith, Copper and silver gas diffusion electrodes performing CO<sub>2</sub> reduction studied through operando X-ray absorption spectroscopy, Catal. Sci. Technol. 10 (2020) 5870–5885. https://doi.org/10.1039/d0cy01267j.
- [31] H. Hu, M. Liu, Y. Kong, N. Mysuru, Ch. Sun, M. de J. Gálvez-Vázquez, U. Müller, R. Erni, V. Grozovski, Y. Hou, P. Broekmann, Activation matters: Hysteresis effects during electrochemical looping of colloidal ag nanowire catalysts, ACS Catalysis 10 (2020) 8503–8514. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c02026.
- [32] M. de J. Gálvez Vázquez, Sh. Alinejad, H. Hu, Y. Hou, P. Moreno-García, A. Zana, G. K. H. Wiberg, P. Broekmann, M. Arenz, Testing a silver nanowire catalyst for the selective CO<sub>2</sub> reduction in a gas diffusion electrode half-cell setup enabling high mass transport conditions, Chimia 73 (2019) 922–927. https://doi.org/10.2533/chimia.2019.922.
- [33] Sh. Alinejad, J. Quinson, G. K. H. Wiberg, N. Schlegel, D. Zhang, Y. Li, S. Reichenberger, St. Barcikowski, M. Arenz, Electrochemical reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> on Au electrocatalysts in a zero-gap, half-cell gas diffusion electrode setup: a systematic performance evaluation and comparison to a H-cell setup, in: ChemRxiv, Cambridge Open Engage, 2021. https://doi.org/10.33774/chemrxiv-2021-1t50s-v3.
- [34] G. K. H. Wiberg, M. Fleige, M. Arenz, Gas diffusion electrode setup for catalyst testing in concentrated phosphoric acid at elevated temperatures, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 86 (2015) 024102. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4908169.
- [35] M. Inaba, A. W. Jensen, G. W. Sievers, M. Escudero-Escribano, A. Zana, M. Arenz, Benchmarking high surface area electrocatalysts in a gas diffusion electrode: Measurement of oxygen reduction activities under realistic conditions, Energy Environ. Sci. 11 (2018)

988-994. https://doi.org/10.1039/c8ee00019k.