1 Reaction-free concentration gradient generation in spatially non-uniform AC electric

2 fields

3 Ran An^{l} , Adrienne R. Minerick^{l^*}

4 ¹Department of Chemical Engineering, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, MI,

- 5 USA, 49931
- 6 Abstract

The ability to generate stable, spatiotemporally controllable concentration gradients is critical 7 8 for both electrokinetic and biological applications such as directional wetting and chemotaxis. 9 Electrochemical techniques for generating solution and surface gradients display benefits such 10 as simplicity, controllability, and compatibility with automation. Here, we present an 11 exploratory study for generating micro-scale spatiotemporally controllable gradients using a 12 reaction-free electrokinetic technique in a microfluidic environment. Methanol solutions with ionic Fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) molecules were used as an illustrative electrolyte. 13 Spatially non-uniform alternating current (AC) electric fields were applied using hafnium 14 dioxide (HfO₂) coated Ti/Au electrode pairs. Results from spatial and temporal analysis, along 15 16 with control experiments suggest that the FITC ion concentration gradient in bulk fluid (over 17 $50 \,\mu\text{m}$ from the electrode) was established due to spatial variation of electric field density, and was independent of electrochemical reactions at the electrode surface. The established ion 18 concentration gradients depended on both amplitudes and the frequencies of the oscillating AC 19 20 electric field. Overall, this work reports a novel approach for generating stable and spatiotemporally tunable gradients in a microfluidic chamber using a reaction-free 21 22 electrochemical methodology.

- 28
- *To whom correspondence should be addressed: <u>minerick@mtu.edu</u>, 1400 Townsend Drive,
 203 Chemical Sciences and Engineering, Houghton, MI 49931

Keywords: Microdevice, Non-uniform AC electric fields, Chemical Gradient, Electrochemistry
 Total Words: 5258

Abbreviations: Gradient generation, Fluorescein isothiocyanate (*FITC*), Hafnium Oxide
 (*HfO2*), Electrophoresis (*EP*)

31 1. Introduction

Spatial and temporal gradients are essential both in solution and on surfaces of many systems
and applications [1-6]. In biological systems, gradients play important roles in cell signaling,
migration, differentiation, and metastasis [7-11]. In electrokinetic relevant applications,
gradients are utilized for high-throughput material screening and to steer molecular motion,
among others [4, 12, 13].

Conventional gradient-generation platforms are based predominantly on diffusion, printing, dip
coating, or irradiation [14]. These techniques, however, only produce static, monotonic
gradients that have fixed physiochemical properties, whereas studying dynamic biomolecular
responses and controlling molecular motion both require spatially and temporally controllable
gradients [15].

42 Microfluidic platforms have been used to generate chemical gradients which feature spatial and temporal control [16-18]. However, the majority of microfluidic-based gradient generation 43 systems employ passive mixing or free-diffusion[17], resulting in gradients with limited 44 spatiotemporal resolution[16]. In contrast to passive generation of concentration gradients, 45 electrochemical techniques actively create dynamic surface gradients that feature compelling 46 controllability and flexibility [19]. Additionally, electrochemical gradient generation 47 48 techniques are highly versatile, are compatible with organic and inorganic systems, can be integrated with electronics, and are easily automated [19]. 49

The fundamental theory for electrochemical concentration gradient generators are based on ion
mass transfer, which can be described by the combination (3) of the Nernst-Plank (1) and massconservation (2) equations [20].

53
$$\vec{J_i} = -D_i \vec{\nabla} C_i - \frac{z_i F}{RT} D_i C_i \vec{\nabla} \phi + C_i \vec{\nu}$$
(1)

54
$$\frac{\partial C_i}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{J_i} + R_i = 0$$
 (2)

55
$$\frac{\partial C_i}{\partial t} = D_i \vec{\nabla}^2 C_i + \frac{z_i F}{RT} D_i C_i \vec{\nabla}^2 \phi - C_i \vec{\nu} + R_i$$
(3)

where $\vec{J_i}$, D_i , C_i and z_i are the flux (vector), diffusion coefficient, concentration, and valence of species i, respectively. R is the gas constant, T is temperature, F is Faraday's constant, \emptyset is electric potential, \boldsymbol{v} is convective flow velocity (vector), t is time, and R_i is the reaction rate for species *i*. From Eqn (3), the time dependence of ion concentration for species i within a fluid can be induced by chemical potential gradients, electric potential gradients, convective flows, and species i reactions. Such reactions can be ionic, chemical, and/or Faradaic in nature.

Current electrochemical gradient generation approaches are based on mass transfer induced by Faradaic reactions [21], gradients derived from in-plane potential gradients [22], bipolar electrochemical gradients [23], gradients produced using an asymmetric electrode configuration [24], as well as combinations of electrochemistry with other methods such as photomask [25], dip coating [26], and magnetic field [27]. Many of these approaches rely on Faradaic reactions (R_i) that yield species accumulation for gradient generation.

According to Eqn 3, we hypothesized that stable concentration gradients, $\vec{\nabla}^2 C_i$, can be 68 generated using biased electromigration in spatially non-uniform alternating-current (AC) 69 electric fields $(\frac{z_i F}{RT} D_i C_i \vec{\nabla}^2 \phi \neq 0)$, independently from bulk flux $(C_i \vec{\upsilon} = \mathbf{0})$ and without Faradaic 70 reactions ($R_i = 0$). To test our hypothesis, ionized fluorescent dye Fluorescein isothiocyanate 71 72 (FITC), was used as a real-time detectable target. To suppress the dominant Faradaic reaction 73 manifesting as water electrolysis, 1) methanol (MeOH) was used as a solvent instead of water 74 [28], and 2) a dielectric layer of hafnium dioxide (HfO_2) was implemented over the Ti/Au electrode to block the electron exchange between the electrode metal surface to any residual 75 76 water molecules [29].

In this work, we first performed qualitative control experiments to ascertain impacts on spatiotemporal fluorescent emission intensity from Faradaic reactions as well as convective flow (per mechanisms in eqn 3). This ensured the fluorescent emission intensity changes detected in the remaining experiments could be decoupled and attributable to electromigration mechanisms. Then, we performed spatial and temporal analysis on the intensity behavior and visually tabulated the ion concentration gradients using contour plots. Finally, we quantified ion concentration gradients as a function of applied potential from 5 to 10 V_{pp} and frequencies from 5- to 25-times the electrode charging frequency f_c , defined in Eqn 4.

85 2. Materials and Methods

86 2.1. Materials

87 Fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC, 492/518 nm) was utilized as a fluorescing ion source. FITC (powder ≥90%, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) stock solution was prepared in MeOH (99.99%, Sigma-88 Aldrich, USA) at 10⁻⁴ M and further diluted to 2 µM during experiments. Rhodamine B (Rb, 89 powder \geq 95%, 554/627 nm, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was utilized as a neutral dye in control 90 experiments. Rb stock solution was also prepared in MeOH at 10⁻⁴ M further diluted to 2 µM 91 92 during experiments. NaCl (>99%, Macron Chemicals, USA) was used in the MeOH solution during the Rb control experiment to provide the same solution conductivity $(4.3 \times 10^{-4} S/m)$ 93 as the 2 μ M FITC solution. 94

95 2.2. Microdevice Design and Fabrication

Orthogonally positioned Ti/Au (50/50 nm thickness, 100 µm width) electrode pairs with 100 96 97 µm gaps were designed and fabricated following standard soft photolithography fabrication procedures on microscope slides [30]. Additionally, a layer of 100 nm hafnium dioxide (HfO₂) 98 99 was sputter coated over the entire glass slide to provide a physical dielectric barrier above the electrode [29]. Fig. 1 shows a) photo of the fully assembled microdevice, b) 10x magnified 100 101 view of the experimental fluidic chamber, and c) side view schematic of the device illustrating 102 the dielectric HfO₂ layer. An Agilent 33250A function generator provided the AC electric signal across the vertical electrode, V_{pp}sin(ωt), and grounded horizontal electrode to drive ion 103 migration within the chamber. 104

105 2.3. Experiments

Two approaches were employed to significantly reduce Faradaic reactions at the electrodes.
The first approach employed a dielectric coating hafnium dioxide (HfO₂) [29, 31] previously

demonstrated to provide a physical and insulating dielectric layer between the Ti/Au electrodes and the electrolyte while still enabling electric potential penetration into the solvent. The electrical current through the solution, while attenuated slightly by the HfO₂, remained sufficient to induce ion migration within the experimental conditions explored [32]. The second approach utilized MeOH as an electrochemically inert solvent in place of water. MeOH has been shown to better resist electrolysis [33].

After independently developing concentration/intensity calibration curves, FITC and Rb photobleaching were also quantified separately by continuously detecting emission light intensity in the working chamber under excitation light exposure for 60 s and in the absence of an applied electric potential.

Solutions were prepared by mixing prepared FITC stock solution and MeOH at the ratio of 1:49 yielding a final FITC concentration of 2 μ M for the ion migration detection experiments. Samples were injected into the input port shown in Fig. 1(a) and sealed with a one-piece fitting (LabSmith, USA). This batch experiment prevented pressure driven flow within the chamber (separately verified). The experimental matrix included AC signals from 5 to 10 V_{pp} at 1.25 V_{pp} intervals and frequencies from 100 to 500 Hz at 100 Hz intervals; this corresponded to 5-25 times f_c (eqn 4).

To facilitate comparison of study results for other systems with various electrode designs and solutions, we utilized a non-dimensional frequency which was obtained by dividing the applied frequency with the electrode charging frequency, f_c . The electrode charging frequency captures the propensity of the electric double layer to charge according to the following equation [34]:

129
$$f_c = \frac{1}{2\pi t_c} = \frac{D}{2\pi \lambda_D L}$$
(4)

130
$$\lambda_D = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon RT}{2F^2 C_0}} \tag{5}$$

$$f_r = \frac{f}{f_c} \tag{6}$$

132 Where, f_c is electrode charging frequency, D is diffusion coefficient, λ_D is electrical double

133 layer thickness, L is characteristic length (in this case the 100 μm spacing between electrodes), ε is solution relative permittivity, C_0 is bulk molar concentration, f is applied frequency, and f_r 134 is relative frequency. Under the experimental conditions of this work, $D = 4.9 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ 135 for FITC in methanol for FITC in methanol, which was roughly estimated via linear 136 proportional analysis of the diffusion coefficient of FITC in water [35, 36] to the diffusion 137 coefficient of sodium in water and in methanol [37]. The electrode charging frequency was 138 139 calculated to be ~20 Hz using parameters above and $\varepsilon = 33$ for FITC in MeOH [38]. Thus, the applied non-dimensional relative frequency, f_r , ranged from 5 to 25. Video microscopy at 10x 140 magnification was recorded at 1 fps for 60 seconds. No electric fields were applied in the first 141 5 frames to check system stability. Each 60 s experiment was repeated 5 times with new 142 143 solutions in the microchamber.

144 Control experiments were completed to detect convective flow using a mixture of neutrally 145 charged 2 μ M Rb dye in NaCl MeOH solution with NaCl added to achieve $4.3 \times 10^{-4} \frac{s}{m}$. This 146 was the same final conductivity as the FITC-MeOH solution. Additional control experiments 147 were completed to detect Faradaic reaction by-products (i.e., pH changes) using 2 μ M FITC-148 MeOH solution within uniform electric field without the HfO₂ layer as described in our 149 previous work [39].

In addition, the COMSOL Multiphysics[®] (COMSOL, Inc, Burlington, MA) electrostatics module was used to simulate electric field spatiotemporal variations including field strengths and field densities within the inspected system (Extra Fine mesh). As illustrated in Figure 1, the horizontal electrode at the top of the simulated region was set to a ground condition. The vertical electrode was fixed to $10 V_{pp}$, the left and right boundaries were configured as open, and the remaining boundaries were programmed as insulating.

156 2.4. Data Analysis Methods

157 Emission intensities of FITC and Rb dyes were tested independently against time for the effect158 of photobleaching (Fig. 2a&b), and against fixed FITC and Rb concentrations for obtaining

159 each intensity-concentration calibration curve (Fig. 2c&d).

160 All acquired microscope videos were exported as 61 (0-60 s) separate images and imported into

161 MATLAB to generate grayscale matrixes ranging from 0 (dark) to 255 (bright). Intensity values

162 of the first 5 frames (electric fields off) were normalized to 75 to ensure the brightest regions

163 did not exceed the 255 maximum range.

For control experiments, intensity analysis was performed on the acquired video to calibrating
for photobleaching (Fig. 2a&b). For test experiments, the acquired data were calibrated against
photobleaching effects (Fig. 2a&b), then converted to FITC concentration using to the FITC
calibration curve (Fig. 2c).

168 Contour 3-D mesh image plots were used to aid in visualizing the ion concentration gradient. 169 Contour plots were used to demonstrate the intensity spatiotemporally to discern gradient 170 progression and 3-D mesh images were used to demonstrate the calculated concentration 171 gradient whereby the z-axis expresses FITC concentration obtained from the FITC 172 concentration-intensity calibration.

Image J was employed to quantify peak-to-peak potential and frequency dependencies. An area of interest (area F in Fig. 5, total area ~35,000 μ m²) was chosen in the high field density region. Additional areas of interest (areas A-E in Fig. 5, total areas ~ 15,000 μ m², 30,000 μ m², 105,000 μ m², 47,000 μ m², and 45,000 μ m²)were ascertained based on visually uniform intensity over the area. These areas of interest were then used to track emission intensity over time and quantify concentration temporally.

179 **3.**

3. Results and Discussion

The FITC anion was selected to measure spatially variant ion concentration in a non-ionic MeOH solution; it is a fluorescing molecule whose concentration is directly proportional to emission intensity. FITC and Rb were first calibrated to quantify photobleaching effects and to obtain emission intensity versus concentration calibration curves. Control experiments were performed utilizing FITC and Rb to ascertain extent of Faradaic reactions in two modified devices (non-uniform and uniform) and to determine effects from convective flow. Spatial emission intensity analysis was performed in the spatially variant electric fields followed by time analysis of ion concentration changes in multiple areas. Finally, ion gradient dependencies on applied potential magnitudes and frequency were quantified.

189 3.1. FITC and Rb Calibrations

FITC and Rb calibrations were completed to quantify both photobleaching and intensity-190 concentration correlations. Photobleaching properties were examined by detecting FITC 191 192 (Fig.2a) and Rb (Fig.2b) emission intensity without applying any potential for 60 s. Results 193 showed that FITC emission intensity decreased from 75 arb. unit to 55 while Rb emission intensity stayed nearly constant at 75 during the entire 60 s. FITC photobleaching can be fit to 194 an exponential function and Rb photobleaching can be fit to a linear function, both with $R^2 > 0.99$. 195 These functions were used to correct subsequent experiments to isolate photobleaching effects 196 197 and obtain net intensity change from dye concentration changes only.

Second, both FITC and Rb concentrations were calibrated with emission intensity as shown in Fig. 2(c & d, n = 3). Both FITC and Rb emission intensity increased with dye concentration from 2 μ M to 12 μ M. Polynomial equations were fit with R² > 0.99. Once experiments were conducted in electric fields, FITC intensity was corrected to isolate concentration-only effects by using the calibration curves in Fig. 2(a & c). Similarly, Rb intensity was corrected to isolate concentration using the calibration curves in Fig. 2(b & d).

204 3.2. Control Experiments

Four sets of control experiments were conducted to elucidate other mechanisms that could potentially impact FITC emission intensity changes. Mechanisms in the Nernst-Plank equation (1) including diffusion, reaction, convection, and electromigration impact ion concentrations over time. Diffusion is a passive process that exists in all systems at around 10 μ m²/s for FITC molecules [40]. More specifically, diffusivity of FITC $D = 4.9 \times 10^{-10} m^2/s$ yields a diffusion time of $t \approx \frac{x^2}{2D} = 10 s$ to travel the x distance of 100 μ m, which is 10³ times longer 211 than the period of the AC signal (100 Hz, 0.1 s). Faradaic reactions occur due to charge transfer at electrode surfaces; these effects need to be examined since reaction byproducts have been 212 213 shown to affect pH adjacent to the electrodes [41, 42] and thus FITC dye emission intensity. For convective flow, AC electroosmotic flow could potentially be induced at the frequencies 214 215 and conductivities examined [43]. Such induced flows would transport dye thus affecting 216 observed emission intensities. Electromigration could not be controlled and was therefore 217 intentionally quantified including comparisons between the ion intensity changes in uniform 218 and non-uniform devices.

10 V_{pp} at 100 Hz sinusoidal signals were applied on all four sets of control experiments including neutral Rb dye in uniform electric fields (Fig. 3, 1st column), ionic FITC dye in uniform electric fields (Fig. 3, 2nd column), ionic FITC dye in non-uniform electric fields (Fig. 3, 3rd column), and neutral Rb dye in non-uniform electric fields (Fig. 3, 4th column). Captured data at 0 s, 7 s (2 s after applying electric fields), and 60 s are shown in row 1 to row 3 Fig. 3(ad), 3(e-h), and 3(i-l), respectively. Fig. 3(m-p) demonstrates the absolute difference between 60 s and 0 s to better visualize intensity change over the experiment.

In the first column, Rb-NaCl MeOH solution in a uniform electric field demonstrated nearly constant emission intensity Fig. 3(a, e, i, m). Since Rb has also been utilized as a temperature indicator [44], this result indicates that there is no significant temperature change, which is expected given the low conductivity of the solution. The estimated temperature change would be ~0.01 °C according to $\Delta T = \frac{\sigma V_{rms}^2}{8k}$, where $\sigma = 0.00043 S/m$ is the solution conductivity and thermal conductivity, k=0.203, for MeOH at 25 °C. Experiments and theory are in agreement; the experimental conditions in this system are thermally stable.

To qualify ion concentration change induced by Faradaic reactions $(\frac{\partial C_i}{\partial t} \text{ induced by } R_i)$, FITC MeOH solution at a conductivity of 4.3×10^{-4} S/m was monitored between a pair of parallel electrodes whereby the electric field gradient is nearly zero, $\nabla^2 \phi = 0$ (Fig. 3, 2nd row). Uniform AC electric fields yield zero time-averaged ion migration, $\left[\frac{z_i F}{RT} D_i C_i \vec{\nabla} \phi\right] = 0$, as well as zero

convective flow, $C_i \vec{v} = 0$, while Faradaic reactions remain present, $R_i \neq 0$. As a result, all 237 observed intensity shifts (ΔI) in uniform fields can be attributed to Faradaic reactions. FITC 238 239 emissions in the uniform electric field remained nearly constant as illustrated in the second 240 column in Fig. 3(b, f, j). A small decrease in intensity was observed in Fig. 3(n) and calculated 241 over all repeats to have an average magnitude of 7.5 arb. unit. This was attributed to residual 242 Faradaic reactions progressing with the aid of water contamination in MeOH [45] via any HfO_2 pits or exposed edges of the Au electrode that can be exposed during the photoresist lift-off 243 244 process [46]. While Faradaic reactions do not depend on field uniformities, they do cause shifts 245 in pH that alter FITC intensity; these minor effects are a form of systematic error and are 246 quantifiable from the uniform field experiments. However, Fig. 3(n) demonstrates that these residual Faradaic reaction byproducts are so minor (7.5 arb. unit) that they can be decoupled 247 from the mechanism inducing FITC concentration intensity increases in non-uniform electric 248 249 fields, as shown in Fig. 3(c, g, k, and o). Our group systematically characterized Faradaic reaction pH changes in a previous publication [39]. 250

As shown in the fourth column of Fig. 3(d, h, l), controls were also conducted in uniform AC 251 252 electric fields with the neutral Rb-NaCl solution whereby any observed intensity shift (ΔI) can 253 be attributed to convective flow. The magnitude of intensity change demonstrated in Fig. 3(p) was ~0. Since the Rb-NaCl and FITC solutions have identical conductivities, any induced 254 255 ACEO flow would have been similar (eqn 3 in [43]). The imperceptible Rb intensity change in 256 Fig. 3(p) indicates ACEO flow was not induced under these ionic conditions in this system. 257 Thus, convective flow is not the mechanism inducing the observed FITC emission intensity 258 increases in non-uniform electric fields.

The third column in Fig. 3 illustrates FITC in MeOH in the non-uniform electric field. Prior to electric field application, the system initially displays a uniform intensity as shown in Fig. 3(c). The emission intensity starts to increase from the high field density region after 2 s within the electric field as shown in Fig. 3(g). In Fig. 3(k) and Fig. 3(o), significant intensity increases were quantified with average magnitude of 120 arb. units in the gap between the electrodes at a longer time of 60 s and a longer length scale of $\sim 100 \,\mu m$.

In conclusion, these control and comparison experiments illustrate that the observed FITC intensity changes in Fig. 3(c, g, h and o) are not induced by temperature changes, Faradaic reactions, or convection. Per the N-P mass conservation eqn (3), electromigration is the remaining ion transport mechanism.

269 3.3. Proposed Mechanism for the Established Ion Concentration Gradient

270 Mechanisms for ion motion over time in non-uniform alternating-current electric fields have 271 remained somewhat ambiguous because ion migrations in bulk solution under AC fields are 272 traditionally assumed to be negligible [47]. However, theories and supporting evidence have 273 been advanced that ions experience oscillatory migration in AC fields resulting in accumulating 274 solution property changes over time [48].

In this work, we hypothesized that a stable concentration gradient, $\vec{\nabla}^2 C_i$, can be generated leveraging the mechanism of biased electromigration in spatially non-uniform AC electric fields $(\frac{z_i F}{RT} D_i C_i \vec{\nabla}^2 \phi \neq 0)$, independently from bulk convective flow $(C_i \vec{v} = 0)$ and Faradaic reactions $(R_i = 0)$.

279 At quasi-equilibrium, whereby the total number of ions within the chamber do not change, the time averaged ion concentration variation with time is zero $\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial t} = \mathbf{0}$. In this quasi-equilibrium 280 state, the Nernst-Planck equation (Eqn. 3) then indicates that the spatial ion concentration 281 gradient, $D_i \vec{\nabla}^2 C_i$, is associated with convection, $-C_i \vec{v}$, reactions (in this case, Faradaic 282 reactions), R_i , and electric field gradient, $\frac{z_i F}{RT} D_i C_i \vec{\nabla}^2 \phi$. Results obtained from the control 283 experiments (Fig. 3) indicate that effects from convective flow and Faradaic reactions are 284 nearly negligible, thus $C_i v = 0$, $R_i = 0$. As a result, the simplified N-P conservation equation 285 (Eqn. 3) describing the experimental conditions can be simplified to: 286

$$-D_i \vec{\nabla}^2 C_i = \frac{z_i F}{RT} D_i C_i \vec{\nabla}^2 \phi \tag{7}$$

288 Equation 7 indicates that the intensity change observed in the FITC-MeOH solution in non-

uniform electric field is predominantly induced by electromigration, $\frac{z_i F}{RT} D_i C_i \vec{\nabla}^2 \phi$, leading to a 289 stable establishment of an ion concentration gradient, $\vec{\nabla}^2 C_i$, within the microfluidic chamber. 290 Thus, our hypothesis agrees with the experimental results from comparative control 291 experiments. When $\vec{\nabla}^2 \phi = 0$ in uniform electric fields, no ion concentration gradient is 292 established. On the contrary, in non-uniform electric fields, $\vec{\nabla}^2 \phi \neq 0$, the non-zero gradient of 293 the electric field shown is responsible for inducing an ion concentration gradient. The similar 294 pattern between the experimentally established ion concentration gradient and the electric field 295 gradient calculated from $\vec{\nabla}^2 \phi$ also supports this hypothesis. Fig. 4 shows comparison between 296 the contour plot for emission intensity (Fig. 4 a,b) of the FITC concentration distribution at t=7, 297 and 30 s alongside the electric field density simulated by Poisson's equation in COMSOL's 298 electrostatics module (Fig 4 c,d). For best visualization, Fig. 4(c) shows the field density on a 299 scale from 1×10^9 to 5×10^9 V/m² while Fig. 4(d) shows data in the scale from 4×10^8 to 300 2×10^9 V/m². Rough similarities are visible in Fig. 4(a) and (c) whereby FITC ion 301 concentrations enrich from the highest field density area at the corners of the point electrode in 302 303 the chamber and progress to dominate the narrow gap between the electrodes. As time 304 progresses, ion enrichment increases and the enrichment area expands down the electric field gradient with lower concentrations in the peripheral areas. 305

Pattern variations on either side of the vertical electrode when comparing between Fig. 4(b) and 306 (d) are attributed to minor pH induced intensity changes [49] and diffusion. pH changes from 307 308 residual Faradaic reactions could occur at exposed Ti/Au electrode pits or edges as discussed in section 3.2. Patterns of more severe ion shifts around a high field density electrode tip were 309 previously observed [50]. In addition, impacts from diffusion, while >100 orders of magnitude 310 311 less than migration, may also contribute to the slight differences in shape between the actively 312 generated ion concentration gradient and electric field density. Since the lower left and right sides are microfluidic channels (Figs. 1b and 5m) connected with buffer reservoirs (Fig. 1a), 313 these are functioning as boundaries with constant concentration. As a result, ion diffusion can 314

be occurred in this limited cross section between the bulk fluid area (i.e., area C in Fig. 5m) andthe microchannels.

In summary, while slight variations exist between the spatial patterns in electric field gradient simulations and experimentally-measured ion concentrations, the generated FITC concentration gradient within a 680 um by 900 um chamber is directly dependent upon the existence of spatial non-uniformity in an applied AC electric field.

321 **3.4.** Temporal and Spatial Analysis of Intensity Change

322 Additional temporal and spatial analyses were performed to further characterize the FITC concentration gradient within the microchamber. Fig.5 demonstrates experimental results at 10 323 $V_{\mu\nu}$ and 100 Hz in 4.3 × 10⁻⁴ S/m and 2 μ M FITC solution. Each individual image includes 324 the average FITC concentration out of 5 repeated tests. The photobleaching-corrected data was 325 then fit to the calibration curve shown in Fig. 2(c) to obtain FITC concentrations as shown in 326 327 the second row of Fig 5 (e, f, g, h). Results are organized into columns corresponding to experiment time: column 1 (a, e, i) shows results at t=0, column 2 (b, f, j) shows results at t=7 328 s (2 s after applied electric field), column 3 (c, g, k) shows results at t=30 s and column 4 (d, 329 330 h, l) shows results at t=60 s. Different rows demonstrate results obtained by different image 331 analysis methods: the first row shows 2-D grayscale intensity image (a-d), the second and third rows show FITC concentration in 3-D mesh images where the z axis demonstrates FITC 332 concentration (e-h), and contour plots all generated by MATLAB. Fig. 5(m) shows the areas 333 from which averaged FITC concentration was obtained using ImageJ. The areas were chosen 334 335 according to the contour plot shown in Fig. 5(1). Area A shows the highest emission intensity thus highest FITC concentration during the entire experiment. Area B is the area surrounding 336 the tip of the vertical electrode. Area C is the bulk solution area, where FITC concentration 337 stayed relatively constant. Area D and E are corner areas where lower emission intensity was 338 339 attributed to FITC concentration depletion. Areas B, C, D and E are all symmetric around the vertical electrode indicated by the white dashed line of symmetry. The inset in Fig. 5(m) shows 340 the interest area F, where the electric field density was strongest representing greatest AC 341

electrokinetic phenomena. The area G represents the entire chamber. Fig. 5(n) shows theaverage FITC concentration for each specific area as a function of time.

At t=0 (first column) when no electric field was applied, Fig. 5(a,e,i) demonstrate uniform intensity; for subsequent analysis, this initial intensity is always normalized to 75 arb. unit to reference experiment to experiment comparisons (see section 2.4). Fig. 5n reflects this flat concentration in all regions for the first 4.9 seconds. These plots demonstrate the uniform FITC concentration distribution in the microdevice system when the system is free of impact from a non-uniform electric field.

At t=7 s (second column), 2 s after applying the electric field, Fig. 5 (b,f,j) demonstrate that FITC concentration increased to ~6 μ M in area A, remained constant in areas B and C, and decreased slightly in corner areas D and E. Another observation is that the pattern of FITC concentration enrichment over the first two seconds matches closely with the electric field density distribution shown in Fig.4(c), consistent with the electromigration mechanism discussed prior.

The first 2 s correspond to the first 200 cycles of alternating current oscillation and demonstrate 356 a net enrichment of FITC ions into the high electric field density areas near the electrode and 357 depletion from corner regions farthest from electrodes. Since enrichment is dominated by 358 359 electromigration, the depletion can be attributed to mass conservation. FITC ions migrated from weakest electric field areas toward strongest electric field areas and there is insufficient time 360 for diffusion from the side channels to replenish the depleted area. More specifically, diffusivity 361 of FITC $D = 4.9 \times 10^{-10} m^2/s$ yields a diffusion time of $t \approx \frac{x^2}{2D} = 10 s$ to travel the x 362 distance of 100 µm; thus, FITC molecules have insufficient time to travel from the side channel 363 364 to the chamber.

At t=30 s, peak FITC concentrations approaching 10μ M were detected in a subset of area A (Fig. 5 g and k). In peripheral areas B, moderate FITC concentrations around 4.5 μ M were observed and the magnitude of enrichment decreased with increasing distance from the high field density area (Fig. 5 g and k). From 30 s to 60 s, observed FITC concentrations demonstrated a collective relaxation over the entire area. The most rapid concentration decreases were observed in areas B and E (Fig. 5n), while FITC concentrations <1 μ M were observed in corner areas D and E (Fig. 5 l and n). Importantly, FITC concentration in the entire imaged area (area G) remained largely unchanged consistent with the conservation of total FITC molecules within the system.

374 These spatiotemporal FITC concentration changes can be attributed to the combined effect of 375 electromigration, residual Faradaic reactions, and diffusion with electromigration significantly dominating. At t = 7 s, FITC ions experience strong biased electromigration and accumulation 376 into three- to five-fold concentration increases in areas with the highest electric field density. 377 From t = 7 s to t = 30 s, the relatively strong biased electromigration induced FITC ion 378 concentration increases in areas A and B with high electric field density, with a corresponding 379 depletion of FITC ion in areas D and E with low electric field density consistent with the N-P 380 conservation equation. The overall observed concentration decrease from 30 s to 60 s can be 381 382 partially attributed to residual Faradaic reactions decreasing the environment pH and thus the 383 FITC emission intensity as shown in the control experiment (Fig. 3n). FITC concentration remained relatively stable in area C which had low electric field density as well as a direct 384 connection with inlet/outlet channels that act as sources of 2 µM solution; thus, area C has the 385 386 greatest propensity to illustrate a balanced effect between electromigration and diffusion.

In summary, area G illustrates conservation of FITC within the entire observed system while 387 388 areas A and F showed significant FITC concentration increase and areas C, D, and E concentration decreases dominated by electromigration effects. From 30 to 60 s, FITC 389 390 concentration stabilized as electromigration reached a quasi-equilibrium balance with diffusion. Residual Faradaic reactions probably contributed to the measured FITC emission intensity 391 decrease, even if the ion concentration may not have actually decreased. Therefore, in the next 392 393 section, frequency and peak-to-peak analyses were performed on FITC concentrations at t=30 s instead of at t=60 s to exclude effects from Faradaic reactions best isolate and analyze 394

395 electromigration.

396 3.5. Peak-to-peak Potential and Frequency Dependency

We further hypothesized that the concentration gradients generated could be easily controlled 397 by changing peak-to-peak potential (Vpp) and frequency of the applied AC field. To test our 398 399 hypothesis, potential and frequency dependency of FITC concentrations were examined from $5 V_{pp}$ to $10 V_{pp}$ at a fixed frequency of 100 Hz and from 100 Hz to 500 Hz (relative frequency=5 400 to 25) at a fixed applied potential of 10 V_{pp}. The minimum potential and frequency were 401 402 determined according to the observed negligible intensity increase below 5 V_{pp} and above 500 403 Hz. The maximum potential and frequency were determined due to observed shorter device life at frequencies below 100 Hz and instrument maximum V_{pp}. Average intensity shifts in interest 404 405 area F between t=5 s and 35 s were examined since the strongest indictors of electromigration were prominent between the electrodes in Fig. 5. Fig. 6(a & b) demonstrate the average FITC 406 407 concentration from 5 repeat experiments for each potential/frequency condition, while standard 408 deviations $< 0.1 \ \mu M$ demonstrate high reproducibility of this reported approach. Electrical 409 potential dependency results indicate that the magnitude of FITC concentration increased with V_{pp} (Fig. 6a). The FITC concentration remained unchanged from the initial concentration of 2 410 μ M at 5 V_{pp}, but reached 4 μ M in a 10 V_{pp} field. This magnitude of enrichment with V_{pp} can be 411 412 understood as higher applied potentials provided stronger electric fields causing ions to migrate 413 further in each half cycle of the AC signal. As a result, the rate of establishment and magnitude of the FITC concentration gradient is greater at higher applied potentials. 414

Frequency dependency results indicate that the magnitude of FITC concentration decreased with frequency. However, FITC concentration gradients still existed at 400 Hz, a value 20 times higher than the electrode charging frequency. This decreased magnitude with increasing frequency agreed with a previous theoretical study by Golovnev et al. [51]. In their work, an analytical solution of the Poisson-Nernst-Planck (PNP) equation under applied AC potentials was reported and suggested that the change of ion concentrations with time was inversely related to frequencies of the applied signal. The physical mechanism of decreased FITC 422 concentration with frequency is probably due to the decreased available time for ion migration423 within each half cycle of the applied signal, which leads to attenuated concentration gradients.

424 **4.** Conclusions

425 Here, we demonstrated feasibility for a novel reaction-free approach for generating 426 spatiotemporally controllable gradient within a batch microfluidic system. This approach is 427 based on biased electromigration induced by spatially non-uniform AC electric fields. Control experiments were carefully designed and conducted illustrating electrothermal effects, 428 429 diffusion, Faradaic reactions, and convection were minimal or negligible. Through strategic 430 calibration and careful control, this body of experiments verified that the observed intensity changes were induced by electromigration-driven formation of an ion concentration gradient. 431 432 The spatial and temporal properties of generated gradients were systematically characterized via the parametric dependence of two driving factors, peak-to-peak potential and frequency, on 433 434 the FITC concentration gradient. Concentration gradients were generated within 10 s after 435 applying the electric field and remained stable over the course of 60-second experiments. 436 Results demonstrated up to a five-fold increase in maximum concentration above the initial concentration. Additionally, the magnitude of generated gradients can be accurately and 437 reproducibly controlled via the applied peak-to-peak potential and frequency. Concentration 438 439 gradients were shown to increase with increased potential and decrease with increased 440 frequency of the applied AC fields. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first demonstration 441 of a reaction-free approach for generating spatiotemporally controllable concentration gradients 442 by leveraging the unique properties of spatially non-uniform AC electric fields. Future work 443 will be focused on adapting this approach for generation of physiologically relevant gradients 444 for biological research.

445 Acknowledgement

This work was partially supported by National Science Foundation (NSF) Division of Industrial
Innovation and Partnerships IIP 1417187, IIP 1632678, and IIP 1414331. This work was also
supported by teaching assistantships from the Department of Chemical Engineering at

449 Michigan Technological University.

450 Data Availability

451 The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding452 author.

453 Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors were concurrently affiliated with MicroDevice Engineering Inc (microdengineering.com), a spinoff from Michigan Tech, while conducting this work. The work contained herein is not directly commercialize-able and thus no conflict of interest exists in making it public.

459 **5. References**

- 460 1. Berthier, E. and D.J. Beebe, *Gradient generation platforms: new directions for an established* 461 *microfluidic technology.* Lab on a chip, 2014. 14(17): p. 3241-3247.
- Jayaraman, S. and A.C. Hillier, *Construction and Reactivity Screening of a Surface Composition Gradient for Combinatorial Discovery of Electro-Oxidation Catalysts.* Journal of Combinatorial
 Chemistry, 2004. 6(1): p. 27-31.
- 465 3. Kim, S., H.J. Kim, and N.L. Jeon, *Biological applications of microfluidic gradient devices*. Integr
 466 Biol (Camb), 2010. 2(11-12): p. 584-603.

467 4. Maier, W.F., K. Stöwe, and S. Sieg, *Combinatorial and high-throughput materials science*.
468 Angew Chem Int Ed Engl, 2007. 46(32): p. 6016-67.

- 469 5. Potyrailo, R.A. and V.M. Mirsky, *Combinatorial and High-Throughput Development of Sensing*470 *Materials: The First 10 Years.* Chemical Reviews, 2008. **108**(2): p. 770-813.
- 471 6. Sackmann, E.K., A.L. Fulton, and D.J. Beebe, *The present and future role of microfluidics in*472 *biomedical research*. Nature, 2014. **507**(7491): p. 181-9.
- 473 7. Markevich, N.I., et al., *Long-range signaling by phosphoprotein waves arising from bistability*474 *in protein kinase cascades.* Mol Syst Biol, 2006. **2**: p. 61.
- 475 8. Kholodenko, B.N., J.F. Hancock, and W. Kolch, *Signalling ballet in space and time*. Nature
 476 Reviews Molecular Cell Biology, 2010. **11**(6): p. 414-426.
- 477 9. Fuller, B.G., et al., *Midzone activation of aurora B in anaphase produces an intracellular*478 *phosphorylation gradient.* Nature, 2008. 453(7198): p. 1132-1136.
- 479 10. Van Haastert, P.J. and P.N. Devreotes, *Chemotaxis: signalling the way forward*. Nat Rev Mol
 480 Cell Biol, 2004. 5(8): p. 626-34.
- 481 11. Weber, M., et al., *Interstitial dendritic cell guidance by haptotactic chemokine gradients*.
 482 Science, 2013. **339**(6117): p. 328-32.
- 483 12. Ichimura, K., S.-K. Oh, and M. Nakagawa, *Light-Driven Motion of Liquids on a Photoresponsive*484 *Surface*. Science, 2000. **288**(5471): p. 1624.
- 485 13. Daniel, S., M.K. Chaudhury, and J.C. Chen, *Fast Drop Movements Resulting from the Phase*486 *Change on a Gradient Surface*. Science, 2001. 291(5504): p. 633.
- 487 14. Morgenthaler, S., C. Zink, and N.D. Spencer, *Surface-chemical and -morphological gradients*.
 488 Soft Matter, 2008. 4(3): p. 419-434.
- Lin, X., Q. He, and J. Li, *Complex polymer brush gradients based on nanolithography and surface-initiated polymerization.* Chemical Society Reviews, 2012. **41**(9): p. 3584-3593.
- 491 16. Jeon, N.L., et al., *Generation of Solution and Surface Gradients Using Microfluidic Systems*.
 492 Langmuir, 2000. 16(22): p. 8311-8316.
- 493 17. Kim, S., H.J. Kim, and N.L. Jeon, *Biological applications of microfluidic gradient devices*.
 494 Integrative Biology, 2010. 2(11-12): p. 584-603.
- 495 18. Keenan, T.M. and A. Folch, *Biomolecular gradients in cell culture systems*. Lab on a Chip,
 496 2008. 8(1): p. 34-57.
- 497 19. Krabbenborg, S.O. and J. Huskens, *Electrochemically Generated Gradients*. Angewandte
 498 Chemie International Edition, 2014. 53(35): p. 9152-9167.
- 499 20. Faulkner, A.J.B.L.R., *Electrochemical Methods: Fundamentals and Applications 2nd Edition*.
 500 2000.
- 501 21. Ashraf, K.M., et al., On the Importance of Silane Infusion Order on the Microscopic and

502		Macroscopic Properties of Multifunctional Charge Gradients. ACS Omega, 2020. 5(34): p.
502 503		21897-21905.
505 504	22.	Ratcliff, E.L. and A.C. Hillier, Directed Electrodeposition of Polymer Films Using Spatially
	22.	
505	22	Controllable Electric Field Gradients. Langmuir, 2007. 23 (19): p. 9905-9910.
506	23.	Hakimian, A., S. McWilliams, and A. Ignaszak, <i>ZnO Synthesized Using Bipolar Electrochemistry:</i>
507		Structure and Activity. Materials (Basel), 2019. 12 (3).
508	24.	Hansen, T.S., et al., Complex Surface Concentration Gradients by Stenciled "Electro Click
509		<i>Chemistry"</i> . Langmuir, 2010. 26 (20): p. 16171-16177.
510	25.	Dillmore, W.S., M.N. Yousaf, and M. Mrksich, A Photochemical Method for Patterning the
511		Immobilization of Ligands and Cells to Self-Assembled Monolayers. Langmuir, 2004. 20(17): p.
512		7223-7231.
513	26.	Carreón-González, C.E., et al., Electrodeposition Growth of Nanowire Arrays with Height
514		Gradient Profiles for Microwave Device Applications. Nano Letters, 2011. 11(5): p. 2023-2027.
515	27.	Tschulik, K., et al., Effects of well-defined magnetic field gradients on the electrodeposition of
516		copper and bismuth. Electrochemistry Communications, 2009. 11(11): p. 2241-2244.
517	28.	Ferrin, P., et al., Reactivity descriptors for direct methanol fuel cell anode catalysts. Surface
518		Science, 2008. 602 (21): p. 3424-3431.
519	29.	Collins, J.L., et al., Electrical and chemical characterizations of hafnium (IV) oxide films for
520		biological lab-on-a-chip devices. Thin Solid Films, 2018. 662: p. 60-69.
521	30.	Toh, A.G.G., et al., Engineering microfluidic concentration gradient generators for biological
522		applications. Microfluidics and Nanofluidics, 2014. 16(1-2): p. 1-18.
523	31.	Yota, J., H. Shen, and R. Ramanathan, Characterization of atomic layer deposition HfO2,
524		Al2O3, and plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition Si3N4 as metal-insulator-metal
525		capacitor dielectric for GaAs HBT technology. Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology A,
526		2013. 31 (1).
527	32.	S. Habibi, H.Y.L., H. Moncada-Hernandez, A.R. Minerick, Induction and Suppression of Cell
528		Lysis in an Electrokinetic Microfluidic System. Electrophoresis, 2021. accepted pending minor
529		revisions.
530	33.	Xie, C.G., J. Bostaph, and J. Pavio, Development of a 2 W direct methanol fuel cell power
531		<i>source.</i> Journal of Power Sources, 2004. 136 (1): p. 55-65.
532	34.	Bazant, M.Z., K. Thornton, and A. Ajdari, Diffuse-charge dynamics in electrochemical systems.
533		Physical Review E, 2004. 70 (2).
534	35.	Rani, S.A., B. Pitts, and P.S. Stewart, Rapid diffusion of fluorescent tracers into Staphylococcus
535		epidermidis biofilms visualized by time lapse microscopy. Antimicrobial Agents and
536		Chemotherapy, 2005. 49 (2): p. 728-732.
537	36.	Galambos, P. and F.K. Forster. Micro-Fluidic Diffusion Coefficient Measurement. 1998.
538		Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
539	37.	Hawlicka, E. and D. Swiatla-Wojcik, Dynamic properties of the NaCl-methanol-water systems -
540		<i>MD simulation studies.</i> Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 2000. 2 (14): p. 3175-3180.
541	38.	Mohsen-Nia, M., H. Amiri, and B. Jazi, <i>Dielectric Constants of Water, Methanol, Ethanol,</i>
542	. = .	Butanol and Acetone: Measurement and Computational Study. Journal of Solution Chemistry,
543		2010. 39 (5): p. 701-708.
544	39.	An, R., et al., Solution pH change in non-uniform alternating current electric fields at
545	55.	frequencies above the electrode charging frequency. Biomicrofluidics, 2014. 8(6): p. 064126.
575		β requestions above the electronic endiging frequency. Domicrofinitions, 2014. $0(0)$, \mathbf{p} . 004120.

546	40.	Chenyakin, Y., Are diffusion coefficients calculated using the Stokes-Einstein equation
547		combined with viscosities consistent with measured diffusion coefficients of tracer organics
548		within organics-water mediums? 2015.
549	41.	Persat, A., R.D. Chambers, and J.G. Santiago, Basic principles of electrolyte chemistry for
550		microfluidic electrokinetics. Part I: Acid-base equilibria and pH buffers. Lab on a Chip, 2009.
551		9 (17): p. 2437-2453.
552	42.	Persat, A., M.E. Suss, and J.G. Santiago, Basic principles of electrolyte chemistry for
553		microfluidic electrokinetics. Part II: Coupling between ion mobility, electrolysis, and acid-base
554		<i>equilibria.</i> Lab on a Chip, 2009. 9 (17): p. 2454-2469.
555	43.	Ramos, A., et al., AC Electric-Field-Induced Fluid Flow in Microelectrodes. J Colloid Interface
556		Sci, 1999. 217 (2): p. 420-422.
557	44.	Moreau, D., et al., Rhodamine B as an optical thermometer in cells focally exposed to infrared
558		laser light or nanosecond pulsed electric fields. Biomed Opt Express, 2015. 6(10): p. 4105-17.
559	45.	Bockris, J.O.M., et al., Modern Electrochemistry. 2000: Springer.
560	46.	Jackson, M.J., Microfabrication and Nanomanufacturing. 2005: Taylor & Francis.
561	47.	Alijó, P.H.R., et al., Effects of electrostatic correlations on ion dynamics in alternating current
562		voltages. Electrochimica Acta, 2015. 152: p. 84-92.
563	48.	Srivastava, S.K., et al., Dielectrophoretic characterization of erythrocytes: Positive ABO blood
564		<i>types.</i> ELECTROPHORESIS, 2008. 29 (24): p. 5033-5046.
565	49.	Ramos, A., et al., Ac electrokinetics: a review of forces in microelectrode structures. Journal of
566		Physics D-Applied Physics, 1998. 31 (18): p. 2338-2353.
567	50.	An, R., et al., Solution pH change in non-uniform alternating current electric fields at
568		frequencies above the electrode charging frequency. Biomicrofluidics, 2014. 8(6): p. 13.
569	51.	Golovnev, A. and S. Trimper, Analytical solution of the PNP equations at AC applied voltage.
570		Physics Letters A, 2012. 376 (16): p. 1391-1395.
571		