# In Situ Compensation Method for Precise Integral SQUID Magnetometry of Miniscule Biological, Chemical, and Pow der Specimens Requiring the Use of Capsules

# 4 Katarzyna Gas and Maciej Sawicki

5 Institute of Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Aleja Lotnikow 32/46, PL-02668 Warsaw, Poland;

6 Correspondence: <u>kgas@ifpan.edu.pl</u> (K.G.); <u>mikes@ifpan.edu.pl</u> (M.S.)

Abstract: Steadily growing interest in magnetic characterization of organic compounds aiming at therapeutic 7 purposes, or of other irregular-shaped specimens calls for refinements of experimental methodology to satisfy 8 9 experimental challenges. Encapsulation in capsules remains the method of choice, but its applicability in precise magnetometry is limited. This is particularly true for minute specimens in single mg range since they are out-10 weighed by the capsules and due to large alignment errors. We present here a complete new experimental meth-11 odology which permits 30-fold in situ reduction of the signal of capsules. In practical terms it means that the 12 standard 30 mg capsule is seen by the magnetometer as about 1 mg object, effectively opening the window for 13 14 precise magnetometry of single mg specimens. The method is shown to work down to 1.8 K and in the whole range of the magnetic fields. The method is demonstrated and validated using the reciprocal space option of 15 MPMS-SQUID magnetometers, however it can be easily incorporated in any magnetometer which can accom-16 17 modate straw sample holders (i.e. the VSM-SQUID). Importantly, the improved sensitivity is accomplished relying only on the standard accessories and data reduction method provided by the SQUID manufacturer, eliminating 18 19 needs for an elaborate raw data manipulations.

Keywords: SQUID, integral magnetometry, *in situ* compensation, gelatin capsules, capsules mounting, amorphous
 specimens, straw sample holder, powder samples, SnTe, turmeric

22

23

# 24 1. Introduction

Commercial general-purpose superconducting quantum interference devices (SQUID) have become 25 ubiquitously met experimental tools in laboratories where magnetic characterization and investiga-26 tions of nanometer-scale objects is important and frequently indispensable in proving the vital insight 27 into the investigations. The range of objects include in particular ultrathin films [1,2], nanoparticles 28 [3,4] also as inclusions in magnetically dilute systems [5–7], 2D [8,9] and 1D [10,11] systems or system 29 in which their surface matters [12], like topological insulators [13–15]. In the prevailing number of 30 cases the materials of interest are given on a solid state substrates, which make the mounting of the 31 sample relatively easy, or at least a breath of relevant experimental codes has been elaborated and 32 method for eliminating various pitfalls were put forward [16-20], reviewed by Pereira [21]. In this 33 context a new widow of experimental opportunities was opened by the elaboration of methodology 34 and full quantification of the *in situ* substrate compensational method [22], which allows for truly pre-35 cise integral magnetometry of nanometer scale objects with absolute sensitivity down to 10<sup>-8</sup> emu [23] 36 or of thin antiferromagnetic layers [24]. 37

However, there exist a wide spectrum of subjects in which SQUID magnetometry cannot be performed
 according to the master rules elaborated for solid state objects. These are shape-less bodies like irreg-

ular small bits or fragments, powdered materials, generally understood soft condensed materials [25] 40 and all other chemical compounds and biological specimens, frequently of a strong spintronics [26] or 41 therapeutic relevance [27–30]. These systems usually exhibit very weak magnetic properties, they are 42 predominantly diamagnets. Only a handful of them allow to use methods developed within solid state 43 approach to magnetometry - these which can be bound by weakly magnetic glues without any degra-44 dation effects. One of such very convenient bonding agents is the so called GE varnish [31], which 45 dissolves very easy in ethanol and works (bonds) down to the lowest temperatures. So, if the investi-46 gated material does not decompose or change its properties in ethanol the investigated substance can 47 48 be stabilized in the GE varnish and be affixed firmly to common sample holders, like rectangular pieces of semiconducting compound and then mounted into plastic straws [32] or deposited on Si [33,25,34]. 49 In all other cases different, usually custom devised approaches had to be developed [35]. As far as the 50 present authors can judge, none of them can be regarded as magnetically transparent or being able to 51 provide truly quantitative results. 52

53 In this context small capsules appear to be the solution of choice in magnetometry of small quantities of shape-less materials, which evade other known methods of sample mounting for the measurements 54 [36,37]. There are many varieties of capsules available, but for the magnetometry the capsules should 55 be first of all small - to minimize their own magnetic contribution to the research, and color-less 56 (transparent) to minimize the amount of dyes which usually add a paramagnetic contribution to the 57 generally diamagnetic response of the capsules. These and other contaminants make their magnetic 58 properties difficult to standardize. The second serious issue related with the capsule-based magne-59 tometry is the lack of simple and magnetically clean means of a firm fixing the specimen-containing 60 capsule to the magnetometer sample holder. Both by far the most popular Quantum Design (QD) [38] 61 lines of magnetometers: MPMS-XL and the MPMS-3 VSM SQUID have provided means to use trans-62 parent drinking straws for sample housing. Indeed, most of the SQUID magnetometry research has 63 been performed with an aid of these straws [20,39,40], other, more clean but elaborate solutions are 64 exercised more seldom [19,22]. 65

It turns out the inner diameter of the straws provided by QD exceeds the outer diameter of capsules 66 which can be obtained from the same source. Neither size 4 gelatin capsules (GC) nor #5 polycarbonate 67 capsules (PCC) can be stabilized firmly within these straws, so various means of their stabilization 68 have been exercised. Most of this *ad hoc* solutions, like indentations of the straw below and above the 69 capsule [41], insertion of pieces of cotton wool, various plastic sticks or short pieces of somehow de-70 71 formed straws might have proved good for the fixing job, but each of them introduces its own magnetic contamination to the measurement process. So, these solutions work very well only when the 72 researched signals are strong, that is they are exceeding at least by an order magnitude the total mag-73 netic signal of the capsule and its harness within the straw. However, frequently the researched mate-74 rial is characterized by a weak magnetic response, say of a range typical for common diamagnetic 75 substances, and/or for various technological reasons only miniscule amounts are available for the 76 studies. So, a new approach to precision integral magnetometry is needed to give a better experimental 77 account in these situations where the investigated signals are far smaller than that of the capsule and its 78 surrounding. 79

In this report we provide with a complete solution to tackle the challenges related to precision integral magnetometry of minuscule amounts of shapeless substances. The method we put forward here combines both the capsules and modified plastic straws in an magnetically clean manner which allows determination of the absolute magnitude of the magnetic moment of single-mg-small diamagnetic samples with 1% accuracy. The method is validated for a crystalline body and exemplified for a powder sample. It has got a clear potential to considerably impact the research of these liquid solutions and wet biological specimens which will withstand the dry environment of the SQUID sample chamber without marked modifications to their properties. The latter line of research has not been exercised by the authors yet.

Our report is organized as follows. We first introduce the method of permanent plastic straw modifi-89 cation which enables straws with customized (reduced) internal diameter, and so suitable for accom-90 modation of capsules with sufficiently strong grip permitting 4 cm long scans in 1 Hz oscillating mode 91 of the fast averaging mode, the so called reciprocal space option (RSO), of the MPMS systems. Then we 92 introduce the method of *in situ* compensation of the signal of the specimen-housing capsule. We pro-93 94 vide the complete record of the assembly process of the capsule compensational sample holder (C-CSH) 95 and show that a 30-fold reduction of the apparent mass of the capsule, that is the mass of the capsule that is sensed by the SQUID, is readily possible. We finally validate our method by measuring the 96 complete temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility of 2.1 mg small crystallite of dia-97 magnetic SnTe in our C-CSH. Other measurement of 2.9 mg sample of common turmeric powder ex-98 99 emplifies the applicability of our approach to investigations of equally small amounts of powders, which we quantify numerically. All these accomplishments are done using only data reduction which 100 101 bases on the standard output files of the MPMS system (\*.dat files). It is shown that none of the exces-102 sive post-measurement data treatment is necessary. The method outlined here has been proved suitable for measurement from 2 to about 330 K and in the whole range of the magnetic field available 103 within the magnetometer and to be compatible with the RSO mode of measurements. 104

105

## 106 2. Materials and Methods

107 The main theme of this report is to provide the reader with the complete method developed to in situ compensate a large magnetic contribution brought about by common capsules, frequently em-108 ployed to house soft matter or just shape-less specimens, which are difficult to be fixed to a reasonable 109 sample holder for the intended magnetic studies. Therefore, in this section we signalize only that the 110 main building blocks of the self-compensating assembly are polycarbonate or gelatin capsules ar-111 112 ranged in trains with (nearly) identical neighbors, which are all fixed into thermoplastically modified plastic drinking straws. All these elements are available directly from Quantum Design, and we advise 113 114 to use this source for the sake of standardization. Gelatin capsules (GC) of size 4, or #4, are provided as 115 item QDS-AGC1. The polycarbonate (PCC) ones of size 5 (smaller), or #5, can be ordered as item QDS-AGC3. Both are packed in bunches of 100. However, the inner diameter of the straws provided by 116 117 QD (about 5.3 mm) exceeds the outer diameter of standard capsules which are about 4.6 and 5 mm for PCC #5 and GC #4, respectively. 118

119

120

121

#### 123 2.1. The capsules

Apart from the mismatch of external diameters of capsules with the inner diameter of straw, 124 which determines the amount of effort required to fix them to a sample holder, there are other im-125 portant factors which need to be discussed here. These are: (i) their magnetic susceptibility, which de-126 termines the magnitude of the magnetic response or the signal brought by the capsule to the total 127 magnetic moment *m* registered during the measurement, (ii) their mass which quantifies the weight of 128 the sample that can be housed in the given capsule to produce an easy distinguishable magnetic re-129 sponse, and (iii) alignment (proper centering) issue of small specimens. The latter is somehow the de-130 rivative of the former two. In these respects there are virtually no problems or constrains for investi-131 gation of ferromagnetic materials of which even nanograms can dwarf the response of the capsule, 132 particularly at weak magnetic field. The method of compensation we put forward here will be certainly 133 suitable for such samples, but in the report we put our emphasis on weakly magnetic substances which 134 are characterized by the weakest magnetic responses - the diamagnetic bodies. Our effort has been 135 directed to minimize as much as possible the response of the capsule, so to bring forward the weak or 136 even very weak response of the specimen and to quantify its magnitude with a very high accuracy. 137

Both types of capsules have different sizes and masses. #5 PCCs range between 22 and 35 mg, 138 #4 GCs between 30 and 44 mg, but it turns out that both types are characterized by a similar mass 139 susceptibility  $\chi$  of about -6.3(2) × 10<sup>-7</sup> emu/g/Oe. Therefore, for the sake of simplicity and to relate the 140 results to the similarly diamagnetic specimens of a very small mass, wherever possible, the magnetic 141 results are converted to the mass of an idealized, yet quite typical, mass of polycarbonate capsules, i.e. 142 to 30 mg. In that sense this conversion will make the conclusion of our findings independent of the 143 magnitude of the magnetic field H, at which the measurement are taken, since both signals of the 144 capsule(s) and the specimen scale similarly with H. Additionally, for the sake of arguments, we define 145 a standard reference diamagnetic material (SRDM) and assign to it magnetic susceptibility 146  $\chi_{SRDM} = -5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ emu/g/Oe}$ , that is a kind of broadly understood average between room temperature 147 magnitudes of  $\chi$  of capsules and other commonly met materials as: Si ( $\chi_{Si} \cong -1.2 \times 10^{-7} \text{ emu/g/Oe}$ ), GaAs 148  $(\chi_{GaAs} \cong -2.25 \times 10^{-7} \text{ emu/g/Oe})$  [42] or sapphire  $(\chi_{sapphire} \cong -3.5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ emu/g/Oe})$  [17]. 149



**Figure 1.** Comparison of time dependencies of the magnetic moment *m* of typical gelatine (grey symbols) and polycarbonate (magenta) capsules. All magnetic data are normalized to the standard 30 mg capsule and the equivalent scale of mass is given on the right Y-scale of the graph, established upon weights of the capsules taken just before inserting to and just after removing from the SQUID sample chamber. The green band in the background indicates the time the gelatine capsule spent in open air during a break in the measurements. The light-blue band at the beginning of the graph marks the typical time needed for the execution of a standard hysteresis curve.

158 2.1.1. Hygroscopicity of gelatin capsules – time domain studies

We decide to standardize the magnetic results to the mass of the typical polycarbonate capsule since they can be regarded as stable in ambient, in a stark contrast to the gelatin ones which are highly hygroscopic. As the result they are changing their mass in response to the surrounding humidity. The effect is particularly strong after insertion a typical GC to the SQUID chamber. In Figure 1 we compare time dependencies of m of both types of capsules. Indeed, the effects seen for the GC are paramount, its time dependence of m is very substantial. There are some basic features which need to be highlighted:

Within 20 hours spent in the dry residual helium atmosphere in the SQUID sample chamber the capsule lost nearly 10% of its magnetic response. This ties precisely with the equivalent loss of about 3 mg of its weight – recorded accordingly at the key points of this investigation (the numbers are given in the caption). The equivalent mass of the capsule is indicated on the right Y-scale.
 This magnitude of change of mass is 50% greater than the mass of the sample which is used for the validation of our C-CSH presented further in the report;

171 2. 2/3 of this change takes place within the first 3 hours. In other words the change of the signal 172 during the typical time needed for the measurement of a standard m(H) hysteresis curve corre-173 sponds to the full mas (that is to the total signal) of about 2 mg of SRDM;

Upon removing the sample from the SQUID for about 2 hours the capsule regains about 0.8 mg of
its weight, but when reinserted to the chamber the process restarts again and continues with a
similar pace. We assign this environmental changes to desorption and absorption of water;

4. The same test executed for a polycarbonate capsule indicated only a very weak gain in *m* (an
equivalent of less than 0.2 mg of its mass) seen within first 20 minutes of the test. This process
saturates swiftly and no *m* dependence on time is detected ever since, as expected for a polycarbonate material.

181

182 2.1.2. Temperature dependence

183

The temperature dependent studies of single capsules are summarized in Figure 2. Similarly to the Figure 1 we scale *m* of GC to that of PCC and both relate to the standard mass of 30 mg. Importantly, for this measurement the GC has been kept in the pumped SQUID chamber for nearly 5 hours to saturate somehow the dehydration of the gelatin. There are two major features of these results:

The temperature induced changes are relatively small down to about 50 K and relate to about
 0.2 mg of SRDM. This is an encouraging result, however the gelatin capsule had to be seasoned in
 the SQUID for about 5 hours before the *T*-scan commenced;

Below about 50 K strong paramagnetic contributions shoot in which corrupt the otherwise ideal
 diamagnetism of the capsules. The resulting change of *m* can be as strong as above 3 mg of SRDM
 for GCs and is usually twice smaller in PCCs. The only positive aspect of this feature is that it is
 fully reversible with *T*.



**Figure 2.** Temperature dependence of the magnetic moment *m* of single gelatine (grey) and polycarbonate (magenta) capsules. Magnetic data are normalized between themselves and related a standard mass of 30 mg. The magnitude of the paramagnetic shift of *m* at low temperatures is expressed as an equivalent "loss of mass" of the capsules,  $\Delta m$ . The capsules are harness-free mounted in a diameter-modified straw, as explained further in the text.

202

In the main section of this report we show that all this detrimental properties to precision magnetometry of miniscule specimens can be substantially, more than ten times reduced, that is it can be brought to the level corresponding to 0.2 mg of SRDM.

206

207 2.1.3. Capsules as containers for SQUID magnetometry (centering issues)

208

The last but not least factor that practically precludes the precision integral magnetometry using 209 capsules as housing carriers of minuscule samples of a very small *m* is the challenge of the adequate 210 centering of the whole sample holder (alignment) and related inability of the extraction of the credible 211 magnitudes of *m* of the scrutinized specimen. We remark first that there are no centering problem 212 when the investigated material fills the whole volume of the capsule, as exemplified by the first four 213 capsules in Figure 3. The magnetic moment of the sample is distributed evenly along the length of the 214 sample and the MultiVu centering routine will direct the user to the proper alignment, marked as the 215 black dashed line. Either, there are no big issues with the establishing of the accurate magnitude of *m* of 216 such massive amounts of material. The reference measurement of the empty capsule will provide the 217 correct magnitudes of the background signal. Alternatively, the studies can be carried out within the 218 automatic background subtraction (ABS) method frame. 219



Figure 3. Some examples of the use of small capsules (#5 in this example) for measurements of substances which 221 otherwise would be difficult to reliably and cleanly mounted in the SQUID sample chamber. Counting from the 222 223 left, the first four are fully loaded, so no alignment problem are expected. The magnetic "center of gravity", 224 marked by the dashed black line, coincides with that of the capsules. These capsules house common curry, cin-225 namon, turmeric, and red pepper powders. The fifth capsule houses a small, 2.1 mg, crystallite of SnTe, used later to validate the *in situ* compensation method put forward in this report. As indicated, the crystallite sitting at the 226 bottom of the capsule, is shifted by about 5 mm for the center of the capsule, causing serious experimental errors 227 228 due to alignment problems, discussed in this section.

229

The problems start mounting when a small specimen of a weak magnetic response occupies only 230 the bottom end of the capsule, as exemplified by the fifth capsule in Figure 3. Such an object will cause 231 only a small deviation to the dominant response of the capsule. Certainly, one can use a custom made 232 magnetic marker attached to the bottom of the capsule (Appendix A) to center the sample holder at this 233 location. There is, however, a limited accuracy, or reproducibility in placing the marker so the align-234 235 ment errors are unavoidable. We evaluate the misalignment errors by multiple fitting of the SQUID response function (SRF, Appendix B) to the typical scan of a standard capsule, each time setting a dif-236 ferent center position  $z_0$  for SRF. The resulting dependence of m on  $z_0$  is depicted in Figure 4 by pink 237 bullets. For convenience we express the magnitude of m by the corresponding weight. Obviously m238 drops sizably when we move away from the center of the capsule,  $z_0 = 2.0$ . More informative is the 239 derivative of this dependency, as it directly quantifies the magnitude of the misalignment errors. We 240 read that it is as high as 10% of the mass of the capsule or simply about 3 mg of the SRDM per one 241 242 millimeter of the misalignment, when one wants to extract the moment located at the vicinity of the 243 bottom of the capsule. Clearly, only strong magnetic materials can be accurately investigated in such a manner. 244 245



**Figure 4.** (Pink bullets) The position dependence of the magnetic moment calculated from a scan of a typical #5 capsule (30 mg of mass) expressed as the corresponding mass. The magnitude of this change defines the absolute value of the misalignment error with respect to the centre position (a black vertical line at  $z_0 = 2.0$ ). The purple solid line yields the derivative of the former, that is the magnitude of the uncertainty due to the misalignment. The sketch of a #5 capsule at the background brings a sense of the real scale. The orange oval mimics a small object of investigations residing at the bottom of the capsule at the position marked by a dashed red line.

253

## 254 2.2. Reduction of the diameter of the straws.

The clear drinking straws can be regarded as the main working horses of the commercial integral magnetometers due to their "stealthy" properties. Interestingly, this property is not related to their negligible weak magnetic properties. On the contrary. The magnetic susceptibility of the material of straw is quite high as for a diamagnetic substance:  $\chi_{straw} \cong 8.8 \times 10^{-7}$  emu/g/Oe. At least such number has been established at room temperature for a 5 mm long piece of a straw coming from the same batch of straws used in this study. This is some 60 - 70% more than that of the capsules and of SRDM.

Actually, the straws earned their reasonably good reputation [20,32] since they are sufficiently 261 homogeneous and long, so that during the scanning movement no appreciable changes of the magnetic 262 flux are sensed by the detection circuit of the SQUID. The same straws constitute therefore the basic 263 scaffolding within which the *in situ* compensation assembly is nested. However, the typical internal 264diameter of the straw is about 5.2 mm, so it exceeds the external diameter of the either type of capsules 265 considered for the use in the magnetometric effort. The capsules move freely along the whole length of 266 the unmodified straw. Since it is hard to design a magnetically stealthy harness for the stabilization of 267 their position in the straw, we present a method for adequate reduction of the diameter of the straw 268 which allows for precise, reproducible, and above all, harness-free firm fixing of capsules. Most con-269 veniently, the design we put forward withstands even very long measurement runs performed in the 270 oscillatory RSO mode without any noticeable change of its alignment with respect to the sensing coils, 271 so no changes to the everyday experimental routines are necessary. 272

The key factor allowing the required modification of the diameter of the straw is their thermoplasticity. The straws get plastic at around 80-100 °C and if only they are cooled in the adequate mold they preserve the shape imposed by that mold, at least until reheated back to be plastic again. Since the capsules have their external diameter smaller than the straws, we reduce the diameter of the straws by the following procedure:

- We select 4 straight straws which we clean thoroughly in ethanol. One of them is left aside;
- Next we incise the wall of the remaining 3 straws along almost their whole length. That is the cutting starts about 6-8 mm from one end and in one steady move is continued until the other end of the straw is reached. A sharp and clean scalpel blade should be used. The incision should be as smooth as possible, torn edges should be avoided they are likely sources of additional magnetic signals, which makes such a straw useless as the sample holder.;
- We now insert the first of these 3 cut straws into the uncut one. Obviously, despite being cut along their length, the cut straws preserve their original diameter. Therefore, to nest a cut straw into the uncut one the inserted straw has to curl a bit to reduce its external diameter to the internal diameter of the uncut one. We press the straw as far as it goes. It stops where the incision begins;
- We continue the process with the second straw. This one has to curl more to nest into the reduced clearance of the already joined first two straws;
- The third inserted straw has to curl even more, so a noticeable resistance can be felt. One can skip
   this point if serious problems occur. Actually, this is the second straw which we aim for. The
   reduction of its diameter is sufficient to firmly hold the #5 PCC capsule. All 4 (3) combined
   straws should be now heated to about 80 °C. Any laboratory heater will do. At this temperature
   the material softens and the straws are re-formed to their new diameter set by the outer neighbor.
   In this sense the external uncut straw serves as a mold to the whole stack. The whole assembly
   ready for baking is depicted in Figure 5;
- We typically allow the straws to stay there for about half an hour after which we let them to naturally cool down to room temperature. After the cool down the straws can be separated. 3 (2) straws with new diameters has been formed. We mark them as S0 (the uncut one) and consecutively, S1, S2, and S3. We confirm upon multiple tests that neither the smooth incision nor the baking and shape modifications introduce any noticeable modification to the magnetic homogeneity of the straws.



305

Figure 5. A complete set of 3 cut straws (S1, S2 and S3) inserted into the original straw S0. To nest in S0 the next straws have to progressively reduce their diameter by adequate curling.

The thickness of the wall of the straw is about 0.15 mm. At the first glance this may indicate that the straw S1 has it internal diameter reduced by 0.3 mm, the S2 by 0.6 mm, and so on. Actually, the clearance of the straw S1 is smaller due to the overlap of the walls along the incision. Therefore, it clearance is reduced by three thicknesses of the wall, that is by 0.45 mm, to about 4.8 mm. Straw S2 has to curl even stronger in S1, so its clearance is further reduced to about 4.3 mm. We note that this is already less than the external diameter of the PCC #5 and straws S2 are found to be the best suitable to house these capsules.

The main usefulness of the modification described above stems from the existence of the incision 316 along the nearly whole length of such straws. This permits the insertion of an object(s) larger than the 317 current clearance of the straw. The walls of the cut straw step apart in the vicinity of the object(s) to 318 locally increase the clearance. Effectively a bulge is formed, as exemplified in Figure 6. Within the bulge 319 the walls of the straw press against the object, say a capsule (but it can be a rectangular sample of other 320 321 crystalline material), and the friction between the walls and the objects holds the object firmly in place. The grip is so sufficient that the authors have never experienced any shift of the position of a #5 capsule 322 in S2 straw. Even being loaded up to 180 mg. In fact the measurement of the empty capsules presented 323 in Figures 1 and 2 were performed having the investigated capsules clamped by the expanding walls of 324 a S1 straw. The same straw accommodates #4 capsules, only the bulge gets larger. Straw S1 can house 325 any capsule from #4 to #1. The latter has the external diameter of about 6.9 mm, however in this case we 326 327 suggest to use a second straw S1 wrapped around the first one to increase the clamping grip.



## 328

Figure 6. An illustration of a bulge formed on a S2 straw with its diameter reduced below the external diameter of a capsule #5 (for the sake of illustration a white coloured one is used). The S2 straw bulges easily due to the incision running along its length. The same incision allows to effortlessly adjust the position of the capsule, while the clamping force of the walls of the straw assures its alignment even during the reciprocal space option oscillatory movement in the sample chamber.

We summarize this part by underlying that the single incision along the nearly entire length of the straw allows:

- A permanent reduction of its diameter (clearance) during adequate heat treatment;
- Practically unrestricted movement along the straw of objects with effective diameter exceeding the
   modified diameter of the straw;
- Provides a position-stabilizing grip sufficient to withstand acceleration and deceleration forces
   during the RSO measurements.
- 341
- 342 2.3. Magnetic measurements
- 343

All measurements in the report have been taken using two commercial MPMS-XL magnetometers operating to a maximum field of  $H_{max}$  = 50 and 70 kOe; located at the Institute of Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences in Warsaw. Therefore most of the technical remarks are strictly applicable to these units. However, the consideration presented below are so general that after the required adaptive 348 modifications can be employed to sizably improve the credibility of the research studies in other types

of magnetometers, such as the SX700 of Cryogenic Ltd [43] and the ever so more popular SQUID-VSM

350 MPMS3 of Quantum Design.

One of the main advantages of the *in situ* compensation method described here is that it is fully 351 compatible with the RSO measurement mode, embedded in the MPMS-XL systems. The method has 352 been derived to work with it, tested and validated using this improved oscillatory (mechanical) 353 method of scanning. It allows to collect more scans in a given unit of time, which after averaging pro-354 cedure provide higher sensitivity and a reasonable sense of the experimental error. All the data pre-355 sented here are collected by setting the scan length to 4 cm and RSO frequency to 1 Hz. 6 measure-356 ments each consisting of 4 oscillations (24 scans all together to be averaged) are used to define the 357 magnitude of each single experimental point. Further consideration on these setting can be found in 358 Appendix C. Both the linear and iterative regression modes of the fitting routine (extraction method of 359 the magnitude of the magnetic moment m) are used depending on the specific requirements. We use 360 the sweep approach for the temperature *T* dependent studies. 361

362

# 363 **3. The capsule compensation sample holder**

The step-by-step assembly of the capsule compensated sample holder (C-CSH) is described here. Importantly, the description will provide a very good sense of the principle which makes the C-CSH so effective, robust and easy to work with. We detail the process for the #5 polycarbonate capsules.

# 367 3.1. Genaral considerations

The construction process should be preceded by a careful selection of the capsules. Only capsules 368 with very similar masses should be used. PCCs are provided by QD in two plastic bags containing 369 separately the base parts (the containers) and the caps. This is an initial advantage since they exhibit a 370 371 sizable mass distribution. The masses of the container parts range from 18 to 25 mg, the masses of caps range between 12 to 16 mg. So, the distribution of masses of randomly assembled capsules may be 372 indeed substantial. It therefore pays to spend the time on weighting of at least 30 containers and caps 373 and arrange at least 15 capsules with a least possible spread of weights. We recommend to punch a tiny 374 hole on the rounded part of the cap of each capsule. We use a needle from an insulin injection syringe. 375 The hole will increase the effectiveness of the air evacuation from the interior of the capsules during 376 purging of the sample chamber of the magnetometer. Without this hole a tightly fitted cap can cause 377 the capsule to slide-open when the drop of the pressure inside the capsule is much slower than in the 378 sample chamber. 379

Having the weights organized, the user can start loading the capsules form any end to the already prepared #2 straw, one after another, or the loading can be done as in the example detailed below. The already assembled train of 15 PCC capsules is depicted in Figure 7. The figure documents also our selection effort to prepare the set of several capsules of nearly identical weights.



**Figure 7. (bottom part)** Fully assembled capsule compensated sample holder (C-CSH) made from 15 #5 polycarbonate capsules tightly combined in the straw to make the self-compensated train. The arrow marks the position in which a small object will reside during the measurements. (above) The markings on the plastic boxes located just above the C-CSH state the weight of each capsule used to assembly the train. Other boxes at the upper half of the figure are the leftovers from the capsule selection process.

### 391 3.2. The assembly of C-CSH and the principle of its effectivness

392

We start the assembly from placing the central capsule, the one in which the specimen will be lo-393 cated, at the center of the straw selected to carry the whole ensemble, as presented in Figure 8 (a). For 394 the sake of presentation we illustrate the assembly process using white-colored #5 gelatin capsules. The 395 results of the SQUID measurements presented on the right side of Figure 8 are taken for the transparent 396 PCCs discussed in this study, as presented in Figure 7. All these measurements are performed at room 397 temperature and at H = 10 kOe. The corresponding scan (the "Long Avg. Scaled Response", see Ap-398 pendix B) registered by the SQUID is marked in Figure 8 (b) as grey symbols. This scan is our reference 399 point. It exhibits the typical shape, however distorted noticeably from the ideal point object response 400 because of the extended nature of the capsule with its length of about 11 mm. We calculate the corre-401 sponding magnetic moment using the standard point object SQUID response function (SRF). The 402 technical aspects of this process are detailed in Appendix B. We consider two cases. First, with the 403 center of the scan window fixed at 2.0 cm, that at the center of the capsule. This result is marked by 404 black line in Figure 8 (b). Second, with the center of SRF fixed at 2.5 cm - corresponding to the bottom 405 of the capsule. The result of this fitting is marked by red line in Figure 8 (b). The former case represents 406 the capsule completely filled with the researched material, the latter one mimics the situation when the 407 capsule contains only a miniscule amount of the investigated material which resides on its bottom. The 408 obtained magnitudes of m are depicted in Figure 8 (d) by gray-filled circles which the edge color 409 410 (black/red) corresponding to the position at which we fix the center of the SRF.



411 **Figure 8.** (a) The illustration of the step-by-step assembly (subpanels 1 - 6) of an *in situ* capsule compensa-412 tional sample holder from capsules of size 5 and an S2 straw, that is a straw with its diameter reduced below 413 the external diameter of the capsules. White-colored #5 gelatin capsules are used for increased visibility only. Results presented in the rest of the panels are obtained for transparent polycrystalline capsules, as presented 414 in Figure 7. (b - c) SQUID scans recorded for a single central capsule (grey symbols) and 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 6th 415 stages of the assembly [symbols of matching colors to the numerical markers in panel (a)]. The black vertical 416 line represents the position of the midpoint of the capsule in the scanning window of SQUID magnetometer, 417 418 the red dashed one the position of the bottom of the capsule. The black and red wavy curves represent the 419 SQUID response function (SRF) centered at these two locations. In panel (b) the fitting is done to the data set corresponding to a single capsule, in panel (c) to the 6<sup>th</sup> stage of the assembly (red symbols). The fitting ap-420 proach is described in Appendix B. (d) Results of the fits to the scans collected in every stage of the assembly 421 (magnetic moment m). The fill color of each circle corresponds to the assembly stage, whereas the edge color 422 423 (black or red) correspond to color marking of the alignment of the SRF: at the center of the central capsule or at its bottom, respectively. (e) The blown up part of panel (d) for increased resolution near zero for the last 424 425 stages. The added right Y-scale expresses the degree of the compensation in an equivalent mass of the central capsule of a mass of 30 mg. 426

The assembly continues by addition of one capsule on both sides of the central capsule, as shown 428 in Figure 8 (a), case "1". The magnetometer registers this change. The form of the scan corresponding to 429 this configuration [cyan symbol in Figure 8 (b)] assumes a trapezoidal form and the overall amplitude 430 is visible reduced. This is immediately reflected in the calculated magnetic moments corresponding to 431 this configuration [cyan filled circles in Figure 8 (d)]. The main message appearing here is that the de-432 tection unit of the magnetometer reports now a twice smaller magnetic moment despite having three 433 times more material in the chamber. The improvement continues after placing next neighbors on each 434 side, Figure 8 (a), case "2", and magenta filled circles in Figure 8 (d). However, an interesting thing 435 happens now: the scan inverts [magenta symbols in Figure 8 (b)], so the train of five diamagnetic cap-436 sules is "seen" as a blurred "paramagnetic" object. 437

438 A far more substantial change is observed when there are four compensating capsules on each side of the central one, Figure 8 (a), case "4", that is when the total length of the train of capsules (about 439 10 cm) guarantees that neither end of the train gets very close to the pick-up coils during scanning. This 440 makes our assembly semi-infinitely long, fulfilling the first prerequisite condition of the efficient in situ 441 compensation. Indeed, the voltage registered during scanning is strongly reduced [orange symbols in 442 Figure 8 (b)], particularly in comparison to the initial case of the single capsule. The corresponding 443 magnetic moments are marked as orange filled circles in Figure 8 (d). They are now very close to zero, 444 but not strictly there. Firstly, the train is not really very long (yet), secondly it is not uniform due to its 445 "granular" form. The capsules are not uniform themselves, they are thicker at the cap-containing half 446 and are rounded at both ends. The resulting nonuniform mass distribution along the length of the train 447 of capsules will always have its mark on the final form of the scan. It will be shown that in fact appre-448 ciable small. 449

We continue the assembly by adding two more capsules to each side. Actually, only five com-450 pensating gelatin #4 capsules can be added on each side of the central one. Capsules #4 are so long that 451 452 11 of them fill nearly entirely the whole length of the straw. In the case of PCC capsules #5 one can go up to 7 capsules on each side to achieve additional positional stability with respect to the straw, and so 453 to the SQUID pick-up coils – fulfilling yet another prerequisite condition for achieving very high sen-454 sitivity and reproducibility. The fully assembled train of 15 PCC capsules is depicted at the bottom of 455 Figure 7, together with the documentation of the selection effort to prepare the set of several capsules 456 of nearly identical weights. The shape of the scan obtained for the fully assembled train is marked by 457 red bullets in Figure 8 (c). It certainly is very "bumpy" on its own scale, but its magnitude is truly small 458 459 comparable to the response of a single capsule. The SRF fitted with its centering forced to our two points of interest (black and red solid curves) yields magnetic moments indicated by black and red 460 points in Figure 8 (d), and in its blown up version, Figure 8 (e). By comparing these results with the 461 starting ones for the single capsule we obtain that magnetic signature of the *in situ* compensated cap-462 sule dropped about 20 times (nearly 95% of compensation) when one seeks the response at the location 463 corresponding to the center of the capsule and about 30 times (nearly 97% of compensation) when the 464 response at the location of the bottom of the capsule counts most. To visualize the effectiveness of the *in* 465 situ compensation the magnetic moment is again expressed in units of mass, the right scale of the graph 466 in Figure 8 (e). These numbers read: about 1.5 and 1 mg, respectively. This fact alone indicates that 467 magnetic moments of a SRDM can be established in C-CSH with an absolute accuracy of 10 to 20% when 468 the mass of the investigated specimen ranges between 10 and 5 mg, respectively. It is shown later that 469 one gets easily much better when this residual contribution from the compensated capsules is taken 470 into account. 471

- 472
- 473

474 3.3. Examples of the improvement

475 By extending the "length of the capsule" in such harness-free manner the capsule(s) become "stealthy".

But the improvement brought about by the *in situ* compensation is not only related to the fact that the

effective mass of the capsule which houses the specimen is reduced so sizably. In fact the gain is multiple.

At first we note that the concept of *in situ* compensation makes the outcome of the experiment much less dependent on the misalignment error, the so much important and detrimental factor when the background measurement has to be performed and subtracted. We illustrate the improvement by performing similar *z*<sub>0</sub>-dependent fitting as summarized previously in Figure 4. However, this time the 483 SRF is fitted to the to the exemplary SQUID scan of the fully assembled empty C-CSH, red symbols in

Figure 8 (c). The results are depicted in Figure 9, clearly indicating that misalignment errors dropped

10 to 15 times with respect to the case of a single uncompensated capsule, and that a substantial misa-

lignment of the about 1 mm should not introduce a larger experimental uncertainty than 0.1 mg, or 1%

487 during investigation of 10 mg of SRDM.



488

**Figure 9.** (Brown bullets) The position dependence of the magnetic moment calculated from a scan of a fully *in situ* compensated train of #5 polycarbonate capsules (sketched to scale at the background) forming the capsule compensation sample holder (C-CSH) assembly. The results are related to the standard mass of a single capsule (30 mg, as in Figure 4). The magnitude of this change defines the absolute value of the misalignment error with respect to the centre position (a black vertical line at z = 2.0). The red solid line yields the derivative of the former, that is the magnitude of the uncertainty due to the misalignment. The orange oval mimics a small object of investigations residing at the bottom of the central capsule at the position marked by a dash red line.

496

Actually, anyone who is interested in very precise magnetometry of rather large quantities of 497 shapeless materials, such as presented previously in Figure 3, that is when capsule is filled completely, 498 can stop here. Indeed, the #5 capsule with its typical volume of about 0.130 ml can accommodate about 499 100 – 150 mg of lightweight material (or powder), an amount that about 100 times exceeds the effective 500 mass of the in situ compensated capsule. This quarantines at least 1% absolute accuracy without any 501 background subtraction effort. However, it has to be immediately reminded here that any number 502 evaluated in such conditions has to be corrected for the extended shape of the specimen [19,44]. The 503 correction factor for an 11 mm long object is about 0.85 [45], although the exact value is yet to be estab-504 lished. Therefore, the best magnitude of *m*, and so of the magnetization, can be obtained in this situa-505 tion after dividing of the output of the magnetometer (these number are computed in the point dipole 506 approximation) by 0.85. 507

Certainly, the sizably increased accuracy of the *in situ* compensation is not the only benefit of the 508 method. The self-compensation of the capsules aligned in a train indicates also that other disturbing 509 features of the capsules presented in section 2.1 are eradicated in a similar proportion. This is firstly 510 indicated in the Figure 10, where we present the full T-dependence of m measured for two C-CSHs 511 assembled form PCC #5 and GC #4. These results have to be related to the measurements of single 512 capsules, presented in Figure 2. The most prominent improvement seen after assembling the capsules 513 in trains is a perfect elimination of the strong low-temperature paramagnetic component. Interestingly, 514 in both cases a small T-dependence is seen between 100 and 300 K, corresponding to about 0.2 mg of 515

the SRDM. This value sets the lower limit on the precision of the method when one wants to work without subtraction of the background (empty C-CSH).



518

**Figure 10.** Temperature dependence of the magnetic moment *m* sensed at the location of the bottom of the central capsule for two exemplary capsule compensational sample holders assembled from: gelatine (grey) and polycarbonate (magenta) capsules. Magnetic data are normalized between themselves and related to a standard mass of a 30 mg capsule. Please, note the complete lack of the strong low temperature upturn of *m*, the so characteristic feature for single capsules (Figure 2).

524

All the test measurements are performed in H = 10 kOe, indicating that the improvement has oc-525 curred in all three domains: time, temperature and H. Nevertheless, it is worth to present a direct 526 measurement in *H*. In Figure 11 the *H* dependence of the signal of single capsule is compared with that 527 of a train of 14 PCC capsules, the C-CSH. In this particular case the improvement is 45-fold, that is the 528 signal of the single PCC drops by so many times. Importantly, all the m(H) isotherms for C-CSH show a 529 very weak T-dependence, and particularly none at the lowest temperatures. Both m(H) collected at 530 1.8 and 5 K go one on top of another. This confirms the results presented in the previous Figure 10 and 531 constitute the base for the low-*T* analysis of a paramagnetic contribution in turmeric powder, presented 532 later in the text. 533

534



**Figure 11.** An example of the magnetic field *H* dependence of magnetic moment *m* for a single polycrystalline capsule (grey circles) and of the *in situ* compensated case of a train of nearly identical capsules loaded and stabilised in a S2 straw having its diameter reduced below the external diameter of the capsules (navy, green and red symbols). The arrow indicates the reduction of the magnetic signal of the capsule due to the compensation effect of the neighbouring capsules.

#### 541 4. Discussion: validation and an example of true potential

In the previous section we introduced the whole concept of *in situ* magnetic compensation of the signal of common capsules which are allow to investigate various shapeless specimens in commercial SQUID magnetometers. In the following section we will validate to method developed here to highlight its quite remarkable experimental potential.

In order to validate the method we investigate a very small fragment of a crystalline material 546 which is meant to mimic other specimens of a miniscule amount. An irregular 2.1 mg crystallite of SnTe 547 is chosen. SnTe is a narrow gap semiconductor, the sole representative of the so called topological 548 crystalline isolators [46]. The investigated material was grown using self-selecting vapour growth 549 method [47]. At room temperature its magnetic susceptibility is about  $\chi_{SnTe} = -4.6 \times 10^{-7}$  emu/g/Oe (what 550 ties well with our arbitrary taken magnitude of  $\chi$  of SRDM), but most importantly for the validation 551 tests  $\chi_{SnTe}$  shows a very strong temperature dependence. We benchmark  $\chi_{SnTe}(T)$  first. To this end we 552 measure a 58 mg large piece of the material, indicated in Figure 12 by the grey arrow. For this meas-553 urement this large crystallite is fixed inside S3 narrowed straw. One of its transverse dimensions ex-554 ceeds sufficiently the internal diameter of this straw so the bulged straw provides the necessary grip to 555 stabilize its location within the straw. The results are indicated as dark gray bullets in Figure 13. 556 557



**Figure 12.** Selection of SnTe crystallites, leftovers from a few cm<sup>3</sup> large single crystal [46]. The arrows indicate two pieces used in this study: the larger for benchmarking of the temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility, the smaller for the validation of the *in situ* compensation method.

Next we select the main test material, a small 2.1 mg SnTe crumb, indicated by the orange oval in 562 Figure 12. Firstly, we confirm that its magnetic susceptibility is identical to that of its larger neighbor. 563 To establish accurately the absolute magnitudes of  $\chi(T)$  of this tiny crystallite we glue it to one of our 564 standard Si sample holders. That is possible since it has two flat walls. We use an equivalently small 565 droplet of strongly diluted GE-varnish [31]. We evaluate separately that at the same field of 10 kOe and 566 in the whole T-range the magnetic contribution of the solution used by us to fix the sample stays well 567 below the error bars of the results obtained for the 2.1 mg sample, so it is disregarded in further data 568 reduction. The results are marked by orange symbols in Figure 13, confirming the expected magnetic 569 characteristics of the test piece. 570

The final two measurements are performed having this small test SnTe piece loaded: (i) into a single PCC capsule, and (ii) into the central capsule of C-CSH. In both cases we measure also the reference *T*-dependencies of the (i) empty capsule and (ii) empty C-CSH, which are subtracted accordingly. For both measurements the sample holder is centered on the bottom of the sample-housing capsule using the method outlined in Appendix A. All four measurements are done according to the same sequence. The results of the measurements performed in a single PCC are marked as open gray circles in Figure 13. They differ markedly from those two established previously. Obviously the

<sup>561</sup> 

alignment error takes its toll here. We evaluated this error in section 2.1.3 to be around 3 mg/mm for a 578 standard diamagnetic material at the position corresponding to the bottom of the housing capsule (See 579 Figure 4). So, taking 0.5 mm as a reasonable estimation of the typical misalignment one should expect 580 about 1.5 mg uncertainty, which is about 70% of the mass of the test sample. Indeed, we find that the 581 absolute values of  $\chi_{SnTe}$  established in this approach differ by a factor of 2 at the room temperature, 582 what conforms favorably to our crude estimation. On the other hand, the main part of the temperature 583 dependence is captured quite reasonably, at least down to about 50 K, below the weak paramagnetism 584 of the capsule clearly distorts the real of  $\chi(T)$  of the material. 585





**Figure 13.** Temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility  $\chi$  of SnTe established in this study by various method. The background dark grey symbols mark the  $\chi$ -benchmarking measurement of a large 58 mg crystal. Open gray symbols indicate the measurements of 2.1 mg crystallite in a single polycarbonate capsule #5. The orange symbols indicate the results obtained for the same minute crystallite mounted on a magnetically clean and uniform Si stick. The brown symbols indicate the results of the final method-validation test obtained when this small crystallite was mounted in the capsule compensated sample holder (C-CSH) elaborated in this study.

594

A way different outcome is obtained when this tiny crystallite is investigated in the C-CSH. Im-595 portantly, as well as in the first case, the test sample is located at the bottom of a similar housing cap-596 sule, but the several-dozen times smaller sensitivity to misalignment makes the results obtained in 597 C-CSH practically insensitive to this issue. The expected uncertainty band in this case is only 0.1 598 mg/mm, which for 0.5 mm inaccuracy yields the uncertainty as low as 2.5% of the mass of the test 599 crystallite. This final step of the validation of the *in situ* compensation method is marked in Figure 13 600 using brown symbols. It has to be admitted that a precise one-to-one correspondence has been 601 achieved, which underlines very strongly the practical power of the *in situ* compensation and its po-602 603 tential. It shows that it can work very effectively in a broad range of environmental parameters,

604 providing very accurately magnitudes of magnetic moments of even minute specimens of substances605 exhibiting very weakly magnetic signatures.

One very important technical note has to be placed here, which highlights another practical ad-606 vantage of the routine usage of the *in situ* compensation outlined in this report. We strongly underline 607 that the compensation for the unbalanced signal of the empty C-CSH has been done by a simple sub-608 traction of the results reported by the magnetometer in the respective \*.dat files. The only constrictions 609 to be enforced on the system are: (i) to perform the T-dependence with the "Auto Tracking" option set 610 on, and (ii) to use the "linear regression" algorithm. Apart, all the results reported here are obtained 611 612 using the RSO method of measurements and the "Sweep" approach mode during the measurements in temperature domain. No Automatic Background Subtraction mode is necessary, neither is a cumber-613 some "scan-by-scan" subtraction of the reference measurements [10]. Any approach like the latter calls 614 for excessive numerical effort and is likely causing delays in data reduction. In the method put forward 615 here it is sufficient to operate only on the basic output \*.dat files yielded by the magnetometer. In the 616 authors view this very convenient property is the result of the perfectly even symmetry of the SRF and 617 the unprecedented insensitivity to small alignment errors. The first fitting constriction channels least 618 mean square (LMS) routines, in their fitting effort, onto specific even-parity components in the signal 619 under processing. That is why it is impossible to correctly extract the magnitude of a weak moment 620 located at the bottom of a single capsule, as considered in Section 2.1.3. Colloquially speaking there is 621 still too much of even-parity signal of the capsule itself at its bottom that this contribution takes over of 622 the searched weak source of signal. On the contrary, as shown in Figure 8 (c), the response of the same 623 capsule abutted by other similar capsules is highly complicated, without any dominant parity. There-624 625 fore the same weak signal much effectively breaks out and is quite correctly evaluated by LMS procedures, as exemplified in the following example. 626

In order to illustrate why the approach described above yields so surprisingly accurate magni-627 tudes of very weak magnetic moments we scrutinize the exact form of the scans recorded during these 628 two measurements: the T-dependencies of the empty C-CSH and of the same C-CSH with the 2.1 mg 629 test piece of SnTe loaded to the central capsule. As the sole representatives we take the very first points 630 of these two measurements. For both measurements the C-CSH assembly was centered once, i.e. before 631 632 the whole validation test, using the method described in Appendix A. There is generally no need for second centering (correction) after inserting(removing) the sample into(from) the central capsule and 633 reassembling the C-CSH. Just the basic care exerted during these processes allows the reconstruction of 634 635 the identical enough C-CSH, so that a repeated centering is not needed. On the other hand, the centering process of its own does not provide more accuracy than the careful reassembly of the C-CSH. 636 Our experience gained along the development process substantiated by the analysis of the misalign-637 ment errors (Figure 9) says that 0.5 mm reproducibility is probably the best possible results and that it 638 is sufficient. 639



Figure 14. Exemplary scans (the Long Averaged Scaled Response voltages) representing the first experi-641 mental point of the temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility of a 2.1 mg SnTe crystallite depicted 642 643 by brown symbols in Figure 13. Light grey open symbols in (a) and (b) mark the scan of the empty capsule compensational sample holder (C-CSH). Dark grey symbols mark the scan obtained with the 2.1 mg SnTe 644 piece installed in the central capsule of the C-CSH. The orange symbols in (a) mark the difference between 645 scans presented in (c) and (b). The solid lines in matching colors in all three panels represent least mean 646 square fit of the SQUID response function (defined in Appendix B) to the experimental points. The corre-647 sponding magnetic moments *m* are given in the labels. 648

In Figure 14 (a) open light grey circles and dark grey bullets mark the shape of the response of the 649 empty C-CSH and with 2.1 mg test crystallite loaded to the central capsule, respectively. Clearly, none 650 of the scans resembles the typical shape of the SRF. The orange bullets represent the calculated 651 point-by-point difference between these two scans yielding the sole contribution brought about by the 652 insertion of the test sample. Certainly this shape exhibits a very clear correspondence to the signal ex-653 pected for a diamagnetic substance and the solid red line marks the fit of the SRF centered at the center 654 of the scan window, i.e. where the whole assembly was centered. The match is exceptionally good and 655 the z-shift of about 1 mm indicates the inaccuracy in alignments of the C-CSH assembly for these two 656 measurements. The fit yields  $m_{SnTe} = -9.64$  µemu. The corresponding magnitude of the magnetic sus-657 ceptibility is marked by a yellow cross in Figure 13. This result represents the ABS methods at its best. 658

Now we separately extract the magnetic moments from each of the two experimental scans given 659 in Figure 14 (a). They are plotted in Figures 14 (b) and (c) together with the corresponding fits, marked 660 as solid lines of matching colors. In the first case, Figure 14 (b), the fit yields the residual moment of the 661 empty C-CSH  $m_{C-CSH} = +1.4 \mu \text{emu}$ . In the second case, Figure 14 (c), after insertion of the sample the fit 662 yields  $m_5 = -8.25 \mu$ emu. Obviously, at the first glance, neither of the two fits can be classified as satis-663 factory. The fitted functions cut somewhat freely across the experimental points, taking rather little 664 notice on the pattern formed by the experimental points. And actually it is OK, since the fitting routine 665 seeks the even-parity component, the SRF, and only these "frequencies" which correspond to  $z_0 = 2$ . It is 666 therefore no surprise that the difference of the magnitudes of *m* returned by the fittings yields the cor-667 rect magnitude of  $m_{SnTe} = m_S - m_{C-CSH} = -9.65 \mu emu$ , a mere 0.1% different value that established in Fig-668 ure 14 (a). 669

Finally, we exemplify the usefulness of the *in situ* compensation method in biological and medical 670 studies by performing measurements of common turmeric powder. We underline that the investigated 671 sample has not been prepared in any standard manner, e.g. Association of Analytical Chemists stand-672 ard methods, specific for biological substances. The powder originates from a commercial herbs retailer 673 [48]. 2.9 mg of the powder is loaded to the #5 PCC and measured in C-CSH. This amount of the mate-674 rial forms about 1.5 mm thin layer occupying the bottom of the capsule, however, some of the powder 675 spreads all over the capsule. As it is shown in Figure 3, a nearly fully loaded #5 PCC accommodates 676 about 70 – 80 mg of fresh turmeric powder. We do not focus on absolute magnitudes of m in this 677

678 measurement. Mainly because after loading to the SQUID sample chamber a weak drift in the magnetic 679 signal is observed. Similarly to the gelatin, water evaporates form the fresh turmeric powder in the dry 680 environment of the SQUID sample chamber, so for fully quantitative studies the proper preparation of 681 such a material is mandatory. In this measurement we aim at properties at the low temperatures end. 682 All the data presented below are corrected for the residual weak signal of the empty C-CSH.

We start from room temperature m(H) presented as orange symbols in Figure 15 (b). The negative 683 slope confirms the diamagnetic character of turmeric, though a small near zero feature indicated that a 684 small amount of ferromagnetic (FM) components is present. This may well be a contamination due to 685 preparation of the spice at its place of origin. From the slope of this m(H) we evaluate the diamagnetic 686 susceptibility as  $\chi_{turmeric} \cong -3.3 \times 10^{-7}$  emu/g/Oe. The results of the *T*-dependence of *m* presented in Figure 687 15 (a) indicate that turmeric turns from a diamagnetic substance into the paramagnetic (PM) one at low 688 temperatures. To quantify this PM component we measure m(H) at two very low temperatures: 1.8 and 689 5 K, shown in Figure 15 (b). Clearly, the two dependencies have a Brillouin-like character at high 690 magnetic fields, with a noticeable FM-like deviations around H = 0, similar to that at 300 K. 691 692



**Figure 15.** Results of the magnetic studies of 2.9 mg of common turmeric powder performed in capsule compensated sample holder (C-CSH). (a) Temperature dependence of magnetic moment *m* measured at external magnetic field H = 10 kOe. (b) m(H) at 300, 5, and 1.8 K. The brown dashed line yields the magnitude of diamagnetic susceptibility of the sample  $\chi_{turmeric} \cong -3.3 \times 10^{-7}$  emu/g/Oe. The magenta area and bullets mark the difference between *m*(*H*) at 2 and 5 K. The solid purple line indicates difference between Brillouin functions calculated for S = 2 (Equation A2 in Appendix D). The matching factor between the theory and experiment,  $N_S = 5.3(1) \times 10^{15}$ , yields the number of paramagnetic S = 2 spins in the sample.

In order to accurately separate the PM signal in our turmeric sample we invoke the fact that in 700 most cases it is only the PM component that is responsible for changes of m(H) at low temperatures, a 701 condition met in many magnetically composite systems in which the technique presented below 702 worked with a very high precision [3,49-51]. The diamagnetism should not depend on T, so should 703 most of the FM contaminations, as latter are typically characterized by a very high spin coupling tem-704 705 peratures. Indeed, the m(T) dependence does not indicate any magnetic phase transition below 300 K. 706 In such circumstances the calculated *difference* between the experimental points obtained at these two low temperatures should only reflect the change in the PM component – all other contributions get 707 canceled. The magenta filled area and the corresponding symbols in Figure 15 (b) represent this dif-708 ference. The required information on the spin state is obtained from fitting of this difference by the 709 theoretical formulae describing the difference of the two Brillouin functions taken at 1.8 and 5 K. Its 710 particular form and relevant technical details are given in Appendix D. The best fit is obtained for 711

712  $N_s = 5.3(1) \times 10^{15}$  of S = 2 spins in the sample, what indicates their mass concentration  $x_s \cong 1.8 \times 10^{19}$  per gram of this particular powder. The other spin values of S = 3/2 and 5/2 yielded definitely worse fits. 713 S = 2 indicates Fe<sup>4+</sup> ions, as this transition metal dominates by far the other transition metals in turmeric 714 [52]. This analysis quantifies the dominant contribution, it does not preclude a presence of other un-715 paired spins in the material. Commercial magnetometers are volume probes and are not element spe-716 cific, so they are limited in this respect. However, although this result cannot be regarded as a standard 717 for the turmeric powder, it is only the employment of the C-CSH which makes such as analysis guan-718 titative. It has to be reminded that, as shown in Figure 2, the capsules themselves do exhibit PM prop-719 720 erties, and this PM of capsules would mar the results of the analysis presented above. On the contrary, the self-compensation of the magnetic properties of capsules in the C-CSH assembly eradicates this 721 detrimental property, as documented for both kinds of capsules in Figure 10 in T-domain and in Figure 722 11 in H-domain. 723

When the magnetic fields are concerned, it has to be added, that the "stealthy" properties of 724 C-CSH lead also much smaller errors of *m* caused by the trapped field of the superconducting magnet 725 [19,20,53–55]. They are also reduced 20 to 30 times, from a level of about  $+4 \times 10^{-7}$  emu when the 726 727 specimen is housed in a single capsule to the magnetometer noise level of 10<sup>-8</sup> emu in C-CSH. Note, that the shift of *m* due to the presence of uncompensated capsule on the return from a trip to high pos-728 itive fields causes a shift of *m* towards positive values and it will be negative on the trip back from high 729 negative fields, adding a FM-like hystereses feature to the results of considerably high height of about 730  $8 \times 10^{-7}$  emu, an important value to reckon with in precise magnetometry of weak signals. 731

# 732 **5. Conclusions**

733 The construction principle, assembly method, validation measurement and example of employment of the *in situ* compensation concept applied to commonly used capsules in investigations of small 734 and irregular shape fragments, powders and other specimens which would generally require compli-735 cated mounting in SQUID magnetometers have been presented. It has been experimentally evidenced 736 that with incorporation of only the basic components, familiar and ubiquitously present in every 737 magnetometry lab: common plastic straws and capsules (most preferably the polycarbonate ones) an 738 assembly can be completed in virtually one afternoon, which allows to reduce the magnetic signatures 739 740 of a specimen-housing capsule at least 20 times in all: time, temperature and magnetic field domains. In practical terms the reduction is equivalent to the reduction of the mass of the capsule to no more than 741 1.5 mg, sometimes even twice better. This permits investigation of larger amounts of materials, say 742 between 50 and 150 mg, without any need for background signal subtraction – the procedure which is 743 particularly prone to a large experimental inaccuracy caused by a non-ideal alignment of the sample 744 745 holder. However, the effort exerted in preparation of the capsule compensated sample holder pays particularly strongly when only minute amounts of material are available for magnetometry. Such 746 747 specimens occupy only the bottom part of the housing capsule and the background subtraction error due to misalignment can be comparable to the mass of the specimen, making the magnetometry 748 semi-qualitative, at the best. In C-CSH the magnetic signal at the location of the bottom of the housing 749 capsule can be readily reduced even 30 times, with alignment errors not exceeding 0.1 mg of an 750 equivalent mass of a common diamagnetic material. This opens wide a new experimental window of 751 truly precision magnetometry of minuscule specimens of masses ranging down to single mg. This un-752 precedented property has been validated by demonstration of the ability to obtain accurate absolute 753 754 values of the temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility of a tiny, a mere 2.1 mg, crystallite 755 of diamagnetic SnTe.

756 A full applicability of the C-CSH concept to small amounts of powdered samples has been presented by investigation of a similarly minute sample of common turmeric powder. Both the magnitude 757 of the diamagnetism of the substance and of the paramagnetic contribution due to iron ions has been 758 readily obtained from magnetic field dependencies measured at room temperature and at liquid he-759 lium temperatures, respectively. All the measurements are obtained in one experimental session, 760 without remounting the specimen to different (specialized) sample holders. Our effort proves that the 761 C-CSH concept works with high reproducibility rate between 1.8 and 320 K and at any magnetic field 762 available in the magnetometer. 763

The concept of the *in situ* compensation of capsule containers has been tested in MPMS-XL SQUID magnetometers of Quantum Design. The authors are confident that after adequate modifications similar assembly may prove equally effective in other types of magnetometers, although for the VSM-type MPMS 3 system one would like to see even smaller polycarbonate capsules that the smallest ones of size 5 available today.

- 769
- 770

# 771 6. Glossary

<sup>772</sup> List of nonstandard terms and abbreviations used in this paper.

773

Abbreviation	Meaning
ABS	automatic background subtraction
C-CSH	capsule compensational sample holder
GC	gelatin capsule
LMS	least mean square
PCC	polycarbonate capsule
QD	Quantum Design
RSO	reciprocal space option
SRDM	standard reference diamagnetic material
SRF	SQUID response function

774

775

# 776 **7. Patents**

777 Relevant patent application has been registered with Polish Patent Office as P.439874.

778

Funding: This study has been supported by the National Science Centre (Poland) through project OPUS (UMO –
 2017/27/B/ST3/02470).

Acknowledgments: The authors acknowledge gratefully Andrzej Szczerbakow for providing SnTe samples, Piotr
 Nowicki for technical support and Jacek Szczytko and Marc Kunzmann for proving capsules needed for this
 study.

# 784 Appendix A: (Centering of C-CSH)

Setting up the position of the sample in the sample chamber, the alignment, is yet another prerequisite for the precise magnetometry. In the case of capsules housing minuscule specimens we cannot rely on the bare voltage related to the moment of the sample since it is dwarf by that of the capsule. 788 Obviously, an additional magnetic marker has to be used which can be affixed temporarily to the sample holder (outside wall of the straw in this case). The authors do not recommend using pieces of 789 magnetically strong ferrous materials as the positioning could only be done at very weak magnetic 790 field, what calls for unnecessary frequent changing of the field in the magnetometer and related field 791 instabilities. Our material of choice is a small, about 10 mg, crystallite of PbTe weakly doped with Cr. 792 In semiconductor matrix chromium tends to precipitate to form ferromagnetic nanocrystals [56], 793 which, as an ensemble, exhibit superparamagnetic properties even at room temperature [50], yielding a 794 convenient about 100 times stronger response at H = 10 kOe than anything else considered in this 795 796 study. Actually, an adequate amount of any similar composite material can be used for this purpose.

A narrow 2-3 cm long strip of a commercial surgical tape with acrylic adhesive serves as the bonding agent for the marker. The tapes sticks firmly onto the straw and goes off easily without leaving any residue. In fact such a tape works well down to helium temperatures and can be considered as a very convenient "fist-aid" fixing medium in magnetometry for medium to strong magnetic materials. An example of our method is given in Figure A1.

802



Figure A1. An example of the application of a magnetic marker for centering of the capsule compensational sample holder. As indicated, it is hard to get better reproducibility than about 0.5 mm.

805

# 806 Appendix B: (External fitting of the SQUID scan voltage)

The necessary position dependence of the SQUID output during scanning can be extracted from 807 the relevant \*.raw file(s), or can be taken from the \*.lastscan file, both provided by the MultiVu soft-808 ware. For any studies aiming the realm of precise magnetometry we strongly advise to record the full 809 suite of the data, that the accompanying \*.diag and \*. raw files. On numerous occasion they proved 810 indispensable in troubleshooting or error correction. Alternatively, the required scans can be measured 811 purposely using, for example, the [Measure] tab of MultiVu program. Among many available software 812 packages we use Origin's NonLinear Curve Fitting (NLCF) option, and to extract straightforwardly the 813 magnitude of magnetic moment m we use the "Long Avg. Scaled Response", that is the 17<sup>th</sup> column in 814 the \*.lastscan file. Our fitting function V(z) comprise of the 2nd order axial gradiometer response func-815 tion (SRF with a linear term added to compensate for the offset and even-parity signal drifts) [57]: 816

817 
$$V(z) = mr^3 \left\{ \frac{2}{(r^2 + (z - z_0)^2)^2} - \frac{1}{[r^2 + (z - z_0 + a)^2]^2} - \frac{1}{[r^2 + (z - z_0 - a)^2]^2} \right\} + A + Bz, \quad (A1)$$

where r = 0.97 cm is the radius of the gradiometer pick up coils, a = 1.519 cm is their base distance, and z<sub>0</sub> is the axial position of the sample (the alignment). In the coordinates used by MultiVu z<sub>0</sub> should be close to 2. The form given in Equation A1 means that the numerical analysis is done in point dipole approximation. Therefore, for extended specimens the final magnitudes of *m* are corrected for the shape-dependent factors [19,44,45,58]. The main advantage of the external analysis of the scans is that the user possesses the ability to play freely with the parameters of the V(z). Most importantly here, one can investigate the dependence of *m* 

825 on the alignment.

#### 826 Appendix C: (RSO method settings)

827 As mentioned in the Materials and Methods section in most cases the data presented here are collected by taking 6 measurements each consisting of 4 oscillations (24 scans all together to be aver-828 aged). There are two practical hints concerning these parameters which are worth adding to this report. 829 830 Firstly, for a given number of single scan events  $(4 \times 6 = 24$  in this case) it does not make any difference if all of them constitute one single measurement unit or, conversely, whether they are divided to 24 831 separate measurements containing only one scan event. The average result will be the same. However, 832 for advanced users, particularly those who are using post-measurement method(s) to reanalyze the 833 scans, it pays off to have more measurements units than oscillation events and to record the whole suite 834 of the output files provided by MultiVu software (that is .dat, .diag, and .raw files). The advantage 835 becomes evident when, for example, say during the 9<sup>th</sup> oscillation event, a sudden signal jump on the 836 837 scan voltage occurs. This distorted voltage is "normally" averaged together with the other, correct 838 readings (scans) – resulting in a false moment determination, accompanied by an increased magnitude of the standard deviation error. However, for the case considered here, this jump mars only the 3<sup>rd</sup> 839 measurement unit. A short inspection of the corresponding .diag file, manual or by a dedicated soft-840 ware, can eradicate such wrong readings and after recalculation of new the average the true (or more 841 correct) magnitude of *m* at the given experimental conditions is restore. This will be accompanied by a 842 reduction of the standard deviation error down to the level of the neighboring points. 843 844

# 845 Appendix D: (Extraction of a number of PM spins)

Accurate quantitative information on the paramagnetic contribution present in a composite material can be conveniently obtain from isotherms m(H) measured at very low temperatures and numerical analysis of their difference. These temperatures should be much smaller than the spin–spin coupling temperature of the remaining non-PM part of the sample. This allows to treat these contributions as temperature T independent. In the most simple case considered here we also disregard spin-spin interaction between these PM spins. Under such circumstances the PM response is described by the Brillouin function  $B_i(H, T)$  of the following form:

853 
$$B_J(x) = \frac{2J+1}{2J} \coth\left(\frac{2J+1}{2J}x\right) - \frac{1}{2J} \coth\left(\frac{1}{2J}x\right),$$

with *H* and *T* tied in:

$$x = J \frac{\mathrm{g}\mu_B H}{k_B T}$$

Here, g = 2 is the Land'e factor,  $\mu_B$  is the Bohr magneton,  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant, and  $N_s$  is the number of spins with J = L + S. For simplicity we take L = 0. Accordingly, the experimental difference  $\Delta m(H)$ , as the one marked by magenta symbols in Figure 15 (b) should be described by:

$$\Delta m(H) = N_S g \mu_B S[B_S(H, 1.8K) - B_S(H, 5K)].$$
(A2)

In this approach the magnitudes of *S* and *N*<sup>s</sup> are the only adjustable parameters. Since *S* can only assume some discrete values, in a search of the spin state we perform three independent fittings for *S* = 3/2, 2, and 5/2. The best fit, obtained for *S* = 2 and *N*<sup>s</sup> =  $5.3(1) \times 10^{15}$ , is presented in Figure 15 (b)

# 862 **References**

- Proselkov, O.; Sztenkiel, D.; Stefanowicz, W.; Aleszkiewicz, M.; Sadowski, J.; Dietl, T.; Sawicki, M.
   Thickness Dependent Magnetic Properties of (Ga,Mn)As Ultrathin Films. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2012, 100, 262405, doi:10.1063/1.4731202.
- Gas, K.; Kunert, G.; Dluzewski, P.; Jakiela, R.; Hommel, D.; Sawicki, M. Improved-Sensitivity
   Integral SQUID Magnetometry of (Ga,Mn)N Thin Films in Proximity to Mg-Doped GaN. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds* 2021, *868*, 159119, doi:10.1016/j.jallcom.2021.159119.
- Navarro-Quezada, A.; Gas, K.; Truglas, T.; Bauernfeind, V.; Matzer, M.; Kreil, D.; Ney, A.; Groiss,
   H.; Sawicki, M.; Bonanni, A. Out-of-Plane Magnetic Anisotropy in Ordered Ensembles of FeyN
   Nanocrystals Embedded in GaN. *Materials* 2020, *13*, 3294, doi:10.3390/ma13153294.
- Navarro-Quezada, A.; Stefanowicz, W.; Li, T.; Faina, B.; Rovezzi, M.; Lechner, R.T.; Devillers, T.;
   d'Acapito, F.; Bauer, G.; Sawicki, M.; et al. Embedded Magnetic Phases in (Ga,Fe)N: Key Role of
   Growth Temperature. *Phys. Rev. B* 2010, *81*, 205206, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.81.205206.
- Sawicki, M.; Guziewicz, E.; Łukasiewicz, M.I.; Proselkov, O.; Kowalik, I.A.; Lisowski, W.; 875 5. Dluzewski, P.; Wittlin, A.; Jaworski, M.; Wolska, A.; et al. Homogeneous and Heterogeneous 876 Magnetism in (Zn,Co)O: From a Random Antiferromagnet to a Dipolar Superferromagnet by 877 Changing the Growth Temperature. Phys. Rev. В 2013, 88, 085204, 878 doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.88.085204. 879
- Sawicki, M.; Wang, K.Y.; Edmonds, K.W.; Campion, R.P.; Rushforth, A.W.; Foxon, C.T.; Gallagher, 880 6. 881 B.L.; Dietl, T. Coercivity Enlargement in (Ga,Mn)As Thin Films with Small Amount of MnAs Nanoclusters. Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 2007, 310, 2126-2128, 882 doi:10.1016/j.jmmm.2006.10.934. 883
- Kaleta, A.; Kret, S.; Gas, K.; Kurowska, B.; Kryvyi, S.B.; Rutkowski, B.; Szwacki, N.G.; Sawicki, M.; 884 7. Sadowski, J. Enhanced Ferromagnetism in Cylindrically Confined MnAs Nanocrystals Embedded 885 Wurtzite Nanowire Shells. 2019, 19, 886 in GaAs Nano Lett. 7324-7333, doi:10.1021/acs.nanolett.9b02956. 887
- Yu, W.; Li, J.; Herng, T.S.; Wang, Z.; Zhao, X.; Chi, X.; Fu, W.; Abdelwahab, I.; Zhou, J.; Dan, J.; et
   al. Chemically Exfoliated VSe<sub>2</sub> Monolayers with Room-Temperature Ferromagnetism. *Advanced Materials* 2019, *31*, 1903779, doi:10.1002/adma.201903779.
- Tokmachev, A.M.; Averyanov, D.V.; Taldenkov, A.N.; Sokolov, I.S.; Karateev, I.A.; Parfenov, O.E.;
   Storchak, V.G. Two-Dimensional Magnets beyond the Monolayer Limit. ACS Nano 2021, 15,
   12034–12041, doi:10.1021/acsnano.1c03312.
- 10. Liu, Y.; Shen, Y.; Sun, L.; Li, J.; Liu, C.; Ren, W.; Li, F.; Gao, L.; Chen, J.; Liu, F.; et al. Elemental
  Superdoping of Graphene and Carbon Nanotubes. *Nat Commun* 2016, 7, 10921,
  doi:10.1038/ncomms10921.
- 897 11. Sadowski, J.; Kret, S.; Šiušys, A.; Wojciechowski, T.; Gas, K.; Islam, M.F.; Canali, C.M.; Sawicki, M.
  898 Wurtzite (Ga,Mn)As Nanowire Shells with Ferromagnetic Properties. *Nanoscale* 2017, *9*, 2129–2137,
  899 doi:10.1039/C6NR08070G.
- 900 12. Mazur, G.P.; Dybko, K.; Szczerbakow, A.; Domagala, J.Z.; Kazakov, A.; Zgirski, M.; Lusakowska,
- E.; Kret, S.; Korczak, J.; Story, T.; et al. Experimental Search for the Origin of Low-Energy Modes
  in Topological Materials. *Phys. Rev. B* 2019, *100*, 041408, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.100.041408.
- 13. Sánchez-Barriga, J.; Varykhalov, A.; Springholz, G.; Steiner, H.; Kirchschlager, R.; Bauer, G.; Caha,
   O.; Schierle, E.; Weschke, E.; Ünal, A.A.; et al. Nonmagnetic Band Gap at the Dirac Point of the

- 905
   Magnetic
   Topological
   Insulator
   (Bi1-xMnx)2Se3.
   Nat
   Commun
   2016,
   7,
   10559,

   906
   doi:10.1038/ncomms10559.
- 14. Teng, J.; Liu, N.; Li, Y. Mn-Doped Topological Insulators: A Review. J. Semicond. 2019, 40, 081507,
   doi:10.1088/1674-4926/40/8/081507.

909 15. Grutter, A.J.; He, Q.L. Magnetic Proximity Effects in Topological Insulator Heterostructures:
910 Implementation and Characterization. *Phys. Rev. Materials* 2021, *5*, 090301,
911 doi:10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.5.090301.

- 912 16. Garcia, M.A.; Fernandez Pinel, E.; de la Venta, J.; Quesada, A.; Bouzas, V.; Fernández, J.F.; Romero,
  913 J.J.; Martín González, M.S.; Costa-Krämer, J.L. Sources of Experimental Errors in the Observation
  914 of Nanoscale Magnetism. *Journal of Applied Physics* 2009, 105, 013925, doi:10.1063/1.3060808.
- 915 17. Salzer, R.; Spemann, D.; Esquinazi, P.; Höhne, R.; Setzer, A.; Schindler, K.; Schmidt, H.; Butz, T.
  916 Possible Pitfalls in Search of Magnetic Order in Thin Films Deposited on Single Crystalline
  917 Sapphire Substrates. *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials* 2007, 317, 53–60,
  918 doi:10.1016/j.jmmm.2007.04.015.
- Pereira, L.M.C.; Araújo, J.P.; Bael, M.J.V.; Temst, K.; Vantomme, A. Practical Limits for Detection
   of Ferromagnetism Using Highly Sensitive Magnetometry Techniques. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* 2011,
   44, 215001, doi:10.1088/0022-3727/44/21/215001.
- 922 19. Sawicki, M.; Stefanowicz, W.; Ney, A. Sensitive SQUID Magnetometry for Studying
  923 Nanomagnetism. *Semicond. Sci. Technol.* 2011, 26, 064006, doi:10.1088/0268-1242/26/6/064006.
- Buchner, M.; Höfler, K.; Henne, B.; Ney, V.; Ney, A. Tutorial: Basic Principles, Limits of Detection,
  and Pitfalls of Highly Sensitive SQUID Magnetometry for Nanomagnetism and Spintronics. *Journal of Applied Physics* 2018, 124, 161101, doi:10.1063/1.5045299.
- Pereira, L.M.C. Experimentally Evaluating the Origin of Dilute Magnetism in Nanomaterials. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* 2017, *50*, 393002, doi:10.1088/1361-6463/aa801f.
- Gas, K.; Sawicki, M. In Situ Compensation Method for High-Precision and High-Sensitivity
   Integral Magnetometry. *Meas. Sci. Technol.* 2019, *30*, 085003, doi:10.1088/1361-6501/ab1b03.
- Wang, M.; Andrews, C.; Reimers, S.; Amin, O.J.; Wadley, P.; Campion, R.P.; Poole, S.F.; Felton, J.;
  Edmonds, K.W.; Gallagher, B.L.; et al. Spin Flop and Crystalline Anisotropic Magnetoresistance in
  CuMnAs. *Phys. Rev. B* 2020, *101*, 094429, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.101.094429.
- 24. Scheffler, L.; Gas, K.; Banik, S.; Kamp, M.; Knobel, J.; Lin, H.; Schumacher, C.; Gould, C.; Sawicki,
  M.; Kleinlein, J.; et al. Molecular Beam Epitaxy of the Half-Heusler Antiferromagnet CuMnSb. *Phys. Rev. Materials* 2020, *4*, 114402, doi:10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.4.114402.
- 25. Iskandar, N.A.J.T.N.; Guan-Yeow, Y.; Maeta, N.; Ito, M.M.; Nakamura, Y.; Gas, K.; Sawicki, M.
  Anisotropic and Magnetic Properties in Non-Metal and Non-Radical Organic Aggregates of
  Tri-Substituted Phenyl Derivatives. *New J. Chem.* 2019, 44, 210–217, doi:10.1039/C9NJ02730K.
- 26. Bujak, P.; Kulszewicz-Bajer, I.; Zagorska, M.; Maurel, V.; Wielgus, I.; Pron, A. Polymers for
  Electronics and Spintronics. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2013, *42*, 8895–8999, doi:10.1039/C3CS60257E.
- 942 27. Gutiérrez, L.; Vujić Spasić, M.; Muckenthaler, M.U.; Lázaro, F.J. Quantitative Magnetic Analysis
  943 Reveals Ferritin-like Iron as the Most Predominant Iron-Containing Species in the Murine
  944 Hfe-Haemochromatosis. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Molecular Basis of Disease* 2012, 1822,
- 945 1147–1153, doi:10.1016/j.bbadis.2012.03.008.
- 28. Rojas, J.M.; Gavilán, H.; del Dedo, V.; Lorente-Sorolla, E.; Sanz-Ortega, L.; da Silva, G.B.; Costo, R.;
- 947 Perez-Yagüe, S.; Talelli, M.; Marciello, M.; et al. Time-Course Assessment of the Aggregation and

- Metabolization of Magnetic Nanoparticles. *Acta Biomaterialia* 2017, 58, 181–195,
  doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2017.05.047.
- 29. Menon, J.U.; Kuriakose, A.; Iyer, R.; Hernandez, E.; Gandee, L.; Zhang, S.; Takahashi, M.; Zhang,
  Z.; Saha, D.; Nguyen, K.T. Dual-Drug Containing Core-Shell Nanoparticles for Lung Cancer
  Therapy. *Sci Rep* 2017, *7*, 13249, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-13320-4.
- 30. Serantes, D.; Baldomir, D. Nanoparticle Size Threshold for Magnetic Agglomeration and
  Associated Hyperthermia Performance. *Nanomaterials* 2021, *11*, 2786, doi:10.3390/nano11112786.
- 31. The GE/IMI 7031 Varnish Is Obtained from Cambridge Magnetic Refrigeration, Cambridge, CB5
  8HR, UK.
- 957 32. Qantum Design Application Note 1500-018, Using Straw Sample Holder with DC Scan Mode.
- 33. Franken, L.; Klein, M.; Spasova, M.; Elsukova, A.; Wiedwald, U.; Welz, M.; Knolle, P.; Farle, M.;
  Limmer, A.; Kurts, C. Splenic Red Pulp Macrophages Are Intrinsically Superparamagnetic and
  Contaminate Magnetic Cell Isolates. *Sci Rep* 2015, *5*, 12940, doi:10.1038/srep12940.
- 34. Świątkowski, M.; Lanka, S.; Czylkowska, A.; Gas, K.; Sawicki, M. Structural, Spectroscopic,
  Thermal, and Magnetic Properties of a New Dinuclear Copper Coordination Compound with
  Tiglic Acid. *Materials* 2021, 14, 2148, doi:10.3390/ma14092148.
- 35. Škrátek, M.; Dvurečenskij, A.; Kluknavský, M.; Barta, A.; Bališ, P.; Mičurová, A.; Cigáň, A.;
  Eckstein-Andicsová, A.; Maňka, J.; Bernátová, I. Sensitive SQUID Bio-Magnetometry for
  Determination and Differentiation of Biogenic Iron and Iron Oxide Nanoparticles in the Biological
  Samples. *Nanomaterials* 2020, 10, 1993, doi:10.3390/nano10101993.
- 36. Dutta, R.K.; Sahu, S. Development of Oxaliplatin Encapsulated in Magnetic Nanocarriers of Pectin
  as a Potential Targeted Drug Delivery for Cancer Therapy. *Results in Pharma Sciences* 2012, 2, 38–
  45, doi:10.1016/j.rinphs.2012.05.001.
- 97137. Gardias, A.; Kaszyński, P.; Obijalska, E.; Trzybiński, D.; Domagała, S.; Woźniak, K.; Szczytko, J.972MagnetostructuralInvestigationofOrthogonal
- 973
   1-Aryl-3-Phenyl-1,4-Dihydrobenzo[e][1,2,4]Triazin-4-Yl
   Derivatives. Chemistry A European

   974
   Journal 2018, 24, 1317–1329, doi:10.1002/chem.201703576.
- 975 38. Quantum Design, Inc., 10307 Pacific Center Court, San Diego, CA 92121, USA www.qdusa.com.
- 976 39. QD MPMS Sample Mounting Considerations 1014-201.
- 40. Ney, A.; Kammermeier, T.; Ney, V.; Ollefs, K.; Ye, S. Limitations of Measuring Small Magnetic
  Signals of Samples Deposited on a Diamagnetic Substrate. *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic*Materials 2008, 320, 3341–3346, doi:10.1016/j.jmmm.2008.07.008.
- 41. Gardias, A.; Kaszyński, P.; Obijalska, E.; Trzybiński, D.; Domagała, S.; Woźniak, K.; Szczytko, J.
  Magnetostructural Investigation of Orthogonal
  1-Aryl-3-Phenyl-1,4-Dihydrobenzo[e][1,2,4]Triazin-4-Yl Derivatives. *Chemistry A European*Journal 2018, 24, 1317–1329, doi:10.1002/chem.201703576.
- 42. Ney, A.; Harris, J.S.; Parkin, S.S.P. Temperature Dependent Magnetic Properties of the GaAs
   Substrate of Spin-LEDs. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 2006, 18, 4397–4406,
   doi:10.1088/0953-8984/18/17/025.
- 987 43. Cryogenic Ltd, Unit 6, Acton Park Industrial Estate, The Vale, London W3 7QE, UK, See
  988 Www.Cryogenic.Co.Uk;
- 44. Blott, B.H.; Daniell, G.J. The Determination of Magnetic Moments of Extended Samples in a
  SQUID Magnetometer. *Meas. Sci. Technol.* 1993, *4*, 462–467, doi:10.1088/0957-0233/4/4/004.

- 45. Hayden, M.E.; Lambinet, V.; Gomis, S.; Gries, G. Note: Evaluation of Magnetometry Data
  Acquired from Elongated Samples. *Review of Scientific Instruments* 2017, *88*, 056106,
  doi:10.1063/1.4983777.
- 46. Dziawa, P.; Kowalski, B.J.; Dybko, K.; Buczko, R.; Szczerbakow, A.; Szot, M.; Łusakowska, E.;
  Balasubramanian, T.; Wojek, B.M.; Berntsen, M.H.; et al. Topological Crystalline Insulator States
  in Pb<sub>1-x</sub>Sn<sub>x</sub>Se. *Nature Mater* 2012, *11*, 1023–1027, doi:10.1038/nmat3449.
- 47. Szczerbakow, A.; Durose, K. Self-Selecting Vapour Growth of Bulk Crystals Principles and
   Applicability. *Progress in Crystal Growth and Characterization of Materials* 2005, 51, 81–108,
   doi:10.1016/j.pcrysgrow.2005.10.004.
- 1000 48. ZPH HERBES Wiesław Sikorski, Przezmierzowo, Poland, Www.Herbes.Com.Pl.
- 49. Gas, K.; Sadowski, J.; Sawicki, M. Magnetic Properties of Wurtzite (Ga,Mn)As. *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials* 2021, 533, 168012, doi:10.1016/j.jmmm.2021.168012.
- 50. Gas, K.; Królicka, A.; Dybko, K.; Nowicki, P.; Khosravizadeh, Z.; Story, T.; Sawicki, M. Magnetic
   Constitution of Topologically Trivial Thermoelectric PbTe:Cr. *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials* 2021, 537, 168154, doi:10.1016/j.jmmm.2021.168154.
- 1006 51. Navarro-Quezada, A.; Gas, K.; Spindlberger, A.; Karimi, F.; Sawicki, M.; Ciatto, G.; Bonanni, A.
   1007 Unravelling the Local Crystallographic Structure of Ferromagnetic Unravelling the local
   1008 crystallographic structure of ferromagnetic γ'-Ga<sub>y</sub>Fe<sub>4-y</sub>N nanocrystals Embedded in GaN. *Sci Rep* 1009 2021, *11*, 2862, doi:10.1038/s41598-021-82380-4.
- 1010 52. Restrepo-Osorio, J.; Nobile-Correa, D.P.; Zuñiga, O.; Sánchez-Andica, R.A. Determination of 1011 nutritional value of turmeric flour and the antioxidant activity of Curcuma longa rhizome extracts 1012 from agroecological and conventional crops of Valle del Cauca-Colombia. *Revista Colombiana de* 1013 *Química* 2020, 49, 26–32.
- 1014 53. Mastrogiacomo, G.; Löffler, J.F.; Dilley, N.R. Soft-Magnetic Materials Characterized Using a
  1015 Superconducting Solenoid as Magnetic Source. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2008, 92, 082501,
  1016 doi:10.1063/1.2838733.
- 54. Stefanowicz, W.; Sztenkiel, D.; Faina, B.; Grois, A.; Rovezzi, M.; Devillers, T.; d'Acapito, F.;
  Navarro-Quezada, A.; Li, T.; Jakieła, R.; et al. Structural and Paramagnetic Properties of Dilute
  Ga1-xMnxN. *Phys. Rev. B* 2010, *81*, 235210, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.81.235210.
- 1020 55. QD Application Note 1500-011: Using SQUID VSM Superconducting Magnets at Low Fields.
- 1021 56. Karczewski, G.; Sawicki, M.; Ivanov, V.; Ruester, C.; Grabecki, G.; Matsukura, F.; Molenkamp,
   1022 L.W.; Dietl, T. Ferromagnetism in (Zn,Cr)Se Layers Grown by Molecular Beam Epitaxy. *Journal of*
- 1023 *Superconductivity* **2003**, *16*, 55–58, doi:10.1023/A:1023224432107.
- 1024 57. Quantum Design 2002 MPMS Application Note 1014-213: Subtracting the Sample Holder
  1025 Background from Dilute Samples.
- Stamenov, P.; Coey, J.M.D. Sample Size, Position, and Structure Effects on Magnetization
   Measurements Using Second-Order Gradiometer Pickup Coils. *Review of Scientific Instruments* **2006**, 77, 015106, doi:10.1063/1.2149190.