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ABSTRACT: The selective functionalization of alkanes and alkyl groups is a major goal of chemical catalysis. Toward this end, a bulky triphosphine with a central secondary phosphino group, bis(2-di-t-butyl-phosphinophenyl)phosphine (tBuPPP), has been synthesized. When complexed to iridium it adopts a meridional (“pincer”) configuration. The secondary phosphino H atom can undergo migration to iridium to give an anionic phosphido-based-pincer (tBuPPP) complex. We describe novel metal-ligand cooperativity of the iridium-phosphido unit. Stoichiometric reactions of the (tBuPPP)Ir complexes reflect a distribution of steric bulk around the iridium center in which the coordination site trans to the phosphido group is quite crowded, one coordination site cis to the phosphido is even more crowded, while the remaining site is particularly open. The (tBuPPP)Ir precursors are the most active catalysts reported to date for dehydrogenation of n-alkanes, by about two orders of magnitude. The electronic properties of the iridium center are very similar to that of well-known analogous (RPCP)Ir catalysts. Accordingly, DFT calculations predict that (tBuPPP)Ir and (tBuPCP)Ir are, intrinsically, comparably active for alkane dehydrogenation. While dehydrogenation by (tBuPCP)Ir proceeds through an intermediate trans-(PCP)IrH2(alkene), (tBuPPP)Ir follows a pathway proceeding via cis-(PPP)IrH2(alkene), thereby circumventing unfavorable placement of the alkene at the bulky site trans to phosphorus. (tBuPPP)Ir and (tBuPCP)Ir, however, have analogous resting states: square planar (pincer)Ir(alkene). Alkene coordination at the crowded trans site is therefore unavoidable in the resting states. Thus the resting state of the (tBuPPP)Ir catalyst is destabilized by the unusual architecture of the ligand, and this is largely responsible for its unusually high catalytic activity.
INTRODUCTION

Pincer ligands and metal-ligand cooperativity (MLC) represent two of the most active themes in the development of transition metal and main group metal chemistry and catalysis, and there has been substantial overlap between these areas of investigation. Numerous examples of pincer ligands engaging in novel catalytic and stoichiometric MLC-related reactions have been and continue to be reported. Yet despite the large number of pincer ligands for which MLC is based on a coordinating N atom, there are very few examples involving the next congener, phosphorus. In particular, in spite of the great value that has been proven for addition of $\text{H}_2$ across M-N bonds, there are relatively few examples of $\text{H}_2$ addition across a phosphorus metal bond, and even fewer examples of the reverse, elimination of $\text{H}_2$.

Phosphorus has been referred to as “the carbon copy” because of numerous similarities between the chemistry, including the coordination chemistry, of these elements. PCP-type pincer ligands, in which the coordinating atom of the central group is typically a formally anionic carbon, have played an important role in organometallic chemistry in recent decades. An example of this of particular interest in our laboratory has been the chemistry of (PCP)Ir complexes as catalysts for alkane dehydrogenation. In the context of this relationship, and in combination with the potential for an anionic phosphido group to engage in MLC behavior, we have begun an investigation of (PPP)Ir complexes in which the central group is formally anionic phosphido.

Scheme 1. Pincer ligands of the type used in this work

In order to enforce a rigid meridional coordination geometry, to prevent cluster formation, and to best explore the relationship with (PCP)Ir chemistry, we chose to investigate a system amenable to comparison with ($^\text{Bu}$PCP)Ir ($^\text{Bu}$PCP = $\kappa^3$-2,6-C$_6$H$_3$(CH$_2$PR)$_2$), the chemistry of which has been characterized in depth. To this end, we have synthesized bis(2-di-t-butyl-phosphinophenyl)phosphine ($^\text{Bu}$PP; Scheme 1), the bulky analogue of previously reported triphosphorus proligands $^\text{iPr}$PP and $^\text{Ph}$PP. Iridium complexes of $^\text{Bu}$PP and the corresponding phosphido ($^\text{Bu}$PPP) derivatives were synthesized and their stoichiometric and catalytic chemistry investigated.

We report herein unusual fundamental chemistry of this ligand-metal fragment, including the first examples of reversible addition of $\text{H}_2$ across the M-P bond of a metal phosphido unit.
Importantly, the (tBuPPP)Ir unit investigated is not approximately planar like (tPCP)Ir, nor even approximately symmetrical as typically found with complexes of the isostructural diarylamine-based PNP ligands developed by Ozerov. Instead, the pyramidal geometry of the central phosphorus atom results in a bowl-like ligand structure, analogous to the geometry we have recently reported for a (PSP)Ru complex. This in turn results in a highly unsymmetrical positioning of the phosphino-t-butyl groups in which one face of the complex is fairly open while the coordination site trans to the central coordinating P atom is very crowded. This is clearly manifest in the addition and elimination of small molecules including H₂, D₂, and CO. This architectural motif is found to be particularly advantageous for the catalytic dehydrogenation of n-alkanes to give 1-alkenes. We report that the (tBuPPP)Ir fragment is by far the most highly active catalyst for alkane transfer-dehydrogenation developed to date, and affords high regioselectivity; we attribute these properties in large part to this unsymmetrical spatial configuration.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis of tBuPPP and Metalation. (2-bromophenyl)-di-tert-butylphosphine was synthesized according to Shimada. Coupling with PCl₃ to give (2-C₆H₄P⁻Bu₂)₂PCl and reduction to give tBuPPP was then conducted as reported by Peters. A characteristic resonance expected of the secondary phosphine proton was observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum (δ 6.03, dt, J = 214.8, 12.3 Hz) while in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum a doublet (δ 21.35) and a triplet (δ -47.07) were observed with ³J_PP = 154 Hz.

Dissolving tBuPPP in a toluene solution with either [Ir(COD)Cl]₂ or [Ir(COE)₂Cl]₂ rapidly yields free cyclooctadiene or cyclooctene, respectively, and (tBuPPP)IrCl (Scheme 2), with signals in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum at δ 76.5 (d, J = 12.8 Hz) and δ 26.3 (t, J = 12.8 Hz). Thus, metalation is accompanied by a dramatic decrease in the value of J_PP, indicative of κ³-coordination of a triphosphorus ligand. The ¹H NMR resonance of the phosphine-bound proton shifts upfield to δ 4.60, while ¹J_PH increases to 365 Hz (³J_P-H = 2.7 Hz).

Scheme 2. Metalation of (tBuPPP) and Proton Transfer
Formation of four-coordinate (tBuPP)IrCl is followed by slow net proton transfer to yield (tBuPPP)IrHCl (Scheme 2), as monitored in the $^1$H NMR spectrum by loss of the signal at $\delta$ 4.60 and concomitant appearance of a new hydride signal ($\delta$ -28.0, td, $J = 13.2, 7.3$ Hz). In the $^{31}$P($^1$H) NMR spectrum, the resonance corresponding to the central phosphorus ($\delta$ 26.3, t, $J = 12.8$) shifts 70 ppm downfield ($\delta$ 97.2, s) while the terminal phosphine resonance shifts only from $\delta$ 76.5 to $\delta$ 76.3. A crystal suitable for X-ray diffraction was obtained by vapor diffusion of pentane into a saturated toluene solution and the molecular structure was determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) (Figure 1). The unit cell is found to contain two molecules of (tBuPPP)IrHCl where the only notable difference between them is between the P$_c$-Ir-Cl bond (P$_c$ = central phosphorus) angles. In one molecule, the angle is 124.0°, suggesting a nearly ideal trigonal bipyramidal geometry while in the other it is 144.5°. These values are both in contrast with the C$_{ipso}$-Ir-Cl bond angles, which are very nearly 180°, found for the square-pyramidal geometries of iridium hydrido chloride complexes of PCP-type ligands. The sum of angles around the central (phosphido) phosphorus atom of (tBuPPP)IrHCl is 321.1°, indicating a fully pyramidal geometry. Lastly we note that the chloride is located exo with respect to the bowl-shaped PPP ligand structure. While this might be expected to be thermodynamically favored due to steric crowding, an intramolecular proton transfer from (tBuPP)IrCl would be expected to lead directly to the endo-chloride configuration (Scheme 2); this could then be followed by inversion at P$_c$ to give the observed product.

Figure 1. Molecular structure of one of the two molecules of (tBuPPP)IrHCl determined by single-crystal XRD. H atoms other than the hydride are omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability. Selected distances (Å) and angles (°): P2-Ir1, 2.211(4); P1-Ir1 2.357(4); P3-Ir1, 2.339(4); Cl1-Ir1, 2.379(4); P2-Ir1-Cl1, 124.42(16); P1-Ir1-Cl1, 98.60(15); P3-Ir1-Cl1, 99.12(15); P1-Ir1-P3, 162.04(14); P1-Ir1-P2, 86.52(14); P3-Ir1-P2, 85.94(15); C1-P2-Ir1, 106.2(5); C7-P2-Ir1, 107.0(5); C7-P2-C1, 107.6(5)
Scheme 3. Synthesis of \((tBu^3PP)IrH_3\)

\[
\begin{align*}
(tBuPPP)IrHCl & \quad \xrightarrow{H_2, KO'Bu, THF} \quad (tBu^3PP)IrH_3
\end{align*}
\]

Treatment of \((tBuPPP)IrHCl\) with KO'Bu under an atmosphere of \(H_2\) in THF rapidly leads to the formation of a complex with a secondary phosphine at the central position, as indicated by an upfield resonance in the \(^{31}P\) NMR spectrum (\(\delta 10.7\)) and a signal in the \(^1H\) NMR spectrum at \(\delta 5.71\) with coupling \(J_{PH} = 335.5\) Hz, assigned as \((tBu^3PP)IrH_3\) (Scheme 3). The three hydrides are chemically inequivalent due to the unsymmetrical nature of the ligand and they exhibit complex coupling patterns in the \(^1H\) NMR spectrum. The formation of \((tBu^3PP)IrH_3\) involves a net heterolytic cleavage of the dihydrogen bond across the P-Ir bond.

2D \(^1H\)-NOESY-NMR spectroscopy was used to assign the individual hydride resonances. Crystals were obtained through slow evaporation of benzene, and the molecular structure was determined by single-crystal XRD (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Molecular structure of \((tBu^3PP)IrH_3\) determined by single-crystal XRD. H atoms other than the hydrides and the central phosphino hydrogen are omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability. Selected distances (Å) and angles (°): P1-Ir1, 2.2311(8); P2-Ir1, 2.2786(9); P3-Ir1, 2.2880(9); P1-Ir1-P3, 84.78(3); P1-Ir1-P2, 85.28(3); P2-Ir1-P3, 167.79(3); C1-P1-C7, 113.39(14); C1-P1-Ir1, 107.66(10); C7-P1-Ir1, 109.62(10)

Stoichiometric Reactions of \((tBu^3PP)Ir\) and \((tBuPPP)Ir\) Complexes: Experimental Studies. A toluene-\(d_8\) solution of \((tBu^3PP)IrH_3\) was heated at 80 °C under 1 atm ethylene for 15 min, leading the straw-yellow solution to turn dark green. NMR spectroscopy indicated loss of \((tBu^3PP)IrH_3\) and formation of \((tBuPP)Ir(C_2H_4)\), evidenced by a significant downfield shift in the signal assigned to PC (\(^{31}P\) NMR; \(\delta 155.48\)) as well as the presence of a peak corresponding to
bound ethylene in the $^1$H NMR spectrum ($\delta$ 3.57, 4H, at 0 °C). The t-butyl groups of ($^{tBu}$PPP)Ir(C$_2$H$_4$) are equivalent on the NMR timescale at all temperatures at which spectra were taken (-90 °C to 110 °C), suggesting rapid inversion of the phosphide group.$^{62-63}$ The $^1$H NMR spectrum also revealed formation of 2 equivalents of ethane.

In contrast with ($^{tBu}$PCP)Ir(C$_2$H$_4$) and ($^{tBu}$POCOP)Ir(C$_2$H$_4$),$^{64}$ ($^{tBu}$PPP)Ir(C$_2$H$_4$) decomposes upon removal of the ethylene atmosphere as manifest by color change and loss of $^1$H and $^{31}$P NMR signals. $^1$H NMR spectra, taken from 25 °C to 110 °C in p-xylene-$d_{10}$ solvent, reveal sharp signals at the low end of this range. Broadening of free and bound C$_2$H$_4$ signals is observed at 40 °C and above, indicating exchange on the NMR time scale. The signals attributable to free and bound C$_2$H$_4$ undergo coalescence at 110 °C. Exchange rates were determined, based on line broadening, from 50 °C to 80 °C. An Eyring-type plot of this data (Figure S2) yields activation parameters $\Delta H^\ddagger = 19.8(\pm 0.7)$ kcal/mol and $\Delta S^\ddagger = 9.3(\pm 2)$ eu, consistent with a weakly bound ethylene ligand undergoing rapid reversible dissociation. The analogous propene complex showed an even lower barrier to exchange with free propene; coalescence was reached for the three vinylic protons in the $^1$H NMR spectrum in the range 10 °C to 40 °C. Due to the complexity of the spectra, the confidence level in the rate determinations is lower than for ethylene, but the values obtained for propene exchange, $\Delta H^\ddagger = 17.9$ kcal/mol and $\Delta S^\ddagger = 18$ eu, clearly indicate a dissociative process. The corresponding value of $\Delta G^\ddagger = 12.5$ kcal/mol at 298 K implies that propene is bound ca. 4 - 5 kcal/mol even more weakly than ethylene ($\Delta G^\ddagger = 17.0(\pm 1.0)$ kcal/mol at 298 K).

The instability of ($^{tBu}$PCP)Ir(C$_2$H$_4$) precluded its crystallization. Replacement of ethylene with a CO atmosphere resulted in conversion of ($^{tBu}$PPP)Ir(C$_2$H$_4$) to ($^{tBu}$PPP)Ir(CO), which represents the first fully characterized four-coordinate terminal-phosphido iridium complex. The C-O stretching frequency of ($^{tBu}$PPP)Ir(CO) in benzene observed in the IR spectrum is 1922 cm$^{-1}$. This value is very similar to that reported for ($^{tBu}$PCP)Ir(CO)$^{65}$ (in n-hexane), 1914 cm$^{-1}$,$^{66}$ indicating that the iridium centers of these complexes have similar electronic properties. Crystallization of ($^{tBu}$PPP)Ir(CO) was successful, and XRD analysis yielded a structure (Figure 3) with a unit cell containing two molecules. The sums of the angles around the terminal phosphide are 327.8$^\circ$ and 328.6$^\circ$, respectively, i.e. both molecules have a fully pyramidal geometry at P. The angles between the CO and terminal phosphide ($\angle$P-Ir-C) are ca. 164$^\circ$. This deviation from 180$^\circ$ was probed computationally and shown to be a result of the steric influence of the tert-butyl groups (Figure S50).$^{66}$
Figure 3. (a) Molecular structure of \((^\text{tBu}^{\text{PPP}})\text{Ir(CO)}\) determined by single-crystal XRD. H atoms omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability. Selected distances (Å) and angles (°): P1-Ir1, 2.289(3); P2-Ir1, 2.304(3); P3-Ir1, 2.317(3); Ir1-C29, 1.869(11); C29-O1, 1.149(14); P1-Ir1-P2, 83.86(10); P1-Ir1-P3, 83.89(10); P1-Ir1-C29, 166.0(4); P2-Ir1-P3, 160.04(10); C1-P1-C7, 113.3(6); C1-P1-Ir1, 109.5(4); C7-P1-Ir1, 109.2(4).

(b) Ball-and-stick style illustration of the same structure, H atoms included, highlighting the difference in crowding between the faces above and below the approximate plane of the iridium and coordinating atoms.

We wished to better understand the mechanism of ethylene hydrogenation by \((^\text{tBu}^{\text{PP}})\text{IrH}_3\), and particularly the loss and apparent net transfer of the phosphorus-bound hydrogen. Charging a solution of \((^{\text{tBu}^{\text{PP}}}\text{IrH}_3)\) with 1 atm of D\(_2\) led to a rapid and highly selective loss of signals corresponding to the exo and trans hydrides. Signals attributable to the phosphorus-bound proton and the endo-hydride maintained their integration relative to the aromatic peaks of the complex. This result indicates a remarkably stereoselective loss of H\(_2\) (Scheme 4), exclusively from the exo face of the complex. Also consistent with loss of H\(_2\) occurring exclusively from the exo face, \((^{\text{tBu}^{\text{PP}}}\text{IrH}_3)\) and the labelled \((^{\text{tBu}^{\text{PP}}}\text{Ir(D)}_2\text{NBE})\) both yielded exclusively \((^{\text{tBu}^{\text{PP}}}\text{Ir(exo-CO)}\text{H})\) when treated with 1 atm of CO (Scheme 4).

Scheme 4. Stereoselective displacement of H\(_2\) from \((^{\text{tBu}^{\text{PP}}}\text{IrH}_3)\)

The obvious mechanistic pathways for both the isotopic substitution and the displacement of D\(_2\) by CO shown in Scheme 4 both proceed through an intermediate, \((^{\text{tBu}^{\text{PP}}}\text{IrH})\) (Scheme 5). With that in mind, one equivalent of hydrogen acceptor (t-butyl ethylene, TBE; or norbornene, NBE) was added to a solution of \((^{\text{tBu}^{\text{PP}}}\text{IrH}_3)\). After 24 h at room temperature a dark red
solution had formed and NMR spectroscopy indicated conversion to a new species, assigned as \((^\text{tBuPP}^\text{tBu})\text{IrH}\). This is a rare example of a square planar iridium monohydride.\(^{67-69}\) The \(^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}\) NMR spectrum has signals at \(\delta\) 102.43 (d, \(J_{PP} = 10\) Hz) and \(\delta\) 37.01 (t, \(J_{PP} = 10\) Hz) in a 2:1 ratio. The phosphorus-bound proton is clearly observed in the \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectrum (\(\delta\) 4.56, d, \(J_{PH} = 320.9\)), and other signals are unexceptional. Remarkably, however, the hydride of \((^\text{tBuPP}^\text{tBu})\text{IrH}\) gives rise to a very downfield chemical shift in the \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectrum (\(\delta\) 4.70, dt, \(J = 112.9, 18.3\) Hz).\(^70\) Selective \(^{31}\text{P}\)-decoupling confirmed that the coupling of 112.9 Hz was with the central P atom (\(\delta\) 102.43) and the coupling of 18.3 Hz with the terminal pincer P atoms (\(\delta\) 37.01) (Figure S31).\(^{66}\)

**Scheme 5.** Reactions of \((^\text{tBuPP}^\text{tBu})\text{IrH}\), \((^\text{tBuPPP}^\text{tBu})\text{IrH}_2\), and \((^\text{tBuPP}^\text{tBu})\text{IrH}_3\)

While relatively common for \(d^0\) and \(d^{10}\) metal hydrides,\(^{71-73}\) such downfield chemical shifts are highly unusual, although not completely unprecedented\(^{74-76}\), for other transition metal hydrides. Variable temperature \(^1\text{H}\) NMR was performed (10 - 60 °C) and the chemical shift attributable to the hydride was found to be moderately temperature sensitive; however the signals were sharp at all temperatures, indicating that this is not a dynamic NMR phenomena, i.e. due to rapid exchange with a chemically different site (Figure S32).\(^{66}\) The origin of this unusual chemical shift is currently under investigation.\(^77\)

Placing a solution of \((^\text{tBuPP}^\text{tBu})\text{IrH}\) under 1 atm of CO led to immediate conversion to \((^\text{tBuPP}^\text{tBu})\text{Ir(H)CO}\) (Scheme 5), the same complex that only slowly formed upon the addition of CO to \((^\text{tBuPP}^\text{tBu})\text{IrH}_3\) (Scheme 4). The much faster reaction of the monohydride is consistent with its proposed role as an intermediate in the reaction of the trihydride.

Heating \((^\text{tBuPP}^\text{tBu})\text{IrH}\) at 60 °C for 2 h results in formation of a new complex, with partial loss of signal attributable to the P-bound H and the downfield hydride signal in the \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectrum. Concomitantly, a new upfield signal appears (\(\delta\) -19.40, dt, \(J = 56.6, 11.4\) Hz, 2 H), which we assign to the formation of \((^\text{tBuPPP}^\text{tBu})\text{IrH}_2\) (Scheme 5). \((^\text{tBuPP}^\text{tBu})\text{IrH}\) and \((^\text{tBuPPP}^\text{tBu})\text{IrH}_2\) exist
in equilibrium in a ratio of ~1:2. As observed with (tBuPPP)Ir(CO) and (tBuPPP)Ir(C₂H₄), the t-butyl groups of (tBuPPP)IrH₂ appear equivalent in the ^1H NMR spectrum.

Addition of 1 atm of ethylene to a room-temperature solution containing a mixture of (tBuP₃P)IrH and (tBuPPP)IrH₂ led immediately to loss of (tBuPPP)IrH₂ with formation of an equivalent quantity of (tBuPPP)Ir(C₂H₄) while the concentration of (tBuP₃P)IrH was initially unchanged. Thus the five-coordinate d⁶ complex (tBuPPP)IrH₂ reacts much more rapidly with ethylene (to give ethane and an ethylene complex) than does the four-coordinate d⁸ (tBuP₃P)IrH. Upon heating the resulting mixture for 2 h at 60 °C, however, full conversion to (tBuPPP)Ir(C₂H₄) was observed (Scheme 5).

Addition of 1 atm of H₂ to a solution of (tBuP₃P)IrH and (tBuPPP)IrH₂ at room temperature led to the immediate formation of (tBuP₃P)IrH₃, representing a rapid net addition across a metal-phosphorus bond in the case of addition to the dihydride (Scheme 5).

Stoichiometric Reactions of (tBuP₃P)Ir and (tBuPPP)Ir Complexes: DFT Calculations. The relationship between (tBuP₃P)IrH₃, (tBuP₃P)IrH, and (tBuPPP)IrH₂ was investigated computationally (Figure 4). Starting from (tBuP₃P)IrH₃, there is a calculated barrier of ΔG‡ = 16.7 kcal/mol to H-H reductive coupling to yield a complex with dihydrogen very weakly bound to the exo face. A subsequent dissociation of H₂ to give (tBuP₃P)IrH is essentially barrierless and slightly exothermic. An alternative pathway for hydrogen loss from (tBuP₃P)IrH₃, a concerted heterolytic elimination of H₂ across the P-Ir bond of (tBuP₃P)IrH₃ was also considered. The TS was calculated to have a high free energy, 34.8 kcal/mol, leading to a dihydrogen complex, (tBuPPP)Ir(H₂)(H), which could then lose H₂, rather than leading directly to loss of H₂ (Figure 4).
Thermodynamically, the overall loss of H$_2$ from (tBuP$^+$PP)IrH$_3$ is calculated to be slightly endergonic to give either (tBuP$^+$pp)IrH ($\Delta G^o = 2.3$ kcal/mol) or (tBuPPP)IrH$_2$ ($\Delta G^o = 1.6$ kcal/mol).

(tBuP$^+$PP)IrH is calculated to undergo transfer of H (formally H$^+$) from the central phosphorus to iridium to give (tBuPPP)IrH$_2$, with a barrier of $\Delta G^\ddagger = 24.3$ kcal/mol. This reaction is approximately thermoneutral with $\Delta G^o = -0.7$ kcal/mol. The calculated thermodynamics and reaction barrier are consistent with the experimentally observed equilibrium between (tBuP$^+$PPP)IrH and (tBuPPP)IrH$_2$ ($\Delta G = -0.4$ kcal/mol) and the observed barrier of $\Delta G^\ddagger = 25.4$ kcal/mol at 60 °C (Scheme S17).66

While the transfer of H from P to Ir requires an approximate planarization at the central phosphorus for geometric reasons, it should be noted that the barrier to inversion at P in (tBuPPP)IrH$_2$ is calculated to be quite small; the TS has a free energy only 4.6 kcal/mol above (tBuPPP)IrH$_2$. Thus the need for planarity at P is not the major factor contributing to the substantial kinetic barrier for migration of H from P to Ir for (tBuPPP)IrH$_2$ ($\Delta G^\ddagger = 24.3$ kcal/mol). Relatedly, the barrier to proton migration for the conversion of (tBuP$^+$PP)IrCl to give (tBuPPP)IrHCl (with Cl in the endo position; Scheme 2) is calculated to be very similar, $\Delta G^\ddagger = 24.9$ kcal/mol (Figure 5); this value is consistent with the experimental observation that the reaction requires ca. 12 hours to reach completion at room temperature. The proton migration is calculated to lead initially to the exo-hydride isomer, as suggested in Scheme 2, which then undergoes inversion at $P_c$ with a relatively small barrier, $\Delta G^\ddagger = 13.4$ kcal/mol (Figure 5).
Figure 5. Free energy profile ($\Delta G^\circ/(\text{kcal/mol})$) for conversion of ($^{t\text{Bu}P\text{H}}PP$)IrCl to ($^{t\text{Bu}PPP}$)IrHCl (Cl-exo) via proton migration and inversion at P$_{C}$. “‡” indicates a transition state.

Alkane Dehydrogenation by ($^{t\text{Bu}PPP}$)Ir: Experimental Studies. The potential ability of ($^{t\text{Bu}PPP}$)Ir to catalyze alkane transfer-dehydrogenation, in analogy with ($^6\text{PCP}$)Ir complexes, was initially explored with n-octane and with 1-hexene as acceptor (eq 1). Conceptually at least, the use of 1-alkene as an acceptor simplifies analysis of the cycle as the hydrogenation segment of the catalytic cycle is essentially the microscopic reverse of dehydrogenation of the terminal position of the n-alkane.

\[
n-\text{octane} + 1-\text{hexene} \rightarrow \text{octenes} + \text{hexane} \quad \text{(1)}
\]

An n-octane solution of 1-hexene (0.2 M) and ($^{t\text{Bu}P\text{H}}PP$)Ir$_3$ (2.5 mM) was heated to 100 °C. Within 2 minutes the color was observed to change from golden yellow to a red color similar to that of a solution of ($^{t\text{Bu}PPP}$)Ir$_2$ and ($^{t\text{Bu}PPP}$)IrH. The reaction was monitored by gas chromatography for catalytic transfer dehydrogenation; after 2 minutes of heating 2.2 mM n-hexane (ca. 1 equiv), but no octenes, were observed. The solution was then subject to further heating at 100 °C. Very early (< 1 min) within the second period of heating, the solution color changed to a green similar to that of ($^{t\text{Bu}PPP}$)Ir(ethylene). After 2 min of heating subsequent to the first 2 min, 37 mM octenes (15 TO) and an equal amount of n-hexane had been produced (Figure 6); this represents a rate of catalytic alkane dehydrogenation at such temperature that is remarkably high as compared with any previous reports to our knowledge.$^{33-39, 55, 64, 79-83}$ Within 4 min (6 min including the first 2 min without octene formation) 63 mM octenes (25 TO) had formed. The quantity of hexanes formed was within experimental error equal to the quantities of octenes observed at all times, in accord with eq 1, while the disappearance of 1-hexene was much greater due to isomerization to trans- and cis-2-hexene.
Figure 6. n-Octane/1-hexene (200 mM) transfer dehydrogenation catalyzed by (tBuPPP)Ir (2.5 mM), 100 °C. (a) C₈ products. (b) 1-hexene and C₆ products.

For comparison, an analogous experiment was conducted with (iPrPCP)Ir as the catalyst, which has previously given the fastest rates of alkane/1-alkene transfer-dehydrogenation reported to date.³³⁻³⁹, ⁵⁵, ⁶⁴, ⁷⁹⁻⁸³ The reaction rate was much slower, approximately by a factor of 300, than was found for (tBuPPP)Ir (Figure 7). Thus (tBuPPP)Ir is apparently by far the fastest catalyst reported to date for n-alkane-to-alkene transfer dehydrogenation.

Figure 7. n-Octane/1-hexene (200 mM) transfer dehydrogenation catalyzed by (iPrPCP)Ir (2.5 mM), 100 °C. (a) C₈ products. (b) 1-hexene and C₆ products.

At early reaction time it can be seen (Figure 6) that the major kinetic product of n-octane dehydrogenation by (tBuPPP)Ir is 1-octene. Thus (tBuPPP)Ir shows the very desirable regioselectivity for dehydrogenation of the terminal position of n-alkane, as has been found with some, although not all, PCP-type iridium-based catalysts.⁸⁴ As the reaction proceeds, the ratio of regioisomers shifts in favor of the thermodynamically more favorable 2-olefins. This is presumably due to some combination of double-bond isomerization and hydrogenation that is selective for 1-octene versus internal octenes. Related to this, the rate of transfer dehydrogenation significantly decreases when the 1-hexene is consumed, although the majority of it has been isomerized to 2-hexenes and not hydrogenated; the 2-hexenes are much
less effective as hydrogen acceptors. Note that, based on microscopic reversibility, selectivity for hydrogenation of 1-hexene is necessarily correlated with regioselectivity of \(n\)-alkane dehydrogenation at the terminal position.

While condensed phase olefins are typically preferred as hydrogen acceptors for the sake of convenience, propene offers the advantage over 1-hexene or other 1-alkenes that it cannot undergo isomerization to internal olefins which are seen to be less effective as acceptors. Moreover, with respect to practical considerations at large scale, propene would be much more economical than alpha-olefins. Propene and the propane byproduct also offer the advantage of easy separation from solution, and the possibility of recycling the propane to propene using heterogeneous catalysts which are far less effective for the dehydrogenation of higher alkanes in terms of both chemo- and regioselectivity. Accordingly we investigated the use of propene as acceptor for \((^tBuPPP)Ir\text{-catalyzed dehydrogenation.}\)

1 atm propene was added at room temperature (9 mL headspace, 0.37 mmol) to an \(n\)-octane solution of \((P^tPP)IrH_3\) (2.5 mM, 1.0 mL, 0.0025 mmol). Rapid transfer dehydrogenation resulted at 80 °C, a temperature much lower than that usually employed for alkane dehydrogenation. After 30 min heating, 74 mM (30 TO) total octenes had formed (Figure 8), with ca. 12% of the propene hydrogenated. After 80 min heating, 148 mM (59 TO) total octenes was observed.

![Figure 8. Octane/Propylene (1 atm, 9 mL headspace) transfer dehydrogenation catalyzed by \((^tBuPPP)Ir\) (2.5 mM), 80 °C (a) Octene Products (b) Percent Composition of Propane/Propylene](image)

For comparison again, a run under the same conditions was conducted with \((iPrPCP)Ir\) as catalyst for \(n\)-alkane/propene dehydrogenation. 0.24 TO octenes were observed after 5 hours. Thus catalysis by \((^tBuPPP)Ir\) is over 1000 times faster than that by \((iPrPCP)Ir\) under these conditions. Previously \((iPrPCP)Ir\) had been reported to catalyze \(n\)-alkane/propene dehydrogenation at 68 TO/min and 9.6 TO/min at 180 °C and 160 °C respectively under 2 atm propene. A crude Eyring-plot extrapolation from these two points gives an expected rate at 80 °C of \(4.2 \times 10^{-4}\) min\(^{-1}\) under those conditions; thus the rate observed in the present experiment (ca. \(8 \times 10^{-4}\) min\(^{-1}\)) is not unexpectedly low.
The turnover rate (at initial time) for \( n \)-alkane/propene dehydrogenation by \((tBuPPP)Ir\) varies inversely with propene pressure (1 atm – 4 atm; Figure S1). These kinetics indicate a resting state of the composition \((tBuPPP)Ir(\text{propene})\) and a turnover-limiting step in which propene has reversibly dissociated from the catalyst. This is consistent with the rapid reversible dissociation of propene from \((tBuPPP)Ir(\text{propene})\) noted above.

**Alkane Dehydrogenation by \((tBuPPP)Ir\): DFT Computational Studies.** DFT calculations on catalysis by \((tBuPPP)Ir\) were conducted using the same computational methods as recently described\(^{84}\) in a study of alkane dehydrogenation by \((tBuPCP)Ir\) and related complexes. Results of the calculations, illustrated in Figures 9 and 10, reveal that the energy profiles for the reaction pathways of \((tBuPPP)Ir\) and \((tBuPCP)Ir\) are remarkably similar – but with two key differences:

1. In the case of \((tBuPPP)Ir\) the lowest energy pathway proceeds through the isomer of \((tBuPPP)Ir(\text{alkene})(H)\) in which the olefin is coordinated cis to the central coordinating atom (\(P_c\)) of the pincer ligand, and thus the hydrides are mutually cis. In contrast, in the case of the \((tBuPCP)Ir\) the analogous intermediate has mutually trans hydrides, and the olefin is trans to the PCP ipso-carbon.

2. The out-of-cycle resting state for both \(tBuPPP\) and \(tBuPCP\) complexes is the four-coordinate \(Ir(I)\) complex with 1-alkene positioned trans to the central coordinating atom. The binding of the olefin is calculated to be significantly weaker in \((tBuPPP)Ir(1\text{-alkene})\) than in \((tBuPCP)Ir(1\text{-alkene})\). The rate-determining \(\beta\)-H-transfer (BHT) TSs are similar in energy for \(tBuPPP\) and \(tBuPCP\), relative to the respective three-coordinate (pincer)Ir fragments (23.7 kcal/mol and 26.0 kcal/mol); the overall barrier to catalytic turnover, however, is determined by the free energy difference between the rate-determining \(\beta\)-H-transfer TS and the respective four-coordinate iridium-olefin resting state. At 298 K the calculated overall free energies of activation (\(\Delta G^\ddagger\)) are therefore 28.4 kcal/mol and 35.6 kcal/mol for \((tBuPPP)Ir\) and \((tBuPCP)Ir\), respectively (Figures 9 and 10). The weaker binding of olefin in the case \((tBuPPP)Ir(\text{alkene})\) can thus be seen as the key factor leading to the much higher activity of \((tBuPPP)Ir\) compared with \((tBuPCP)Ir\).
Figure 9. Free energy diagram (kcal/mol) for 1,2-dehydrogenation of \( n \)-hexane by \((t\text{BuPPP})\text{Ir}\) to give 1-hexene. Free energies calculated for [\( n \)-hexane] = 7.65 M (concentration of neat solvent), [1-hexene] = 1 M. “‡” indicates a transition state.

Figure 10. Free energy diagram (kcal/mol) for 1,2-dehydrogenation of \( n \)-hexane by \((t\text{BuPCP})\text{Ir}\) to give 1-hexene. Free energies calculated for [\( n \)-hexane] = 7.65 M (concentration of neat solvent), [1-hexene] = 1 M. “‡” indicates a transition state.
Figure 11. Free energy diagram (kcal/mol) for 1,2-dehydrogenation of n-hexane by (tBuPCP)Ir to give 1-hexene. Free energies calculated for [n-hexane] = 7.65 M (concentration of neat solvent), [1-hexene] = 1 M. “ǂ” indicates a transition state.

In the case of (iPrPCP)Ir, which is sterically much less hindered than (tBuPCP)Ir, the TSs for β-H-transfer and for olefin loss are calculated to be of comparable free energy, equal within the accuracy limits of the calculations (Figure 11). Relative to the respective three-coordinate fragment, both are much lower than the rate-determining TS for either (tBuPCP)Ir or (tBuPPP)Ir. Undoubtedly this results from (iPrPCP)Ir being less sterically demanding than (tBuPCP)Ir. The decreased steric crowding of (iPrPCP)Ir, however, also results in much stronger bonding of this fragment to 1-hexene in the resting state. The stronger binding of the olefin to (iPrPCP)Ir cancels out most of the reduction in free energy of the highest barrier relative to the three-coordinate fragment – although not all of the difference; hence (iPrPCP)Ir is a somewhat more active catalyst than (tBuPCP)Ir with an overall calculated barrier of $\Delta G^\ddagger = 33.2$ kcal/mol under the assumed conditions. This overall barrier, however, is 4.8 kcal/mol greater than that for (tBuPPP)Ir; at 80 °C this difference corresponds to a 900-fold difference in rate which is notably consistent with the experimentally determined difference.

The experimentally determined instability of (tBuPPP)Ir(ethylene) and the dissociation of ethylene on the NMR time scale (with $\Delta H^\ddagger = 19.8$ kcal/mol) is consistent with the calculated weaker binding of olefin to (tBuPPP)Ir versus (tBuPCP)Ir. The experimentally determined activation enthalpy is in good agreement with the calculated enthalpy of ethylene binding in (tBuPPP)Ir(C$_2$H$_4$), $\Delta H^\ddagger = -21.9$ kcal/mol compared with $\Delta H^\ddagger = -30.6$ kcal/mol for (tBuPCP)Ir(C$_2$H$_4$) (Table S16). Since it is this weak binding which appears be critical in explaining the very high activity of (tBuPPP)Ir we investigated this in further detail (Table 1).
Table 1. Calculated Free Energies of Olefin Binding to (Pincer)Ir

\[ (^{(6)}\text{PEP})\text{Ir} + \text{alkene} \rightarrow (^{(6)}\text{PEP})\text{Ir}(\text{alkene}) \quad (E = P, C) \quad \Delta G^* \quad(\text{kcal/mol}) \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>alkene</th>
<th>( (^{tBu}\text{PE})\text{Ir}(\text{alkene}) )</th>
<th>( (^{Me}\text{PE})\text{Ir}(\text{alkene}) )</th>
<th>( \Delta (^{tBu}\text{PCP} - ^{tBu}\text{PPP}) )</th>
<th>( \Delta (^{Me}\text{PCP} - ^{Me}\text{PPP}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ethylene</td>
<td>-7.6</td>
<td>-16.0</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propene</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>-8.9</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-hexene</td>
<td>-4.7</td>
<td>-9.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-2-hexene</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>-4.7</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-2-hexene</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Olefin binding to \( (^{tBu}\text{PPP})\text{Ir} \) is calculated to be significantly weaker than to \( (^{tBu}\text{PCP})\text{Ir} \) for all olefins investigated (Table 1). To determine if the origin of this effect is electronic or steric, we calculated bond strengths for the analogous \( (^{Me}\text{PE})\text{Ir} \) \( (E = P \text{ or } C) \) complexes, for which steric effects are presumably much less significant than the \( (^{tBu}\text{PE})\text{Ir} \) analogs. In strong contrast with the \( (^{tBu}\text{PE})\text{Ir} \) complexes, the Ir-olefin BDFEs for \( (^{Me}\text{PCP})\text{Ir} \) and \( (^{Me}\text{PPP})\text{Ir} \) are strikingly similar. The large differences in the Ir-olefin bond strengths found in the case of \( (^{tBu}\text{PE})\text{Ir} \) complexes are thus primarily attributable to steric effects. This is consistent with reports indicating that terminal phosphido groups have a trans-influence very similar to that of an aryl group.\(^{86}\)

If the significantly weaker binding of olefins to \( ^{tBu}\text{PPP} \) versus \( ^{tBu}\text{PCP} \) results from greater steric crowding in the former, this raises the question: Why does the increased crowding not raise the energy of the rate-determining TS even more than (or at least as much as) it raises the energy of the four-coordinate (pincer)Ir(olefin) complex? To address this question, the steric profiles of \( ^{tBu}\text{PCP} \) and \( ^{tBu}\text{PPP} \) were probed using the SambVca 2.1 web application (Figure S54).\(^{87}\) The mapped catalytic pocket indicates that \( ^{tBu}\text{PPP} \) is slightly less sterically hindered than \( ^{tBu}\text{PCP} \) (79.1% buried versus 85.7% buried) (Table 2). But more important than the overall buried volume is the arrangement of the steric bulk, which is radically different for the two complexes.

Table 2. Percent buried free volumes of hemispheres centered on three open coordination sites of \( ^{tBu}\text{PPP} \) and \( ^{tBu}\text{PCP} \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>%BurVol total</th>
<th>cis-exo face</th>
<th>cis-endo face</th>
<th>trans face</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( ^{tBu}\text{PPP} )Ir</td>
<td>79.1%</td>
<td>66.2%</td>
<td>92.0%</td>
<td>84.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ^{tBu}\text{PCP} )Ir</td>
<td>85.7%</td>
<td>85.9%</td>
<td>85.6%</td>
<td>78.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 12. Illustration of buried volumes of hemispheres centered on three open coordination sites of (tBuPPP)Ir and (tBuPCP)Ir.

For a six-coordinate (tBuPEP)Ir (E = C or P) complex, three coordination sites are available. The percent buried volume of the hemisphere approximately centered on each of those three sites was determined. As indicated in Table 2 and Figure 12, in the case of (tBuPPP)Ir, the hemisphere centered on the (exo) site cis to the central coordinating atom (Pc) is quite uncrowded (BV = 66%). The face trans to Pc is crowded (BV = 85%) and the endo cis face is even more crowded (BV = 92%). In marked contrast, for (tBuPCP)Ir, the faces cis to Cipso are both significantly more crowded (BV = 86%) than the face trans to Cipso (BV = 79%).

Viewing the BHT TS as having a geometry approximately resembling the olefin dihydride product to which it leads, the arrangement of steric bulk in (tBuPCP)Ir would favor the BHT TS that leads to the olefin dihydride isomer with the olefin trans to Cipso. It also favors, however, the resting state in which the olefin is trans to Cipso, which contributes to an increase in the overall reaction barrier.

In sharp contrast, in the case of (tBuPPP)Ir, the arrangement of steric bulk favors the BHT TS leading to the olefin dihydride isomer with the olefin at the very uncrowded exo cis site. But while the crowding at the site trans to Pc allows the presence of a hydride (or incipient hydride) without much energetic penalty, it significantly raise the energy of the four-coordinate d8 resting state in which the olefin resides at that site.

The critical factor favoring catalytic activity of (tBuPPP)Ir versus (tBuPCP)Ir is thus the destabilization of the four-coordinate (pincer)Ir(olefin) resting state relative to the BHT TS, due to the positioning of steric bulk specifically at the coordination site trans to the central coordinating atom. The steric demands at that site are largely circumvented by a BHT TS that leads to the cis-dihydride olefin tBuPPP complex, in contrast with (tBuPCP)Ir for which the most favorable BHT TS leads to the trans-dihydride. It is noteworthy in this context that what appear to be the most active catalysts for n-alkane dehydrogenation previously known, triptycene-based PCP-pincer iridium complexes reported by Bézier and Brookhart, are unusual in that they also have a central coordinating group that is non-planar (tetrahedral carbon).33, 79, 81-83
The different isomeric pathways can have additional implications, beyond relative destabilization of the resting state, for both activity and regioselectivity. β-H-transfer is rate-determining for both (tBuPPP)Ir and (tBuPCP)Ir pathways, and the TSs for the two fragments have very similar free energies relative to the respective three-coordinate fragment. The subsequent step in the cycles, however, loss of olefin, has a TS that is 3.2 kcal/mol higher for (tBuPCP)Ir(1-hexene) than for (tBuPPP)Ir(1-hexene), although the product of olefin dissociation is actually 4.3 kcal/mol lower for (tBuPCP)Ir(1-hexene). This kinetic barrier to loss of alkene is attributable to the formation, as olefin dissociates, of a very high-energy trans-dihydride, while relaxation to a dihydride with an acute H-Ir-H angles occurs only after the Ir-olefin bond is essentially fully broken. In contrast the cis-dihydride geometry is only slightly higher than the relaxed geometry; thus the kinetics of olefin dissociation from the cis dihydride (or addition to the cis dihydride) are more facile. While the kinetic barrier to olefin loss has no effect on the overall barrier or selectivity in the case of (tBuPCP)Ir(1-hexene), in the case of (iPrPCP)Ir the TS for olefin dissociation is calculated to be very slightly higher than the TS for β-H-transfer, although the difference is too small to be considered meaningful. We have shown, however, that the barrier to olefin dissociation plays an important role in both the rate and selectivity of catalytic alkane dehydrogenation by the closely related fragment (tBuPOCOP)Ir which has oxygen instead of methylene linkers connecting the phosphino groups to the central arene ring. For dehydrogenation by (tBuPOCOP)Ir, as well as other derivatives with one or two oxygen linkers, the kinetic barrier to olefin dissociation is high enough that it becomes the rate-determining step. This therefore contributes to the overall reaction barrier and, moreover, it was found to be responsible for a lack of regioselectivity for dehydrogenation at the n-alkane terminal position.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Iridium complexes of a bulky triphosphorus-pincer containing a secondary phospine have been synthesized and their chemistry explored. 1,2-migration of H from phosphorus to iridium has been observed, with free energy barriers of ca. 25 kcal/mol. In the case of (tBuP)IrH the migration is reversible and approximately thermoneutral. This represents, to our knowledge, the first example of a reversible metal-phosphorus proton transfer.

Both the tBuPHPP pincer and the deprotonated phosphido-based tBuPPP pincer have a pyramidal central phosphorus which leads to a very unsymmetrical environment in which one coordination site cis to Pc is very crowded (the endo face) while the other such site (exo) is very open. As a result, H2 addition to (tBuPHPP)IrH to give (tBuPHPP)IrH3, and the reverse H2 elimination, occur with high regioselectivity at the exo face. The pyramidal geometry at Pc also results in particularly pronounced crowding at the site trans to Pc.

The (tBuPOCOP)Ir fragment is formally analogous to (tBuPCP)Ir species which are well known to catalyze alkane dehydrogenation. (tBuPPP)Ir is found to catalyze n-alkane transfer dehydrogenation, using propene as a hydrogen acceptor, over a thousand fold faster than
(iPrPC)Ir, the previously reported fastest catalyst for such reactions. Alkane dehydrogenation with appreciable rates can thus be achieved at unprecedentedly low temperatures.\textsuperscript{89}

The origin of the high catalytic activity has been elucidated through a combination of mechanistic experimental and computational studies. β-H-transfer (BHT) by the alkyl hydride that is formed by alkane C-H addition is calculated to be the rate-limiting step. The energy of the BHT TS, relative to the (pincer)Ir fragment, is found to be comparable for (tBuPPP)Ir and (tBuPC)Ir catalysts. In the case of (tBuPPP)Ir, however, the lowest BHT TS leads to a cis-dihydride intermediate with an olefin coordinated cis (exo) to the central coordinating atom of the pincer ligand (P). In contrast, in the case of (tBuPC)Ir, the lowest BHT TS leads to a trans-dihydride complex with the olefin positioned trans to the ipso-carbon of the phenyl ring.

Although the BHT TSs are of similar energy relative to the respective (pincer)Ir fragments, olefin is bound much more weakly in the resting state, (pincer)Ir(olefin), in the case of (tBuPPP)Ir(olefin) than in (tBuPC)Ir(olefin). Computational studies reveal that the trans influence of P and C_{ipso} are very similar, but crowding is much greater at the site trans to the central coordinating atom in (tBuPPP)Ir(olefin) and this is responsible for the much weaker olefin binding.

Thus, in the case of (tBuPPP)Ir the very open exo coordination site permits a facile alkane dehydrogenation pathway in which olefin is formed at that site, while the much less sterically demanding hydrides occupy the other two, much more crowded, coordination sites. In the case of (tBuPC)Ir the most open site is trans to C_{ipso}; this results in a comparably facile pathway in which the olefin is formed at that site. Critically, however, the same lack of crowding at that site also allows strong bonding of olefin in the resting state, (tBuPC)Ir(olefin), thus increasing the overall barrier to catalysis. Thus, the unprecedented activity of alkane dehydrogenation by (tBuPPP)Ir is largely attributable to the high degree of crowding at the coordination site trans to the central coordination atom, P, along with a particular lack of crowding at the (exo) coordination site cis to P.

Three-coordinate d\textsuperscript{8} fragments play an important role in the functionalization of C-H bonds and other catalytic reactions, and frequently the resting states are four-coordinate planar d\textsuperscript{8} complexes. The general architectural motif which proves very favorable in the present system may perhaps therefore be applicable toward achieving high levels of catalytic activity based on d\textsuperscript{8} transition metal fragments more broadly.
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Vlugt and co-workers have reported that the analogous P^3PPP proligand reacts with an analogous iridium precursor, [Ir(COD)_{µ-OMe}]_2, to give (P^3PPP)Ir(COD); thus elimination of MeOH occurred rather than loss of COD as in the present reaction (ref 51). The P^3PPP ligand of (P^3PPP)Ir(COD) adopts a facial coordination mode (which is never observed in the present work with P^4PPP). The central phosphorus atom of (P^4PPP)Ir(COD) can be protonated with [NH_2][PF_6], reversibly, but the cationic complex [(P^4ppp)Ir(COD)][PF_6] does not undergo migration of H from P to Ir.

A rhodium analogue of (P^4ppp)IrCl has been reported, (P^4ppp)RhCl, and was found not to undergo migration of H from P to Rh (ref 50).


See Supporting Information.


While we did not find any non-romatic solvent suitable for the reaction to give (P^4ppp)IrH, it was repeated in benzene-d_6, toluene-d_6, and p-xylene-d_10. No significant difference in chemical shifts resulted, arguing against the possibility that the very downfield shift of the hydride was in any way related to ring current due to the aromatic solvent or a reaction with the solvent.
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DFT-ZORA calculations reproduce the downfield shift, the origin of which is currently under investigation. C. Raynaud, O. Eisenstein; personal communication.

The only other example, to our knowledge, of MLC interconversion between an iridium monohydride and dihydride also gives an observable equilibrium (ref 69).

Huang and co-workers have recently reported a series of PCP-type catalysts, bearing one N and one O atom as linkers. These show activity somewhat greater than that of (PPh3)Ir for COA/TBE transfer-dehydrogenation, by a factor of ca. 3, and are likewise very active for n-alkane/TBE transfer-dehydrogenation (ref 80). The most active catalysts previously reported for n-alkane/TBE transfer-dehydrogenation, to our knowledge, were those reported by Bézier and Brookhart (ref 81), based on the PC(sp3)P triptycene pincer complexes developed by Gelman (refs 82 and 83).
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