Multimodal spectroscopy with chemometrics for the forensic analysis of the inorganic content of Western Australian sandy soils
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Abstract

This study demonstrates a multi-modal analytical sequence suited to the characterisation of sandy soils, which remain an underutilised form of forensic trace evidence. Within the Swan Coastal Plain in Perth, Western Australia, most soils are heavily leached with only small deviations in their mineral compositions. Traditional soil analyses are hence rendered inappropriate for use due to the lack of clay and organic matter. This has led to inorganic methods of analysis predominating, in addition to experimentation with modified techniques. One example is utilisation of the quartz-recovered fine fraction, which is suitable for dry, quartz-dominated sandy soils. In this study, preliminary investigations used the spectroscopic techniques microspectrophotometry, infrared spectroscopy, and x-ray diffraction, to develop a multi-faceted approach for the forensic analysis of the quartz fine fraction of soils. These data were then combined with principal component analysis to demonstrate how chemometrics can assist with objective characterisation and differentiation of sandy soil samples for forensic purposes. Chemometric analysis has not previously been attempted with data obtained from the quartz fine fraction. This methodology is transferable to other jurisdictions where dry, sandy soils predominate.
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1.0 Introduction

Soil is a useful but occasionally underutilised form of forensic trace evidence (1-5), generally retrieved from clothing, footwear, vehicles, or a crime scene (2-4, 6-10). There has been increasing prevalence of soil evidence in recent international criminal investigations, leading to increased demand for more reliable techniques for objective forensic examination of soils (4, 8, 11). Soil evidence can be of significant value to investigators due to its complexity, heterogeneity, and transferability. The underlying chemical and physical properties of soil are naturally in an ongoing state of change, leading to a highly complex and dynamic material (2, 8-12). Even though neighbouring soil systems tend to be closely related, they each may have distinctive geology, flora and fauna, topography, and history that could allow for their differentiation and identification (3, 10-12). In a forensic context, most soil evidence is urban in origin, containing a mixture of inorganic mineral grains, organic matter, a living microbiome, and man-made materials (1, 3, 6, 13). This has made the development, implementation, and acceptance of standardised soil analysis procedures challenging (12, 13). While several techniques hold the potential for forensic soil analysis, rigorous method validation is a prerequisite for these methods to be routinely adopted (8, 9, 11).

Making more extensive use of soil evidence requires improved knowledge of the soils within specific regions, such as the Swan Coastal Plain within Perth, Western Australia. Understanding the frequency and nature of a particular trace is central to being able to evaluate its source (14). This is particularly true for soils, as their properties can fluctuate greatly across different locations. In Western Australia, the Swan Coastal Plain encompasses most of the Perth metropolitan region (15-17). Four main systems form the Swan Coastal Plain; the Quindalup, Spearwood, and Bassendean dunes, as well as the Pinjarra Plain (15-18). A more detailed description of the Perth dune systems can be found in Pitts et al. (19). Within these dunes, the majority of soils are heavily leached, with only small deviations in their mineral compositions (17, 18). Traditional analyses are not appropriate for use with soils due to the lack of clay and organic matter, which has led to inorganic methods of analysis predominating. While there is a current demand for increased use of soil evidence to assist in solving crime, there is still an absence of any in-depth investigations in published literature into sandy soils and their role as geological evidence in a forensic context.

Within forensic soil science, techniques for the analysis of the inorganic content within samples have been widely established across many disciplines (1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 9, 20). The most common methods currently utilised include polarised light microscopy for general identification of minerals and rocks (1), inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) for semi-quantitative comparisons of the elemental composition (10, 21, 22), and x-ray diffraction (XRD) or scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDX) for mineral speciation and characterisation of native metals (7, 13, 23, 24). In many methodologies, several techniques are undertaken on separated fractions of soil, allowing further differentiation compared to the bulk chemistry (10, 21, 23, 24). The comparison of the quartz-recovered fine fraction (primary and secondary minerals < 20 mm found as coatings on quartz grains) is a method recently developed by Pitts and Clarke, which allows for differentiation of minute and fractionated forensic samples (25). This method is suitable for soils such as those found in Perth, where the soil texture is dominated by quartz sand.

Additional instrumental methods have recently been explored for forensic soil analysis of the inorganic content. Visible microspectrophotometry (MSP), diffuse reflectance infrared
Fourier transform spectroscopy, attenuated total reflectance Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR), and Raman spectroscopy have all been investigated for colour determination and compositional analysis of soil samples (4, 26, 27). X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy and laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS) have also been explored for semi-quantitative estimation of elemental composition (26, 28). However, these techniques are still considered to be in the developmental stage due to limited substantive validation and reliability testing. Most of these methods also make use of the bulk soil sample for analysis, which is impractical for some soils that have minimal variation in their bulk chemistry, such as quartz sands, like those found on the Swan Coastal Plain, which require more detailed examinations (24, 25).

A further challenge to forensic soil analysis is the interpretation of results. This is an issue that has been the topic of significant discussion within the international forensic community over recent decades (29, 30). More recently, the Australian and New Zealand Policing Advisory Agency National Institute of Forensic Science has identified the need for research into the development of robust and relevant data sets to underpin the interpretation of findings of analysis of traces (14). One approach that will assist in a more objective and transparent interpretation of soil evidence is to utilise multivariate statistical methods, or chemometrics, to evaluate the relationships between samples (6, 10, 31). Data can be analysed using unsupervised techniques to establish patterns or correlations, such as principal component analysis (PCA), or supervised techniques that require pre-established groupings, such as linear discriminative analysis (LDA) (6, 10, 27). When PCA is used in conjunction with detailed chemical analysis methods, it can quickly simplify data and visualise its distribution to provide a quantitative measure of similarity between samples (4, 10, 11, 27, 31). This can prove useful when undertaking common ‘questioned versus known’ comparisons.

Whilst the use of chemometrics has been extensively applied to organic forensic soil analysis (4, 6, 8, 9, 26, 27, 32-35), there has been limited reports of use with inorganic data (5, 24, 36, 37). In 2011, Jantzi and Almirall developed a method for LIBS analysis of surface soil samples, using PCA to discriminate between sample sites (37). Reidy et al. also ran a successful mock crime scene scenario involving comparative PCA and discriminant analysis of soils based on their elemental distribution fingerprints determined from ICP-MS (10). Kammrath et al. then published a case study utilising a novel technique that combined morphologically-directed Raman spectroscopy with PCA to evaluate forensic soil samples (36), while Testoni et al. worked towards validation of a standard operating procedure for forensic soil analysis utilising ICP optical spectrometry, thermogravimetry analysis, and XRD alongside PCA (5). More recently, Melo et al. investigated the use of particle size distribution, XRD, and SEM-EDX to characterise the sand fraction of subtropical soils, using PCA and Bray-Curtis dissimilarity measures to discriminate between samples (24). This study investigated soil types like the dry, sandy soils found in Western Australia, however their method was unsuitable for effective discrimination between these soils.

In addition, most published studies have previously focused on a single approach for the analysis of samples, overlooking the detail that in standard forensic practice it is far more common to utilise multiple examination methods (6, 9, 10, 27, 36, 37). Soil analysis, like other areas of forensic analysis, is typically carried out in a sequence, utilizing the least destructive methods first and gathering further information as the sequence progresses. It would therefore be more realistic to look at a suite of instrumental methods and experiment with combining them in a sequence. The use of chemometrics should be demonstrated alongside each stage of the sequence, including visual examination, to highlight how it can provide investigators with supporting information. Chemometric
analysis has also never been demonstrated on data obtained from methods analysing the quartz fine fraction within soils, so its suitability for use with different soil types, such as the dry sands found within Western Australia, has not been shown.

In this study, preliminary proof-of-concept investigations explored the use of well-established spectroscopic techniques to further develop a multi-faceted approach for forensic analysis of the quartz fine fraction of soils, that can provide better evidence of association in a courtroom context. These data were then used in combination with PCA to demonstrate how chemometrics can be utilised for the objective characterisation and differentiation of sandy soil samples for forensic purposes.

2.0 Materials and Methods

2.1 Collection of soil samples

Soil samples were collected from a large range of areas across the Perth (Western Australia) metropolitan region, with the locations specifically chosen to allow for a selection of samples from differing dune and plant systems. This was done by first gently clearing the area of large organic material such as leaf litter or mulch, placing a wooden frame (30 cm x 30 cm) onto the sample surface, and then using a small shovel to collect approximately 30 mL of the first 0-5 cm in depth of soil from one corner of the grid into a clean plastic container. This process was repeated in the other three corners as well as the centre. The GPS coordinates of these locations were recorded, along with information on the accompanying geological and botanical influences surrounding the sites. All samples were initially stored in a -20 °C freezer and then freeze-dried to minimise degradation. Nine (9) initial locations were selected for preliminary investigations (generally 2 surface samples from each location).

2.2 Preparation of quartz fine fraction

Preparation of the quartz fine fraction was based on the method developed by Pitts and Clarke (25). Soil samples were passed through a 2 mm sieve to remove any larger organic material and man-made remnants. Roughly 100 - 250 mg of quartz grains were hand-picked from each sample under a microscope, weighed accurately, and transferred to liquid scintillation vials. Approximately 1.5 cm of deionised water was added to each vial, and samples were then ultrasonicated for 10 minutes. One by one, samples were agitated and then settled for 30 seconds, before the liquid was decanted into new vials. These were then centrifuged, and the supernatant was removed without disturbing the semi-solid layer concentrated below. The semi-solid material was then mixed with the remaining liquid before the suspensions were pipetted onto individual clean low background plates for the XRD. These were left to dry overnight, resulting in thin solid films. Post-XRD analysis, these films were removed from the low background plates and stored in aluminium foil for further analysis.

2.3 Microspectrophotometry analysis

Samples were mounted on glass microscope slides for analysis. Spectra were acquired from 310 – 800 nm using a CRAIC QDI 2000 microspectrophotometer, calibrated using NIST traceable standards, operated in reflectance mode with 150x magnification. An auto-set optimisation, dark scan, and reference scan were obtained prior to each sample analysis. Ten replicate scans were taken over different areas of each sample to account for intra-sample variation.
2.4 **Attenuated total reflectance infrared analysis**

All samples were analysed with constant applied pressure on a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS50 FTIR spectrometer with a single-bounce diamond ATR crystal. Spectra were recorded in absorbance mode over a range of 4000 – 400 cm\(^{-1}\), with 64 accumulated scans at a spectral resolution of 4 cm\(^{-1}\). Three repeat scans were recorded for each soil sample, with duplicate samples per location. A background scan of the clean diamond crystal was acquired before each sample scan. Spectra were initially ATR-corrected for further chemometric analysis but ultimately resulted in unreliable data, as the refractive index of a natural heterogeneous material like soil cannot accurately be determined, so uncorrected spectra were utilised instead.

2.5 **X-ray diffraction analysis**

X-ray diffraction analysis of samples was carried out under ambient laboratory conditions using a Philips Analytical PW1820 automatic powder diffractometer (APD) with Bragg-Brentano para-focusing geometry and CoK\(\alpha\) radiation. Scanning, using a step size of 0.05° from 4-80° 2\(\theta\) and a counting time of 12 seconds per step, was found to provide data of good quality from sample deposits. XRD patterns were zero-offset corrected using the 5.91 Å reflection of the low background plate.

2.6 **Statistical analysis of spectroscopic data**

Chemometric analysis was conducted using The Unscrambler® X 10.5 (CAMO Software AS, Oslo, Norway). The regions associated with interference from the ATR diamond crystal (2350 – 1950 cm\(^{-1}\)) in IR trials and the low background plates in XRD trials were excluded from chemometric analysis to prevent them from influencing the model. Data were baseline offset corrected and normalised (range normalised for all XRD trials, unit vector normalised for all other trials) prior to PCA analysis. Data were then mean-centred and subjected to principal component analysis (PCA) using the non-linear iterative partial least squares (NIPALS) algorithm. 3-dimensional scores plots were generated using the scores from the relevant principal components (PCs) to visualise the sample distribution.

3.0 **Results and Discussion**

3.1 **Preliminary considerations**

A total of 18 samples from 9 locations were chosen for analysis (full details outlined in Table 1). Photographs taken of the soil samples (Fig. 1) prior to sieving and quartz recovery were used to determine their overall visual colour. It is evident that several of the soil samples are immediately distinguishable from one another based on visual inspection alone, however locations 1, 3, 8, and 9 have little distinctive differences, requiring more advanced techniques to objectively tell them apart.
**Figure 1.** Soil samples obtained from the 9 different locations used throughout this study, illustrating the visual colour differences.

**Table 1.** The soil samples used in preliminary trials throughout this study, with their associated locations and visual descriptions (*only 1 sample (2b) included in XRD trials). 2 samples from the 5 collected were analysed at each location. Map showing locations and GPS coordinates is included in ESI (Fig. A1 & Table A1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Sample</th>
<th>Location No.</th>
<th>Location (Perth Suburb)</th>
<th>Visual Appearance</th>
<th>Dune System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Kings Park</td>
<td>Grey sand</td>
<td>Spearwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>2*</td>
<td>Coolbinia</td>
<td>Dark brown sand/mulch</td>
<td>Spearwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Bayswater</td>
<td>Grey sand</td>
<td>Bassendean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Balga</td>
<td>Yellow sand</td>
<td>Spearwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Edgewater</td>
<td>Yellow/brown sand</td>
<td>Spearwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6a</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Murdoch University</td>
<td>Brown sand</td>
<td>Spearwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7a</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Champion Lakes</td>
<td>Red sand</td>
<td>Pinjarra Plain (mixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8a</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Yangebup</td>
<td>Grey sand</td>
<td>Spearwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9a</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Banjup</td>
<td>Grey sand</td>
<td>Bassendean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this study, analysis methods were determined by focusing on non-destructive instrumental techniques that can be used to provide information on the inorganic content of soils. Analysis was initiated by conducting a visual examination of the soil samples as the first step, followed by analysis of the colour instrumentally using MSP, then ATR-FTIR spectroscopy, and lastly XRD. This the order in which a forensic examiner would generally already carry out the forensic analysis of soils (11, 38).
3.2 Microspectrophotometry

Microspectrophotometry is a spectroscopic technique that has been established as an examination method for forensic soil, as it provides an objective and more precise measurement of the colour of a small sample compared to visual colour comparisons (4). MSP spectra (Fig. 2) were therefore collected from the extracted quartz fine fraction of 17 soil samples collected from 9 different locations across the Swan Coastal Plain in Perth, Western Australia. Whilst some samples could be separated from the population based on visual examination of the spectra alone, e.g., sample 7a, others were too like confidently tell apart. Chemometric methods were employed to identify and enhance any differences between them.

![Figure 2](image.png)

**Figure 2.** MSP reflectance spectra showing the variability in composition of 4 soil samples collected from differing locations within the Swan Coastal Plain.

PCA performed on these spectra revealed that 97.9% of the total variance in the dataset could be described by the first three PCs (Fig. A2). Three-dimensional score plots generated using these PCs (Fig. 3) revealed that most of the soil samples formed clusters based on the location from which they were collected, however, there was also a great deal of overlap throughout most of these clusters.

![Figure 3](image.png)

**Figure 3.** 3-dimensional PCA scores plot (shown from two perspectives) showing the variability of soil samples from different locations based on their corresponding MSP spectra.
As anticipated, the samples that achieved the greatest separation from the rest of the population were the red sands from location 7, as they had stronger differences in their MSP spectra due to their distinctive colour. Whilst commonly referred to as “red” sands throughout this study, this term was only adopted due to its regular use in Australia, and it must be noted that the appearance of location 7’s soil could easily be described as orange (thus reinforcing the issues of subjective descriptions of colour). Location 9 soils contained the most intra-sample variability in their composition, with the samples spread out considerably across all PCs and overlapping with almost all the other location clusters on at least one PC. In this instance, using the full MSP data for chemometric analysis highlights the large degree of intra-variability within soil samples, due to their naturally occurring environments.

The factor loadings for the first three PCs (Fig. 4) can be studied to determine the regions in the MSP spectra that are associated with (and likely responsible for) the discrimination of samples along each component.

![Factor loading plot of PCs 1-3 for PCA of the soil MSP reflectance dataset, with the main areas of interest highlighted.](image)

Figure 4. Factor loading plot of PCs 1-3 for PCA of the soil MSP reflectance dataset, with the main areas of interest highlighted.

The loadings plot for PC-1 has a global minima ca. 580 nm, associated with the reflectance of the yellow/orange region of the visible light spectrum. Samples separated along this PC may therefore contain different proportions of the yellow/orange components present in their soil. For example, all the yellow and red sands (locations 4, 5, and 7) are skewed along PC-1 in a negative direction. This is due to their high proportion of yellow/orange components combined with the negative association of PC-1 with this spectral region. The loading plots for PC-2 and PC-3 are very similar, revealing a negative correlation with ca. 490 nm, associated with the reflectance of blue/green light, and positive correlation ca. 600 – 620 nm, associated with the reflectance of orange light. The red soils from location 7 are best separated from the rest of the population along PC-2, while all the similarly coloured brown sands are situated nearest the red sands, also in a positive direction along PC-2. The loading plot for PC-3 also displays one global minima ca. 690 nm, associated with the reflectance of red light. However, these loadings exhibited a large degree of noise. It is questionable as to whether PC-3 (responsible for just 2% of the total variance) represents any systematic variation between samples, or simply noise in the dataset. When the scores plot was replotted utilising only PC-1 and PC-2 (Fig. 5), comparable separation was achieved to that from the 3D model, indicating that PC-3 does not significantly contribute to the separation of these samples.
Figure 5. 2-dimensional PCA scores plot showing the variability of soil samples from different locations based on their corresponding MSP spectra.

Colour coding of the soil samples in the PCA model based on visually assigned groupings (outlined in Table 1) rather than sampling location, resulted in clustering based on their overall colour as expected (Fig. 6).

Figure 6. 2-dimensional PCA scores plot showing the variability in the visual appearance of soil samples based on their corresponding MSP spectra.
The red sands were again discriminated from the rest of the population, however, there was a great deal of overlap between the yellow and yellow/brown sands, yellow/brown and brown sands, and the brown and dark brown sands respectively. The grey sands were once again dispersed throughout the plot, overlapping with all clusters except the red sands. While the MSP spectra are very closely correlated with the visual appearance of the soils, they do account for more of the variablity in colour difference compared with visual examination and are therefore a more objective and accurate determination of the colour of the sample. This may become a disadvantage when using complex statistical methods on the data, as reflectance spectra can be noisy and not reproducible. This may lead to greater uncertainty and more difficulty when attempting to differentiate between samples, and ultimately can result in the overlapping of samples or significant separation within a sample class.

3.3 Infrared spectroscopy

Use of IR spectroscopy has been hesitantly applied to naturally occurring heterogenous materials due to the large degree of variability within samples (4, 26). While studies have been conducted that make use of IR spectroscopy to analyse soil for forensic purposes, these have involved very different soil types to those found in the dry, sandy climate of Western Australia (4, 27, 39).

ATR-FTIR absorbance spectra (Fig. 7) were collected from the extracted quartz fine fraction of the same 17 soil samples outlined above.

![Figure 7. ATR-IR absorbance spectra showing the variability in composition of 4 soil samples collected from different locations within the Swan Coastal Plain. The region associated with the ATR-IR diamond was not removed from these spectra.](image)

Whilst some obvious differences can be seen between sample spectra, chemometric methods were applied to maximise the differentiation between samples. A PCA model was built using these spectra to highlight the variance in samples obtained from different locations. PCA revealed that 93.2% of the total variance in the dataset could be described by the first three PCs (Fig. A3). Three-dimensional score plots generated using these PCs (Fig. 8) resulted in most soil samples forming distinct clusters based on the location from which they were collected, with only a few locations displaying slight intra-site sample separation.
Soils from locations 3 and 6 exhibited the largest degree of separation between intra-site samples, forming two separate clusters based on individual samples rather than the overall location from which they originated. Both locations were accessible by the public and highly managed, with one being a public park and one a garden at a university campus, reinforcing that human interference will have a notable effect on soil composition.

The factor loadings for the first three PCs are shown in Fig. 9.

Many of the peaks within the loadings appear distorted due to a high degree of interference and overlap between positive and negative correlations, making mineral identification challenging. Minor variations in mineral content would create differences
in the overall spectra, but it is difficult to completely identify the cause from the loadings alone. Identification was attempted based on the known IR spectra of common minerals and compounds found within Swan Coastal Plain soils, found in Table 2 below.

**Table 2.** Common minerals found in soil, and their associated IR peaks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral/compound</th>
<th>IR peaks (cm⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kaolinite²</td>
<td>3695, 3620, 1113, 1031, 1008, 912, 754, 698, 538, 470, 430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz²</td>
<td>1082, 790/778, 692, 459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematite²</td>
<td>1179, 1117, 1084, 548, 470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibbsite²</td>
<td>3454 (broad), 1585, 1425, 975, 578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermiculite³</td>
<td>3330 (broad), 1640, 944 (skewed), 815, 719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goethite²</td>
<td>3457 (broad), 3102, 1641, 1425, 900, 803, 664, 568, 461/426/402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microcline feldspar¹</td>
<td>1129, 985, 768, 725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humic acid (sodium salt)³</td>
<td>3277 (broad), 2919/2850, 1563, 1380, 1090- (broad)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Thermo Fisher Scientific for Nicolet FTIR, “HR comprehensive Forensic FT-IR”
³SensIR technologies, 2001, “Common chemicals by Diamond ATR”

Variation along PC-1 may be attributed to negative correlations with kaolinite, with smaller positive contributions from quartz and possibly gibbsite/organics. Samples from location 7 are best separated along this PC in a negative direction, closely followed by locations 4, 6, and 5, alluding to greater levels of kaolinite in these soils. Samples from locations 8 and 9 are best separated in a positive direction, presumably due to having higher concentrations of quartz, gibbsite, and/or carbon-based organics. The loadings for PC-2 suggests strong negative correlation with quartz, with possible smaller contributions from gibbsite and C=O organics. It also shows a potential positive correlation with kaolinite. Samples from location 9 are again the best separated from the rest of the population due to negative scores along PC-2, suggesting that they once again may contain a higher composition of quartz grains, and lower quantities of kaolinite relative to other samples. PC-3 appears to have a strong positive correlation with gibbsite, and negative correlations with goethite, C-H from humus, and possibly quartz/hematite. Samples from location 8 were skewed the most positively along PC-3 indicating theoretically higher concentrations of gibbsite. Samples from location 6 were close behind, however, this may be an outlier as the other location 6 sample obtained a less positive score along PC-3. Samples from locations 2 and 3 (the latter could again be an outlier) were situated at the most negative point along PC-3, indicating that they may contain the highest levels of goethite, humus, and/or quartz/hematite in their soil.

While tentative assessments can be made regarding the identification of minerals contributing to the loadings, it is necessary to allow for the possibility that compounds other than the common minerals listed in Table 2 are being detected through ATR-FTIR analysis. Using another analysis technique in sequence with ATR-FTIR, such as XRD, would allow for cross-referencing of the minerals being detected to confirm the above associations.
While the previous PCA model was able to distinguish samples based on their original locations, improved separation was investigated by attempting to reveal greater structure in the ATR-FTIR data based on other attributes, such as their visual appearance. Colour coding of the soil samples in the PCA model based on their visual appearance (outlined in Table 1) resulted in most of the distinct colour groups clustering similarly, except for the grey sands, which were dispersed across a larger area within the plot (Fig. 10).

![Figure 10](image.png)

**Figure 10.** 3-dimensional PCA scores plot (shown from two perspectives) showing the variability in the visual appearance of soil samples based on their corresponding ATR-FTIR spectra.

Slight overlap was observed in clusters of similar colours, for example, yellow, yellow/brown, and red sands on PCs 1-3, as most of the separation along these PCs is potentially due to minerals that are responsible for the red and yellow hues of the soils, such as hematite, goethite, and kaolinite (often stained red brown from felsic). There was also a degree of intra-site variability, mainly within the brown sands. The yellow/brown sands were positioned in the model between the grey sands and the yellow sands on PC-1, with a small degree of overlap, highlighting their similarity with both groups. It is evident that the minerals seemingly responsible for most of the variance within the ATR-FTIR model are also associated with the apparent colour of the soils. Whilst this method of interpretation was useful for visualising the dataset, it did not add further discrimination between soils similar in appearance. A more precise determination of the colour of these soils could provide further information that would enhance separation of visually comparable soils.

MSP was therefore investigated as a grouping method for the PCA model generated from the ATR-FTIR spectra. Munsell colour values are routinely used by soil scientists for identifying soil (1, 4); the system encompasses a three dimensional colour space that ascertains colours based on their hue (general colour), chroma (intensity of colour), and value (lightness of colour) to assign descriptive values consisting of letters and numbers (40). This method was employed to allocate each soil sample a Munsell value generated from its MSP spectra. However, a difficulty arose in this case when attempting to group soil colours for interpretation of the PCA model, as any minor change in colour will alter the associated Munsell value and result in numerous individual qualitative classifiers that are challenging to categorise into groupings.
As classifiers for PCA must be input as a category to allow several samples to belong to each grouping, MSP spectra for each replicate were converted into 1931 L*a*b* values. The L*a*b* colour system is another method of measuring colour within three dimensional space that produces 3 numerical values that are better able to account for minimal variation between samples; L* is a measure of the lightness of a sample, a* encompasses the green-red components, and b* encompasses the blue-yellow components (41). As most of the soil samples being analysed were made up of similar colours, with the most distinguishably coloured samples already individualised, the a* and b* values generated from their MSP spectra were relatively uniform, with the L* value changing the most significantly between different samples. For this reason, the average L* value for each sample was obtained from its 10 replicate spectra, and this was used to classify each sample according to the information in Table 3.

**Table 3.** The soil samples analysed with MSP and their associated L* values and assigned categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil sample</th>
<th>L* values from MSP</th>
<th>Average L* value category</th>
<th>Visual Appearance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>56.14</td>
<td>54-58</td>
<td>Grey sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>56.60</td>
<td>54-58</td>
<td>Grey sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>57.79</td>
<td>54-58</td>
<td>Dark brown sand/mulch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>62.94</td>
<td>62-66</td>
<td>Grey sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td>57.03</td>
<td>54-58</td>
<td>Dark brown sand/mulch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a</td>
<td>59.70</td>
<td>58-62</td>
<td>Yellow sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b</td>
<td>60.47</td>
<td>58-62</td>
<td>Yellow sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a</td>
<td>55.78</td>
<td>54-58</td>
<td>Yellow/brown sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5b</td>
<td>63.24</td>
<td>62-66</td>
<td>Yellow/brown sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6a</td>
<td>68.38</td>
<td>66-70</td>
<td>Brown sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6b</td>
<td>68.45</td>
<td>66-70</td>
<td>Red sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7a</td>
<td>71.99</td>
<td>&gt;70</td>
<td>Red sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7b</td>
<td>71.71</td>
<td>&gt;70</td>
<td>Grey sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8a</td>
<td>52.49</td>
<td>50-54</td>
<td>Grey sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8b</td>
<td>45.89</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>Grey sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9a</td>
<td>53.15</td>
<td>50-54</td>
<td>Grey sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9b</td>
<td>52.18</td>
<td>50-54</td>
<td>Grey sand</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Colour coding of the samples within the ATR-IR PCA model was then carried out based on their L* values obtained from the MSP spectra (Fig. 11).
This resulted in most of the samples with similar L* values clustering near each other with limited overlap. In most instances however, several groups were formed within one L* value category, instead of clustering based on sample replicates. These intra-class samples exhibited slight separation from each other, notably within the 50-54 group which is distanced considerably across PCs 2 and 3. This is most likely due to an outlier originating from location 8, as the samples are clustered close to their location counterparts in the <50 category, despite the same site generating two different L* values. The 62-66 samples were also substantially separated from each other across PCs 2 and 3, due to locations 3 and 5 having been assigned two different L* value categories for their intra-site samples, highlighting the degree of colour heterogeneity between soils located just centimetres apart. This result was, at best, equal to that obtained through the grouping of the ATR-FTIR dataset using visual appearances of the soil, indicating that L* values are not necessarily able to give any further information on the colour of soils that is not already distinguishable with the naked eye. However, it is likely that information is potentially being lost when transforming these complex MSP spectra into single L* values, as spectra are generalised into patterns when being converted into numeric values and as a consequence, two slightly different spectra may be classified as the same colour (42). The data provided within MSP spectra may still be useful for conducting pairwise sample comparisons, by allowing for differentiation of samples that cannot otherwise be distinguished by eye or by colourimetric values such as L* a* b*.

3.4 X-ray diffraction

X-ray diffraction (XRD) was applied as a non-destructive method that can provide both qualitative and semi-quantitative information on the inorganic material present within a small sample (7). XRD patterns (Fig. 12) were collected from the extracted quartz fine fraction of the full 18 soil samples outlined in Table 1.
Figure 12. XRD patterns showing the variability in composition of 4 soil samples collected from different locations within the Swan Coastal Plain (stacked for increased visibility). Low background plate peaks were not removed from these spectra.

These patterns emphasise the high level of similarity between samples, and with it, the advantage of utilising chemometric analysis to identify subtle differences within a sample set that cannot be recognised visually by the examiner. This data was used to build a PCA model; PCA revealed that 93.6% of the total variance in the dataset could be described by the first four PCs, however upon visualisation of the influence of PC-4 on the scores plots, it did not improve the discrimination of soils, and hence the first three PCs (accounting for 89.5% of the variance) were utilised for the PCA model (Fig. A4). Three-dimensional score plots generated using the first three PCs (Fig. 13) resulted in most of the soils clustering based on the locations from which they were collected, however, locations 1, 2 and 4 showed some intra-site variability, with samples from the same location separating within the model.

Figure 13. 3-dimensional PCA scores plot (shown from two perspectives) showing the variability of soil samples from different locations based on their corresponding XRD patterns.
These three sites were both easily accessible and subject to a high degree of human interference, e.g., a public park or roadside verge, with two of the locations highly managed, suggesting again that this may have disrupted the naturally occurring soil and led to alteration. Whilst the intra-site disparity and the resulting degree of overlap with other locations indicated a high variability in the composition of these soils, the separation between associated samples was not substantial. For example, the two samples from location 1 were separated from each other along PC-1, and samples from locations 2 and 4 were separated from their associated site samples along both PC-1 and PC-3. In contrast to the ATR-FTIR model, XRD achieved improved discrimination of the samples from locations 4, 5, 6 and 7. Modelling based on ATR-FTIR spectra had these clusters situated very close together, whereas using the XRD data allowed for enhanced separation of nearly all these groups, with all intra-site samples still clustered closely, except for location 4. The XRD model also managed to isolate location 3 soils from location 2 soils, which overlapped in the ATR-FTIR model. Chemometric analysis of the full XRD pattern shows strong promise for discriminating soils that cannot be entirely individualised through PCA of the ATR-FTIR data alone, especially when utilised in sequence with other techniques. This is an advancement from the work of Pitts and Clarke (25), who utilised percentage intensities for selected minerals.

The factor loadings for the first three PCs are shown in Fig. 14.

![Figure 14](image)

**Figure 14.** Factor loading plot of PCs 1-3 for PCA of the soil XRD dataset, with the main peaks of interest highlighted and annotated with their contributing minerals.

There is some uncertainty stemming from identification based on the XRD pattern alone, as kaolinite and halloysite are difficult to resolve and the presence of halloysite is questionable in sandy soils. Hence using the full pattern into PCA to capture all the information present is valuable, so that complete identification is not essential. The loading plot for PC-1 exhibits an overall positive correlation with kaolinite/halloysite, with minor contributions from gibbsite and goethite, and a negative correlation with microcline feldspar. Samples from location 7 are best separated along PC-1 in a positive direction, and therefore may be assumed to have higher concentrations of kaolinite and/or
halloysite in their soil. The loading plot for PC-2 appears to be positively influenced by mica with possible contributions from quartz, and negatively influenced mostly by goethite and kaolinite (quartz and microcline feldspar are correlated with both positive and negative loadings across PC-2, which could potentially be a normalisation issue, negating their influence on the data). Location 7 soils are once again the most skewed by PC-2, this time in a negative direction, indicating higher amounts of goethite and kaolinite in these samples, consistent with PC-1. The loading plot for PC-3 is significantly positively correlated with microcline feldspar, with minor contributions from kaolinite (positive) and quartz (negative). Samples from locations 3 and 9 are best separated along this PC in a negative direction, and therefore may be assumed to have higher concentrations of quartz. Soils from location 7 are positioned centrally on PC-3, while all other locations again exhibit a positive trend, indicating higher concentrations of microcline feldspar within their soils.

The inclusion of samples from additional sites could help to increase separation. For example, aluminium substitution for iron within goethite will shift the positioning of goethite peaks, potentially allowing for greater differentiation between soils containing variably substituted goethite. This could also assist with determining the sample’s original location, as Swan Coastal Plain soils generally experience more substitution by aluminium compared with the iron-rich soils located further inland. The same phenomenon could also be applied to other minerals such as kaolinite and vermiculite (chloritized or not), where numerous substitutions are possible. Hence using the full XRD pattern, which captures any shifts away from ‘standard’ reflection positions, is recommended.

As XRD specifically identifies the minerology of the soil samples, the PCA model was re-visualised (Fig. 15) to present the samples grouped according to the dune system within the Swan Coastal Plain from which they originated (outlined in Table 1).

![Figure 15](image)

**Figure 15.** 3-dimensional PCA scores plot (shown from two perspectives) showing the variability of soil samples from differing dune systems based on their corresponding XRD patterns.

Full visual separation between the Spearwood, Bassendean, and Pinjarra Plain dune systems was achieved, despite the spread of the samples within each cluster, confirming that the variation in mineral composition detectable by XRD was associated with the soils’ original dune system. Soils from the Pinjarra Plain, located further inland than the other dune systems, were shown to contain higher relative levels of kaolinite/halloysite in comparison to other samples. The Pinjarra Plain is situated atop a bed of kaolinite clay,
and hence these soils can contain relatively high concentrations of this mineral. Bassendean soils are situated between the Pinjarra Plain and Spearwood dunes, and were indicated to contain higher relative concentrations of quartz, a trend that has been observed in recent studies (25). Samples collected from the Spearwood dunes are also consistent with previous trends, containing higher levels of microcline feldspar and mica than their easterly counterparts (25).

Taking the same approach as with the ATR-FTIR data, further grouping of samples in the PCA model based on their visual appearance (outlined in Tables 1 & 3) resulted in a few samples clustering close by their equally coloured counterparts, notably the yellow/brown sands and brown sands, as well as full separation of the red sands (Fig. 16).

![Figure 16. 3-dimensional PCA scores plot (shown from two perspectives) showing the variability in the visual appearance of soil samples based on their corresponding XRD patterns.](image)

The presence of some distinctively coloured minerals such as kaolinite and goethite are evidently shaping the model, however, there are other compounds influencing the PCs that are likely not associated with the overall colour of the soils. The use of visual colour to group samples within the model did not give increased discrimination over grouping based on locations, as it is likely that while the appearance of the soil is linked to the mineralogy, the minerals responsible for colour are present at low levels and hence not significant in the XRD patterns seen. This is especially true for the iron oxides, with a hint of Fe making soils coloured. It is expected that there would also be small amounts of organic material present within samples which, even if small, would contribute to the colour of the soils without being identified by XRD. For this reason, classifying the data based on their associated MSP L* values (outlined in Table 3) also failed to improve the discrimination of samples (Fig. A5).

### 3.5 Analytical Sequence

As indicated in the preliminary considerations, when focusing on the inorganic content of forensic soils, using several non-destructive techniques in combination allowed for more accurate identification of minerals and separation of soils from similar locations. These methods each provided information that builds on knowledge obtained from a previous technique. It was noted that the XRD model was able to discriminate different samples to those identified by the ATR-FTIR model, demonstrating how these methods can be used together to separate samples that overlapped after analysis with the previous technique. Sequencing can also be a useful tool when there are limitations associated with specific techniques to overcome. Sandy soils such as those found in the Swan Coastal Plain contain
minimal organics, which reduces the breadth of instrumentation available for their analysis.

5.0 Conclusions and Future Work

Examination of the quartz fine fraction, recovered from a preliminary collection of soils from the Swan Coastal Plain in Western Australia, has demonstrated that chemometrics can be used successfully in combination with spectroscopic techniques to discriminate between sandy soils. Analysis of these soils was especially challenging, as their lack of clay and organic matter made traditional analysis techniques inappropriate, and the data generated through inorganic methods was unable to be differentiated based on visual examination of the spectra produced, requiring more advanced statistical analyses.

PCA using the MSP spectra highlighted the large degree of variability within natural samples and, whilst achieving some clusters based on soil locations and visual appearance, did not allow for effective separation between locations. As expected, the MSP spectra were closely correlated with the visual appearance of the soils, essentially providing a more objective determination of the colour of the sample. However, when converting to L* a* b* colorimetric values this detailed information was lost, and the method was unable to provide any beneficial separation over the visual examination of the soil, so is not favourable as an interpretation method for PCA. Nevertheless, MSP analysis is still valuable for forensic pairwise comparisons and may show value with highly coloured soils.

Using the ATR-FTIR spectra resulted in tighter clustering of intra-site soils than any other method, with better separation between locations achieved. When samples in the model were grouped based on visual appearances, clusters were formed, albeit with a small amount of overlap between classes of similar colours. Separation also occurred within the colour classes based on individual locations. The compounds responsible for most of the variance within the dataset correlated both with the original location of the sample, as well as the overall visual appearance of the sample.

PCA using the XRD patterns allowed for separation of most soils; the factor loadings indicated that the minerals most influencing separation were correlated with the dune system that the sample originated from and, though wide-spread, distinct clustering and full class separation were achieved using this method. The XRD model was also able to discriminate between samples that were left overlapping within the ATR-FTIR model, demonstrating how these methods can be used in combination with each other to provide additional information and increased separation. Taken in isolation, each analysis method provided only part of the entire picture.

This approach provides good evidence that several analysis methods utilised in combination, with chemometrics performed alongside each stage of the sequence, can maximise the differentiation obtained by forensic practitioners when examining inorganic forensic soil samples. Sequenced analysis can be especially useful when samples are relatively similar and available instrumentation is limited, as different techniques can inform in complementary ways when paired with chemometric analysis. The method explored in this study not only provides a more objective interpretation of the examination of forensic soils, of which the significance has been highlighted many times within the forensic community (29, 30), but also offers a statistical basis to determine the limits of performance. Additionally, by utilising a particularly challenging set of samples, detailed data is being collected on forensic soil as a form of forensic trace evidence in Western
Australia, underpinning the interpretation of findings that might come from future analysis (14).

While this study is transferable to other jurisdictions with dry, sandy soils, this research should be explored further by utilising a larger sample population and conducting more detailed statistical analysis of the data by performing other post-PCA methods, such as linear discriminant analysis. As previously shown with other forms of forensic evidence, e.g., fibres, this chemometric approach is also suitable for use with other statistical interpretation methods, such as a Bayesian interpretation or traditional ‘question vs known’ examinations (31, 43, 44).
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Appendix / Electronic Supplementary Information

Figure A1. Map of the Swan Coastal Plain in Perth, Western Australia, illustrating the 9 locations that the soil samples were collected from for use within this study.

Table A1. GPS coordinates of the 9 locations that the soil samples were collected from for use within this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-31.9646960°</td>
<td>115.8357950°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-31.9138106°</td>
<td>115.8539786°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-31.9176633°</td>
<td>115.9184918°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-31.8549414°</td>
<td>115.8258240°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-31.7741340°</td>
<td>115.7732363°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-32.0652384°</td>
<td>115.8391782°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-32.1059759°</td>
<td>116.0022922°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-32.1238569°</td>
<td>115.8286284°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-32.1243506°</td>
<td>115.9056052°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure A2. Scree plot depicting the cumulative variance in the MSP dataset retained by each PC.

Figure A3. Scree plot depicting the cumulative variance in the ATR-IR dataset retained by each PC.
**Figure A4.** Scree plot depicting the cumulative variance in the XRD dataset retained by each PC.

**Figure A5.** 3-dimensional PCA scores plot based on the XRD patterns of soil samples, showing the variability in the L* values obtained from corresponding MSP spectra from the same soils.