Ultrafast intersystem crossing in xanthone from wavepacket dynamics  
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Abstract: Most aromatic ketones containing first-row elements undergo unexpectedly fast intersystem crossing in few tens of picoseconds and a quantum yield close to unity. Among them, xanthone (9H-xanthene-9-one) possesses one of the fastest singlet-triplet rates of 1-1.5 ps. The exact mechanism of this unusually fast transition is still under debate. Here, we perform the wavepacket dynamics of the photochemistry of xanthone in the gas phase and in polar solvents. We show that xanthone follows El-Sayed’s rule for intersystem crossing. From the second singlet excited state, the mechanism is sequential: (i) an internal conversion between singlets \( 3 \pi^* \rightarrow 1 n \pi^* \) (85 fs), (ii) an intersystem crossing \( 1 n \pi^* \rightarrow 3 \pi^* \) (2.0 ps), and (iii) an internal conversion between triplets \( 2 \pi^* \rightarrow 1 n \pi^* \) (602 fs). Each transfer finds its origin in a barrierless access to electronic state intersections. These intersections are close to minimum energy structures, allowing for efficient transitions from the initial singlet state to the triplets.

Aromatic ketones are well known for their phosphorescent properties due to an efficient intersystem crossing (ISC)\(^{1-4}\) non-radiative transfer between singlet and triplet excited states of \( n \pi^* \) and \( \pi^* \pi^* \) character. The \( n \) orbital corresponds essentially to carbonyl’s lone pair orbital, while the \( \pi \) and \( \pi^* \) are a mixture of bonding and anti-bonding combinations of the atomic \( p \) orbitals centred on carbonyl and aromatic rings. According to photochemistry rules (El-Sayed’s),\(^5\) an efficient ISC is characterized by simultaneous strong spin-orbit coupling and a small energetic singlet-triplet gap for states of dissimilar character, for example \( 1 n \pi^* \rightarrow 3 \pi^* \pi^* \) or \( 1 \pi^* \pi^* \rightarrow 2 n \pi^* \). The lifetime of triplet states in aromatic ketones are reported to last from few to several tens of picoseconds, depending mainly on the energetic gap between \( n \pi^* \) and \( \pi^* \pi^* \) states. For example, acetophenone ISC rate is reported to be 42 ps,\(^6\) benzophenone 5-10 ps,\(^7\) anisidine 70 ps,\(^8\) etc. The singlet-triplet gap can be modulated and states can be changed depending on the type of ketone, \(^9\) solvation, \(^10\) temperature, \(^11\) pressure, \(^12\) concentration, \(^13\) etc.

It is widely accepted that vibrations play a central role in modulating the efficiency of non-radiative decays, \(^12\) and ISC are no exception.\(^13-24\) One of us performed wavepacket dynamics simulations in acetonitrile, showing a rapid \( n n^* \rightarrow \pi^* \pi^* \) interconversion after the \( 3 \pi^* \rightarrow 1 n \pi^* \) ISC.\(^23\) Gnanac and coworkers performed a study of benzophenone using fewest-switches surface hopping, obtaining a 16 ps direct transfer from the lowest singlet to the lowest triplet, due to the mixed \( n \pi^* \) and \( \pi^* \pi^* \) character of the states.\(^24\) Marian and coworkers have studied the ISC of thioxanthone using Fermi’s golden rule to determine vibronic ISC rates.\(^25-27\)

The triplet quantum yield of xanthone in solution is close to unity.\(^12\) Among the aromatic ketones, xanthone has one of the fastest ISC, estimated to be around 1-1.5 picoseconds in ethylacetate fluorescence experiments.\(^28-30\) In these experiments, two kinetic steps of 1.5 ps and 12 ps were obtained. Two mechanisms were proposed to explain these rates: (i) sequential mechanism, with a fast \( 1 \pi^* \rightarrow 3 n \pi^* \) transfer in 1.5 ps and a slow component of 12 ps belonging to the internal conversion between triplets, and (ii) a branching mechanism, in which the 1.5 ps component corresponds to both the ISC \( 1 \pi^* \rightarrow 3 n \pi^* \) and the internal conversion \( 1 \pi^* \rightarrow 1 n \pi^* \), and the slow 12 ps component to the \( 1 n \pi^* \rightarrow 3 \pi^* \) transfer from the lowest singlet. Rai-Constapel and coworkers simulated the ISC rate in gas phase and solution of xanthone using Fermi’s golden rule.\(^12\) In the gas phase, they obtained a fast \( 1 \pi^* \rightarrow 3 n \pi^* \) ISC of about 5 ps, and a \( 1 \pi^* \rightarrow 3 n \pi^* \) ISC of 200 ps, in apparent contradiction with El-Sayed’s rule. As for the \( 1 n \pi^* \rightarrow 3 n \pi^* \) ISC rate of 0.13 ps, which is 10-100 times faster than the experimental reported values. The \( 1 n \pi^* \rightarrow 3 n \pi^* \) transition was estimated at ca. 330 ps. Recently, Bracker et al. extended the use of Fermi’s golden rule for both the internal conversion and the ISC.\(^31\) Their results suggested that \( 1 \pi^* \rightarrow 3 \pi^* \) ISC and \( 1 \pi^\pi \rightarrow 1 n \pi^* \) ISC are competitive processes from which a branched mechanism was inferred.

Here, we report the wavepacket dynamics of xanthone including the lowest two singlet and four triplet excited states. The model contains all non-adiabatic and spin-orbit couplings to represent the internal conversion and ISC on the same footing, including the effect of Duschinsky rotations.\(^32\) The total vibronic Hamiltonian can be written as

\[
H(Q) = [T(Q) + V(Q)] 1 + H^{\text{NA}}(Q) + H^{\text{SO}}(Q) .
\] (1)

Here, \( T(Q) \) and \( V(Q) \) are the kinetic and diabatic potential energies, and \( H^{\text{NA}}(Q) \) and \( H^{\text{SO}}(Q) \) are the vibronic non-adiabatic and spin-orbit couplings. The explicit expressions for the Hamiltonian blocks and the parametrization are described in Sec. S1 of the supporting information (SI).

Xanthone lowest energy state is a singlet \( 1A_1 \) state (using C\(_2\) point group symmetry labeling, with the orientation as described in Fig. 1). At this geometry, the \( 1A_2 \) state is the first excited singlet, corresponding to a \( 19b_2 \rightarrow 6b_1 \) transition (also called \( n n^* \) state), which is dipole-forbidden. The second singlet excited state is the \( 2A_1 \) state, which is represented by a \( 6b_1 \rightarrow 6b_1 \) transition (more commonly referred to \( 1 n \pi^* \) state), and is dipole-allowed. In the minimum energy structure of \( 1A_1 \) state (Fig. 1), the triplets \( 1A_2 \) and \( 1A_3 \) are quasi-degenerate (0.03 eV gap), 0.166 eV below the singlet \( 1A_2 \) state, in good agreement with the experimental value of 0.14 eV.\(^33\) The \( 1A_2 \) state is only 0.06 eV below
the $2A_1$ described mainly by a $4b_1 \rightarrow 6b_1$ transition, and the triplet $1B_2$ described mainly by a $3a_2 \rightarrow 6b_1$ is found $0.11$ eV above $1A_2$. The $2A_1$ state ($5b_1 \rightarrow 6b_1$) is the highest energy state, $0.4$ eV above the $1A_2$ state. Minimal energy structures of the lowest singlet and triplet states are planar of quasi-$C_2v$ symmetry. As for the state crossings, on the one hand the $2A_1/1A_2$ ($\pi\pi^*$/n$\pi^*$) cross in an accidental different symmetry structure of $C_2$ resulting from the linear combination of the carbonyl symmetric stretching (essentially of $a_1$ symmetry) and an asymmetric stretching vibration of mostly $b_1$ symmetry involving the carbon atoms on the aromatic ring. On the other hand, $1A_2$ crosses with $3A_1$ state in a quasi-$C_{2v}$ structure expanded through totally symmetric vibrations involving the carbonyl symmetric stretching of the aromatic rings.

The dynamic evolution of diabatic populations is shown in Fig. 2. The simulations started at the $2A_1$ state. The population rapidly decays in the first $200$ fs, concomitant to the $1A_2$ population rise, indication of a fast internal conversion. This is due to the barrierless access to two $1A_2/2A_1$ accidental different symmetry intersection. In this process, $80\%$ of the $2A_1$ is transferred to $1A_2$, and $20\%$ of the population remains trapped in $2A_1$ decaying at a slower rate. The $1A_2$ being the major state after $200$ fs rapidly decays to the triplets. Initially, the ISC is mainly due to $1A_2 \rightarrow 1B_1$, but also $1A_2 \rightarrow 2A_1$. These are the main channels for generating triplet population, consistent thus with El-Sayed’s rule for ISC. The transfer between triplets slowly builds up the population on the lowest triplet $1B_2$.

A first-order kinetic mechanism can be extracted from the $1.5$ ps population dynamics of Fig. 2 (see Fig. S7 in SI). From the model, we can infer that the mechanism follows mainly a sequential transfer: $2A_1 \rightarrow 1A_2 \rightarrow 1A_1 \rightarrow 1B_2$. First, the $2A_1$ state decays biexponentially to the $1A_2$ state via internal conversion. About $80\%$ of the population decays in a fast rate of $85$ fs. This fast component is explained as the first time the wavepacket reaches the $2A_1/1A_2$ crossing with a large momentum, since the path to it is barrierless. Only activation of coupling modes of $a_2$ symmetry can make this transition happen, which could explain the incomplete transfer. After that, $15\%$ of the wavepacket remains trapped in the $2A_1$ minimum, and slowly decays to $1A_2$ with a rate of $5$ ps via the activation of coupling modes. In the $1A_2$ state, there are three possible ISC transfers. The $1A_2 \rightarrow 2A_1$ and $1A_2 \rightarrow 1B_2$ triplet rates are obtained at $6.73$ and $44.64$ respectively ps. In this time, we do not observe a fast internal conversion to the $1A_1$ and $1A_2$, but probably this occurs at longer times. The fastest important ISC is the $1A_2 \rightarrow 1A_1$, which is the first populated triplet in time. The rate for this transfer is given by $2.0$ ps, which is probably explained by a strong spin-orbit coupling and the fact that the ISC minimum energy crossing geometry is close to the minimum of $1A_2$ state. The internal conversion between $1A_1 \leftrightarrow 1A_2$ is the only pathway we obtained of population of the lowest triplet state, and is estimated at $602$ fs for the transfer to $1A_2$ and $803$ fs for the back transfer to $1A_1$.

In the literature, a major channel corresponding to a “non-Kasha” transfer directly from $2A_1$ to the $1A_2$ triplet was evoked, first by Baba and coworkers for xanthone in the gas phase, and later confirmed by Gilch and coworkers for xanthone in ethanol, and also by Gilch and coworkers for xanthone in ethanol. Recently, theoretical studies using rate theory in the gas phase by Rai-Constapel and coworkers predicted a $5$ ps for the $2A_1 \rightarrow 1A_1$ (El-Sayed’s forbidden), while the $2A_1 \rightarrow 1A_2$ (El-Sayed’s allowed) was found having a $200$ ps rate. In the gas phase, the main decay channel observed from $2A_1$ is to the $1A_2$. This is estimated at a rate of $135$ fs, close to the experimental decay of $100$ fs observed for $2A_1$ in the gas phase. The $2A_1$ state has an almost negligible vibronic spin-orbit coupling with energetically close $1A_2$ and $1B_2$ states (see Table S7 in SI). The $2A_1$ triplet receives $5\%-10\%$ population, while the population to the $1B_2$ states is negligible. This could explain the triplet coupling evoked for the $2A_1$ state. No direct transfer from $2A_1$ is observed to $1A_2$ nor $1A_1$ states. Rather, the ISC channel is $1A_2 \rightarrow 1A_1$ in a rate of $2.0$ ps, $10$ times slower than the rate obtained by Rai-Constapel and coworkers. The combined $1A_2 \rightarrow$triplets leads to a rate transfer of $1.5$ ps. A
similar rate is obtained if the dynamics are started directly from the $1{\text{A}}_{2}\text{g}$ state [see S8 in SI]. Finally, the transfer between $1{\text{A}}_{1}\rightarrow 1{\text{A}}_{2}$ is fast, estimated at 602/803 fs for the forth and back transfers (in ethanol, this was estimated at 12 ps).\textsuperscript{18,19} Solvents have a strong impact on the energetic order of $n\pi^\ast$ and $\pi\pi^\ast$ states of aromatic ketones, and this has a major impact on the dynamics in the excited state. Using the vacuum model, we have shifted the vertical energies to the values obtained for xanthone in solution [see Table S2 and Figure S6 in SI].

In polar solvents, with the order of electronic states changes with respect to the gas phase.\textsuperscript{28,29} For triplets states, the $1{\pi\pi^\ast}$ is the lowest state both in water and ethanol. For singlet states, ethanol exhibits the same ordering as in gas phase. In contrast, in water the $n\pi^\ast$ fluctuates influenced by hydrogen bonds between water and carbonyl. From 1 ps QM/MD water simulations, most snapshots exhibit an $n\pi^\ast$ state below the $1{\pi\pi^\ast}$ state [see Fig. S42 in SI]. In such state ordering, quantum dynamics show that internal conversion $1{\pi\pi^\ast}\rightarrow n\pi^\ast$ is within 325 fs prior to the ISC in 2.11 ps [see Fig. S43 in SI]. The populated triplets are mostly the upper $2{\pi\pi^\ast}$ and $3{\pi\pi^\ast}$ at variance with the gas phase. In contrast, when $1{\pi\pi^\ast}$ is lowest, the wavepacket stays trapped in the singets with a negligible ISC.

To summarize, we have developed a vibronic model for xanthone including Duschinsky effects for describing the internal conversion and ISC in xanthone. In gas phase, we determined an internal conversion of 85 fs from $1{\pi\pi^\ast}\rightarrow n\pi^\ast$ states, followed by an ISC of 2.0 ps from $1{\pi\pi^\ast}\rightarrow 3{\pi\pi^\ast}$. We find no evidence of direct transfer from $1{\pi\pi^\ast}$ to the triplets. In water, the reaction follows similar kinetics but the higher energy triplets are mainly populated. In conclusion, this dynamical model provides a complete description of xanthone and can be applied in the future to clarify the photochemistry of other aromatic ketones.
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Xanthone photochemistry has been determined from quantum dynamics to follow Kasha’s rule and El-Sayed’s rules. The origin of the ultrafast intersystem crossing is that the minimum of the lowest singlet is close to the crossing point with the triplet.