Transferability of data-driven, many-body models for CO$_2$ simulations in the vapor and liquid phases
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Extending on previous work by Riera et al. [J. Chem. Theory Comput. 16, 2246 (2020)], we introduce a second generation family of data-driven many-body MB-nrg models for CO$_2$ and systematically assess how the strength and anisotropy of the CO$_2$–CO$_2$ interactions affect the models’ ability to predict vapor, liquid, and vapor-liquid equilibrium properties. Building upon the many-body expansion formalism, we construct a series of MB-nrg models by fitting 1-body and 2-body reference energies calculated at the coupled cluster level of theory for large monomer and dimer training sets. Advancing from the first generation models, we employ the Charge Model 5 scheme to determine the atomic charges and systematically scale the 2-body energies to obtain more accurate descriptions of vapor, liquid, and vapor-liquid equilibrium properties. Comparisons with the polarizable TTM-nrg model, which is constructed from the same training sets as the MB-nrg models but using a simpler representation of short-range interactions based on conventional Born-Mayer functions, showcase the necessity of high dimensional functional forms for an accurate description of the multidimensional energy landscape of liquid CO$_2$. These findings emphasize the key role played by the training set quality and flexibility of the fitting functions in the development of transferable, data-driven models which, accurately representing high-dimensional many-body effects, can enable predictive computer simulations of molecular fluids across the entire phase diagram.
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I. INTRODUCTION

CO₂ plays a critical role in driving global climate change as a greenhouse gas that traps heat in the atmosphere.¹,² The recent rapid increase in atmospheric CO₂ has important repercussions on the environment, such as ocean acidification,³,⁴ polar ice sheets melting,⁵ and extreme climate events.⁶ Significant attention has been placed on limiting CO₂ emissions from chemical and industrial processes, particularly through carbon capture and geological carbon sequestration in deep saline aquifers and hydrocarbon reservoirs.⁷ Due to the broad range of thermodynamic conditions found in these processes, experimental studies can be challenging to perform.⁸,⁹ Many equation of state models have been developed which represent properties at certain ranges of temperatures and pressures but lack transferability to other conditions.⁹–¹⁷ Molecular simulations provide a promising alternative to not only model structural, thermodynamic, and transport properties of complex fluids but also to identify molecular-level driving forces for macroscopic phenomena.¹⁸ The predictive capabilities of such simulations, however, ultimately depend on the accuracy of the underlying molecular models.

In the last 30 years, several models have been used in molecular simulations for the study of CO₂ and its mixtures.¹⁹–³⁰ Early models such as Harris and Yung’s EPM/EPM2 models,¹⁹ Potoff and Siepmann’s TraPPE model,²⁰ and Potoff and Panagiotopoulos’ exponential-6 model²¹ were constructed by fitting to experimental vapor-liquid equilibrium properties. These models generally perform well for bulk thermodynamic and transport properties of CO₂. For mixtures such as CO₂ and H₂O, however, these simple empirical models are unable to represent adequately both liquid and vapor phase compositions.³¹,³² More recently, many-body effects and polarizability have been incorporated in models to address these inadequacies.²⁴–²⁷ A 3-body model developed by Persson et al. led to improved predictions of the second and third virial coefficients, but no additional vapor-liquid coexistence properties were probed, possibly due to the added computational cost.²⁴ Jiang et al. incorporated polarizability in a model using Drude oscillators and showed that for pure CO₂ systems, polarizability is not significant due to the linear and symmetric nature of CO₂.²⁵ Although polarizability is likely more significant for mixture systems when CO₂ is paired with polar components, Jiang et al. showed that even with polarizable H₂O and CO₂ models, accurate phase compositions could only be achieved by empirically fitting to the cross second virial
The necessity of empirical parameterization in these models, even those including polarizability, in order to achieve accurate predictions of thermodynamic properties of CO$_2$ and its mixtures point to inadequacies in the fundamental physics of the models.

Empirical models parameterized to experimental data, while likely to reproduce properties targeted for fitting, often rely on implicit error cancellation in fundamental physical interactions which limit their transferability. Alternatively, several ab initio-based models of CO$_2$ have been developed without such constraints. Bukowski et al. fit a model to symmetry-adapted perturbation theory (SAPT) calculations of dimer and trimer configurations and predicted second virial coefficients in excellent with experiment.\textsuperscript{22} Bock et al. developed a 5-site CO$_2$ model with a high dimensional functional form parameterized on MP2 calculations which similarly produced excellent predictions of the second virial coefficient.\textsuperscript{23} However, when it comes to bulk thermodynamic properties, Bratschi et al. concluded that both of these ab initio-based models could not adequately reproduce the vapor-liquid phase diagram.\textsuperscript{33} Yuan et al. applied adaptive force matching to the development of CO$_2$ models fitted to ab initio data calculated using local second-order Møller-Plesset perturbation theory (LMP2).\textsuperscript{34} The models were shown to provide an overall accurate representation of various CO$_2$ properties although both critical point and vapor pressure were underestimated, and some differences were found between the experimental and simulated liquid structure.

Instead of fitting the total energy derived from ab initio calculations, Yu et al. constructed a polarizable CO$_2$ model parameterized from SAPT dimer energy calculations decomposed into individual contributions, such as electrostatics, dispersion, induction, and polarization, which were then fitted independently using physically motivated functional forms.\textsuperscript{26} This model yielded excellent representations of macroscopic properties such as density, isobaric heat capacity, diffusion, and vapor-liquid coexistence densities, although only after scaling the dispersion coefficients derived from the SAPT calculations. A subsequent extension of this model including 3-body contributions reduced the magnitude of the scaling factor of the dispersion coefficients, nonetheless scaling was still necessary to reproduce experimental properties.\textsuperscript{27} Beyond simple physically motivated functional forms, more complex permutationally invariant polynomials (PIPs) have also been applied towards the development of CO$_2$ models. Wang et al. developed a 2-body model using PIPs and showed that a high dimensional functional form was able to accurately predict intramolecular vibrational
frequencies of the CO$_2$/H$_2$O dimer as well as cluster properties.$^{28}$ Riera et al. developed a transferable many-body model that combines a data-driven PIP representation of short-range 2-body interactions with an implicit representation of many-body effects based on classical polarization. This model was shown to predict well both structure and energetics of small clusters as well as the second virial coefficient and structure of liquid CO$_2$ at two thermodynamic state points.$^{30}$

In this study, we introduce data-driven many-body CO$_2$ models which build on the work by Riera et al. in Ref. 30, and follow the “Thole-type model energy” (TTM-nrg) and “Many-body energy” (MB-nrg) theoretical frameworks originally introduced to represent the interactions of halide$^{35,36}$ and alkali-metal ions$^{37,38}$ with water. The models are obtained with a different charge determination scheme, and used a scaling factor, $\alpha$, on the training data to investigate how small variations in the 2-body interaction strength and anisotropy affect the ability of the models to predict various liquid and vapor-liquid equilibrium properties. In addition, we examine the advantages of the high dimensional fitting capabilities of PIPs adopted by the MB-nrg models in comparison to conventional Born-Mayer functional forms used by the TTM-nrg model.

The article is organized as follows. In Section II, we discuss the development of the MB-nrg and TTM-nrg CO$_2$ models, and provide specific details about the simulations and analysis methods. In Section III, we discuss the model fitting, and present the results for various vapor, liquid, and vapor-liquid properties calculated with the new MB-nrg and TTM-nrg models. Finally, in Section IV, we provide a summary of our study and highlight possible future research directions.

II. METHODS

A. Many-body potential energy surfaces

The TTM-nrg and MB-nrg models presented in this study are rigorously derived from the many-body expansion (MBE)$^{39}$ of the total energy which recasts the total energy of a system composed by N atomic or molecular monomers into a sum of individual n-body
energies:

\[
E_N(1, \ldots, N) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon^{1B}(i) + \sum_{i<j}^{N} \epsilon^{2B}(i, j) + \sum_{i<j<k}^{N} \epsilon^{3B}(i, j, k) + \ldots + \epsilon^{\text{NB}}(1, \ldots, N).
\]

Since the MBE converges quickly for nonmetallic systems, it has been used as a rigorous theoretical framework for the development of several models for various molecular systems.\textsuperscript{28,30,36,38,40–47} Notably, these models have shown remarkable agreement with experimental data for both gas and liquid properties,\textsuperscript{30,40,47–52} providing evidence for the transferable nature of the MBE framework.

In the present study of CO\textsubscript{2}, \( \epsilon^{1B}(i) \) in Eq. 1 is the 1-body energy associated with any distortion of molecule \( i \) from its equilibrium geometry, \( \epsilon^{2B}(i, j) \) is the 2-body energy between molecules \( i \) and \( j \), and \( \epsilon^{\text{NB}}(1, \ldots, N) \) is the N-body polarization contributions described by the extended Thole-type model originally introduced with the TTM4-F water model.\textsuperscript{53}

While both the TTM-nrg and MB-nrg models are constructed following the MBE, they differ in two key aspects. The first difference is related to the 1-body term for which the TTM-nrg model adopts simple harmonic bond and angle stretching functional forms similar to those found in common force fields while the MB-nrg model adopts significantly more flexible PIPs.\textsuperscript{54} The second difference is related to the representation of the 2-body term that can be decomposed into 3 distinct contributions:

\[
\epsilon^{2B} = \epsilon^{2B}_{\text{elec}} + \epsilon^{2B}_{\text{disp}} + \epsilon^{2B}_{\text{sr}}
\]

In both the TTM-nrg and MB-nrg models, \( \epsilon^{2B}_{\text{elec}} \) describes pairwise Coulomb electrostatic interactions between atomic charges on each monomer which are determined using the “Charge Model 5” scheme,\textsuperscript{55} and \( \epsilon^{2B}_{\text{disp}} \) describes dispersion interactions. The TTM-nrg and MB-nrg models differ in the expressions for \( \epsilon^{2B}_{\text{sr}} \) describing short-range interactions. In the TTM-nrg model, \( \epsilon^{2B}_{\text{sr}} \) is represented by simple pairwise Born-Mayer functions\textsuperscript{56} while it is represented by PIPs in the MB-nrg models. The MB-nrg PIPs have been shown to quantitatively represent quantum-mechanical short-range interactions such as Pauli repulsion, and charge
transfer and penetration, all of which arise due to the overlap of the monomer’s electron densities.\textsuperscript{57–59} By assessing the differences in the ability of the TTM-nrg and MB-nrg models to predict CO\textsubscript{2} properties for different thermodynamic conditions, we can investigate how the representation of short-range 2-body interactions affect the overall accuracy of the various models.

The appeal of machine-learning data-driven models is the fact that they can precisely reproduce the data that they are trained on. However, the downside is that high quality of training data is crucial. Within the MB-nrg and TTM-nrg frameworks, the reference energies are computed, when possible, with the current “gold standard” for electronic structure calculations – coupled cluster with single, double and perturbative triple excitations, i.e., CCSD(T), in the complete basis set (CBS) limit. In previous work,\textsuperscript{30} Riera et al. introduced first generation CO\textsubscript{2} models fitted to CCSD(T)-F12b reference energies.\textsuperscript{60,61} Specifically, the 1-body reference energies were obtained using a two-point extrapolation\textsuperscript{62,63} between the CCSD(T)-F12b 1-body energies calculated with the aug-cc-pVTZ (AVTZ) and aug-cc-pVQZ (AVQZ) basis sets, while, due to the associated computational cost, the 2-body reference energies were obtained using a two-point extrapolation between the CCSD(T)-F12b 2-body energies calculated with the aug-cc-pVDZ (AVDZ) and aug-cc-pVTZ basis sets.\textsuperscript{64–68} The use of relatively smaller basis sets in the calculations of the CCSD(T)-F12b 2-body reference energies implies that these energies are not obtained in the CBS limit, which is usually achieved using a two-point extrapolation between energies calculated with the AVTZ and AVQZ basis sets.\textsuperscript{43} In the following, the 2-body reference energies used in the training of the TTM-nrg and MB-nrg models are labeled as CCSD(T)/AV(DT)Z, where AV(DT)Z indicates that the energies were obtained from the two-point extrapolation between the values calculated with the AVDZ and AVTZ basis sets. In the first generation MB-nrg models, the charges were obtained from ChelpG\textsuperscript{69} calculations carried out with Q-Chem 5.0\textsuperscript{70} at the density functional theory (DFT) level using the \(\omega\text{B97M-V} \) functional\textsuperscript{71} in combination with the AVTZ basis set. The dipole polarizabilities were calculated using the exchange-dipole moment (XDM) model\textsuperscript{72–74} as implemented in Q-Chem 5.0.\textsuperscript{70} All models were parameterized following procedures described in Ref. 30.

The first generation MB-nrg model was shown to predict highly accurate gas-phase properties as well as the structure of liquid CO\textsubscript{2} at two thermodynamic state points, (300 K, 250 MPa) and (300 K, 470 MPa), for which X-ray diffraction data are available.\textsuperscript{75} However, as
shown in the next section, the first generation MB-nrg model overestimates the density of liquid CO\textsubscript{2} for pressures below 100 MPa. After careful investigation, we deduced two possible causes for this discrepancy: (1) lack of accuracy in charge determination, and (2) lack of accuracy in the training set reference energies. The latter is caused, in part, by the relatively small magnitude of the interaction energies between CO\textsubscript{2} molecules, which poses a difficult challenge to data-driven models, such as MB-nrg, which aim to precisely reproduce the overall multidimensional reference energy landscape. Larger basis sets could, in principle, aid in improving the accuracy of the reference energies, but the associated computational costs effectively make these calculations impractical.

To address the limitations of the first generation MB-nrg model, we introduce here a second generation of CO\textsubscript{2} models that are developed by: (1) applying the Charge Model 5 charge determination scheme which derives partial atomic charges from the Hirshfeld population analysis,\textsuperscript{55} and (2) systematically scaling the 2-body reference energies which allows for a more accurate description of vapor, vapor-liquid, and liquid equilibrium properties. All other components of the second generation of MB-nrg models remain the same as in the original MB-nrg model introduced in Ref. 30. The scaling scheme of the 2-body reference energies adopted by the new MB-nrg models is given by the following expression:

$$
\epsilon_{\text{scaled}}^{2B} = \epsilon^{2B} + \alpha |\epsilon^{2B}| \tag{3}
$$

where $\epsilon_{\text{scaled}}^{2B}$ and $\epsilon^{2B}$ are the scaled and original (unscaled) 2-body reference energies, respectively. In the second generation MB-nrg models, the PIPs representing $\epsilon_{sr}^{2B}$ are then fitted to $\epsilon_{\text{scaled}}^{2B}$, which is $(\alpha \times 100)$% less attractive than the original value for $\epsilon^{2B} < 0$ and $(\alpha \times 100)$% more repulsive for $\epsilon^{2B} > 0$. Using this scaling scheme, we derived four different scaled MB-nrg models that we will henceforth refer to as MB-nrg 100%, MB-nrg 95%, MB-nrg 90%, and MB-nrg 85% for $\alpha = 0, 0.05, 0.1, 0.15$, respectively.

As for the first generation model, the 2-body reference energies for the second generation MB-nrg models were obtained at the CCSD(T)-F12b level of theory, using a two-point extrapolation between the values obtained with the AVDZ and AVTZ basis sets. The training set, generated using the standard protocol defined in MB-Fit,\textsuperscript{76} is composed of 40,000 configurations combined from three different sets. The first set is composed of 14,000 configurations of two CO\textsubscript{2} molecules at their optimized geometry, randomly rotated and separated by a distance $r$ for each configuration. The values of $r$ range between 1.5 Å and 9.0 Å in a
logarithmic distribution that ensures a denser grid at shorter distances and a more sparse grid at longer distances. The second set is composed of 20,000 configurations sampled the same way as the previous set but using distorted geometries of CO$_2$ instead of the optimized geometry, obtained by combining normal mode displacements with the piecewise distribution of a CO$_2$ molecule (see Ref. 76 for details). The last 6,000 configurations were generated analogously to the monomer distortions but using the dimer normal modes of the global minimum energy structure. All the fits were performed with the MB-Fit software.$^{76}$

B. Calculation of thermodynamic properties

In order to determine the optimal scaling factor $\alpha$ for the MB-nrg models, we performed molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to calculate various thermodynamic properties. All MD simulations were carried out using the LAMMPS package$^{77}$ interfaced with the MBX library.$^{78}$ In the analyses presented in Sec. III, the statistical uncertainties of the thermodynamic properties are reported as standard error obtained at the 95% confidence level.

For each model, MD simulations in the isothermal-isobaric (NPT) ensemble were performed with periodic boundary conditions for a cubic box containing 256 CO$_2$ molecules. These NPT simulations were used to calculate the density and structure of liquid CO$_2$ at temperatures ranging from 240 K to 300 K and pressures ranging from the experimental vapor pressure to 100 MPa. Temperature and pressure were maintained using a global Nosé-Hoover thermostat and barostat$^{79}$ with relaxation times of 0.05 ps and 0.5 ps, respectively. Classical equations of motion were numerically integrated with a time step of 0.5 fs. These NPT simulations consisted of 3 ns of equilibration followed by 2 ns of sampling from which the bulk liquid density and structure were obtained. Short-range interactions were evaluated with a real-space cutoff of 9 Å, while long-range interactions (including electrostatic, dispersion, and polarization contributions) were calculated in reciprocal space using a particle-particle particle-mesh solver.

We performed direct coexistence interfacial simulations to calculate vapor-liquid coexistence density and surface tension at temperatures ranging from 240 K to 280 K for the MB-nrg models, and 120 K to 150 K for the TTM-nrg model. The upper limit of the temperature range sampled by each model was determined by the proximity to each of their respective critical points where stable and distinct liquid and vapor regions are able
to coexist. We generated an initial configuration of direct coexistence geometry by taking an equilibrated bulk liquid configuration in a cubic periodic box and expanding in the $z$-dimension to produce separated liquid and vapor regions in the $x$-$y$ plane, with the $z$-dimension normal to the interface. Following the guidelines of Ref. 80, the final dimensions of the expanded box were set to be $20 \, \text{Å} \times 20 \, \text{Å} \times 200 \, \text{Å}$, which allowed for appropriately sized $z$-axial homogeneous regions of both liquid and vapor phases that were unperturbed by the interfacial width. From these initial configurations, MD simulations in the canonical (NVT) ensemble were performed using the same parameters as those of the NPT simulations of liquid CO$_2$, excluding the barostat. For the MB-nrg 85% and 95% models, we sampled production periods ranging from 2–5 ns, after equilibration periods of 10 ns. Simulations of the MB-nrg 90% and 100% models were initiated from equilibrated configurations obtained from the aforementioned MB-nrg 85% and 95% trajectories, equilibrated for an additional 3 ns, and sampled over production periods of 3–4 ns.

To obtain the vapor and liquid region coexistence densities, we calculated the average density profile in the $z$-dimension which was then fitted to a hyperbolic tangent expression:

$$
\rho(z) = \frac{\rho_l + \rho_v}{2} - \frac{\rho_l - \rho_v}{2} \tanh\left(\frac{z-z_0}{d}\right)
$$

(4)

Here, $\rho_l$ is the liquid-phase coexistence density and $\rho_v$ is the vapor-phase coexistence density. The position of the interface, $z_0$, and the interfacial thickness, $d$, are also obtained from the fit. The vapor pressure was obtained from sampling the pressure tensor element normal to the interface, $P_{zz}$.

The critical parameters, $\rho_c$ and $T_c$, were estimated for each model from the universal scaling of the coexistence densities near the critical point and the law of rectilinear diameters given by:

$$
\rho_l - \rho_v = \Delta \rho_0 (1 - T/T_c)^\beta
$$

(5)

$$
\frac{\rho_l + \rho_v}{2} = \rho_c + A(T_c - T)
$$

(6)

Here, $A$ and $\Delta \rho_0$ are system-specific parameters to be adjusted in the fitting, and the critical exponent $\beta \approx 0.326$, based on the three-dimensional Ising model universality class. All data points from $T = 240$ K to $T = 280$ K used in this calculations are within $\sim 20\%$ of the critical point, allowing for the use of Eq. 6 which is only valid close to the critical point. To estimate the uncertainties of the critical parameters $\rho_c$ and $T_c$, Eqs. 5 and 6 were
fit to 10 block averages of the coexistence densities to obtain a distribution of $\rho_c$ and $T_c$, from which the standard error was determined with a 95% confidence interval.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We assess the accuracy of the five CO$_2$ models (TTM-nrg and four scaled MB-nrg models) through a systematic analysis of dimer energies, bulk liquid densities, and vapor-liquid coexistence properties. Juxtaposing the TTM-nrg model with the MB-nrg models showcases the necessity of a flexible and high dimensional functional form for the short-range interaction fitting towards the prediction of all properties of interest. The comparison of the four scaled MB-nrg models provides guidance toward selecting the most comprehensive model (and optimal scaling factor) for predictions of CO$_2$ properties across a wide range of thermodynamic phase space, while emphasizing how small differences in the 2-body training energies can be responsible for notable differences in condensed phase properties.

A. Model fitting

Fig. 1 shows the correlations between 2-body energies calculated with the TTM-nrg and four MB-nrg models and the reference CCSD(T)-F12b/AV(DT)Z values. Just as for other molecular systems, the TTM-nrg model lacks accuracy in predicting the 2-body energies, as shown by the wide range of deviations from the reference values. The MB-nrg models, on the other hand, yield remarkably high accuracy correlations. As expected, the deviations from

![FIG. 1. Correlation between the TTM-nrg/MB-nrg 2-body energies and the corresponding reference values for dimer configurations in the training set with binding energies lower than 30 kcal/mol. Dashed line corresponds to the line $y = x$. The reference 2-body energies were calculated at the CCSD(T)-F12b/AV(DT)Z level of theory. See main text for details.](image-url)
the reference energies and root-mean-square deviations (RMSDs) progressively increase as
the scaling factor $\alpha$ is increased. However, the tight correlation of each of the four MB-nrg
models remain, especially at low energy. As we will show in Sec. III D, a proper choice of
the scaling factor $\alpha$ for the 2-body training energies appears to be necessary to correctly
predict the properties of liquid CO$_2$ using the MB-nrg models.

**B. Dimer energies**

The interaction energies of the CO$_2$ dimer as a function of the carbon–carbon (C–C)
distance are shown in Fig. 2 for five different geometric orientations. For each orientation,
we show the CCSD(T)-F12b/AV(DT)Z interaction energies along with the corresponding
values calculated with the TTM-nrg model and all four MB-nrg models. All MB-nrg models
provide improved agreement with the reference energies compared to the TTM-nrg model,
which predicts an overall more repulsive 2-body energy surface. As expected, the MB-
nrg interaction energy becomes more repulsive for orientations that are generally attractive
(“parallel shifted”, “plus”, “T”) and more attractive for orientations that are repulsive (“parallel”
and “line”) as $\alpha$ increases. Hence, a single global scaling parameter on the dimer energies

![Interaction energy as a function of distance between two CO$_2$ monomers for the a) “line”,

FIG. 2. Interaction energy as a function of distance between two CO$_2$ monomers for the a) “line”,
results in non-uniform shifts of the interaction strength for different dimer separations and orientations. The magnitude of energy changes for the attractive configurations are, however, significantly lower than that for the repulsive configurations. Although the deviations from the reference energies are smaller than 0.2 kcal/mol for all four scaled MB-nrg models, we will show in the next section that even such small differences have significant impact on the predictions of macroscopic thermodynamic properties of the liquid phase.

C. Second virial coefficient

The analysis of the deviations from the reference interaction energies provides a quantitative assessment of the accuracy of the different models. However, interaction energies for CO$_2$ dimers are not directly measurable experimentally. In order to provide greater insight on the predictive ability of the TTM-nrg and MB-nrg models, we have calculated the second virial coefficient for CO$_2$ following the same procedure as in Ref. 30. The results in Fig. 3

![Second virial coefficient](image)

**FIG. 3.** Second virial coefficients, $B_2(T)$, predictions from the TTM-nrg model and MB-nrg models as a function of $T$. The experimental data is taken from Ref. 82 for Exp 1, Ref. 83 for Exp 2, and Ref. 84 for Exp 3.
show that even though the four MB-nrg models are fitted to different scaled 2-body energies, the second virial coefficient is in close agreement with the available experimental data.\textsuperscript{82–84} By definition, the more repulsive the model is, the larger the virial coefficient. This is in agreement with the results reported in Fig. 3, where the virial coefficients calculated are larger as the 2-body energies are made more repulsive, with the TTM-nrg model predicting values for the virial coefficients that are largely overestimated. The MB-nrg 95\% and 100\% models display the best agreement with the experimental data, implying that very little or no scaling of the 2-body CCSD(T)/AV(DT)Z energies is necessary to represent the dimer configurations that primarily contribute to the second virial coefficient calculations. However, as we will see in the next section, greater scaling of the 2-body energies are necessary when extrapolating the models to predict the condensed liquid phase.

D. Thermodynamic properties

In order to investigate how the (small) differences in the 2-body energies seen in Fig. 2 affect the predictions of thermodynamic properties of liquid CO\textsubscript{2}, we performed MD simulations with each scaled MB-nrg model over a wide range of pressures, from the saturation vapor pressure to 100 MPa, as shown in Fig. 4. Three temperatures (240 K, 270 K, and 300 K) were selected to traverse the liquid phase region. For the chosen thermodynamic conditions, the MB-nrg 95\% and MB-nrg 100\% scaled models overestimate the experimental densities across the entire range of pressures and temperatures. For the MB-nrg 85\% and MB-nrg 90\% scaled models, however, the differences from the experimental values are more subtle and dependent on the specific temperature and pressure (although all deviations are smaller than 5\% for both models at all pressures excluding the saturation pressure). For higher pressure regimes corresponding to higher liquid densities, the MB-nrg 85\% model predictions most closely approach the experimental values. However, at the saturation vapor pressure, the MB-nrg 90\% model provides the closest agreement with experiment. While no clear “best” scaling factor emerges from this analysis, both the MB-nrg 85\% and MB-nrg 90\% models prove capable of providing a reasonably accurate representation of liquid CO\textsubscript{2}.

Despite the appreciable differences in the overall liquid density between the four MB-nrg models each with different 2-body energy reference energy scaling, the local liquid structure is minimally affected, as shown by the radial distribution functions (RDFs) in Fig. 5.
FIG. 4. Bulk liquid densities, represented by open circles connected by dotted lines, from the coexistence pressure to 100 MPa for the 4 MB-nrg models at 3 temperatures. At the coexistence pressure, some models predict a transformation to the vapor phase, as denoted by the open circles unconnected by dotted lines. Triangles represent liquid region densities obtained from vapor-liquid direct coexistence simulations. Experimental data, represented by solid lines, was obtained from NIST.\textsuperscript{85}

Consistent with the overall bulk density predictions, an increasing scaling factor $\alpha$ generally reduces the local density, resulting in the gradually lower first peak heights in the RDF. Additionally, the magnitude of structural differences between the four MB-nrg models increases as the temperature increases, reflecting the greater influence of the scaled 2-body energies in lower density configurations at 300 K compared to higher density configurations at 240 K. These discrepancies demonstrate the challenges of representing accurate structural correlations across a range of thermodynamic conditions due to the weak and anisotropic nature of CO$_2$ interactions.

We then assess the accuracy of the scaled MB-nrg models with respect to the vapor-liquid coexistence behavior using direct coexistence interfacial simulations. Fig. 6a and 6b
show the coexistence densities for all four scaled MB-nrg models at temperatures \(240 \, K < T < 280 \, K\), along with the estimated critical points from Eqs. 5 and 6. In the liquid region, the MB-nrg 95\% and MB-nrg 100\% models overestimate the coexistence density at all temperatures analyzed in this study, also consistent with our previous findings on the liquid density. On the other hand, the MB-nrg 85\% and MB-nrg 90\% models show significantly smaller differences with the experimental data, with the MB-nrg 90\% model overestimating the coexistence density by \(2 - 4\%\) and the MB-nrg 85\% model underestimating it by \(4 - 6\%\).

The vapor-phase representation, however, shows noticeable differences in comparison to the liquid-phase representation. Interestingly, in the vapor region, the MB-nrg 90\% and MB-nrg 95\% models agree most closely with the experimental coexistence densities across all temperatures sampled, which is also reflected in the most accurate prediction of the saturation vapor pressure, \(P_v\), as shown in Fig. 6c. The surface tension, \(\gamma\), is reflective of both the liquid and vapor representations. Fig. 6d shows the MB-nrg 90\% model as the most accurate model for this property.

It appears from the assessments of the bulk liquid and vapor-liquid coexistence properties that different thermodynamic regimes call for different magnitudes of scaling of the 2-body energies. A clear trend emerges: more condensed systems require a larger scaling of the CCSD(T)/AV(DT)Z reference energies. Since the training set of dimer configurations are most closely representative of vapor-phase interactions, the smaller \(\alpha\) necessary for the
FIG. 6. a) Vapor-liquid phase diagram representing liquid and vapor coexistence densities \( \rho \) as a function of \( T \) for the four MB-nrg models. b) Same data as a) presented on a log-scale in density \( \rho \) to display the vapor phase densities. c) Saturation vapor pressure, \( P_v \), as a function of temperature \( T \) predicted by all MB-nrg models. d) Surface tensions, \( \gamma \), as a function of \( T \) predicted by all MB-nrg models. Experimental data were obtained from NIST.\(^8\)

low density vapor systems (the MB-nrg 95% model with 5% scaling of the reference 2-body energies) is not surprising. By the same rationale, a larger \( \alpha \) is necessary to achieve accuracy in higher density condensed liquid regimes (the MB-nrg 85% model with 15% scaling of the reference 2-body energies) which deviates further from the molecular environment of the original training set. Out of the four MB-nrg models investigated, we conclude that the MB-nrg 90% model provides the best compromise across the entire range of thermodynamic conditions. Additionally, it is notable that very small differences in the training set of 2-body energies, \( \sim 0.2 \text{ kcal/mol} \), can result in up to 18% differences in liquid densities at moderate/high pressures and even larger differences from experiment when approaching the critical point. The extreme sensitivity of the models’ performance can be traced back to the
nature of the interactions between CO$_2$ molecules which, being very weak and anisotropic, represent a difficult challenge for the development of accurate and transferable models capable of correctly predicting the properties of CO$_2$ across the entire phase diagram. The non-uniform scaling of different geometric molecular arrangement interactions as a result of a single global scaling factor on the 2-body energy training set (as discussed in Sec. III B) additionally contributes to the discrepancies in the MB-nrg models’ predictions. The differences between experimental and simulated properties also suggest that achieving the CBS limit in the CCSD(T)-F12b calculations may be needed to improve the overall accuracy of the training set 2-body energies. Another avenue to explore in future work is the addition of an explicit 3-body term to the MB-nrg models, which was shown to be necessary to correctly reproduce the properties of liquid water$^{86}$ as well as the hydration structure of halide$^{52}$ and alkali-metal$^{49}$ ions. In this regard, it should be noted that the addition of a 3-body term was also found to improve the overall accuracy of the polarizable CO$_2$ model developed in Ref. 27.

In addition to the MB-nrg models, we also investigated the vapor-liquid coexistence behavior of the TTM-nrg model. Fig. 7 shows that the TTM-nrg model systematically underestimates the experimental coexistence temperature by $\sim 140$ K. The saturation vapor pressure of the TTM-nrg model, reported in the Supporting Information, underestimates the experimental value by a similar temperature shift. From these findings, we conclude that the limitations of the simple Born-Mayer repulsive terms used in the current TTM-nrg model, in comparison to that of the PIP-fitted MB-nrg models, are responsible for the inability of the TTM-nrg model to correctly predict the properties of liquid and vapor phase CO$_2$. It should be noted that, while clearly performing poorly for the properties examined in this study, the present TTM-nrg model was developed only using electronic structure data with no input from other sources. It is, therefore, difficult to make comparisons with other polarizable models of CO$_2$$^{25-27}$ which have shown to correctly reproduce the vapor-liquid coexistence behavior but were empirically parameterized by fitting to experimental bulk properties. In this context, we expect that more accurate versions of the TTM-nrg model can be developed for specific applications by targeting a reduced range of 2-body dimer energies. At the same time, it should be emphasized that these more specialized TTM-nrg models are unlikely to be completely transferable across the entire phase diagram. The main conclusion drawn from the TTM-nrg model predictions is the importance and necessity of high dimensional short-
range functional forms (such as the PIPs employed by the MB-nrg models) to accurately represent both gas phase and liquid properties of CO\textsubscript{2} over a wide range of temperatures and pressures.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this work, we constructed second generation models of CO\textsubscript{2} using the MBE formalism and assessed their capabilities with respect to molecular dimer energies and macroscopic thermodynamic properties, namely liquid phase density and vapor-liquid coexistence behavior. We first evaluated four MB-nrg models each with a scaling factor applied to the training set of 2-body dimer interaction energies in an effort to determine the ideal scaling to reproduce thermodynamic properties. For all models surveyed, we note that although the 2-body fitting accuracy is excellent, even small deviations in the training energies of the potential energy surface can yield significant deviations in the prediction of thermodynamic properties. A larger scaling factor was found to be necessary to represent higher density
condensed liquid phase regimes, likely a result of the greater disparity of the molecular environment from the training set of dimer configurations. On the other hand, lower scaling factors were necessary for the predictions of lower density liquids and vapor systems, which more closely resemble the training set of dimer configurations. Out of all MB-nrg model studied in this work, we conclude that the MB-nrg 90% provides the best compromise across all thermodynamic regime investigated in this study. Nonetheless, a single global scaling factor of the 2-body dimer energies is likely unable to wholistically capture the weak and anisotropic interactions of CO$_2$ across a wide range of thermodynamic phase space, providing motivation for future work toward more targeted scaling procedures.

As for the TTM-nrg models, the low dimensional functional form of the short-range interaction terms severely limit both dimer and thermodynamic property predictions. For a model which is entirely first-principles derived, we conclude that high dimensional functional forms such as PIPs are necessary to achieve flexibility in fitting the potential energy surface of complex fluids such as CO$_2$ and its mixtures.

There remains a number of avenues to pursue towards the aim of improving the MB-nrg model beyond the capabilities of the present study. Incorporating trimer configurations and explicit 3-body interactions, increasing the level of electronic structure calculations, and fitting to higher level polynomials are all interesting and promising investigations, although all requiring additional computational expense. These topics will be the subjects of future work.
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