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Abstract

Chemical functionalization of molecular two-dimensional (2D) materials towards the assembly of hierarchical functional nanostructures is of great importance for nanotechnology including areas like artificial photocatalytic systems, nanobiosensors or ultrafiltration. To achieve the desired functionality of 2D materials, these need to be characterized down to the nanoscale. However, obtaining the respective chemical information is challenging and generally requires the application of complementary experimental techniques. Here, we demonstrate the synthesis and chemical characterization of hierarchically assembled molecular nanosheets based on ~1 nm thin, molecular carbon nanomembrane (CNM) and covalently grafted, single-molecule layer cobalt(III) catalysts for the light-driven hydrogen evolution reaction (HER). We employ X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and tip-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (TERS) to access both the transversal and lateral chemical information of the synthesized nanosheets with nanometer resolution. TERS and XPS data provide detailed information on the average and local surface distribution of the catalyst as well as mechanistic details of the grafting reaction. The proposed approach represents a general route towards a nanoscale structural analysis for a variety of molecular 2D materials – a rapidly growing materials class with broad prospects for fundamental science and applications.
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Hierarchically assembled nanosheets with ultimate molecular thickness, bearing functional cobalt(III) complexes are investigated by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy and tip-enhanced Raman scattering. The combination of both techniques provides detailed insights into the structure of the formed 2D materials down to the nanoscale.
1. Introduction

The assembly of two-dimensional (2D) hierarchical structures is a promising route for creating functional nanomaterials with nanoscale control and tunability. These nanostructures find applications in various areas like sensor technology, energy storage and conversion, catalysis as well as optical and optoelectronic devices. One of the main challenges in their synthesis is the tailored incorporation of chemical functional units. 2D carbon materials such as graphene have attracted an enormous interest in this respect. However, the use of graphene, as a platform for the assembly of hierarchical materials is limited, in particular due to its chemical inertness and deterioration of its physical properties upon functionalization. Therefore, molecular nanosheets shift more and more into focus, as they can be assembled flexibly from various organic compounds and intrinsically provide functional groups. In this respect carbon nanomembranes (CNM) – molecular nanosheets with ~1 nm thickness, deliver a universal platform for the hierarchical assembly of 2D materials. CNMs can be synthesized by electron irradiation induced cross-linking of aromatic self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) on a large scale, with tunable thickness and porosity and allow chemical functionalization as well as gas and ion permeation, etc. Examples for the employment of CNMs are the hierarchical assembly of 2D sheets for bio-recognition and energy-funneling applications as well as for implementation of organic-inorganic hybrids. The distribution and orientation of active sites down to nanometer dimensions is of crucial importance for all applications and needs to be characterized in detail consequently.

In this work, we employ the inherent properties of CNMs, specifically their chemical and mechanical robustness as well as their ability for flexible surface functionalization, to assemble molecular catalysts for hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) on their surfaces. We use CNMs made by cross-linking of 4′-nitro-1,1′-biphenyl-4-thiol (NBPT) SAMs on gold and the cobalt (III) dimethylglyoxime (dmgH) double complex salt [Co(dmgH)2(py)2][Co(dmgH)2Cl2] (from here on Co⁺Co⁻). For structures see Supporting Information (SI). This type of molecular catalyst for the light-driven HER has emerged as a promising alternative to noble metal catalysts (e.g., based on platinum). By strategically synthesizing and making use of the double complex salt [Co(dmgH)2(py)2][Co(dmgH)2Cl2] rather than the neutral complex [Co(dmgH)2(py)Cl], we were able to investigate the immobilization behaviour of negatively and positively charged...
complex ions at the same time. We use a combination of X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS – Figure 1a) as a surface sensitive tool for the transversal chemical information and tip-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (TERS – Figure 1b) to obtain the lateral chemical information during the stepwise assembly of this hierarchically constructed molecular nanosheet. This combined analytical approach of XPS, as a classical surface sensitive technique, and TERS, as a powerful tool for 2D material nanoscale characterization,[44, 45] enabled us to achieve detailed structural and chemical information of the molecular catalyst spatial distribution, their surface number density and the involved axial ligands. Employing the scanning capabilities of atomic force spectroscopy (AFM), chemical specificity of Raman spectroscopy and plasmonic activity to spatially enhance and localize the field, TERS facilitates probing the presence of species at the surface with nanometer scale precision (Figure 1b) and high signal-to-noise ratio.[46] In particular, the exceptionally high scattering cross section of the molecular catalyst yields high quality TERS data. In this way detailed information of the surface distribution and integrity of the respective compounds are provided at every step of the synthesis ultimately allowing efficient synthesis and property optimization of the functional, ultrathin material.

2. Results and Discussion

The nanosheets assembly is schematically presented in Figure 1c-e. First, we convert 4´-nitro-1,1´-biphenyl-4-thiol (NBPT) SAMs on a gold substrate into short-range ordered NH2-CNMs (Figure 1c) via low-energy electron irradiation induced cross-linking. Next, isonicotinic acid is covalently bound to the CNMs amino groups employing active esters for amide bond formation (iso-CNM, Figure 1d). Finally, these pyridine derivatives can act as axial ligands for Co⁺Co⁻ double salt ions and exchange against their initial pyridine or chloride ligands (Co(III)-CNM, Figure 1e). The details of the Co(III) catalyst synthesis and 2D nanosheet preparation procedure are given in section S1 and Figures S1-6 of the SI. Below we characterize in detail this hierarchical assembly of the nanosheets at every preparation step by XPS and TERS.

2.1. XPS

The high-resolution C 1s and N 1s XP spectra of the NH2-CNM are shown in the first row of Figure 2. The C 1s peak is represented by four components with different binding energies (BEs)
Figure 1. Schematic representation a) and b) of XPS and TERS i.e. surface sensitivity vs. lateral resolution. In the configuration used in this study, the XPS analysis area is on the order of 1 mm (white circle in a)) providing the nanoscale resolution in to the depth of the sample, while TERS probes nanoscale dimensions in terms of the lateral resolution (light green at the apex of the TERS tip, in b). c) NH₂-CNM produced by the cross-linking of 4′-nitro-4,4′-biphenyl-4-thiol (NBPT) SAM via low-energy electron irradiation. d) NH₂-CNM functionalized with isonicotinic acid through the formation of a covalent amide bond (iso-CNM), where in e) the terminal pyridine groups allow axial ligand exchange with the complex salt [Co(dmgH)₂(py)₂][Co(dmgH)₂Cl₂] to immobilize Co(III) centers on the nanomembrane (Co(III)-CNM). Hydrogen atoms are not depicted in c)-d) for simplicity.
Figure 2. XP spectra acquired from the samples represented in Figure 1c), d) and e) in comparison to a drop casted reference Co$^{+}$Co$^{-}$ sample. The C 1s (left panel), N 1s (middle panel) and Co 2p (right panel) binding energy spectral ranges are shown (for better visibility N 1s and Co 2p are scaled by the indicated factors). Grey, orange and blue fitted bands are associated to the amino group of the CNM, the isonicotine moiety and to the Co$^{+}$Co$^{-}$ double salt complex, respectively.
assigned to C-C (284.1 eV), C-N/C-S bonds (BE 285.0 eV) and aromatic shake-up satellites (286.4 and 288.6 eV).\textsuperscript{[31, 47]} The N 1s peak at 398.9 eV (grey) corresponds to the terminal amino groups\textsuperscript{[31, 47]} (cf. Figure 1c). As expected, no Co 2p signals are observed in the respective BE range. The S 2p XP spectrum presented in Figure S7 shows thiolates as well as disulfides or thiols formed upon the electron irradiation.\textsuperscript{[25, 48]} Spectroscopic details including full width at half maximum (FWHM) values of the individual peaks and relative intensity ratios of their components are presented in Table S1 of the SI.

The coupling of isonicotinic acid to the amino groups of NH$_2$-CNM (iso-CNMs, second row in Figure 2) is reflected in the C 1s region by increasing intensities at the BEs of 284.3 eV, 285.3 and 288.6 eV due to the pyridine carbon atoms\textsuperscript{[49]} and the amide carbonyl group\textsuperscript{[50]}, respectively. In the N 1s spectrum an additional component at 399.8 eV (orange) appears which confirms the isonicotinic acid attachment via formation of the amide and pyridine nitrogen species.\textsuperscript{[49, 50]} The Co(III)-CNMs XP spectra are analyzed by comparing them with the Co$^+$Co$^-$ reference bulk spectra (bottom row in Figure 2) as well as to the XP spectra of the iso-CNMs. The C 1s components of the Co$^+$Co$^-$ reference appear at the BEs of 285.5 eV and 287.1 eV, which result from the pyridine and dmgH ligands of the Co(III) ion\textsuperscript{[51]}. As seen from Figure 2, the iso-CNMs C 1s peaks overlap with these components leading therefore only to slight increase of the intensity in the respective BE region upon the complex attachment to the iso-CNMs. The N 1s spectrum in the formed Co(III)-CNM can be deconvoluted into three components. Similar as for the iso-CNMs the grey and orange components are present at 399.0 eV and 399.8 eV and assigned to unmodified amines and isonicotinic moieties, respectively. Besides these XP peaks also a small shoulder (blue) occurs at the BE of 400.9 eV. As this BE is in agreement with the BE of nitrogen in the Co$^+$Co$^-$ reference (BE 400.8 eV) and literature data\textsuperscript{[51, 52]}, we assign this component to the attachment of the Co(III) complex. Although the reference sample clearly shows the presence of Co(III) ions (Co 2p$_{3/2}$ 781.5 eV, Co 2p$_{1/2}$ 796.5 eV), intensity in the BE range of the Co 2p signal for the Co(III)-CNM is on the experimental noise level. The same applies for the Cl 2p signal that would be expected to result from the presence of one remaining axial chloride ligand upon immobilization of the Co$^-$ anion (see Figure S8).\textsuperscript{[51]} Based on model calculations of the XPS Co 2p detection limit (section S2.2 of the SI and also Figures S9 and S10), we propose that the lack of observation of significant Co 2p and Cl 2p signals by XPS is due to a sub-monolayer coverage of the complexes on top of the CNM (\textit{vide infra} for TERS results on these two elements).
Next, based on changes of the N 1s signal upon attachment of the isonicotines and Co(III) complexes to the NH2-CNM, we estimate functionalization degree of the amino groups, surface number density of the complexes as well as the average distance between them. To this end, we compare the N 1s signal intensities (grey, orange and blue in Figure 2; see also Figure S12) caused by the different molecular moieties attached to the NH2-CNM upon each functionalization step. Section S.2.3 of the SI presents the applied model calculation in detail. For this calculation, we estimated the surface number density of amino groups in the NH2-CNM based on the literature data for the surface number density of NBPT molecules in the SAM as well as partial loss of the nitrogen upon its electron irradiation induced cross-linking.\cite{35, 53} Based on these data, we found that ~37% of the amino groups of NH2-CNM (Figure 1c) are functionalized with isonicotinic acid (Figure 1d), whereas the respective functionalization with Co(III) complexes (Figure 1e) is ~4%. This functionalization degree implies that the average complex-to-complex distance in the Co(III)-CNM is ~3 nm.

2.2. TERS

We conduct the TERS study to enable the lateral nanoscale characterization of the hierarchical assembly of Co(III)-CNM. To this end, CNM samples (Figure 1 c-e) were transferred onto gold coated, yet still transparent glass cover slips to allow sensitive TERS studies.\cite{54} These samples were characterized by XPS to assure their similar spectroscopic characteristics as reported in Section 2.1. Based on the general TERS instrument setup, the nanoscale topography is intrinsically correlated to the actual near-field Raman spectra. Figure S13 in the SI shows such a topography of a pristine CNM surface prior to TERS mapping, where the roughness of the CNM is low as expected. Figure 3a and 3b present an example of a series of spectra measured on a NH2-CNM membrane with a point-to-point distance of 25 nm, along with the associated waterfall plot for better clarity. This distance was chosen to provide a compromise between area coverage and lateral resolution. It should be emphasized that the lateral resolution capability of TERS has been shown to be in the order of a few Ångström.\cite{55-60} Consequently a 25 nm step size relates to a significant undersampling but allows to cover representative sample areas with reasonable acquisition times (see also section S4 of the SI). The spectra primarily show the Si signal from the AFM tip at ~ 520 and 1000 cm\(^{-1}\). In addition, spurious signals occur which were not assigned due to the low signal to noise ratio. This behavior is consistent with the surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS)
Figure 3. a) and b), c) and d), e) and f) are TERS spectra and corresponding waterfall plots of a series of measurements on NH$_2$-CNM, iso-CN and Co(III)-CNM, respectively, with point-to-point distance of 25 nm. The dashed line in f) indicates a position from which the laser power was reduced.
investigation by Zhang et al.\cite{61} who showed a gradual loss of Raman signal upon cross-linking of the NBPT SAM. We believe that this low signal is due to the nature of the CNM itself, which is formed from a laterally disordered carbon network\cite{62} that typically shows low Raman cross-sections.\cite{61} The low Raman scattering cross section of NH$_2$-CNM is a considerable advantage, as it allows to specifically distinguish the specific overlayers in the following experiments without interference.

Figure 3c and d show the spectra and the respective waterfall plot measured on the iso-CNM sample. While the signal-to-noise ratio is low due to the low power used to avoid burning, the experiment clearly reveals the presence of all characteristic main bands of the isonicotine moiety at $\sim$ 1610, 1360, 1000 and 650 cm$^{-1}$ consistent with SERS investigations.\cite{63, 64} The absence of a strong C=O stretching signal at $\sim$ 1650-1700 cm$^{-1}$ (carboxylic group) strongly indicates that the molecules are bound covalently via an amide bond on the surface and are not simply physisorbed. In TERS, vibrational modes with contributions of the scattering tensor along the tip axis are preferentially probed. Here, the amide group is expected to be perpendicular to the tip axis and thus, a relatively minor signal enhancement as shown in Figure 3c is expected.\cite{65} Band position fluctuations and narrow bandwidths can be clearly observed in the waterfall plot of Figure 3d. Those features are commonly observed in TERS and indicate, only few molecules are probed at the respective tip positions. As the signal is not averaged over large molecular ensembles with slightly different orientations, the system is extremely sensitive to minute variations in the chemical environment, i.e. the relative orientation of molecules.\cite{66} Consequently, the spectral fluctuations observed in Figure 3 are a strong, albeit indirect, indication of the high resolution of TERS experiments.\cite{67} The overall similarity of the spectral positions and their intensities on the other hand suggests that a comparable number of similarly arranged molecules contributes to the respective spectra. Hence, the results point to a homogeneous distribution of isonicotinic acid over an area on the order of hundreds of nm as well as its high surface number density (see Section S4 of the SI) consistent with the previously discussed XPS analysis. In this way the high efficiency of the immobilization reaction of isonicotine on the NH$_2$-CNM via an active ester reagent is confirmed.

The 400 \times 400 \text{ nm}^2 TERS mapping (with a 25 nm point-to-point distance) of the Co(III)-CNM is shown in Figure 3e and f. The signal-to-noise ratio of the TERS signals is surprisingly high,
especially considering the low laser power of 100 μW used for the first part of mapping, which suggests an unusually high scattering cross-section. The spectral positions of the major bands are consistent with the far-field Raman spectra and SERS spectra measured from the Co’Co’ double complex salt drop casted on silver nanoparticles (Figure S15). One noticeable exception is the absence of a strong signal at ~275-300 cm\(^{-1}\), which would be attributed to Co-Cl stretching,\(^{68}\) a mode oriented along the tip axis and therefore generally accessible in TERS. According to the complex structure shown in Figure 1e, the chloride ion should also be situated in close proximity to the tip. The absence of this signal therefore suggests strongly that only the Co\(^{+}\) cation, but not the remaining Cl\(^{-}\) ligand of a Co\(^{-}\) ion was immobilized on the surface. We propose that this observation could be due to thermodynamic (electrostatic preference for immobilization of the Co\(^{+}\) cation) and/or kinetic reasons (more rapid ligand exchange of pyridine). Such a structure confirmation is important for catalysis applications as the catalytic performance of structurally closely related Co\(^{+}\) and Co\(^{-}\) ions is different from the Co(III) complex double salt in solution and upon immobilization.\(^{37}\)

To confirm that the sample was not damaged by thermal effects caused by the plasmonic activity of the tip,\(^{55}\) the power was reduced after the 35\(^{th}\) spectrum below 10 μW. The resulting spectra show the same band positions and a similar spectrum to spectrum variability as the “high power” experiments. This experiment was repeated at another sample location and with a further TERS tip to ensure that they correctly reproduce the surface chemistry and the tip was not contaminated (see Figure S16 of the SI). Figure 3f also highlights somewhat clearer than Figure 3d the narrow bandwidth and the small band position fluctuations related to high spatial resolution. Using similar arguments as for the interpretation of the isonicotinic surface number density, these results clearly demonstrate that the Co(III) complexes are probed simultaneously and that the complex-to-complex distance must be smaller than a few nm. This conclusion is further supported by the absence of spectra analogous to that of isonicotine (Figure 3c and d). Due to the exponential decay of the field amplitude in the axial direction in TERS,\(^{46, 69}\) it is unlikely that the underlying layer of isonicotinic moieties can be probed simultaneously with the Co(III) complex, unless the complex-to-complex distance becomes large enough to allow the tip to access the isonicotinic directly, which is obviously not the case. In general, results presented in Figure 3c-f highlight the high density of the surface functionalization and of the Co(III) complex immobilization in
accordance with the previously estimated average molecular distances from the XPS evaluation. The transfer procedure did not influence the functionalization significantly.

3. Conclusion

In summary, we presented the assembly and down to the nanoscale characterisation of a hierarchically assembled 2D molecular nanosheet consisting of ~1 nm thick carbon nanomembranes (NH2-CNM) grafted with single molecule Co(III) catalysts suitable for the light-driven hydrogen evolution reaction. Our detailed combined XPS and TERS study enabled us to confirm the successful assembly of this 2D hybrid material as both methods provided complementary information in terms of the transversal and lateral chemical structure. The Co(III)-CNM is formed by selective ligand exchange of the cation in complex salt \([\text{Co(dmgH)}_2(\text{py})_2][\text{Co(dmgH)}_2\text{Cl}_2]\) with isonicotine moieties anchored on the NH2-CNM. In this assembly, the Co(III) complexes show a high surface number density with an average complex-to-complex distance in the nanometer range. The engineered Co(III)-CNMs can be transferred flexibly from their synthesis substrates onto target substrates preserving their chemical and structural integrity. Therewith, the developed characterization and assembly methodology provides a highly attractive and robust platform for the development of novel 2D molecular nanosheet systems for fundamental studies as well as for applications in nanotechnology.

4. Experimental Section

All details on preparation and measurement conditions as well as model calculations can be found in the Supporting Information. Here, a brief description of the main experimental methods and materials is provided.

**Preparation of Co⁺Co⁻ complex double salt.** \([\text{Co(dmgH)}_2(\text{py})_2][\text{Co(dmgH)}_2\text{Cl}_2]\) (Co⁺Co⁻ double complex salt) was prepared in 40% yield by addition of tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane to \([\text{Co(dmgH)}_2(\text{py})\text{Cl}]\) in acetonitrile, followed by precipitation and repeated washing of the solid.

**Preparation of nanosheets.** First, the NH2-CNM was prepared by irradiation of NBPT SAMs on gold/mica substrates with a low-energy electron beam employing a flood gun (FG15/40 Specs, electron energy 100 eV, dose 50 mC/cm², pressure 1x10⁻⁸ mbar).[33, 35] The functionalization with
isonicotinic acid (iso-CNM) was conducted immediately after cross-linking. An active ester was formed by addition of COMU to a solution of isonicotinic acid and DIPEA in DMF before the NH$_2$-CNM was added. Finally, the iso-CNM was placed in a solution of the Co’Co’ double complex salt in DMF to prepare Co(III)-CNM. If necessary, the CNMs were removed from the gold/mica substrate via a Poly (methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) assisted transfer and etching of the gold layer.[24]

**XPS Characterization.** XPS measurements were performed on the CNMs on gold/mica substrates in a UHV Multiprobe system (Scienta Omicron, base pressure 2x10$^{-10}$ mbar) equipped with a monochromatic Al K$_\alpha$ X-ray source and an electron analyzer (Argus CU, emission angle 18.7°) with a spectral resolution of 0.6 eV.

**TERS Characterization.** TERS measurements were conducted on CNMs transferred to ultrathin gold films on coverslips with Ag coated silicon AFM tips (Tap 190-Al-G, Budget Sensors) under excitation with a 532 nm laser (Cobolt 04-01 series, Hubner Photonics). The TERS setup consisted further of a Raman spectrometer with a CCD camera (SP300 and PIXIS400, Teledyne Princeton Instruments) coupled to an AFM head (NanoWizard 2, Bruker-JPK) via an inverted microscope with a 100× oil immersion objective (NA 1.30, Olympus). Typically, 400 × 400 nm$^2$ areas were scanned with point distances of 25 nm.

**Supporting Information**

Supporting Information including additional data as well as experimental procedures are available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.
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Section S1 – Experimental Section.

S1.1 – Synthesis

All chemicals were used as purchased unless otherwise mentioned ([Co(dmgH)$_2$(py)Cl] from Sigma-Aldrich; tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane from ABCR). Solvent acetonitrile was dried on an MBraun solvent purification system (SPS). NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance (Neo) 400 spectrometer. Mass spectrometry was performed on an Agilent QTOF 6546 mass spectrometer (direct infusion).

S.1.1.1 [Co(dmgH)$_2$(py)$_2$]$^{+}$[Co(dmgH)$_2$Cl$_2$]$^{-}$

[Co(dmgH)$_2$(py)$_2$]$^{+}$[Co(dmgH)$_2$Cl$_2$]$^{-}$ (Co$^{+}$Co$^{-}$ double complex salt) was prepared by stirring [Co(dmgH)$_2$(py)Cl] (52 mg, 0.124 mmol) at room temperature with tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane (6.9 mg, 0.012 mmol, 0.1 eq.) in anhydrous acetonitrile (2.5 mL) under argon for 4.5 h. The resulting precipitate was collected and washed with diethyl ether (1.0 mL), ethanol (1.0 mL), and H$_2$O (1.0 mL), to yield pure [Co(dmgH)$_2$(py)$_2$]$^{+}$[Co(dmgH)$_2$Cl$_2$]$^{-}$ as a brown solid (20 mg, 40%) after drying under reduced pressure.

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz, acetonitrile-$d_3$): δ = 8.24 - 8.23 (dd, $J_1$ = 6.9 Hz, $J_2$ = 1.3 Hz, 4H, CH$_3$py), 7.89 - 7.85 (m, 2H, CH$_3$py), 7.41 - 7.38 (m, 4H, CH$_3$py), 2.39 (s, 12H, CH$_3$), 2.24 (s, 12H, CH$_3$) ppm.

$^{13}$C-UDEFT-NMR (100 MHz, 298 K, DMF-$d_7$): δ = 157.9, 152.1, 150.1, 141.6, 127.9, 13.8, 12.7 ppm.

HR MS (ESI): positive mode: Calculated for C$_{18}$H$_{24}$CoN$_6$O$_4$: 447.1186. Found: 447.1186 m/z.

negative mode: Calculated for C$_8$H$_{14}$Cl$_2$CoN$_4$O$_4$: 358.9730. Found: 358.9736 m/z.
Figure S1: $^1$H-NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 295 K) of [Co(dmgH)$_2$(py)$_2$][Co(dmgH)$_2$Cl$_2$].

Figure S2: $^{13}$C-UDEFT-NMR spectrum (100 MHz, DMF-$d_7$, 295 K) of [Co(dmgH)$_2$(py)$_2$][Co(dmgH)$_2$Cl$_2$].
Figure S3. Top: HR MS (ESI) positive mode of [Co(dmgH)(py)_2]^+ [Co(dmgH)_2Cl_2]^− calculated for C_{18}H_{24}CoN_{6}O_{4}: 447.1186. Found: 447.1286 m/z. m/z: 289.0349 corresponds to [Co(dmgH)]^+.

Bottom: HR MS (ESI) negative mode of [Co(dmgH)(py)_2]^+ [Co(dmgH)_2Cl_2]^− calculated for C_{8}H_{14}Cl_2CoN_{4}O_{4}: 358.9730. Found: 358.9736 m/z. m/z: 242.9150 corresponds to C_{4}H_{6}Cl_2CoN_{2}O_2.
S.1.1.2 NH₂-CNM: NBPT self-assembled monolayers and carbon nanomembrane preparation

Self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) were produced under nitrogen atmosphere by immersion of the oxygen plasma cleaned, 300 nm thick gold layer, thermally evaporated on flat Mica substrates (Georg Albert PVD coatings) in a 0.2 mmol/l solution of 4,4'-nitrobiphenylthiol (NBPT) (99%, Taros, sublimated before use) in N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF, 99.8% anhydrous, Alfa Aesar) for 72 h. Detailed information of the production of carbon nanomembrane from the SAM can be found elsewhere while the general process is schematically presented in Figure S4.[1, 2] Briefly, after subsequent rinsing with DMF and ethanol (HPLC grade, VWR) and blow-drying (N₂), the SAMs were crosslinked into a carbon nanomembrane by low-energy electron irradiation (electron energy of 100 eV with a dose of 50 mC/cm²) under high vacuum conditions with a flood gun (FG15/40 Specs, 1×10⁻⁸ mbar).

Figure S4. Schematic representation of the NH₂-CNM production by low-energy electron irradiation of an NBPT SAM.
S.1.1.3 – Iso-CNM: Isonicotinic acid functionalization of the CNM membrane

0.13 mmol of isonicotinic acid (Alfa Aesar) was dissolved in 15 ml DMF (peptide synthesis grade, Carl Roth) and 20.4 µl N,N-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) (peptide synthesis grade, Carl Roth). The solution was then cooled in an ice bath, degassed with Argon and a second solution of 0.13 mmol of 1-[(1-(cyano-2-ethoxy-2-oxoethylideneaminoxy)dimethylamino-morpholino)]-uronium hexafluorophosphate (COMU) (Carl Roth) dissolved in 1.5 ml of DMF was added slowly. After stirring for 10 min under argon atmosphere, the freshly prepared NH$_2$-CNM was immersed into the solution where an additional 20.4 µl of DIPEA was added. The substrates in solution were heated at 70 ºC for 4 h under argon atmosphere to create the surface shown in Figure S5. After cooling to room temperature, the substrate was rinsed successively with DMF, dichloromethane (DCM, HPLC grade, VWR) and ethanol (HPLC grade, VWR) and lastly dried under continuous nitrogen flow.

Figure S5. Schematic representation of the functionalization of the NH$_2$-CNM nanosheet with isonicotinic acid by amide bond formation.
S.1.1.4 – Co(III)-CNM: Immobilization of Co⁺Co⁻ double complex salt on iso-CNM

0.7 mg of the Co⁺Co⁻ double complex salt was dissolved in 2 ml of DMF (peptide synthesis, Carl Roth). The freshly prepared iso-CNM was immersed in this solution for 24 h in the dark for cobalt complex immobilization (see Figure S6). Afterwards the substrate was thoroughly rinsed with DMF (HPLC grade, VWR) and ethanol (HPLC grade, VWR) and dried under a stream of nitrogen.

![Figure S6](image)

Figure S6. Schematic representation of the Co(III)-CNM membrane, where the pyridine group of iso-CNM act as a ligand for cobalt double salt complex immobilization.

S.1.1.5 - Transfer of the CNMs on TERS compatible substrates

After the characterization with XPS the CNMs were transferred on ultra-thin gold substrates (that are more convenient for TERS investigation) according to a poly(methylmethacrylat) (PMMA) assisted transfer procedure described elsewhere.[3] Briefly two layers of two different e-beam resists (AR-P 631.04 and AR-P 671.04, Allresist) were successively spin-coated at 4000 rpm for 1 min and cured at 60 °C on a hotplate. Then; the PMMA/CNM/Au film was mechanically detached from the mica support on a water bath to obtain a free-floating multilayered sample. The gold layer was etched on an aqueous iodine/potassium iodide solution (mass ratio I₂/KI/H₂O 1:4:10, Sigma Aldrich, Carl Roth). After transfer on an aqueous sodium thiosulfate solution (40 mmol/l, Carl Roth) and several times rinsing on ultrapure water, the membrane coated with the polymer layer was transferred to the ultra-thin gold substrate and dried 60 min at 60 °C on a
hotplate. The PMMA layer was removed in an overnight acetone bath (HPLC grade, VWR). The sample was finally rinsed with acetone, isopropanol (HPLC grade, VWR) and dried under a stream of nitrogen.

S.1.2. Membrane characterization.

S.1.2.1 – XPS characterization

XPS characterization was carried out in an UHV Multiprobe system (Scienta Omicron) with a base pressure of $2 \times 10^{-10}$ mbar. The samples were irradiated with a monochromatic Al Kα X-ray source and analyzed with an electron analyser (Argus CU) with a spectral resolution of 0.6 eV under an emission angle of 18.7°. For angle dependant XP spectroscopy, the emission angle was varied between 60° and 80°. The spectra were fitted with CasaXPS applying Voigt functions after background subtraction using a Shirley (C 1s, Au 4f, Co 2p reference) or linear background (N 1s, Cl 2p, F 1s, S 2p). Background correction in the spectral region of Co 2p was done for CNM samples by averaging eight spectra measured from samples without Co(III) complex after normalization. All XP spectra were calibrated to the Au 4f$_{7/2}$ signal at 84.0 eV. The effective thicknesses, $d$, of the CNMs and the drop casted reference sample (Co⁺Co⁻ in acetonitrile) were calculated by Beer-Lambert law comparing the attenuation of the Au 4f$_{7/2}$ intensities to an atomically clean gold reference (inelastic mean free path $\lambda$ of 36 Å) for several samples. Thicknesses were found to be in average $d$(NH$_2$-CNM) = 1.1 nm, $d$(iso-CNM) = 1.3 nm and $d$(Co(III)-CNM) = 1.3 nm. The effective thickness of the reference sample was calculated to be 4.8 nm. Thickness differences between NH$_2$-CNM and the functionalized CNMs as well as comparison of the N 1s components areas enabled quantification of the XPS data as described in Section 2.

S.1.2.2 – TERS experiments

The TERS setup and the detailed procedure for TERS experiments were described in Ref.[4] In summary, the system used a 532 nm laser excitation (Cobolt 04-01 series, Hubner Photonics, Germany) with a power of 100 µW, unless stated otherwise in the main text, at the sample to avoid any burning. It is composed of a Raman spectrometer (SP300, Teledyne Princeton Instruments, USA) equipped with a CCD camera (PIXIS400, Teledyne Princeton Instruments, USA), coupled to an AFM head (NanoWizard 2, Bruker-JPK, Germany) via an inverted microscope equipped
with a 100 X oil immersion objective (NA 1.30, Olympus, Japan) for sample and tip illumination. Ag coated silicon AFM tips (Tap 190-Al-G, Budget Sensors) are first positioned in the focus of the laser spot by optimizing the intensity of the silicon signal using the tip scanner. Sample scanning is then used to preserve the tip/laser focus positioning while controlling the sample position during the experiments. A full set of 532 nm volume Bragg notch filters (OptiGrate, USA) was used to reject the laser line. AFM images were acquired using the same tip, prior to spectral acquisition. Typically, $400 \times 400 \text{ nm}^2$ areas were scanned and a TERS grid with 25 nm distance between points was set. The tip was then placed at subsequently at those points for the TERS experiments. Acquisition time for each TERS spectrum was 3 seconds and the average of 3 acquisitions at each of the point of the map was used. All results presented have been reproduced at least once with two different tips. In the context of the NH$_2$-CNM membrane investigation, no consistent TERS signal has been observed with use of 8 different, freshly prepared TERS tips.
Section S2. XPS Quantification.

S.2.1 Band assignment and additional XP spectra

Table S1. Analysis and peak assignment of the XP spectra presented in Figure 2. The deconvolution of the high-resolution XP spectra of NH$_2$-CNM, iso-CN, Co(III)-CNM and reference sample are shown with peak position, full width at half maximum (FWHM) and relative component area. Assignments were prepared in accordance to the literature or the reference sample as indicated in the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Peak assignment</th>
<th>Position, eV</th>
<th>FWHM, eV</th>
<th>Area, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>C 1s</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$_2$-CNM</td>
<td>C-C</td>
<td>284.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C-S, C-N</td>
<td>285.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Satellite</td>
<td>286.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Satellite</td>
<td>288.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iso-CN</td>
<td>C-C, pyridine</td>
<td>284.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C-S, C-N, pyridine</td>
<td>285.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Satellite</td>
<td>286.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Satellite, -(C=O)NH$_2$</td>
<td>288.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(III)-CNM</td>
<td>C-C, pyridine</td>
<td>284.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C-S, C-N, pyridine</td>
<td>285.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Satellite</td>
<td>287.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Satellite, -(C=O)NH$_2$</td>
<td>289.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>C-C, pyridine</td>
<td>285.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-C=N-O-</td>
<td>287.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Satellite</td>
<td>292.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Co 2p$<em>{3/2}$, Co 2p$</em>{1/2}$</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Co(III) ions</td>
<td>781.5, 796.5</td>
<td>2.0, 2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>N 1s</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$_2$-CNM</td>
<td>Amino group</td>
<td>398.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iso-CN</td>
<td>Amino group</td>
<td>399.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amide, pyridine associated with</td>
<td>399.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>protons$^{[6]}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(III)-CNM</td>
<td>Amino group</td>
<td>399.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amide, pyridine</td>
<td>399.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Co–Co’ oxime ligands, bound</td>
<td>400.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>isonicotinic acid$^{[8,9]}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Co–Co’ oxime ligands</td>
<td>400.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>404.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Cl 2p$<em>{3/2}$, Cl 2p$</em>{1/2}$</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Chloride ligand</td>
<td>198.4, 200.0</td>
<td>1.2, 1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>S 2p$<em>{3/2}$, S 2p$</em>{1/2}$</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$_2$-CNM</td>
<td>R-S-Au$^{[11,12]}$</td>
<td>161.9, 163.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R-S-S-R, R-SH</td>
<td>163.3, 164.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iso-CN</td>
<td>R-S-Au</td>
<td>161.9, 163.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R-S-S-R, R-SH</td>
<td>163.4, 164.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(III)-CNM</td>
<td>R-S-Au</td>
<td>161.9, 163.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R-S-S-R, R-SH</td>
<td>163.3, 164.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure S7.** XP spectra of the S 2p binding energy range of the NH$_2$-CNM, iso-CNM and Co(III)-CNM. All CNM types show the presence of thiolates (161.9 eV, 163.1) and disulfides or unbound thiols (163.3 eV, 164.5 eV).

**Figure S8.** XP spectra of the Cl 2p binding energy range of the Co(III)-CNM and the reference sample. No chloride peak could be found for the Co(III)-CNM in the Cl 2p spectral range. In the reference sample, the Cl 2p doublet at 198.4 eV and 200.0 eV is assigned to the chloride ligands in the Co‘Co‘ double salt.
S.2.2. Estimation of the Co 2p XP signal intensity in the case of low Co$^{3+}$Co$^{-}$ complex surface number density

As no quantifiable Co 2p XP signal was observed in the high-resolution spectra of Co(III)-CNM, we estimated the lowest surface number density of the Co$^{3+}$Co$^{-}$ complex which can still be detected within our instrument XPS setup. To this end, we considered the hypothetical model of a 1:1 immobilization of Co$^{3+}$ and Co$^{-}$ on the iso-CNM surface as presented in Figure S9 b-c. The 3D structures of the complexes were adopted from crystal structures[13, 14] and evaluated with ArgusLab 4.0.1 for basic area and height calculations.

**Figure S9.** a) The approximate height and therefore area of both cobalt complexes (Co$^{3+}$ and Co$^{-}$) can be estimated from their 3D representation using the distance between the outermost atoms. b) The reference signal is taken from the measurement of a drop casted solution of Co$^{3+}$Co$^{-}$ on a gold surface (that typically creates a multilayer sample). c) The complex is assumed to form an upright standing monolayer of Co$^{3+}$ and Co$^{-}$ on top of the iso-CNM.

XPS is a surface sensitive technique and the attenuation of the XP signals intensity $I$ can be quantified according to the Beer-Lambert law[15] as
In our case, \( \lambda_{Co} \) is the inelastic mean free path of an Co 2p\( _{3/2} \) electron through a carbon layer (\( \lambda_{Co} = 21 \) Å).\(^{[16]} \) \( I_0 \) is the signal of an infinitely thick sample, \( d_{Co} \) is the thickness of the Co\(^+\)Co\(^-\) layer and \( \theta \) is the emission angle between the sample normal and the photoelectron detector (\( \theta=18.7^\circ \)). The Co\(^+\)Co\(^-\) multilayer reference, Figure S9b and Figure 2, has an effective thickness \( d_{Co} \) of 4.8 nm calculated by the attenuation of the Au 4f\( _{7/2} \) signal compared to a clean gold reference. The height, and therefore the thickness \( d_{Co} \) of a Co\(^+\)Co\(^-\)-complex monolayer can be estimated considering its 3D structure, Figure S9a. Assuming an upright orientation with respect to the surface and a 1:1 immobilization of Co(III) anion and cation, the average height of a Co\(^+\)Co\(^-\) monolayer is estimated to \( d_{Co}=7 \) Å (see Figure S9c).

Therefore, from Equation S1, the intensity of the Co 2p signal for a densely packed monolayer Co\(^+\)Co\(^-\)-monolayer, \( I_1 \), can be estimated, which equals to 32 % of the multilayer references \( I_i \) in Figure 2. This signal is further attenuated by the presence of an additional overlayer. As seen in Figure S9a, the Co atoms are placed in the center of the Co\(^+\)Co\(^-\) monolayer, \( i.e. \) under a layer of pyridine groups or Cl\(^-\) atoms with the thickness equal to half of a monolayer. One can quantify the final intensity, \( I_2 \), using the following Beer-Lambert type equation.\(^{[15]} \)

\[
I_2 = I_1 \left(1 - e^{-\frac{d_{Co}}{\lambda_{Co}\cos\theta}}\right) \quad \text{Eq. S2}
\]

Therewith, \( I_2 \), is estimated to be 27 % of the Co\(^+\)Co\(^-\) multilayers \( I_i \). Based on these considerations, Figure S10 shows in grey the calculated intensity of the Co 2p\( _{3/2,1/2} \) signals for a densely packed monolayer of the Co\(^+\)Co\(^-\)-complex superimposed with the experimentally measured spectrum of the Co\(^+\)Co\(^-\) reference. Here, the Co 2p reference signal fit was scaled respectively to 27 % for representation of the calculated monolayer intensity.

\[
I_1 = I_0 \left(1 - e^{-\frac{d_{Co}}{\lambda_{Co}\cos\theta}}\right) \quad \text{Eq. S1}
\]
Figure S10. XP signals of the Co 2p region of the Co(III)-CNM and Co\(^{3+}\)Co\(^{-}\)-complex bulk reference scaled to monolayer and detection limit intensity. The calculated signal from a Co\(^{3+}\)Co\(^{-}\)-monolayer is displayed in grey and the minimum detectable Co 2p\(_{3/2}\) XP signal of 23\% of a monolayer is shown in blue (both obtained by the respective scaling of the Co 2p signal measured from the bulk Co\(^{3+}\)Co\(^{-}\)-complex sample).

The lowest detectable Co 2p\(_{3/2}\) signal is related to the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) achievable in our experimental configurations. The standard deviation of the noise in the reference spectral region of 792-788 eV is 146 counts and a SNR of approximately 3:1 is necessary for the signal to be detectable and quantifiable.\(^{17,18}\) Knowing that the maximum signal intensity of the cobalt reference bulk signal (fit of the Co 2p\(_{3/2}\) peak maximum of Figure 2 of the main manuscript) is 6950 kcts one can conclude that the detectable minimum signal brought by the 3:1 criteria is 6\% of the multilayer reference signal intensity (displayed in blue in Figure S10). This means, that the minimum detectable signal is 23\% of the signal of a complex monolayer on top of the surface.

The monolayer is simulated assuming a square lattice model of upright standing cobalt units as depicted in Figure S9c. The mean complex area \(A_{\text{mean,Co}}\) of 0.40 nm\(^2\) is derived from the width and length of the complexes in Figure S9a. The lowest detectable signal of 23\% of a monolayer leads therefore to a coverage density \((A_{\text{Co}})\) of minimum 1 complex per 1.7 nm\(^2\) which corresponds to an average distance \(d_{\text{Co}}\) (see Equation S3) between the complexes of 1.3 nm as the maximum detectable distance.

\[
d_{\text{unit}} = \sqrt{A_{\text{unit}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{\text{unit}}[\text{nm}^{-2}]}}. \quad \text{Eq. S3}
\]

In other words, any surface number density lower than that would lead to a signal that is too low to be detected. Here, the Co(III)-CNM in Figure 2 of the main manuscript and Figure S10
show a very weak signal for the binding energy assigned to Co 2p$_{3/2}$, clearly at the limit of detection of the system. Thus, the average distance between complexes on the Co(III)-CNM is higher than $\sim$1.3 nm. This general conclusion is further confirmed from the quantitative analysis of the nitrogen signal in section S.2.2. The detection of sub-monolayer Co 2p signals was possible using angle resolved XPS. As demonstrated in Figure 11 on a simplified model system for Co$^{+}$ immobilization on iso-CNMs the Co 2p signal can be enhanced by increasing the emission angle and therewith increasing the surface sensitivity of XPS.

**Figure S11.** Angle-dependent XPS measurements in the spectral region of the Co 2p signal performed on [Co(dmgh)(py)Cl] on iso-CNM. [Co(dmgh)(py)Cl] is used as a structurally identical, simplified Co$^{+}$ model system to investigate our capacity to locate the Co(III) atom in the membrane. Angle dependent XPS measurements show an increase in the Co 2p signal with increasing the XP emission angle. The bottom row shows the spectrum obtained at an emission angle of 18.7° corresponding to the intensity maximum $I_{\text{max}}$ used for standard XP measurements. The signals of Co 2p$_{1/2}$ at 796.3 eV and Co 2p$_{3/2}$ 781.2 eV can be identified above the noise level at larger emission angles implying that the Co atoms are located on the topmost part of the membrane. For better visibility the spectra are scaled by the shown magnification factors. Preparation conditions are the same as for Co(III)-CNM.
S.2.3. Estimation of Co-complex surface number density from N 1s XPS signal

Due to the extremely low SNR of the Co 2p spectral region (Figure 2 of the main manuscript), quantification of the surface number density of the Co(III) complex double salt is carried out from the deconvolution of the N 1s signal. Moreover, the comparison of the ratios between between the nitrogen components allows a direct determination of functionalization degree $\alpha$ and the average number of molecular units per nm$^2$ $N_{\text{units}}$ as the number of amino groups on NH$_2$-CNMs is known from previous investigations.$^{[19]}$ Deconvolution was done in accordance to the literature and reference spectrum as schematized in Figure S12 (blue and orange deconvolution of Figure 2, Co(III)-CNM). The component in orange at 399.8 eV is assigned to amide bonds and pyridines coordinated by hydrogen. The small shoulder at 400.9 eV corresponds to the nitrogen ligand system surrounding the cobalt atoms as found in the bulk reference. Component areas are determined with large errors, estimated to 20%, due to the low SNR of the components and the small observed shifts. The results of these calculations are summarized in Table S2.

![Figure S12](image-url)

**Figure S12.** Scheme applied for the estimation of coverage density and functionalization degree based on the fits of the N 1s signal of NH$_2$-CNM, iso-CNMs and Co-CNMs and d) layer thicknesses used for calculation of the signal attenuation. b) In the iso-CNMs, the pyridine nitrogen atom on top is not attenuated. Whereas, the signal of unfunctionalized amine $x$ and amide nitrogen atoms will be attenuated by $d_1$. c) For Co-CNMs, the amine signal $x$ will be attenuated by $d_2$ but the signals related to the nitrogen atoms nearby the Co(III) ion only by $\frac{1}{2} d_2$. $d_1$ and $d_2$ where obtained according to the attenuation of the Au 4f$_{7/2}$ signals of the respective samples.
The surface number density of amino groups per nm\(^2\) on a NH\(_2\)-CNM (Figure S11A) is the basis of all calculations and distance estimations. Based on scanning tunneling microscopy and XPS results in the literature\(^{[19,20]}\), the area per molecule in NBPT SAMs ranges from 27.4 Å\(^2\) to 48.5 Å\(^2\) as NBPT SAMs have different phases. Therefore, the average number of amino groups \(N_{NH_2}\) in a NH\(_2\)-CNM is 2.5 ± 0.7 nm\(^{-2}\) under the assumption of 2.9 ± 0.8 SAM molecules per 1 nm\(^2\) and a reduction of nitrogen to 86 ± 5% during low-energy electron irradiation. The distance between each NH\(_2\)-group is 0.64 ± 0.09 nm according to equation S3 if a square lattice model is applied for simplicity.

A functionalization degree \(\alpha_y\) can be defined by the number of isonicotin molecules or amide groups \(y\) in relation to the total number of amino groups on the NH\(_2\)-CNM. In the iso-CNM (Figure S11b) the sum of amide \(y\) and remaining amino groups \(x\) is always equal to the number of amino groups in NH\(_2\)-CNMs. Therefore, the functionalization degree \(\alpha_y\) of the iso-CNM can be defined in Equation S4:

\[
\alpha_y = \frac{y}{x+y} = \frac{y}{x+1} = 1 - \alpha_x . \quad \text{Eq. S4}
\]

\(\alpha_x\) is therefore defined as the degree of unfunctionalized amines. The ratio between \(y\) and \(x\) can be calculated from the XP N 1s component area ratio between the unfunctionalized -NH\(_2\) groups (\(I_x\) corresponding to species \(x\), Figure S11B) and amide and pyridine nitrogen (\(I_y\) corresponding to orange species \(y\), Figure S11B). Taking into account that \(x\) and half of \(y\) are attenuated by \(d_1\), the ratio between the two component areas, based on Equation S1, reads as follows (inelastic mean free path \(\lambda\) is 29 Å for N 1s\(^{[16]}\), \(d_1\) is 2 Å as derived from the thickness difference of iso-CNM and NH\(_2\)-CNM):

\[
\frac{I_y}{I_x} = \frac{y + ye^{-\frac{d_1}{\lambda cos\theta}}}{x e^{-\frac{d_1}{\lambda cos\theta}}} . \quad \text{Eq. S5}
\]

Therefore, the ratio between \(y\) and \(x\) can be expressed in Equation S5:

\[
\frac{y}{x} = \frac{I_y}{I_x} \cdot \frac{e^{-\frac{d_1}{\lambda cos\theta}}}{1 + e^{-\frac{d_4}{\lambda cos\theta}}} = 0.58 \pm 0.32 . \quad \text{Eq. S6}
\]
A functionalization degree of $\alpha_y = 37 \pm 13\%$, as determined by Equation S6 and S4 successively, leads to the density of isonicotine molecules per nm$^2$ $N_{isonicotin}$ by using the average number of amino groups $N_{NH_2}$ in a NH$_2$-CNM.

$$N_{isonicotin}[nm^{-2}] = \alpha_y \cdot N_{NH_2}[nm^{-2}] = 0.9 \pm 0.4 [nm^{-2}] .$$  
Eq. S7

Based on Equation S3 the distance between each isonicotine molecule is therefore $1.1 \pm 0.2$ nm.

In Co(III)-CNM an additional component due to the ligand system surrounding the cobalt center ion is introduced in the XP N 1s spectrum (z-labeled nitrogen atoms in Figure S11C) coexisting with components assigned to x and y. As the functionalization with Co(III) complexes does not change the amount of unreacted amino groups on the surface, $\alpha_x$ should remain the same as in the previous sample.

The coverage density of Co(III) complexes can be estimated comparing the components areas of amino groups to the nitrogen ligand system surrounding the cobalt atom (z-labeled nitrogen atoms in scheme S11C). A similar approach as for Equation S5 and S6 leads to Equation S8:

$$\frac{l_z}{l_x} = \frac{6z \cdot e^{- \frac{d_2}{2\alpha x \cos \theta}}}{x \cdot e^{- \frac{d_2}{2\alpha x \cos \theta}}} .$$  
Eq. S8

There, the signal of unfunctionalized amines is reduced by the thickness $d_2$ (thickness difference between the NH$_2$-CNM and Co(III)-CNM of 2 Å, Scheme S11). In contrast, the ligand system is attenuated only by $d_2/2$ as it is located in the middle of this additional layer. The functionalization degree of cobalt complexes $\alpha_z$ in this sample is calculated by:

$$\alpha_z = \frac{z}{x} = \frac{l_z}{l_x \cdot e^{- \frac{d_2}{2\alpha x \cos \theta}}} \cdot \left(1 - \alpha_y \right) = 4 \pm 1\% .$$  
Eq. S9

We have therefore a coverage density of $4 \pm 1\%$ of complex molecules on the surface $N_{Co}$, corresponding according to equation S7 to $0.09 \pm 0.04$ Co(III) complexes per nm$^2$ and an average distance between each Co complex $k_{Co}$ of $3.4 \pm 0.8$ nm according to equation S3. The area which is covered by one complex molecule is therefore $11.3 \pm 5.0$ nm$^2$ which equals to $\sim 4\%$ of a monolayer using the mean area for one complex of 0.40 nm$^2$. Therewith, it follows that this coverage is below the detection limit, showing that both models using two different XP signals,
Co 2p$_{3/2}$ and N 1s, agree well with each other. We can therefore use the average distance $k_{Co}$ between each cobalt complex of $3.4 \pm 0.8$ nm as a starting point for designing the TERS experimental conditions.
Table S2. Summary of the values used and obtained for calculating the coverage density of cobalt complexes and isonicotin molecules on the CNMs. The areas and positions of the compared N 1s components \( I \) in the CNMs are shown as well as the thickness increase \( d \) between NH\(_2\)-CNM and iso-CNM (\( d_1 \)) or Co(III)-CNM (\( d_2 \)), respectively. The functionalization degree \( \alpha \) with the respective molecules compared to the initial number of amino groups on the NH\(_2\)-CNM was calculated as shown above, as well as the average number of molecules per nm\(^2\) \( N \) and the average distance between each of the molecules \( k \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Component (binding energy [eV], intensity [arb.u.])</th>
<th>( d ) [Å]</th>
<th>( \alpha )</th>
<th>( N ) [nm(^{-2})]</th>
<th>( k ) [nm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NH(_2)-CNM</td>
<td>x (-N(_{NH2}))</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5±0.7</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>398.9 eV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iso-CNM</td>
<td>x (-N(<em>{NH2})) ( y ) (N(</em>{pyridine}), d(_1)=2 Å)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>37 ± 13%</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>399.0 eV</td>
<td>51±10 arb.u.</td>
<td>399.8 eV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>y (amide)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51±10 arb.u.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>61±12 arb.u.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(III)-CNM</td>
<td>x (-N(<em>{NH2})) ( z ) (N(</em>{Co(III)}))</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4 ± 1%</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>399.0 eV</td>
<td>79±16 arb.u.</td>
<td>400.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>z (N(_{Co(III)}))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section S4. TERS surface number density investigation.

Figure S13. Example of an AFM image of a 400 × 400 nm region of a CNM, transferred on an ultra-thin (10 nm thick) gold surface, whose root mean square roughness of 430 pm is in accordance to literature.\cite{19} Yellow circles with a point-to-point distance of 25 nm represent locations where the tip has been located for TERS measurements.

The exact spatial resolution in TERS is experiment dependent, tip dependent and is still subject to debate.\cite{21} Several studies of our research group have shown experimentally and theoretically that the spatial resolution achieved with use of our TERS tips should be on the order of the nanometer scale.\cite{21-24} In the context of this study, we have purposely applied undersampling (point-to-point distance between measurement of 25 nm – see Figure S13) to gain information on the real surface distribution of chemical species. Even in this context, the surface number density can be found by using simple statistical rational, as shown in Figure S14, where conclusions on surface number density depend on the lateral resolution of TERS vs. the molecule-to-molecule distance.

For the purpose of this demonstration, we conservatively assume a low lateral resolution of ~3 nm (dashed circles of Figure S14) and assume a molecule-to-molecule distance of 4.5 nm (Figure
S14,a), knowing that the diameter occupied by the isonicotine molecules attached on the surface is approximately 1 nm (blue circles of Figure S14), a scan with 1.5 nm step size would consequently not miss isonicotinic acid. However, at this distance and given the above-mentioned resolution and step size parameters when the tip is positioned, e.g., exactly between 4.5 nm spaced isonicotinic acid molecules, no signal would be measured. Furthermore, a variation of the signal intensity depending on the exact location of the molecule with respect to the tip would be detected. The Figure S14b shows another scenario where the molecule-to-molecule distance is 3 nm. Keeping the lateral resolution of TERS constant at 3 nm, the signal can still vary, however, isonicotinic acid will be always probed. Any smaller molecule-to-molecule distance would also lead to a comparatively constant point-to-point signal amplitude. Here, the systematic probing of a similar signal intensity in Figure 3c of the main manuscript matches well with the XPS analysis showing an isonicotine to isonicotine distance of ~1 nm. The actual experiment did not use the step sizes shown in S14, but a step size between TERS spots of 25 nm. While a direct resolution cannot be assessed, nevertheless, the number of positions addressed with the TERS experiments allows to draw several conclusions. The fact that almost no signal intensity variation was observed, either points to a very homogeneous surface or to a low resolution TERS tip. The latter can be excluded, as the band position fluctuations can be considered as a strong indication of a sampling of non-averaged ensembles (∝ 100 molecules). Consequently, as mentioned already above, the 3 nm lateral resolution is the upper limit. This leaves us with an estimation of the spacing of isonicotinic acid molecules of less than 3 nm, most likely even below 2 nm so well in the regime of the XPS results.
Figure S14. a) Top view of a scheme exposing TERS spatial resolution postulated as 3 nm or lower (dashed circles) vs molecule-to-molecule distance of 4.5 nm and b) of 3 nm for an isonicotine molecule (blue circles) at the surface of a NH₂-CNMM membrane. In the top scenario, the TERS signal would statistically vary from point to point while it remains approximately constant in the bottom scenario.
Section S5. Raman spectroscopy investigation of the Co⁺Co⁻ double salt complex.

Figure S15. Comparison of the SERS and bulk crystal spectra of the Co⁺Co⁻ double salt complex. SERS measurements were conducted at a power of ~1 μW to avoid the burning of the sample. Grey highlight shows the band attributed to Co-Cl stretching, that is absent from the TERS data shown in Figure 3d of the main text.
Section S6. TERS investigation of the Co⁺Co⁻ double salt complex immobilization.

Figure S16. Waterfall plot of a series of TERS spectra measured on a CMN surface on which the Co(III) complex has been immobilized. Band position and fluctuation as a function of time are similar to that of Figure 3d revealing reproducibility of the TERS results at different positions using a different tip.
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