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ABSTRACT: The development of Photoremovable protecting groups (PRPGs), which can be activated in the 'phototherapeutic window' for biological applications, is highly challenging. Only PRPGs based on BODIPY dye have been developed so far, which can be excited ≥600 nm. Herein, we developed for the first time NIR dye hydroxystyryl dicyanomethylene-4H-pyran (DCM) as a PRPG that can be operated in the phototherapeutic window. Ours easily synthesized DCM photocages efficiently released aromatic and aliphatic carboxylic acids in an aqueous solution on irradiation using the light of wavelength ≥600 nm and 650 nm, separately. As an application, we used our DCM-PRPG as a single component nanocarrier drug delivery system (DDS) to uncage valproic acid (a known histone deacetylase inhibitor) for cancer treatment. In vitro studies revealed that our DDS, hydroxystyryl dicyanomethylene-4H-pyran valproic acid conjugate nanoparticles (DCM-VPA-NPs) exhibited good cellular internalization, biocompatibility, and enhanced cytotoxicity upon irradiation.

INTRODUCTION
Photoremovable Protecting Groups (PRPGs) operated in the 'phototherapeutic window' (600-950 nm) for biological applications are in actual demand.3 Last four decades, researchers developed PRPGs that are primarily responsive towards UV and visible light (up to green light). Unfortunately, the light of these regions is less suitable for biological applications3 due to (i) strong optical scattering which results in poor tissue penetration (ii) competitive absorbance with natural pigments like hemoglobin (iii) as the light belongs to this region associated with high energy, it results overheating and cytotoxicity. Interestingly, such limitations can be minimized by light ≥ 600 nm for photouncaging. Since uncaging of bioactive molecules can be carried out using NIR light which is less harmful to the tissues with high penetration ability, they are suitable for drug delivery, gene delivery, neurotransmitter release, etc.3 In recent times, few indirect uncaging strategies of bioactive molecules utilizing the light of wavelength ≥ 600 nm are reported.4 For example, aminobenzoquinone photocages were developed, which cyclized upon irradiation with red light, resulting in the release of caged bioactive molecules via a self-immolative pathway.4a-b Next, Schnermann’s group reported an interesting cyanine-based photocages,4c-d which performed as a photosensitizer to generate singlet oxygen upon irradiation at 690 nm. The produced singlet oxygen breaks the photocage via oxidative cleavage, and subsequent hydrolysis leads to the uncaging of the caged molecule. However, it is always advantageous to use small organic PRPGs that directly released the active molecule with single-photon excitation using red/NIR light as it provides spatio-temporal control over the release.2,3

In 2015, Weinstain group5 and Winter group6 independently introduced meso-substituted BODIPY based PRPGs to release carboxylic acids with a green light (≥ 500 nm). Later, the Winter group developed boron methylated BODIPY photocages...
(Scheme 1a) to release substrates on excitation with Far Red/NIR light by blocking the unproductive conical intersection. Feringa’s group also developed red light triggered uncaging of amines from meso-substituted BODIPY photocages. Klan’s group reported BODIPY-based carbon monoxide-releasing molecules (CORMs) upon irradiation of light within the ‘phototherapeutic window’. Recently, Weinstein’s group developed water soluble BODIPY photocages having tunable cellular localization. The exciting aspect of BODIPY-PRPGs is that they exhibit good absorbance within the phototherapeutic window via single-photon excitation.

In recent times, NIR emissive dyes based on Hydroxystyryl dicyanomethylene-4H-pyran (DCM) chromophore have gained considerable attention, particularly in the area of sensing and imaging of biomolecules, gasotransmitters, enzymes, metal ions, etc. The exciting feature of DCM derivatives is that they exhibit good absorbance within the phototherapeutic window due to the strong internal charge transfer (ICT) process. Moreover, DCM derivatives are easily synthesizable in a few steps, and exhibit good solubility in the aqueous medium. The above-mentioned interesting properties of DCM derivatives prompted us to develop for the first time DCM photocages as a red light-activated PRPG.

Herein, we developed an acyl group appended α-Hydroxystyryl dicyanomethylene-4H-pyran PRPG to release aliphatic and aromatic carboxylic acids in the ‘phototherapeutic window’ (Scheme 1b). Our newly developed DCM-PRPG exhibited exciting features like, (i) requires only three steps to synthesize the photocages starting from salicylaldehyde, (ii) good solubility in the aqueous medium (iii) exhibits ground state proton transfer (GSPT) phenomenon in the aqueous medium resulting in the increase in the intensity of absorption and emission (iv) uncaging of carboxylic can be carried out with red-light (600 - 650 nm) via single-photon excitation.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Synthesis of DCM photocages.** We synthesized DCM photocages (5a-d) in three steps starting from salicylaldehyde with 58 to 70% overall yield (Scheme 2). Friedel-Crafts acylation of salicylaldehyde in the presence of AlCl3 and bromoacetyl bromide produced compound 2. Compound 2 was then esterified with p-anisic acid, o-toluic acid, α-chlorobenzoic acid individually, in the presence of K2CO3 in acetonitrile, resulted in compounds 3a-c, respectively. Ester 3d was synthesized from compound 2 and sodium valproate in acetonitrile. Next, compound 4 was synthesized by following the literature known procedure, and finally, condensation reaction between compounds 3a-d and 4 produced our final photocages (5a-d), respectively. Experimental details and characterization data of the photocages are provided in the supporting information (pages S5-S23).

**Photophysical properties of DCM photocages.** We recorded the UV-vis absorbance and fluorescence of DCM-VPA (5d) as a representative example in different solvents (Figure 1). Figure 1b showed two absorption maximum for 5d due to the existence
of phenolic structure (A form, Figure 1a) and anionic structure (B form, Figure 1a). The relatively strong absorption peak at around 420 nm is observed due to the weak internal charge transfer (ICT) generated from the phenolic structure, and the peak around 600 nm with relatively weak absorption is due to the presence of the anionic form of DCM-VPA 5d, which exhibits strong ICT.13 Interestingly, in the emission spectra, we also observed two emission maximum for DCM-VPA 5d at around 520 nm and 730 nm (Figure 1c).

We noticed an interesting phenomenon for DCM-VPA 5d in the aqueous medium (DMSO-water binary mixture), the intensity of the peaks having an absorption maximum at 600 nm (Figure 1d) and emission maximum at 730 nm (Figure 1e) increased, which can be attributed due to the increase in the population of the anionic form (B form, Figure 1a). This phenomenon is known as a ground-state proton-transfer (GSPT) process, where solvent molecules assist the proton transfer, resulting in the red-shift of absorption and emission maximum due to the formation of an anionic structure.14

To confirm that our photocages are GSPT active, we recorded the absorption (Figure 1f) and emission spectra (Figure 1g) of all the photocages (5a-d) in dry DMSO and 1:1 DMSO:buffer (pH 7.4) mixture15. We noted that the addition of buffer solution enhanced the intensity of the absorption and the emission maximum of our photocages by ten folds with a slight blue-shift (~20 nm) of the absorption maximum (580 nm). We calculated the fluorescent quantum yield of our photocages (Table 1) in a 1:1 DMSO buffer (pH 7.4) binary mixture using rhodamine B (ϕF = 0.31 in water) as the standard.16

Table 1: Overall synthetic yields and photophysical properties of DCM-photocages (5a-d):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Photocages</th>
<th>Caged carboxylic acids</th>
<th>Yield (%)a</th>
<th>Absorption</th>
<th>Emission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>λmax b (nm)</td>
<td>εc M−1 cm−1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCM-PhOMe 5a</td>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>54900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCM-PhMe 5b</td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>78300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCM-PhCl 5c</td>
<td></td>
<td>61</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>68700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCM-VPA 5d</td>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>60800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aBased on the isolated yield after three steps. bMaximum absorption wavelength. cMolar absorption coefficient (M−1 cm−1). dMaximum emission wavelength. eFluorescence quantum yield (ϕF).

Stability of DCM photocages. We also examined the stability of the photocages (5a-d) in biological pH and two different pH solutions (5.5 and 8) under the dark condition at 37°C. Table S1 indicated that the decomposition of photocages was less than 5% after seven days.

Photouncaging of carboxylic acids from DCM photocages. Next, we investigated the photouncaging ability of photocages 5a-d by one-photon irradiation using the light of 600 and 650 nm wavelengths, separately. We prepared a 4 ml (1 x 10−4 M) solution of photocages in DMSO/PBS buffer (pH 7.4) binary mixture with 75% water fraction (fW) in a cuvette and exposed to a 150 W xenon arc lamp as the light source assembled with a band-pass filter at 600 ± 10 nm, separately. The uncaging process was monitored by reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC), mass spectroscopy, absorption, and emission spectroscopy (Figure 2 & Figure S20-S26). We observed that DCM-photocages released the caged carboxylic acids in high chemical (90–95%) and moderate quantum yields (Table 2). The quantum yield for the photorelease was calculated by employing potassium ferrioxalate as an actinometer.1,15,17

As a representative example, the photorelease of DCM-VPA 5d was monitored by RP-HPLC at different irradiation time intervals. The RP-HPLC chromatograms (Figure 2a) of DCM-VPA 5d show a decrease in absorbance at 520 nm (Figure 2b) and a decrease in emission intensity at 730 nm (Figure 2c) with increasing irradiation time, indicating the photodecomposition of DCM-VPA. We also observed notable changes in the absorbance and emission spectra (Figure 2c) of DCM-VPA during photolysis at 600 ± 10 nm for 55 min. The decrease in the intensity of absorbance and emission maxima can be attributed to the loss of conjugation of hydroxyl with the phenacyl group due to the formation of photo-Favorskii rearranged product5,15,18 (Scheme 1b). Initially, the hydroxyl group of the photocage participated in cross-conjugation with the phenacyl and DCM groups; therefore, the hydroxyl proton becomes more acidic and quickly gets deprotonated to generate oxyl anion resulting in strong red fluorescence. However, the hydroxyl proton becomes less acidic after photolysis because the hydroxyl group is only conjugated to the DCM moiety and exhibited weak red fluorescence. Therefore, uncaging of carboxylic acids from DCM-photocages can be easily monitored by decrease in fluorescence intensity. Similarly, we carried out the photolysis of DCM-PhOMe 5a, DCM-PhMe 5b, DCM-PhCl 5c at 600 ± 10 nm. We quantified the photodecomposition amount of these
photocages from the peak area of HPLC chromatograms for the individual photocages (Figure 3a). We also observed a notable decrease in the fluorescence intensity of these photocages during photolysis (Figure S20).

We also checked the uncaging ability of all the photocages (5a-d) in a similar procedure at 650 nm (band-pass filter at 650 ± 10 nm). We noted that the time required for 90% uncaging of carboxylic acids was longer compared to the photouncaging at 600 nm (Table 2 & Figure S21). For example, the time required for 90% photodecomposition of DCM-VPA at 600 nm is 55 min (Figure 3a). At 650 nm, it required 140 min of irradiation (Table 2, Figure S22). We have tabulated the photochemical quantum yields of DCM-photocages at 600 nm and 650 nm in Table 2.

### Table 2: Photochemical properties of DCM-photocages (5a-d):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Photocages</th>
<th>Carboxylic acid (pKa)</th>
<th>Irradiation at 600 nm</th>
<th>Irradiation at 650 nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Time required for 90% uncaging&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Uncaging Quantum yield ϕ&lt;sub&gt;u&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCM-PhOMe 5a</td>
<td>O-Anisic acid (4.47)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCM-PhMe 5b</td>
<td>O-Toluenic acid (3.96)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCM-PhCl 5c</td>
<td>O-Chloro benzoic acid (2.89)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCM-VPA 5d</td>
<td>Valproic acid (4.8)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

90% release of carboxylic acids was determined from the HPLC peak area with respect to irradiation time in minutes. The photochemical quantum yield (ϕ<sub>u</sub>) of DCM-photocages was calculated using potassium ferrioxalate as an actinometer. 17

The results indicated that our DCM PRPG efficiently uncaged carboxylic acid in the phototherapeutic window.

To confirm the precise control of uncaging is entirely dependent upon the incident light, we carried out a simple light turn on-off experiment. 19 In which, we exposed the DCM-VPA 5d solution to light and dark conditions at different intervals. Figure 3b clearly showed that in dark conditions, no release was observed, indicating light is solely responsible for the release of valproic acid.

**Mechanism of photorelease from DCM photocages.** Next, we investigate the photouncaging mechanism of DCM photocages. As our PRPG is a derivative of p-hydroxyphenacyl PRPG, we believed that the photouncaging occurred via Photo-Favorskii rearrangement from the triplet excited state. To check whether the photouncaging happens from the triplet excited state, we performed two different experiments. At first, the photolysis of DCM-VPA was carried out in the presence of a triplet quencher potassium sorbate (PoS). 15 We found that in the presence of PoS the drug release almost got quenched (Figure S27). Secondly, we examine the photolysis of DCM-VPA in the presence and absence of aerated oxygen. Interestingly, the photochemical quantum yield of DCM-VPA was found to be higher in the case of nitrogen-degassed solution (ϕ<sub>u</sub> = 0.084) than in aerated solution (ϕ<sub>u</sub> = 0.067). This decrease in the photochemical quantum yield of DCM-VPA in aerated solution is due to the possible energy transfer from the triplet excited state of DCM-VPA to oxygen, which perturbs the photodecomposition.

Therefore, based on the literature reports 3, 15, 18 and our experimental findings, we proposed the possible photorelease mechanism of our DCM-PRPG (Scheme 3). At first, the DCM photocage gets excited to its singlet state in the presence of water, and then it undergoes efficient intersystem crossing (ISC) to its triplet excited state. From the triplet excited state, the leaving group departs along with the formation of a putative spirodione intermediate. The spirodione intermediate upon hydrolytic ring-opening gives the photoproduct (Scheme 3).

**In vitro applicability of DCM-VPA as nano DDS.** The positive outcome of red light triggered uncaging of carboxylic acids encouraged us to investigate the intracellular drug release ability of our PRPG. Since, one of our photocages, DCM-VPA, was attached with valproic acid which is an anticancer drug (a histone deacetylase inhibitor that decondensed the chromatin and prohibited cell proliferation 26), we used cancerous cell lines for in vitro drug release.

At first, we prepared nanoparticles of DCM-VPA by reprecipitation technique for biological application by following our previously reported procedure (Figure 4a). 21 We took the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images to understand the shape and size of the formed nanoparticles (NPs). From Figure 4b and 4c, we observed that the average size of the DCM-VPA-NPs is around ~ 7 nm with almost spherical in shape.

Next, the cellular internalization of DCM-VPA-NPs were monitored by confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM). B16F10 cells were incubated with DCM-VPA-NPs (Figure 5a), and the cell nuclei were stained with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). From Figure 5b (i-iii), we noticed that DCM-VPA-NPs got internalized after 4 h of incubation and exhibited red fluorescence. Next, we irradiated these pretreated cells for 60 min and observed a decrease in the fluorescent intensity [Figure 5c...
The change in the fluorescent intensity roughly indicates cytosolic drug release by DCM-VPA-NPs. Finally, the cytotoxicity of DCM-VPA-NPs was examined by MTT assay [MTT= 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-tetrazolium bromide, a yellow tetrazole] using MDA-MB-231 cell line. We observed > 80% cell viability at different concentrations of DCM-VPA-NPs after 72h incubation period (Figure 6). Next, we irradiated DCM-VPA-NPs pretreated cells and compared the cytotoxicity with sodium valproate treated cells after light irradiation (Figure 6). The results indicated that DCM-VPA-NPs 5d are showing enhanced cytotoxicity upon irradiation compared to free sodium valproate. The enhanced cytotoxicity can be attributed to the intracellular drug release. Therefore, our PRPG is efficient in releasing caged active molecules within the cells upon red light irradiation.

Figure 4: (a) Schematic representation of the preparation of DCM-VPA-NPs; (b) TEM image of DCM-VPA-NPs; (c) Average size of the DCM-VPA-NPs.

Figure 5: (a) Schematic representation of the preparation of DCM-VPA-NPs; Live B16F10 cell lines treated with DCM-VPA-NPs and DAPI and CLSM images were collected after 4h of incubation period via [(i) blue channel, (ii) red channel, (iii) merged image of blue & red channel; B16F10 cell lines treated with DCM-VPA-NPs and DAPI were irradiated for 1h and CLSM images were collected via, (iv) blue channel, (v) red channel, (vi) merged image of blue & red channel; (d) Schematics of histone deacetylase inhibitory activity of valproic acid.

Figure 6: Cell viability assays for DCM-VPA-NPs and sodium valproate with MDA-MB-231 cell line. Data presented are the average of the data obtained in triplicate independent experiments and presented relative to a control, and the results were presented as mean ± SEM. The statistical analysis was done using one-way ANOVA. p-Value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001; ****, p < 0.0001.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we developed NIR dye DCM as a PRPG for carboxylic acids with excellent uncaging efficiency at a higher wavelength (above 600 nm). Our DCM-photocages (5a-d) are synthesized by three steps starting from commercially available salicylaldehyde with a good overall yield. Our DCM-photocages exhibited the GSPT phenomenon in the aqueous medium, resulting in increased absorption and emission intensity. DCM-PRPG released efficiently carboxylic acids based on p-hydroxyphenacyl mechanism. Further, we used our DCM-PRPG to develop a single component nanocarrier drug delivery system (DDS) to uncage valproic acid for cancer treatment. The positive aspect of our single component nanocarrier DDS is that it does not require any inorganic components.
In vitro studies revealed that our nano-DDS, DCM-VPA-NPs exhibited good cellular internalization, biocompatibility, and enhanced cytotoxicity upon irradiation. In the future, we want to modify the DCM-PRPG for uncaging molecules having different functional groups at a higher wavelength NIR light (above 700 nm).
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