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Abstract
A comprehensive description of the electrochemical processes in the positive electrode of lithium–sulfur batteries is crucial for the enhancement of sulfur utilization. However, the discharge mechanisms are complicated due to the various reactions in multiple phases and the tortuosity of the highly porous carbon matrix. While previous studies have focused on the precipitation of lithium sulfide, the effect of the limited mass transport inside the micropores and mesopores of an electrode with optimized surface area have largely been neglected. In this work, \textit{in-operando} small-angle scattering with three different contrasts, and wide-angle scattering measurements are made while the internal and diffusion resistances are measured simultaneously. The results indicate that the precipitates grow mostly in number, not in size, and that the structure of the carbon matrix is not affected. The comparison of the small-angle and wide-angle scattering reveals the amorphous discharge products found at a low discharge rate. Further analyses demonstrate the correlation between the diffusion resistance and the composition of material in the mesopores at the end of discharge, which suggests that Li-ion deficiency is the limiting factor of sulfur utilization at a medium discharge rate.

1. Introduction
Much expectation and thus research attention has been placed on lithium–sulfur (Li–S) batteries for their high theoretical specific energy (2552 Wh kg\textsuperscript{-1})\textsuperscript{1} on the materials level and the availability of sulfur as a byproduct of oil refinement.\textsuperscript{2} However, the low utilization of sulfur and the low reversibility of metallic lithium limit the specific energy density to around 400 Wh kg\textsuperscript{-1} on the cell level so far.\textsuperscript{3,5} Moreover, the reactions between the reactive lithium anode and the catholyte, i.e. cathode materials dissolved in electrolyte, result in self-discharge and thus low Coulombic efficiency.\textsuperscript{6} The low sulfur utilization, which is reflected by the ratio between the realized and theoretical specific capacity of the positive electrode expressed per unit mass of sulfur (1672 mA h g\textsuperscript{-1}),\textsuperscript{1} stems from the complex reaction mechanism at the positive electrode.\textsuperscript{1,7} On discharge, elemental sulfur is reduced to various reaction intermediates, lithium polysulfides (Li\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{x}, x = 2–8), which are soluble in the widely-adopted ether-based electrolytes. As the cell continues to discharge, lithium polysulfides are further reduced to lithium sulfide (Li\textsubscript{2}S). The reactions are reversed upon charging, but the exact species of polysulfides differ.\textsuperscript{8} Since sulfur and Li\textsubscript{2}S are insoluble, both dissolution and precipitation occur during discharge or charge. Moreover, owing to their low electronic conductivity, a porous conductive matrix with
high specific surface area, usually made from carbon, is required to facilitate the electrochemical reactions.\textsuperscript{2,9} Therefore, understanding the interplay between the formation of the insulating species and the functionality of the carbon matrix is critical to the improvement of the sulfur utilization. While the precipitation and dissolution of elemental sulfur is characterized to be fast and reversible processes,\textsuperscript{10,11} the formation of Li$_2$S is often blamed for limiting sulfur utilization due to the larger volume per sulfur atom. Assuming all the elemental sulfur is converted to form Li$_2$S, an increase of approximately 20\% in volume has to be accommodated in the carbon matrix, which can substantially decrease the volume fraction of electrolyte inside the pores even if the reaction is not complete. Besides pore blocking, the insulating precipitate is also reported to passivate the conductive porous matrix,\textsuperscript{12,13} although some studies suggest that the passivation is not limiting in thin electrodes with high electrolyte to sulfur ratio.\textsuperscript{14} Nevertheless, there is a consensus that the incomplete formation of Li$_2$S is a roadblock to approaching the theoretical specific capacity. Consequently, the precipitation of Li$_2$S has been investigated extensively in recent studies.\textsuperscript{15–21}

The reports on Li$_2$S formation can be categorized into the characterization of the bulk electrode and studies of the electrode surface. With cell modifications, the Li$_2$S formation in bulk electrodes is often probed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and/or imaging during cell operation. It was, for example, observed using \textit{in-operando} diffraction that the rate of increase of the intensity from the crystalline Li$_2$S reduces while a constant discharge current is applied, so other non-crystalline or amorphous sulfur-species, such as Li$_2$S$_2$, must be formed during the later stage of discharge.\textsuperscript{15} The dependence of the morphology of Li$_2$S on temperature, current density, and state of charge has also been characterized by \textit{in-operando} XRD and radiography.\textsuperscript{17} The impact of Li$_2$S on the transport properties of the carbon matrix was moreover demonstrated by coupling diffraction with real-time resistance measurements.\textsuperscript{18} In contrast, the Li$_2$S precipitates on the electrode surface are usually revealed by post-mortem scanning electron microscopy, SEM, though an \textit{in-operando} morphological study has been conducted with atomic force microscopy.\textsuperscript{19} Higher resolution of the SEM images provides detailed morphology for kinetic studies.\textsuperscript{20,21} Despite this variety, the various techniques each have some their respective drawbacks in identification of the limiting factor for the discharge process. The \textit{in-operando} XRD can for example not detect amorphous solids while the X-ray imaging has limited spatial resolution. The post-mortem analyses often risk discrepancies caused by the cell disassembly and sample preparation. Moreover, the observation on an electrode surface may not reflect the precipitation within a tortuous carbon matrix.

Small-angle scattering provides the possibility of morphological characterization of both crystalline and amorphous solid discharge products in an operational Li–S cell. Small-angle X-ray scattering, SAXS, has been applied widely to probe the pore structure of carbonaceous materials\textsuperscript{22,23} as well as to the impregnation of sulfur into the pores.\textsuperscript{24,25} Small-angle neutron scattering, SANS, has been used to reveal the different morphologies of the precipitate in a carbon matrix in lithium–oxygen batteries,\textsuperscript{26} which possess similarities to the Li–S system. While SAXS is more accessible, SANS measurements benefit from the adjustable contrast in terms of different scattering length densities obtained through deuteration of solvents. Recently, \textit{in-operando} SANS studies have been conducted on Li–S batteries. Risse et al. characterized the precipitation behavior of sulfur and Li$_2$S in a deuterated catholyte
cell with microporous carbon cloth and reported that the Li$_2$S does not form inside the micropores. Jafta et al. employed a similar microporous carbon cloth electrode to study the influence of different sulfur infiltration methods and performed in-operando SANS with sulfur-infiltrated carbon matrix and a hydrogenous electrolyte, from which the authors concluded that Li$_2$S precipitates inside the micropores. The contradictory results suggest that more information is required to elucidate the full picture.

In this work, in-operando measurements of SAXS and SANS with both deuterated and hydrogenous electrolytes are carried out on a previously optimized electrode with a mesoporous carbon host to probe the precipitation process of Li$_2$S in three different contrasts. The scattering data are fitted with a simple two-sphere model, which renders a consistent set of parameters across the SANS data with deuterated electrolyte, SAXS data and the concurrent wide-angle X-ray scattering, WAXS, measurements. The lack of variation in the SANS data from the cell with hydrogenous electrolyte also indicates the medium where Li$_2$S precipitates. With the simultaneous resistance measurements enabled by the intermittent current interruption (ICI) method, a comprehensive picture as to how the discharge process is limited under various current densities can thereby be presented.

2. Experimental
2.1. Materials
Elemental sulfur powder (S, Sigma-Aldrich), Ketjenblack (EC-600JD, Akzo Nobel), Super C65 (Imerys), carbon nanofibers (CNF, 20−200 nm in diameter of the cross-section and 100 μm in length, Sigma-Aldrich), poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO, $M_w$~4 000 000, Sigma-Aldrich), poly(vinylpyrrolidone) (PVP, $M_w$~360 000, Sigma-Aldrich), C-coated Al-foil (20 μm thick, SDX, Showa Denko), beryllium discs (99+%, ⌀16 mm, 0.25 mm thick, American Elements), Al-coated polyimide (25 μm thick coated with 30 nm Al on one side, Goodfellow), Al foil (20 μm thick, Korff AG), polyimide film (25 μm thick, Goodfellow), coin cells (CR2025, Hohsen) and hot-melting tape (thermo bonding film, Maskin & Verktyg, Sweden) were used as received. Lithium metal foil (Li, 125 μm thick, Cyprus Foote Mineral) was also used as received but stored under an Ar atmosphere. Lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonil)imide (LiTFSI, BASF) and lithium nitrate (LiNO$_3$, Sigma-Aldrich) were dried at 120 °C under vacuum for 12 h. 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME, BASF), 1,3-dioxolane (DOL, Sigma-Aldrich), tetrahydrofuran (THF, Sigma-Aldrich), 1,2-dimethoxyethane-D$_{10}$ (d-DME, 99.5 at% D, Sigma-Aldrich) and tetrahydrofuran-D$_8$ (d-THF, ≥99.5 at% D, Sigma-Aldrich) were dried with 3 Å molecular sieves for at least 12 h. Celgard® 2400 separators were dried under vacuum at 80 °C for 8 h.

2.2. Cell modification and assembly
Schematic illustrations of the modified CR2025 coin cells for in-operando SANS and SAXS measurements are shown in Figure 1. The electrode stack was sandwiched by two Be spacers to ensure uniform stack pressure and conductivity in both cells. For SAXS, the windows were optimized for X-ray transmission, so minimal amounts of Al and polyimide were used to attain sufficient sealing. For SANS, the windows were optimized for sealing since transmission of these materials was not a major concern and the cells had to be transported to the beamline.
The S/C composite electrodes in all the cells were fabricated according to a previously optimized procedure. A slurry with 65% S, 21% Ketjenblack, 3.5% C65, 3.5% CNF, 5.6% PEO and 1.6% PVP (by mass) was prepared with 20 vol% isopropanol solution in deionized water. Sulfur and Ketjenblack were mixed in a mortar and heated to 155 °C for 20 min before mixing with other ingredients for 2 h in a planetary ball mill. The slurry was coated with a doctor blade onto the C-coated Al foil before dried in the atmosphere and cut into ø13 mm discs. The electrodes were dried in vacuum at 55 °C for 12 h before use.

The modified and conventional coin cells were assembled in the same way. The electrode stack consisted of a S/C composite electrode, Celgard® 2400 (ø17 mm) and Li (ø15 mm). The electrolyte was composed of 1 molal LiTFSI and 0.25 molal LiNO₃ in different solvents for different cells, which are listed with the electrolyte-to-sulfur (e/S) ratios in Table 1. Conventional coin cells were made with DME:DOL and DME:THF for benchmarking the electrochemical properties of the modified coin cells, as shown in Figure S3 and S4.

Table 1. Cell parameters of the in-operando SANS and SAXS cells. The electrolyte/sulfur (e/S) ratio is expressed with respect to the mass of sulfur in milligrams (mgS).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell</th>
<th>Electrolyte solvent</th>
<th>e/S / µL mgS⁻¹</th>
<th>S-loading / mgS cm⁻²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SANS-D</td>
<td>d-DME:d-THF 1:1, v:v</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS-H</td>
<td>DME:THF 1:1, v:v</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAXS</td>
<td>DME:DOL 1:1, v:v</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3. In-Operando SANS

The SANS experiments were made with the D33 instrument at the Institut Laue-Langevin (ILL), France. The wavelength of neutron was set to 6 Å. Around 48 h after the cell assembly and transport, two cells, SANS-D and SANS-H, were measured alternately for 900 s while they were discharged to 1.9 V at a constant C-rate of C/25 (where 1C is defined as 1672 mA gs⁻¹, and gs denotes the mass of sulfur in grams), charged to 2.6 V at C/10, cycled between 1.8 and 2.6 V at C/10 and finally cycled between 1.8 and 2.6 V at C/5 by a SP-240 and a SP-150 potentiostat (Bio-Logic), respectively. The cells were mounted on a custom-built sample holder with electrical connections, which is fixed onto the sample stage at the beamline, as displayed in Figure S1. The cells were then linearly translated back and forth in the direction perpendicular to the neutron beam automatically by the sample stage. As the SANS-H cell had finished the planned program, the alternation stopped, and all the following measurements were done on the SANS-D cell. Transmissions of both cells were measured before and after the in-operando measurements. The transmission of the SANS-D cell changed from 0.86788 to 0.86252 while that of the SANS-H cell changed from 0.80680 to 0.81073. Both changes are less than 0.75%.
During the galvanostatic cycling, the current was paused for 1 s every 5 min. While the current was off, the voltage was measured every 0.1 s and analyzed by the previously reported ICI method, as described below in section 2.5.

2.4. \textit{In-Operando} SAXS and WAXS

The SAXS and WAXS measurements were conducted with a Xeuss 2.0 SAXS/WAXS system (Xenocs) with a Cu Kα X-ray source (\(\lambda = 1.54 \text{ Å}\)) and a Pilatus 300k detector at 270 mm from the sample. The wide-angle detector, Pilatus 100k, was at 161 mm from the sample and provided further diffraction data to a scattering angle of about 40°. After the cell assembly and a 6 h rest, the SAXS cell was discharged to 1.9 V at C/50, charged to 2.6 V at C/25 and subsequently cycled between 1.8 and 2.6 V at C/10. As with SANS measurements, a SP-150 potentiostat (Bio-Logic) was used for the control of discharge and charge, and the measurement of electrical properties. Each measurement was collected in vacuum for 900 s and normalized to the transmission, recorded on the detector that was operated with no beam stop. The same protocol of the ICI method was applied, and revealed that the internal resistance of the cell increases substantially after the sixth cycle. Therefore, the analysis of the cell was focused on the first five cycles.

2.5. Interpretation of Scattering Data

The azimuthal averages of the two-dimensional raw data from the SANS and SAXS measurements were made with GRASP\textsuperscript{32} and Foxtrot\textsuperscript{33} software, respectively. The overall scattering from cells, as seen in Figure 2, is intense and has a complex shape. Scattering can be attributed to various components that include electrodes, seals, separator, and window materials as well as electrolyte in the bulk and within pores. Although data are normalized to direct beam intensity and corrected to allow for the overall transmission, the uncertainty in the thickness of the various parts of the cell precludes simple presentation in usual absolute units of scattering cross-section. As some anisotropy was observed in the measurements of the SANS-D cell due to the elongated pore shape of the separator, this range of small momentum transfer is not included in the present analysis that is described below. The focus is made directly on the changes in scattering and how these differ for the contrasts available to neutrons and X-rays for the various relevant electrochemical processes.

To fit the data from the SANS-D and SAXS cells, the first measurement was subtracted from the subsequent measurements to exclude the background from unchanging components and to highlight the differences. With the plug-in model function in the SasView software,\textsuperscript{34} a model was developed, involving the addition of scattering from two independent distributions of spherical objects (A and B in the following equation) with polydispersity.

\[
\Delta I(q) = \sum_{i=A,B} \int_{0}^{\infty} f(r_i, r_{\text{med},i}, \sigma_i) \frac{((\text{scale})_i)(\Delta \rho_i)^2}{V_i} \left[ 3V_i \frac{\sin(qr_i) - qr_i \cos(qr_i)}{(qr_i)^3} \right]^2 \, dr_i
\]

\[
\text{where } q = \frac{4\pi \sin \Theta}{\lambda}
\]

\(\Delta I\) is the intensity difference, \(q\) is the momentum transfer, which can be expression as a function of scattering angle \(\Theta\) and wavelength of the incident beam \(\lambda\), \(\text{scale}\) is the
scale factor, which is proportional to the volume fraction of the scatterer, \( \Delta \rho \) is the difference in the scattering length densities (SLD) of the scattering object and its surrounding. \( V \) is the volume of the scatterer \((4\pi r^3/3)\), \( r \) is the radius of the scatterer and \( f \) is the log-normal distribution of \( r \), which is a function of \( r \), median of \( r \), \( r_{med} \), and the polydispersity, \( \sigma \).

\[
f(r, r_{med}, \sigma) = \frac{1}{N r \sigma} e^{-0.5 \left( \frac{\ln(r) - \ln(r_{med})}{\sigma} \right)^2}
\]

where \( N = \int_0^{\infty} N_f(r, r_{med}, \sigma) dr \).  

The model was used to sequentially fit the data from the lower potential plateaus in the q-range between 0.03 and 0.3 Å\(^{-1}\) in the batch mode with chain-fitting using the DREAM algorithm. A lower limit of the radius of one of the spheres was set to be 30 Å when fitting the SANS data. Since the scattering length density of the electrolyte may be varied by its composition, \( \Delta \rho \) is set to 1 in the fitting. Thus, the scale shown in the data is proportional to the product of the square of the difference in the contrast and the volume fraction of the scatterers \((\Delta \rho^2 \times \text{scale})\). The SANS data acquired at ILL is available on its data deposit.\(^{35}\) The WAXS data were analyzed by modeling the 111 reflection of Li\(_2\)S \((Fm\overline{3}m)\) using Topas Academic (V6) software. The reflection was modelled using a single Gaussian peak whose intensity and width were refined with the position constrained to 24.5–27.5° (2\( \theta \)) angular range. A fourth degree Chebychev polynomial was used to model the background.

2.6. Analysis of the electrochemical data
Following the ICI method, a linear regression of potential change \((\Delta E)\) against the square root of step time \((t^{0.5})\) was performed to the data collected during each current interruption. The internal resistance, \( R \), was obtained by dividing the intercept \((\Delta E(t=0))\) by the current before the current pause and the diffusion resistance coefficient \((k)\) was determined by dividing the gradient, \(dE/d\sqrt{t}\), by the current before the interruption. Based on the porous electrode model,\(^{36}\) it has been demonstrated that \( R \) is the sum of the electronic, solution and charge-transfer resistances, and \( k \) is a measure of the time-dependent resistance, which is a direct-current analogy to the Warburg element used in equivalent circuit models for analyzing electrochemical impedance spectroscopy.\(^{18,30}\) Under an alternating-current perturbation, the impedance of a Warburg element, \( Z_W \), can be expressed as:\(^{37}\)

\[
Z_W = (1 - j)W \omega^{-0.5}
\]

where \( j \) is the imaginary number, \( W \) is the Warburg coefficient and \( \omega \) is the angular frequency. In previous work, it was theoretically derived that \( k \) is proportional to \( W \) and the linear relationship was experimentally verified over a wide range of states of charge in the Li–S system.\(^{18}\)
\[ k = \sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi} \cdot W} \]

A script in the R-programming language \[\text{38}\] was written for the ICI analysis, which can be found with the raw data via Zenodo. \[\text{39}\]

3. Results and discussion
3.1. Comparison between the raw scattering data in three contrasts
The azimuthally averaged scattering data for the SANS-D, SANS-H and SAXS cells at selected states of discharge or charge in the first cycle are shown in Figure 2. While the variation in the scattering curves of the SANS-D cell is obvious, the change in scattering from the SANS-H cell is minimal across all the states of charge. This large difference between the two SANS cells can be attributed to the different electrolyte. Assuming that the morphology and distribution of the precipitates are identical for the deuterated and hydrogenous electrolyte, the change in intensity will be proportional to the square of the scattering length density difference, \( \Delta \rho^2 \), between \( \text{Li}_2\text{S} \) and the respective electrolyte, as shown in Equation 1. As depicted in Figure 3a, the scattering length density of the \( \text{Li}_2\text{S} \) is very close to that of the hydrogenous electrolyte, but far from that of the deuterated electrolyte. The similarity of all the scattering curves of the SANS-H cell indicates that the structure of the carbon matrix is not altered by the precipitation, i.e. they replace the electrolyte, most likely in the pores of the carbon.

![Graphs showing scattering data](image-url)
Figure 2. Azimuthally averaged SAS data from the first discharge of the cells a) SANS-D, c) SANS-H and d) SAXS and b) the first charge of the SANS-D cell. The intensity (I) is plotted against the momentum transfer (q). The measurements of the SANS-H and SAXS cells during the first charge can be found in the Supporting Information. The changes in the scattering curves of the SAXS cell are noticeable but not as substantial as those of the SANS-D cell. This is a consequence of the smaller contrast between the electrolyte and Li$_2$S than that between the electrolyte and the carbon matrix, as shown in Figure 3b. Nevertheless, since the SAXS measurements are normalized to the transmission, the variations are still significant when the background is subtracted, as demonstrated in the following section. In addition, the analyses can be verified by the WAXS data that were measured concurrently.

Figure 3. Schematic diagram of scattering length density of the main components of the positive electrode with a) neutron and b) X-ray ($\lambda = 1.54$ Å) at various states of discharge or charge. The voltage curve of the first cycle of the SANS-D and SAXS cells are also plotted in light brown as a reference. The value for the electrolyte on the lower plateau is estimated with the density measurement of the Li$_2$S$_x$ solution in the respective electrolyte according to the electrolyte/sulfur ratio specified in Table 1. The dashed lines for the electrolyte near the end of discharge show the scattering length density inferred from the fitting results of the “larger spheres” in Figure 5 and 6. The scattering length density of the hydrogenous electrolyte only changes slightly, so the variation is not presented in a).

3.2. Comparison of the background-subtracted data
To eliminate the scattering contribution from other components of the cell during further analysis of the cells SANS-D and SAXS, the first scattering curve is subtracted from the following ones, of which the examples are displayed in Figure 4. Since negative values result from the subtraction for the SAXS data, the square of the intensity is also plotted in the logarithmic scale in Figure 4c for easier comparison with Figure 4a. Due to the anisotropy of scattering caused by the separator for q < 0.03 Å$^{-1}$ from the data of the SANS-D cell, the following analysis will be limited to q > 0.03 Å$^{-1}$. Data from both cells show that there are two ranges where there are intensity
differences, $\Delta I$, one is around 0.04 $\text{Å}^{-1}$ and the other is around 0.2 $\text{Å}^{-1}$. Both are positive in SANS, but the former is negative in SAXS, which indicates that they arise from different processes. Since the precipitation of $\text{Li}_2\text{S}$ does not decrease the scattering intensity, the contribution at lower $q$ must stem from another phenomenon. Therefore, it is reasonable to apply a model with two separate distributions of objects, taken as spheres, to fit the data. The interpretation of the different contributions to scattering variation will be described further with the results of fits.

3.3. Interpretation of the two-sphere model

The intensity differences for the cells SANS-D and SAXS are fitted by the two-sphere model described by Equations 1 to 4, as demonstrated in Figure 5 and 6, respectively. The analysis is focused on the lower voltage plateaus because there are no significant features in the scattering curves at the fully charged state, which can be observed in Figure 2b. As displayed in Figure S6, diffraction of $\beta$-S from the SAXS cell are detected by the WAXS detector, but the discontinuous spots, instead of a continuous Debye-Scherrer ring, suggest that the crystallites are large and thus outside the pores of the carbon matrix, as reported previously.\textsuperscript{40,41} Since the cells were cycled at several C-rates, it is easier to start the comparison from the second cycle of the SANS-D cell and the third, fourth and fifth cycles of the SAXS cell, all of which are at C/10. Although the second cycle of the SAXS cell is also at C/10, its features on the SAXS data are different from those from the subsequent cycles, especially for the parameters of the larger sphere. In Figure 5, the scale factor of the smaller sphere (green squares) starts to increase shortly after the lower discharge plateau begins while its radius stays rather constant until the end of discharge. As mentioned in the experimental section, the scale factor here is proportional to the product of the scatterer concentration and the square of the scattering length density contrast. If this smaller sphere models the formation of $\text{Li}_2\text{S}$ particles in the electrolyte, as we suggest, the contrast will not change significantly, which is also seen in Figure 3a. Therefore, the scale factor of the smaller sphere reflects mostly the number of particles, which is also the case for the SAXS results according to Figure 3b. This linear increase is also confirmed by the integrated intensity of the 111 reflection from $\text{Li}_2\text{S}$ recorded by the WAXS detector in Figure 6. It
is interesting that the radius derived from the SAXS results is smaller than that calculated from the WAXS data using the Scherrer equation, as shown in Figure S7. A similar discrepancy between these two methods of determining the particle size has been reported before with precisely controlled samples.42

Figure 5. The scale factor, scale; radius, $r$; potential, $E$; internal resistance, $R$; and diffusion resistance coefficient, $k$, of the positive electrode of the cell SANS-D during discharge and charge cycles. A two-sphere model is applied to fit the intensity difference, $\Delta I$, between the SANS data for each time interval and the first measurement. The parameters of the two sphere distributions are plotted in different colors and symbols. The scale factor here is the product of a term that would correspond to the number density of the objects that give rise to scattering and the square of the difference in scattering length density, $\Delta \rho^2$. The first discharge and charge are at C/20 and C/10, respectively. The second and third cycles are at C/10 and C/5, respectively.
Figure 6. The scale factor (scale), radius, \( r \); the integrated intensity from the 111 diffraction peak of Li\(_2\)S, \( I_{\text{WAXS}} \); the potential, \( E \); internal resistance, \( R \); and diffusion resistance coefficient, \( k \), of the positive electrode of the cell SANS. A two-sphere model is applied to fit intensity differences, \( \Delta I \), between the SANS data in each interval and the first measurement. The respective parameters for each distribution of spheres are plotted in a specific color and shape. The scale factor here is the product of a number concentration of scattering objects and the square of the difference in scattering length density, \( \Delta \rho \). The first discharge and charge are at C/50 and C/25, respectively. The subsequent cycles are at C/10. The SANS and WAXS measurements were paused from the 20th to the 24th hours.

In some cycles of the SANS cell, the first measurement that renders an acceptable fit appears later than that apparent in the SANS data and the first detection of Li\(_2\)S by WAXS. This is a consequence of the lower contrast between the Li\(_2\)S and the electrolyte in comparison to the contrast between the electrolyte and carbon, which contributes negatively to the intensity difference and may cancel out the positive signal.
from the precipitation. Nevertheless, during discharge, a linear increase in the scale factor of the smaller sphere is also present in the SAXS data. As the cells start to be charged, a linear decrease in the scale factor of the smaller sphere and the intensity from WAXS can be observed. Interestingly, the radius of the smaller sphere increases on charging, which indicates that the small clusters or particles of \( \text{Li}_2\text{S} \) disappear first, leaving a population with lower concentration and larger radius. This trend in \( \text{Li}_2\text{S} \) dissolution was reported in a previous SANS work.\(^{27}\)

The negative scale factor for the larger spheres (orange triangles) in Figure 6 results from the negative \( \Delta I \) in the smaller q-range in Figure 4b. The decrease in intensity cannot describe a formation process according to Equation 1. Given the contrast of the cell components in Figure 3b, it is reasonable to assign this spherical model to the change in the scattering intensity from the electrolyte inside the porous carbon. This interpretation is consistent with the positive scale factor of the larger sphere in Figure 5 since the contrast for neutrons between the deuterated electrolyte and carbon increases as discharge occurs, as shown in Figure 3a. In the first two cycles in Figure 5, as the scale factor of the larger sphere remains roughly constant, that of the smaller sphere instead starts to increase. However, at the end of discharge, the larger sphere’s scale factor drops as its radius increases. This phenomenon may be explained by the decreased ratio between Li and S atoms in the electrolyte inside the carbon matrix at the end of discharge. Suppose the pore structure of the carbon matrix does not change, the variation of the scale factor of the larger sphere in Figure 5 and 6 indicates that the scattering length density of the electrolyte increases for both neutrons and X-rays, as sketched in Figure 3. In the case of neutrons, this increase can be qualitatively reasoned by the removal of \( \text{Li}_2\text{S}_6 \) since it decreases when \( \text{Li}_2\text{S}_6 \) is added to the electrolyte. However, the same reasoning cannot be applied to the X-ray scattering length density since it increases when \( \text{Li}_2\text{S}_6 \) is added. Since, for X-rays, sulfur and lithium have a higher and lower electron density than the electrolyte, respectively, the increase for the electrolyte at the end of discharge suggests that the ratio of the removed lithium and sulfur should be much higher than 1:3 in \( \text{Li}_2\text{S}_6 \). Given the large amount of lithium required to form \( \text{Li}_2\text{S} \), it can be inferred that there is a deficiency of \( \text{Li}^+ \) in the mesopores of the carbon matrix, which has been reported in experiments\(^{43}\) and simulations.\(^{44}\)

The increase in the radius of the larger sphere suggests that the resulting decrease in the contrast of scattering length density, as illustrated by the dashed lines in Figure 3, starts from the smaller pores, where a higher density of electrochemical reaction takes place due to the high specific conductive surface area. The data recorded during the third and fourth cycles of the SAXS measurements displayed in Figure 6 corroborate this explanation. Despite the smaller degree of variation, the radius of the larger spheres increases, and the scale factor decreases at the end of discharge. The more subtle change of the larger sphere in the SAXS data can be attributed to the smaller expected variation in the contrast of the electrolyte. While both Li and S have a smaller neutron scattering length density than the deuterated electrolyte, for X-rays these are, respectively, below and above that of the pure electrolyte. Thus, the effect of the removal of both Li and S from the electrolyte and into precipitates can be expected to be more obvious in SANS with deuterated electrolyte than in SAXS.

As the charging starts in the first two cycles in Figure 5, the radius of the larger spheres decreases, while its scale factor remains low for a longer time before increasing again. This is consistent with the outward migration of \( \text{Li}^+ \) from the positive electrode, which
starts from the large pores of the carbon matrix if a hierarchical pore structure is assumed. Thus, the contrast between the electrolyte and carbon decreases in the large pores at the beginning of the charging, and gives rise to the decrease in the radius of the larger sphere in the fitted results. Subsequently, Li\(^+\) may be replenished by the dissolution of Li\(_2\)S located in the small pores to sustain the outward flow. Nonetheless, the fitting results for these larger scattering objects during charging have less certainty due to the small values of the scale factors.

3.4. Influence of the specific current (C-rate) on the precipitation of Li\(_2\)S

Based on the scattering data, the precipitation behaviors can be categorized into three groups, which are present at high (C/5), medium (C/10 and C/20) or low (C/50) C-rates.

As discussed above, when the cell is discharged at medium C-rates, scale factor of the smaller sphere in Figure 5 and 6 indicates that the concentration of Li\(_2\)S increases linearly, which is also observed by WAXS. The radius of the precipitates remains around 10 Å throughout the discharge process. The lack of variation in the scattering from the SANS-H cell suggests that the formation of Li\(_2\)S is unlikely to expand the pores of the carbon matrix. Therefore, it is reasonable to conclude that most of the Li\(_2\)S precipitates are in the mesopores (2–50 nm) since their size is comparable to that of the micropores. Although this suggests that a direct pore blockage of one single particle is unlikely, the large number of small Li\(_2\)S particles can compromise the transport properties inside the carbon matrix by replacing the volume of ionic conducting electrolyte. This is manifested by both the Li\(^+\) depletion shown by the larger sphere, as discussed above, and the sharp increase in the diffusion resistance coefficient in Figure 5. Eventually, the deficiency of Li\(^+\) inside the carbon matrix leads to the termination of discharge at a medium C-rate.

At C/50, the low C-rate, the radius of the precipitates is only around 5 Å, as shown in the first cycle in Figure 6. This reduction in particle size is contrary to the trend observed with WAXS in Figure S7 and in previous SEM and X-ray imaging reports.\(^{17,21}\) This discrepancy in the observed particle size indicates that a large portion of the precipitates may be amorphous small particles in the electrolyte that cannot be detected by X-ray diffraction and imaging and are washed away with the electrolyte during ex-situ sample preparation. Both the scale factor from SAXS and the peak intensity from WAXS reach higher values by the end of discharge, compared to the subsequent cycles at C/10. While the former increases linearly throughout the discharge, the latter slows down after \(t = 30\) h. This suggests that the precipitates forming at the last stage are amorphous. This observation provides evidence for the formation of amorphous species, e.g. Li\(_2\)S\(_2\), also proposed by the previous X-ray diffraction study\(^{15}\) based on the mismatch between the amount of Li\(_2\)S and discharge capacity. The formation of less Li-demanding LiS\(_2\) may be the reason why higher sulfur utilization can be achieved at the low C-rate, while this secondary reaction may not be able to sustain a higher current.

At the high C-rate, C/5, the size of the precipitates is similar to that at medium or slow C-rates, but the scale factor only reach half of that under lower currents, as depicted in Figure 5. The scale factor for the larger spheres remains constant during the discharge process, indicating that there is no significant decrease in Li\(^+\) concentration as in the cases with medium currents. Since there are no other obvious features from the SANS results, the limiting factor of the discharge process may not be detected by
that technique. Given the higher internal resistance at the end of discharge in comparison to the previous cycle at C/10, a probable cause might include the higher solution resistance in the electrolyte, resulting from the different polysulfide species at different currents.

![Diagram](image)

Figure 7. Scheme that summarizes the discharge and charge processes during the lower voltage plateau, as described above. The approximated states of discharge (SoD) and charge (SoC) are shown at the bottom. The color of the electrolyte inside the pores of the carbon indicates the Li\(^+\) concentration, which starts to drop inside the small pores. At a medium C-rate, C/10, the discharge process is stopped by the deficiency of Li\(^+\) inside the mesopores, whereas, at a low C-rate, C/50, less Li\(^+\)-demanding Li\(_x\)S\(_y\) forms and more discharge capacity can be delivered.

3.5. Influence of the precipitation on the electrochemical properties

To take a closer look at the effect of precipitation, in Figure 8, the diffusion resistance coefficient is plotted against the scale factors and diffraction intensity from Figure 6. The SAXS data are chosen as they were measured over more cycles and the employed electrolyte is more widely used in the field. Despite the similar chemical properties, tetrahydrofuran does not behave in the same way as 1,3-dioxolane when serving as a co-solvent in Li–S cells, as demonstrated in Figure S3 and S4.

![Graphs](image)

Figure 8. The diffusion resistance coefficient (k) plotted against a) intensity of the 111 reflection of Li\(_2\)S (I\(_{WAXS}\)), b) the scale factor for the smaller sphere, scale\(_B\), and c) the scale factor for the larger spheres, scale\(_A\), from the fitting results for the SAXS data shown in Figure 6. Note that the x-axis is reversed in c.

In Figure 8, a consistent trend can be observed in the data for cycles 3 to 5. The sharp increase in the diffusion resistance coefficient as the WAXS intensity reaches 0.3 is in agreement with previous in-operando diffraction work. Since the coefficient reflects
both the transport properties inside a porous electrode\textsuperscript{18} and diffusion processes coupled with an electrochemical reaction\textsuperscript{,45} the previous study assigned its rapid increase at the end of discharge to the worsened transport properties inside the carbon matrix, due to the replacement of the electrolyte volume by the Li\textsubscript{2}S particles. Although a hyperbolic increase in $k$ is expected with a linear increase in the volume fraction of Li\textsubscript{2}S, as shown in the previous work\textsuperscript{,18} a linear correlation between $k$ and the scale factor of the larger sphere ($\text{scale}_A$) in cycles 1, 3, 4 and 5 can also be observed here in Figure 8c. As explained in section 3.3, the decrease in the scale factor of the larger sphere may indicate a drop of Li\textsuperscript{+} concentration in the mesopores of the carbon matrix, which leads to an increase in the diffusion resistance.

For cycle 1, the scale factors of both larger and smaller spheres show a linear correlation with the diffusion resistance coefficient. As discussed in the previous section, the formation of amorphous particles dominates at the last stage of this slow discharge at C/50. The correlation in Figure 8b shows that the later formed amorphous particles contribute to the diffusion resistance, together with the drop in Li\textsuperscript{+} concentration indicated by Figure 8c.

The electrochemical data from the second cycle is the outlier of the four cycles at C/10. The more consistent behavior after the third cycle have been observed in previous studies. In Figure 8, linear correlations can be found between $k$ and both WAXS intensity and the intensity scale factor for the smaller spheres, but not between $k$ and the scale factor of the larger pores in the second cycle. This suggests that the diffusion resistance in the second cycle is mostly influenced by the worse transport properties caused by Li\textsubscript{2}S particles. The distinct behavior of the second cycle may be speculated to stem from the relaxation of the electrode structure and/or redistribution of the active materials, which is subsequently stabilized.

4. Conclusions

This work has characterized the precipitation and dissolution processes in Li–S batteries during the lower discharge and charge voltage plateaus by small-angle scattering in three contrasts, SANS with both hydrogenous and deuterated electrolytes, and SAXS. Both SANS and SAXS data can be fitted to the same model of two sizes of spheres. The evolution of the smaller spheres corresponds well with the intensity of Li\textsubscript{2}S crystals observed in the WAXS measurements, while the larger spheres can be attributed to varying composition of the catholyte in the mesopores of the carbon matrix. Analysis indicates that the precipitates are small and unlikely to block the pores of the carbon matrix. At C/10 and C/20, the end of discharge shows a strong correlation with the change in the contrast of the larger spheres, which may be attributed to Li\textsuperscript{+} deficiency in the electrolyte in the mesopores of the carbon matrix. This is corroborated by the correlation between the scale factor of the larger sphere and the simultaneously measured diffusion resistance. These findings imply that the supply of Li\textsuperscript{+} in the carbon matrix is the limiting factor for sulfur utilization of a mesoporous sulfur/carbon composite electrode with high specific surface area. The passivation of the carbon matrix or polysulfide diffusion may play more minor roles in this context, but the case can certainly differ for different types of carbon hosts.
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