Insights into the Binding and Covalent Inhibition Mechanism of PF-07321332 to SARS-CoV-2 Mpro
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ABSTRACT: The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has been causing the COVID-19 pandemic resulting in several million death were reported. Numerous investigations have been carried out to discover a compound that can inhibit the biological activity of SARS-CoV-2 main protease, which is an enzyme related to the viral replication. Among these, PF-07321332 is currently under clinical trial for COVID-19 therapy. Therefore, in this work, atomistic and electronic simulations were performed to unravel the binding and covalent inhibition mechanism of the compound to Mpro. Initially, 5 µs of steered-molecular dynamics simulations were carried out to evaluate the ligand-binding process to SARS-CoV-2 Mpro. Successfully generated bound state between two molecules showed the important role of the PF-07321332 pyrrolidinyl group and the residues Glu166 and Gln189 in the ligand-binding process. Moreover, from the MD-refined structure, quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics (QM/MM) calculations were carried out to unravel the reaction mechanism for the formation of thioimidate product from SARS-CoV-2 Mpro and PF07321332 inhibitor. We found that the catalytic triad Cys145–His41–Asp187 of SARS-CoV-2 Mpro plays important role in the activation of PF-07321332 covalent inhibitor, which renders the deprotonation of Cys145 and, thus, facilitates further reaction. Our results are definitely beneficial for better understanding on the inhibition mechanism and designing new effective inhibitors for SARS-CoV-2 Mpro.

INTRODUCTION

The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), a β-coronavirus belonging to the Coronaviridae virus family, causes the global pandemic named coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). SARS-CoV-2, which was thought to originate from bats, can rapidly transfect between humans and humans. The virus can be rapidly spread among the community via aerosol transmission. Despite international exertions to restrict the spread rate of the virus, the number of infected cases has increasingly raised. Moreover, although three vaccines including Pfizer-BioNTech, Moderna, and Janssen COVID-19 vaccines were approved by FDA for emergency use, the pandemic still causes numerous issues to the community health. Especially, the recent work suggested that the long-term health problems of fully recover patients with no or minor symptoms are increasingly recorded. Furthermore, a growing number of variants escaping from the neutralizing antibodies was observed. These variants contain mutations in the piece of the genome encoding the spike protein, which the vaccines were figured to generate immunity. The vaccine effectiveness in the near future will likely be decreased. Therefore, developing an appropriate treatment for COVID-19 is accordingly a great urgency.

The viral genome with 29.2 kb length encodes more than 20 nonstructural (nsp) and structural proteins. That of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 is more than 82% similar to each other. In particular, SARS-CoV-2 consists of two proteases including the SARS-CoV-2 main protease (Mpro or 3CLpro) and papain-like protease (PLpro), which correspond to nsp5 and nsp3, respectively. The main protease (Mpro) of SARS-CoV-2 virus forms >96% sequence identity to the one of SARS-CoV, while the SARS-CoV-2 PLpro shares 83% sequence identity to the SARS-CoV PLpro. Moreover, the protease first self-cleavage from the productions of the messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) translation, and the polypeptides were then cleaved to polypeptides. Because the polypeptides are required for the viral replication and encapsulation, the proteases directly associated with the viral replication and proliferation. More details, PLpro responds for the formation of nsp3 and Mpro is respective for the establishment of the nsp4-16. Therefore, the SARS-CoV-2 Mpro becomes a high-profile target for antiviral drug design since inhibiting the biological activity of the SARS-CoV-2 Mpro is able to prevent the replication of a new virus.

Although there are already positive signs in developing COVID-19 therapy, the race for antiviral drugs to prevent COVID-19 continues to be an urgency. Numerous
investigations were thus performed to characterize a potential inhibitor for SARS-CoV-2 Mpro. Several compounds were suggested to be able to inhibit the biological activity of Mpro. In this context, a compound, named PF-07321332, emerges as one of the most potent candidates for an oral antiviral therapeutic factor. The compound is currently under clinical trial phase I as an antiviral agent against SARS-CoV-2 which was shown to be a potential inhibitor for Mpro in vitro studies. Moreover, a clinical phase III study in non-hospitalized high-risk adults with COVID-19 is also starting to perform. Understanding the binding and covalent inhibition mechanism of PF-07321332 to SARS-CoV-2 Mpro would be beneficial in the design of antiviral drugs. Therefore, in this work, we tried to reveal the physical insights into the binding and covalent inhibition mechanism of PF-07321332 to SARS-CoV-2 Mpro. The work was supported by steered-molecular dynamics (SMD), and quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics (QM/MM) simulations. In particular, SMD simulations were first employed to preliminarily evaluate the binding pose of the ligand to Mpro. QM/MM calculations then probed the covalent inhibition mechanism. The obtained results are believed to enhance the COVID-19 therapy.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Structure of Receptor and Ligand

The three-dimensional conformation of SARS-CoV-2 Mpro was downloaded from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) with the identity of 7JYC. The protonation states of the Mpro catalytic dyad including His41 and Cys145 was assigned as shown in Figure 1A since it plays an important role in the protease activity and ligand effectiveness. The three-dimensional structure of PF-07321332 was generated using MarvinSketch, a package of ChemAxon. The ligand structure was then optimized via density-functional theory (DFT) calculations with B3LYP functional at 6-31G(d,p) level of theory. During QM calculation, the implicit solvent environment, \( \varepsilon = 78.4 \), was implemented.
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**Figure 1.** (A) the protonation states of the catalytic dyad. The distance from Cys145-Sy and His41-Ne atoms from the X-ray diffraction structure was also presented. (B) three-dimensional structure of SARS-CoV-2 Mpro (PDB ID 7JYC) + PF-07321332. (B) + (C) system force configuration. In particular, the minimum distance between SARS-CoV-2 Mpro Cys145-Sy and the ligand is ca. 28 Å. A constant force with a spring constant cantilever of 1 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) Å\(^{-2}\) was put on SARS-CoV-2 Mpro Cys145-Sy and the nitrile group of the ligand. The solvent was hidden to clarify the view.

**Atomistic Simulations**

Atomistic simulations were performed to obtain the binding pose between SARS-CoV-2 Mpro + PF-07321332 using GROMACS version 2019. The protease and ions were presented using the Amber14SB force field. TIP3P water model was employed for water molecules. The PF-07321332 was topologized via the general Amber force field with the support of AmberTools8 and ACPYPE packages. In particular, the QM calculation using B3LYP functional at 6-31G(d,p) level of theory with the implicit solvent was performed to gain the ligand geometrical information and atomic charges. The restrained electrostatic potential scheme was employed to estimate the ligand atomic charges. In particular, the ligand was placed on the position having a minimum distance with the Cys145-Sy atom of 28 Å as mentioned in Error! Reference source not found.. The complex was then inserted into a rectangular periodic boundary condition (PBC) box with a size of 9.40 \( \times \) 5.65 \( \times \) 8.51 nm\(^3\) as shown in Figure 1. The soluble complex contains of 43789 atoms that involving the protease, PF-07321332, 13012 water molecules, and 4 ions Na\(^+\).
Atomistic simulations were carried out with the parameters referred to the previous studies. The simulations were executed at 300 K. A non-bonded contact between two atoms is available when the pair distance is smaller than 0.9 nm. The electrostatic (cou) and van der Waals (vdW) interactions were computed using the fast Particle-Mesh Ewald electrostatics and cut-off approaches, respectively. The solvated system was first minimized by using the steepest descent method. The minimized system was then relaxed using NVT and NPT simulations with a length of 0.1 ns each. During these simulations, SARS-CoV-2 Mpro Cα atoms and the ligand atoms were positionally restrained via a small harmonic force having ca. 24 kcal mol⁻¹ nm⁻² spring constant.

**Steered-molecular dynamics (SMD) simulations.**

The last conformation of NPT simulations was then used as the starting shape for further SMD simulations. During SMD simulating process, a small constant force with a spring constant of 1 kcal mol⁻¹ nm⁻² was employed to pull the nitrile group of PF-07321332 and the sulfur atom of the residue Cys145 together because a covalent bond is able to form between two groups. During SMD simulations, the SARS-CoV-2 Mpro reorientation and translation were prevented via a small restraining force applying on Cα atoms. The ligand was slowly mobilized from unbound to bound states under effects of a small constant force as well as a schematic representation of simulations in Figure 1. It should be noted that using stronger pulling force can make the ligand get into the binding quicker but at the same time may cause distortion to the binding pocket and resulting in the ligand adopting wrong binding poses. We found that the chosen pulling strength is a good trade between computational efficiency and accuracy. Moreover, because the pulling force is very weak, the ligand would mobilize very slow and probably fail to bind to the active site of the protease. SMD simulation was thus carried out with a length of 50 ns and repeated 81 times independently to produce the binding conformation of SARS-CoV-2 Mpro + PF-07321332. Besides that, a restraining force with ca. 24 kcal mol⁻¹ nm⁻² spring constant was also applied on SARS-CoV-2 Mpro Cα atoms to avoid the system reorientation. Furthermore, a trajectory was extended to 1.0 µs of MD simulations to allow the system enough time to reach the “native” binding pose. There are 5 µs of MD simulations totally, which were produced to assess the binding process of PF-07321332 to SARS-CoV-2 Mpro. The coordinates of the complex were recorded every 1 ps.

**QM/MM calculation**

The covalent inhibition mechanism for the reaction between PF-07321332 and SARS-CoV-2 Mpro giving thioimidate product was investigated using ONIOM algorithm implemented in Gaussian 16. The MoULP package was used to support input preparations. A representative snapshot of the SARS-CoV-2 Mpro + PF-07321332 complex in the minimum region of free energy landscape was used as a starting structure for ONIOM calculations (see Results and Discussion section). Only waters and counter-ions within a distance of 7 Å from the protein were kept giving a system with a total of 16372 atoms and a neutral charge. The MM parameters and atomic charges are extracted from the parameter from MD simulations (vide supra). The QM region for ONIOM calculations was defined as shown in Scheme 1, including Cys145, His41, and Asp187 residues. To facilitate the calculations, only part of PF-07321332 that closes to Cys145 is included in the QM region (Scheme 1).

All intermediates and transition states were fully optimized using the quadratic coupled algorithm with the dispersion-corrected B3LYP functional, i.e., B3LYP-D3(BJ), and 6-31G(d) basis set. All residues in the MM region which are not within 10 Å from the QM region are constrained in all calculations. Vibrational frequency calculations at the same level of theory of the optimization were performed to confirm if each structure is a local minimum (no imaginary frequency) or a transition state (one imaginary frequency). Single-point calculations were carried out using Mo6-2x functional and 6-311+G(2d,2p) basis set.

**Analysis Tools**

The collective variable free energy landscape (FEL) was constructed using "gmx shan", a tool of GROMACS. Two variables are non-hydrogen atom root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) of complex and distance between the sulfur atom of the residue Cys145 and the nitrile group of PF-07321332. All of snapshots locating the minima was used as initials of the clustering analysis. The clustering method was applied with an non-hydrogen RMSD of SARS-CoV-2 nine critical residues and the ligand PF-07321332. In particular, nine critical residues are Thr26, His41, Ser46, Asn142, Gly143, Cys145, His64, Glu66, and Glm89, which played an important role in the ligand-bonding process of SARS-CoV-2 Mpro. The clustering cutoff is of 1 Å. The protonation states of PF-07321332 were predicted using the chemicalize.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In this work, SMD simulations were employed to search binding position between PF-07321332 to SARS-CoV-2 Mpro. In particular, the nitrile group of PF-07321332 and the sulfur atom of the residue Cys145 was pulled together using a small constant force. 81 SMD trajectories with a length of 50 ns each were produced to investigate the diffusion of PF-07321332 around SARS-CoV-2 Mpro active site. Among these, 21 trajectories (26%) successfully reached the binding pocket since the distance between Cys145-Sy and non-hydrogen atoms of PF-07321332 is less than 4.5 Å (Figures S1-S9 of the Supporting Information). However, there only are 13 trajectories (16%), where the ligand is stable in the binding pocket until the trajectories were completed. Some trajectories reach the binding pocket just after ca. 4 ns of simulations, whereas some required more than ca. 40 ns.
Among 21 trajectories mentioned above, 10 of them (47.6%) successfully generated the bound states since forming a contact between the Cys145-Sγ atom and the nitrile group of PF-07321332, in which the distance (d_{Sγ-CN}) is less than 4.5 Å (Figure 2A and Figures S9-S17 of the Supporting Information). It should be noted that a short contact between two groups would be allowed the nitrile group and the catalytic cysteine to be able to adopt a covalent bond between them.\(^{59}\) PF-07321332 required more time (at least ca. 4.5 ns) to reach the bound state after entering the binding pocket of SARS-CoV-2 Mpro. However, there are only 2 trajectories where the d_{Sγ-CN} stay below 4.5 Å until the simulations were completed (Movie S1 describes a representative binding process). Moreover, although the binding mechanism of PF-07321332 probably is a complex pathway instead of a simple mobilization of the ligand to the pocket,\(^{60}\) two successfully generated bound states trajectories preliminarily suggested that the residues Glu66 and Gln189 play an important role during the binding process of the inhibitor (Figures S18-S19 of the Supporting Information). Furthermore, analyzing two trajectories indicated that the pyrrolidinyl group of the ligand first inserted itself into the space between the residues Glu66 and Gln189, before the whole of PF-07321332 fully inserted into the binding pocket of SARS-CoV-2 Mpro.

Because the nitrile group of PF-07321332 likely forms a covalent bond to the Cys145-Sγ atom, in which force constant is much larger than 1 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) nm\(^{-2}\), one of the successfully generated bound state trajectories was extended to 1 µs to assess the stability of the complex. The non-hydrogen RMSD of the complex reaches stable states after ca. 100 ns of SMD simulations (Figure 2B). 900,000 snapshots over interval 100-1000 ns were collected to be inputs for the collective-variable FEL analysis. The non-hydrogen RMSD of complex and the distance d_{Sγ-CN} were calculated over these conformations to use as two reaction coordinates of FEL. The obtained FEL was shown in Figure 2C with one minimum denoted as S1. The binding pose of PF-0721332 to SARS-CoV-2 Mpro in the representative
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structure S1 was shown in Figure 2D. In particular, the ligand nitrile group formed a contact with the Cys145-Sγ atom at a distance of \(d_{\text{Sγ-CN}} = 3.73\) Å. The ligand nitrile group also adopted a contact with the His-Ne atom with an amount of \(d_{\text{Ne-CN}} = 3.86\) Å. The presence of contacts between the PF-0721332 nitrile group increases the distance between the Cys145-Sγ and His41-Eε atoms \(d_{\text{Ne-CN}}=3.73\) Å and \(d_{\text{Ne-CN}}=3.86\) Å (Figures 1A and 2D). Therefore, the catalytic dyad Cys145-His41 is probably disturbed. Besides, the ligand also formed HBs to three residues including Asn142, Glu166, and Gln189 (Figure 2D).

In recent theoretical studies, using adaptive string method, Moliner and Tuñón et al proposed that the catalytic dyad Cys145–His41 plays an important role in the reactivity of SARS-CoV-2 Mpro, where a proton transfer from Cys145H to His41 takes place first giving ion pair Cys145–His41H+, which is followed by a nucleophilic addition producing covalent bond with inhibitor. In our initial ONIOM calculations, only residues Cys145, His41, and PF-0721332 inhibitor were included in the QM region. However, we were unsuccessful in locating the ion-pair Cys145–His41H+. During the optimization, the proton automatically transfers from His41H+ to Cys145– (see Figure S20 of the Supporting Information). We then performed a constrained optimization by fixing the N–H distances to 1.01 Å. Interestingly, the ion pair structure is calculated to be 31.6 kcal mol⁻¹ than the neutral form (Scheme 2). Our DFT calculations suggest that the imidazole ring of His41 is not basic enough to abstract proton from thiol group of Cys145.
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**Scheme 2.** Computed free energy difference (kcal mol⁻¹) for the ion pair formation of catalytic dyad (Cys145–His41H⁺) from the neutral form (Cys145H–His41).

Searching for alternative mechanisms for this reaction, we found that the residue Asp187 is close to the residue His41, which can form a catalytic triad facilitating the deprotonation of Cys145. Therefore, Asp187 residue is then included in our ONIOM calculations. The computed free energy profile for the covalent inhibition mechanism between SARS-CoV-2 Mpro and PF-0721332 is shown in Figure 3. At Int-1, a strong hydrogen bond with a distance of 1.64 Å between His41 and Asp187 is found. A proton transfer via TS-1 between His41 and Asp187 can easily take place giving His41–, which is followed by another proton transfer via TS-2 from Cys145 to His41– generating Cys145–. The activation barriers of two proton transfers TS-1 and TS-2 steps are very low, amounting to 0.7 and 3.4 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively, relative to Int-1. It should be noted that albeit the electronic energy of TS-1 is calculated to be 0.5 kcal/mol higher than Int-2, because of the entropic effect, Gibbs free energy value of TS-1 is slightly lower than Int-2 (Figure 3).
From Int-2, nucleophilic addition of Cys145$^-$ to the nitrile warhead of PF-07321332 via TS-3 can then take place. Interestingly, at TS-3, a water molecule is crucial to stabilize the developed negative charge on the nitrogen atom of the nitrile group resulting from the nucleophilic addition of Cys145$^-$. The small size of the nitrile warhead allows for the water molecule to participate in the reaction and transfer proton from His47 to nitrogen atom. We have also tried to optimize the nucleophilic addition without a water molecule. However, no TS can be located. The activation barrier of TS-3 is calculated to be 19.1 kcal mol$^{-1}$ relative to Int-3 ruling out this possibility and validating the inhibition ability of PF-07321332 to SARS-CoV-2 Mpro.

Based on our ONIOM calculations, we found that the catalytic triad Cys145–His41–Asp187 plays an important role in the covalent inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 Mpro, which enables the deprotonation of Cys145 and, thus, facilitates for further reaction. This finding is consistent with the previous study$^{69}$ which demonstrated that Asp87 favors the proton transfer from Cys145 to His41.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this work, 5 µs of SMD simulations were first generated to preliminarily estimate the binding pose of PF-07321332 to
SARS-CoV-2 Mpro. In particular, the ligand reached the binding pocket of SARS-CoV-2 Mpro in 26% trajectories. Among these, the nitrile group of PF-07321332 successfully adopted a contact with the Cys145-Sγ atom over 12% trajectories. However, the contact only stabilizes over 2 trajectories until the simulations were completed. Moreover, the residues Glu66 and Gln89 were suggested that they play an important role during the binding process of the inhibitor. The pyrroldinyl group of PF-07321332 probably is a key leading the compound into a successful bound state.

A representative structure of SARS-CoV-2 Mpro + PF-07321332 were obtained by using a combined calculation FEL and clustering analyses. In this state, the distance between the Cys145-Sγ and His41-Ee atoms $d_{NC-S\gamma}$ was increased from 3.99 to 4.17 Å when the PF-07321332 nitrile group adopted a contact with the Cys145-Sγ atom ($d_{S\gamma-C=O} = 3.73$ Å). The catalytic dyad Cys145-His41 is probably disturbed. Besides, three residues Asn42, Glu66, and Gln89 play a crucial factor in the ligand-binding process since forming HBs to the inhibitor.

From the representative structure of the complex, quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics (QM/MM) calculations were performed to unravel the reaction mechanism for the formation of thiimidate product from SARS-CoV-2 Mpro and PF-07321332 inhibitor. We found that the catalytic triad Cys145-His41-Asp87 of SARS-CoV-2 Mpro plays important role in the activation of PF-07321332 covalent inhibitor, which renders the deprotonation of Cys145 and, thus, facilitates further reaction. The outcome is in good agreement with the previous study$^{19}$ which found that Asp87 favors the proton transfer from Cys145 to His41. Our results are definitely beneficial for better understanding on the inhibition mechanism and designing new effective inhibitors for SARS-CoV-2 Mpro.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information
Supporting Information Available: the minimum distance between non-hydrogen atoms of PF-07321332 and non-hydrogen atoms of the catalytic dyad, the minimum distance $d_{S\gamma-C=O}$ between the nitrile group of PF-07321332 and the sulfur atom of the residue Cys145, the binding pathway of PF-07321332 to SARS-CoV-2 Mpro over the trajectories 0 and 20, the optimization starting from ion-pair Cys145→His41H+, the optimized transition state for the hydrolysis of Int-3, and energy and cartesian coordinate.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Email: ngosontung@dtu.edu.vn and binh.mai@pitt.edu

Author Contributions

All authors contributed to writing the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by Vietnam National Foundation for Science & Technology Development (NAFOSTED) grant #104.99-2019.57.

REFERENCES


