Dual-functional cobalt catalyst enables electrocatalytic allylic C–H alkylation
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Abstract: Transition metal-catalyzed allylic substitution reactions of pre-activated allylation agents with nucleophiles are extensively studied synthetic methods that have enjoyed widespread applications in organic synthesis. The direct alkylation of allylic C–H bonds with nucleophiles, which minimizes pre-functionalization and converts inexpensive, abundantly available materials to value-added alkenyl-substituted products, remains challenging. Current methods generally involve C–H activation, require the use of noble-metal catalysts and stoichiometric chemical oxidants, and often show limited scope. Here we report an electrocatalytic allylic C–H alkylation reaction with carbon nucleophiles employing an easily available cobalt-salen complex as the molecular catalyst. These C(sp³)–H/C(sp³)–H cross-coupling reactions proceed through H₂ evolution and require no external chemical oxidants. Importantly, the mild conditions and radical mechanism ensure excellent functional group tolerance and substrate compatibility with both linear and branched terminal alkenes. The synthetic utility of the electrochemical method is highlighted by its scalability (up to 200 mmol scale) and its successful application in the late-stage functionalization of complex structures.

Introduction
The synthesis of three-dimensional organic molecules necessitates the efficient construction of C(sp³)–C(sp³) bonds. Among the various methods to access these chemical entities, noble metal-catalyzed allylic substitution of pre-activated allylation agents such as allylic carboxylates, carbonates, and halides with carbon nucleophiles have been extensively studied and widely used in organic synthesis over the past decades.¹-³ The allyl moiety in the product provides a versatile synthetic handle for further transformations. To minimize pre-functionalization and streamline the synthesis of complex molecules, recent efforts have been devoted to converting C(sp³)–H bonds directly to C(sp³)–C(sp³) bonds.⁴,⁵ In this context, the groups of Shi⁶ and White⁷ have independently published in 2008 seminal work on Pd-catalyzed allylic C(sp³)–H alkylation of ally arenes via π-allylpalladium intermediates (Fig. 1a). The substrate scope has since then been extended to unactivated α-olefins and various carbon nucleophiles.⁸-¹⁰ Notwithstanding the progress, these methods still require noble-metal catalysts and stoichiometric chemical oxidants.
A further limitation is that only \( \alpha \)-olefins without branches on the alkene or at the allylic position are amenable, likely due to the difficulty in activating weakly coordinating branched alkenes and achieving satisfactory regioselectivity.

![Diagram of allylic alkylation](image)

**Fig. 1 Allylic C(sp\(^3\))–H alkylation.** a, Pd-catalyzed allylic C–H alkylation usually proceeds through C–H activation to form allyl-metal species. b, Electrocatalytic approach to allylic C–H alkylation with a cobalt salen complex as the molecular catalyst. This method proceeds through a radical mechanism involving the addition of a C-radical derived from the carbon nucleophile to the alkene. EWG, electron-withdrawing group. HAT, hydrogen atom transfer.

Cobalt represents an attractive alternative to noble metals for transition-metal catalysis due to its greater natural abundance and cost efficiency.\(^{11-18}\) In view of the challenges associated with the selective functionalization allylic C–H bonds of unactivated alkenes through organometallic activation, we envision a radical-based electrocatalytic approach\(^{19-29}\) to expand the scope of allylic C–H alkylation and eliminate the need for external chemical oxidants (Fig. 1b).\(^{30}\) In the envisioned process, the acidic carbon nucleophile is oxidized electrocatalytically with a cobalt-based molecular catalyst to generate an electron-deficient carbon-centered radical,\(^{31-33}\) which then adds to the alkene to afford an alkyl radical. The newly formed radical center significantly weakens the \( \gamma \)-C–H bond [bond dissociation energy (BDE) < 36 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)],\(^{34}\) enabling effective hydrogen atom transfer to the cobalt catalyst to furnish the alkene alkylation product.\(^{31,35}\) In contrast to the organometallic C–H activation, the presence of substituents at the \( \beta \)- or \( \gamma \)-positions of the alkene substrate should not interfere with the radical reactions; in fact, they might even be beneficial, thus expanding the substrate scope to branched alkenes. The success of the electrocatalytic reaction hinges on the dual function of the cobalt catalyst as a redox mediator and a site-selective HAT catalyst. Reported studies have already established cobalt-salen complexes as effective HAT agent to convert carbon radicals to alkenes.\(^{31,35,36}\) Our
previous work has shown that cobalt-salen complexes are competent electrocatalysts for promoting intramolecular cyclization,\textsuperscript{31} paving the way for the more challenging intermolecular allylic C–H alkylation reactions.

Herein we report an electrochemically enabled, cobalt-catalyzed allylic C–H alkylation reaction that shows exceptional functional group compatibility and tolerates various carbon nucleophiles as well as linear and branched unactivated $\alpha$-olefins (Fig. 1b). The electrocatalytic method employs a readily available cobalt-salen complex as the molecular catalyst, and proceeds through the designed radical mechanism, presenting a unique approach to allylic C–H functionalization.

**Results and discussion**

**Reaction development.** Considering the importance of fluorinated small molecules in the fields of pharmaceuticals and agrochemicals,\textsuperscript{37} we first investigated the electrocatalytic fluoroalkylation of alkenes. The allylic alkylation of $\alpha$-olefin 1 with 2-fluoromalonate 2 was chosen as the model reaction for reaction optimization (Table 1). The desired allylation product 3 was produced in an optimal yield of 80\% when the electrolysis reaction was conducted in an undivided cell at 65 °C with cobalt salen complex [Co]-1 as the catalyst, Na$_2$CO$_3$ as the basic additive, and MeCN/DMF (1:1) as the solvent (entry 1). The alkylation reaction afforded 3 as the only regioisomer without the formation of 4, which would arise from C–H cleavage at the sterically more hindered $\alpha$-position. The cobalt catalyst (entry 2), heating (entry 3), and Na$_2$CO$_3$ (entry 4) were all critical for success. The yield of 3 was reduced if the reaction employed another base such as K$_2$CO$_3$ (entry 5), Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (entry 6) or NaOAc (entry 7), or an alternative cobalt catalyst such as [Co]-2, [Co]-3, or [Co]-4 (entry 8). Changing the solvent to MeCN/MeOH (1:1) or DMF/MeOH (1:1) also led to significant yield loss (entries 9 and 10). The reaction can be conducted at a constant current of 3 mA or 6 mA (entry 11) instead of 10 mA without affecting the yield but showed a slight yield loss at 20 mA (entry 12) due to incomplete conversion.

**Evaluation of substrate scope.** We then investigated the allylic C–H alkylation of various $\alpha$-olefins with 2-fluoromalonate 2 (Fig. 2, top). Monosubstituted alkenes bearing diverse substituents were tolerated, including i) electrophilic groups such as epoxide (5) and alkyl chloride (6), bromide (7) and sulfonates (8, 9), ii) acid/base sensitive Boc-protected amino esters (16, 17) and $\beta,\gamma$-unsaturated amides (18, 21), as well as iii) oxidation-labile functionalities such as aldehyde (19), amine (20), anilide (21), and sulfonamide (22). The presence of a sterically bulky trimethylsilyl group at the $\gamma$-position resulted in a reduced yield of 35\% (23) but did not affect the preference for the cleavage of the $\gamma$-C–H bond.
Table 1 Optimization of reaction conditions for the electrocatalytic allylic C–H alkylation reactiona

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Deviation from standard conditions</th>
<th>Yield of 2 (%)b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>80c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>No [Co]-1</td>
<td>0 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Reaction at rt</td>
<td>18 (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>No Na₂CO₃</td>
<td>15 (72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>K₂CO₃ instead of Na₂CO₃</td>
<td>43 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cs₂CO₃ instead of Na₂CO₃</td>
<td>20 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>NaOAc instead of Na₂CO₃</td>
<td>44 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>[Co]-2, or [Co]-3, or [Co]-4 as catalyst</td>
<td>20–73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>MeCN/MeOH (1:1) as solvent</td>
<td>32 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>MeOH/DMF (1:1) as solvent</td>
<td>65 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3 mA or 6 mA</td>
<td>82–83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>20 mA</td>
<td>78 (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aReaction conditions: RVC anode, Pt plate cathode, 1 (0.2 mmol), 2 (0.5 mmol, 2.5 equiv), MeCN (3 mL), DMF (3 mL), constant current (10 mA), 2.0 h (3.7 F mol⁻¹), undivided cell. bDetermined by ¹H NMR analysis using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as the internal standard, unreacted 1 in brackets. cIsolated yield.
**Fig. 2 Scope of electrocatalytic allylic C–H alkylation.** Reaction conditions: alkene (0.2 mmol), carbon nucleophile (0.5 mmol, 2.5 equiv), MeCN (3 mL), DMF (3 mL), undivided cell, RVC anode, Pt cathode, 10 mA or 3 mA. All yields are isolated yields. *Reaction in MeCN/THF (1:1), 5 mA. **Reaction with NaOAc (1.0 equiv) instead of Na₂CO₃.**
Fig. 3 Late-stage functionalization of alkenes derived from natural products and drugs. Reaction conditions: alkene (0.2 mmol), 2 (0.5 mmol), [Co]-1 (0.02 mmol), Na$_2$CO$_3$ (0.2 mmol), Et$_3$NPF$_6$ (0.04 mmol), MeCN (3 mL), DMF (3 mL), constant current (10 mA or 3 mA), undivided cell, 65 °C. All yields are isolated yields. *Reaction on 0.3 mmol scale.

Branched α-olefins were also suitable substrates. Vinylcyclohexane, a γ-branched α-olefin, reacted to give the trisubstituted alkene 24 as the only regioisomer. This preference for the cleavage of the 3° γ-C–H bond over the 2° C–H at the α-position was likely caused by the relatively low BDE of the former. 1,1-Disubstituted alkenes also reacted exclusively via the cleavage of the γ-C–H bonds (25–29). In the cases of 27–29, all of which contained two different types γ-C–H bonds, the reactions occurred exclusively at the Me group instead of the weaker 2° or 3° C–H bonds adjacent to the nitrogen substituents, probably because the latter are hydridic and mismatched in polarity with the electron-rich [Co]$^{II}$ salen complex.³⁵ Notably, the terminal alkenes 27–29 did not undergo further alkylation.
probably because the incorporation of the electron-withdrawing malonate group reduced their reactivity toward the electron-deficient carbon radical derived from 2.

We next investigated the scope of the carbon nucleophile (Fig. 2, bottom). The electrocatalytic C–H alkylation reaction exhibited excellent compatibility with several types of acidic carbon nucleophiles, including dimethylmalonate (30) and malonates bearing a 2-alkyl (31–34) or chloro (35) group, β-ketoesters bearing at the α-positions a Me (36 and 37) or fluoro (38–41) group, β-cyanoamide (42), β-cyanoester (43), and trimethyl methanetricarboxylate (44). Notably, dimethylmalonate-derived product 30 did not undergo further alkylation because of its reduced acidity compared with dimethyl malonate.

![Diagram](image)

**Fig. 4 Decagram scale synthesis and product transformations.** a, Decagram scale synthesis of 3 and 56. b, Transformations of the C–H alkylation products. (a) AcOH/H$_2$SO$_4$/H$_2$O (8:5:1), reflux. (b) LiCl, DMSO, H$_2$O, 140 °C. (c) LiAlH$_4$, THF, 0 °C to rt. (d) BnNH$_2$, MeOH, 50 °C. (e) meta-Chloroperbenzoic acid, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 0 °C to rt. (f) KOH, MeOH, H$_2$O, rt. (g) TFA, DCM, 0 °C to rt. (h) thiourea, NaH, 0 °C to rt. (i) urea, NaH, 0 °C to rt.

The high reaction efficiency and functional group tolerance of the electrocatalytic method were further highlighted by its application in the late-stage functionalization of complex drug molecules and natural products (Fig. 3). The reactions of (R)-carvone (50), pregnenolone (55), and betulin (56) occurred exclusively through cleavage of
the C–H bond at the Me group instead of the sterically encumbered but weaker 3° allylic C–H bond, suggesting that the regioselectivity was controlled by steric effects. (−)-Caryophyllle oxide that contained a four-membered ring at the allylic position underwent addition/ring opening to afford 58 as the final product, which is consistent with the envisioned radical mechanism.

The synthetic utility of the electrocatalytic method was further demonstrated by its scalability and the versatile transformations of the alkylation products (Fig. 4). The 50 mmol scale reaction of 1 and 200 mmol scale reaction of betulin (59) with malonate 2 proceeded smoothly to generate the expected products 3 (12.8 g, 78% yield) and 56 (90.6 g, 77% yield), respectively, with similar yields to the small-scale reactions. Notably, the large-scale reaction of betulin was conducted at an increased concentration of 0.22 M instead of 0.033 M, which thus required less solvent, cobalt catalyst (8 mol% instead of 10 mol%), reactant 2 (1.5 equiv instead of 2.5 equiv) and electrolyte (0.05 equiv instead of 0.2 equiv). On the other hand, the fluoroalkylation product 3 could undergo decarboxylation to furnish α-fluorocarboxylic acid 60 or α-fluorocarboxylic ester 61, reduction to form fluorinated 1,3-diol 62, amidation to produce malonate amide 63, or epoxidation to afford epoxide 64. Hydrolytic decarboxylation of ketoester 41 resulted in α-fluoroketone 65, whereas malonate 28 bearing a Boc-protected amino group cyclized upon deprotection into fluorinated δ-lactam 66. Finally, the annulation of malonate 34 with thiourea or urea afforded barbituric acid derivatives 67 and 68, which are the key structural motifs in several drug molecules.

We next investigated the reaction mechanism by first testing the allylic C–H alkylation of alkene 1 with malonate 2 in the presence of 2 equiv of [CoIII] complex 69, which afforded the desired product 3 in 15% yield at 65 °C, or 5% yield at rt (Fig. 5a). The low conversion rates of both reactions with stoichiometric 69 could be attributed to the decomposition of the [CoIII] oxidant. These results demonstrated that [CoIII] could promote the allylic C–H alkylation. We next examined the reactions of malonate 2 with two radical probes, vinylcyclopropane 70 and N-phenyl acrylamide 74 (Fig. 5b). The reaction of 70 with 2 produced the cyclopropane ring-opening product 71 in 20% yield, suggesting the involvement of carbon radical 72. Subsequent ring opening of 72 to 73, followed by the intramolecular cyclization of the latter with the tethered phenyl ring and rearomatization led to the formation of the final product 71. On the other hand, the reaction of 74 and 2 produced oxoindole 75 in 89% yield, further confirming that a radical mechanism was involved. The cyclic voltammograms of [Co]-1 were obtained in the absence and presence of malonate 2 (Fig. 5c). While the voltammogram exhibited no change with the addition of 2 and Na2CO3, the reduction wave for [CoIII]/[CoII] disappeared in the presence of 2 and NaOMe but without the observation of a catalytic current. These results suggested that the electrochemically produced [CoIII] species reacted with 2 in the presence of a base through inner-sphere electron transfer.31 Na2CO3 was barely soluble in the mixed solvent of DMF/MeCN (1:1),
explaining its failure in promoting the reaction of [CoIII] with 2 under the static conditions employed for the CV testing.

Based on the results of this study and previous work, a possible mechanism for the electrocatalytic allylic C–H alkylation reaction was proposed (Fig. 5d). Anodic oxidation of the [CoII] catalyst ($E_{p2} = 0.10$ V vs SCE) generates a [CoIII] complex, which oxidizes the acidic carbon nucleophile with the assistance of a base through inner-sphere electron transfer to generate an electrophilic carbon-centered radical and regenerates the [CoII] catalyst. Addition of 76 onto alkene 77 produces a new carbon centered radical 78. The [CoIII] catalyst abstracts a hydrogen atom from
to afford the final alkylation product 79 and the cobalt species [Co–H]. The latter is deprotonated and oxidized anodically back to the [CoII] catalyst. At the cathode, protons are reduced to H₂, which is detected by gas chromatography.

In summary, we have developed an electrocatalytic allylic C–H alkylation reaction with acidic carbon nucleophiles employing an easily available cobalt-salen complex as the molecular catalyst. The mild reaction conditions, coupled with the fact that the employed cobalt catalyst has a low oxidation potential but high efficiency, ensure exceptional functional group tolerance. The dehydrogenative cross-coupling retains the functionalities from the alkene and the carbon nucleophile, providing handles for further synthetic manipulations. The radical mechanism confers compatibility with both linear and branched α-olefins, ultimately opening a new pathway for the development of allylic C–H functionalization reactions.

Methods

General procedure for electrocatalytic allylic C–H alkylation (0.2 mmol scale). To a 10 mL Schlenk tube was added the alkene substrate (0.2 mmol), the carbon nucleophile (0.5 mmol), Et₄NPF₆ (0.04 mmol), Na₂CO₃ (0.2 mmol), and [Co]-1 (0.02 mmol). The tube was equipped with a reticulated vitreous carbon (RVC) anode (100 PPI, 0.5 cm x 1.2 cm x 1.6 cm) and a platinum plate (1 cm x 1 cm x 0.1 cm) cathode. After three cycles of evacuation and backfilling with argon, 6 mL of degassed MeCN/DMF (1:1) was added. The electrolysis was carried out at 65 °C using a constant current (10 mA or 3 mA) until complete consumption of the alkene substrate. The reaction mixture was cooled to rt and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was chromatographed through silica gel eluting with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate to afford the product.

Data availability. The data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its Supplementary Information file. Any further relevant data are available from the authors on request.
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