INTRODUCTION

Since the modern concept of polymer was introduced by Staudinger in 1920s,1,2 many polymers and polymerization methods have been developed to meet the needs of diverse applications. There are two main streams of polymerization approaches, namely, step-growth and chain-growth polymerization. In early days, Carothers established step-growth polymerization (polycondensation)3,4 and led an aggressive expansion of synthetic polymers based on organic reactions.3,5 However, the molecular weight of polymers is difficult to control in a step-growth polymerization process since the coupling occurs between monomers and oligomeric intermediates without any selectivity. In other words, step-growth polymerization lacks selectivity between initiation and propagation process, leading to broad molecular weight distribution.

In this respect, ‘chain-growth polycondensation’ has attracted much attention from the polymer community. Since chain-growth polycondensation of polyamides7,9 developed by Yokozawa in 2000, various polymers such as polyethers,10-12 polyesters,13 polyethersulfones,14 and polythiophenes15 have been synthesized in a controlled manner. However, most of these resulted in oligomers, with the molecular weights below 10.0 k despite their controlled manner.16-19 Recently, many enhanced examples are under way producing polyamides,20 polythiophene,21 poly(p-phenylene),22 graphene nanoribbons,23 as well as supramolecular polymers.24

An efficient coupling reaction is crucial for successful polymerization to produce well-defined and high molecular weight polymers. We and others have explored the benefits of the best click chemistry reactions in polymer synthesis, such reactions enable complete conversion along with unique orthogonal reactivity toward various functional groups. CuAAC (Cu-catalyzed azide alkyne cycloaddition), a prototype of "click" chemistry which creates 1,4-triazole connections, has served with great reliability to emplace stable, covalent triazole attachment points into various functional polymers25 and materials26-28 with high regioregularity. Moreover, complex structures29-31 and higher architectures such as graft and dendronized polymers32 were also successfully synthesized by using its advanced version (Cu-catalyzed multicomponent polymerization). Most of the examples afforded diverse step-growth polymers, while there are a few reports of chain-growth type CuAAC polymerization by holding Cu catalyst at the end of growing chain.33,34

With another recent click-caliber reaction, SuFEx [Sulfur (VI) Fluoride Exchange], it is now possible to create stable sulfate and sulfonate links with great ease and reliability.35-36 Via this approach, we have synthesized polysulfates and polysulfonates,37,38,39 in which AA and BB type monomers are used as building blocks (Fig. 1a) and the polymerization takes place between the fluorosulfate and the silyl ether-bearing monomers in the presence of the catalysts such as DBU (1,8-Diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene) and BEMP (2-tert-butylliminio-2-diethylamino-1,3-dimethyl perhydro-1,3,2-diazaphosphorine). In terms of electronic properties, such a polymerization process can be depicted as a coupling reaction between an electrophilic monomer (fluorosulfates) and a nucleophilic counterpart (silyl ethers).

The intriguing observation was that the resulting polymers had somewhat moderate molecular weight distribution regardless of the step-growth design.40 To date, a controlled chain-growth type polymerization enabled by SuFEx has yet to be developed. Here, we report the first chain-growth SuFEx polycondensation by modifying the electronic properties of SuFExable monomers to achieve precise control of the high molecular weight of polysulfates with narrow dispersity. Moreover, the resulting polymers were fully degradable under mild basic conditions.
**RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

Monomer design and evaluation of chain-growth polycondensation. To develop a SuFEx-based chain-growth polymerization requires: (1) A SuFExable initiator with significantly higher reactivities than monomeric building blocks; and (2) monomers that can undergo chain propagation.

Previously we discovered that the reactivity of various S–F bonds for SuFEx follows the order of $\text{–N=SOF}_2\gg\text{–SO}_2\text{F}\gg\text{–OSO}_2\text{F}$. Therefore, iminosulfur oxydifluoride seems to be a reasonable choice as the initiator for our proposed polymerization. To realize chain-growth polycondensation, AB-type monomers in a conjugated aromatic system that harbor a nucleophilic (–OTBS) group and an electrophilic group (–OSO$_2$F) will be employed. Importantly, the electronic properties of such a monomer can be fine-tuned such that the –OSO$_2$F group would not react with the –OTBS group within the same monomer but would react with the –OTBS group of another monomer to initiate the chain propagation once the polymerization is initiated. (Fig. 1b).

To test our hypothesis, a panel of AB-type monomers was synthesized as 1,3,5-trisubstituted monomers (Figure 1c). An electron-withdrawing group (EWG) or an electron-donating group (EDG) was introduced to the meta- or para-position of both electrophilic and nucleophilic site to tune the properties of the resulting monomers.

In the absence of any initiators, Methoxy and BPA monomers underwent self-condensation under our previously established SuFEx polymerization condition (20 mol% of DBU). By contrast, under the same condition the monomers bearing EWG (MeEst, EtEst, Acetyl, and Fluoro) did not produce any polymers. Presumably, the presence of two EWGs (i.e. electron-poor alkyl substituent and fluorosulfate) inductively weakens the nucleophilicity of phenol, impeding effective SuFEx coupling.
Interestingly, although electron-poor monomers did not produce self-condensation polymers, they successfully polymerized in the presence of an iminosulfur oxydifluoride (\(-N=SOF_2\)) initiator (I) that also contains a 4-nitrobenzene group. A dry nitrile-based solvent, butyronitrile, rather than hygroscopic solvents such as DMF and NMP, ensured reproducibility of polymers at high temperatures (Supplementary Table 1, entries 7-13).

Kinetic studies and molecular weight control of a model polymerization. With a fixed monomer-to-initiator ratio (M/I) of 50, we then performed kinetic studies with a model polymerization of MeEst and the active initiator (I) to determine if a controlled chain-growth type polymerization is realized. After polymerization started, we observed a full conversion of the initiator into the chain-end taking place within 3 min (see \(^1\)H and \(^19\)F NMR spectra in Supplementary Fig. 3). By analyzing aliquots taken at varying time intervals, we found that the consumption of MeEst exhibited first-order kinetics (Fig. 2c) and the molecular weight of the polymer increased in proportion to the conversion. Importantly, the molecular weight distribution maintained approximately 1.3 even at the overall conversion of 100% (Fig. 2d), indicating that this polymerization process does not follow a typical step-growth polymerization, which normally affords a broad distribution over 2.0 at the full conversion.

We further conducted a detailed survey of how molecular weight of MeEst polymers would be controlled at various M/I ratios (Table 1). As an optimized condition, the initiator to DBU ratio (I/cat.) was set to 1:1 to get reasonable kinetics of polymerization (Table 1, entry 2). When all monomers were consumed, the resulting polymers exhibited unimodal and low distributions as analyzed by size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) using polystyrene standards (Fig. 2a). Varying the ratios of monomer to initiator from 25 to 300, the observed number-average molecular weight (\(M_n\)) of the polymers showed a linear increase (Fig. 2b). In addition, the degree of polymerization observed by the end-group analysis matched with feeding ratio (Supplementary Fig. 2). The dispersity (\(D = M_w/M_n\)) of MeEst polymer remained at 1.3 until the M/I ratio increased to 100 (Table 1, entries 1, 2, 5, and 6). However, when the M/I reached 150, broadening was observed (Table 1, entry 7).

To make polymers with higher monomer-to-initiator ratios (M/I = 200 and 300), a premixed stock solution of the initiator and DBU was applied to achieve a fast initiation in the presence of high concentrations of monomers (Supplementary Fig. 1). Under these conditions, the \(D\) values of 1.44 and 1.55 were achieved for polymer products with the M/I of 200 and 300, respectively (Table 1, entries 8 and 9). The observed broadening may be caused by the chain-end decomposition (\(k_t\)) during the long reaction course and the slower propagation rate (\(k_p\)) by the excess of monomer that hinders proper coupling via DBU catalysis on the growing chain-end.
Table 1. SuFEx polymerization of various AB-type monomers with active initiator (I).a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Monomer</th>
<th>Targeted DP (Observed)b</th>
<th>[M]:[I]:[Cat.]</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Conv (%)</th>
<th>(M_{n,\text{theo}}^d)</th>
<th>(M_{n,\text{SEC}}^e)</th>
<th>(D_f^c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MeEst</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25:1:1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>6.0 k</td>
<td>6.8 k</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>MeEst</td>
<td>50 (52)</td>
<td>50:1:1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>11.5 k</td>
<td>11.9 k</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>MeEst</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50:1:0.5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>11.5 k</td>
<td>12.8 k</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>MeEst</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50:1:0.2</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>11.5 k</td>
<td>10.9 k</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>MeEst</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75:1:1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>17.3 k</td>
<td>16.6 k</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>MeEst</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100:1:1</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>23.1 k</td>
<td>21.0 k</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>MeEst</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150:1:1</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>34.6 k</td>
<td>31.3 k</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>MeEst</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200:1:1</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
<td>46.2 k</td>
<td>38.2 k</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>MeEst</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300:1:1</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
<td>69.3 k</td>
<td>55.7 k</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>EtEst</td>
<td>50 (49)</td>
<td>50:1:1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>12.4 k</td>
<td>12.2 k</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>EtEst</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100:1:1</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>24.6 k</td>
<td>23.8 k</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>EtEst</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200:1:1</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>49.0 k</td>
<td>39.5 k</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>EtEst</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300:1:1</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>73.5 k</td>
<td>66.6 k</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Acetyl</td>
<td>50 (50)</td>
<td>50:1:1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>10.7 k</td>
<td>13.2 k</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Acetyl</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75:1:1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>16.1 k</td>
<td>16.8 k</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Acetyl</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100:1:1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>21.5 k</td>
<td>20.4 k</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Acetyl</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150:1:1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>32.2 k</td>
<td>28.3 k</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Acetyl</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200:1:1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
<td>43.0 k</td>
<td>42.6 k</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Acetyl</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300:1:1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
<td>64.5 k</td>
<td>53.0 k</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Fluoro</td>
<td>50 (56)</td>
<td>50:1:1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>9.5 k</td>
<td>9.7 k</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Fluoro</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75:1:1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>14.3 k</td>
<td>14.0 k</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Cyano</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50:1:1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>10.1 k</td>
<td>insoluble</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Sulfone</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25:1:1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>8.0 k</td>
<td>17.6 k</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Sulfone</td>
<td>50 (78)</td>
<td>50:1:1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>15.6 k</td>
<td>31.0 k</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Polymerization was conducted on 0.5 mmol scale. b Degree of polymerization (DP) was determined by end-group analysis of \(^1\)H or \(^19\)F NMR of polymer. c Conversions were determined by \(^1\)H and \(^19\)F NMR of the crude mixture. d \(M_{n,\text{theo}} = \text{MW(I)} + \frac{M}{I} \text{ ratio} \times \text{MW(M)}\). e Determined by THF SEC calibrated using PS standards. \(M_n\) is given in g/mol. f Premixed stock solution (initiator + DBU) was applied to initiate polymerization.

Expansion of substrate scope with various AB-type monomers. Building upon the above results, we explored various AB-type monomers to expand the substrate scope for chain-growth SuFEx polycondensation. The EtEst monomer, which has more hydrolytic resistance than MeEst, was examined for the polymerization. The molecular weights of the resulting polymers were in good agreement with calculated values at M/I ratios of 50-300, showing \(D\) range of 1.29-1.46 (Table 1, entries 10-13). Similar trends were observed when using a better π-accepting Acetyl monomer (Table 1, entries 14-17). Not surprisingly, the resulting polymers at high M/I ratios (200 and 300) also had slightly broader distributions (Table 1, entries 18 and 19).

The monomers with other electron-withdrawing groups polymerized as well, showing similar narrow dispersity (Table 1, entries 20-24). However, due to solubility issues, these monomers have a limitation in high M/I ratios due to a lack of solubility. The Fluoro monomer could grow to M/I of 50 and 75, and the Sulfone derivative had M/I of 25 and 50 as a maximum feeding ratio. Unexpectedly, the Cyano derivative crashed out during the polymerization and produced an insoluble solid in various organic solvents (Table 1, entries 22). Among these monomers, the most electron-deficient Sulfone analog showed the narrowest \(D\) value (1.18), implying a well-controlled behavior in polymerization (Table 1, entry 24).

Chain-extension studies with combination of AB-type monomers. Next, we conducted chain-extension experiments with various EWG analogs to further verify that our SuFEx polymerization proceeded via the chain-growth mechanism (Fig. 3a). By reacting 25 or 75 eq of additional MeEst monomer with the 1st block poly(MeEst)\(_{25}\) (\(M_n = 6.8 \text{ k}, D = 1.27\)) for homo-extension, we observed that the pristine polymer successfully elongated with clear shifts in SEC. Interestingly, the molecular weight of the initial polymer went down within 1h upon the addition of the second monomer. It recovered to the original value shortly after and extended to the desired molecular weight after 3 hours (Fig. 3b). However, the observed \(D\) of the extended polymer was found to be broader in comparison to the initial pristine polymer with M/I = 50 (1.28 vs. 1.36) (Table 1, entry 2 vs. Fig. 3d, entry 1). This trend was similar to M/I = 100 vs. M/I = 25+75 case (1.35 vs. 1.44) (Table 1, entry 6 vs. Fig. 3d, entry 2).

Combining other electron-poor monomers (EtEst and Fluoro) with the 1st block poly(MeEst)\(_{25}\) (\(M_n = 11.9 \text{ k}, D = 1.28\)) also showed high-molecular-weight shifts in SEC with relatively broad \(D\) values (Fig. 3d entries 3, 4, and Supplementary Fig. 11). The broadness seemed to come from different propagation rates (\(k_p\)) of the monomers (Supplementary Fig. 6) and chain transfer reaction. Also, as observed in \(^19\)F NMR (Supplementary Fig. 4), the end-group signal of the first block (\(-\text{OSO}_2\text{F}\)
remained at a low level (12%) after precipitation in methanol. This result suggests that the propagating chain-end is very reactive but also hygroscopic. Of the catalysts that we studied, the chain-extension was only possible in the presence of DBU.

Other catalysts (e.g. BEMP and bifluoride) resulted in no chain-extension of the initial polymers (Supplementary Fig. 11).

Figure 3. Chain extension (copolymerization) and degradation test of polysulfate. a, Schematic procedure of sequential addition for copolymerization and degradation. b, SEC traces of chain extension. c, SEC traces of poly(MeEst)₅₀ degradation with different time scale. d, Various copolymerization results. e, Images of poly(MeEst)₅₀ (Table 1, entry 2) before and after degradation.
Figure 4. A proposed mechanism of chain-growth SuFEx polycondensation. a, Selective initiation of iminosulfur oxydifluoride to form propagating dimer. b, Propagation step by iterative SuFEx reaction. c, Chain transfer reaction through activation of sulfate linkage by DBU.

**Structure analysis of polysulfates from block and random copolymerization.** To identify the microstructure of the chain-extended polymers, we also conducted thermal analysis of block and random copolymers from two distinct monomers. Since all the polysulfates except for the Fluoro homopolymer have an amorphous character without any melting transition or crystallization by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), we chose MeEst and Fluoro monomers for the detailed analysis (Supplementary Table 5; cold crystallization temperature of Fluoro homopolymer: 69.2 °C and melting temperature: 146.7 °C). There were two glass transition temperatures ($T_g$) appearing at 31 °C and 57 °C from the block copolymerization of MeEst and Fluoro (Fig. 3 entry 4). On the contrary, a random copolymer (Fig. 3 entry 5) showed a single $T_g$ at 30 °C. In addition, the intrinsic crystalline property of the Fluoro segment was expressed only in block copolymerization as $T_c$ of 114.4 °C in the cooling cycle.

With the distinct patterns of the $^1$H and $^{19}$F NMR signal (Supplementary Fig. 7), all of the results indicate that block and random copolymerization produced different microstructures. Nonetheless, the copolymerization runs in a block-like manner because of the chain transfer reaction. All these electron-deficient polysulfates exhibited great thermal stability as obtained by thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA). The decomposition temperatures ($T_d$) of polysulfates ranged from 328.5 °C to 387.3 °C, and all the copolymers displayed a merged $T_d$ of each homopolymer.

**Demonstration of proposed mechanism of chain-growth SuFEx polycondensation.** The above results shed light on a possible mechanism of chain-growth SuFEx polycondensation. In the presence of an active initiator such as difluoride (I), DBU selectively activates the initiator over monomers (Fig. 4a, initiation). The strong affinity of the exchanging fluoride for silicon generates phenoxide, and the substituent effect of 1,3,5-functionalized monomer is retained. Even though AB-type monomers bearing an EWG are deactivated for SuFEx polymerization, one SuFEx coupling with initiator could change the electronics of the monomer to the polymerizable state (electron-deficient dimer). This can be considered as an intramolecular transformation of the substrate from an electron-rich group (−OTBS) to an electron-poor group (−N=SOF−OAr). Once changed, it allows to induce a relay SuFEx coupling, leading to a continuous propagation in polymerization (Fig. 4b, favorable pathway).

However, because the generated backbone is composed of electron-poor sulfate bonds, the propagation contains a chain transfer reaction activated by DBU (Fig. 4c). The bending feature in the $M_n$ vs. conversion plot implies that chain-transfer arises during the polymerization (Fig. 2d). Despite the chain transfer that can cause step-growth contaminants (see also Supplementary Fig. 5, MALDI-TOF), the substituent effect still influences propagation, and thus dispersity was not severely broadened like in typical step-growth polymerization.

As a chain-transfer reagent, we confirmed that a low loading of DBU proceeded to initiator-free polymerization in the case of $M/I = 50$ and 100. We expected that polymerization occurred by forming bis-sulfate dimers in equilibrium. However, the molecular weight of the initiator-free polymerization was not well-regulated, showing a higher molecular weight than the case of MeEst polymerization at $M/I = 50$, and a much broader distribution than the case of MeEst polymerization at $M/I = 100$ (Supplementary Fig. 9). Therefore, building predictable amounts of the polymerizable dimer and the fast initiation ($k_i$) from the high reactivity of initiators (I; $-\text{NSOF}_2$ or I-1; $-\text{SO}_2\text{F}$) are essential for controlling $M_n$ and $D_v$ value. Additionally, applying more active catalysts (e.g. BEMP and bifluoride) can give a different microstructure through activating the two fluorides of the initiator (Supplementary Fig. 10).

**Degradability of polysulfate backbone and derived cross-linked gels.** Degradable polymers are desirable for sustainability. Although stable covalent linkages in conventional polymers have contributed to the development of practical materials with high strength and resistance, ironically, their durability and non-recyclability due to the irreversible crosslinking, such as that found in thermosets, has become a major cause of plastic pollution nowadays. This emerging awareness has triggered a demand for degradability in polymeric materials.

To explore if our polysulfate polymers possess any degradability, we subjected the electron-deficient polysulfate [poly(MeEst)$_{50}$] to various conditions (Supplementary Table 3). Because a previous study showed that polysulfonates can be degraded by DBU at an elevated temperature, we first tested the degradability of our polysulfates by incubating poly(MeEst)$_{50}$ with DBU (10 mol% in THF) at rt. In a few mins, the polymer changed from a solid to a liquid state (Fig. 3c and 3e) and was completely degraded within 1 hour. LC-MS analysis confirmed the presence of mono-sulfates, bis-sulfates, and trimer sulfates in the degraded solution (Supplementary Fig. 8). Notably, under the same condition, we did not observe the degradation of BPA polysulfate (also see Supplementary Table 4). This result suggested that the electron-deficient substituents on the polymer backbone weakens the sulfate bond (−SO$_4^-$) toward a nucleophilic base.

We then examined a few related catalysts such as DMAP (4-Dimethylaminopyridine) and BTMG (2-tert-Butyl-1,1,3,3-tetramethylguanidine). However, as a relatively weak pyridyl base, DMAP only led to partial polymer degradation even after 24 h exposure with heating. Although BTMG has similar basicity to DMAP, it only started to induce degradation at elevated temperatures (entry 7 and entry 9, Supplementary Table 3). Further studies conducted in various aqueous buffers revealed that this polymer was stable between pH 5 to pH 7 but sensitive toward mild acidic and basic conditions. Both aqueous ammonia and 0.5 M KOH solution could lead to its full degradation.
With the properties of our polysulfate backbone characterized, we next investigated degradability of crosslinked gels formed by polysulfates. These gels, so called, thermosets are known to be difficult to break and hard to remold due to their permanent crosslinking resulting in multiple 3D-network structures. Recently, many approaches to overcoming this issue have been reported by applying sensitive or reversible bonds toward various stimuli, such as acid, base, anion, radical, and dynamic bonds.

To construct crosslinked gels, we prepared a polymer with the polysulfate backbone decorated with propargyl side chains (M/I = 50). We then used CuAAC to induce the crosslinking of the resulting polymer with different types of bis-azides to produce gel-like materials (Fig. 5a). We tracked the moduli of each gel by the nanoindentation technique. Among three different crosslinkers, the rigid bis-benzyl and short PEG linker formed relatively stiff gels, showing higher initial modulus (202 kPa for bis-benzyl azide and 482 kPa for PEG 3, respectively). As the spacer became longer, e.g. N3PEGxN3 1.1k, a soft elastic gel was obtained with a lower Young's modulus (13 kPa) (Fig. 5b).

To determine the degradability of the above crosslinked gels, we placed them in aqueous ammonia solution at room temperature and checked their status. As the gels hydrolyzed (Fig. 5c), the solution gradually turned green by the chelation of ammonia with the trace amount of Cu species entrapped inside of the gels. The modulus of the rigid bis-benzyl azide gel fell by half within 30 minutes (202 kPa to 94 kPa) and the gel turned gummy after 1 hour. Because of the hydrophobic nature of the bis-benzyl spacer, a trace of the degraded residue remained as aggregates in aqueous solution, however, gels crosslinked via the water-soluble polyethylene glycol (PEG) units afforded a transparent solution after degradation. Moreover, faster soaking and decomposition occurred when more hydrophilic spacers were used. For example, the gel crosslinked by the short PEG 3 spacer showed only severe cracking after 30 minutes (from 482 kPa to 28 kPa), whereas the more hydrophilic PEG 1.1 k spacer showed a much faster decrease in modulus within 5 min (from 13 kPa to 1.6 kPa), producing a clear solution after 25 min (see Supplementary CuAAC gel section for more information).

**CONCLUSION**

In summary, we have developed the first chain-growth SuFEx polycondensation by exploiting the high reactivity of iminosulfur oxydifluorides over fluorosulfates. Introducing a selective initiator and AB-type monomers was the key to achieve successful chain-growth SuFEx polycondensation. To maximize the electronic effect, monomers were designed with a nucleophile (silyl ether), an electrophile (fluorosulfate), and an additional EWG. The substituent effect of various electron-deficient AB-type monomers separates the reactivity of fluorosulfate (-OSO2F) in the polymer chain-end and in the monomer, providing polysulfates with well-controlled molecular weight and narrow dispersity.

However, since this SuFEx polymerization adopts a chain transfer reaction, the sequential monomer addition results in a block-like structure and increasing the amounts of DBU causes the degradation of polymer. As the susceptibility of the sulfate bonds is dependent on the electronic environment, polymers and crosslinked gels bearing electron-withdrawing substituents can be fully degraded under basic conditions. These controllable and degradable features in polymerization pave the way for sustainable polysulfates with desired mechanical properties.
METHODS

Procedure for the synthesis of the model polymer (0.5 mmol scale). To a flame-dried 4 mL vial equipped with a magnetic stirrer, AB-type monomer (M, 25-300 eq) – MeEst (154 µL, d = 1.184) and iminosulfur oxydifluoride initiator (I, 1 eq, 0.37-4.44 mg) from stock solution was premixed with 500 µL of butyronitrile (1 M to monomer, dried with activated 4Å molecular sieves). The vial was sealed with septum cap and placed at desired temperature (90 °C) for 5 min. Then, catalyst from another batch of a stock solution (0.5 M of DBU in butyronitrile, 1 eq) was quickly injected to a reaction mixture as one-shot to initiate polymerization. For the high M/I of 200 and 300, a stock solution - premixed 1:1 mixture of initiator and DBU - was injected as one-shot to a pre-warmed reaction mixture to initiate polymerization. The polymerization underwent at desired temperature with stirring until the full consumption of monomer, and the mixture was precipitated into MeOH twice. The resulting polymer was dried under vacuum affording a white solid (for M/I = 50 : Mn = 11.9 k and Đ = 1.28, 95 % yield). The precipitated polymer was characterized by SEC, MALDI-TOF, and 1H, 13C, 19F NMR. Full experimental details and characterization of compounds are given in the Supplementary Information.
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