Cascaded energy landscape as a key driver for slow yet efficient charge separation with small energy offset in organic solar cells
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**Abstract.** Recent studies have shown that efficient free carrier (FC) generation with a small voltage loss can be achieved in organic solar cells (OSC); however, the photophysical insights underpinning this remain unclear. Herein, we examined the mechanisms underlying the FC generation in a state-of-the-art OSC consisting of PM6 and Y6 as an electron donor and electron acceptor, respectively, wherein the energy offset between the lowest excited singlet state and the charge transfer state is as small as ~0.1 eV. We used transient absorption spectroscopy to track the time evolution of electroabsorption caused by electron–hole pairs generated at donor/acceptor interfaces. Upon photoexcitation of the lower-bandgap Y6, we observed slow yet efficient spatial charge dissociation on a time scale of picoseconds. Based on temperature dependence measurements, we found that this slow yet efficient FC generation is driven by downhill energy relaxation of charges through the energy cascade generated near the interfaces.
**Introduction**

Development of novel nonfullerene acceptors (NFAs) has enabled the successful fabrication of efficient polymer/NFA-based organic solar cells (OSCs)\(^1\text{−}^5\). Thus far, polymer/NFA-based OSCs have reached >18% power conversion efficiency (PCE)\(^6\text{−}^9\), thereby rekindling interest in this research field. Among them, a state-of-the-art OSC consisting of PM6 as a donor polymer and Y6 as a NFA (Figure 1) has simultaneously exhibited a relatively high PCE of >15% and a small energy loss of ~0.55 eV\(^10\). In this respect, many studies have been conducted in order to reveal the origin of the success of the PM6/Y6 blend OSCs\(^11\text{−}^19\). However, the photophysical mechanisms underlying efficient free carrier (FC) generation in this blend system remain the subject of continuing debate.

OSCs require a donor/acceptor (D/A) interface to dissociate excitons into charges because of the low dielectric constants of organic materials. Upon photoexcitation, singlet excitons are generated in either the D or A material and quickly diffuse to the D/A interface. Thereafter, depending on which material is photoexcited, either an electron or a hole is transferred to its counterpart material, forming a charge transfer (CT) state at the D/A interface. If the electrons and holes that constitute the CT state dissociate beyond their Coulomb capture radius (typically 4–5 nm considering the entropic contribution to the Gibbs free energy)\(^20\), they become FCs and can survive up to microseconds, which is long enough for charges to be collected to their respective electrodes. Historically, it was presumed that a large offset between the lowest excited singlet state energy \(E_{S1}\) and the CT state energy \(E_{CT}\) of
>0.3 eV is required for efficient FC generation in OSCs\textsuperscript{20-24}. For example, Friend and his co-workers have elucidated that this excess energy is required to access higher-energy delocalized states, wherein charges can move quickly away from the D/A interface on a timescale of tens to a few hundreds of femtoseconds\textsuperscript{25-29}. On the other hand, in the absence of the offset energy (or the absence of a delocalized state in less-aggregated blends), the CT state is trapped at the interface and finally undergoes geminate recombination to the ground state. The large energy offset prerequisite for efficient FC generation significantly limits the PCE because lowering $E_{\text{CT}}$ relative to $E_{S1}$ reduces the maximum achievable open-circuit voltage ($V_{OC}$)\textsuperscript{30-33}. Therefore, efficient FC generation without an energy offset is necessary to reduce the energy loss and hence, improve the PCE further.

Very few studies have reported polymer/fullerene-based OSCs that exhibit efficient FC generation with small energy offset\textsuperscript{34-36}. In contrast, recent studies have shown that efficient FC generation with small energy offset can be achieved in various NFA-based OSCs. Several key ideas have been proposed for the FC generation mechanisms in systems with small energy offset. For example, Menke et al. proposed that a low level of energetic disorder, which corresponds to an Urbach energy of <30 meV, is key to efficient FC generation in small offset systems\textsuperscript{37}. The PM6/Y6 blends fulfil this empirical requirement. On the other hand, Karuthedath et al. claimed that the ionization energy (IE) offsets are often underestimated in OSCs\textsuperscript{38}. By performing ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) measurements, they showed that the IE offset in the PM6/Y6 blend was as large as 700 meV.
They proposed that efficient FC generation requires a large IE offset because of the interfacial energy offset.

**Figure 1. Understanding the charge separation mechanisms with a small energy offset.**

(a) Schematic showing FC generation mechanisms in PM6/Y6 blend films. Upon photoexcitation at 800 nm, spatial dissociation of electron–hole (e–h) pairs occurs slowly yet efficiently without an activation barrier, despite the small energy offset of ~0.1 eV, driven by downhill energy relaxation of charges through the energy cascade near the interfaces. In contrast, rapid spatial separation on a sub-picosecond time scale occurs after photoexcitation at 600 nm. (b) HOMO energy levels of PM6 and Y6 determined by CV or PYS. The values in parentheses are the LUMO energy levels calculated as a sum of HOMO and $E_{S1}$. Therefore, the LUMO values only serve as a rough estimate for relative comparison. (c–f) Chemical structures of (c) PM6, (d) Y6, (e) PTB7-Th, and (f) P3HT.
level bending caused by electrostatic effects, which in turn are caused by the large quadrupole moments of A–D–A-type NFAs. However, due to a lack of direct experimental evidence of charge dissociation dynamics, further studies are necessary to fully understand the FC generation mechanisms in the PM6/Y6 blend system.

Herein, we examine the FC generation mechanisms in the PM6/Y6 blend system using transient absorption (TA) spectroscopy (Figure 1). We track the time evolution of electroabsorption (EA) caused by the dipolar electric field generated by an electron–hole pair that constitute a CT state. We show that, upon photoexcitation of the lower-bandgap Y6, FCs are generated slowly yet efficiently on a time scale of ~10 ps. This is in sharp contrast to the FC generation dynamics after the selective excitation of PM6, wherein rapid spatial dissociation through delocalized states is observed, as in the case of previously reported large offset systems. Importantly, despite the slow FC generation, no thermal activation barriers exist for the FC generation. We find that charge dissociation is driven by downhill energy relaxation of charges through the energy cascade generated near the D/A interface.

Results

Energy offset in the PM6/Y6 blend systems. Chemical structures of materials employed in this study, steady-state absorption, photoluminescence (PL) and electroluminescence (EL) spectra, the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) energy levels, current density–voltage ($J$–$V$) characteristics,
and external quantum efficiency (EQE) are found in Figures 1, 2 and in the Supplementary Information.

As shown in Figure 2a, the absorption and emission spectra of Y6 slightly blue-shift when blended with PM6, indicating that Y6 is slightly less ordered in the blend film than in the pristine Y6 film. In the blend film, $E_{S1}$ of Y6 is 1.41 eV (determined from the EQE and EL spectra of the device, Figure S2), which is slightly higher than that of the pristine Y6 film (1.39 eV)\textsuperscript{39}.

As shown in Figure 2b, a new PL band clearly appears for the PM6/Y6 blend. This band is not observed for the PTB7-Th/Y6 blend (Figure S6), indicating that it is attributable to CT emission of the PM6/Y6 blends. The fact that CT emission in the PL spectra was only observed for the PM6/Y6 blend implies that the quantum efficiency of CT emission in the PM6/Y6 blend is higher than that for other blends, which is consistent with the relatively small nonradiative voltage loss in this device. By applying Marcus fitting to the CT emission\textsuperscript{40,41}, we obtained $E_{CT}$ of 1.29 eV (details can be found in the Supplementary Information). Therefore, the PM6/Y6 system apparently has a small energy offset of \~0.1 eV.

We measured the difference in HOMO energy between PM6 and Y6 using two different methods. The HOMO energy offset derived from cyclic voltammetry (CV) in the solution state was 0.15 eV (Figure S7), consistent with the energy difference between $E_{S1}$ and $E_{CT}$. In contrast, the IE offset derived from photoelectron yield spectroscopy (PYS) in the solid state was as large as 0.52 eV (Figure S8), which is significantly larger than that derived from CV measurements in the solution state. These
results indicate that the HOMO energy level and hence, the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) energy level, of Y6 depends significantly on its morphology. We consider that the large difference in the HOMO (and LUMO) energy level of Y6 depending on the morphology is a key for efficient FC generation with a small offset, as will be discussed later.

Figure 2. Absorption and PL spectra of an optimized PM6/Y6 blend film. a Steady-state absorption spectrum of an optimized PM6/Y6 blend film as well as the pristine PM6 and Y6 films. b PL spectrum of the PM6/Y6 blend film as well as a pristine Y6 film. The broken line represents emission from the CT states obtained by the Marcus fitting.
**TA spectra after Y6 selective excitation.** In order to study the charge dissociation dynamics, we performed TA measurements for the optimized PM6/Y6 blend film (Figures 3a and 3b). Here, the excitation wavelength was set to 800 nm to selectively excite the lower-bandgap Y6. The excitation fluence was kept as low as possible to reduce undesirable bimolecular processes. At an excitation fluence of 1.4 μJ cm⁻², singlet–singlet annihilation (SSA) can be ignored (excitation fluence dependence is shown in Figure S13). By comparing the TA spectra of the blend with those of a pristine Y6 film, the positive photoinduced absorption (PIA) band observed immediately after photoexcitation at ~930 nm and the broad PIA tail above 1200 nm are assigned to singlet excitons of Y6¹⁸,³⁹. Negative signals in the 750–850 nm region and sub-650 nm regions are attributed to the ground-state bleaching (GSB) of Y6 and PM6, respectively, because the positions of these signals coincide with their steady-state absorption spectra. The initial peak position of the Y6 GSB is slightly blue-shifted compared to that of the pristine Y6 film (Figure 3c), which is consistent with the aforementioned slightly blue-shifted steady-state absorption. Singlet excitons of Y6 decayed on a time scale of picoseconds, whereas a new PIA peaking at ~970 nm remained for 100 ps after photoexcitation. This broad PIA was still observed on the microsecond time scale (Figure S12) and hence, can be assigned to a superposition of PM6 hole polarons and Y6 anions (details of assignments are described in the Supplementary Information). This means that charged species are generated through hole transfer from Y6 to PM6. The blue line in Figure 3d represents the exciton dissociation
kinetics monitored at 1050 nm. The rise kinetics was fitted using the sum of two exponential functions with a constant fraction, giving an average rise time constant of \(~6.0\) ps. Note that the rise kinetics

Figure 3. TA spectra of the optimized PM6/Y6 blend film. a Contour plot of the TA data and b TA spectra of the optimized PM6/Y6 blend film. The excitation wavelength was 800 nm with a fluence of 1.4 \(\mu\)J cm\(^{-2}\). The white line in (a) represent the minimum peak positions at each pump–probe delay. c Shift in the peak wavelength of Y6 GSB in the PM6/Y6 blend film (blue) as well as that in a pristine Y6 film (black) as a reference. The blue and gray horizontal lines show the peak wavelength of respective steady-state absorption spectra. d Time evolution of TA signals monitored at 1050 nm (blue) and 760 nm (purple).
monitored at 630 nm (GSB of PM6) is identical to that at 1050 nm (Figure S14). Emphatically, this relatively slow exciton dissociation does not directly indicate that hole transfer is slow at the D/A interface because this rise kinetics is a convolution of exciton diffusion to D/A interfaces and hole transfer between Y6 and PM6\textsuperscript{42,43}. To distinguish the rate-limiting process, we focused on the TA spectra in the visible region. Importantly, the GSB signal of PM6 in the <650 nm region was observed immediately after photoexcitation, indicating that Y6 excitons generated near the D/A interface undergo hole transfer within the time resolution of our TA setup. Furthermore, the rise time constant decreased with decreasing the domain size of Y6 (Figure S14). Therefore, the rise time constant of \(~6.0\) ps at 1050 nm is governed by exciton diffusion to the D/A interfaces, and hole transfer between Y6 and PM6 occurs on the sub-picosecond time scale, despite the small energy offset. Hole transfer between Y6 and PM6 on the sub-picosecond time scale has also been reported previously\textsuperscript{18}. This is in sharp contrast to recent observations, wherein hole transfer is considerably slow when D/A blends loose energy offset\textsuperscript{33,44,45}. Fast hole transfer was also observed after photoexcitation at 900 nm (1.38 eV), where excess photon energy above \(E_{S1}\) is negligible (Figure S18). Therefore, the possibility of rapid hole transfer from vibronically hot Y6 excitons can be ruled out. According to Marcus theory\textsuperscript{46}, fast hole transfer with a small offset is expected to require a large D–A electronic coupling and/or a small reorganization energy at the interface. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations revealed that the reorganization energy during the hole transfer is \(~0.27\) eV (see the Supplementary
Information), which is considerably larger than the energy offset of this blend. Therefore, we consider that a large D–A electronic coupling is most likely a key for the fast hole transfer, which is consistent with the previous solid-state NMR measurements\textsuperscript{18}, wherein the authors pointed out that the PM6 side chains and Y6 aromatic groups are in close contact in the active layer, resulting in increased D–A electronic coupling.

Interestingly, in the 700–900 nm region, the TA spectra changed significantly with time. Immediately after photoexcitation, we observed a negative TA signal attributable to Y6 GSB. Subsequently, this signal recovered rapidly and turned into a positive one over a >10 ps time scale. We identified two key features in this region. First, the rise time constant monitored at 760 nm was ~10.7 ps, which is slightly slower than that of exciton dissociation (Figures 3d and S17). In other words, a time lag exists between the exciton dissociation and the emergence of a positive PIA at ~800 nm. Second, the peak position of Y6 GSB gradually red-shifted with time (Figures 3a and 3c). At 0 ps after photoexcitation, the peak wavelength of Y6 GSB was identical to that of the steady-state absorption (~810 nm), whereas it finally approached ~850 nm. This final peak position is approximately equal to that of the pristine Y6 film, indicating the presence of highly ordered crystalline Y6 domains in the blend film, even though the overall crystallinity is lower than that of the pristine Y6 film, as mentioned above. This peak shift is ascribable to downhill energy relaxation of charges, which is a key driver for FC generation in this blend, as will be discussed later.
**Impact of Y6 crystallinity on the TA spectra.** In order to reveal the origin of the new PIA band in the 700–800 nm region, we also performed TA measurements on a D-rich PM6/Y6 blend film with a weight ratio of 95:5. As shown in Figure S4, the peak position of the steady-state absorption spectrum of Y6 in the D-rich blend is somewhat blue-shifted relative to that of the optimized PM6/Y6 blend film, indicating that Y6 is less aggregated in the D-rich blend film. Figure 4a shows the TA spectra of the D-rich PM6/Y6 blend film after the selective excitation of Y6 at 800 nm. Interestingly, the D-rich blend did not exhibit the positive signal around 800 nm and the shift in the GSB peak, which strongly suggests that both the formation of the new PIA and the shift in the GSB peak are driven by the presence of highly ordered regions in Y6 domains.

To obtain more detailed insights, we performed TA measurements on various D/A blend films (Figure 4b-d). Dissociation of Y6 excitons occurs with a time constant of ~2.0 ps in the PTB7-Th/Y6 blend (Figures 4b and S19b), whereas the time constant of the emergence of the positive PIA was ~11.5 ps, apparently slower than the exciton dissociation (Figure S19c). These results strongly substantiate our hypothesis that the emergence of the positive PIA in the 700–800 nm region is not accompanied by exciton dissociation. Since the acceptor material is the same but the donor is different in the PM6/Y6 and PTB7-Th/Y6 blend films, we attribute the new PIA observed near 800 nm to the
Y6 anion in crystalline domains. Interestingly, the TA spectra of the P3HT/Y6 blend film showed a clear dependence on the crystallinity of Y6, where thermal annealing dramatically changed the TA

**Figure 4. Impact of the crystallinity of Y6 on the TA spectra.** a) TA spectra of a D-rich PM6/Y6 blend film. The excitation wavelength was 800 nm with a fluence of 3.2 μJ cm⁻². b) TA spectra of the PTB7-Th/Y6 blend film. The excitation wavelength was 800 nm with a fluence of 2.4 μJ cm⁻². c,d) TA spectra of a P3HT/Y6 blend film measured (c) before and (d) after thermal annealing at 140 °C for 10 min. Full-range TA data and steady-state absorption spectra measured before and after thermal annealing can be found in the Supplementary Information.
spectra, particularly in the 700–800 nm region (Figures 4c,d). The positive signal was unclear for the as-cast film, whereas it was distinct after thermal annealing. As the positive PIA is different from the hole polaron of P3HT\textsuperscript{47}, this result reinforced our assignment of attributing the new PIA observed near 800 nm to the Y6 anion in crystalline domains. Importantly, the PIA at ~800 nm was observed only in blend films with a relatively high EQE. Therefore, we conclude that the emergence of the positive PIA is a sign of efficient FC generation.

**Slow yet efficient charge dissociation.** Another important finding from Figure 4d is that the positive PIA observed at ~700–800 nm is unimodal for the P3HT/Y6 blend film, in sharp contrast to the bimodal PIA for the PM6/Y6 blend film (Figure 3b). This means that the positive PIA at ~680 nm is attributable to a PM6-related signal. As shown in Figures 5a and 5b, the rise at 680 nm is slightly slower than that at 630 nm (GSB of PM6). The time lag between exciton dissociation and the emergence of the positive PIA at 680 nm is more pronounced at higher excitation fluences, at which the SSA leads to a significantly faster exciton decay (Figures S21 and S22). Therefore, the positive PIA at ~680 nm is not attributable to the hole polaron of PM6.

In line with previous studies\textsuperscript{18,26,28,29,37,48}, we attribute this band to the EA of PM6. When an exciton dissociates to form an electron–hole pair, the electron–hole pair generates a dipole-like local electric field in the surroundings. This results in a Stark shift in the absorption spectrum, resulting in the
addition of a first-derivative-like EA to the TA spectra. As the amplitude of EA depends on the strength of the local electric field, which is a function of the separation distance between the electron and hole, we can directly probe the dissociation kinetics of the electron–hole pair. The fact that the EA signals reached their maximum value slightly after the occurrence of hole transfer indicates that long range spatial dissociation of CT states takes place on a time scale of picoseconds.

The situation is completely different after photoexcitation at 600 nm, which mainly excites PM6. As
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**Figure 5. Time evolution of EA.** a Time evolution of the TA signals monitored at three characteristic wavelengths excited at 800 nm. b Normalized time evolution of panel a. c Time evolution of the TA signals monitored at three characteristic wavelengths excited at 600 nm. d-f Comparison of the TA spectra after photoexcitation at 800 nm or 600 nm with a pump–probe delay of (d) 1 ps, (e) 10 ps, and (f) 100 ps. TA spectra are normalized at 630 nm (PM6 GSB).
shown in Figure S23, PM6 excitons dissociate into charges as rapidly as ~0.5 ps. Interestingly, the EA amplitude was already large at 1 ps after photoexcitation and almost unchanged until 100 ps (Figures 5c-f). As the offset between the excited state energy of PM6 (1.9 eV) and $E_{CT}$ is sufficiently large to access the delocalized state, this fast dissociation means that electron–hole pairs undergo rapid spatial separation through the delocalized wave function before thermalization, despite the opposing Coulomb attractions, as in the case of previous studies with sufficient energy offset\textsuperscript{26,29}.

These results clearly reveal that the charge dissociation mechanism after the hole transfer is different from that after the electron transfer in the PM6/Y6 blend films. However, what is critically important here is that the EA amplitude after hole transfer finally reached its maximum value comparable to that after electron transfer, as shown in Figure 5f, indicating that there is no apparent difference in FC generation yield between donor and acceptor excitation. This is consistent with the flat EQE spectrum of this device (Figure S9b), indicating that FC generation yield is independent of the excitation wavelength. Efficient FC generation after Y6 selective excitation is further corroborated by the fact that charge decay dynamics is sensitively dependent on the excitation fluence (Figure S24), indicating that the bimolecular recombination is the major deactivation channel for charges.

**Mechanism of efficient charge dissociation with small energy offset.** We found that the time scale of charge dissociation is ~10 ps, which is too slow to be rationalized by the model proposed for the
large offset systems, as discussed in the previous section. On the other hand, this time scale is too fast to be rationalized within the Onsager framework. Interestingly, as shown in Figures 6, the time evolution of the EA signals also coincides with the GSB peak shift, indicating that the slow yet efficient charge dissociation is driven by downhill energy relaxation. To confirm this hypothesis, we focus on the temperature dependence of the charge dissociation dynamics, as shown in Figure 7 (TA spectra at lower temperatures are found in the Supplementary Information). Both the FC generation kinetics and the GSB peak shift were less sensitive to temperature. By applying the Arrhenius fit for the inverse of the rise time constant $k_{\text{rise}}$, the activation energy for charge dissociation was determined to be as small as $\sim 9.4$ meV at the most (Figure 7c). As a result, the FC generation yield was clearly
independent of temperature (Figure 7d). Note that, TA signals at 500 ps after photoexcitation are used to reduce the contribution of bimolecular recombination, which should depend on temperature. These results indicate the absence of a thermal activation barrier for charge dissociation\textsuperscript{17}, which is again inconsistent with the Onsager framework but rationalized by our hypothesis that the charge dissociation is driven by downhill energy relaxation of charges through the energy cascade generated
near the interfaces. Owing to the energy cascade, the Coulomb potential barrier at the interfaces is compensated, resulting in efficient charge dissociation without an activation barrier (Figure 1a). This picture also explains the poor FC generation in the D-rich PM6/Y6 and as-cast P3HT/Y6 blend films, wherein Y6 molecules are less aggregated; hence, the cascaded energy landscape is not formed.

**Discussion**

The origin of this energy landscape is probably due to the high crystallinity of Y6. Since the ordered regions are expected to be energetically more stable than at the D/A interfaces for charges, the coexistence of less-ordered interfacial regions and highly ordered crystalline regions generates the energy cascade near the interface\(^{49-52}\). Another possible explanation for the origin of the energy cascade is the large quadrupole moment of Y6. Recent studies have highlighted the importance of the quadrupole moment in adjusting the \(E_{CT}\) at the interface\(^{17,38,53}\). Since a concentration gradient of Y6 exists near the D/A interface, charge–quadrupole interactions increase continuously with increasing distance from the D/A interface, resulting in the cascaded energy landscape near the D/A interface (also, the authors termed as a bias potential in their previous reports). Nevertheless, the key for efficient FC generation without an energy offset is the presence of an energy difference between the bulk and the D/A interface. Therefore, we propose that a large discrepancy of HOMO/LUMO energy
levels determined in the solution (CV) and solid (UPS or PYS) states can be used for an initial material screening for achieving efficient offset-less FC generation.

In summary, this study presents a clear, direct experimental evidence of the mechanism underlying FC generation in a state-of-the-art OSC consisting of PM6 and Y6. After the fast hole transfer from Y6 to PM6, despite the small energy offset of ~0.1 eV, slow spatial dissociation of the CT states on a time scale of ~10 ps was observed. This is in sharp contrast to what we observed after photoexcitation of PM6, wherein rapid spatial separation was observed, as in the case of previous reports. Importantly, however, the slow FC generation after the hole transfer undergoes as efficient as that after the electron transfer. The activation energy for the slow charge dissociation is as small as ~9.4 meV, resulting in temperature independent FC generation, even at 77 K. We found that the cascaded energy landscape generated near the interfaces is the key driver for the slow yet efficient FC generation. Since Y6 forms an energetic cascade near the D/A interface, charges can quickly move away from the D/A interface without experiencing the activation barrier because the attracting Coulomb barrier is compensated by the energy cascade. This study highlights the importance of morphology near the D/A interface for FC generation with small energy offset. Further optimization of the blend morphology will enable completely an offset-less FC generation without any geminate recombination loss.

Finally, future perspectives regarding Y6-based devices are discussed below. An unaddressed challenge regarding Y6-based devices is relatively fast bimolecular recombination loss. In the
PM6/Y6 blend film, we observed the remarkable Y6 triplet formation via bimolecular recombination, as shown in Figure S25. This is probably due to the small energy difference between the lowest excited singlet and triplet states of Y6\textsuperscript{19}. The lowest excited triplet state energy of Y6 in the solid state was estimated to be between 1.14–1.26 eV, which indicates that the energy level of the Y6 triplet excited state is lying just below $E_{CT}$ (1.29 eV). In the Marcus inverted regime, the CT–triplet transition rate scales exponentially with decreasing the energy difference between these states. Thus, the small CT–triplet energy difference results in a fast back charge transfer that forms Y6 triplet excitons at the D/A interfaces, which are then rapidly quenched by charges (triplet–charge annihilation) or other triplets (triplet–triplet annihilation). This means that the formation of Y6 triplet excitons is a terminal loss process. Therefore, regeneration of CT states from Y6 triplets before deactivation to the ground state will be vital for suppressing the bimolecular recombination loss.

**Methods**

**Film preparation.** Films for all spectroscopic measurements were prepared on quartz substrates, which were sequentially cleaned by sonication in toluene, acetone, and ethanol for 15 min each. The optimized PM6/Y6 and PTB7-Th/Y6 blends (1:1.2 w/w, donor concentration of 8 mg mL\textsuperscript{−1}) were dissolved in chloroform with 0.5 vol% chloronaphthalene as an additive and left to stir at room temperature overnight. Thin films were spin-coated onto the cleaned substrates in a N\textsubscript{2}-filled
glovebox and then thermally annealed at 110 °C for 5 min. Similarly, the P3HT/Y6 blend (1:1.2 w/w, donor concentration of 8 mg mL⁻¹) was dissolved in chloroform, but without any additives. It was also stirred at room temperature overnight and spin-coated in the glovebox and then thermally annealed at 140 °C for 10 min. The D-rich PM6/Y6 blend was prepared by the same method as the optimized PM6/Y6 blend, but with a D/A blend ratio of 95:5 (w/w, donor concentration of 38 mg mL⁻¹). For TA measurements, the samples were encapsulated in the glovebox.

**Device fabrication.** Photovoltaic devices were fabricated on ITO/glass substrates (Geomatec Co., 1006, 10 Ω sq⁻¹), which were sequentially cleaned by sonication in toluene, acetone, and ethanol for 15 min each, followed by UV-O₃ treatment (Nippon Laser and Electronics Lab.) for 30 min. PEDOT:PSS (Clevios, Al4083) was spin-coated onto the substrates (~30 nm) and dried on a hot plate (150 °C, 20 min) under ambient conditions. Thereafter, the aforementioned active layers were spin-coated in the glovebox under the same conditions. Subsequently, PDINO was spin-coated from a methanol solution (2 mg mL⁻¹), and ~80 nm of Al was thermally evaporated onto the PDINO layer. The devices were placed in a N₂-filled chamber for \( J-V \), EQE and EL measurements.

**Steady-state absorption and emission spectra.** UV–visible absorption spectra were acquired using a UV–visible spectrometer (Hitachi, U-4100). The PL spectra were measured using a fluorescence
spectrophotometer (Horiba Jobin Yvon, NanoLog) equipped with a photomultiplier tube (Hamamatsu, R928P) and a liquid-N₂-cooled InGaAs near-IR array detector (Horiba Jobin Yvon, Symphony II). The excitation wavelength was set to 790 nm. The EL spectra were measured using the same setup with a DC voltage and current source/monitor (Advantest, R-6243).

**J–V and EQE measurements.** The $J$–$V$ characteristics were measured using a DC voltage and current source/monitor (Keithley, 2611B) in the dark and under AM1.5G simulated solar illumination at 100 mW cm$^{-2}$. The light intensity was corrected using a calibrated Si photodiode (Bunko-Keiki, BS-520). The EQE spectra were measured using a spectral response measurement system (Bunko-Keiki, ECT-25D).

**CV.** Materials were dissolved in acetonitrile/o-dichlorobenzene (9:1 v/v) solutions with 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium perchlorate as the supporting electrolyte. CV measurements were performed using a potentiostat (Princeton Applied Research, Potentiostat/Galvanostat Model 273A) at a scanning rate of 5 mV s$^{-1}$.

**PYS.** The IEs were measured using a photoelectron yield spectrometer (Riken Keiki, AC-3). All films were prepared on ITO/glass substrates. The threshold energy for the photoelectron emission was
evaluated on the basis of the cubic root of the photoelectron yield plotted against the incident photon energy.

**TA measurements.** Femtosecond TA data were collected using a pump and probe femtosecond TA spectroscopy system, which consisted of a TA spectrometer (Ultrafast Systems, Helios) and a regenerative amplified Ti:sapphire laser (Spectra-Physics, Hurricane). A fundamental pulse with a wavelength of 800 nm was used as the excitation source for Y6, whereas it was converted with an ultrafast optical parametric amplifier (Spectra-Physics, TOPAS) for excitation wavelength dependence measurements. The TA data were collected over a time range from −5 ps to 3 ns.

The microsecond TA data were collected using a sensitive microsecond TA system. A Nd:YAG laser (Elforlight, SPOT-10-200-532) operating at a wavelength of 532 nm was used as the excitation source. White light from a tungsten lamp with a stabilized power source was used as the probe light. Two monochromators and appropriate optical cut-off filters were placed before and after the sample to increase the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). Further details of our TA setup have been presented elsewhere\(^5^4\).

The temperature dependence was measured using a liquid-nitrogen-cooled cryostat (Oxford Instruments, Optistat DN-V).
TA data processing. TA data were denoised by total variation (TV) regularization to increase SNR. Details of this method are described in the Supplementary Information.

DFT calculations. DFT calculations were performed for model compounds of isolated PM6 dimer and Y6, wherein alkyl side chains were shortened to reduce the computational effort, using the Gaussian 16 rev. A package\textsuperscript{55}. In order to obtain the reorganization energy, these molecules were first optimized in the ground state using the B3LYP functional and 6-311G(d,p) basis set. Second, the positively charged PM6 dimer and negatively charged Y6 were optimized using the 6-31G(d) and 6-31+G(d) basis sets, respectively. Third, TD-DFT with B3LYP/6-31+G(d) was carried out to optimize Y6 in the singlet excited state. Finally, the positively charged PM6 dimer in the ground state geometry and negatively charged Y6 in the excited state geometry were optimized using the 6-31G(d) and 6-31+G(d) basis sets, respectively. The reorganization energy was then obtained from the difference of the total energy between participants.
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