Detection of single amino acid differences in haemoglobin from blood samples using a nanopore
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Abstract

The real-time identification of protein biomarkers is under intense investigation for the development of point-of-care and portable devices. Here we use a PlyAB biological nanopore to detect a range of folded Haemoglobin (Hb) variants in blood samples. Blockades of HbA and sickle cell anaemia HbS, which differ by just one amino acid, and fetal HbF were distinguished with more than 97% accuracy on the basis of individual events. This nanopore approach is quick and straightforward. It can count and confirm the identity of Hb variants instantaneously directly from blood samples. Therefore, PlyAB nanopores are promising single-molecule nanoreactors for real-time folded protein analysis, and identification with immediate application in point-of-care Hb counting and sickle cell anaemia diagnosis.
The ability of measuring biological molecules in real-time or with minimal sample preparation at the point-of-care (POC) would save lives and reduce the cost of healthcare. Measurement of the haemoglobin concentration is a routine blood tests\[1\], and is especially important for the patient with anaemia diseases\[2\]. Blood samples are usually sent to hospital laboratories where the several tests are used to measure the concentration of haemoglobin (Hb)\[3,4,5\]. In more complex tests where different haemoglobin variants must be distinguished, for instance the haemoglobin in normal people (haemoglobin A, HbA) and in the sickle cell anaemia patient (haemoglobin S, HbS), agarose or cellulose electrophoresis is performed to separate the variants based on their charge difference\[6,7\]. But for the quantification of the variants, which could spontaneously co-exist in heterozygote (A/S) type patient, high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) or capillary electrophoresis (CE) is needed\[8,9\]. Although these techniques are common in a laboratory, they provide a slow answer and they require a laboratory operated by trained personnel. As POC and personalized medicine is gaining momentum\[10,11\], the development of portable and low-cost device for the quick detection and quantification of haemoglobin variants and other protein becomes important.

Ionic currents through nanopores are emerging as a powerful single-molecule tool for biomacromolecule sensing\[12,13\] and have been implemented in low cost portable devices for DNA sequencing\[14–17\]. More recently, nanopores have also been utilized for the detection and analysis of proteins. Solid-state nanopores\[18,19\] measured the size and shape of chemically modified proteins anchored to the nanopore\[20,21\], ubiquitination modifications\[22\], and the conformational flexibility of proteins translocating nanopores\[23,24\]. Biological nanopores generally perform better as sensors compared their solid-state counterparts. However, they mostly consist of 1-2 nm diameter nanopores, and folded proteins were mainly detected by attaching binding element at the entrance of nanopore\[25–30\]. Although the identification of protein modifications, such as glycosylation was observed\[28,29\], it is to be shown these sensors will be capable to identify small difference such as point mutations in bound proteins. Nanopores with a larger diameter such as cytolysin A (ClyA)\[31,32\] and Fragaceatoxin C (FraC)\[33–35\] were recently introduced that can sample proteins up to ~40 kDa lodged inside the nanopore lumen\[36–41\]. And large post-translational modifications such as ubiquitination have been identified\[42\].

Here, we show that the two components (pleurotolysin\[43\], PlyAB-E1\[44\]) nanopore, which has a large β-barrel cylindrical shape (Fig. 1a) can discriminate HbA, HbS and HbF (64.5 kDa). We show that HbA and HbS, differing by just one amino acid, produce a significantly different nanopore signal, allowing an accurate detection of Hb variants. In addition, we show that PlyAB nanopore can detect haemoglobin directly from disrupted red blood cells. Hence,
PlyAB nanopores provide a new sensor for real-time detection and identification of folded proteins.

HbA represents the most abundant haemoglobin in healthy people (over 95% of the total haemoglobin). HbS, which contains a single valine to glutamate acid substitution in the position 6 of β chain subunits (Fig. 1), provokes sickle cell anaemia. Here, we added haemoglobin to the trans side of PlyAB-E1 nanopores in a 300 mM NaCl solution and pH 7.5. PlyAB-E1 is an engineered variant of PlyAB that was selected for its higher solubility during nanopore preparation[44]. Under positive applied potentials (e.g. +50 mV) both HbA and HbS added to the trans side of PlyAB elicited well defined blockades (Fig. 1b,c), while at negative potentials (trans) no capture events were observed (Fig. S1). The inner surface of PlyAB-E1 is negatively charged, which makes the nanopore slightly cation selective and generates a strong electroosmotic flow (EOF) from trans to cis under positive applied potentials[44]. The blockades elicited by HbA and HbS both gave two distinguished levels, a deeper level (level 1) and an upper level (level 2, Fig. 1b). HbA lodged mainly in the upper level 2 (fractional occupancy of L2 = 0.658 ± 0.056), while HbS showed the opposite behaviour (fractional occupancy of L2 = 0.379 ± 0.04, Fig. 1c). The blockades switched from level 2 to level 1 every 1.7 ± 0.5 ms for HbA and 0.8 ± 0.2 ms for HbS.
Figure 1. HbA and S blockades to PlyAB nanopores. (a) Top, cut through of a cartoon representation of PlyAB nanopore. PlyA is in orange and PlyB in blue. Bottom, alignment of deoxy-human haemoglobin A (HbA, PDB: 1GZX) and sickle cell disease haemoglobin S (HbS, PDB: 5E83). b and c, typical HbA (b) and HbS (c) blockades to PlyAB nanopores. In the enlarged trace, the deeper level is assigned to level 1 (L1, red) and the upper level to level 2 (L2, orange). A whole trace histogram is shown on the side of the blockade. Hb (155 nM) is added to the trans side. The recordings were performed in 300 mM NaCl with a 50 KHz sampling rate and 10 kHz low-pass Bessel filter and the potential clamped to +50 mV.
Most likely, the two blockades represent the lodging of the haemoglobin molecules in two different residence sites inside the nanopore, as previously observed for thrombin in ClyA nanopores\[^{32}\]. The relative distribution of L1 increased with the voltage for both haemoglobin types suggesting that L1 represents the Hb when it occupies the binding site deeper within the pore. Interestingly, the values of Ires% - the ratio percent between the current of the blocked pore I_B and the open pore current (I_O) - for L1 remained constant over the applied potential (Fig. S2, Table S3), suggesting that the L1 binding site is at a steric minimum near the constriction of the nanopore. In contrast, the Ires% of L2 decreased with the applied potential (Table S1,2) suggesting that higher potentials draw the protein within the nanopore lumen towards the PlyAB-E1 constriction (Fig. 1). The overall dwell time of HbA and HbS blockades reached a maximum at +50 mV and decreased upon the further increase of voltages (Fig. S3, Table S4), reflecting the translocation of haemoglobin molecules under high potentials. We also found that the fractional occupancy (Fig. S5c, Table S5) and the Ires% (Fig. S5d, Table S6) of level 2 for both HbA and HbS increased with the pH, while the dwell time of haemoglobin decreased (Fig. S4, Table S7). Such dependences are likely to reflect the effect of the overall charges of HbA and HbS. At positive applied potentials there is a strong electroosmotic force (EOF) from trans to cis that pushes proteins inside the nanopore\[^{44}\]. This is counterbalanced by the electrophoretic force (EPF) that pushes the negatively charged HbA (pl= 7.1\[^{45}\]) and HbS (pl = 7.3\[^{45}\]) in the opposite direction. At higher pH, haemoglobin becomes more negatively charged, causing a strong opposite EPF that prevents the capture of haemoglobin into the PlyAB-E1 nanopores and reduces the dwell time. Interestingly, the sigmoidal pH dependence observed for L2 occupancy showed an inversion point at pH 8.0 for both haemoglobin proteins (Fig. S5c), which might reflect the protonation of one or more cysteine residues (pka = 8) in haemoglobin.

A precise haemoglobin quantification of both HbA and HbS at the single blockade level is important, because both coexist in the blood of heterozygote (A/S) type sickle cell anaemia patients. We identified two parameters that can be used to assign single blockades: the Ires% values or the L2 fractional occupancy. We found that the residual current values of HbA were higher than HbS, under all potentials and pH values tested (Fig. S5b, Table S2, S3 and S6), most likely reflecting the two additional charges of HbA compared to HbS. More specifically, at pH 7.5 and +50 mV the difference in Ires% between HbA and HbS was maximised. Under these conditions, most blockades could be correctly assigned to either HbA or HbS using an Ires% threshold value of 38.9% for L2. Analyzing 600 blockades using three nanopores, we found that 97.7±4% of single blockades collected from HbA events had an Ires% higher than the threshold, while 97%±1.3% of HbS events showed lower Ires% values (Fig. S6). By
contrast, the fractional level of L2 occupancy was a less accurate predictor, as only $84.5\pm8.5\%$ of HbA blockades showed higher fractional L2 occupancy compared to L1. These data indicate that PlyAB-E1 nanopore are remarkably sensitive to distinguish the single amino acid mutation in large folded proteins.

In infants, oxygen is carried by human foetal haemoglobin (HbF, α2γ2), which is a more efficient oxygen carrier than adult haemoglobin. Consequently, the early diagnosis of sickle cell anaemia in children is complex, as HbF compensates for the lower oxygen transport of HbS. In fact, generating a high level of HbF in the patient’s blood is advantageous during the treatment[46]. Hence, it is important to discriminate HbF from other variants for early sickle cell anaemia diagnosis and prognosis. HbF, HbA and HbS contain two identical α chains, but different γ- / β-chains (Fig. 3a), although the two proteins have the same overall shape (Fig. 3b). When HbF was added to the trans side of a PlyAB nanopore, two distinctive levels are observed as previously observed by HbA or HbS. However, the blockade Ires% was significantly higher ($I_{res\%}-L1 = 42.5\%\pm1.1\%$, $I_{res\%}-L2 = 60.1\%\pm1.6\%$) than either HbA or HbS (Fig. 3c). Therefore, PlyAB-E1 nanopores are capable of discriminating mixtures of all three haemoglobin variants, despite their small differences in amino acid sequence (Fig. 3d).

We further expand our work by showing that haemoglobin can be detected directly from disrupted red blood cells. Diluted sheep blood was disrupted using 0.02% DDM and added to the chamber. After addition of haemoglobin, the current trace shows a combination of haemoglobin events and short-lived spikes (Fig 4a). Haemoglobin constitutes the majority of protein mass in red blood cells, explaining why the nanopore signal was not obscured by the presence of other proteins, especially when only long blockades (>0.5 ms) were considered (Fig 4b). The ratio between the blocked level and open current level increases linearly with the concentration of haemoglobin from disrupted blood, showing that our nanopore system is capable of quantifying the haemoglobin concentration in blood (Fig 4c).
Figure 3. Discrimination of human fetal haemoglobin (HbF) from HB A and HbS. (a) The sequence alignment of β globin chain of HbA and γ chain of HbF. HbF (α2γ2) has two identical α subunits as HbA. The alignment shows the difference between the γ chain of HbF and β chain of HbA. Residue differences are highlighted by red box. (b) Alignment between HbA (orange, PDB: 1hgb) and HbF (gray, PDB: 4mqj). (c) Typical traces of HbF (trans) under +50 mV. (d) Discrimination of mixture of HbF, HbA and HbS. The recordings were performed in 300 mM NaCl with a 50 KHz sampling rate and 10 kHz low-pass Bessel filter.
Figure 4. Discrimination of Hb from blood. a) Typical protein blockades from sampling sheep blood. Red blood cells were disrupted by adding 0.02% DDM and then a 1:10,000 blood dilution (final) was sampled by PlyAB nanopores. Haemoglobin blockades are indicated by an asterix b) Ires(%) vs dwell time plot for all events with a minimum dwell time of 0.5 ms after addition of 2 µL disrupted blood to the chamber (1:10,000 final dilution). c) Relative occupancy of the Hb level with different HbA concentrations. HbA was isolated from sheep blood and quantified spectroscopically. The recordings were performed in 300 mM NaCl with a 50 KHz sampling rate, a 10 kHz low-pass Bessel filter and afterwards filtered using a 5 kHz Gaussian filter.
In conclusion, we employed PlyAB-E1 biological nanopores to count and differentiate human haemoglobin variants. Remarkably, despite the near identical shape and volume of the haemoglobin variants, ionic currents allowed identifying differences in haemoglobin proteins of one amino acid with more than 97% accuracy. In HbS a negatively charged residue substitutes a neutral residue. Thus, the different ionic signal possibly arose from the different electrophoretic force on both proteins, which most likely influenced their position inside the nanopore with respect of the constriction. Hence, as in isoelectric focusing techniques, nanopore currents are amenable of identifying single charge differences in individual proteins lodged inside the nanopore. In addition, we showed that the presence of other proteins did not obscure our measurements of haemoglobin, which concentration can be measured directly from disrupted blood without the need of purification steps.

Normal haemoglobin counts are 15 to 17 g/dL (2.3-2.6 mM), concentrations that are easily accessible to nanopore analysis (here we measure 1 mg/dL or 150 nM). Hence, nanopores integrated into low-cost nanofluidic devices could be used for automated blood analysis in point-of-care devices. The exquisite detection discrimination of haemoglobin variants down to one amino acid would allow the counting and identification of Hb variants, with immediate application in the diagnosis of a variety of haemoglobin disfunctions such as those in sickle cell anaemia patients.
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Materials and Methods

Sodium Chloride (NaCl, ≥99.5%, #7647-14-5) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Tris hydrochloride (≥99%, #1185-53-1) and tris base (≥99.9%, #77-86-1) were purchased from ROTH. Sphingomyelin (Porcine brain, # 860062) and 1,2-Diphytanoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DPhPC, #850356P) were obtained from Avanti Polar Lipids. Cholesterol (≥99%, #54651-57-9), pentane (≥99%, #109-66-0) and hexadecane (99%, 544-76-3) were bought from Sigma-Aldrich. Human HbA (# 54651-57-9), and HbS (# H0392) were ordered from Sigma-Aldrich. Human fetal Hb (#MBS702332) was purchased from MYBIOSOURCE. Difribinated sheep blood (#10631715) was purchased from Thermo-Fisher. n-Dodecyl β-D-maltoside (DDM, #D4641) was ordered from Sigma-Aldrich.

Pleurotolysin (PlyAB-E1) monomer expression and purification

PlyAB-E1 nanopore is an oligomeric protein composed by 26 pleurotolysin A monomers and 13 pleurotolysin B monomers. The pleurotolysin A subunit in PlyAB-E1 is a cysteine-free variant (PlyA-C62S-C94S, or PlyA-S) that was prepared to improve the nanopore stability in lipid bilayer. The pleurotolysin B subunit is also cysteine-free and contains additional mutations (N26D, A328T, A464V, C441A, or PlyB-E1) that were introduced to improve the bilayer stability. Six-histidine tag were introduced in the C-terminus of both proteins for his-tag affinity purification. PlyA and PlyB were obtained and oligomerized as previously described.[1] Protein monomers were kept at 4 °C.

Preparation of cholesterol-sphingomyelin liposome

Cholesterol-sphingomyelin liposomes (1:1 mass ratio) were needed to trigger the oligomerization of two component pleurotolysin nanopores (PlyAB-E1). 25 mg cholesterol and 25 mg sphingomyelin were dissolved in 5 mL pentane by adding of 0.5% v/v ethanol. The lipid solvent was transferred to a round flask and lipid layer was created through the flask internal wall by slow rotation and heating using a hair-dryer. The round flask was then kept open at room temperature for 30 minutes for the complete evaporation of pentane. Then, 5 mL buffer (150 mM NaCl, 15 mM Tris, pH 7.5) was added to resuspend the lipid. The flask was placed in a sonication bath for 5 minutes to obtain the liposomes. Liposomes were 10 mg/mL in concentration and stored at -20 °C.

Oligomerization of PlyAB-E1 nanopores

The oligomerization of PlyAB nanopore started with the incubation of PlyA monomers with cholesterol-sphingomyelin liposomes in a 1:10 mass at ambient temperature for 10 minutes. Then, the same amount of PlyB-E1 monomers was added into the lipoprotein mixture and kept for 2 hours at room temperature (liposome: PlyA : PlyB = 10 : 1 : 1, v/v/v). PlyAB-E1 lipoprotein were stored at 4 °C and 0.5 µL of the lipoprotein were directly added to solution in chamber for getting pores in electrophysiology measurement.

Single molecule nanopore electrophysiology recording and data analysis

A chamber with two compartments (cis and trans) was utilized in the electrophysiology recordings. The two compartments were separated by a 25 µm-thick polytetrafluoroethylene film (Goodfellow Cambridge Limited) containing a ~100 µm hole in the center. First, a drop (~10 µL) of 5% v/v hexadecane in pentane was loaded
to the aperture in the polytetrafluoroethylene film and the chamber was kept at room
temperature for 1 minute for the pentane to evaporate. Then 500 µL of buffer was
introduced into both compartments, and 10 µL of 10 mg/ml 1,2-diphyanoyl-sn-glycero-
3-phosphocholine (DPhPC) in pentane was loaded into each chamber. The lipid
bilayer was formed by pipetting the buffer from one side compartment. The potential
was applied using Ag/AgCl electrodes immersed in the two compartments and
connected to a patch clamp amplifier (Axopatch 200B, Axon Instruments). The signal
was digitized using a Digidata 1440 A/D converter (Axon Instruments). The ground
electrode was connected to the cis compartment, the working electrode to trans side.
Clampex 10.4 software (Molecular Devices) was employed for data collection and
Clampfit (Molecular Devices) for data analysis. The dwell time, inter-event time,
blockade level (Ib) and open pore currents (Io) were determined by the “single channel
search” function of Clampfit. The Ires% was calculated as Ib/Io x 100%, and was used
throughout to characterize the blockade amplitude for each event. Average dwell time
and inter-event times were calculated by fitting single exponentials to histograms of
cumulative distribution of all events. The dwell times for the capture of Hb proteins
were measured using the single channel search function in Clampfit (Molecular
Devices) and ignoring the different current changes within the blockade (by ignoring
events with a duration shorter than 0.5 ms).

Preparation of disrupted sheep blood
10 µL of sheep blood was dissolved in 490 µL buffer containing 150 mM NaCl and
15 mM Tris buffered to pH 7.5. Afterwards, 0.02% n-Dodecyl β-D-maltoside (DDM)
was added to disrupt the membranes of the red blood cells. The haemoglobin
concentration in the disrupted blood was determined spectrophotometrically by measuring
the absorbance at 540 nm and using the data compiled by Prahl.[3]
Supplementary figures

Figure S1. Non-capturing condition of HbA with PlyAB-E1 nanopores. (a) When HbA (or other Hb proteins) was added into the trans side of PlyAB-E1 nanopores, Hb proteins could not be captured at negative potentials (up to -150 mV applied to trans), but could be captured at positive applied potentials (Figure 2, main text). (b) When HbA (or other Hb proteins) was added into the cis side of PlyAB-E1 nanopores, no current blockades were observed at either negative and positive potentials (+/- 150 mV, trans). HbA could not be captured from the cis side, probably due to the charge repulsion from the negative charge PlyAB constriction. HbA has an isoelectric point of 7.11\(^2\), hence it is slightly negatively charged at pH 7.5. Recordings were collected in buffer with 300 mM NaCl, 15 mM Tris and pH 7.5.
**Figure S2.** Voltage dependence of level 1 for HbA and HbS at pH 7.5. Error bars represented the standard deviation from three individual measurements.
Figure S3. Voltage dependence of Hb residence times at pH 7.5. HbA and S were added to the trans side of PlyAB-E1. Error bars were standard deviations calculated with minimum 3 experiments.
Figure S4. Dwell time of Hb under different pH conditions was measured under +50 mV. HbA and HbS were added to the trans side of PlyAB-E1. Error bars were standard deviations calculated with minimum 3 experiments.
Figure S5. Haemoglobin detection at different voltages and pH values. (a) The voltage dependence of level 2 occupancy for HbA and S at pH 7.5. (b) The Ires% of level 2 under difference voltages for HbA and HbS at pH 7.5. (c) Level 2 percentage of HbA and HbS when measured under different pH conditions under +50 mV. (d) The level 2 Ires% of HbA and HbS was measured under different pH conditions and +50 mV. The recordings were performed with PlyAB-E1 nanopores and in 300 mM NaCl with a 50 KHz sampling rate and 10 kHz low-pass Bessel filter.
Figure S6. Example of analysis of single HbA and HbS events. Histograms were made from single events to generate the amplitude distribution of open pore level, level 1 and level 2. Gaussian fits were then used to obtain I_{B-L2}, I_{B-L1} and I_{O}. The Ires% of the event was calculated by: I_{B-L2}/I_{O}×100%. 600 single events were analyzed from 3 different measurements.
### Supplementary tables

**Table S1. Voltage dependence of level 2 percentage for HbA and HbS at pH 7.5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voltage (mV)</th>
<th>HbA Percentage</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>HbS Percentage</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+30</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+40</td>
<td>85.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+50</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+70</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+100</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table S2. Ires% of level 2 under difference voltages for HbA and HbS at pH 7.5.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voltages</th>
<th>HbA Ires%</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>HbS Ires%</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+30</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+40</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+50</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+100</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table S3. Ires% of level 1 under difference voltages for HbA and HbS at pH 7.5.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voltages</th>
<th>HbA Ires%</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>HbS Ires%</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+30</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+40</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+50</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+70</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+100</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table S4. Voltage dependence of HbA and HbS residence times of at pH 7.5.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voltages</th>
<th>HbA Dwell time, ms</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>HbS Dwell time, ms</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+30</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+40</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>152.4</td>
<td>75.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+50</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>614.5</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+70</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+100</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table S5. Level 2 percentage for HbA and HbS when measured a different pHs under +50 mV**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>HbA Percentage</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>HbS Percentage</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S6. Level 2 Ires% of HbA and HbS measured under +50 mV at different pHs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>HbA Ires%</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>HbS Ires%</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S7. Residence time of HbA and HbS events measured at different pHs under +50 mV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>HbA Dwell time, ms</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>HbS Dwell time, ms</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>168.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>614.5</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>29.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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