# Catalyzed Reaction of Isocyanates (RNCO) with Water

Mark E. Wolf,<sup>†</sup> Jonathon E. Vandezande,<sup>‡,¶</sup> and Henry F. Schaefer III<sup>\*,†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Center for Computational Quantum Chemistry, University of Georgia, 140 Cedar Street, Athens, Georgia 30602, USA

‡1st Source Research, 617 Hutton St., Raleigh, North Carolina 27606, USA

¶Zymergen, 5980 Horton Street, Suite 105, Emeryville, California 94608, USA

E-mail: ccq@uga.edu

Phone: +1 706 542-2067. Fax: +1 706 542-0406

#### Abstract

The reactions between substituted isocyanates (RNCO) and other small molecules (e.g. water, alcohols, and amines) are of significant industrial importance, particularly for the development of novel polyurethanes and other useful polymers. We present very high level *ab initio* computations on the HNCO + H<sub>2</sub>O reaction, with results targeting the CCSDT(Q)/CBS//CCSD(T)/cc-pVQZ level of theory. Our results affirm that hydrolysis can occur across both the N=C and C=O bonds of HNCO via concerted mechanisms to form carbamate or imidic acid with  $\Delta H_{0K}$  barrier heights of 38.5 and 47.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. A total of 24 substituted RNCO + H<sub>2</sub>O reactions were studied. Geometries obtained with a composite method and refined with CCSD(T)/CBS single point energies determine that substituted RNCO species have a significant influence on these barrier heights, with an extreme case like fluorine lowering both barriers by close to 20 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. Natural Bond Oribtal (NBO) analysis provides evidence that the predicted barrier heights are strongly associated with the occupation of the in-plane  $C-O^*$  orbital of the RNCO reactant. Key autocatalytic mechanisms are considered in the presence of excess water and RNCO species. Additional waters (one or two) are predicted to lower both barriers significantly at the CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pV(T+d)Z level of theory with strongly electron withdrawing RNCO substituents also increasing these effects, similar to the uncatalyzed case. The 298 K Gibbs energies are only marginally lowered by a second catalyst water molecule, indicating that the decreasing  $\Delta H_{0K}$  barriers are offset by loss of translational entropy with more than one catalyst water. Two-step 2 RNCO + H<sub>2</sub>O mechanisms are characterized for the formation of carbamate and imidic acid. The second step of these two pathways exhibits the largest barrier and presents no clear pattern with respect to substituent choice. Our results indicate that an additional RNCO molecule might catalyze imidic acid formation but have less influence on the efficiency of carbamate formation. We expect that these results lay a firm foundation for the experimental study of substituted isocyanates and their relationship to the energetic pathways of related systems.

### Introduction

In recent years, there has been significant experimental research progress on substituted isocyanate molecules including substituents such as, -CN,  $^1$   $-ClSO_2$ ,  $^2$  -CCH,  $^{3,4}$   $CH_3$ ,  $^{5,6}$  -Ph,  $^{7,8}$  $-CH_2CH_2Cl$ ,  $^9$  -t-Bu,  $^{10}$  and many more  $^{11-14}$  Isocyanic acid (HNCO) is the lowest energy isomer of the HNCO system and the simplest isocyanate,  $^{15,16}$  an important class of molecules for many diverse chemical contexts. HNCO is an atmospheric pollutant formed via the burning of fossil fuels, cigarette use, and other secondary sources.  $^{17-21}$  Many combustion processes are also related to the HNCO species and its derivatives.  $^{7,22-24}$  Isocyanate molecules are also important interstellar species  $^{4-6,25}$  that are implicated as critical intermediates for prebiotic precursors such as formamide,  $^{26-28}$  thyamine,  $^{29}$  and urea.  $^{30}$  One of the reasons isocyanates are so ubiquitous is their diverse and facile reactivity with many organic molecules,  $^{11,17,31-33,33}$  thus motivating further research into understanding the important features of reactions involving isocyanates.

Many industrial applications such as coatings, adhesives, sealants, elastomers, and insulation rely on reactions involving isocyanates to form useful polymer networks.<sup>1,34–42</sup> A key reaction involved in polymer formation is alcoholysis via the HNCO species to produce carbamates.<sup>42,43</sup> The rate of this reaction can be significantly modified in many ways by changing substituents on the RNCO or ROH reactants,<sup>44–46</sup> autocatalysis of the reactants,<sup>47–52</sup> solvent selection,<sup>50</sup> or via other more efficiently designed catalysts<sup>53–56</sup> such as organotin.<sup>57,58</sup>

It is well established that HNCO will react with water across either the N=C or C=O bonds, producing carbamate and imidic acid, respectively.<sup>49</sup> Raspoet and coworkers predicted with QCISD(T)/6-31G<sup>\*\*</sup> that reaction across the N=C bond to form carbamate is favored with a reaction barrier of 37 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, 9 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> lower than the barrier to reaction across the C=O bond. Perhaps the most rigorous determination of the barrier to carbamate formation is from Nicolle and coworkers in 2016 who predicted a barrier height of 37 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> at the CCSD(T)//M06-2X/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory.<sup>48</sup> Both of these studies also note that additional catalytic water molecules significantly reduce the enthalpy of reaction across both bonds.

Additional theoretical research has helped further understanding of substituted isocyanate species. In 1994, Mcallister and Tidwell performed comprehensive structural and isodesmic reaction analyses on a large selection of substituted isocyanates, with the goal of theoretically motivating the discovery of novel isocyanate derivatives.<sup>59</sup> Their work highlights many insightful features of a diverse range of RNCO species, but is not connected to any particular reaction mechanisms. In the past decade, a series of studies<sup>45,60–67</sup> by Konovalov and coworkers predicted the quantum mechanical features of the  $R_1NCO + R_2OH$  ( $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ =Ph, CH<sub>3</sub>, H) reactions in various conditions, including solvent effects and cooperative catalysis with the B3LYP/6-311++G(df,p) method. However, their small sample size precludes a deep understanding of how substituents generally influence the reaction energetics. Our preliminary computations also indicate water + HNCO reaction energies differs by more than 1 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> between the CCSD(T)/cc-pVQZ and B3LYP/cc-pVQZ levels of theory computed on the same geometries. This discrepancy between DFT and CCSD(T) in the simplest of cases exemplifies the need for rigorous *ab initio* methods to draw meaningful conclusions from theoretical study of more complex  $H_2O$  + RNCO reactions.

In 2013 Wagner and coworkers predicted the barrier heights to the formation of carbamates given a small variety of substituted isocyanates (RNCO, R=CH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>F, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, SiH<sub>3</sub>,  $SiH_3CH_2$ ) with the B3LYP/6-31G<sup>\*</sup> method.<sup>44</sup> They determined that greater electron withdrawing substituents on the RNCO molecule lowered the barrier to carbamate formation. However, Wagner and coworkers only consider the reaction across the N=C double bond and exclude any mention of how substituents influence reaction across the C=O double bond. They also neglect to explain why electron withdrawing effects influence the reaction barriers. The results of Wagner and coworkers also demonstrate significant discrepancies based on predictions made at the  $MP2/6-31G^*$  and B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) levels of theory employed in their study (e.g. a 5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> disagreement for the  $CH_3NCO + CH_3OH$  transition state). More recently, Zhao and Suppes predicted the enthalpy of reaction for various isocyanates reacting with increasingly larger alcohols to form carbamates with the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) method.<sup>68</sup> Their work elucidates trends concerning an array of aryl isocyanates and predicts that increasing the size of the reacting alcohol has little influence on the enthalpy of the ure than product relative to the reactants. In addition to these works, there are plenty of examples in the literature of specific  $R_1NCO + R_2OH$  reactions studied at various levels of theory.<sup>43,50,51,69,70</sup> All of this previous research emphasizes the importance of clearly understanding how substituted RNCO species influence the electronic structure and energetic landscape of the RNCO +  $H_2O$  reactions. Despite its significant importance to industrial chemistry, the literature lacks a comprehensive and reliable theoretical benchmark for this system and a detailed analysis of the relationship between the isocyanate substituents and the electronic structure features of these reactions.

Our research builds on this body of research and improves previous characterization of the RNCO +  $H_2O$  reactions in four important ways. First, we thoroughly consider the  $H_2O$ reaction across the isocyanate C=O bond which is often ignored and assumed to be high enough in energy to be unimportant. Even if that is generally the case, it would be helpful to test this assumption for many substituents and understand what conditions might result in exceptions. It is a possibility that imidic acid formation could be favored over carbamate depending on the energetic barriers of each process. Second, our work rigorously characterizes the energetic landscape of the parent  $HNCO + H_2O$  reaction and uses these results to benchmark the levels of theory used to analyze the substituent trends. Previous studies used differing theoretical methods, making it difficult to compare results across the literature and determine consistent trends. Our reliable *ab initio* results will lay a firm and consistent foundation for any future work on isocyanate alcoholysis reactions. Third, we systematically study how substituents influence the electronic structure of important cooperative catalytic pathways (i.e. multiple water or RNCO molecules) that previous research<sup>50–52</sup> has suggested as very important for these systems. Finally, our sophisticated *ab initio* predictions are analyzed with Natural Bond Order analyses to provide detailed understanding of the electronic structure manifest in each process in order to characterize relationships that could generalize to larger or more complex isocyanate reactions.

The RNCO + H<sub>2</sub>O reactions are characterized considering substituents grouped into two categories: the first group is a fundamental collection of carbon groups, pnictogens, chalcogens, and halogens that demonstrate periodic trends (R=CH<sub>3</sub>, SiH<sub>3</sub>, GeH<sub>3</sub>; NH<sub>2</sub>, PH<sub>2</sub>, AsH<sub>2</sub>; OH, SH, SeH; F, Cl, Br) while (R=CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, CHCH<sub>2</sub>, COH,CF<sub>3</sub>, COOH, SO<sub>2</sub>Cl, and CN) consists of larger substituents that are more relevant to industrial applications.<sup>3,7,8</sup> Three important autocatalyitc mechanisms (RNCO+2H<sub>2</sub>O, RNCO+3H<sub>2</sub>O, and H<sub>2</sub>O+2RNCO) are characterized considering a smaller subset of the aforementioned substituents. The influence of these substituents on their efficiency is systematically studied for the first time. Our work should motivate and ground

future study of these systems and, our findings can be extended in conjunction with other advanced analyses (e.g. kinetic models, solvent effects, etc.).

### **Computational Methods**

The geometries for the benchmark H<sub>2</sub>O+HNCO reaction are obtained using the CCSD(T)<sup>71-74</sup> method via the CFOUR 2.0<sup>75</sup> software package. The Dunning cc-pVQZ basis set is utilized for all stationary points except for the van der Waals complexes which are optimized with the aug-cc-pVTZ basis set to properly describe long range interactions.<sup>76-78</sup> Harmonic vibrational frequencies are obtained for each stationary point using the same level of theory as the geometry optimization and verify each geometry as a minimum or first-order saddle point (transition state). The connectivity of each stationary point is verified by performing qualitative intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) scans. Relative energies of each stationary point are further refined utilizing the focal point method of Allen and coworkers.<sup>22,79-81</sup> The SCF/cc-pVXZ (X=Q,5,6) energy is extrapolated using the three point extrapolation formula of Feller<sup>82</sup> ( $E_{\rm HF}(X) = E_{\rm HF}^{\infty} + ae^{-bX}$ ) and the correlation energy up to CCSD(T)/cc-pVXZ (X=4,5) is extrapolated using the two point formula of Helgaker<sup>83</sup> ( $E_{\rm corr}(X) = E_{\rm corr}^{\infty} + aX^{-3}$ ) towards the complete basis set (CBS) limit .

A series of additive corrections are obtained to further refine the energy predictions and justify the approximations used in the geometry optimization. The zero-point vibrational energies (ZPVE) are obtained from the harmonic vibrational frequency computations producing 0 Kelvin enthalpies ( $H_{0K}$ ). Higher order CCSDT and CCSDT(Q) additive corrections<sup>84–87</sup> are computed with the cc-pVDZ basis set to capture as much electron correlation as possible and demonstrate convergence towards the FCI limit. A frozen core correction ( $\Delta_{FC}$ ) is obtained using the cc-pCVQZ basis set<sup>88</sup> to account for the difference in the CCSD(T) energy correlating all electrons and the CCSD(T) energy freezing the core. To account for scalar relativistic effects, the spin-free exact two-component one-electron (SF-X2c-1e) method along with the decontracted cc-pCVTZ basis set is used to compute a scalar relativistic correction  $(\Delta_{\rm rel})$ .<sup>89–94</sup> The correction accounts for the difference in energy with and without the (SF-X2c-1e) method turned on correlating all electrons. Finally, a diagonal Born-Oppenheimer correction  $(\Delta_{\rm DBOC})$  is included as a diagnostic to ensure that each stationary point is not influenced by any nearby conical intersection.<sup>95,96</sup> For the van der Waals complexes, corrections were computed with the appropriate augmented basis functions, which are detailed in Table S14. All corrections are obtained using the following software packages: CFOUR 2.0,<sup>75</sup> Molpro 2010,<sup>97</sup> and PSI4.<sup>98</sup>

Geometries and harmonic frequencies for all species in the  $H_2O + RNCO$  reactions are obtained using the MP2[TZ,QZ] +  $\Delta CCSD(T)/DZ$  composite method recently highlighted<sup>99</sup> by Sherill and coworkers and implemented in PSI4. The MP2[TZ,QZ] term refers to a density fitted second-order Moller Plesset (MP2) gradient extrapolated to the CBS limit using the cc-pV(X+d)Z (X=T,Q) basis sets and the two-point extrapolation formula of Helgaker. The  $\Delta CCSD(T)/DZ$  term corrects this extrapolated gradient with an additive density fitted CCSD(T)/Cc-pV(D+d)Z gradient, which showed strong correlation with CCSD(T)/ccpVQZ results, detailed in SI Section 1. The energy of each stationary point is further refined with CCSD(T)/cc-pV(X+d)Z (X = T, Q) single points extrapolated to the CBS limit. For the analysis considering the influence of multiple catalytic H<sub>2</sub>O or RNCO molecules, the  $CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pV(T+d)Z//MP2/jul-cc-pV[TZ,QZ]Z + \Delta CCSD(T)/6-31+G**$  level of theory<sup>100-106</sup> is utilized to properly describe the noncovalent interactions involved in catalysis of the transition state with augmented basis functions while maintaining a feasible computational cost.

Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) analyses<sup>107,108</sup> are performed where appropriate to elucidate the electronic structure features associated with our predicted results. The NBO 6.0 program is interfaced with QCHEM<sup>109</sup> using a def2-QZVP basis set<sup>110</sup> and the B3LYP functional<sup>111</sup> to describe the exchange.

### **Results and discussion**

#### High-Level HNCO + $H_2O$ Reaction

The energetic corrections included in the focal point analysis (Tables S12-S14) behave uniformly across all stationary points and indicate no anomalous features of this system. The ZPVE corrections are the largest and are no greater than 5.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> with transition state ZPVE corrections generally closer to 1.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. The frozen core and scalar relativistic corrections are both small and never larger than 0.24 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. The DBOC corrections are negligible and indicate that none of the stationary points is in the vicinity of a conical intersection or surface crossing. In all cases, excellent convergence is exhibited with respect to basis set and higher order coupled cluster terms. These results affirm that our predictions are well within the bounds of chemical accuracy (i.e. one kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) with respect to our electronic energies.

Water and HNCO can react via two different concerted mechanisms, with the water O–H bond breaking across the N=C double bond to form carbamate or across the C=O double bond to form imidic acid (Figure 1). Both mechanisms proceed through transition states (**TS1** and **TS2**, respectively) that form four-membered rings between the two reactants. The predicted **TS1** barrier (38.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) is much lower than the **TS2** barrier (47.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>). This is qualitatively in agreement with previous research<sup>46,48,52</sup> but we predict **TS1** to be somewhat higher than the recent work of Nicolle and coworkers who predicted a relative **TS1**  $\Delta H_{0K}$  of 35.3 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> at the CCSD(T)//M06-2X/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory.<sup>48</sup> IRC computations confirm the concerted nature of these pathways and indicate that the reactants begin separated and form the depicted products. The possible van der Waal complexes formed between HNCO and water (**VDW1** and **VDW2**) are included in Figure 1, but are not definitively part of the reaction pathway and have small enough binding energies (1.7 and 4.3 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) that they are mostly excluded from our discussion and would certainly be decreasingly relevant at high temperatures. Figure S6 depicts the 298



Figure 1: Predicted 0 K enthalpies for stationary points on the parent  $HNCO + H_2O$  potential energy surface. All values are in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> and target the CCSDT(Q)/CBS limit. Structures denoted with an asterisk are computed using augmented basis functions. K Gibbs free energy surface with the loss of translational entropy leading to an average increase of relative energies by about 9 kcal  $mol^{-1}$  for all stationary points and confirms our assumptions concerning the van der Waal complexes.

The **TS1** stationary point exhibits an imaginary mode of 1726*i* as one of the water hydrogens begins to form a bond with the nitrogen atom, and the water oxygen begins to bond with the carbon. Natural Resonance Theory (NRT) predicts the bond order between the nitrogen and the water hydrogen to be 0.49 and the bond order of the nitrogen and carbon atoms to be 1.48 at **TS1**. Likewise, the carbon and water oxygen interaction exhibits a bond order of 0.56. The NBO second-order perturbation ( $E^{(2)}$ ) analysis indicates that the most significant delocalization corresponds to electron density donated from the water lone pair into one of the isocyanate C–O<sup>\*</sup> orbitals ( $E^{(2)} = 144.2$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>), which is depicted in Figure 2. This transition state leads to the highly exothermic formation (-15.6 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) of carbamate (**M1**). **M1** is nearly planar, with the NH<sub>2</sub> moiety slightly displaced from the plane of the molecule. The NBO  $E^{(2)}$  analysis additionally predicts that significant delocalization of the carbonyl lone pair electron density into the N–C<sup>\*</sup> and O–C<sup>\*</sup> orbitals might be one of the contributing factors to the favorable product energy, affirming the findings of Bharatam and coworkers concerning the importance of delocalization in ureas and related species.<sup>112</sup>

M1 can proceed through TS4 with a small barrier of 9.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> corresponding to the rotation of the hydroxyl group towards the amino group. The resulting product, M3, is moderately higher in energy than M1 but is a necessary intermediate for TS5 and the ultimate dissociation into NH<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>. The reaction barrier for this process is quite high at 35.0 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> and is characterized by an imaginary mode of 1799*i*. Dissociation to CO<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> is an exothermic process (9.4 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> lower than M3) and results in the most energetically favorable stationary point on the surface. One noteworthy feature of TS5 is that the IRC path in the direction of M3 terminates at a new first-order saddle point. This is because TS5 possesses C<sub>s</sub> symmetry and the IRC is unable to break symmetry. Slightly projecting in either direction along this mode and following the resulting IRC leads to M3



Figure 2: The dominant predicted NBO  $E^{(2)}$  relationships in the **TS1** (Top) and **TS2** (Bottom) structures. The electron donating NBO is on the left and the acceptor is on the right.

and confirms the connectivity of M4 and  $NH_3 + CO_2$ .

Water and HNCO can alternatively react through **TS2** which exhibits a 1718*i* imaginary mode as the water oxygen begins to form a bond with the isocyanate carbon and one of the water hydrogens begins to bond with the isocyanate oxygen. The new  $O_{HNCO}$ -H<sub>water</sub> bond is predicted to have a NRT bond order of 0.32 while the carbon and water oxygen nearly exhibit a formal single bond order (0.90). The dominant  $E^{(2)}$  term in the **TS2** structure (90.2 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) corresponds to delocalization of the isocyanate oxygen lone pair electron density into the most proximal water O-H\* orbital as represented in Figure 2. The magnitude of this interaction is much smaller than the delocalization predicted in the **TS1** structure and the preclusion of delocalization could be a partial explanation for why **TS2** is much higher in energy. The product **M2** of reaction through **TS2** is the planar hydroxylated imidic acid molecule which lies only 2.8 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> higher in energy than water + HNCO. NBO computations indicate that **M2** also exhibits significant delocalization as electron density from both hydroxyl groups is donated into the out of plane  $C-N^*$  orbital. M2 also has two other conformers which correspond to rotations of the hydroxyl groups and are predicted to have estimated CCSDT(Q)/CBS energies of 2.0 and 5.1 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> relative to M2.

M2 is connected to M1 via TS3, which corresponds to a hydrogen transfer from one of the hydroxyl groups to the nitrogen with an associated imaginary mode of 2012*i*. The barrier for this process is 30.7 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. Unsurprisingly, M2 lies 18.4 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> higher in energy than M1, confirming that the reaction through TS1 is both kinetically and thermodynamically favored. The formation of M1 via the step-wise procession through TS2 and TS3 has been debated in the literature<sup>51</sup> and our results seem to indicate that formation of M1 directly though TS1 is the most likely option absent of any other effects such as catalysts.

#### **RNCO** Substituent Analysis

In most industrial applications of isocyanates, the parent HNCO species is often substituted with some much larger RNCO species. The most common -R groups are generally large aromatic rings or long polymers which can both be modified to possess a diverse array of electronic structure features. Therefore, it is necessary to understand how different substituents influence the electronic structure and the resulting energetics of the RNCO + H<sub>2</sub>O reactions. The  $\Delta H_{0K}$  barrier heights and relative product enthalpies are predicted for a diverse set of 24 different substituted RNCO molecules proceeding through **TS1** and **TS2**. In all cases, the isocyanate substituents are far enough away from the active site of each reaction mechanism to ensure that the reaction pathway remains qualitatively invariant to choice of -R group. All possible conformers of each RNCO species were searched for and considered in this analysis. They are denoted alphabetically with a subscript A corresponding to the lowest energy conformer if applicable. Conformers other than the lowest are only considered in the analysis if either barrier height is lowered by more than 1 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> relative to conformer A. We admit that many of the substituents chosen might be unrealistic for industrial applications but justify the selections for two reasons. 1) The selected subset of substituents (listed in Figure 3) exhibits a wide array of electronic effects that allows for greater confidence that our predicted trends are robust and sufficiently span the effects normally exhibited by more standard substituents. 2) The chosen substituents are small enough to be well described by high-level methods and eliminate as much uncertainty as possible due to compromises in level of theory. The goal of our results is that the electronic structure trends are informative and reliable enough to guide the intuition of more practical isocyanate applications.

Figure 3 summarizes the predicted  $CCSD(T)/CBS//MP2[TZ,QZ] + \Delta CCSD(T)/cc$ pV(D+d)Z barrier heights for reaction across both the N=C (TS1) and C=O (TS2) bonds of each substituted isocyanate. The parent case, R=H, has a predicted barrier of 37.8 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> which is within the range of chemical accuracy of our benchmark value targeting the CCSDT(Q)/CBSlimit and further reinforces the reliability of this analysis. The barriers for **TS1** are quite sensitive to substituent selection, spanning a range of 19.8 kcal  $mol^{-1}$ . The lowest barrier is predicted for R=F at 23.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> and the highest barrier corresponds to R=SiH<sub>3</sub> at 43.3 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, significantly higher than the R=H parent case. The small main group substituents unsurprisingly have the largest effect on the barrier height, due to their extreme electron donating and withdrawing abilities. Generally speaking, most of the more standard hydrocarbon substituents lower the barrier to carbamate formation by about 3-4 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. This tight range seems to indicate that the size of the substituent has only minor influence on the reaction barrier, which is not surprising as Zhao and Suppes came to similar conclusions considering the size of the reacting alcohol.<sup>68</sup> In TS1 and TS2, the substituents are opposite from the active site of the reaction and have no proximity to any moieties that might result in steric hindrance. The one exception to this might be the  $R=COH_B$  case, as the substituent hydroxyl group is oriented towards the isocyanate oxygen, resulting in a favorable intramolecular interaction.

The predicted barrier heights for **TS2** manifest trends that are qualitatively similar to



Figure 3: Predicted **TS1** and **TS2**  $\Delta H_{0K}$  barrier heights at the CCSD(T)/CBS//MP2[TZ,QZ] +  $\Delta CCSD(T)/DZ$  level of theory. The green circles indicate the location of the substituents.

the **TS1** results, with the **TS2** process always significantly less favorable. The **TS2** barrier predictions are slightly more sensitive than the **TS1** predictions and span a range from 21.2 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> with the lowest barrier predicted for R=F (30.1 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) and the highest R=SiH<sub>3</sub> (51.2 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>). The most competitive case, R=F, still predicts **TS1** to be the more favorable pathway by 6.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. Our results confirm that it is highly unlikely that the **TS2** barrier could be made competitive with the **TS1** barrier by substituent selection alone in the uncatalyzed RNCO + H<sub>2</sub>O case, also noting that this conclusion is agnostic to other factors such as catalysts, solvent effects, etc. Figure S1 presents a scatterplot between the substituted **TS1** and **TS2** barrier heights, which correlate excellently, indicating that both barriers exhibit similar relationships to substituent identity. The supplementary information (Tables S1-S6) also contains the 298 K Gibbs free energy correction for each reaction which is generally insensitive to substituent choice and is close to 11 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for all species.

One of the primary goals of this analysis is to elucidate any features of the RNCO molecule that could predict *a priori* the barrier heights and provide a deeper understanding of the key electronic structure features of each mechanism. NBO predictions were utilized to generate results that might be related to the transition state barriers such as atom charges, orbital occupations, and NRT bond orders. We determined that one of the best predictors of barrier heights for the RNCO molecules is the occupation of the in-plane  $C-O^*$  orbital. Figure 4 depicts a scatter-plot between the **TS1** barrier and the  $C-O^*$  orbital occupation, as well as a line of best fit that has a high  $R^2$  value of 0.88. (The R=GeH<sub>3</sub> case is excluded as an outlier because it exhibits a C-O triple bond at the transition state and is not comparable to the other species.) The  $C-O^*$  orbital occupation is an excellent proxy for the electron withdrawing ability of the substituents. Our results are in qualitative accord with the work of Wagner and coworkers<sup>44</sup> who determine, with little explanation, that electron withdrawing groups lower the barrier to reaction of alcohols across the N=C double bond. Utilizing the  $C-O^*$  orbital occupation as a predictive quantity is also appropriate, due to its direct



Figure 4: Relationship between the **TS1** (blue) and **TS2** (red)  $\Delta H_{0K}$  barrier heights, respectively, and the occupation of the in plane C–O\* orbital (depicted for HNCO in the bottom right of the figure) of the isolated RNCO molecule. Barrier heights are predicted at the CCSD(T)/CBS//MP2[TZ,QZ] +  $\Delta$ CCSD(T)/DZ level of theory.

relationship with the previously discussed dominant  $E^{(2)}$  interaction characteristic of the **TS1** structure. The association is so strong because less electron density present in the  $C-O^*$  orbital allows for more facile delocalization of the water oxygen lone pair. Thus, the extent to which the  $C-O^*$  orbital is filled in the isolated RNCO molecule will directly relate to energetic barrier of carbamate formation.

Our computations predict that a similar relationship also holds for the **TS2** barriers. The line of best fit for these barriers is red in Figure 4 and also possesses an excellent  $R^2$  of 0.86. In the unsubstituted TS2 structure, the dominant  $E^{(2)}$  interaction involves the delocalization of the isocyanate oxygen lone pair into the closest water  $O-H^*$  orbital. As electron density is removed from the  $C-O^*$  orbital via substituent effects, the C-O bond elongates and the isocyanate oxygen lone pair cannot delocalize as easily. To summarize, electron withdrawing substituents on the isocyanate species lower the barrier to reaction via the pathways through both **TS1** and **TS2**. This principle can almost certainly be generalized to RNCO reactions with different R-OH species and even related reactants such as R<sub>2</sub>N-H, because the reaction motif will remain rather consistent. However, more complicated molecules reacting with RNCO might convolute these well-behaved trends, introducing new factors such as sterics, dispersion interactions, and exotic electronic structure features. Nevertheless, we expect our trends to hold given similar reaction motifs and the fact that the isocyanate substituents are not involved in the active site of the reaction. It is also key to note that the same correlations hold and maintain their fidelity when using the 298 K Gibbs energies, which usually shift all estimated values by approximately 11 kcal  $mol^{-1}$  regardless of the substituent. Thus, our substituent analysis seems robust to higher temperature conditions.

The relative enthalpies of the products of each pathway are presented in Figure 5. The carbamate relative energies (shown in blue) are always exothermic and somewhat sensitive to substituent substitution. They range from -28.9 (R=F) to -9.7 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (R=SiH<sub>3</sub>). Highly electronegative substituents such as R=F, OH, and NH<sub>2</sub> make the carbamate more favorable than the reactants relative to the R=H case. This is likely associated with the aforemen-



Figure 5: Predicted **M1** and **M2**  $\Delta H_{0K}$  relative enthalpies at the CCSD(T)/CBS//MP2[TZ,QZ] +  $\Delta$  CCSD(T)/DZ level of theory. The green circles indicate the locations of the substituents.

tioned proclivity of the carbonyl to donate electron density into the neighboring C–N\* and C–O\* orbitals. The COH<sub>B</sub> carbamate product also stands out as being particularly favorable (see Figure S2 for scatterplot between substituted **M1** and **M2** relative enthalpies), but this is partially due to an intramolecular interaction originating from the substituent hydroxyl group. The imidic acid products are much more sensitive to substituent selection, which is unsurprising as NBO analysis of the parent imidic acid predicts significantly more delocalization into the C–N\* orbital compared to the carbamate. The imidic acid enthalpies relative to the reactants range from -18.3 (R=F) to 9.2 (R=SiH<sub>3</sub>) kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> and many of the alkyl substituted imidic acid products are predicted to be slightly less thermodynamically favored than the reactants. There is no case where the imidic acid arrangement is lower in energy than the carbamate analogue. The closest the two isomers get in energy is 10.1 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (R=F), indicating that they are unlikely to be thermodynamically competitive in any case. Assuming no catalyst, our data supports that the H<sub>2</sub>O + RNCO reaction would favor proceeding through the **TS1** pathway independent of substituent.

#### Multimolecular Mechanisms

Recent research has highlighted the importance of cooperative mechanisms leading to the same carbamate and imidic acid products in the presence of excess water or isocyanate molecules.<sup>50–52</sup> Wei and coworkers predicted at the MP2/6-311++G<sup>\*\*</sup> level of theory that additional water molecules lower the barrier to reaction across both the N=C or C=O bonds.<sup>52</sup> We present an analysis of how substituents influence the barrier to carbamate and imidic acid formation considering one catalyst water molecule, two catalyst water molecules, and one catalyst RNCO molecule. Our CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pV(T+d)Z//MP2/jul-cc-pV([T,Q]+d)Z +  $\Delta$ CCSD(T)/6-31+G<sup>\*\*</sup> results are a significant improvement over previous computations and allows for confident determination of the trends that manifest in the autocatalyzed energetics due to substituent effects.

#### **Catalyst Waters**

The  $H_2O + HNCO$  products, carbamate and imidic acid, can be formed via a concerted reaction mechanism in the presence of one or two catalyst waters. In these cases, the catalyst waters form six or eight atom ring transition states, respectively including either the N=C or C=O bonds. The IRCs from these processes connect to pre and post reactive van der Waals complexes which are reasonably strong relative to the separated molecules (between 5-10 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for the single water catalyzed case at the  $\omega$ B97x-D3/def2-QZVPPD level of theory). These complexes are excluded from our analysis as they are decreasingly relevant at higher temperatures, and preliminary computations indicated that substituents have far less influence on the energy of the van der Waals complexes than on the transition state energies. In certain cases, the determined enthalpies of the catalyzed transition states are negative relative to the separated products, but are still referred to as "barrier heights". This should be understood as a consequence of selecting the separated reactants as a reference, rather than selecting the water trimer and HNCO molecule as a reference, for example. Figure 6 presents the  $\Delta H_{0K}$  barrier heights for each process relative to the separated reactants. **TSN** and TSO refer to reaction across the N=C and C=O bonds and are depicted with blue and red bars, respectively. The number following this designation indicates the number of water molecules involved in the reaction.

In all cases, additional water molecules significantly lower the enthalpy of the transition state, with the first catalyst water having the largest effect and lowering the transition state barrier by at least 20 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. This is unsurprising and NBO E<sup>(2)</sup> results indicate significant delocalization between the additional water molecules, lowering the energy of the transition state ring structures. Catalytic water molecules have a greater impact on the **TSO** barriers, which results in the **TSO3** and **TSN3** barriers being reasonably competitive in some cases with a difference of less than 4 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> across all substituents. Figure S3 presents 298 K Gibbs energies for each transition state. One catalyst water molecule still significantly lowers the  $\Delta G_{298K}$  barriers for each mechanism (**TSN1** by at least 11 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> and **TSO1** 



Figure 6: The  $\Delta H_{0K}$  barrier heights for the formation of carbamate (blue) and imidic acid (red) at the CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pV(T+d)Z//MP2/jul-cc-pV[TZ,QZ]Z +  $\Delta$ CCSD(T)/6-31+G\*\* level of theory. The lighter shades of blue and red indicate the number of water molecules involved in the reaction. The water catalyzed transition state structures are pictured and labeled in the lowest portion of this picture.

by at least 15 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>), regardless of substituent . However, the energetic benefit of a second catalyst water is negligible as it is overcome by the loss of translational entropy. This is in qualitative agreement with the work of Wei and coworkers<sup>52</sup> who demonstrate convergence in the Gibbs energy of the reaction barriers with more than two catalyst waters.

The data in Figure 6 clearly indicate that the substituent selection plays a significant role in the energetics of the water catalyzed transition states. The previous relationship between substituent and barrier height in the the  $H_2O + RNCO$  case no longer holds with these different mechanisms, at least not for the same reasons. In the case of TSN2 and **TSN3**, there is a weaker correlation between the RNCO  $C-O^*$  occupation and the barrier height, as the dominant  $E^{(2)}$  interactions have changed with the new mechanism. We observe that in **TSN2** and **TSN3**, the NBO method predicts a transition state where the nitrogen exhibits two lone pairs. The first lone pair is of almost entirely *p*-orbital character, while the other, from the broken N=C bond, is significantly hybridized. The p-orbital character of this second lone pair correlates quite strongly with barrier heights ( $R^2=0.84$  and 0.85 for **TSN2** and **TSN3**, respectively) and can be explained in terms of Bent's rules. Strongly electronegetive substituents direct more p-orbital character from the nitrogen in the R-N bond, which increases the *p*-orbital character of the second nitrogen transition state lone pair and increases the proclivity of a N=C double bond breakage. In the **TSO2** and **TSO3** cases, we cannot make the same argument, but we do note that the RNCO  $C-O^*$  occupation correlates extremely well with the barrier heights ( $R^2 = 0.93$  and 0.92 for **TSO2** and **TSO3**). There is not a clear single explanation for this relationship, but it does confirm that the electron withdrawing ability of the RNCO substituent is a reliable predictor for how much one or two water molecules catalyze imidic acid formation.

#### Catalyst RNCO

An additional RNCO molecule can also catalyze the reaction between water and RNCO to form carbamate or imidic acid through two-step mechanisms, as previously described by

Cheikh and coworkers<sup>50</sup> (see Figure S7). The mechanisms towards severing the N=C and C=O bonds both begin with six-membered ring transition states, with the catalyst RNCO nitrogen abstracting a water hydrogen and its carbon attacking the other RNCO nitrogen  $(\mathbf{TSN}_{A})$  or oxygen  $(\mathbf{TSN}_{A})$ , respectively. The IRCs for these processes again trace to initial van der Waals complexes which need not be explicitly considered. Proceeding through these transition states forms intermediate species (INT-N and INT-O, respectively) which are energetically favored relative to the separate reactants. The final step proceeds through  $TSN_B$  and  $TSO_B$ , breaking a C-N or C-O bond of the intermediate as one of the amino hydrogens is transferred from the leaving RNCO molecule to the nitrogen or oxygen of the desired product. There are two noteworthy features concerning the energetic landscape of these multi-step reactions. First, the **INT-N** stationary point is significantly lower in energy compared to INT-O. This is likely due to the central linking moiety as either C-NH-C or C-O-C, respectively. The latter likely suffers from steric hindrance of the carbons which impede a favorable C-O-C bond angle. Second, in both cases, the second set of barriers  $(TSN_B \text{ and } TSO_B \text{ relative to } INT-N \text{ and } INT-O, \text{ respectively})$  are the rate limiting step. The second barrier for the carbamate formation process is particularly high  $(54.4 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})$ , due to the energetic favorability of the INT-N species which seems to indicate that this multimolecular mechanism is likely not a catalyst for carbamate formation, as this barrier is higher than the uncatalyzed barrier through TS1 in the  $H_2O + HNCO$  case. However, the barrier between  $\mathbf{TSO}_{\mathrm{B}}$  and  $\mathbf{INT-O}$  is only 39.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, which might indicate that the imidic acid route is slightly favored with an additional isocyanate in the unsubstituted case. Additionally, the fact that the RNCO catalyzed reactions must proceed through two significant barriers would likely inhibit the catalytic efficiency of these pathways.

Substituents significantly influence the energetic landscape of the  $2 \text{ RNCO} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$  reaction. The barrier heights (0 K enthalpies and 298 K Gibbs energies) are presented in Figures S4 and S5 for each substituent case. Generally speaking, the barrier to form the **TSN**<sub>A</sub> and **TSO**<sub>A</sub> six-membered ring transition states are decreased by electron withdrawing groups and increased by electron donating groups, similar to the water catalyzed cases. In the carbamate formation mechanism, the barrier through  $\mathbf{TSN}_A$  is significantly less than the barrier through  $\mathbf{TSN}_B$  for all subbituents. The lowest barrier through  $\mathbf{TSN}_B$  is the case of R=F (38.9 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) which does not compete with the uncatalyzed FNCO + H<sub>2</sub>O barrier. There is no clear single feature of either the **INT-N** or  $\mathbf{TSN}_B$  stationary points that definitively correlates with the  $\mathbf{TSN}_B$  barrier height. We do note many competing factors that might influence these barriers, such as the extent of delocalization of the carbonyl groups in **INT-N**, the strength of intramolecular hydrogen bonds in **INT-N**, steric repulsion of the intermediate carbon atoms, the acidity of the proton transferred in the  $\mathbf{TSN}_B$  process, and the strength of the C–N bond breaking in  $\mathbf{TSN}_B$ . The convoluting influence of all of these factors makes a clear explanation for the  $\mathbf{TSN}_B$  barrier height trends elusive.

The RNCO catalyzed imidic acid formation pathway exhibits many similarities to the carbamate formation pathway. The first barrier  $(\mathbf{TSO}_A)$  follows a very similar pattern with respect to substituent choice, except that for the barriers are generally higher than  $\mathbf{TSN}_A$ , in each case by about 5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. The key difference in the imidic acid formation pathway is that the second barrier through  $\mathbf{TSO}_B$  is much smaller and is predicted to be between 34 and 42 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> across all the substituents considered. In every case, the energetic barriers from the respective intermediate structures through  $\mathbf{TSO}_B$  are much lower than the barriers through  $\mathbf{TSN}_B$ . This suggests that catalysis via an additional RNCO molecule could favor the imidic acid product over the carbamate product. This is certainly a consequence of the INT-O structure lying so much higher in energy than INT-N. Again, there are too many factors to provide a definite single explanation for how the substituents influence the  $\mathbf{TSO}_B$  barriers, but many of the same reasons mentioned in the previous paragraph are factors here as well.

Even though a mechanism exists for the  $RNCO + H_2O$  reaction with an additional catalyst RNCO species, the energetic barriers likely remain too high to be significant without the involvement of other factors. The necessity of overcoming two substantial barriers, partially due to the favorable intermediate structures, implies that the these pathways are not nearly as competitive as the water catalyzed pathways. Considering the 298 K Gibbs energy makes matters worse as the  $\mathbf{TSN}_A$  and  $\mathbf{TSO}_A$  barriers increase by about 20 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> due to the loss of translational entropy. With the Gibbs energy considered, the RNCO catalyzed pathway would hardly compete with the uncatalyzed RNCO+H<sub>2</sub>O reaction and would likely be far less efficient than catalysis with excess water based on our results. One should note however that Cheikh and coworkers studied the PhNCO + isopropylalcohol reaction and determined that both excess alcohol and PhNCO catalyzed the formation of the carbamate product.<sup>50</sup> They note that solvent effects can significantly influence the energy of the hydrogen transfer process through  $\mathbf{TSN}_B$  and  $\mathbf{TSO}_B$  which confirms that other factors beyond gas phase predictions are necessary for a full description of these systems. Nevertheless, our substituent analysis of the 2 RNCO + H<sub>2</sub>O reactions should be insightful for further research on these systems in more complicated environments.

### Conclusions

Our work presents the highest level *ab inito* study to date of the important RNCO + H<sub>2</sub>O reactions, with the goal of guiding experimental progress of novel isocyanate containing reactions. We characterize the fundamental stationary points of the reactions of water across the N=C bond to form carbamate and across the C=O bond to form imidic acid, with energies targeting the CCSDT(Q)/CBS level of theory. Composite method geometry optimizations consisting of MP2 and CCSD(T) refined with large basis CCSD(T) single points, describe the influence of 24 RNCO substituents on the barriers to carbamate and imidic acid formation. NBO analysis reveals that the occupation of the in-plane C–O\* orbital of RNCO is strongly associated with higher **TS1** and **TS2** barriers, due to the the electron delocalization motifs present in the transition states. The most extreme electron withdrawing substituents (R=F) lowered both barriers ( $\Delta H_{0K}$ ) by at least 13 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> and most alkyl substituents

lowered the barriers by around 4 kcal  $mol^{-1}$ .

The catalytic influence of one extra water, two extra waters, and one extra RNCO species are predicted and discussed with respect to different substituted RNCO reactants. Electron withdrawing substituents significantly lower the barrier to carbamate and imidic acid formation in the water catalyzed cases. For the TSN2 and TSN3 barriers, the lower barriers are likely related to Bent's rule influencing the proclivity of the nitrogen to break the N=Cbond. We also find that the **TSO2** and **TSO3** barriers are highly associated with the  $C-O^*$ occupation in the RNCO reactant. The 298 K Gibbs energies predict very similar barriers in the one and two water catalyst cases. Thus, the ability of the second catalyst water molecule to lower the enthalpy of reaction is offset by the loss of translation entropy manifest at higher temperatures and confirms the findings of Wei and coworkers<sup>52</sup> that many additional waters produce only marginal catalytic efficiency. A catalytic RNCO molecule results in two-step mechanisms towards carbamate and imidic acid formation. Our results indicate that the highly favorable intermediate in the carbamate formation pathway (**INT-N**) makes the second barrier large and an unlikely path for carbamate formation regardless of substituent. However, the second barrier in the RNCO imidic acid formation pathway (through  $TSO_B$ ) is significantly lower and might indicate more efficient imidic acid production in the presence of excess RNCO species. The complex factors involved in these RNCO catalyzed pathways did not reveal any clear trends with respect to substituent implying needs for additional work on a case by case basis for more complicated systems in order to understand these RNCO catalyzed pathways. Our work lays a firm theoretical foundation for the RNCO +  $H_2O$ class of reactions and provides insights that might guide future experimental work on these industrially relevant species.

### Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation under grant numbers

### Supporting Information Available

The Supporting Information contains Cartesian coordinates for all predicted geometries. Section 1 provides additional explanation for the computational methods utilized in this study. Section 2 contains auxiliary figures that are referenced in the body of the paper such as the CCSD(T)/cc-pVQZ Gibbs free energy surface. Section 3 contains Tables S1-S11, which present the absolute energies and thermodynamic values for each substituted species. Tables S12-S14 contain the detailed focal point analyses for the HNCO +  $H_2O$  stationary points.

### References

- Ludwig Schmidt, C.; Jansen, M. Cyanogen isocyanate (NC NCO) revisited: thermal and chemical reactivity of a hydrogen -free precursor to C–N–(O) polymers. J. Mater. Chem. 2010, 20, 110–116, Publisher: Royal Society of Chemistry.
- (2) Demir, E.; Sari, O.; Çetinkaya, Y.; Atmaca, U.; Erdem, S. S.; Çelik, M. One-pot synthesis of oxazolidinones and five-membered cyclic carbonates from epoxides and chlorosulfonyl isocyanate: theoretical evidence for an asynchronous concerted pathway. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2020**, *16*, 1805–1819, Publisher: Beilstein-Institut.
- (3) Quan, D.; Herbst, E.; Osamura, Y.; Roueff, E. GAS-GRAIN MODELING OF ISO-CYANIC ACID (HNCO), CYANIC ACID (HOCN), FULMINIC ACID (HCNO), AND ISOFULMINIC ACID (HONC) IN ASSORTED INTERSTELLAR ENVIRON-MENTS. The Astrophysical Journal 2010, 725, 2101, Publisher: IOP Publishing.
- (4) Qin, Y.; Lu, B.; Rauhut, G.; Hagedorn, M.; Banert, K.; Song, C.; Chu, X.; Wang, L.; Zeng, X. The Simplest, Isolable, Alkynyl Isocyanate HCCNCO: Synthe-

sis and Characterization. Angew. Chem. Int. Edit. 2019, 58, 17277–17281, \_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/anie.201911102.

- (5) Kolesniková, L.; Kisiel, Z.; Alonso, E. R.; Guillemin, J. C.; Alonso, J. L.; Medvedev, I. R.; Winnewisser, M. A Comprehensive Spectral Rotational Analysis of the Interstellar Methyl Isocyanate CH3NCO. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. S.* 2019, 245, 31, Publisher: American Astronomical Society.
- (6) Martín-Doménech, R.; Rivilla, V. M.; Jiménez-Serra, I.; Quénard, D.; Testi, L.; Martín-Pintado, J. Detection of methyl isocyanate (CH3NCO) in a solar-type protostar. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 2017, 469, 2230–2234.
- (7) Fang, W.-H.; Shao, G.-Q. AM1 calculations of the potential energy surfaces of the dissociations of phenyl isocyanate (PhNCO) and phenyl azide (PhN3). J. Mol. Struct-Theochem. 1996, 369, 183–188.
- (8) Sun, W.; Silva, W. G. D. P.; van Wijngaarden, J. Rotational Spectra and Structures of Phenyl Isocyanate and Phenyl Isothiocyanate. J. Phys. Chem. A 2019, 123, 2351–2360, Publisher: American Chemical Society.
- (9) Martínez Córdoba, G. A.; Ramos, L. A.; Ulic, S. E.; Jios, J. L.; DellaVédova, C. O.; Pepino, J.; Burgos Paci, M. A.; Argüello, G. A.; Ge, M.; Beckers, H.; Willner, H.
  2-Chloroethylisocyanate. Thermal Decomposition and Spectroscopic Properties. J. Phys. Chem. A 2011, 115, 8608–8615, Publisher: American Chemical Society.
- (10) Knölker, H.-J.; Braxmeier, T.; Oberhammer, H. Tert-butyl isocyanate, a non-rigid molecule. J. Mol. Struct. 1997, 413-414, 211-216.
- (11) Bailey, M. E.; Kirss, V.; Spaunburgh, R. G. Reactivity of Organic Isocyanates. Ind. Eng. Chem. 1956, 48, 794–797.

- (12) Fang, D.-C.; Fu, X.-Y. Catalytic and substituent effects on cycloaddition reactions between isocyanates and aldehydes. J. Mol. Struct-Theochem. 1999, 459, 15–21.
- (13) Entelis, S. G.; Nesterov, O. V. KINETICS AND MECHANISM OF THE REAC-TIONS OF ISOCYANATES WITH COMPOUNDS CONTAINING "ACTIVE" HY-DROGEN. Russ. Chem. Rev. 1966, 35, 917–930.
- (14) Klapötke, T. M.; Schulz, A. Reaction of AgOCN with NO, NO2, ClNO2, ClNO, and BrNO: Evidence of the Formation of OCNNO2 and OCNNO. *Inorg. Chem.* 1996, 35, 7897–7904, Publisher: American Chemical Society.
- (15) Yokoyama, K.; Takane, S.-y.; Fueno, T. Computational Studies of the Isomerization Pathways of Isocyanic Acid HNCO. B. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1991, 64, 2230–2235, Publisher: The Chemical Society of Japan.
- J. (16) Teles, H.; Maier, G.: Hess, В. A.; Schaad, L. J.; Win-Ρ. newisser, M.; Winnewisser, В. The CHNO Isomers. Chemische Berichte **1989**, 122,753-766, \_eprint: https://chemistryeurope.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/cber.19891220425.
- (17) Leslie, M. D.; Ridoli, M.; Murphy, J. G.; Borduas-Dedekind, N. Isocyanic acid (HNCO) and its fate in the atmosphere: a review. *Environ. Sci-Prod. Imp.* 2019, 21, 793–808, Publisher: The Royal Society of Chemistry.
- (18) Roberts, J. M.; Veres, P. R.; Cochran, A. K.; Warneke, C.; Burling, I. R.; Yokelson, R. J.; Lerner, B.; Gilman, J. B.; Kuster, W. C.; Fall, R.; Gouw, J. d. Isocyanic acid in the atmosphere and its possible link to smoke-related health effects. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2011**, *108*, 8966–8971, Publisher: National Academy of Sciences Section: Physical Sciences.
- (19) F. Hems, R.; Wang, C.; B. Collins, D.; Zhou, S.; Borduas-Dedekind, N.; A. Siegel, J.;

D. Abbatt, J. P. Sources of isocyanic acid (HNCO) indoors: a focus on cigarette smoke.
 Environ. Sci-Prod. Imp. 2019, 21, 1334–1341, Publisher: Royal Society of Chemistry.

- (20) Rosanka, S.; Vu, G. H. T.; Nguyen, H. M. T.; Pham, T. V.; Javed, U.; Taraborrelli, D.; Vereecken, L. Atmospheric chemical loss processes of isocyanic acid (HNCO): a combined theoretical kinetic and global modelling study. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2020, 20, 6671–6686, Publisher: Copernicus GmbH.
- (21) Wang, Z. et al. High Concentrations of Atmospheric Isocyanic Acid (HNCO) Produced from Secondary Sources in China. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2020, 54, 11818–11826, Publisher: American Chemical Society.
- (22) Schuurman, M. S.; Muir, S. R.; Allen, W. D.; Schaefer, H. F. Toward subchemical accuracy in computational thermochemistry: Focal point analysis of the heat of formation of NCO and [H,N,C,O] isomers. J. Chem . Phys 2004, 120, 11586–11599, Publisher: American Institute of Physics.
- (23) Glarborg, P.; Kristensen, P. G.; Jensen, S. H.; Dam-Johansen, K. A flow reactor study of HNCO oxidation chemistry. *Combust. Flame* **1994**, *98*, 241–258.
- (24) Perry, R. A.; Siebers, D. L. Rapid reduction of nitrogen oxides in exhaust gas streams.
   Nature 1986, 324, 657–658, Number: 6098 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- (25) Majumdar, L.; Loison, J.-C.; Ruaud, M.; Gratier, P.; Wakelam, V.; Coutens, A. Methyl isocyanate (CH3NCO): an important missing organic in current astrochemical networks. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. Let.* **2018**, 473, L59–L63.
- (26) Ferus, M.; Laitl, V.; Knizek, A.; Kubelík, P.; Sponer, J.; Kára, J.; Sponer, J. E.; Lefloch, B.; Cassone, G.; Civiš, S. HNCO-based synthesis of formamide in planetary atmospheres. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2018**, *616*, A150, Publisher: EDP Sciences.

- (27) Haupa, K. A.; Tarczay, G.; Lee, Y.-P. Hydrogen Abstraction/Addition Tunneling Reactions Elucidate the Interstellar H2NCHO/HNCO Ratio and H2 Formation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 11614–11620, Publisher: American Chemical Society.
- (28) Coutens, A. et al. The ALMA-PILS survey: First detections of deuterated formamide and deuterated isocyanic acid in the interstellar medium. Astron. Astrophys. 2016, 590, L6, Publisher: EDP Sciences.
- (29) Wang, T.; Bowie, J. H. Can cytosine, thymine and uracil be formed in interstellar regions? A theoretical study. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 652–662.
- (30) Brigiano, F. S.; Jeanvoine, Y.; Largo, A.; Spezia, R. The formation of urea in space
  I. Ion-molecule, neutral-neutral, and radical gas-phase reactions. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2018**, *610*, A26, Publisher: EDP Sciences.
- (31) Hoover, F. W.; Rothrock, H. S. Chemistry of Isocyanic Acid. III. Reaction of Isocyanic Acid with Olefins. J. Org. Chem. 1964, 29, 143–145.
- (32) Rode, J. E.; Dobrowolski, J. C. An ab Initio Study on the AlleneIsocyanic Acid and KeteneVinylimine [2 + 2] Cycloaddition Reaction Paths. J. Phys. Chem. A 2006, 110, 3723–3737.
- (33) Narula, А. S.; Ramachandran, Κ. Encyclopedia Reagents of American \_eprint: for Organic Synthesis; Cancer Society, 2001;https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/047084289X.ri072m.
- (34) Uchimaru, T.; Yamane, S.; Mizukado, J.; Tsuzuki, S. Thermal stabilities and conformational behaviors of isocyanurates and cyclotrimerization energies of isocyanates: a computational study. *RSC Advances* **2020**, *10*, 15955–15965.
- (35) Kordomenos, P. I.; Kresta, J. E. Thermal stability of isocyanate-based polymers. 1.

Kinetics of the thermal dissociation of urethane, oxazolidone, and isocyanurate groups. *Macromolecules* **1981**, *14*, 1434–1437.

- (36) Kordomenos, P. I.; Kresta, J. E.; Frisch, K. C. Thermal stability of isocyanate-based polymers. 2. Kinetics of the thermal dissociation of model urethane, oxazolidone, and isocyanurate block copolymers. *Macromolecules* **1987**, *20*, 2077–2083.
- (37) Ni, H.; Skaja, A. D.; Sailer, R. A.; Soucek, M. D. Moisture-curing alkoxysilane-functionalized isocyanurate coatings. *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* 2000, 201, 722–732, \_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/%28SICI%291521-3935%2820000301%29201%3A6%3C722%3A%3AAID-MACP722%3E3.0.CO%3B2-D.
- (38) A. Bahili, M.; C. Stokes, E.; C. Amesbury, R.; C. Ould, D. M.; Christo, B.;
  J. Horne, R.; M. Kariuki, B.; A. Stewart, J.; L. Taylor, R.; Andrew Williams, P.;
  D. Jones, M.; M. Harris, K. D.; D. Ward, B. Aluminium-catalysed isocyanate trimerization, enhanced by exploiting a dynamic coordination sphere. *Chem. Commun.* 2019, 55, 7679–7682, Publisher: Royal Society of Chemistry.
- (39) Xu, X.; Ni, X.; Shen, Z. Polymerization of n-Hexyl Isocyanate with Rare Earth Catalyst Composed of Nd(P204)3/Al(i-Bu)3. *Polym. Bull.* 2005, 53, 81–88.
- (40) Sanda, F.; Takata, T.; Endo, Τ. Synthesis of a novel optically acnylon-1 polymer: Anionic polymerization of L-leucine methyl tive ester isocyanate. J. Polym. Sci. Pol. Chem. 1995, 33, 2353 - 2358, \_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/pola.1995.080331408.
- (41) Nabulsi, A. A.; Cozzula, D.; Hagen, T.; Leitner, W.; E. Müller, T. Isocyanurate formation during rigid polyurethane foam assembly: a mechanistic study based on in situ IR and NMR spectroscopy. *Polym. Chem-UK* **2018**, *9*, 4891–4899, Publisher: Royal Society of Chemistry.

- (42) Golling, F. E.; Pires, R.; Hecking, A.; Weikard, J.; Richter, F.; Dijkstra, D. Polyurethanes for coatings Danielmeier, K.; and adhesives applications. *Polym.* Int. **2019**, *68*. chemistry and 848-855. \_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/pi.5665.
- (43) Çoban, M.; Konuklar, F. A. S. A computational study on the mechanism and the kinetics of urethane formation. *Comput. Theor. Chem.* 2011, 963, 168–175.
- (44) Nagy, J.; Pusztai, E.; Wagner, Theoretical Study of the Reaction Mechanism of Substituted Isocyanates and Alcohols. *Eur. Chem. Bull.* **2013**, *2*, 985–992.
- (45) Samuilov, A. Y.; Zenitova, L. A.; Samuilov, Y. D.; Konovalov, A. I. Quantum-chemical study on the reaction of phenyl isocyanate with linear methanol associates. Addition at the C=N bond. *Russ. J. Org. Chem.* 2008, 44, 1316.
- (46) Raspoet, G.; Nguyen, M. T.; McGarraghy, M.; Hegarty, A. F. The Alcoholysis Reaction of Isocyanates Giving Urethanes: Evidence for a Multimolecular Mechanism. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 6878–6885.
- (47) Tsipis, C. A.; Karipidis, P. A. Mechanism of a Chemical Classic: Quantum Chemical Investigation of the Autocatalyzed Reaction of the Serendipitous Wöhler Synthesis of Urea. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 2307–2318, Publisher: American Chemical Society.
- (48) Nicolle, A.; Cagnina, S.; de Bruin, T. First-principle based modeling of urea decomposition kinetics in aqueous solutions. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2016**, *664*, 149–153.
- (49) Raspoet, G.; Nguyen, M. T.; McGarraghy, M.; Hegarty, A. F. Experimental and Theoretical Evidence for a Concerted Catalysis by Water Clusters in the Hydrolysis of Isocyanates. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 6867–6877, Publisher: American Chemical Society.

- (50) Cheikh, W.; Rózsa, Z. B.; Camacho López, C. O.; Mizsey, P.; Viskolcz, B.; Szőri, M.; Fejes, Z. Urethane Formation with an Excess of Isocyanate or Alcohol: Experimental and Ab Initio Study. *Polymers* **2019**, *11*, 1543, Number: 10 Publisher: Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.
- (51) Gertig, C.; Erdkamp, E.; Ernst, A.; Hemprich, C.; Kröger, L. C.; Langanke, J.; Bardow, A.; Leonhard, K. Reaction Mechanisms and Rate Constants of Auto-Catalytic Urethane Formation and Cleavage Reactions. *ChemistryOpen* **2021**, open.202000150.
- (52) Wei, X.-G.; Sun, X.-M.; Wu, X.-P.; Geng, S.; Ren, Y.; Wong, N.-B.; Li, W.-K. Cooperative effect of water molecules in the self-catalyzed neutral hydrolysis of isocyanic acid: a comprehensive theoretical study. J. Mol. Model. 2011, 17, 2069–2082.
- (53) Sardon, H.; Engler, A. C.; Chan, J. M. W.; García, J. M.; Coady, D. J.; Pascual, A.; Mecerreyes, D.; Jones, G. O.; Rice, J. E.; Horn, H. W.; Hedrick, J. L. Organic Acid-Catalyzed Polyurethane Formation via a Dual-Activated Mechanism: Unexpected Preference of N-Activation over O-Activation of Isocyanates. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 16235–16241, Publisher: American Chemical Society.
- (54) Sardon, H.; Pascual, A.; Mecerreyes, D.; Taton, D.; Cramail, H.; Hedrick, J. L. Synthesis of Polyurethanes Using Organocatalysis: A Perspective. *Macromolecules* 2015, 48, 3153–3165, Publisher: American Chemical Society.
- (55) Levent, E.; Sala, O.; Wilm, L. F. B.; Löwe, P.; Dielmann, F. Heterobimetallic complexes composed of bismuth and lithium carboxylates as polyurethane catalysts – alternatives to organotin compounds. *Green Chem.* 2021, 23, 2747–2755, Publisher: The Royal Society of Chemistry.
- (56) Sahoo, R. K.; Sarkar, N.; Nembenna, S. Zinc Hydride Catalyzed Chemoselective Hydroboration of Isocyanates: Amide Bond Formation and

C=O Bond Cleavage. Angew. Chem. **2021**, 133, 12098–12107, \_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/ange.202100375.

- (57) Devendra, R.; Edmonds, N. R.; Söhnel, T. Computational and experimental investigations of the urethane formation mechanism in the presence of organotin(IV) carboxylate catalysts. J. Mol. Catal. A-Chem. 2013, 366, 126–139.
- (58) Devendra, R.; R. Edmonds, N.; Söhnel, T. Organotin carboxylate catalyst in urethane formation in a polar solvent: an experimental and computational study. *RSC Advances* 2015, 5, 48935–48945, Publisher: Royal Society of Chemistry.
- (59) McAllister, M. A.; Tidwell, T. T. Substituent Effects on the Structures and Energies of lsocyanates and Imines by Ab h i t i o Molecular Orbital Calculations. J. CHEM. SOC. PERKIN TRANS. 1994, 10.
- (60) Samuilov, A. Y.; Zenitova, L. A.; Samuilov, Y. D.; Konovalov, A. I. Quantum-chemical study on the reaction of phenyl isocyanate with linear methanol associates: II. Addition at the C=O bond. *Russ. J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *45*, 68–73.
- (61) Samuilov, A. Y.; Balabanova, F. B.; Kamalov, T. A.; Samuilov, Y. D.; Konovalov, A. I. Quantum-Chemical Study on Reactions of Isocyanates with Linear Methanol Associates: III.\* Reaction of Methyl Isocyanate with Linear Methanol Associates. *Russ. J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *46*, 1452–1460.
- (62) Samuilov, A. Y.; Kamalov, T. A.; Balabanova, F. B.; Samuilov, Y. D.; Konovalov, A. I. Quantum-chemical investigation of isocyanate reactions with linear methanol associates: IV. Mechanism of autocatalytic reaction of methyl isocyanate with linear methanol associates. *Russ. J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *48*, 158–163.
- (63) Samuilov, A. Y.; Balabanova, F. B.; Samuilov, Y. D.; Konovalov, A. I. Quantumchemical investigation of isocyanate reactions with linear methanol associates: V.

Aryl isocyanate reactions with linear methanol associates. Russ. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 48, 164–174.

- (64) Samuilov, A. Y.; Balabanova, F. B.; Samuilov, Y. D.; Konovalov, A. I. Quantumchemical study on reactions of isocyanates with methanol associates: VI. Quantumchemical characterization of the relative reactivity of linear and cyclic methanol trimers in the addition to methyl isocyanate. *Russ. J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *48*, 1512–1517.
- (65) Samuilov, A. Y.; Nesterov, S. V.; Balabanova, F. B.; Samuilov, Y. D.; Konovalov, A. I. Quantum-chemical study on reactions of isocyanates with linear methanol associates: VIII. Relative reactivity of linear phenol and methanol associates toward methyl isocyanate. *Russ. J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *49*, 968–973.
- (66) Samuilov, A. Y.; Balabanova, F. B.; Samuilov, Y. D.; Konovalov, A. I. Quantumchemical study on reactions of isocyanates with linear methanol associates: VII. Effect of nonspecific solvation on the reaction of methyl isocyanate with linear methanol associates. *Russ. J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, 49, 22–27.
- (67) Samuilov, A. Y.; Nesterov, S. V.; Balabanova, F. B.; Samuilov, Y. D.; Konovalov, A. I. Quantum-chemical study of isocyanate reactions with linear methanol associates: IX. Methyl isocyanate reaction with methanol-phenol complexes. *Russ. J. Org. Chem.* 2014, 50, 155–159.
- (68) Zhao, Y.; Suppes, G. J. Computational study on reaction enthalpies of urethane-forming reactions. *Polym. Eng. Sci.* 2015, 55, 1420–1428, \_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/pen.24086.
- (69) Kössl, F.; Lisaj, M.; Kozich, V.; Heyne, K.; Kühn, O. Monitoring the alcoholysis of isocyanates with infrared spectroscopy. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2015**, *621*, 41–45.
- (70) Cysewski, P.; Król, P.; Shyichuk, A. First Principle Simulations of Ethylene Gly-

col Addition to Diisocyanates. *Macromol. Theor. Simul.* **2007**, *16*, 541–547, \_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/mats.200700024.

- (71) Shavitt, I.; Bartlett, R. J. Many-Body Methods in Chemistry and Physics: MBPT and Coupled-Cluster Theory; Cambridge University Press, 2009; Google-Books-ID: SWw6ac1NHZYC.
- (72) Bartlett, R. J.; Watts, J. D.; Kucharski, S. A.; Noga, J. Non-iterative fifth-order triple and quadruple excitation energy corrections in correlated methods. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1990**, *165*, 513–522.
- (73) Stanton, J. F. Why CCSD(T) works: a different perspective. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1997, 281, 130–134.
- (74) Raghavachari, K.; Trucks, G. W.; Pople, J. A.; Head-Gordon, M. A fifth-order perturbation comparison of electron correlation theories. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1989**, *157*, 479–483.
- (75) Stanton, J. F.; Gauss, J.; Cheng, L.; Harding, M. E.; Matthews, D. A.; Szalay, P. G.; et al., CFOUR, Coupled-Cluster techniques for Computational Chemistry, a quantumchemical program package.
- (76) Dunning, T. H.; Peterson, K. A.; Wilson, A. K. Gaussian basis sets for use in correlated molecular calculations. X. The atoms aluminum through argon revisited. J. Chem. Phys. 2001, 114, 9244–9253.
- (77) Woon, D. E.; Dunning, T. H. Gaussian basis sets for use in correlated molecular calculations. III. The atoms aluminum through argon. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 1358– 1371.
- (78) Wilson, A. K.; Woon, D. E.; Peterson, K. A.; Dunning, T. H. Gaussian basis sets for

use in correlated molecular calculations. IX. The atoms gallium through krypton. J. Chem. Phys. **1999**, 110, 7667–7676.

- (79) Gonzales, J. M.; Pak, C.; Cox, R. S.; Allen, W. D.; Iii, H. F. S.; Császár, A. G.; Tarczay, G. Definitive Ab Initio Studies of Model SN2 Reactions CH3X+F (X=F, Cl, CN, OH, SH, NH2, PH2). *Chem. Eur. J.* 2003, *9*, 2173–2192.
- (80) Császár, A. G.; Allen, W. D.; Schaefer, H. F. In pursuit of the ab initio limit for conformational energy prototypes. J. Chem . Phys 1998, 108, 9751–9764.
- (81) East, A. L. L.; Allen, W. D. The heat of formation of NCO. J. Chem . Phys 1993, 99, 4638–4650.
- (82) Feller, D.; Peterson, K. A.; Crawford, T. D. Sources of error in electronic structure calculations on small chemical systems. J. Chem. Phys. 2006, 124, 054107.
- (83) Helgaker, T.; Klopper, W.; Koch, H.; Noga, J. Basis-set convergence of correlated calculations on water. J. Chem. Phys. 1997, 106, 9639–9646.
- (84) Noga, J.; Bartlett, R. J. The full CCSDT model for molecular electronic structure. J. Chem . Phys 1987, 86, 7041–7050.
- (85) Scuseria, G. E.; Schaefer, H. F. A new implementation of the full CCSDT model for molecular electronic structure. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1988**, *152*, 382–386.
- (86) Bomble, Y. J.; Stanton, J. F.; Kállay, M.; Gauss, J. Coupled-cluster methods including noniterative corrections for quadruple excitations. J. Chem . Phys 2005, 123, 054101.
- (87) Kállay, M.; Gauss, J. Approximate treatment of higher excitations in coupled-cluster theory. II. Extension to general single-determinant reference functions and improved approaches for the canonical Hartree–Fock case. J. Chem. Phys 2008, 129, 144101.

- (88) Woon, D. E.; Dunning, T. H. Gaussian basis sets for use in correlated molecular calculations. V. Core-valence basis sets for boron through neon. J. Chem . Phys 1995, 103, 4572–4585, Publisher: American Institute of Physics.
- (89) Dyall, K. G. Interfacing relativistic and nonrelativistic methods. I. Normalized elimination of the small component in the modified Dirac equation. J. Chem . Phys 1997, 106, 9618–9626, Publisher: American Institute of Physics.
- (90) Kutzelnigg, W.; Liu, W. Quasirelativistic theory equivalent to fully relativistic theory.
   J. Chem . Phys 2005, 123, 241102, Publisher: American Institute of Physics.
- (91) Liu, W.; Peng, D. Infinite-order quasirelativistic density functional method based on the exact matrix quasirelativistic theory. J. Chem . Phys 2006, 125, 044102, Publisher: American Institute of Physics.
- (92) Iliaš, M.; Saue, T. An infinite-order two-component relativistic Hamiltonian by a simple one-step transformation. J. Chem . Phys 2007, 126, 064102, Publisher: American Institute of Physics.
- (93) Liu, W.; Peng, D. Exact two-component Hamiltonians revisited. J. Chem. Phys 2009, 131, 031104, Publisher: American Institute of Physics.
- (94) Sikkema, J.; Visscher, L.; Saue, T.; Iliaš, M. The molecular mean-field approach for correlated relativistic calculations. J. Chem . Phys 2009, 131, 124116, Publisher: American Institute of Physics.
- (95) Sellers, H.; Pulay, P. The adiabatic correction to molecular potential surfaces in the SCF approximation. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1984**, *103*, 463–465.
- (96) Handy, N. C.; Yamaguchi, Y.; Schaefer, H. F. The diagonal correction to the Born-Oppenheimer approximation: Its effect on the singlet-triplet splitting of CH<SUB>2</SUB> and other molecular effects. J. Chem. Phys. 1986, 84, 4481.

- (97) Werner, H.-J. et al. The Molpro quantum chemistry package. J. Chem . Phys 2020, 152, 144107, Publisher: American Institute of Physics.
- (98) Smith, D. G. A. et al. PSI4 1.4: Open-source software for high-throughput quantum chemistry. J. Chem. Phys. 2020, 152, 184108.
- (99) Warden, C. E.; Smith, D. G. A.; Burns, L. A.; Bozkaya, U.; Sherrill, C. D. Efficient and automated computation of accurate molecular geometries using focal-point approximations to large-basis coupled-cluster theory. J. Chem . Phys 2020, 152, 124109, Publisher: American Institute of Physics.
- (100) Papajak, E.; Truhlar, D. G. Convergent Partially Augmented Basis Sets for Post-HartreeFock Calculations of Molecular Properties and Reaction Barrier Heights. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2011, 7, 10–18, Publisher: American Chemical Society.
- (101) Spitznagel, G. W.; Clark, T.; Schleyer, P. v. R.; Hehre, W. J. An evaluation of the performance of diffuse function-augmented basis sets for second row elements, Na-Cl. J. Comput. Chem. 1987, 8, 1109–1116, \_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/jcc.540080807.
- (102) Hehre, W. J.; Ditchfield, R.; Pople, J. A. Self—Consistent Molecular Orbital Methods. XII. Further Extensions of Gaussian—Type Basis Sets for Use in Molecular Orbital Studies of Organic Molecules. J. Chem . Phys 1972, 56, 2257–2261, Publisher: American Institute of Physics.
- (103) Hariharan, P. C.; Pople, J. A. The influence of polarization functions on molecular orbital hydrogenation energies. *Theor. chim. acta* 1973, 28, 213–222.
- (104) Gordon, M. S.; Binkley, J. S.; Pople, J. A.; Pietro, W. J.; Hehre, W. J. Self-consistent molecular-orbital methods. 22. Small split-valence basis sets for second-row elements. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 2797–2803.

- (105) Francl, M. M.; Pietro, W. J.; Hehre, W. J.; Binkley, J. S.; Gordon, M. S.; De-Frees, D. J.; Pople, J. A. Self-consistent molecular orbital methods. XXIII. A polarization-type basis set for second-row elements. J. Chem . Phys 1982, 77, 3654– 3665, Publisher: American Institute of Physics.
- (106) Clark, T.; Chandrasekhar, J.; Spitznagel, G. W.; Schleyer, P. V. R. Efficient diffuse function-augmented basis sets for anion calculations. III. The 3-21+G basis set for first-row elements, Li–F. J. Comput. Chem. 1983, 4, 294–301, \_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/jcc.540040303.
- (107) Glendening, E. D.; Landis, C. R.; Weinhold, F. Natural bond orbital methods. Wires. Comput. Mol. Sci. 2012, 2, 1–42.
- (108) Glendening, E. D.; Landis, C. R.; Weinhold, F. NBO 6.0: Natural bond orbital analysis program. J. Comput. Chem. 2013, 34, 1429–1437.
- (109) Shao, Y. et al. Advances in molecular quantum chemistry contained in the Q-Chem 4 program package. Mol. Phys. 2015, 113, 184–215, Publisher: Taylor & Francis \_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1080/00268976.2014.952696.
- (110) Rappoport, D.; Furche, F. Property-optimized Gaussian basis sets for molecular response calculations. J. Chem . Phys 2010, 133, 134105, Publisher: American Institute of Physics.
- (111) Becke, A. D. Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648–5652.
- (112) Bharatam, P. V.; Moudgil, R.; Kaur, D. Electron Delocalization in Isocyanates, Formamides, and Ureas: Importance of Orbital Interactions. J. Phys. Chem. A 2003, 107, 1627–1634, Publisher: American Chemical Society.

## Graphical TOC Entry



The substituted transition states leading from water and RNCO to carbamate and imidic acid.