

Structural and Electronic Analysis of the Octarepeat Region of Prion Protein with Four Cu^{2+} by Polarizable MD and QM/MM Simulations

Jorge Nochebuena¹, Liliana Quintanar², Alberto Vela², and G. Andrés Cisneros¹

¹Department of Chemistry, University of North Texas, Denton, Texas, 76201, USA

²Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Av. Instituto Politécnico Nacional 2508, San Pedro Zacatenco, Gustavo A. Madero, 07360 Ciudad de México, Mexico

June 24, 2021

Abstract

Prions have been linked to neurodegenerative diseases that affect various species of mammals including humans. The prion protein, located mainly in neurons, is believed to play the role of metal ion transporter. High levels of copper ions have been related to structural changes. A 32-residue region of the N-terminal domain, known as octarepeat, can bind up to four copper ions. Different coordination modes have been observed and are strongly dependent on Cu^{2+} concentration. Many theoretical studies carried out so far have focused on studying the coordination modes of a single copper ion. In this work we investigate the octarepeat region coordinated with four copper ions. Molecular dynamics (MD) and hybrid quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics (QM/MM) simulations using the polarizable AMOEBA force field have been carried out. The polarizable MD simulations starting from a fully extended conformation indicate that the tetra- Cu^{2+} /octarepeat complex forms a globular structure. The globular form is stabilized by interactions between Cu^{2+} and tryptophan residues resulting in some coordination sites observed to be in close proximity, in agreement with experimental results. Subsequent QM/MM simulations on several snapshots suggests the system is in a high-spin quintet state, with all Cu^{2+} bearing one single electron, and all unpaired electrons are ferromagnetically coupled. NMR simulations on selected structures provides insights on the chemical shifts of the first shell ligands around the metals with respect to inter-metal distances.

1 Introduction

Neurodegenerative diseases such as Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease in humans, mad cow disease in cattle, chronic wasting disease in deer or scrapie in sheep are related to the accumulation of prion protein (PrP) in the brain. [1] Prion diseases are part of a larger group of protein aggregation disorders including Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s disease. These diseases usually take many years to develop. The disorder progresses asymptotically during the incubation period until the onset of degeneration of the nervous system causing tremendous damage to cognitive processes and high fatality rates in both humans and animals. Disorders can occur sporadically or arise from infection by contaminated material. [2] Significant efforts have been made to develop therapies against prion disease, including small molecules, vaccination, and antibody-based therapies. [3] The origin of prion diseases has been related to the conversion of the PrP from its normal cellular form (PrP^C) to the β -sheet rich infectious scrapie isoform (PrP^{Sc}). [4] PrP^C and PrP^{Sc} share an identical primary sequence, but they have different physicochemical and structural characteristics. PrP^{Sc} forms detergent insoluble amyloid aggregates whereas PrP^C is detergent soluble. [5] The formation of proteinase K-sensitive PrP^{Sc} oligomers is associated with serious pathological changes in the brain. [6]

PrP^C is found in several mammal species such as mice, hamsters, monkeys, sheep, goats, minks, cattle, deer and humans. [7–11] PrP is a typical component of many types of tissues such as lung and kidney. In the central nervous system it is expressed at pre- and post-synaptic membranes of neurons where it is found as a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchored glycoprotein. [12] It is located in detergent-resistant lipid rafts on the cell surface. [13] The function of PrP^C in healthy tissues is not clear because mice without prion protein expression do not show symptoms of prion disease, suggesting that neurodegeneration is due to an increase in toxic compounds, rather than a loss of activity. [14] However, it has been proposed that PrP^C modulates various synaptic mechanisms through interaction with different proteins as well as cell-protective mechanisms against oxidative stress, neuronal maintenance, and metal ion homeostasis. [15, 16] For example, PrP^C modulates receptors involved in memory and learning, such as the *N*-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAR), and it does it in a Cu-dependent manner. [17, 18]

Prior to post-translational modifications, PrP^C is a protein of about 254 residues. [15] Once the signal peptide has been removed, the protein consists of 209 residues. PrP^C has two well differentiated domains, a structured C-terminal domain (residues 121-231) and an unstructured N-terminal domain (residues 23-120). [19] The C-terminal domain is composed of two short antiparallel β -sheets and three α -helices (see Figure 1). The N-terminal domain of the protein is glycine-rich and some regions can be distinguished, such as the polybasic regions. [20] PrP^C has the ability to bind various metal ions, including copper, zinc, iron, and manganese. [21]

One of the most studied fragments is the octarepeat region (residues 60 to 91), composed by four octapeptides (PHGGGWGQ). [22] The octarepeat region contains four histidine residues able to bind divalent cations, such as Cu²⁺ or Zn²⁺ ions. [23, 24] In addition, other binding sites outside of the octarepeat region involving His96 and His111 residues have also been observed. [25, 26] Changes in copper concentrations occur during synaptic transmission, varying from nanomolar




Figure 1: Prion protein model. The structured (right) and unstructured (left) domains are displayed. The red ribbons represent the octarepeat region within the N-terminal domain.

to micromolar concentration range. [27, 28] In addition, it has been suggested that copper ions could cause conformational changes in the octarepeat region and promote the interaction between amyloid-beta ($A\beta$) and prion protein. [29–31] The $A\beta$ -Cu-PrP interaction is highly site-specific and is dependent on the copper occupancy. [32] It has also been proposed that the binding of Cu ions to PrP favors the interactions between the N-terminal and C-terminal domains. [33] Furthermore, Cu ions compete with other divalent ions [34].

Different modes of octarepeat coordination have been identified, controlled by the ratio of Cu^{2+} /protein concentration. At physiological pH, the octarepeat region binds Cu^{2+} ions in three distinct coordination modes, referred to as components 1, 2, and 3. [35–37] Component 1 arises at full copper occupancy where each octarepeat segment binds a single Cu^{2+} ion through an imidazole nitrogen of histidine, two deprotonated amide nitrogens from glycines immediately adjacent to the histidine, and a carbonyl oxygen from the second glycine. The component 3 coordination mode, observed at low copper occupancy, involves up to four octapeptides binding a single Cu^{2+} through the histidine imidazoles. In component 2, at intermediate occupancy, two or more His imidazoles can coordinate a single Cu^{2+} .

The different coordination modes for single cations have been studied with density functional theory [38–40], and with classical approaches. [41] Component 1 has been the most studied coordination mode, [42] due to the availability of a crystallographic structure for the Cu-HGGGW segment. [43] In the crystal structure, the copper ion in the single Cu-HGGGW segment is pentacoordinated with the deprotonated nitrogens of the two sequential glycines, the δ -nitrogen of histidine, the carbonyl oxygen of the second glycine and an oxygen from a water molecule that interacts with the NH of the indole ring of tryptophan. Theoretical results have shown that the coordination geometry in component 1 is planar when it is tetracoordinated. [44] Furthermore, the

interactions with these three equatorial nitrogen donors are not equivalent. In fact, the copper nitrogen bonds with the amide groups are more covalent than the copper nitrogen bond in histidine. [42] On the other hand, theoretical results have shown that the coordination of the copper ion forming the component 3 is mainly planar, with N-Cu-N angles close to 90° . [45] The electron configuration of the copper ion is d^9 with an unpaired electron. Electronic structure calculations have shown that the highest occupied molecular orbital involves the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital of copper. [46] Results from ab initio molecular dynamics have shown that copper ions in the dimer $\text{Cu}[\text{HGGG}]_2$ can interact with each other. [47]

In this contribution, we present results from polarizable MD and QM/MM simulations on models of the full octarepeat region in the component 1 coordination mode aiming to better understand the molecular and electronic structure of the octarepeat region in full copper concentration. The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. The Methods section describes the development of the AMOEBA parameters for two models, and details of the classical and QM/MM simulations. Subsequently, the results section presents a discussion of the structural and dynamical results obtained from the MD simulations, followed by a detailed analysis of the electronic structure results from the QM/MM simulations, including NMR calculations for selected snapshots. Our study shows that: i) The interactions between tryptophan and copper ions observed in the crystal structure are possible in solution, and ii) The Cu-highly occupied octarepeat region is an open shell system where each copper ion has one unpaired electron.

2 Methods

We studied the octarepeat region (residues 60-91) in the hamster prion protein coordinated with four copper ions, where each copper ion is forming component 1. All calculations involving a classical potential were performed with the 2018 AMOEBA (Atomic Multipole Optimized Energetics for Biomolecular) polarizable force field. [48,49] We built a 32-residue peptide (PHGGGWGQ $\times 4$) using Tinker tools. The N-terminal and C-terminal were capped with acetyl and N-methylamide, respectively. An ϵ -protonated histidine (HIE), a Cu^{2+} ion, and two glycines with deprotonated backbone amines were considered in each Cu^{2+} binding site (see Figure S1a). For the parametrization we have used the acetyl-HIS-GLY-GLY-N-methylamide peptide coordinating a copper ion (see Figure S1b). This peptide was optimized with the $\omega\text{B97-XD}$ [50] functional and the 6-311g(d,p) [51] basis set as implemented in Gaussian16. [52] The short peptide shares the main characteristics of the OR peptide, that is, each copper ion is coordinated with two deprotonated nitrogens of two adjacent glycines, a carbonyl oxygen atom, and a nitrogen atom of the imidazole ring of histidine. In all cases, the considered systems are neutral since the charge of each Cu^{+2} ion is neutralized by the negative charges of the deprotonated backbone nitrogens. The bonded and non-bonded parameters were obtained according to the procedure described in reference. [53] The Thol  factor and the polarizability of the copper ion were taken from reference. [54] The parameters obtained for the copper ion and its closest atoms were adapted to the OR peptide (see Supplementary Information).




Figure 2: Fragment formed by the His-Gly-Gly residues in the octarepeat sequence using the pseudobond approach. The atoms in cyan represent the atoms in the QM region. The two atoms in yellow correspond to the pseudoatoms. The six atoms in purple correspond to the boundary atoms. Atoms in grey are described in the MM region. Note that the pseudobonds are representing the α -carbons of the proline and glycine residues. The top image is shown for reference.

Two octarepeat models were developed: model 1 includes bonded interactions between the copper ion and the deprotonated nitrogens, as well as with the nitrogen atom of the imidazole ring of the coordinating histidine (see Figure S2a). The second model, considers only bonded interactions between the copper ion and the deprotonated backbone nitrogen atoms (see Figure S2b). That is, in model 2 the histidine imidazole ring interacts with Cu^{+2} only via non-bonded interactions. In other words, model 2 allows us to see the effect of increasing the number of degrees of freedom. In both models the carbonyl oxygen coordinates the copper ion only via non-bonded interactions.

The OR peptide with four copper ions was relaxed via molecular dynamics in vacuum for 2 ns to obtain a starting structure for our simulations. Complementary simulations using implicit water with the GBSA model [55] were also performed. The 32-residue peptide obtained after the relaxation in implicit solvent was placed in the center of a $60 \times 60 \times 60 \text{ \AA}$ box containing 8,000 water molecules. After the equilibration step, MD simulations were carried out for 100 ns in an NPT ensemble (1 atm and 298 K). The Monte Carlo barostat [56] and the Bussi [57] thermostat were used. The duration of the time step was 2 fs using RESPA [58] integrator. The smooth particle mesh Ewald (PME) method [59] was used in the calculation of charge, atomic multipole and polarization interactions. A value of 9 \AA was used for the cutoff distance value for van der Waals potential energy interactions and the real-space distance cutoff in the Ewald summation. Geometry sampling was done every 5 ps. In total 20,000 structures were obtained.

Root mean squared deviation (RMSD) with respect to the initial (GBSA relaxed) structure, radius of gyration (Rg), and root mean squared fluctuation (RMSF) were calculated for both systems to compare structural features between the models. A six-dimensional cluster analysis using the k -means method was carried out for each model. Each dimension corresponds to a distance between two copper ions. We evaluated six distances corresponding to the distances between the adjacent copper ions (Cu1-Cu2, Cu2-Cu3 and Cu3-Cu4) and the non-adjacent copper ions (Cu1-Cu3, Cu2-Cu4 and Cu1-Cu4). The ordering of the copper ions follows the direction from the N-terminal to the C-terminal (see Figure 1). The total data set was divided into 10 clusters. Additionally, we included the structure where the average distances between the copper ions are minimized, because we expect any electronic effects to be exacerbated in this structure.

We used the LICHEM [60,61] (Layered Interacting CHEmical Models) code to perform a single point QM/MM analysis of the structures closest to the centroids of each cluster. QM subsystem calculations were carried out with the ω B97-XD functional and the Def2-SVP basis set using Gaussian16. [52] The MM calculations were performed with Tinker 8 using the AMOEBA18 force field. We have used the long-range electrostatic corrections (LREC) method, [62] for multipolar/polarizable QM/MM simulations using a LREC cutoff of 25 Å for the smoothing function. We have used the Ewald summation and periodic boundary conditions for the MM calculations.

In all cases, the pseudobond approach [63] was employed for covalent bonds across the QM/MM boundary. In this approach, only 3 residues per OR unit are considered in the QM region, that is, histidine and adjacent glycines that participate in the coordination of the copper ion (see Figure 2). The remaining residues (PRO and GLY-TRP-GLY-GLN per OR unit) and all solvent molecules were described by the AMOEBA18 potential. The structure with the pseudobond approximation was used to perform non-relativistic nuclear magnetic resonance calculations using Gaussian16 [52] and combined ELF/NCI analysis [64] using the MultiWFN [65] and TopMod [66] software packages.

3 Results and discussion

MD simulations of the octarepeat region (residues 60-91) in vacuum and using the GBSA solvation model were performed from an extended conformation to generate a starting structure for an explicitly solvated model (see Figure S3). In both cases, the extended structure rapidly contracts to a globular conformation, with radius of gyration reaching values less than 10 Å. As would be expected, the OR peptide in implicit solvent achieves smaller radius of gyration values compared with the gas phase system. The implicit solvation structure served as the basis for the explicitly hydrated production simulations.

Figure 3 shows the radius of gyration and RMSD of the 32-residue peptide in a box of water calculated using the average geometry as reference for models 1 and 2 (see Methods). The radius of gyration shows values from 8.4 to 12.8 Å with mean values of 10.0 Å for model 1 and from 8.8 to 11.4 Å with mean values also of 10.0 Å for model 2. the RMSD, calculated ignoring hydrogen atoms, shows values from 7.2 to 23.3 Å with mean values of 14.6 Å for model 1 and 1.5 to 6.0




Figure 3: Radius of gyration (Rg) (blue trace) and RMSD (orange trace) for model 1 (above) and model 2 (bottom). Note that the scales used are different for each case.




Figure 4: Side chain RMSF for model 1 (above) and model 2 (bottom). The green and orange shaded areas indicate the atoms corresponding to the side chains of histidines and glycines in each coordination site, respectively.

Å with mean values of 3.1 Å for model 2. Interestingly, although model 2 does not include an explicit bonded interaction between the His and the Cu ion, the Rg in model 2 oscillates less than for model 1.

The RMSF of the side chain for the two models is shown in Figure 4. In model 1, the most significant fluctuations occur at the ends, and between Cu2 and Cu3. The GGW sequence of the second octarepeat appears to be very mobile. In model 2, the C-terminal end is the most flexible compared to the N-terminal end. In this model the side chains of residues between the Cu binding sites show large fluctuations compared with model 1, albeit the section between Cu2 and Cu3 has overall smaller fluctuations than the same region in model 1.

Distance analysis of the copper ions for each model shows that the separation between the different copper binding sites vary depending on their location in the peptide. For model 1, the analysis of the Cu1-Cu2 distance shows different regions starting with low values that increase after 40 ns (red trace in Figure 5 and S4). The Cu2-Cu3 distance starts with intermediate values but reaches the lowest values at the end of the simulation (green trace in Figure 5 and S4). The




Figure 5: Distances between copper ions in models 1 (left) and 2 (right). The vertical lines indicate the representative points according to the k -means analysis. The dashed line indicates the minimum of the average of the distances. The labeling of the coppers corresponds to the Figure 1.

Cu3-Cu4 distance shows low values during most of the simulation time (navy trace in Figure 5 and S4). With respect to the distances between non-adjacent copper ions, the Cu1-Cu3, Cu2-Cu4 and Cu1-Cu4 distances show a bell shape (orange, cyan and purple traces in Figure 5 and S5). In other words, the distances between these sites oscillate throughout the simulations, with maximum distances reached almost half of the simulation time. In general, the oscillations of the distances in model 2 are smaller than in model 1. This is likely due to the additional bonded parameter between the cations and the coordinating His in model 1 for each Cu^{2+} site. In fact, only the Cu1-Cu2 distance shows the greatest changes in model 2 (red trace in Figure 5 and S6). The rest of the distances remain relatively constant (green and navy traces in Figure S6 and orange, cyan and purple traces in Figure S7).

These analyses indicate that the structural changes in model 1 show larger variations than in model 2, which is more structurally homogeneous. Although both models show an average R_g around 10 Å, the side chain RMSF for model 1 is more pronounced, especially in the region between Cu2 and Cu3. Moreover, the average distances between adjacent Cu ions for model 1 is larger (9–15 Å), compared with model 2 where two of the adjacent ion pairs show distances below 10 Å (Figures S4 and S6). The average distances between distant Cu ions are also more homogeneous and with shorter values for model 2 compared with model 1 (Figures S5 and S7).

Part of the stability in model 2 is due to interactions between adjacent Cu coordination sites. For example, an interaction between the backbone carbonyl from one of the glycines that coordinates Cu3 is observed to interact with Cu4, with a distance ≤ 4 Å for 80% of the simulation (see Figure S8). This interaction is enabled by the flexibility of the His ligand on the Cu sites. These results are consistent with experimental results showing that copper promotes the formation of compact structures in the non-octarepeat region, with reported inter- Cu^{2+} distances of 3–6 Å from EPR measurements. [67]

The analysis of the distances of each copper ion with the first coordination shell atoms provides




Figure 6: a) Labeling of the closest atoms to each copper ion. N1 and N2 are the nitrogen atoms of the first and second deprotonated glycine, respectively. N3 is the nitrogen atom of the imidazole ring of histidine and O1 denotes the carbonyl oxygen atom. b) Copper-tryptophan interaction in model 1 between tryptophan from OR 4 with copper 1 (left) and tryptophan from OR 1 with copper 3 (right). Distances are given in angstroms.

further structural insights (see Figure 6a for atom labels). As expected, the distances of the atoms including bonded contributions change very little compared with the distances where the interaction is modeled only using non-bonded contributions in the parametrization. For example, for model 1 the distance between N1-Cu and N3-Cu ranges between 1.9 and 2.1 Å. The N2-Cu distance shows values from 1.8 to 2.0 Å, and are equally observed for any octapeptide. On the other hand, the O1-Cu distance shows slight differences depending on the position of the copper ion considered (see Figure S9). Although the range of values is between 1.9 and 3.3 Å, more than 95 % of the time, the distance is observed to vary between 2.0 and 2.5 Å for any octapeptide.

Similar results were obtained for model 2. The only difference is that the N3-Cu distance shows wider range of values, between 2.0 and 7.0 Å depending on the octapeptide (see Figure S10). Although the distance range for the His and Cu shows a very wide variation in model 2, a more moderate range of 2.0 to 4.8 is observed for 95 % of the simulation time for the first Cu ion. By contrast, the distance between the His and the second copper ion is greater than 2.6 Å in less than 5 % of the simulation time. The N3-Cu3 distance shows the widest interval with a range between 2.0 to 5.8 Å for 95 % of the simulation time. On the other hand, the N3-Cu4 distance is

Table 1: Clusters in model 1. See main text for details.

No. Cluster	Pos. (ns)	Perc. (%)	Avg. Dist. (Å)
1	46.55	5.5	16.12
2	58.34	8.1	14.35
3	15.70	7.7	13.63
4	32.94	10.0	11.61
5	71.04	21.1	12.09
6	6.82	12.8	12.77
7	94.3	8.8	10.11
8	19.75	9.3	11.63
9	66.96	11.0	12.64
10	51.12	5.7	15.15

Table 2: Clusters in model 2. See main text for details.

No. Cluster	Pos. (ns)	Perc. (%)	Avg. Dist. (Å)
1	30.26	7.2	12.11
2	3.02	5.0	12.30
3	85.70	15.8	12.81
4	0.85	2.5	12.33
5	17.87	9.6	13.14
6	31.43	11.9	12.65
7	46.56	6.7	13.07
8	7.67	1.4	14.06
9	41.21	7.1	12.22
10	79.87	32.9	12.36

observed to vary between 2.0 Å to 4.0 Å.

Tables 1 and 2, corresponding to models 1 and 2, respectively, show the results of the clustering analysis including the number of clusters, position of the centroid in the trajectory, percentage, and average distance. The summary of the k -means clustering of the trajectory into 10 clusters can be seen in Figures S11 and S12. A smaller distance interval was obtained for model 2 than model 1, with average distances ranging from 12 to 14 Å. Conversely, for model 1 the average distance distribution for the clusters covers a wider range, from 10 to 16 Å.

Our results agree with previously described interactions between tryptophan side chains and Cu ions observed experimentally [43]. However, unlike what is observed in the HGGGW peptide crystals, here the interactions occur between residues that belong to different octapeptide regions and not with successive tryptophans. For example, Figure 6b shows that copper ions 1 and 3 are interacting with tryptophan 4 and 1, respectively. This type of interaction was observed in different representative structures (see Figure S13) in model 1. Similar results are obtained for model 2 (results not shown)

Representative structures from each cluster for model 1 were selected based on the information in the previous tables for subsequent QM/MM single point calculations. Model 1 was selected given the broader average distance distribution, to investigate the effect of inter-metal center distances with respect to the electronic interactions between the metal centers. Figure 7 shows the




Figure 7: QM (green line) and QMMM (purple line) relative energies corresponding of the full structure (above) and the pseudobond approach (bottom). The energies are relative to the lowest energy structure in each case. Energies were calculated from ω B97XD/Def2-SVP//AMOEBA18.

QM and QM/MM energies calculated with ω B97-XD/Def2-SVP//AMOEBA18 for the full, and pseudobond-based systems for the selected representative structures. In all cases, the quintet state was observed to converge to a stable wavefunction after wavefunction optimization. [68] Triplet and singlet (broken spin solution) systems were also considered, however, the self-consistent solution converged to the quintet state, or to spin contaminated, unstable wavefunctions based on wavefunction stability optimization. The calculated relative QM energies are in a range of 170 kcal/mol for the complete structure and 200 kcal/mol for the systems with pseudobonds. If the contribution of water molecules (QM/MM energy) is taken into account, the interval is wider.

We used the Anisotropic Network Model [69, 70] (ANM) to analyze the normal modes for the structures corresponding to the centroids. The results for the structures with the minimum average distances for the two models are shown in Figure 8. In both cases, residue 23, which corresponds to a glycine located between tryptophan and glutamine of the third coordination site, shows the

Table 3: Spin-spin coupling contritutions. Distances and coupling contributions are given in angstroms and Hz, respectively.

Interaction	Cu1-Cu2	Cu2-Cu3	Cu3-Cu4	Cu1-Cu3	Cu2-Cu4	Cu1-Cu4
Distance	11.985	6.045	7.833	8.182	11.563	7.814
FC-K	-0.0256	-0.0767	0.1437	-0.0364	-0.0013	-0.121
FC-J	-0.0562	-0.1685	-0.3156	-0.0799	-0.0029	-0.2659
SD-K	0.0011	0.0055	-0.0063	-0.0027	-0.0026	-0.01
SD-J	0.0025	0.012	-0.0139	-0.0059	-0.0057	-0.0221
PSO-K	-0.0163	-0.0487	-0.0197	0.0013	-0.0011	0.016
PSO-J	-0.0357	-0.1071	-0.0432	0.0028	-0.2409	0.0352
DSO-K	-0.0003	0.0134	0.0054	0.0046	-0.0014	0.0038
DSO-J	-0.0008	0.0294	0.0119	0.0102	-0.0031	0.0083
TS-K	-0.0411	-0.1066	0.1231	-0.0331	-0.0064	-0.1113
TS-J	-0.0902	-0.2341	-0.3608	-0.0728	-0.2527	-0.2445

FC-K: Fermi Contact (FC) contribution to K; FC-J: Fermi Contact (FC) contribution to J; SD-K: Spin-dipolar (SD) contribution to K; SD-J: Spin-dipolar (SD) contribution to J; PSO-K: Paramagnetic spin-orbit (PSO) contribution to K; PSO-J: Paramagnetic spin-orbit (PSO) contribution to J; DSO-K: Diamagnetic spin-orbit (DSO) contribution to K; DSO-J: Diamagnetic spin-orbit (DSO) contribution to J; TS-K: Total nuclear spin-spin coupling K; TS-J: Total nuclear spin-spin coupling J.

largest motion. The results for the other structures are shown in the Figures S14 and S15 for model 1 and in Figures S16 and S17 for model 2. In general, it can be observed that the ANM model predicts that the ends and the segments surrounded by glycines are those showing the main changes in agreement with the RMSF analysis.

Figure 9 shows the calculated absolute chemical shifts for the N atoms around the Cu ions for the centroid structures and a structure where the average of the inter-Cu distances is minimized. All NMR calculations were performed using the pseudobond approach. The results show that similar results are obtained for the different structures considered. For all Cu binding sites, the highest shieldings are observed for the His nitrogen (N3) that coordinates the copper ion, and




Figure 8: Normal mode vectors from ANM for structures with the minimum average distances of models 1 (left) and 2 (right).




Figure 9: Magnetic shielding tensor calculated for the nitrogens around each copper ion. The protonated nitrogen of histidine is also included. The labeling of the Figure 6a has been followed. Vertical bars indicate average values.

the lowest values correspond to the deprotonated Gly nitrogens (N1 and N2). The calculated chemical shifts appear to be uncorrelated to gross structural changes such as inter-Cu distances or to structural changes within the first coordination shell (see Figures S18 and S19).

The different contributions to the non-relativistic spin-spin coupling between copper ions of the most compact structure of model 1 obtained with our MD simulations are reported in Table 3. The term corresponding to the Fermi contact (FC) to J is the dominant contribution to the total nuclear spin-spin coupling. The largest values correspond to the Cu3-Cu4 and Cu1-Cu4 interactions. However, these values do not exceed 0.3 Hz. The Cu2-Cu3 and Cu2-Cu4 interactions show the most significant values in the paramagnetic spin-orbit (PSO) contribution to J . However, we did not observe a correlation between the distance and the values obtained for each of the interactions.

Analysis of the Mulliken spin density and corresponding orbitals (Figure 10) shows that both are aligned in the direction of the bonds formed between each copper ion with its closest atoms. Similar results in other systems have been previously reported. [71] The spin density suggests that the unpaired electron in each center is delocalized around the Copper ion (around 0.6 electrons), with a significant component shared with the deprotonated nitrogens, showing values of 0.13 to 0.17 electrons, and a small component on the remaining two atoms in the 1st coordination-shell (see Table S1). These results are similar for the pseudobond, and full protein systems.

The delocalization of the unpaired electron from the Cu centers mainly to the deprotonated nitrogens (N1 and N2) helps explain the small calculated magnetic shielding of these nuclei in all four octapeptide regions. Conversely, the N atom on the His, which bares almost no spin density,




Figure 10: Spin density and HOMO/HOMO-1 biorthogonal orbitals of the structure with the lowest average distance of copper ions. Full structure and the pseudobond approach are shown. Tryptophan residues are displayed in the full structure. Some residues have been hidden for easy visualization.




Figure 11: a) NCI and ELF plot for one coordination site at the structure with the minimum average distance of model 1. The isovalues are 0.82 and 0.6 for the ELF and NCI analysis, respectively. b) NCI analysis to show copper-tryptophan interactions between TRP from OR 4 with Cu1 (left) and TRP from OR 1 with Cu3 (right). Only $\text{sign}(\lambda_2)\rho$ values between -0.02 and 0.01 are displayed. The RDG isovalue is 0.6.

shows a 3 fold larger magnetic shielding compared with N1 and N2. These results are consistent with previous reports indicating N1 and N2 show more covalent bonding character with the Cu cations [42].

Combined ELF/NCI analysis on a single octapeptide site (Figure 11a and Figures S20 and S21) further supports that the bonds between the deprotonated nitrogens (N1 and N2) and the Cu have stronger covalent character, compared with the N atom from the histidine. In both cases, the ELF analysis for N1 and N2 shows disynaptic basins shared with Cu, with populations around 0.4 to 0.6 electrons larger than the the N3–Cu basin (see Table S2). Conversely, the carbonyl O in the fourth ligand position shows a strong non-covalent (blue) surface between the O and Cu, and no disynaptic basin between these atoms. We also did a non-covalent interaction analysis to find out the type of interaction between tryptophan residues and metal centers. Figure 11b shows that the interactions are attractive and consistent with vdW forces.

4 Conclusions

Two sets of parameters were developed, one with (model 1) and one without (model 2) an explicit bonded term between the Cu^{2+} and the His N. The model based on parameters without this term showed broader conformational sampling including loss of one coordination site to the ion, which affected local and global peptide dynamics. Although model 2 has fewer restrictions, the RMSD and RMSF analysis showed lower values than in model 1. The distances between the copper ions were sensitive enough to detect structural changes. The bonding distances of copper ions with their closest atoms agree with the values previously reported. MD simulations are consistent with the crystal structure and suggest that an interaction of copper with tryptophan is also possible in solution. In the full octarepeat case, the interaction between the Cu ions and the tryptophans is observed to occur with Trp from other octarepeat regions, by contrast with the crystal. Our QM-MM results clearly show that the Cu high occupancy mode of the OR region of the prion protein adopts a compact globular conformation where the Cu-Cu distances are in the range of 5 to 25 Å. Some of these distances are within the range of 3.5 to 6 Å determined by dipolar couplings and half field EPR. [36]

Both the RMSF and normal modes analysis show that in addition to the N-terminal and C-terminal regions, the glycine-containing segments are quite mobile. Electronic structure analysis from polarizable QM/MM shows that when the octarepeat region is fully coordinated, the unpaired electrons on the Cu atoms preferentially adopt a ferromagnetically coupled configuration, resulting in an overall quintet state for the system. NMR calculations showed that regardless of the conformation of the peptide, similar results were obtained for the different structures analyzed. The imidazole ring nitrogens show the largest values of the magnetic shielding tensor than the deprotonated nitrogens. The differences in the magnetic shielding values are consistent with the calculated Mulliken spin densities, and ELF/NCI analyses. These results agree with experimentally reported observations regarding higher covalent bonding character between the deprotonated N atoms and the Cu dications.

The location of PrP^C is determined by the interaction of Cu with the OR region: while PrP^C is located in lipid rafts, its lateral exit is induced at high Cu concentrations and requires the OR region. Hence, the fully Cu-loaded OR is the species that exits lipid rafts and undergoes endocytosis. Hence, it is tempting to propose that the compact conformation induced by Cu loading into the OR region of PrP^C as described in this study, is the physiologically needed conformation for the protein to be dissociated from lipid rafts and endocytosed, a process that is important for cell signaling, memory and learning processes. On the other hand, it is important to note that PrP^C undergoes proteolytic cleavage by α - and β -secretases. In both types of proteolytic processing, the OR region is shredded from the membrane bound prion protein, releasing into the synaptic cleft fragments of PrP^C that include the full OR region. In the case of the β -cleavage, the released fragment contains the N-terminal residues 23-89, and it corresponds to the species studied here. [72–74]

While the pathological implications of the β -cleavage processing of PrP^C remain to be understood, our study of the fully Cu-loaded OR region contributes to understanding the impact of metal binding to the conformation of this fragment that is involved in the pathology of prion disease. In contrast, the α -cleavage of PrP^C is considered to be neuroprotective, and it releases a fragment that also includes the His96 Cu binding site. [75] Further studies expanding the OR region to include the His96 site would be needed to understand the neuroprotective nature of this fragment, and most importantly, to compare the impact of Cu binding in the conformation of both proteolytic products and gain insight into their apparently opposite effects on neural function.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by R01GM108583 and NSF–CHEM/CLP 1856162. Computational time was provided by the XSEDE University of North Texas CASCaM’s CRUNTCh3 high-performance cluster partially supported by NSF grant CHE-1531468 and XSEDE supported by project TG-CHE160044.

References

- [1] Claudia Scheckel and Adriano Aguzzi. Prions, prionoids and protein misfolding disorders. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 19(7):405–418, 2018.
- [2] Stanley B Prusiner. Prions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 95(23):13363–13383, nov 1998.
- [3] Yue Ma and Jiyan Ma. Immunotherapy against Prion Disease. *Pathogens*, 9(3):1–18, 2020.

- [4] J Collinge. Prion diseases of humans and animals: their causes and molecular basis. *Annual review of neuroscience*, 24:519–550, 2001.
- [5] Giovanni Spagnoli, Marta Rigoli, Simone Orioli, Alejandro M Sevillano, Pietro Faccioli, Holger Wille, Emiliano Biasini, and Jesús R Requena. Full atomistic model of prion structure and conversion. *PLOS Pathogens*, 15(7):1–18, 2019.
- [6] Ghazaleh Eskandari-Sedighi, Leonardo M Cortez, Jing Yang, Nathalie Daude, Clinton Shmeit, Valerie Sim, and David Westaway. Quaternary Structure Changes for PrPSc Predate PrPC Downregulation and Neuronal Death During Progression of Experimental Scrapie Disease. *Molecular Neurobiology*, 58(1):375–390, 2021.
- [7] Ken’ichi Hagiwara, Hideyuki Hara, and Kentaro Hanada. Species-barrier phenomenon in prion transmissibility from a viewpoint of protein science. *The Journal of Biochemistry*, 153(2):139–145, 2013.
- [8] Rodrigo Morales. Prion strains in mammals: Different conformations leading to disease. *PLOS Pathogens*, 13(7):e1006323, jul 2017.
- [9] Annick Le Dur, Thanh Lan Lai, Marie-George Stinnakre, Aude Laisné, Nathalie Chenais, Sabine Rakotobe, Bruno Passet, Fabienne Reine, Solange Soulier, Laetitia Herzog, Gaëlle Tilly, Human Rézaei, Vincent Béringue, Jean-Luc Vilotte, and Hubert Laude. Divergent prion strain evolution driven by PrPC expression level in transgenic mice. *Nature Communications*, 8(1):14170, 2017.
- [10] Paweł P Liberski, Agata Gajos, Beata Sikorska, and Shirley Lindenbaum. Kuru, the First Human Prion Disease, 2019.
- [11] Fiona Houston and Olivier Andréoletti. Animal prion diseases: the risks to human health. *Brain Pathology*, 29(2):248–262, mar 2019.
- [12] Sami Barmada, Pedro Piccardo, Keiji Yamaguchi, Bernardino Ghetti, and David A Harris. GFP-tagged prion protein is correctly localized and functionally active in the brains of transgenic mice. *Neurobiology of Disease*, 16(3):527–537, aug 2004.
- [13] Nicole T Watt, Heledd H Griffiths, and Nigel M Hooper. Lipid rafts: linking prion protein to zinc transport and amyloid- β toxicity in Alzheimer’s disease. *Frontiers in cell and developmental biology*, 2:41, 2014.
- [14] Kevin M Schilling, Lizhi Tao, Bei Wu, Joseph T M Kiblen, Natalia C Ubilla-Rodriguez, M Jake Pushie, R David Britt, Graham P Roseman, David A Harris, and Glenn L Millhauser. Both N-Terminal and C-Terminal Histidine Residues of the Prion Protein Are Essential for Copper Coordination and Neuroprotective Self-Regulation. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 432(16):4408–4425, 2020.

- [15] Giuseppe Legname. Elucidating the function of the prion protein. *PLOS Pathogens*, 13(8):1–6, 2017.
- [16] Eric G G B Evans, M Jake Pushie, Kate A A Markham, Hsiau Wei Lee, and Glenn L L Millhauser. Interaction between Prion Protein’s Copper-Bound Octarepeat Domain and a Charged C-Terminal Pocket Suggests a Mechanism for N-Terminal Regulation. *Structure*, 24(7):1057–1067, 2016.
- [17] Haitao You, Shigeki Tsutsui, Shahid Hameed, Thomas J Kannanayakal, Lina Chen, Peng Xia, Jordan D T Engbers, Stuart A Lipton, Peter K Stys, and Gerald W Zamponi. A β neurotoxicity depends on interactions between copper ions, prion protein, and N-methyl-d-aspartate receptors. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(5):1737–1742, 2012.
- [18] Lisa Gasperini, Elisa Meneghetti, Beatrice Pastore, Federico Benetti, and Giuseppe Legname. Prion protein and copper cooperatively protect neurons by modulating NMDA receptor through S-nitrosylation. *Antioxidants and redox signaling*, 22(9):772–784, mar 2015.
- [19] David G Donne, John H Viles, Darlene Groth, Ingrid Mehlhorn, Thomas L James, Fred E Cohen, Stanley B Prusiner, Peter E Wright, and H Jane Dyson. Structure of the recombinant full-length hamster prion protein PrP(29-231): The N terminus is highly flexible. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 94(25):13452–13457, dec 1997.
- [20] Hideyuki Hara and Suehiro Sakaguchi. N-Terminal Regions of Prion Protein: Functions and Roles in Prion Diseases. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 21(17):1–14, aug 2020.
- [21] Masahiro Kawahara, Midori Kato-Negishi, and Ken-ichiro Tanaka. Neurometals in the Pathogenesis of Prion Diseases. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 22(3):1–15, 2021.
- [22] Carolina Sánchez-López, Giulia Rossetti, Liliana Quintanar, and Paolo Carloni. Structural determinants of the prion protein N-terminus and its adducts with copper ions. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 20(1):1–15, 2019.
- [23] Eric D Walter, Madhuri Chattopadhyay, and Glenn L Millhauser. The affinity of copper binding to the prion protein octarepeat domain: evidence for negative cooperativity. *Biochemistry*, 45(43):13083–13092, oct 2006.
- [24] Kate A Markham, Graham P Roseman, Richard B Linsley, Hsiau-Wei Lee, and Glenn L Millhauser. Molecular Features of the Zn²⁺ Binding Site in the Prion Protein Probed by ¹¹³Cd NMR. *Biophysical Journal*, 116(4):610–620, 2019.
- [25] Mark Klewpatinond, Paul Davies, Suzanne Bowen, David R Brown, and John H Viles. Deconvoluting the Cu²⁺ Binding Modes of Full-length Prion Protein*,. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 283(4):1870–1881, 2008.
- [26] Gizella Csire, Ildikó Turi, Imre Sóvágó, Eszter Kárpáti, and Csilla Kállay. Complex formation processes and metal ion catalyzed oxidation of model peptides related to the metal binding site of the human prion protein. *Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry*, 203:110927, 2020.

- [27] Julianna Kardos, Ilona Kovács, Ferenc Hajós, Mihály Kálmán, and Miklós Simonyi. Nerve endings from rat brain tissue release copper upon depolarization. A possible role in regulating neuronal excitability. *Neuroscience Letters*, 103(2):139–144, 1989.
- [28] Nadia D’Ambrosi and Luisa Rossi. Copper at synapse: Release, binding and modulation of neurotransmission. *Neurochemistry international*, 90:36–45, nov 2015.
- [29] James D. Grayson, Matthew P. Baumgartner, Cleide Dos Santos Souza, Samuel J. Dawes, Imane Ghafir El Idrissi, Jennifer C. Louth, Sasha Stimpson, Emma Mead, Charlotte Dunbar, Joanna Wolak, Gary Sharman, David Evans, Anastasia Zhuravleva, Margarita Segovia Roldan, Nicola Antonio Colabufo, Ke Ning, Claire Garwood, James A. Thomas, Benjamin M. Partridge, Antonio de la Vega de Leon, Valerie J. Gillet, Amélia P. Rauter, and Beining Chen. Amyloid binding and beyond: a new approach for alzheimer’s disease drug discovery targeting $\alpha\beta$ -prpc binding and downstream pathways. *Chem. Sci.*, 12:3768–3785, 2021.
- [30] Antonio Magrì, Giuseppe Di Natale, and Enrico Rizzarelli. Copper-assisted interaction between amyloid- β and prion: Ternary metal complexes with A β N-terminus and octarepeat. *Inorganica Chimica Acta*, 472:93–102, 2018.
- [31] David R Taylor, Nicole T Watt, W Sumudhu S Perera, and Nigel M Hooper. Assigning functions to distinct regions of the N-terminus of the prion protein that are involved in its copper-stimulated, clathrin-dependent endocytosis. *Journal of Cell Science*, 118(21):5141–5153, nov 2005.
- [32] Yanahi Posadas, Lili Parra-Ojeda, Claudia Perez-Cruz, and Liliana Quintanar. Amyloid β Perturbs Cu(II) Binding to the Prion Protein in a Site-Specific Manner: Insights into Its Potential Neurotoxic Mechanisms. *Inorganic Chemistry*, 0(0):0, may 2021.
- [33] Abhay Kumar Thakur, Atul Kumar Srivastava, Volety Srinivas, Kandala Venkata Ramana Chary, and Chintalagiri Mohan Rao. Copper alters aggregation behavior of prion protein and induces novel interactions between its N- and C-terminal regions. *The Journal of biological chemistry*, 286(44):38533–38545, nov 2011.
- [34] Wakako Hiraoka, Osamu Inanami, and Shuhei Murakami. Redox Potential of Single Octarepeat from Prion Protein with Divalent Metals. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, 159:S23, 2020.
- [35] Glenn L Millhauser. Copper Binding in the Prion Protein. *Accounts of Chemical Research*, 37(2):79–85, feb 2004.
- [36] Madhuri Chattopadhyay, Eric D Walter, Dustin J Newell, Pilgrim J Jackson, Eliah Aronoff-Spencer, Jack Peisach, Gary J Gerfen, Brian Bennett, William E Antholine, and Glenn L Millhauser. The octarepeat domain of the prion protein binds Cu(II) with three distinct coordination modes at pH 7.4. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 127(36):12647–12656, sep 2005.

- [37] Glenn L Millhauser. Copper and the prion protein: methods, structures, function, and disease. *Annual Review of Physical Chemistry*, 58:299–320, 2007.
- [38] Robert C Dunbar, Jonathan Martens, Giel Berden, and Jos Oomens. Binding of Divalent Metal Ions with Deprotonated Peptides: Do Gas-Phase Anions Parallel the Condensed Phase? *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 122(25):5589–5596, jun 2018.
- [39] Giuseppe Sciortino, Giuseppe Lubinu, Jean-Didier Maréchal, and Eugenio Garribba. DFT Protocol for EPR Prediction of Paramagnetic Cu(II) Complexes and Application to Protein Binding Sites, 2018.
- [40] Joanna Nowakowski Michał and Czapla-Masztafiak, Igor Zhukov, Lilia Zhukova, Maciej Kozak, and Wojciech M Kwiatek. Electronic properties of a PrPC–Cu(ii) complex as a marker of 5-fold Cu(ii) coordination†. *Metallomics*, 11(3):632–642, mar 2019.
- [41] Ke Chen, Wenfei Li, Jun Wang, and Wei Wang. Binding of Copper Ions with Octapeptide Region in Prion Protein: Simulations with Charge Transfer Model. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 123(25):5216–5228, jun 2019.
- [42] Liliana Quintanar, Lina Rivillas-Acevedo, Rafael Grande-Aztatzi, Carlos Z Gómez-Castro, Trinidad Arcos-López, and Alberto Vela. Copper coordination to the prion protein: Insights from theoretical studies. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, 257(2):429–444, 2013.
- [43] Colin S Burns, Eliah Aronoff-Spencer, Christine M Dunham, Paula Lario, Nikolai I Avdievich, William E Antholine, Marilyn M Olmstead, Alice Vrielink, Gary J Gerfen, Jack Peisach, William G Scott, and Glenn L Millhauser. Molecular Features of the Copper Binding Sites in the Octarepeat Domain of the Prion Protein. *Biochemistry*, 41(12):3991–4001, mar 2002.
- [44] Maurizio Bruschi, Luca De Gioia, Roland Mitrić, Vlasta Bonačić-Koutecký, and Piercarlo Fantucci. A DFT study of EPR parameters in Cu(ii) complexes of the octarepeat region of the prion protein. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 10(31):4573–4583, 2008.
- [45] Miroslav Hodak, Robin Chisnell, Wenchang Lu, and J Bernholc. Functional implications of multistage copper binding to the prion protein. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(28):11576–11581, 2009.
- [46] William M Ames and Sarah C Larsen. Insight into the copper coordination environment in the prion protein through density functional theory calculations of EPR parameters. *JBIC Journal of Biological Inorganic Chemistry*, 14(4):547–557, 2009.
- [47] Sara Furlan, Giovanni La Penna, Francesco Guerrieri, Silvia Morante, and Gian Carlo Rossi. Ab initio simulations of Cu binding sites on the N-terminal region of prion protein. *JBIC Journal of Biological Inorganic Chemistry*, 12(4):571–583, 2007.
- [48] Yue Shi, Zhen Xia, Jiajing Zhang, Robert Best, Chuanjie Wu, Jay W Ponder, and Pengyu Ren. Polarizable Atomic Multipole-Based AMOEBA Force Field for Proteins. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, 9(9):4046–4063, sep 2013.

- [49] Changsheng Zhang, Chao Lu, Zhifeng Jing, Chuanjie Wu, Jean-Philip Piquemal, Jay W Ponder, and Pengyu Ren. AMOEBA Polarizable Atomic Multipole Force Field for Nucleic Acids. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, 14(4):2084–2108, apr 2018.
- [50] Jeng-Da Chai and Martin Head-Gordon. Long-range corrected hybrid density functionals with damped atom–atom dispersion corrections. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 10(44):6615–6620, 2008.
- [51] R Krishnan, J S Binkley, R Seeger, and J A Pople. Self-consistent molecular orbital methods. XX. A basis set for correlated wave functions. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 72(1):650–654, jan 1980.
- [52] M J Frisch, G W Trucks, H B Schlegel, G E Scuseria, M A Robb, J R Cheeseman, G Scalmani, V Barone, G A Petersson, H Nakatsuji, X Li, M Caricato, A V Marenich, J Bloino, B G Janesko, R Gomperts, B Mennucci, H P Hratchian, J V Ortiz, A F Izmaylov, J L Sonnenberg, D Williams-Young, F Ding, F Lipparini, F Egidi, J Goings, B Peng, A Petrone, T Henderson, D Ranasinghe, V G Zakrzewski, J Gao, N Rega, G Zheng, W Liang, M Hada, M Ehara, K Toyota, R Fukuda, J Hasegawa, M Ishida, T Nakajima, Y Honda, O Kitao, H Nakai, T Vreven, K Throssell, J A Montgomery Jr., J E Peralta, F Ogliaro, M J Bearpark, J J Heyd, E N Brothers, K N Kudin, V N Staroverov, T A Keith, R Kobayashi, J Normand, K Raghavachari, A P Rendell, J C Burant, S S Iyengar, J Tomasi, M Cossi, J M Millam, M Klene, C Adamo, R Cammi, J W Ochterski, R L Martin, K Morokuma, O Farkas, J B Foresman, and D J Fox. Gaussian~16 Revision C.01, 2016.
- [53] Pengyu Ren, Chuanjie Wu, and Jay W Ponder. Polarizable Atomic Multipole-based Molecular Mechanics for Organic Molecules. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, 7(10):3143–3161, oct 2011.
- [54] Jin Yu Xiang and Jay W Ponder. A valence bond model for aqueous Cu(II) and Zn(II) ions in the AMOEBA polarizable force field. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 34(9):739–749, apr 2013.
- [55] Di Qiu, Peter S. Shenkin, Frank P. Hollinger, and W. Clark Still. The gb/sa continuum model for solvation. a fast analytical method for the calculation of approximate born radii. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 101(16):3005–3014, 1997.
- [56] Johan Åqvist, Petra Wennerström, Martin Nervall, Sinisa Bjelic, and Bjørn O Brandsdal. Molecular dynamics simulations of water and biomolecules with a Monte Carlo constant pressure algorithm. *Chemical Physics Letters*, 384(4):288–294, 2004.
- [57] Giovanni Bussi, Davide Donadio, and Michele Parrinello. Canonical sampling through velocity rescaling. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 126(1):14101, jan 2007.
- [58] Xiaoliang Qian and Tamar Schlick. Efficient multiple-time-step integrators with distance-based force splitting for particle-mesh-Ewald molecular dynamics simulations. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 116(14):5971–5983, mar 2002.

- [59] Ulrich Essmann, Lalith Perera, Max L Berkowitz, Tom Darden, Hsing Lee, and Lee G Pedersen. A smooth particle mesh Ewald method. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 103(19):8577–8593, nov 1995.
- [60] Eric G. Kratz, Alice R. Walker, Louis Lagardère, Filippo Lipparini, Jean-Philip Piquemal, and G. Andrés Cisneros. LICHEM: A QM/MM program for simulations with multipolar and polarizable force fields. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 37(11):1019–1029, apr 2016.
- [61] Hatice Gökcan, Erik Antonio Vázquez-Montelongo, and G. Andrés Cisneros. LICHEM 1.1: Recent Improvements and New Capabilities. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, 15(5):3056–3065, may 2019.
- [62] Eric G Kratz, Robert E Duke, and G Andrés Cisneros. Long-range electrostatic corrections in multipolar/polarizable QM/MM simulations. *Theoretical Chemistry Accounts*, 135(7):166, 2016.
- [63] Yingkai Zhang, Tai-Sung Lee, and Weitao Yang. A pseudobond approach to combining quantum mechanical and molecular mechanical methods. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 110(1):46–54, dec 1998.
- [64] Dong Fang, Robin Chaudret, Jean-Philip Piquemal, and G Andrés Cisneros. Toward a Deeper Understanding of Enzyme Reactions Using the Coupled ELF/NCI Analysis: Application to DNA Repair Enzymes. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, 9(5):2156–2160, may 2013.
- [65] Tian Lu and Feiwu Chen. Multiwfn: A multifunctional wavefunction analyzer. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 33(5):580–592, feb 2012.
- [66] Stéphane Noury, Xénophon Krokidis, Franck Fuster, and Bernard Silvi. Computational tools for the electron localization function topological analysis. *Computers and Chemistry*, 23(6):597–604, 1999.
- [67] Giulia Salzano, Martha Brennich, Giordano Mancini, Thanh Hoa Tran, Giuseppe Legname, Paola D’Angelo, and Gabriele Giachin. Deciphering Copper Coordination in the Mammalian Prion Protein Amyloidogenic Domain. *Biophysical Journal*, 118(3):676–687, 2020.
- [68] Rüdiger Bauernschmitt and Reinhart Ahlrichs. Stability analysis for solutions of the closed shell Kohn–Sham equation. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 104(22):9047–9052, jun 1996.
- [69] Pemra Doruker, Ali Rana Atilgan, and Ivet Bahar. Dynamics of proteins predicted by molecular dynamics simulations and analytical approaches: Application to α -amylase inhibitor. *Proteins: Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics*, 40(3):512–524, aug 2000.
- [70] A R Atilgan, S R Durell, R L Jernigan, M C Demirel, O Keskin, and I Bahar. Anisotropy of Fluctuation Dynamics of Proteins with an Elastic Network Model. *Biophysical Journal*, 80(1):505–515, jan 2001.

- [71] Giovanni La Penna, Fabrizio Machetti, Olivier Proux, Giancarlo Rossi, Francesco Stellato, and Silvia Morante. Cu(II)–Glycerol–N-Ethylmorpholine Complex Stability Revealed by X-ray Spectroscopy. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 125(2):1483–1492, jan 2021.
- [72] Hermann C Altmeppen, Berta Puig, Frank Dohler, Dana K Thurm, Clemens Falker, Susanne Krasemann, and Markus Glatzel. Proteolytic processing of the prion protein in health and disease. *American Journal of Neurodegenerative Disease*, 1(1):15–31, 2012.
- [73] Alain Mangé, Florence Béranger, Katell Peoc’h, Takashi Onodera, Yveline Frobert, and Sylvain Lehmann. Alpha- and beta- cleavages of the amino-terminus of the cellular prion protein. *Biology of the Cell*, 96(2):125–132, mar 2004.
- [74] Shu G Chen, David B Teplow, Piero Parchi, Jan K Teller, Pierluigi Gambetti, and Lucila Autilio-Gambetti. Truncated Forms of the Human Prion Protein in Normal Brain and in Prion Diseases *. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 270(32):19173–19180, aug 1995.
- [75] Carolina Sánchez-López, Lina Rivillas-Acevedo, Octavio Cruz-Vásquez, and Liliana Quintanar. Methionine 109 plays a key role in Cu(II) binding to His111 in the 92–115 fragment of the human prion protein. *Inorganica Chimica Acta*, 481:87–97, 2018.