Disorder classification of the vibrational spectra of modern glasses

Zhiwen Pan¹, Omar Benzine¹, Shigeki Sawamura², Rene Limbach¹, Akio Koike², Thomas D. Bennett³, Gerhard Wilde⁴, Walter Schirmacher⁵, and Lothar Wondraczek¹

¹*Otto Schott Institute of Materials Research, University of Jena, 07743 Jena, Germany*

²*Materials Integration Laboratories, AGC Inc., Yokohama, Kanagawa 230-0045 Japan*

³*Department of Materials Science and Metallurgy, University of Cambridge, Charles Babbage Road, Cambridge, CB3 0FS, United Kingdom*

⁴*Institute of Materials Physics, University of Münster, 48149 Münster, Germany and*

⁵*Institute of Physics, University of Mainz, 55099 Mainz, Germany*

Using the coherent-potential approximation in heterogeneous-elasticity theory with a log-normal distribution of elastic constants for the description of the Raman spectrum and the temperature dependence of the specific heat, we are able to reconstruct the vibrational density of states and characteristic descriptors of the elastic heterogeneity of a wide range of glassy materials. These descriptors are the non-affine contribution to the shear modulus, the mean-square fluctuation of the local elasticity, and its correlation length. They enable a physical classification scheme for disorder in modern, industrially relevant glass materials.

We apply our procedure to a broad range of real-world glass compositions, including metallic, oxide, chalcogenide, hybrid and polymer glasses. Universal relationships between the descriptors on the one side, and the height and frequency position of the boson peak, the Poisson ratio and the liquid fragility index on the other side are established.

In contrast to crystalline materials, glasses exhibit aperiodic heterogeneity in local density, chemical composition and structural rigidity. This complicates the extraction of structure-property correlations and predictive tools for experimental materials design or classification. On the other hand, statistical information may be obtained from the vibrational spectrum of the materials, which can be probed by Raman light scattering and by measuring the temperature dependence of the specific heat. Here we use a mean-field theory, which links the vibrational spectrum to the statistics of elastic heterogeneity, to characterize glasses according to their disorder strength and the characteristic correlation length of spatial elastic fluctuations. These descriptors are shown to be related to the elastic properties of the glass and the temperature dependence of the viscosity of the melt (“glass fragility”) and may therefore be helpful for designing new materials for specific applications.

The extraordinary spatial homogeneity of glassy materials underlies their many applications, as it leads to, *e.g.*, optical transparency, characteristic fracture patterns, distinct mechanisms of charge, heat and sound transport, or specific solvation behavior. In turn, the ultimate limit of all of these properties lies in the length scale below which material homogeneity is broken. Following the curious observation that the density of a glass is usually lower than that of its crystalline counterpart (when chemical compositions were equivalent) early hypotheses of network formation [1] and excess free volume

[2, 3] were formulated for different classes of glass. These led to the qualitative paradigm of glass structure, where aperiodic heterogeneity in the atomic packing density, in network rigidity and/or in the distribution of chemical species constitutes the primary difference to a crystalline lattice. Although the process by which a supercooled liquid acquires the rigid glassy state remains poorly understood [4, 5], such types of structural heterogeneity have been related to the heterogeneous dynamics of the liquid [6], liquid fragility [7] and the non-ergodicity factor [8].

However, across all types of glass, quantitative descriptors for structural heterogeneity have been elusive, due to the inability to describe glass structure beyond short length scales. As a result of structural disorder, the fundamental tool for understanding crystal properties, namely the lattice symmetry, is not available for glasses. Instead, empirical or semi-empirical regression models are frequently used for property predictions. For example, the elasticity of multi-component glasses is often described through mean-field regression analysis of bond energy density [9, 10]. Although useful in their simplicity, such practical approaches ignore localization phenomena and non-affinity, which are common to disordered materials across the broadest range of length scales [11] and material chemistries [12]. For example, for brittle glasses with strongly covalent bonding, heterogeneous network topology has been identified to mediate nanoscale ductility [13] and macroscopic plasticity [14, 15].

It turned out that an important property of glasses is the presence of random spatial fluctuations of local elastic constants. It was shown that such heterogeneous elasticity is intimately related to the occurrence of non-affine displacements [16–20]. In metallic glasses, a parameter

denoted flexibility volume was extracted from the spatial distribution of the atomic mean-square displacement as probed by computational simulation [21]. For two- and three-dimensional Lennard-Jones glasses, correlated particle displacements with a correlation length of 20-30 interparticle spacings were found [18, 19]. Similar observations were made in a computer-generated model of amorphous silica [19].

When compared to crystals, the vibrational density of states (VDoS) of glasses exhibits an anomalous excess in the THz regime, which forms a maximum if the VDoS is divided by the square of the frequency (“boson peak”) [22–24]. The VDoS is directly accessible by means of inelastic nuclear [25] and incoherent neutron scattering [26], but only indirectly by inelastic coherent neutron [23, 24, 27], X-ray [28–30], and Raman [14, 31–33] scattering. Further information on the VDoS in the THz regime can be obtained from the temperature (T) dependence of the specific heat $C(T)$ [34, 35], where the boson peak is observed if plotted as $C(T)/T^3$. The possible origin of the boson peak has been discussed rather controversially [36–40]. However, many groups nowadays agree that in most cases spatial fluctuations of the local elastic moduli cause this anomaly [41–43], hence, studies of the THz vibrational anomaly in the VDoS - *in principle* - provide access to the descriptors of spatial elastic fluctuations.

The boson peak related anomalies, including a characteristic shoulder in the temperature dependence of the thermal conductivity, can be rather successfully explained and described by heterogeneous-elasticity theory (HET, [44]). Combined with the self-consistent Born approximation (SCBA) a relation of the excess VDoS with the sound attenuation coefficient was established [45] and the results were tested in detail against extensive molecular-dynamics simulations [41]. In the latter study it was also shown that the decrease of the value of the shear modulus with respect to the average one is due to non-affine elasticity, and that HET accounts for this effect.

As HET describes microscopically the vibrational dynamics of a glass, all spectroscopic data can be expressed in terms of the wavenumber-dependent dynamic susceptibilities, which are obtained from the statistics of the fluctuating elastic constants. In particular the Raman spectra are given as wavenumber integrals of these susceptibilities [31]. Therefore, by means of HET, it is possible to unambiguously extract the VDoS from Raman data [31, 33, 46]. This procedure has been demonstrated to be more reliable than using a phenomenological frequency-dependent coupling constant $C(\omega)$, as is widely done in the literature [14, 31, 32].

However, within SCBA the description of the elastic disorder is restricted to weak and Gaussian fluctuations. As this is not sufficient for the description of a very broad range of disordered materials, HET has been generalized by means of the coherent-potential approximation (CPA) [36, 47]. Within CPA an arbitrary distribution of local elastic constants can be implemented, and there is no re-

striction to weak disorder. However, a systematic analysis of a broad class of glasses by means of HET-CPA has not yet been conducted.

We now present the results of a disorder classification of modern glasses using HET-CPA with a log-normal distribution density of spatially fluctuating elastic constants. This variant of HET features specific descriptors of the statistics of the shear modulus, namely the variance, the geometric mean and the coarse-graining size, which is proportional to the correlation length of the fluctuations. Using the scaling properties of the CPA we obtain a relation between the first two quantities, which reduces the descriptors to the disorder parameter σ^2 and the coarse-graining wavenumber k_e . Evaluating the vibrational spectra of a large number of glassy materials we obtain correlations of these descriptors with the boson peak frequency, the boson peak height, the Poisson ratio and the liquid fragility index. We achieve this goal with experimental input from THz Raman spectroscopy and low-temperature heat capacity, covering all known classes of glass, from metallic to non-metallic, chalcogenide, polymeric and hybrid materials.

Theory

HET-CPA

Within HET-CPA the spatially fluctuating local shear moduli $G(\mathbf{r}_i) \equiv G_i$ are converted to a macroscopic complex, frequency-dependent modulus $G(z)$ ($z = \omega + i\epsilon$, $\omega = 2\pi\nu$ is the angular frequency) by the self-consistent CPA equation

$$\left\langle \frac{G_i - G(z)}{1 + \frac{1}{3}[G_i - G(z)]\Lambda(k_e, z)} \right\rangle_P = 0 \quad (1)$$

The (arithmetic) average $\langle \dots \rangle_P$ is performed with a log-normal distribution density

$$P(G_i, G_0, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{G_i} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} [\ln(G_i/G_0)]^2 \right\} \quad (2)$$

We chose this distribution, because for weak disorder $\sigma^2 \ll 1$ it reduces to a Gaussian distribution (see Fig. 1)¹, but for σ^2 values around and larger than 1 it accounts for a broad range of non-Gaussian disorder [47]. G_0 and σ^2 are the first two descriptors of our classification. G_0 is the geometric mean of the G_i , also called “typical value”, which is for this distribution equal to the median. $\sigma^2 = \ln \{1 + \text{Var}[G_i]/\langle G_i \rangle_P^2\}$ is the disorder parameter, related in the indicated way to the relative

¹ We note that for weak disorder the CPA [47] reduces to the self-consistent Born approximation, SCBA [41, 44], which is based on Gaussian elastic heterogeneity.

variance. $\Lambda(z)$ is the susceptibility function, which is a linear combination of the longitudinal and transverse local susceptibilities $\chi_{L,T}(k_e, z)$

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(z) &= \frac{3}{k_e^3} \int_0^{k_e} dk k^4 \left[\frac{4}{3 - z^2 + k^2 v_L^2(z)} + 2 \frac{1}{-z^2 + k^2 v_T^2(z)} \right] \\ &= \frac{4}{3} \chi_L(k_e, z) + 2 \chi_T(k_e, z)\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

The upper wavenumber cutoff k_e is the third descriptor of our classification. $k_e = \sqrt[3]{2\pi^2/V_c}$ is related to the minimum possible coarse-graining volume V_c for obtaining statistically independent local shear moduli G_i [47]. Therefore k_e is inversely proportional to the correlation length ξ_G of the fluctuating elastic constants² G_i . The complex frequency-dependent sound velocities are given by

$$\rho v_T(z)^2 = G(z) \quad \rho v_L(z)^2 = K_{\text{exp}} + \frac{4}{3} G(z) \quad (4)$$

Here $\rho = MN/V$ is the mass density, M the molecular mass, N the number of molecules, V the sample volume, and K_{exp} the experimentally determined bulk modulus. In our model the fluctuations of the local bulk moduli are considered to be negligible [36, 41].

It is easily checked from Eq. (3) that the relation $\chi_{L,T}(k, 0) = v_{L,T}(0)^{-2}$ holds. Therefore in the static $\omega = 0$ limit the descriptor k_e becomes irrelevant. The zero-frequency limit of the frequency-dependent sound velocities are the experimentally measured sound velocities $v_T = v_T(0) = \sqrt{G(0)/\rho} = \sqrt{G_{\text{exp}}/\rho}$, $v_L = v_L(0) = \sqrt{[K_{\text{exp}} + \frac{4}{3}G_{\text{exp}}]/\rho}$. These quantities, together with ρ are used as input for our fit procedure for obtaining the material descriptors. The dynamical input are the Raman spectra and the temperature dependent specific heat. Within HET-CPA these vibrational spectra are obtained from the dynamic sound velocities (see **Materials and Methods**, MM) $v_{L,T}(z)$, which are related to $G(z)$ via relation (4). The latter is calculated self-consistently from the CPA equations (1), (3), and (4) with the condition $G(0) = G_{\text{exp}}$, using the log-normal distribution [2]. The output are the descriptors G_0, σ^2 and k_e . We emphasize that these descriptors have a well-defined physical meaning.

Static descriptors and non-affinity

Before we discuss the material characterizations obtained by our fit procedure, we now establish a relation between the first two descriptors G_0, σ^2 and G_{exp} . As

FIG. 1: Panel a: Distribution $P(G_i, G_0, \sigma)$ for some archetypal glasses. The labels indicate the characteristic value of G_0 . Panel b: Ratio of the shear modulus G_{exp} and the typical shear modulus G_0 vs. the disorder parameter σ^2 (symbols). Curved red line: $G(0)/G_0$ vs. σ^2 for $f(\kappa=2) = 0.8$ according to the CPA relation (20). Straight dashed lines: Low-disorder CPA relation (6) for several values of f . The shaded area indicates the accessible region within the limits of $f(\kappa)$.

shown in MM, the CPA equation (Eq. (20)) for the ratio $G(0)/G_0 = G_{\text{exp}}/G_0$ does not depend further on G_0 , so this ratio is a function of σ^2 with the parameter

$$f(\kappa) = \frac{1}{3} \left(2 + \frac{4}{4 + 3\kappa} \right) \quad \kappa = \frac{K_{\text{exp}}}{G_{\text{exp}}} \quad (5)$$

which varies very slowly with the ratio κ between the limits $f(\infty) = 2/3$ and $f(0) = 1$. As further shown in MM, in the small σ^2 limit the relation between G_{exp}/G_0 and σ^2 takes the form

$$\frac{G_{\text{exp}}}{G_0} = 1 - \sigma^2 \left(f(\kappa) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \equiv 1 - n \quad (6)$$

It has been demonstrated [41, 43] that the lowering of the macroscopic shear modulus with respect to the local average is due to non-affine elasticity. Therefore we call n (which increases almost linearly with σ^2) the *non-affine parameter*. Then, relation (6), or its exact counterpart, Eq. (20), combine the parameters G_0 and σ^2 into a single descriptor, i.e., σ^2 or n .

Results

Non-affinity and ratio $K_{\text{exp}}/G_{\text{exp}}$

In Fig. 1 we have plotted the ratio $G_{\text{exp}}/G_0 = 1 - n$ vs. σ^2 as obtained for a wide range of glassy materials. The full red line corresponds to the exact relation (20) with $f(\kappa) = 0.8$, which corresponds to $\kappa = 2$, being a representative value for most of our materials. We further see from Fig. 1 that the CPA line for $f = 0.8$ closely

² Comparing our obtained value of $k_e = 0.345 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ for SiO_2 with the correlation length $\xi_G = 33 \text{ \AA}$ obtained in a SiO_2 simulation [19] we have $k_e \xi_G = 11.4$ as proportionality factor.

FIG. 2: Example of the CPA fit procedure for vitreous glycerol. The parametric descriptors G_0 , σ^2 and k_e are provided in Supplementary Table S3 [48]. In Panel a the CPA fit is shown for the isobaric heat capacity C_p with experimental data extracted from Ref. [49]. Panel b shows the reduced Raman spectra $I_{VV,VH}/\omega[n(\omega) + 1]$ extracted from HET-CPA for VV and VH geometries, respectively, with experimental data from Ref. [50] (175 K) and using the depolymerization ratio of Kojima [51]. In Panel c the resulting reduced VDoS $g(\omega)/g_D(\omega) = g(\omega)\omega_D^3/3\omega^2$ are shown. Corresponding experimental VDoS data obtained by inelastic neutron scattering [52] are provided for reference. The dashed red line indicates the Debye level $3/\omega_D^3$.

follows the straight dashed line given by equation (6) for $f = 0.75$ in the regime $\sigma^2 < 1$, *i.e.*, for low disorder strength.

Spectral properties and the correlation length

We now turn to the discussion of the influence of disorder on the spectral properties of glasses. We use the term “boson peak”³ relating to the prominent maximum of the reduced VDoS $g(\omega)/g_D(\omega)$ with $g_D(\omega) = 3\omega^2/\omega_D^3$. The Debye frequency is given by $\omega_D = k_D v_D$, where $k_D = \sqrt[3]{6\pi^2 N/V}$ is the Debye wavenumber and $v_D = v_T [\frac{1}{3}(2 + [\kappa + \frac{4}{3}]^{-3/2})]^{-1/3}$ is the Debye velocity. It has been demonstrated in the literature that the boson peak frequency ω_b coincides with the so-called Ioffe-Regel frequency at which the disorder-induced mean-free path becomes equal to the transverse-acoustic wavelength [41, 42]. In the frequency range above ω_b the disorder dominates the vibrational spectrum

FIG. 3: Frequency positions of the maxima in the reduced Raman intensity (grey diamonds) and in the reduced VDoS (blue triangles) against $\omega_0 = k_e v_0$.

In Fig. 2 we demonstrate our fitting procedure for the archetypal example of glycerol. In Panels a and b we plot the reduced specific heat $C(T)/T^3$ and the reduced Raman intensity $I_{VV,VH}(\omega)/\omega[n(\omega) + 1]$ (see MM) together with the corresponding CPA fits. It is remarkable that the VDoSs obtained from the two data sets (displayed in Panel c) almost agree to each other. Furthermore, there is a fair agreement with the VDoS data obtained by coherent inelastic neutron scattering [52].

In Fig. 3 we have plotted the boson peak frequency ω_b vs. the characteristic frequency $\omega_0 = k_e v_0$, related to the descriptors k_e and $G_0 = \rho v_0^2$. We see that a precise linear relationship

$$\omega_b = 0.333 \omega_0 \quad (7)$$

is obtained. Because $\omega_0 \propto \xi_G^{-1}$, we verify that the Ioffe-Regel crossover from wave-like vibrations to disorder dominated ones happens, when the wavelength becomes of the order of the correlation length ξ_G . In other words, if the mesoscopic transverse sound velocity v_0 is used to convert from the length scale to the frequency scale ξ_G determines the boson peak frequency.

$$\omega_b \propto \omega_0 \propto \frac{1}{\xi_G} \frac{v_T}{\sqrt{1-n}}. \quad (8)$$

In Panel a of Fig. 4 we have plotted the height of the boson peak $\max\{g(\omega)/g_D(\omega)\}$ vs. the relative boson peak position ω_b/ω_D . We obtain a power-law relationship

$$\max\{g(\omega)/g_D(\omega)\} = 0.46 \left(\frac{\omega_b}{\omega_D}\right)^{-0.8} = 1.11 \left(\frac{\omega_0}{\omega_D}\right)^{-0.8}, \quad (9)$$

where the second equality is obtained from Eq. (7). An even more accurate power-law relationship is displayed in Panel b of Fig. 4 for another dimensionless representation of the boson peak height:

$$\omega_0^3 \max\{g(\omega)/\omega^2\} = 2.19 \left(\frac{k_e}{k_D} \frac{1}{1-n}\right)^{2.42} \quad (10)$$

³ Very often in the literature the peak in the reduced Raman spectrum is also called “boson peak”, which causes some confusion.

FIG. 4: Panel a: Double-logarithmic plot of the maximum of the reduced VDOS $g(\omega)/g_D(\omega)$ vs. ω/ω_D with $g_D(\omega) = 3\omega^2/\omega_D^3$. Panel b: Double-logarithmic plot of the maximum of $\omega_0^3 g(\omega)/\omega^2$ vs. $k_e/k_D(1-n)^{-1}$. The grey lines indicate the exponent 0.8 (panel a) and 2.42 (panel b), respectively.

Relations (7), (9), and (10) relate unambiguously the position and the height of the boson peak to the descriptors k_e and G_0 .

Correlation length, poisson ratio and fragility

In Fig. 5a we have plotted k_e/k_D against the Poisson ratio ν as well as against $\kappa = K_{\text{exp}}/G_{\text{exp}}$, showing that the empirical correlation can be better represented by a linear relation with ν than by a linear relation with κ . The correlation with ν is $\nu = 0.06 + 0.56k_e/k_D$.

The Poisson ratio is a rather important figure for designing new glass materials for applications [53, 54]. For example, for $\nu > 0.3$ one expects a brittle-to-ductile transition, therefore, values of $k_e/k_D > 0.5$ would point to a ductile material. This makes sense intuitively, as a large value of $\xi_G k_D$ will lead to a rather rigid network.

A further characteristic property of glasses is the *fragility index* m of its corresponding liquid [7], which is the relative curvature of the logarithm of the temperature dependence of the viscosity η near the glass transition temperature T_g . It is defined by $m = \partial \log_{10} \eta / \partial [T_g/T] |_{T=T_g}$. Novikov and Sokolov [55, 56] proposed an empirical relationship between the Poisson ratio and m for a number of non-conducting glasses. This had been challenged by Yannopoulos and Johary [57], presenting a large number of exceptions. Later it became clear that such relationships appear only in certain material classes [58]. Very recently Østergaard et al. collected viscosity and elasticity data for a very large number of glasses [54]. They have shown that rather than a correlation a trend exists for larger m values in materials with larger ν values, see Fig. 6. In Panel b of Fig. 5 we have plotted m against k_e/k_D of those materials involved in our present study for which viscosity data is available in

FIG. 5: Panel a: Poisson ratio ν (red circles, left scale) and $\kappa = K_{\text{exp}}/G_{\text{exp}}$ (blue triangles, right scale) vs. k_e/k_D . Panel b: fragility m vs. k_e/k_D . Symbol code as in Fig. 1. The grey lines represent linear datafits.

the literature. Indeed we find a weak but notable positive correlation between m and the ratio of k_e/k_D . If we combine this correlation with the one shown in Panel a of Fig. 5 we find $m = 218\nu - 17$, which is inserted as red dotted line into the trend picture of Østergaard et al. in Panel b of Fig. 6. We add Sokolov's correlation for non-metals [58] $m = 29\kappa - 12$. It is seen that it also fits into Østergaard's trend. For our classification it is important to note that large k_e/k_D values prevail for fragile materials.

Discussion

We have already emphasized that our disorder classification essentially reduces to two descriptors, the disorder parameter σ^2 , which is proportional to the non-affinity $n = 1 - G_{\text{exp}}/G_0$ and the upper momentum cutoff k_e , which is inversely proportional to the correlation length ξ_G of the fluctuating elastic constant.

The non-affine reduction of the macroscopic shear modulus G_{exp} , which appears in all types of glass, may be interpreted in terms of acoustic percolation [47]. The local sound propagation is fastest in regions of high rigidity and, in turn, the strain energy is localized in the soft, non-affine regions. Under shear, the rigid backbone regions then enclose the non-affine soft regimes, which feature rotational patterns. Metallic glasses with low σ^2 exhibit less pronounced non-affine features. In the more interconnected morphologies, softer modes become a serious obstacle for sound propagation, leading to an enhanced acoustic path length and therefore a reduction of the effective velocity. This is in perfect analogy of the percolational reduction of the conductivity in disordered networks due to disorder [59] and is the reason for the remarkable relation between non-affinity n and σ^2 .

The remaining descriptor, the correlation length ξ_G of

FIG. 6: Symbols: fragility m vs. Poisson ratio ν as compiled by Østergaard et al. [54]. Dotted red line: Present empirical correlation as obtained from the data of Fig. 5. Dash-dotted green line: Empirical correlation obtained by Sokolov [55, 56, 58] for non-metallic glasses. The grey-shaded region marks the overall trend of reported experimental data.

the spatial rigidity fluctuations features strong correlations with the frequency position ω_b and the height of the boson peak. On the other hand, it is related to the ratio of the shear and bulk modulus κ , and hence to the Poisson ratio ν and – trendwise – to the fragility m .

Let us start discussing the relation $\omega_b = 0.333\omega_0$, revealed in Fig. 3. As $\omega_0 = k_e\sqrt{G_0/\rho}$ is inversely proportional to ξ_G , so is the boson peak position. Such a correlation between ω_b and the inverse of a structure-related correlation function was already conjectured in the early days of the discussion of the boson peak [60, 61], but had never been unambiguously quantified. The velocity connecting the boson peak frequency and the inverse correlation length turns out to be not related to the macroscopic transverse sound velocity v_T but to the average local one, v_0 , i.e., the one related to the typical local shear modulus. Only when a proportionality with v_T is enforced, a structure-related pre-factor appears:

$$\omega_b \propto \omega_0 \propto \frac{1}{\xi_G} \frac{v_T}{\sqrt{1-n}}. \quad (11)$$

We see, if v_T/ξ_G is used instead of v_0/ξ_G , a correction factor $[1-n]^{-1/2}$ appears, which is similar to an empirical “shape factor”, introduced by Duval et al. [61] for accounting for specific material properties in order to improve the correlation between ω_b and v_T/ξ_G . Instead of the shape of rigid inclusions [61], our correction factor accounts for the non-affinity and, by the CPA equivalence (6), to the disorder strength.

Summarizing the trends displayed in Fig. 6, and combining the findings of Figs. 5 and 1, we may say that with increasing liquid fragility, the correlation length (relative to the intermolecular distance) decreases and non-affinity (weakly) increases. The former observation is a strong confirmation for the correlation between liquid heterogeneity and heterogeneity in the solid (glassy) state which was previously found for molecular glass formers and colloidal suspensions [62, 63], where the growing size of correlated regions was identified as the origin

of the dynamic slow-down during glass formation (further support may be found in the correlation between liquid fragility and structural fragility which was discovered for metallic glasses [64]). It is, indeed, known that structures presenting an abundance of soft elastic modes tend to have a higher length scale of shear fluctuation ξ_G and are strong (low Poisson’s ratio). Previous modelling studies [65] found that the amplitude of the boson peak (in Debye units) correlates well with the inverse of the fragility, $1/m$. Our data provide experimental confirmation for this observation, as relation (9) implies $\max\{g(\omega)/g_D(\omega)\} \propto (k_e/k_D)^{-0.8} \propto m^{-0.8}$.

The metal-organic framework glass represents a particular case. A glass formed from ZIF-62, termed a_g -ZIF-62, was obtained through structural collapse of a nanoporous crystal at temperatures only mildly above T_m . As a relic of the crystalline state, the resulting glass contains pores on the scale of 0.5 nm [66], which represents a disperse morphology of soft modes. In terms of the governing length scales and the function of $f(\kappa)$, their properties are similar to metallic glasses. However, among all the considered glass chemistries, they exhibit the highest non-affinity and the highest disorder parameter.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we implemented heterogeneous-elasticity theory in conjunction with the coherent-potential approximation using experimental input from a broad variety of glass chemistries in order to extract the vibrational density of states and the probability distribution density of the local shear modulus of glassy materials. The exclusive use of physically meaningful fitting parameters enables widespread application of our approach to real-world materials, revealing remarkable trends which appear to hold across all classes of glass (despite pronounced differences in bond directionality and localization).

In analyzing our data, we extracted three universal descriptors of disorder and the statistics of elastic heterogeneity: The typical value G_0 and the disorder parameter σ^2 , which characterize the log-normal distribution function, and the momentum cutoff k_e , which is inversely proportional to the correlation length scale ξ_G . Using experimental information on the macroscopic shear modulus G_{exp} , we find a one-to-one relation between the non-affinity $n = 1 - G_{\text{exp}}/G_0$ and σ^2 . This leaves only two independent descriptors, the disorder parameter σ^2 and the cutoff wavenumber k_e , viz. the correlation length. We found a linear one-to-one correlation between the frequency $\omega_0 \propto k_e\sqrt{G_0}$ and the boson peak position ω_b and a power-law relation between the boson peak amplitude and frequency positions in Debye units. We further find a correlation between k_e and the Poisson ratio and the fragility.

It remains to be explored how disordered materials

derived from techniques other than liquid quenching (e.g., the prolific superstrong glasses [67] or pressure-amorphized crystals [66]) would fall into the observed trends. Furthermore, extending the range of observation to materials at the extremes of disorder and with enhanced non-affinity, respectively, would be a significant step in understanding the further role of structural heterogeneity on macroscopic properties through refining the discovered relations, for example, by considering pressurized or autoclaved glasses and mesoporous hybrids beyond the very few examples which are known today. Such insight will open new routes for specific and independent tailoring of non-affinity and structural heterogeneity towards macroscopic properties. Aside mechanical and vibrational behavior, this concerns also transport coefficients sound propagation, heat and ion conduction.

Acknowledgements

This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (L.W., ERC grant UTOPEs, grant agreement no. 681652). T.D.B acknowledges the Royal Society for a University Research Fellowship (UF150021) and the Leverhulme Trust for a Philip Leverhulme Prize.

Materials and methods

Materials

The glass samples used in this study were chosen on the condition of individual material homogeneity and availability of general physical data so as to cover a broad range of materials with variable bonding character and variations in fundamental structural motifs and network dimensionality. All studied compositions are summarized in Table S1 [48], together with their glass transition temperature T_g , liquid fragility index m , mass density ρ and calculated values of the volume density of bond energy $< U_0/V_0 >$ and of the atomic packing density C_g . The experimental (macroscopic) shear, bulk and Young's modulus G_{exp} , K_{exp} and E_{exp} , respectively and Poisson's ratio ν are given in Table S2 [48]. Most glasses were prepared by conventional melt-quenching techniques. Some commercial samples were used as obtained, including a standard soda-lime silicate glass (Marienfeld Superior, Paul Marienfeld, Lauda-Königshofen, Germany), a low-alkali borosilicate glass (Borofloat-33, Schott TGS, Jena, Germany), two variants of vitreous silica (Suprasil, Heraeus Quarzglas, Hanau, Germany, and R300, prepared by a modified chemical vapor deposition route (MCVD), respectively) and a range of optical glasses (Schott, Mainz, Germany). Detailed information on the fabrication procedures are provided in the References given in Tables S1-2 [48]. All materials were used in the form of disks with

a thickness of 1-5 mm and a diameter of 2 mm, polished to optical grade using CeO_2 suspensions. X-ray diffraction (MiniFlex600, Rigaku) was conducted on all samples in order to confirm the absence of any detectable crystalline species. The glass transition temperatures were determined by differential scanning calorimetry (Netzsch STA 449 F3 Jupiter) in flowing nitrogen, employing heating rates of 20 K/min for the MeP, SP and a_g -ZIF-62 glasses, 40 K/min for $\text{Cu}_{46}\text{Zr}_{46}\text{Al}_8$ (40 K/min) and 10 K/min for all other glasses. Density data were obtained with an Archimedes balance using distilled water or dry ethanol as immersion media. Where not already available from previous studies (for References, see Table S2 [48]), the macroscopic (effective) elastic properties were analyzed through ultrasonic echography at room temperature. The longitudinal and transversal sound velocities v_L and v_T were derived from the corresponding sound wave propagation times (recorded with an accuracy of ± 1 ns by piezoelectric transducers operating at frequencies of 8 to 12 MHz) and the exact thickness of the polished glass plates (determined with an accuracy of ± 2 μm using a micrometer screw). The macroscopic elastic moduli were calculated from these values.

Vibrational spectra

Raman scattering spectroscopy was carried-out using a Renishaw inVia confocal Raman microscope equipped with a low-frequency notch filter covering the frequency-range of 0-200 cm^{-1} . Samples were excited with an Argon ion laser operating at 514.5 nm. The signal was collected with a CCD camera at a spectral resolution of 2 cm^{-1} , using a grating with 2400 lines/mm and a 50x microscope objective. All spectra were collected in two polarization geometries, VV and VH, by means of a polarizer/half-wave plate set-up inserted in the laser beamline between the notch filter and the monochromator. For the chalcogenides and the metallic glasses, the vibrational properties were investigated by heat capacity (C_p) measurements at low temperature. For the chalcogenides, this was a precautionary measure because the relatively harsh Raman excitation conditions might have induced experimental artifacts, which would compromise subsequent data analyses. For the metallic glasses, they are generally unsuitable for Raman spectroscopy because first-order Raman bands result from a change in the polarizability of the molecule and metallic materials are infinitely polarizable. Further low-temperature heat capacity data on vitreous silica and on the aluminosilicate glasses (AS) were extracted from a previous study [68]. C_p analyses of the chalcogenide glasses $\text{As}_{38}\text{Se}_{62}$ and GeSe_4 , and of the metallic glasses $\text{Pd}_{40}\text{Ni}_{40}\text{P}_{20}$ and $\text{Zr}_{52.5}\text{Cu}_{17.9}\text{Ni}_{14.6}\text{Al}_{10}\text{Ti}_5$ were conducted on a physical property measurement system (PPMS, Quantum Design) at temperatures down to approximately 2.3 K. C_p data on vitreous As_2S_3 [69] and $\text{Cu}_{46}\text{Zr}_{46}\text{Al}_8$ [70] were taken from literature, as were all experimental data of glycerol

[49–52].

CPA calculation of spectral functions

From the CPA equations (1), (3), and (4) the dynamic sound velocities $v_{L,T}(z)$ are obtained via $G(z)$. From these quantities the VDoS is obtained as

$$g(\omega) = \frac{2\omega}{3\pi} \frac{3}{k_D^3} \int_0^{k_D} dk k^2 \left[\frac{1}{-z^2 + k^2 v_L^2(z)} + 2 \frac{1}{-z^2 + k^2 v_T^2(z)} \right] \quad (12)$$

Here $k_D = \sqrt[3]{6\pi^2 N/V}$ is the Debye wavenumber. The temperature dependent specific heat is given by

$$C_p(T) = A_c \int_0^\infty g(\omega) \frac{\omega^2}{T^2} \frac{e^{\frac{\hbar\omega}{k_B T}}}{\left(e^{\frac{\hbar\omega}{k_B T}} - 1\right)^2} d\omega \quad (13)$$

A_c is a prefactor and $\hbar$ and k_B are Planck's and Boltzmann's constants. The depolarized (VH) and polarized (VV) Raman spectra are [31, 36, 46]

$$I_{VH}(\omega) = A_{VH} [n(\omega) + 1] \left(\frac{4}{3} [\chi_L(k_a, \omega)]'' + 2 [\chi_T(k_a, \omega)]'' \right) \quad (14)$$

$$I_{VV}(\omega) = A_{VV} [n(\omega) + 1] [\chi_L(k_a, \omega)]'' + \frac{4}{3} I_{VH}(\omega) \quad (15)$$

A_{VH} , and A_{VV} are prefactors and k_a is a cutoff related to the correlation length of the Pockels-constant fluctuations $n(\omega) = [e^{\hbar\omega/k_B T} - 1]^{-1}$ is the boson occupation number (to which the boson peak owes its name). In addition to σ^2, G_0, k_e the Raman spectra feature two further descriptors (“Raman descriptors”), which are the wavenumber cutoff $k_a \propto \xi_a^{-1}$, where ξ_a is the correlation length of the pockels-constant fluctuations and the amplitude ratio A_{VV}/A_{VH} of the two types of Pockels-constant correlation functions [31]. As in previous studies [31, 33, 46], k_a turned out to be very near to k_e , see Table S3 [48].

CPA equation for $\omega = 0$

The CPA equation for $\omega = 0$ is

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left\langle \frac{G_i - G(0)}{1 + \frac{1}{3} [G_i - G(0)] \Lambda(0)} \right\rangle_P \\ \Leftrightarrow G(0) &= \left\langle \frac{G_i}{1 + \frac{1}{3} [G_i - G(0)] \Lambda(0)} \right\rangle_P \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

We have

$$\frac{1}{3} \Lambda(0) = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{2}{G_{\text{exp}}} + \frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{K_{\text{exp}} + \frac{4}{3} G_{\text{exp}}} \right) = f(\kappa) \frac{1}{G_{\text{exp}}} \quad (17)$$

with

$$f(\kappa) = \frac{1}{3} \left(2 + \frac{4}{4 + 3\kappa} \right) \quad \kappa = \frac{K_{\text{exp}}}{G_{\text{exp}}} \quad (18)$$

We note that $f(\kappa)$ is a very slowly varying function with

$$f(\infty) = \frac{2}{3} \leq f(\kappa) \leq f(0) = 1 \quad (19)$$

We now introduce dimensionless variables into the second version of Eq. (16): $g_i = G_i/G_0$ and $Q = G_{\text{exp}}/G_0$ and obtain

$$Q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \int_0^\infty dg_i \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \ln\{g_i^2\}}}{1 + f(\kappa) \left[\frac{g_i}{Q} - 1 \right]} \quad (20)$$

We see that Q is an implicit function of σ^2 (and vice versa) with $f(\kappa)$ as parameter

CPA calculation of G_{exp} in the small-disorder limit

We now define new deviatoric moduli:

$$G_{\text{exp}} = \langle G_i \rangle_P - \Sigma \quad G_i = \langle G_i \rangle_P - \Delta_i \quad (21)$$

The CPA equation (16) becomes

$$0 = \left\langle \frac{\Delta_i - \Sigma}{1 - \frac{f(\kappa)}{G_{\text{exp}}} [\Delta_i - \Sigma]} \right\rangle_P \Leftrightarrow \Sigma = \left\langle \frac{\Delta_i}{1 - \frac{f(\kappa)}{G_{\text{exp}}} [\Delta_i - \Sigma]} \right\rangle_P \quad (22)$$

We now treat Δ_i and Σ to be of order σ and obtain to lowest (quadratic) order

$$\Sigma \approx \frac{f(\kappa)}{\langle G_i \rangle_P} \langle \Delta_i^2 \rangle_P \quad (23)$$

Therefore

$$\frac{G_{\text{exp}}}{\langle G_i \rangle_P} = 1 - \frac{\Sigma}{\langle G_i \rangle_P} \approx 1 - f(\kappa) \frac{\langle \Delta_i^2 \rangle_P}{\langle G_i \rangle_P^2} = 1 - f(\kappa) (e^{\sigma^2} - 1) \quad (24)$$

and finally

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \frac{G_{\text{exp}}}{G_0} = \frac{G(0)}{\langle G_i \rangle_{\text{geo}}} = e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2} \left[1 - f(\kappa) (e^{\sigma^2} - 1) \right] \\ &\approx 1 - \left(f(\kappa) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \sigma^2 \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Numerical implementation

The CPA equation can be solved numerically within a few iterations. The resulting solution, the frequency-dependent shear modulus $G(z)$, was then used to obtain the vibrational density of states $g(\omega)$. Fits were constructed in terms of a least square minimization of the difference between the experimental data

and the corresponding theoretical predictions of Eq. (13-15). There were, therefore, two independent fitting procedures involved in this work: the C_p -fit and the Raman-fit. The C_p -fit produced (σ, G_0, k_e) while taking $(v_L, v_T, k_D, C_p(2.3 < T < 20K))$ for input. The Raman-fit produced $(\sigma, G_0, k_e, k_a, A_{21})$ by using $(v_L, v_T, k_D, I_{VV}(\omega), I_{VH}(\omega))$ for input, where $A_{21} = A_{VH}/A_{VV}$. The frequency ranges for Raman spectra were selected from 15 cm^{-1} below the Raman boson peak up to 100 cm^{-1} . Since the fitting problems are ill-posed, we employed Tikhonov regularization. As the criterion for identifying the optimized regularization parameter for the C_p -fit we used the minimum of the smoothness parameter M of the reduced VDoS (M increases sharply if the reduced VDoS starts to oscillate). In this way, an independent, individually optimized regularization parameter was attributed to each glass dataset. For the Raman-fit, the goodness of fit GOF was additionally used. GOF evaluates the maximum curve length of an experimental Raman spectrum which fits to the predicted one. It is noteworthy that, although we restricted the Raman fit input to the spectral range of $< 100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, the obtained fits of most samples showed good agreement to experimental data also up to higher frequency ($< 200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$). The full evaluation procedure was scripted in

order to produce independent and automated fits for Raman and C_p experimental data, respectively. In this way, the VDoS was derived independently from two different experimental datasets.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author contributions

LW conceived of the experiments and wrote the manuscript together with WS. WS, ZP and LW developed the extended HET-CPA. ZP was responsible for numerical implementation and data fits. OB was responsible for the Raman spectroscopic analysis. OB, SS, AK, RL, TDB and GW provided sample material and acquired the supporting physical data, including low-temperature heat capacity measurements. All authors participated in data analysis and evaluation, and were involved in the discussion and manuscript revisions.

-
- [1] W. H. Zachariasen, Journal of the American Chemical Society **54**, 3841 (1932).
 - [2] D. Turnbull and M. H. Cohen, The Journal of chemical physics **34**, 120 (1961).
 - [3] Y. Cheng and E. Ma, Progress in materials science **56**, 379 (2011).
 - [4] G. Biroli and J. P. Garrahan, J. Chem. Phys. **138**, 12A301 (2013).
 - [5] L. Berthier and G. Biroli, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 587 (2011).
 - [6] M. D. Ediger, Annual review of physical chemistry **51**, 99 (2000).
 - [7] C. A. Angell, Science **267**, 1924 (1995).
 - [8] B. Ruta, G. Monaco, V. Giordano, F. Scarponi, D. Fioretto, G. Ruocco, K. Andrikopoulos, and S. Yannopoulos, The Journal of Physical Chemistry B **115**, 14052 (2011).
 - [9] A. Makishima and J. D. Mackenzie, Journal of Non-crystalline solids **17**, 147 (1975).
 - [10] Y. Shi, A. Tandia, B. Deng, S. R. Elliott, and M. Bauchy, Acta Materialia (2020).
 - [11] E. D. Cubuk, R. Ivancic, S. S. Schoenholz, D. Strickland, A. Basu, Z. Davidson, J. Fontaine, J. L. Hor, Y.-R. Huang, Y. Jiang, et al., Science **358**, 1033 (2017).
 - [12] A. Nicolas, E. E. Ferrero, K. Martens, and J.-L. Barrat, Reviews of Modern Physics **90**, 045006 (2018).
 - [13] B. Wang, Y. Yu, M. Wang, J. C. Mauro, and M. Bauchy, Physical Review B **93**, 064202 (2016).
 - [14] O. Benzine, S. Bruns, Z. Pan, K. Durst, and L. Wondraczek, Advanced Science **5**, 1800916 (2018).
 - [15] E. J. Frankberg, J. Kalikka, F. G. Ferré, L. Joly-Pottuz, T. Salminen, J. Hintikka, M. Hokka, S. Koneti, T. Douillard, B. Le Saint, et al., Science **366**, 864 (2019).
 - [16] J. P. Wittmer, A. Tanguy, J.-L. Barrat, and L. Lewis, Europhys. Lett. **57**, 423 (2002).
 - [17] B. DiDonna and T. Lubensky, Physical Review E **72**, 066619 (2005).
 - [18] F. Leonforte, R. Boissière, A. Tanguy, J. Wittmer, and J.-L. Barrat, Physical Review B **72**, 224206 (2005).
 - [19] F. Leonforte, A. Tanguy, J. Wittmer, and J.-L. Barrat, Physical review letters **97**, 055501 (2006).
 - [20] M. Tsamados, A. Tanguy, C. Goldenberg, and J.-L. Barrat, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 026112 (2009).
 - [21] J. Ding, Y.-Q. Cheng, H. Sheng, M. Asta, R. O. Ritchie, and E. Ma, Nature communications **7**, 1 (2016).
 - [22] W. A. Phillips and A. Anderson, *Amorphous solids: low-temperature properties*, vol. 24 (Springer, 1981).
 - [23] U. Buchenau, N. Nücker, and A. Dianoux, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 2316 (1984).
 - [24] B. Frick and D. Richter, Science **267**, 1939 (1995).
 - [25] A. I. Chumakov, Sergueev, U. van Bürck, W. Schirmacher, T. Asthalter, R. Rüffer, O. Leupold, and W. Petry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 245508 (2004).
 - [26] J. Wuttke, W. Petry, C. Coddens, and F. Fujara, Phys. Rev. E **52**, 4026 (1995).
 - [27] G. Monaco and V. M. Giordano, PNAS **106**, 3659 (2009).
 - [28] G. Baldi, A. Fontana, G. Monaco, L. Orsingher, S. Rols, F. Rossi, and B. Ruta, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 195502 (2009).
 - [29] G. Baldi, V. M. Giordano, G. Monaco, and B. Ruta, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 195501 (2010).
 - [30] G. Baldi, V. M. Giordano, and G. Monaco, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 174203 (2011).
 - [31] B. Schmid and W. Schirmacher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**,

- 137402 (2008), see references therein for an extended list of Raman-spectroscopy papers.
- [32] S. Caponi, S. Corezzi, D. Fioretto, A. Fontana, G. Monaco, and F. Rossi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 027402 (2009).
 - [33] A. Schulte, W. Schirmacher, B. Schmid, and T. Unruh, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **23**, 254212 (2011).
 - [34] M. A. Ramos, C. Talón, and S. Vieira, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **307 - 310**, 80 (2002).
 - [35] M. A. Ramos, Low-temperature Phys. **104**, 46 (2020).
 - [36] W. Schirmacher, T. Scopigno, and G. Ruocco, Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids **407**, 133 (2015).
 - [37] T. Nakayama, Rep. Prog. Phys. **65**, 1195 (2002).
 - [38] M. I. Klinger, Phys. Rep. **292**, 111 (2010).
 - [39] A. I. Chumakov et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 225501 (2011).
 - [40] A. I. Chumakov et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 025502 (2014).
 - [41] A. Marruzzo, W. Schirmacher, A. Fratalocchi, and G. Ruocco, Scientific reports **3**, 1407 (2013).
 - [42] H. Shintani and H. Tanaka, Nature materials **7**, 870 (2008).
 - [43] H. Mizuno, S. Mossa, and J.-L. Barrat, Physical Review E **87**, 042306 (2013).
 - [44] W. Schirmacher, EPL (Europhys. Lett.) **73**, 892 (2006).
 - [45] W. Schirmacher, G. Ruocco, and T. Scopigno, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 025501 (2007).
 - [46] B. Schmid, *Theory of low-frequency raman-scattering and other vibrational properties of disordered solids* (2007), diploma thesis.
 - [47] S. Köhler, G. Ruocco, and W. Schirmacher, Physical Review B **88**, 064203 (2013).
 - [48] See Supplementary Information.
 - [49] C. Talón, Q. W. Zou, M. A. Ramos, R. Villar, and S. Vieira, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 012203 (2002).
 - [50] T. Uchino and T. Yoko, Science **273**, 480 (1996).
 - [51] S. Kojima, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 2924(R) (1993).
 - [52] J. Wuttke, W. Petry, and S. Pouget, The Journal of Chemical Physics **105**, 5177 (1996).
 - [53] G. N. Greaves, A. L. Greer, R. S. Lakes, and T. Rouxel, Nature Materials **10**, 823 (2011).
 - [54] M. B. Østergaard, S. R. Hansen, K. Januchta, T. To, S. J. Rzoska, M. Bockowski, M. Bauchy, and M. M. Smedskjaer, Materials **12**, 2439 (2019).
 - [55] M. N. Novikov and A. P. Sokolov, Nature **431**, 961 (2004).
 - [56] M. N. Novikov, Y. Ding, and A. P. Sokolov, Phys. Rev. E **71**, 061501 (2005).
 - [57] S. N. Yannopoulos and G. P. Johari, Nature **442**, E7 (2006).
 - [58] M. N. Novikov and A. P. Sokolov, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 064203 (2006).
 - [59] A. I. Efros and B. I. Shklovskii, *Electronic properties of doped semiconductors* (Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1984).
 - [60] S. Elliott, EPL (Europhys. Lett.) **19**, 201 (1992).
 - [61] E. Duval, A. Boukenter, and T. Achibat, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter **2**, 10227 (1990).
 - [62] X. Qiu and M. Ediger, The Journal of Physical Chemistry B **107**, 459 (2003).
 - [63] L. Berthier, G. Biroli, J.-P. Bouchaud, L. Cipelletti, D. El Masri, D. L'Hôte, F. Ladieu, and M. Pierno, Science **310**, 1797 (2005).
 - [64] N. Mauro, M. Blodgett, M. Johnson, A. Vogt, and K. Kelton, Nature communications **5**, 1 (2014).
 - [65] L. Yan, G. Düring, and M. Wyart, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **110**, 6307 (2013).
 - [66] R. N. Widmer, G. I. Lampronti, S. Anzellini, R. Gaillac, S. Farsang, C. Zhou, A. M. Belenguer, C. W. Wilson, H. Palmer, A. K. Kleppe, et al., Nature materials **18**, 370 (2019).
 - [67] S. Singh, M. D. Ediger, and J. J. De Pablo, Nature materials **12**, 139 (2013).
 - [68] M. F. Ando, O. Benzine, Z. Pan, J.-L. Garden, K. Wondraczek, S. Grimm, K. Schuster, and L. Wondraczek, Sci. Rep. **8**, 5394 (2018).
 - [69] B. Terziyska, I. Bivas, and K. Nenkov, Cryogenics **49**, 171 (2009).
 - [70] Y. Li, P. Yu, and H. Bai, Journal of Applied Physics **104**, 013520 (2008).