1	Title: Development and Evaluation of the performance of the Polar Organic Chemical
2	Integrative Sampler for Linear Alkylbenzene Sulfonate
3	Author names and affiliations: Kazushi Noro*, Yoshinori Yabuki, Junko Ono, and Satoshi
4	Nakamura
5	Research Institute of Environment, Agriculture and Fisheries, Osaka Prefecture, Habikino,
6	Osaka 583-0862, Japan.
7	Correspondence
8	K. Noro, Email: NoroK@mbox.kannousuiken-osaka.or.jp; Tel: +81-72-958-6551.
9	ORCID of the authors
10	K. Noro 0000-0001-9937-232X
11	
12	Abstract
13	A polar organic chemical integrative sampler (POCIS) was developed for the detection of
14	linear alkylbenzene sulfonates (LASs), which are one of the most widely used chemicals
15	globally and represent a type of surfactant agent. Owing to natural disasters and accidents,
16	these LASs have a potential risk to leak into aquatic environments at high concentrations, and
17	thus far, passive sampling methods have not yet been applied in their detection as, being a
18	sorptive compound, they do not easily permeate the membrane of passive samplers. In the
19	present study, the LASs were significantly sorbed onto the polyethersulfonate (PES)
20	membrane, suggesting that the less sorptive polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) membrane is
21	suitable for application in the POCIS device. Calibration experiments showed that the
22	developed POCIS device with Oasis WAX as the sorbent and PTFE as the membrane filter
23	had linear ranges > 28 d and sampling rates ranging from 0.035 ± 0.007
24	(tetradecylbenzenesulfonate) to 0.139 ± 0.024 (dodecylbenzenesulfonate) L d ⁻¹ . Furthermore,
25	this developed POCIS device was validated under non-steady-state conditions via both

26	chamber and field tests. The condition in the chamber test replicated the LAS concentration
27	change in rivers contaminated by LAS-leaked accidents. The time-weighted average
28	concentrations of dodecylbenzenesulfonate measured using the improved POCIS agreed well
29	with those obtained via grab sampling within 21% over the sampling period of 14 d in both
30	the chamber and field tests. Therefore, the developed POCIS can be successfully applied in
31	the detection of LASs in LAS-contaminated aquatic environments owing to chemical leak
32	accidents.
33	
34	Keywords: Passive Sampling, Surfactant, Chemical leak accident, Monitoring.
35	
36	Funding
37	This research was performed by the Environment Research and Technology Development
38	Fund (JPMEERF18S11713) of the Environmental Restoration and Conservation Agency of
39	Japan.
40	
41	Conflict of interest
42	The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal
43	relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.
44	
45	Availability of data and material
46	The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are shown in
47	supplemental materials as tables.
48	
49	Code availability
50	Not applicable.

52 Authors' contributions

- 53 Kazushi. Noro, Yoshinori Yabuki, and Satoshi Nakamura: Conceptualization. Kazushi Noro,
- 54 Junko Ono, and Yoshinori Yabuki: Investigation. Kazushi Noro: Writing Original Draft.
- 55 All authors: Writing Review & Editing.

56

57 Acknowledgements

58 We thank to Ikue Okuda for her technical assistance.

60 Introduction

Safety measures are necessary to control chemical leaks resulting from disasters and
catastrophes in aquatic environments. For example, the Great East Japan Earthquake that
occurred in 2011 resulted in a significant leakage of different chemicals into the environment.
Therefore, it is necessary to develop monitoring methods, prediction models, and risk
assessment strategies with respect to chemical leakages caused by overwhelming disasters
(Nakamura et al., 2019).

67 The polar organic chemical integrative sampler (POCIS), which is a type of passive 68 sampler for aquatic environments, has been suggested as a device for the monitoring of such 69 chemical leaks in aquatic environments (Noro et al. 2019). Such passive sampling provides 70 the time-weighted average (TWA) values of the contaminants present in the aquatic 71 ecosystem without the need for grab sampling. Additionally, the primary advantage of 72 passive sampling is that it is suitable for monitoring the aquatic environment even after an 73 emergency as a complementary monitoring method apart from grab samplings (Noro et al. 74 2019). For example, it has been suggested that passive sampling methods can be applied to 75 monitor the decrease in the concentration of the chemicals that leak into the aquatic 76 environment after the accident, and in a recent investigation, the concentration spikes 77 corresponding to several hydrophilic chemicals (log K_{ow} : -0.55 to 1.26) were accurately 78 measured using a POCIS device under emergency conditions (Noro et al. 2019). All the parts 79 of POCIS devices, e.g., membranes and absorbents, are easily available; thus, first 80 responders, such as local environmental research institutes, can easily equip POCIS devices 81 with different types of membranes and adsorbents depending on the type of chemical leak 82 accident to be investigated.

83 The chemicals to be monitored using the POCIS device following an emergency84 should be decided based on toxicity and abundance, i.e., the potential negative impact of the

85	chemicals (Nakamura et al. 2019). For example, the detection of linear alkylbenzene
86	sulfonates (LASs), which are one of the most widely used chemicals globally and represent a
87	type of surfactant agent, is necessary (Lara-Martín et al. 2005). This is because they are
88	produced and imported into Japan in enormous quantities; hence, there is concern that they
89	might leak into natural environments in the event of disasters and accidents. In 2005, Japan
90	produced and imported 62,088 and 5,472 t of LASs, respectively (Ministry of the
91	Environment of Japan 2017). Therefore, leaked LASs can be hazardous to some aquatic
92	organisms. Even though LASs have low toxicity in humans and other mammals, a review of
93	the aquatic toxicity data on commercially representative LASs revealed that the lowest values
94	of reliable acute LC ₅₀ /EC ₅₀ /ErC ₅₀ for fish, Daphnia Magna, and algae are 1.67, 1.62, and 29.0
95	mg L ⁻¹ , respectively (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development 2006).
96	Conventional methods for detecting LASs include the methylene blue active
97	substances method, high-performance liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry (LC-
98	MS) (Lara-Martín et al. 2005), and the use of biosensors (Nakae et al. 1981; Nomura et al.
99	1998; Lara-Martín et al. 2005). However, passive sampling methods have not yet been
100	applied in the detection of LASs given that they may absorb on membranes, making their
101	permeation to sorbents difficult. In addition, in previous studies, target chemicals of POCIS
102	devices were not chosen based on their abundance, but on their toxicity or measurability
103	using conventional devices (Kaserzon et al. 2012; Noro et al. 2020; Rosen et al. 2018, Yabuki
104	et al. 2018). Therefore, no POCIS device has been developed to monitor LASs, which have
105	relatively low toxicity in aquatic environments.
106	In this study, we selected nine sorbents and two membrane filters to develop POCIS
107	devices for LAS detection in accordance with the optimization method reported by Noro et
108	al. (2020). Thereafter, the performance of the developed POCIS devices were investigated in

109 a chamber in which the non-steady-state conditions that are characteristic of natural disasters

110 or accidents have been replicated. The most suitable sorbent for LAS detection was selected 111 from the nine sorbent candidates based on sorption and recovery experiments. Further, the 112 permeation properties of the LASs with respect to the two membranes types that were 113 employed were evaluated to identify the most suitable membrane filter. The developed 114 POCIS device was then calibrated by performing static renewal experiments. Furthermore, a chamber test was conducted to validate the performance of the developed POCIS devices 115 116 with respect to the detection of LASs under non-steady-state conditions. Finally, a field test 117 was conducted using the developed POCIS device to evaluate its performance under real 118 conditions.

120 Methods

121 Materials

To develop the POCIS device for LAS detection, nine candidate sorbents were 122 123 considered initially, including ENVI-Carb (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA), GC, Active 124 Carbon (both from GL Sciences; Tokyo, Japan), and AC2 (Waters; Milford, MA, USA), which are active carbon-type sorbents, Oasis MAX and Oasis WAX (both from Waters), 125 126 which are ion-exchange polymer-type sorbents, and Pharma FF, PLS3 (both purchased from GL Sciences), and Oasis HLB (Waters), which are polymer-type sorbents. Table 1 shows the 127 128 structures and sizes of these sorbents. To pre-clean all the sorbents, acetone and methanol (10 129 mL each) was used, after which the cleaned sorbents were dried using a stream of nitrogen. 130

Sorbent	Provider	Material Structure		Size (µm)
Oasis HLB	Waters	Polymer	A copolymer of styrene- divinylbenzene and vinyl pyrrolidone	60
InertSep PLS3	GL Sciences	Polymer	A copolymer of styrene- divinylbenzene and vinyl pyrrolidone	50– 70
InertSep PharmaFF	GL Sciences	Polymer	N-containing polar group, styrene-divinylbenzene methacrylate copolymer	45– 65
Envi-carb	Supelco (Sigma- Aldrich)	Nonporous Carbon	Graphitic, nonporous carbon	35– 117
InertSep Active carbon	GL Sciences	Activated carbon	(No additional information)	104– 221
AC2	Waters	Low ash content activated carbon	Low ash content activated carbon	85
Oasis MAX	Waters	Ion-exchange Polymer	Strong anion exchange polymer	30
Oasis WAX	Waters	Ion-exchange Polymer	Weak anion exchange polymer	30
InertSep GC	GL Sciences	Graphite carbon	graphite carbon in a planar structure	37– 74

	Table 1.	Candidate	sorbents	in	this	study.
--	----------	-----------	----------	----	------	--------

132	Microporous polyethersulfone (PES) membranes (pore size, 0.1 µm; thickness, 102-
133	158 μ m) and hydrophilic polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE)-type membrane filters (pore size,
134	$0.1~\mu\text{m};$ thickness, 35 $\mu\text{m})$ were obtained from Nihon Pall Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan) and Toyo
135	Roshi Kaisha, Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan), respectively.
136	An anionic surfactant mixture methanolic standard solution containing sodium
137	decylbenzenesulfonate (C10-LAS), sodium undecylbenzenesulfonate (C11-LAS), sodium
138	dodecylbenzenesulfonate (C12-LAS), sodium tridecylbenzenesulfonate (C13-LAS), and
139	sodium tetradecylbenzenesulfonate (C ₁₄ -LAS), each with a concentration of 1 g L^{-1} , was
140	purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Ind. (Osaka, Japan). The sodium 4-
141	dodecylbenzenesulfonate (RING- $^{13}C_6$) methanolic solution (10 mg L ⁻¹), which was used as
142	an internal standard, was purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc. (Tewksbury,
143	MA). Sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate (C12-LAS) of extra pure grade was purchased from
144	Kanto Chemical Co., Inc. (Tokyo, Japan). Further, LC/MS-grade ultrapure water and
145	ammonium formate solution (1 mol L^{-1}) as well as pesticide-analysis-grade acetone,
146	methanol, hexane, and toluene (Wako Pure Chemical Ind.) were used for extraction and
147	clean-up during the analysis. Ammonia solution, sodium chloride, and formic acid of
148	guaranteed reagent grade were also purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Ind. (Osaka,
149	Japan).

151 Sorption experiments

152 To estimate the sorption ability of the sorbent candidates for C_{10} -LAS, C_{11} -LAS, 153 C_{12} -LAS, C_{13} -LAS, and C_{14} -LAS (C_{10-14} -LAS), batch experiments were performed followed 154 by the execution of an optimization method for the development of POCIS devices (Noro *et* 155 *al.* 2020). To conduct the batch experiment, the anionic surfactant mixture solution 156 containing C_{10-14} -LAS at a concentration of 1,000 µg L⁻¹ was prepared using the methanolic

157 standard solution (1 g L⁻¹) and ultrapure water. Thereafter, 10 mL of the mixture solution and 158 10 mg of the sorbent were loaded into a 10-mL glass centrifugation tube in triplicates. A 159 horizontal shaking apparatus was allowed to shake the glass tube containing the solution and 160 sorbent at 150 rpm for 48 h. The supernatant (1 mL) was then transferred into a vial with the 161 internal standard (IS) solution (100 μ g L⁻¹, 25 μ L) after 10 min of the centrifugation process. 162 C₁₀₋₁₄-LAS concentrations in the supernatant were analyzed using LC-MS/MS. A control 163 experiment was also conducted concurrently.

164

165 *Recovery experiments*

166 The efficiency of C₁₀₋₁₄-LAS recovery from the sorbents via extraction using a mixture of acetone:hexane:toluene (30:65:5 in volume ratio) containing 0.3% ammonium was 167 estimated (National Institute for Environmental Studies, 2017). The sorbent loaded with C₁₀₋ 168 14-LAS was prepared in a similar manner as was the case with the sorption experiments. 169 170 Specifically, the loaded sorbent was placed in an empty solid-phase extraction (SPE) 171 reservoir containing a polypropylene frit. The residual water in the collected sorbent was then removed using an SPE vacuum manifold. The C₁₀₋₁₄-LAS were then eluted using 40 mL of 172 173 the acetone:hexane:toluene mixture containing 0.3% ammonium (National Institute for 174 Environmental Studies, 2017). This was followed by the addition of 25 µL of the IS solution (100 ng L^{-1}) to the solutions. A stream of N₂ was allowed to evaporate the solution to 0.5 mL. 175 176 Thereafter, the solution was diluted to 10 mL by adding methanol and evaporated again to 1 177 mL. The resulting solution was then stored in a glass vial at -20 °C. 178 179 Permeation experiments

180 The permeation properties of the two membrane types used in this study (PES and
181 PTFE) were evaluated as previously described (Endo and Matsuura 2018). The membranes

were used to separate two solutions in glass cells. A mixed C_{10-14} -LAS solution (32 mL, 100 µg L⁻¹ each) and a NaCl solution (32 mL, 84 µg L⁻¹) were added to the donor and accepter cells, respectively. Thereafter, the solution in the cells was agitated for 48 h at room temperature and 200 µL of the sample solution was collected at specific intervals to determine C_{10-14} -LAS concentrations. The obtained solution was then diluted five times using methanol containing the IS solution (25 µL). The obtained data was fitted to the first-order model (Equation 5 in Endo and Matuura 2018).

189

190 *LC-MS/MS conditions*

191 The LC-20 system (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) was used to perform the 192 LC-MS/MS analysis. An InsertSustain C18 column (5 µm, 150 mm × 2.1 mm) (GL Sciences) 193 was used to separate the C₁₀₋₁₄-LAS at 40 °C. Solvents, A (5 mmol L⁻¹ ammonium acetate in 194 0.1% formic acid solution) and B (acetonitrile), were used as the mobile phase. The ratio of A to B ratio was 65:35 (isocratic) and the total flow rate was 0.2 mL min⁻¹. A Triple-QuadTM 195 196 4500 system, which is a tandem mass spectrometer, equipped with an electrospray ionization 197 mass spectrometer source (AB Sciex Pte. Ltd. MA, USA) in the negative ion electrospray 198 mode was used. The mass spectrometric conditions are shown in Table S1 along with the 199 retention time, precursor ion, quantitative ion, and collision energies.

200

201 Determination of linear range and sampling rate via static renewal experiments

Static renewal experiments were conducted to determine the sampling rate (R_s) as well as the linear ranges of the developed POCIS device as previously described (Noro et al. 2019, 2020). The POCIS device (outer diameter, 102 mm; inner diameter, 54 mm) contained dried Oasis WAX (220 mg) with PTFE membrane filters and PES membrane filters on either side. The sample solution (1 L) containing 200 ng L⁻¹ each of the C_{10-14} -LAS mix solution was added into glass beakers in which the POCIS device was installed. The solution was agitated at 18.0 cm s⁻¹, which was determined using an alabaster mass transfer sensor at 20 °C under dark conditions (Noro et al. 2019). The experiment was conducted at 1, 3, 7, 14, 21, and 28 d in triplicate. Changes in the concentrations of C_{10-14} -LAS were avoided by replacing the solutions daily.

The membrane containing the sorbent in the POCIS devices were carefully ejected
from the metal rings after the experiments. The sorbent was obtained and eluted in a same
manner as the recovery experiments (see *Recovery experiments*).

216 The amount of contaminant that accumulated in the sorbent could be described217 based on the following first-order kinetics model.

218
$$M = m \times K_{\rm d} \times C_{\rm w} \times \left(1 - e^{\left(-R_{\rm s} \times \frac{t}{m \times K_{\rm d}}\right)}\right) \cdots ({\rm Eq.1})$$

219 where M (ng) represents the amount of the contaminants that collected in the sorbent, m (kg) 220 represents the sorbent mass, K_d (L kg⁻¹) represents the sorbent-water sorption coefficient, C_w 221 (ng L⁻¹) represents the concentration of the contaminants in solution, R_s (L d⁻¹) represents the 222 sampling rate, and t (d) represents the sampling period.

The duration of the kinetic sampling stage, which represents the period during which the model can be approximated as a linear formula is called the "linear range" (d) $(t_{1/2})$, calculated as

226
$$t_{\frac{1}{2}} = \ln 2 \times m \times \frac{K_{\rm d}}{R_{\rm s}} \cdots ({\rm Eq.}\,2)$$

227

228 Chamber tests

229 Chamber tests were conducted as previously described (Noro et al. 2019).230 Specifically, the peak concentration and half-life of the LASs in an LAS-contaminated river

231	owing to a chemical leak were estimated as 10 mg L^{-1} and 1 d, respectively, using a
232	previously reported simulation model (Nishioka et al. 2019; Noro et al. 2019).
233	A stainless-steel tank containing tap water (volume, 27 L; flow rate, 9 cm s ⁻¹) was
234	used as the test chamber. The developed POCIS devices were installed on a metal rack in the
235	chamber for 0–1, 1–3, 3–14, and 0–14 d. Using a pump (MP-3001; Tokyo Rikakikai Co.,
236	Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), 2 L of the C ₁₂ -LAS solution (13.5 mg L^{-1}) was introduced into the
237	chamber at a flow rate of 1.4 mL min ⁻¹ . By the end of the first day, it is expected that the
238	concentration of the C_{12} -LAS in the chamber should be at 1 mg L^{-1} , which is below the
239	critical micellar concentration of 1.1 mg L^{-1} (International Programme on Chemical Safety,
240	1996). Subsequently, the solution in the chamber was removed at 18.8 mL min ^{-1} using the
241	same pump, and concurrently, the water volume was kept constant using a water level
242	controller (WLC-SA; AS ONE Corporation, Osaka, Japan). Therefore, the concentration of
243	C_{12} -LAS in the chamber decreased by half every day from the start of the second day. In
244	short, the half-life period of the C_{12} -LAS concentration was 1 d. The water samples (1 mL)
245	that were collected several times to check the C ₁₂ -LAS concentration in the tank were
246	transferred into LC vials spiked with the IS solution (25 μL). These vials were kept at 4 $^{\circ}C$
247	until LC-MS/MS analysis.

249 Field test

A field test was conducted at the Ishikawa River in southern Osaka, Japan. The basin area (222 km²) and agricultural area (10 km²) of the river were reported in a previous study (Yabuki et al. 2018). To perform the field test, a downstream point of this river was selected as the sampling spot.

254 To carry out the sampling at the Ishikawa River, which was conducted in June 2020,
255 a protective canister containing three developed POCIS devices was deployed for 14 days

256 (June 3–17, 2020). Grab samples were also collected seven times at intervals of 2–3 d within 257 the 14 days of the POCIS deployment. The grab samples (750 mL) were collected in cleaned 258 glass bottles. Additionally, the flow rate at the sampling spot was measured four times, and were determined to be 29, 24, 40, and 29 cm s⁻¹ on June 3, 8, 10, and 15, 2020, respectively. 259 $R_{\rm s}$ was estimated under 1500 rpm (18 cm s⁻¹) (see Determination of linear range and 260 261 sampling rate by static renewal experiments), which was the same stirring condition that was 262 employed in previous studies (Noro et al. 2019, 2020). Reportedly, R_s is basically constant, above the flow rate at 6 cm s⁻¹ (Toteu Djomte et al. 2018). Therefore, in this study, it was 263 264 reasonable to apply this determined R_s based on a static renewal experiment in the field test.

265

266 Results and Discussion

267 Sorption and recovery experiments

The log of the apparent sorption coefficient (K_d ; L kg⁻¹) values calculated based on 268 269 the results of the sorption experiment are shown in Fig. 1. The C₁₀₋₁₄-LAS was sorbed 270 effectively onto two of the active carbon-type sorbents and one of the ion-exchange 271 polymeric sorbents. (Fig. 1, Tables S2 and S3). However, the polymeric sorbents showed a 272 weaker sorption performance. The log K_d values of the sorbents were determined as 5.9 (C₁₂) 273 to >7 (C₁₀, C₁₁, C₁₄-LAS) for AC, 5.8 (C₁₂-LAS) to >7 (C₁₀-LAS) for AC2, and 6.0 (C₁₂-274 LAS) to 6.9 (C10-LAS) for Oasis WAX (Fig. 1 and Table S4). Thus, these three most 275 performant sorbents (AC, AC2, and Oasis WAX) were selected as candidate sorbents for the 276 recovery experiment. 277 The recovery test was conducted using AC, AC2, and Oasis WAX, which showed

the best performances as candidate sorbents from the sorption experiments. The results of the recovery experiments showed that a C_{10-14} -LAS recovery rate of 78–104% when Oasis WAX was used as the sorbent, while the recovery rate was only 24–42% when AC and AC2 were used (Table S5). These results are consistent with the observation described above that AC

and AC2 have stronger sorption toward C_{10-14} -LAS than Oasis WAX. Therefore, in this

283 study, Oasis WAX, which showed strong sorption and high recovery efficiency, was selected

as the best sorbent for the developed POCIS device.

285

286 *Permeation experiments*

287 With the PTFE membrane, the concentrations of C₁₀-LAS, C₁₁-LAS, and C₁₂-LAS 288 reached equilibria rapidly (Fig. 2, Table S6). Further, the results corresponding to C₁₃-LAS 289 and C₁₄-LAS showed that the PTFE filter absorbed longer-chain LAS only slightly (Fig. 2). 290 The results corresponding to C₁₀-LAS and C₁₁-LAS in relation to the PES membrane were 291 similar to those obtained using the PTFE membrane. Additionally, the equilibrium 292 concentrations of C12-LAS, C13-LAS, and C14-LAS in the accepter cell with the PES 293 membranes installed were lower than those in the cell with the PTFE membranes installed, 294 ranging from 50% (C₁₂-LAS) to 88% (C₁₄-LAS). These observations indicate that these 295 longer-chain LASs were strongly absorbed onto the PES membrane (Fig. 2). Therefore, the 296 PES membrane may not be suitable as a filter in POCIS devices meant for the detection of 297 LASs in aquatic environments.

All the LAS types in the accepter cell showed a short lag time (ca. 1 h) with both the PTFE and PES membranes. The model described in a previous study was fitted to the results (Eq. 5, Endo and Matsuura 2018), and it was observed that the fitted first-order rate constants of C_{11} -LAS were 86% higher for PTFE than for PES, and this could be attributed to the greater thickness of the PES membrane (100–150 µm) compared with the PTFE membrane (35 mm); these results agree with those reported in previous studies (Endo and Matsuura 304 2018, Noro et al. 2020). In summary, the inert and thin PTFE filter was found to be most

- suitable as a membrane filter given that it is associated with a lower risk of lagging.
- 306

Table 2. Linear ranges and sampling rates (R_s) of linear alkylbenzene sulfonates at 20 °C and 1500 rpm. The concentration of each analyte in the solution was 200 ng L⁻¹.

	C ₁₀ -LAS ^a	C ₁₁ -LAS ^b	C ₁₂ -LAS ^c	C ₁₃ -LAS ^d	C ₁₄ -LAS ^e
Linear range (d)	> 28	> 28	> 28	> 28	> 28
R (I d ⁻¹)	0.101	0.139	0.110	0.071	0.035
$M_{s}(L, \mathbf{u}^{-})$	± 0.007	± 0.024	± 0.007	± 0.010	± 0.007

^a sodium decylbenzenesulfonate

^b sodium undecylbenzenesulfonate

^c sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate

^d sodium tridecylbenzenesulfonate

^e sodium tetradecylbenzenesulfonate

307

308 Sampling rates and linear ranges

309 Oasis WAX was selected as the sorbent for the developed POCIS device meant for 310 LAS monitoring. Therefore, the static renewal experiment was conducted using the 311 developed POCIS with Oasis WAX as the sorbent and with either PES or PTFE as the 312 membrane filter. The results corresponding to the POCIS device with the PTFE membrane 313 (PTFE POCIS) are presented in Fig. 3 and Tables 2, S7, and S8. 314 The R_s values and linear ranges of the LASs corresponding to the PTFE POCIS device were estimated using the model shown in Eq. 1 based on the K_d values obtained 315 316 following the sorption experiment (Table S3, Fig. 1). The obtained R_s values of the LASs

317ranged from 0.035 ± 0.007 (C14-LAS) to 0.139 ± 0.024 (C11-LAS), and their linear ranges318were determined to be > 28 d.

319	The amounts of C ₁₀ -LAS, C ₁₁ -LAS, and C ₁₂ -LAS that accumulated in the POCIS
320	device rapidly increased within the first seven days, after which the uptake rates decreased
321	gradually. Therefore, it is possible that the developed POCIS would overestimate the
322	concentrations of C ₁₀ -LAS, C ₁₁ -LAS, and C ₁₂ -LAS within the first seven days. This uptake
323	shape would appear to be due to sorbent saturation. However, the linear range of the PTFE
324	POCIS device for LAS detection was evidently above 28 d, as mentioned above, implying
325	the adsorption did not reaches the saturation.
326	The static renewal experiment for C_{14} -LAS seemed to show a time lag (Fig. 3),
327	possibly due to the sorption of C_{14} -LAS on the PTFE filter, as mentioned in the permeation
328	experiment (Fig. 2). Therefore, such a time lag should be considered when the developed
329	POCIS is used in monitoring C ₁₄ -LAS for a short time period (≤ 3 d).
330	Besides, the POCIS device with the PES membrane (PES POCIS) accumulated 0.4
331	(C ₁₄ -LAS) to 54.1 (C ₁₁ -LAS) ng of LAS for a period of over three days. This is ca. 80% less
332	than the accumulated LAS associated with the PTFE POCIS device. This is because the
333	strong sorption of the LAS on the PES is a limiting factor in LAS uptake by the PES POCIS
334	device. Thus, Oasis WAX and the PTFE membrane were identified as the most promising
335	combination for LAS monitoring using the POCIS device.
336	
337	Evaluation of the POCIS using the chamber test

338 The POCIS device was tested in a chamber in which non-steady-state conditions 339 were replicated, with the peak C_{12} -LAS at 1,000 µg L⁻¹. The results obtained are shown in 340 Fig. 4 and Tables S9, S10. Further, the average concentration of C_{12} -LAS in the chamber, which is a theoretical value that represents the POCIS-measured value, was calculatedalongside the POCIS sampling.

343 The developed POCIS device captured the change in the concentration of C_{12} -LAS

344 effectively (Fig. 4(A)). Additionally, the ratio of the POCIS-measured values to the TWA

values ranged from 98.9 (sampling period; 0–14 d) to 122% (sampling period; 3–14 d) (Fig.

346 4(B)), and the differences between the POCIS-measured values and the TWA values were

347 within 25% (Fig. 4(B)). The overestimation that characterized the shorter sampling periods

348 (0-1 d and 1-3 d) was possibly due to the relatively rapid uptake of the C₁₂-LAS by the

349 POCIS device within short sampling periods as shown in *Sampling rates and linear ranges*

350 and Fig. 3.

Assuming a chemical leak accident, these results indicate that the developed POCIS device effectively captured changes in the concentration of C₁₂-LAS. Therefore, it would be suitable for application in monitoring LAS in case of an emergency. A commercial HLBbased POCIS device showed sorbent saturation in a chamber test involving neonicotinoid pesticides at a maximum concentration of 1,000 μ g L⁻¹ (Noro et al. 2019). Thus, it is necessary to optimize the POCIS device so that it can be applied in the detection of LAS at high concentrations.

358

359 Field test

The results of the field test are shown in Table 3 and Figs. 5 and 6. The LAS concentrations obtained based on the grab samples ranged from 118 (C₁₃-LAS, 17th June) to 1.66×10^3 (C₁₁-LAS, 8th June) ng L⁻¹ (Fig. 5), and the mean concentrations of LAS in the grab samples (C_{mean} ; ng L⁻¹) were 393 (C₁₀-LAS), 859 (C₁₁-LAS), 645 (C₁₂-LAS), and 274 (C₁₃-LAS) ng L⁻¹ (Table 3, Fig. 5), which were all below the lowest environmental LAS concentration criterion (0.02 mg L⁻¹) in Japan. The Ministry of the Environment in Japan has

366	reported that the measured environmental LAS concentration in Japan within the 2013–2015
367	period was in the range 0.06–800 μ g L ⁻¹ (Ministry of the Environment, Japan 2018). Thus,
368	the measured values obtained in this study are comparable with those reported in literature
369	(Ministry of the Environment, Japan 2018). In addition, the POCIS-measured values
370	calculated using Eq. 1 were 375 ± 83 (C ₁₀ -LAS), 713 ± 185 (C ₁₁ -LAS), 673 ± 167 (C ₁₂ -
371	LAS), and 467 \pm 144 (C ₁₃ -LAS) ng L ⁻¹ (Table 3, Fig. 5). Even though the presence of C ₁₄ -
372	LAS was not detected in the grab samples, LAS measurements using the developed POCIS
373	device showed a concentration of 3.6 ± 1.2 ng L ⁻¹ for C ₁₄ -LAS. The integration of
374	contaminants is an advantage of the POCIS method compared with grab sampling with
375	respect to the detection of LASs at low concentrations.
376	The mean LAS concentration values based on the grab sampling were compared
377	with the POCIS-measured values (Fig. 6). The ratio of the POCIS-measured value to the
378	C_{mean} values ranged from 83 ± 22 (C ₁₁ -LAS) to 171 ± 53 (C ₁₃ -LAS) % (Fig. 6). The relatively
379	high ratio of the POCIS-measured values to the C_{mean} value of C ₁₃ -LAS may be due to the
380	integration of the concentration peaks that were not captured by grab sampling. Overall, the
381	developed POCIS effectively captured LAS concentrations in the natural condition.
382	

	^a C ₁₀ -LAS	^b C ₁₁ -LAS	^c C ₁₂ -LAS	^d C ₁₃ -LAS	^e C ₁₄ -LAS
$C_{\text{mean}} \left(\text{ng } L^{-1} \right)$	393	859	645	274	n.d.
POCIS-measured value*	275 + 92	$713 \pm$	$673 \pm$	$467 \pm$	26 ± 1.2
$(ng L^{-1})$	$3/3 \pm 83$	185	167	144	3.0 ± 1.2

Table 3. Results of the field test.

 C_{mean} : mean value of grab samplings, n.d.: not determined, *: n = 3, error = 1 σ ^a sodium decylbenzenesulfonate

^b sodium undecylbenzenesulfonate

^c sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate

^d sodium tridecylbenzenesulfonate

^e sodium tetradecylbenzenesulfonate

384

385 Conclusions

The developed POCIS showed suitability for the passive sampling of C₁₀₋₁₄-LAS 386 387 owing to its linear range and R_s . This is the first report on the application of the PTFE 388 membrane in a POCIS device to monitor LASs, which cannot be realized using the PES POCIS device. Further, chamber experiments showed that this optimized PTFE POCIS 389 390 device responded sufficiently to a concentration spike under high concentration condition $(\sim 1 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ and also showed sensitivity under low LAS concentration conditions $(\sim 1 \text{ µg } \text{L}^{-1})$ 391 ¹) as evidenced by the results of the field test. In future studies, it would be necessary to 392 393 clarify the effects of coexisting chemicals in the natural water environment on the 394 performance of the device. It will also be necessary to clarify the effects of temperature changes and to determine which chemicals might leak into the aquatic environment in case 395 of an accident. 396

397

399 References

400	Endo S. & Matsuura Y. (2018) Characterizing sorption and permeation properties of membrane
401	filters used for aquatic integrative passive samplers. Environmental Science and
402	<i>Technology</i> , <i>52</i> (4), 2118–2125.
403	International Programme on Chemical Safety. (1996) Environmental Health Criteria; 169.
404	http://www.inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc/ehc169.htm/(accessed 4 September 2020)
405	Kaserzon S. L., Kennedy K., Hawker D. W., Thompson J., Carter S., Roach A.C., Booij K. &
406	Mueller J. F. (2012) Development and calibration of a passive sampler for
407	perfluorinated alkyl carboxylates and sulfonates in water. Environmental Science and
408	<i>Technology</i> , 46(9), 4985–4993.
409	Lara-Martín P. A., Gómez-Parra A. & González-Mazo E. (2005) Determination and
410	distribution of alkyl ethoxysulfates and linear alkylbenzene sulfonates in coastal marine
411	sediments from the Bay of Cadiz (southwest of Spain). Environmental Toxicology and
412	Chemistry, 24(9), 2196–2202.
413	Ministry of the Environment, Japan. (2017) Emission amount of cleaners and cosmetics.
414	Tokyo, Japan.
415	https://www.env.go.jp/chemi/prtr/result/todokedegaiH27/suikei/sanko7.pdf/(accessed
416	13 May 2020) [in Japanese].
417	Ministry of the Environment, Japan. 2018. Public water area - Water quality measurement
418	results. Tokyo, Japan. http://www.env.go.jp/ water/suiiki/(accessed 13 May 2020) [in
419	Japanese].
420	Nakae A., Tsuji K. & Yamanaka M. (1981) Determination of alkyl chain distribution of
421	alkylbenzenesulfonates by liquid chromatography. Analytical Chemistry, 53(12), 1818-
422	1821.
423	Nakamura S., Tawa Y. & Yabuki Y. (2019) Chemical measures to prepare for emergency

- 424 disasters and accidents at Osaka prefecture. *Journal of Environmental Chemistry*, 29(2),
- 425 1–10. [in Japanese with English abstract].

- 426 National Institute for Environmental Studies. Webkis-Plus. Tsukuba, Japan.
 427 https://www.nies.go.jp/kisplus/images/bunseki/pdfs/kurohon/2017/adoc2017-3-
- 428 0501.pdf/(accessed 24 May 2020) [in Japanese].
- Nishioka T., Iwasaki Y., Ishikawa Y., Yamane M., Morita O. & Honda H. (2019) Validation of
 aist-shanel model based on spatiotemporally extensive monitoring data of linear
 alkylbenzene sulfonate in Japan: Toward a better strategy on deriving predicted
 environmental concentrations. *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management*, *15*(5), 750–759.
- 434 Nomura Y., Ikebukuro K., Yokoyama K., Takeuchi T., Arikawa Y., Ohno S. & Karube I. (1998)
 435 Application of a linear alkylbenzene sulfonate biosensor to river water monitoring.
 436 *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 13(9), 1047–1053.
- 437 Noro K., Endo S., Shikano Y., Banno A. & Yabuki Y. (2020) Development and calibration of
 438 the polar organic chemical integrative sampler (POCIS) for neonicotinoid pesticides.
 439 *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 39(7), 1325–1333. DOI: 10.1002/etc.4729

Noro K., Yabuki Y., Banno A., Tawa Y. & Nakamura S. (2019) Validation of the application of

- 441 a polar organic chemical integrative sampler (POCIS) in non-steady-state conditions in
- 442 aquatic environments. *Journal of Water and Environment Technology*, 17(6), 432–447.
- 443 Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2006) OECD high production
 444 volume chemicals program (2006): screening information data set initial assessment
- 445 report. Paris, France. http://www.inchem.org/pages/sids.html/(accessed 24 May 2020)
- 446 Rosen G., Lotufo G. R., George R. D., Wild B., Rabalais L. K., Morrison S. & Belden J. B.
- 447 (2018) Field validation of POCIS for monitoring at underwater munitions sites.
 448 *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 37(8), 2257–2267.

449	Toteu Djomte V., Taylor R. B., Chen S., Booij K. & Chambliss C. K. (2018) Effects of
450	hydrodynamic conditions and temperature on polar organic chemical integrative
451	sampling rates. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 37(9), 2331–2339.

- 452 Yabuki Y., Nagai T., Inao K., Ono J., Aiko N., Ohtsuka N., Tanaka H. & Tanimori S. (2016)
 453 Temperature dependence on the pesticide sampling rate of polar organic chemical
 454 integrative samplers (POCIS) *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry, 80*(10),
 455 2069–2075.
- Yabuki Y., Ono J., Nagai T., Inao K. & Tanimori S. (2018) Determining the suitability of a
 polar organic chemical integrated sampler (POCIS) for the detection of pesticide
 residue in the Ishikawa river and its tributary in Osaka, Japan. *J Pesticides Science*,

459 *43*(1), 18–23.

461 Figures



462

463 Fig. 1. Log of the apparent sorption coefficient (K_d; L kg⁻¹) values calculated based on the
464 results of the sorption experiments. The target chemicals were sodium decylbenzenesulfonate

465 (C_{10}), sodium undecylbenzenesulfonate (C_{11}), sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate (C_{12}), sodium

466 tridecylbenzenesulfonate (C_{13}) , and sodium tetradecylbenzenesulfonate (C_{14}) . The initial

467 concentration of each analyte was 1,000 μ g L⁻¹. The weight of the sorbents was 10 mg, and

468 the volume of the solution was 10 mL. The error bars show 1 σ (n = 3).

469 AC: InertSep Active Carbon (GL Sciences), AC2: AC2 (Waters), WAX: Oasis WAX

- 470 (Waters), GC: InertSep GC (GL Sciences), MAX: Oasis MAX (Waters), HLB: Oasis HLB
- 471 (Waters), EnviC: Envi-carb (Supelco), PLS3: InertSep PLS3 (GL Sciences), FF: InertSep
- 472 PharmaFF (GL Sciences). * and ** indicate $\log K_d > 7$.



474 Fig. 2. Permeation experiments with polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) and polyethersulfone
475 (PES) membrane filters. The target chemicals were sodium decylbenzenesulfonate (C₁₀),
476 sodium undecylbenzenesulfonate (C₁₁), sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate (C₁₂), sodium
477 tridecylbenzenesulfonate (C₁₃), and sodium tetradecylbenzenesulfonate (C₁₄). The lines
478 indicate the first-order model fit (Eq. 5, Endo and Matuura, 2018). The solid lines and dashed
479 lines indicate the results of the donner cell and the accepter cell, respectively.



481 Fig. 3. Amounts of linear alkylbenzene sulfonates accumulated in the polar organic chemical
482 integrative sampler. The target chemicals were sodium decylbenzenesulfonate (C₁₀), sodium
483 undecylbenzenesulfonate (C₁₁), sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate (C₁₂), sodium

484 tridecylbenzenesulfonate (C₁₃), and sodium tetradecylbenzenesulfonate (C₁₄). In all cases, the

- 485 temperature was maintained at 20 °C and the experiments were conducted under dark
- 486 conditions. The concentration of each analyte in the solution was 200 ng L^{-1} . The error bars

487 show 1σ (n = 3), and the dotted line indicates model fitting (Eq. 1) with the K_d values based



488 on the sorption experiments.

490 Fig. 4 Comparison of polar organic chemical integrative sampler (POCIS)-measured values



- 492 dodecylbenzenesulfonate in the aqueous solution. (A) Time series of the chamber
- 493 experiment. The vertical error bar shows 1σ (n = 3). (B) Ratio of POCIS-measured values to





Day-Month

496 Fig. 5. Results of field test conducted at the Ishikawa River for sodium

- 497 decylbenzenesulfonate (C_{10}) , sodium undecylbenzenesulfonate (C_{11}) , sodium
- 498 dodecylbenzenesulfonate (C_{12}) , and sodium tridecylbenzenesulfonate (C_{13}) . The closed
- 499 circles show the concentration measured via grab samplings. The lines show the mean values
- 500 corresponding to the grab samples. The dashed lines show the concentration measured using
- 501 the polar organic chemical integrative sampler (n = 3). The sampling period was June 3–17,
- 502 2020 at the Ishikawa River.





504 Fig. 6. Ratio the POCIS-measured values to the mean values corresponding to the grab

- 505 samples (C_{mean}) based on the field test. The detected chemicals were sodium
- 506 decylbenzenesulfonate (C₁₀-LAS), sodium undecylbenzenesulfonate (C₁₁-LAS), sodium
- 507 dodecylbenzenesulfonate (C₁₂-LAS), and sodium tridecylbenzenesulfonate (C₁₃-LAS). The
- 508 sampling period was from June 3–17, 2020 at the Ishikawa River. The vertical error bar
- 509 shows 1σ (n = 3).