RE-SELEX: Restriction Enzyme-Based Evolution of Structure-Switching Aptamer Biosensors

Aimee A Sanford¹, Alexandra E Rangel², Trevor A Feagin², Robert G Lowery³, Hector S Argueta-Gonzalez¹, and Jennifer M Heemstra^{1*}

¹ Department of Chemistry, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia 30322, USA

² Department of Chemistry and the Center for Cell and Genome Science, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, USA

³ BellBrook Labs, LLC, Madison, Wisconsin 53711, USA

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +1 404 727 7766; Email: jen.heemstra@emory.edu

ABSTRACT

Aptamers are widely employed as recognition elements in small molecule biosensors due to their ability to recognize small molecule targets with high affinity and selectivity. Structure-switching aptamers are particularly promising for biosensing applications because target-induced conformational change can be directly linked to an output. However, traditional evolution methods do not select for the significant conformational change needed to create structure-switching biosensors. Modified selection methods have been described to select for structure-switching architectures, but these remain limited by the need for immobilization. Herein we describe the first homogenous, structure-switching aptamer selection that directly reports on biosensor capacity for the target. We exploit the activity of restriction enzymes to isolate aptamer candidates that undergo target-induced displacement of a short complementary strand. As an initial demonstration of the utility of this approach, we performed selection against kanamycin A. Four enriched candidate sequences were successfully characterized as structure-switching biosensors for detection of kanamycin A. Optimization of biosensor conditions afforded facile detection of kanamycin A (90 μ M – 10 mM) with high selectivity over three other aminoglycosides. This research demonstrates a general method to directly select for structure-switching biosensors and can be applied to a broad range of small molecule targets.

INTRODUCTION

Small molecules (<900 MW) play an important role in human health. A prominent example is their use in therapeutics, including antibiotics such as ampicillin and kanamycin or chemotherapeutics such as taxol. While these examples generally benefit overall human health, naturally occurring and synthetic small mole-

cules can also pose detrimental effects depending on exposure levels (1). For instance, overuse of antibiotics in medicine and agriculture has led to the emergence of multi-drug resistant bacteria. This highlights the need for methods to rapidly and selectively detect these and other small-molecule analytes. Conventional detection techniques are limited in that they require expensive experimentation or user-specific expertise (1, 2), and recent efforts have focused on novel approaches that are cost-effective and user-friendly without sacrificing sensitivity. This is a major challenge for small molecules due to their size and reduced number of binding epitopes compared to larger biomolecules such as proteins.

Nucleic acids are well suited to address these shortcomings due to their ability to “recognize” other biomolecules through non-covalent interactions. This molecular recognition is the key feature of aptamers, which are single stranded nucleic acids that can be evolved *in vitro* through the Systematic Evolution of Ligands by Exponential Enrichment (SELEX) to bind to a target of interest with high affinity (3, 4). Aptamers can also demonstrate impressively high selectivity by distinguishing between molecules with only subtle differences in structure (5). For these reasons, aptamer-based sensors have shown great promise for biosensing applications, and they are especially well-suited for the development of low-cost, user-friendly methods due to their stability, ease of synthesis, and reusability (1, 2). The platforms reported for aptamer-based detection of small molecules are vast, but the structure-switching biosensor format is among the most promising because the biorecognition event can be directly transduced into a readable output (6, 7). In a typical structure-switching biosensor, the fluorophore-labeled aptamer is hybridized to a quencher-labeled short complementary capture strand. Upon introduction of the target, the capture

strand is displaced, which results in a dose-dependent increase in fluorescence (6, 7). The biggest challenge with this method is that few aptamers generated using conventional SELEX inherently demonstrate the significant conformational change needed to be incorporated into structure-switching biosensors (6). As a result, the majority of biosensors reported in the literature rely on a small handful of aptamers that have inherent structure-switching capabilities.

Several approaches have been described to engineer or select structure-switching biosensors. The most common method is to first select for binding, and then test and optimize potential complementary strands to achieve structure-switching displacement behavior (8–11). However, this approach has a high failure rate as most aptamers do not undergo a significant enough change in conformation or signal response upon target recognition. More recent approaches have focused on universal designs that does not require the aptamer itself to be structure-switching (12, 13). These approaches have shown great promise for engineering truncations and modulating affinity for subsequent use as biosensors (14). An alternative strategy is to directly select for structure-switching aptamers using SELEX (15–17). Initial efforts in this area took advantage of beads to immobilize the capture strand either before or after library hybridization (16, 18). Library members containing a complementary “docking” stretch will hybridize to the immobilized capture strand, then washing is performed to remove non-hybridized members. Upon addition of the target, sequences that are displaced from the capture strand can be eluted and recovered. However, a key limitation that we have found when using this method in our lab is that the equilibrium for binding of the library to the beads is being constantly re-established with each wash, and thus a significant number of non-functional sequences are inevitably recovered during the target elution step. One benefit of this method is that it does not require target immobilization, which avoids issues associated with small molecule conjugation (16, 19). However, the selection step is still considered heterogenous because it requires immobilization of the capture strand and incubation of the library with beads. This and the challenge of non-selective background elution described above is likely why this method has not yet found widespread adoption, and recent reports have questioned the utility of Capture SELEX methods for downstream structure-switching biosensor applications (19, 20).

Seeking to overcome the aforementioned challenges, we designed a new structure-switching SELEX method that utilizes a homogenous isolation step to distinguish between active and inactive library members without the need to immobilize target or capture strand. We envisioned that restriction enzymes could be exploited for this task because they are highly selective for their cognate dsDNA palindromic recognition sites (21). Specifically, construction of a library having the restriction site encoded in the duplex region where the aptamer and capture strand hybridize would allow for library members that are displaced in response to target to evade digestion, while members that remain hybridized to be digested. Further, because this restriction site would also overlap with a primer binding site on the library, only functional and non-digested members would be capable of exponential amplification in PCR. Herein, we describe the development of our restriction enzyme SELEX (RE-SELEX) method and its validation and benchmarking using kanamycin A, a small molecule target that has been the focus of previous structure-switching evolution methods (16, 22). In our initial selection design, we observed enrichment of sequences that evade restriction cleavage without binding to the target molecule. We subsequently incorporated a negative selection step to remove these sequences, and after eleven rounds of this re-optimized SELEX, we identified several candidates that showed biosensor activity with kanamycin A. The most promising sequence, K16-1, provided dose-dependent signal for kanamycin A concentrations of 50 μ M–10 mM in a structure-switching biosensor format. We also demonstrate the selectivity of this biosensor and its superior performance in the structure-switching format compared to previous sequences reported from Capture SELEX. Together, this research provides a new approach to the direct selection of structure-switching biosensors for small-molecule targets. We anticipate that this will accelerate the use of aptamers in biosensing applications for a wide range of drugs, toxins, and other biologically important molecules.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Materials

All materials were purchased from commercial vendors and used without further purification. All DNA sequences were purchased from the University of Utah DNA/Peptide Synthesis Core Facility or Integrated DNA Technologies. A list of oligonucleotides is provided in Supplementary Figure 2. All oligonucleotides were purified

by 10 % denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis prior to use. Gel bands were excised and incubated in crush and soak buffer at 95 °C for 2 h. The DNA was then separated from the gel pieces using Cellulose Acetate Membrane Filters (ThermoFisher) and concentrated using Amicon Ultra-0.5 Centrifugal Unit with Ultracel 10 membrane (EMD Millipore).

EcoRI-based SELEX

Digestion. Using slow cooling from 95 °C to 25 °C over 30 min in a thermal cycler, the library(5'/FAM/CGCAACAGCTTATTTCAGAAATTCATTN40AGATAGTAAGTGCAATCTCGGC-3') was hybridized to unfunctionalized capture sequence (5'-AATGAATTCTGA-3') in a 1:2 ratio in selection buffer to a final concentration of 1 µM library and 2 µM capture sequence (Round 1, 1 nmol; Round 2+, 100-200 pmol). The composition of the selection buffer was 50 % 100 mM sodium chloride, 20 mM tris-hydrochloride, 2 mM magnesium chloride, 5 mM potassium chloride, and 1 mM calcium chloride (pH 7.6); 50 % 1X Cut Smart Buffer (New England Biolabs) 50 mM potassium acetate, 20 mM tris-acetate, 10 mM magnesium acetate, 100 µg/mL bovine serum albumin (pH 7.9).

The hybridized complex was then divided into portions with a 10:1 ratio of complex to EcoRI-HF (100,000 U/mL) (New England Biolabs). The solutions were incubated for 2 h at 37 °C, followed by 20 min at 65 °C to denature the EcoRI. The samples were then run on a 10 % PAGE gel at 270 V for 30-45 minutes. The gel was stained with SYBR Gold for 20 min in 1X Tris/Borate/EDTA (TBE) buffer. The desired gel band (70 nt) was visualized using a UV-transilluminator, excised, and incubated in crush and soak buffer at 37 °C for 16 h. The DNA was then separated from the gel pieces using Cellulose Acetate Membrane Filters (ThermoFisher), ethanol precipitated, and resuspended in water (<5 µL). The remaining DNA was quantified via Nanodrop.

Ligation. The remaining library was then hybridized to a splint (5'-AATGAATTCTGAACTAAGCTGGTATGCG-3') in the presence of a cut version of the forward primer (5'-/FAM/CGCATACCAGCTTAGTTTCAG-3') in a 1:1.1:1 ratio, respectively. Hybridization was performed in 1X phosphate buffered saline (PBS) with a final concentration ≥ 1 µM using slow cooling from 95 °C to 25 °C over 30 min. This complex was then added to the ligation reaction with final conditions: complex, 0.1 µM; T4 DNA ligase (50,000 units/mL); 1X T4 DNA ligase reaction buffer (New England Biolabs). The solution was incubated for 1 h at 25 °C, followed by 20 min

at 65 °C to denature T4 DNA ligase. A small portion was run on a 10 % PAGE gel to determine ligation efficiency. This was calculated using percent ligated (fluorescence band volume for the ligated 90 nt band/total lane volume). The remaining DNA was purified using a MinElute PCR cleanup column and then ethanol precipitated to reduce sample volume. The remaining DNA was resuspended in selection buffer and quantified via Nanodrop.

Selection. The remaining library was added to unfunctionalized capture sequence in a 1:2 ratio in selection buffer to a final concentration of 1 µM library and 2 µM unfunctionalized capture sequence. This solution was hybridized using slow cooling from 95 °C to 25 °C over 30 min. Buffer (for negative control) or 10 mM target (for selection) were then added and the solutions incubated for 1 h at 25 °C.

EcoRI digest of biosensor complex

The biosensor complex was divided into 10 µL portions and 1 µL (5 units) EcoRI (New England Biolabs) was added to each aliquot. The solutions were incubated for 2 h at 37 °C, followed by 20 min at 65 °C to denature EcoRI. The library was recovered using a MinElute PCR cleanup column (Qiagen). Samples were analyzed by denaturing 10 % PAGE to monitor digestion of the biosensor. The gels were imaged on a GE Amersham Typhoon RGB scanner using a 488 nm excitation laser and the Cy2 525BP20 emission filter. Digestion efficiency was determined by the percent cleaved (fluorescence band volume for the cleaved product/total lane volume) using ImageJ.

PCR amplification of library after digest

The recovered DNA library was amplified in 50 µL PCR reactions containing 0.2 µM template, 0.5 µM primers (forward primer, 5'-/FAM/CGCATACCAGCTTAGTTTCAGAAATTCATT-3'; reverse primer, 5'-TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT/Sp9/GCCGAGATTGCACTTACTATCT-3') and 1X Hot Start Master Mix (10 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 5 % Glycerol, 25 units/mL Hot Start Taq DNA polymerase, pH 8.3, New England Biolabs). The template was amplified with an initial denaturation at 95 °C for 3 min, 25 cycles of (95 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 20 s), and a final extension 72 °C for 2 min. The amplified double stranded DNA was purified using a MinElute PCR cleanup column (Qiagen) and the labeled strand was separated on a denaturing 10 % polyacrylamide gel. The desired gel band was excised and >100 picomoles recovered as described previously.

Next-generation sequencing

Round 7 (bead-assisted) and rounds 4, 9, 10, and 11 were amplified in 50 μ L PCR reactions containing 0.2 μ M template, 0.5 μ M primers (forward primer, 5'-CGCATACCAGCTTAG-TTCAGAATTCATT-3'; reverse primer, 5'-GCCGAGATTGCACTTACTATCT-3') and 1X Hot Start Master Mix (10 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 5 % Glycerol, 25 units/mL Hot Start Taq DNA polymerase, pH 8.3). (New England Biolabs). The template was amplified with an initial denaturation at 95 °C for 3 min, 25 cycles of (95 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 20 s), and a final extension 72 °C for 2 min. The amplified double stranded DNA was purified using a MinElute PCR cleanup column (Qiagen). PCR products were quantified via Nanodrop and normalized to 20 ng/ μ L in nuclease free water. The samples were sent to Genewiz (South Plainfield, NJ) for Amplicon-EZ (150 – 500 bp) sequencing.

Bioinformatics of aptamer pools

Raw sequencing data were uploaded to the Emory Galaxy server. Bioinformatic analysis was carried out as described in Alam et. al (23). Briefly, the reads were first trimmed to only contain the 90 nt library sequence and filtered by quality with a minimum score of 30 %. Sequences were then isolated that contained the forward primer binding site through the barcode function. The FASTAptamer-Count and FASTAptamer-Cluster function were run to gather information about abundance and similarity within each round. These files were then used as inputs for FASTAptamer-Enrich and FASTAptamer-Enrich functions. We identified candidates by overall count enrichment from round 9 to round 11. The 2D structures of the candidates hybridized with the 12 nt capture strand were modelled at 25 °C using NUPACK software.

Biosensor construction

DNA stock solutions were diluted in selection buffer. Biosensors were prepared by combining FAM-labeled aptamer (100 nM) and BHQ1-labeled capture strand (100 nM) in selection buffer unless otherwise noted. To hybridize, this solution was heated to 95 °C and slow-cooled to 25 °C over 30 min in a thermal cycler. Biosensor solutions were stored at 4 °C until use.

Aminoglycoside measurement

The biosensor was warmed up to room temperature and 50 μ L added to 96-well black plates (Corning, #3915). In triplicate, increasing concentrations of kanamycin A (50 μ L) was added to the wells and the solutions incubated for 1 h

at 25 °C while protected from light using foil. Displacement was quantified by measuring the fluorescence intensity on a Cytation 5 multi-mode plate reader (BioTek) using excitation at 490 nm and emission at 520 nm (bandwidth 9, read height 6.5 mm). All samples were normalized to wells containing FAM-labeled aptamer alone. Percent displacement was calculated using equation 1 and plotted using GraphPad Prism.

$$\% \text{ Displacement} = \frac{(F - F_0)}{(F_m - F_0)} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

F is the measured sample fluorescence, F_0 is the fluorescence of the biosensor in the absence of ligand, and F_m is the fluorescence of the aptamer alone in buffer.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Design of restriction enzyme SELEX libraries

SELEX libraries generally contain a random region flanked by two constant primer binding sites, and for our specific method, it was critical to locate the restriction enzyme digestion site within one of the primer binding sites. As shown in Figure 1a, we designed a biosensor library in which the capture strand was complementary to a portion of the 5' primer binding site and an N₄₀ random region was located directly adjacent to this hybridization region to maximize the likelihood of achieving target-dependent displacement of the capture strand. One concern that arose when designing our library was that while restriction enzymes are most efficient with six flanking nucleotides on either side of the cut site, capture strands for structure-switching biosensors are generally <12 nt (8, 10, 11, 20, 24, 25). Therefore, we first wanted to test the digestion efficiency of two restriction enzyme-based libraries with capture strands of varying lengths to determine the minimum number of flanking nucleotides that would still support efficient restriction cleavage. We chose BamHI and EcoRI as our initial restriction enzyme candidates because they are both reported to produce quantitative cleavage (Supplementary Figure 1). We found EcoRI to be more efficient than BamHI for all capture strand lengths ranging from 8-14 nt (Supplementary Figure 3), and thus EcoRI was used in all subsequent selection experiments.

Figure 1. Bead assisted EcoRI SELEX to generate structure-switching aptamers. (a) EcoRI library and capture strand sequences with recognition sites in blue. Cut site is illustrated by dotted line. (b) FAM-labeled DNA library having an N₄₀ random region was hybridized to immobilized capture strand and the complex washed and photocleaved. After target incubation, bound sequences were recovered following EcoRI digestion and PCR amplification. Gel purified library was then carried on to subsequent selection rounds. ssDNA library was purified by PAGE and carried on to subsequent selection rounds. (c) Highly enriched sequences did not correctly hybridize the capture strand through the built in EcoRI recognition site (underlined blue) Sequences containing partial recognition sites in the N₄₀ region (underlined black) with one or more mismatches (red, bold) were present in predicted structures by NUPACK.

Initial RE-SELEX design

With our library constructed and validated for restriction digest, we set about developing our selection method. We recognized that while the restriction digest step was highly efficient, some library members can adopt stable folding patterns that make hybridization to the capture strand thermodynamically impossible. These sequences would be enriched in the selection, leading to a significant non-functional background. To circumvent this challenge, we included an initial selection step to remove these stably folded sequences. This step is akin to that used in the well-known Capture SELEX method, but in our approach the capture strand was synthesized directly on polystyrene beads with a photocleavable linker to ensure maximum bead loading (16). To perform the negative selection step, library members were hybridized to the immobilized capture strand (Figure 1b). After several washes, intact biosensor sequences were collected we collected intact biosensors through UV irradiation. This reduces the chance for non-specific de-hybridization and allows for exploitation of the restriction enzyme handle to directly select for biosensor activity. Target was introduced and then EcoRI added to digest non-functional sequences. The surviving library members were amplified using

PCR, purified using PAGE, and subjected to a subsequent round of selection.

After seven rounds of SELEX, we observed the anticipated increase in non-cleaved sequences, but upon performing a quality check experiment, found that the resistance to digestion was not target-dependent. To explore the source of this challenge, we performed next-generation sequencing. The data revealed an interesting pattern where many of the enriched sequences had a segment of the N₄₀ random region that was able to hybridize to the capture strand but with a single mismatch (Supplementary Figure 4). Thus, instead of generating aptamers that survive EcoRI digestion through target induced displacement, highly enriched sequences evaded digestion through the single mismatch restriction enzyme cleavage (Figure 1c). While the probability of this occurring is statistically low, selection pressure can exponentially enrich and over-populate the pool with these false positive sequences within a few rounds. Furthermore, this is exacerbated in selections with small-molecule targets, which typically require more rounds for tangible enrichment (26, 27).

Figure 2. Homogenous EcoRI SELEX to generate structure-switching aptamers. (a) FAM-labeled DNA library having an N₄₀ random region was hybridized to capture strand and the complex digested with EcoRI-HF. The cleaved product (70 nt) was gel purified and full length product (90 nt) was recovered through split ligation by T4 DNA ligase. The re-ligated library members were hybridized to free capture strand and incubated with the target. Active biosensor sequences were enriched by EcoRI digestion followed by PCR amplification. ssDNA library was purified by PAGE and carried on to subsequent selection rounds. (b) Chemical structure of Kanamycin A. (c) Progression of EcoRI SELEX to generate structure-switching aptamers to kanamycin A. Following digestion, cleavage products were monitored by 10 % PAGE. Band intensity was used to quantify percent uncleaved.

Optimized EcoRI SELEX

Identifying the source of the false positive sequences in our initial SELEX protocol enabled us to redesign our method to eliminate these sequences. We recognized that while the immobilized capture strand was isolating sequences that are capable of hybridization, it did not distinguish between those where the location of the complementary stretch was within the library rather than in the primer binding site as intended. As highlighted in Figure 1, this can lead to enrichment of library:capture strand duplexes that could never be digested by EcoRI, regardless of the presence of target. We envisioned that these sequences could be removed by replacing the initial bead hybridization step with an initial cleavage and religation step, as this would ensure that all sequences carried forward were capable of both hybridizing to the capture strand and being cleaved in the absence of target. As an added benefit, this modified protocol completely removed all bead binding steps, resulting in a fully homogeneous method that best mimics the conditions under which the biosensors will be ultimately used. As shown in Figure 2, library was hybridized with capture strand then digested by EcoRI and the cleaved sequences recovered by PAGE purification. The full length biosensor library was then regained through ligation using a cut forward primer (5'- /FAM/CGCATACCAGCTTAG-TTCAG-3') and splint (5'-AATGAATTCTGAACTAAGCTGGTATGCG-3')

(Supplementary Figure 2). The ligation reaction was monitored using 10 % PAGE for each round of SELEX and subjected to ethanol precipitation to purify and concentrate the resulting full length library. We note that even if ligation is not quantitative, unligated sequences will just serve as spectators during the ensuing selection step and will not be PCR amplified, thus rigorous characterization of the re-ligation reaction is not necessary. The selection was then carried out as described above, in that the library was hybridized to unfunctionalized capture strand in a 1:2 ratio and then incubated with target for 1 h at 25 °C. EcoRI digestion followed by PCR amplification enabled the enrichment of sequences that exhibit the desired target-dependent conformational change.

To validate our method for structure-switching aptamer selection, we chose kanamycin A (Figure 2b) as an initial target, as this small molecule has been the target used in previous structure-switching aptamer evolution methods, enabling us to benchmark our approach against these methods (16). To perform our selection, we started round one with 1 nmol of fluorescein (FAM) labeled N₄₀ DNA library and subsequent rounds with >100 pmol. After the initial digestion and ligation, we formed the biosensor complex with 1 μM library and 2 μM capture strand through slow cooling hybridization. We then incubated the biosensor with 10 mM kanamycin A. Despite using a high concentration of target,

Table 1. Potential structure-switching aptamer candidates and their corresponding enrichment values from round 9 to round 11. The EcoRI restriction site is underlined.

Name K-Cluster-#	Sequence 5'-3'	Enrichment R9→R11
K16-1	CGCATACCAGCTTAGTTCAGAATTCATTGGAGCGTGCGCTGGATG- CCCGATGGACGCCCCAGGGTGCAGATAGTAAGTGCAATCTCGGC	36
K16-3	CGCATACCAGCTTAGTTCAGAATTCATTGGAGCGTGCGCTGGAAG- CCCGATGGACCGCCCCAGGGTGCAGATAGTAAGTGCAATCTCGGC	20
K4-1	CGCATACCAGCTTAGTTCAGAATTCATTGGACCGGGGCGGGGAAG- GCTCGGAGCGCGCCGGCGGGGCCAGATAGTAAGTGCAATCTCGGC	17
K1-2	CGCATACCAGCTTAGTTCAGAATTCATTGGACCGGGGCGGGGAAG- GCTCGGAGCGCGCTGGCGGGACCAGATAGTAAGTGCAATCTCGGC	15
K1-3	CGCATACCAGCTTAGTTCAGAATTCATTGGACCGGGGCGGGGAAG- GCTCGGAGTGCGCCGGCGGGACCAGATAGTAAGTGCAATCTCGGC	15
K8-1	CGCATACCAGCTTAGTTCAGAATTCATTGGAGCGCGGCGGGGAAG- GCTCGGAGCGCGCCGGCGGGACCAGATAGTAAGTGCAATCTCGGC	11
K1-1	CGCATACCAGCTTAGTTCAGAATTCATTGGAGCGGGGCGGGGAAG- GCTCGGAGCGCGCCGGCGGGACCAGATAGTAAGTGCAATCTCGGC	10
K16-2	CGCATACCAGCTTAGTTCAGAATTCATTGGACCGGGGCGGGGAAG- GCTCGGAGCGCGCCGGCGGGACAAGATAGTAAGTGCAATCTCGGC	8
K4-2	CGCATACCAGCTTAGTTCAGAATTCATTGGCGCAGGCAGGTGAGT- TCTGAACGGGCGGTGCGGGGGGAGATAGTAAGTGCAATCTCGGCC	4
K2-1	CGCATACCAGCTTAGTTCAGAATTCATTGGAGCGCGGCGGGGAGG- CCCGATGGACCGCCCCAGGGTGCAGATAGTAAGTGCAATCTCGGC	2

we were somewhat concerned when we observed 4 % uncleaved library in the initial round (Figure 2c). Given the ability of our cleavage-ligation step to remove uncleavable library members, we attributed the unexpectedly high percent of uncleaved library to slightly inefficient EcoRI digestion due to insufficient flanking nucleotides. However, compared to the sequences that evaded cleavage in our initial selection protocol, we recognized that in this case the surviving non-functional sequences would not have a selection advantage in the subsequent round, and thus were far less problematic. To ensure that this was the case, we incorporated a quality control step for several subsequent rounds in which a small aliquot of the enriched biosensor library was incubated with EcoRI in the presence and absence of kanamycin A (Supplementary Figure 5,6). Our observation of increased digestion in the presence of kanamycin A compared to buffer alone provided reassurance that the selection was generating sequences having the desired structure-switching aptamer function. Significant enrichment above the background appeared in round 9 and increased further in round 10. While round 11 did increase as expected, there was also an increase in the background signal, which we attributed to potential contamination carried over from the initial digestion. At this point, we investigated the bulk biosensor activity of the enriched library from rounds 10 and 11 to determine whether we were ready to proceed to sequencing. For these experiments 5'-FAM-

labeled library (1 μ M) was hybridized to capture strand functionalized with 3'-BHQ1 (2 μ M), then incubated with 1 mM kanamycin A for 1 hr at 25 °C. After normalization to the fluorescence of aptamer alone, the percent displacement was calculated according to equation 1 (10). Bulk biosensor response to 1 mM kanamycin A was distinguishable from buffer alone (Supplementary Figure 7) offering the needed encouragement to proceed to sequencing. In order to track both sequence abundance and enrichment, we submitted the libraries resulting from rounds 4, 9, 10, and 11 for next-generation amplicon sequencing.

Next-generation sequencing to identify top candidates

The raw sequencing files were subjected to bioinformatic analysis using Emory's Galaxy server. After quality sorting and isolation of sequences that contained the intact forward primer sequence, we searched for sequences or sequence clusters that were conserved across all rounds. We utilized the FASTAptamer-Count and FASTAptamer-Cluster functions to analyze abundance and similarity and monitor how these had changed across each round (23). As expected, we observed a broader sequence distribution with subsequent rounds that occurs with genotypic frequency changes from enrichment (Supplementary Figure 8) (23). Indeed, this was also supported by the decrease in percentage of unique sequences in later rounds, as the unique sequences dropped from 99.9 %

in round 4 to 13.7 % in round 11. We then used the FASTAptamer-Compare and FASTAptamer-Enrich functions to monitor changes in sequence distribution (23) and we identified ten candidate sequences from five unique clusters with overall enrichment ≥ 2 from round 9 to 11 (Table 1, Supplementary Figure 8,9). Of these, cluster 16 and 1 were the most abundant and candidates were named using the format of [K-Cluster]-[sequence #], e.g. K16-1.

As a final bioinformatic quality control step, we wanted to ensure that there were no instances of sites within the N₄₀ region that could hybridize to the capture strand, as had been the challenge in our initial selection protocol. To check for this, we input both the sequences and unfunctionalized capture strand in a 1:2 ratio into NUPACK software and estimated the structure of each complex at 25 °C (28). We were excited to see that all of our candidate sequences hybridized to the capture strand in the desired location in the primer binding site. Thus, we proceeded to order each candidate sequence having a 5'-FAM label, and we used a preliminary binding assay to identify top candidates to carry forward into biosensor optimization (Supplementary Figure 10). To measure target binding, excess kanamycin A was immobilized to Pierce™ NHS activated magnetic beads and each candidate sequence was incubated with the beads and the bound fraction eluted and

quantified using a plate reader. Binding of candidate sequences was normalized to the known aptamer, Ky2 (5'-FAM/TGGGGGTT-GAGGCTAAGCCGA-3') (29). From this assay, we identified K16-1, K1-1, K1-2, and K2-1 as the top candidates to carry forward into biosensor characterization.

Characterization of candidates as kanamycin A structure-switching biosensors

Our lab has previously found that structure-switching biosensors display optimal performance at concentrations where the majority of aptamer is hybridized to the capture strand, but hybridization is not complete. This is likely because at these concentrations, the enthalpic gain from target binding is sufficient to shift equilibrium toward disassembly of the biosensor (10). Thus, we first screened varying concentrations of biosensor complex by hybridizing aptamer and capture strand in 1:1 ratio in concentrations varying from 10 nM to 1 μ M. We chose K16-1 for this demonstration as it had the highest enrichment from round 9 to round 11 and was one of the most promising sequences in the initial binding screen. We monitored fluorescence using a plate reader and quantified the percent quenched using equation 2.

$$\% \text{ Quenched} = \frac{(F_m - F_0)}{F_m} \times 100 \quad (2)$$

Figure 3. Optimization of biosensor conditions of top candidates. (a) Fluorescent structure-switching aptamer biosensors were tested using capture strands having varying lengths (9 nt, 10 nt, 12 nt). The signal is quenched in the absence of target, but addition of target results in dose-dependent displacement of the capture strand. (b) Biosensor concentration was optimized by monitoring concentration-dependent hybridization for K16-1 with 12 nt capture strand. Capture strand displacement as a function of kanamycin A concentration using varying capture strand lengths for (c) K16-1, (d) K1-1, (e) K1-2, (f) K2-1. Samples resulting in negative displacement were denoted 0 % displacement. Error bars represent standard error (n=3).

F_0 is the fluorescence of the biosensor in the absence of ligand and F_m is the fluorescence of the aptamer alone in buffer. We then plotted percent quenched as a function of biosensor concentration to generate a binding isotherm (Figure 3). As expected, quenching increases at higher concentrations of biosensor, and based upon our previous experience, we identified 100 nM as our initial concentration for testing biosensor activity.

In addition to overall biosensor concentration, capture strand length can also greatly affect displacement (6, 10, 11, 18). Thus, in addition to the 12 nt capture strand analogous to that used in our selection, we also ordered 9 nt (5'-GAATTCTGA/BHQ1/-3') and 10 nt (5'-TGAATTCTGA/BHQ1/-3') capture strands. Using our four candidate aptamer sequences, we generated solutions of 100 nM biosensor with each of the three capture strands and then tested target-dependent displacement by incubating each biosensor with kanamycin A at concentrations ranging from 10 μ M–10 mM. Most sequences resulted in low to moderate displacement for biosensors having the 9 and 10 nt capture strands and no observable displacement with the 12 nt capture strand. However, we were excited to observe that the K16-1 biosensor displayed significant displacement between 100 μ M–10 mM kanamycin A with all three capture strand lengths. As expected, the biosensor having the 9 nt capture strand provided the highest displacement, ranging from 20 ± 5 % to 57 ± 11 %. We attempted to further optimize the biosensor by increasing the ratio of aptamer:capture strand to 1:2 and 1:3, but we did not observe a significant difference in biosensor stability or target response (Supplementary Figure 11). Finally, to further validate our success in generating a structure-switching aptamer, we tested K16-1 using our optimized conditions (100 nM aptamer, 1:1 ratio, 9 nt capture strand) and an increased number of kanamycin A concentrations. To ensure our results were reproducible, we ran each sample in triplicate in three separate experiments, totalling nine individual replicates (Figure 4b). Excitingly, as shown in Figure 4b, we observed robust dose-dependent displacement from 90 μ M–10 mM kanamycin A.

Considering that the primary goal of structure-switching SELEX methods is to generate functional biosensors, we were interested to compare the performance of our K16-1 biosensor to a biosensor constructed from the best kanamycin aptamer generated using Capture SELEX (16, 22). To do so, we optimized hybridization for the #3-19 aptamer with its cognate capture

strand and in its reported binding buffer (100 mM sodium chloride, 20 mM tris-hydrochloride, 2 mM magnesium chloride, 5 mM potassium chloride, and 1 mM calcium chloride (pH 7.6)). We found that similar to K16-1, ideal hybridization was observed at 100 nM. At a 1:1 ratio, no change in fluorescence intensity was seen with a 9, 10, or 12 nt capture strand (Supplementary Figure 12). This highlights that ap-

Figure 4. K16-1 functions as a structure-switching aptamer sensor and is specific to kanamycin A. (a) Secondary structure of K16-1 with and without the 9 nt capture strand calculated using NUPACK. (b) Capture strand displacement as a function of kanamycin A concentration at 100 nM K16-1 and with the 9 nt capture strand. Samples resulting in negative displacement were denoted 0 % displacement. Error bars denote standard error ($n=9$). (c) Displacement of K16-1 biosensor with 1000 μ M and 10000 μ M kanamycin A, kanamycin B, streptomycin, or tobramycin. Error bars denote standard error ($n=3$).

tamers generated though selections that do not directly select for structure-switching biosensor

activity cannot be easily adapted to this format. While there are several reports binding to kanamycin, the Capture SELEX aptamer does not appear to show structure-switching biosensor activity (16, 19, 20, 22).

As a final characterization, we investigated the selectivity of our optimized biosensor for kanamycin A compared to structurally similar aminoglycosides. Using our optimized conditions with K16-1, we incubated the biosensor with 1 mM and 10 mM each of kanamycin A, streptomycin, and tobramycin. We observed that at 10 mM, kanamycin B, streptomycin, and tobramycin led to non-specific quenching of aptamer fluorescence (Supplementary Figure 13). However, this effect was relatively minor at 1 mM and we were able to normalize for it in the biosensor experiments. Excitingly, we found that neither kanamycin B, tobramycin, or streptomycin resulted in significant displacement, while the displacement observed for kanamycin A at 1 mM was similar to that in previous experiments at 42 ± 8 %, respectively (Figure 4c). These data together demonstrate that K16-1 functions as a selective structure-switching biosensor for kanamycin A.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we report the first homogenous method for *in vitro* evolution of structure-switching aptamers. By utilizing the native activity of restriction enzymes, we are able to cleave non-functional sequences and enable functional structure-switching candidates to be selectively amplified by PCR. This obviates the need to immobilize the target or capture strand on beads, which offers greater convenience and allows for selection to proceed under conditions that most closely replicate those in which the resulting biosensors will be deployed. Excitingly, after eleven rounds of selection, we identified four candidates that bind to kanamycin A and show biosensor activity. The most enriched sequence (K16-1) also showed the most robust biosensor activity, and this was achieved with minimal

post-selection optimization. While we chose kanamycin A as a model target in order to benchmark our method relative to previous structure-switching selections, our approach is anticipated to be broadly useful for other small molecule targets. The relative dearth of aptamers that demonstrate structure-switching activity has represented a significant limitation in the aptamer biosensor field, and we envision that our method will overcome this limitation and significantly accelerate the development of aptamer biosensors for a wide range of biologically and environmentally important small-molecule targets.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Data are available online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank Mike Hanson and the oligonucleotide and peptide synthesis facility at the University of Utah for oligonucleotide materials.

This work was supported by the Defense Threat Reduction Agency (HDTRA118-1-0029 to J.M.H.). We thank Mike Hanson and the oligonucleotide and peptide synthesis facility at the University of Utah for oligonucleotide materials.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Defense Threat Reduction Agency [HDTRA118-1-0029 to J.M.H.]. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of DTRA. Funding for open access charge: [HDTRA118-1-0029 to J.M.H.].

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.

REFERENCES

1. Nguyen, V.T., Kwon, Y.S. and Gu, M.B. (2017) Aptamer-based environmental biosensors for small molecule contaminants. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.*, **45**, 15–23.
2. McConnell, E.M., Nguyen, J. and Li, Y. (2020) Aptamer-Based Biosensors for Environmental Monitoring. *Front. Chem.*, **8**, 434.
3. Tuerk, C. and Gold, L. (1990) Systematic

- evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment: RNA ligands to bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase. *Science* (80-.), **249**, 505–510.
4. Ellington, A.D. and Szostak, J.W. (1990) In vitro selection of RNA molecules that bind specific ligands. *Nature*, **346**, 818–822.
 5. Ruscito, A. and DeRosa, M.C. (2016) Small-molecule binding aptamers: Selection strategies, characterization, and applications. *Front. Chem.*, **4**.
 6. Nutiu, R. and Li, Y. (2003) Structure-switching signaling aptamers. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **125**, 4771–4778.
 7. Jhaveri, S.D., Kirby, R., Conrad, R., Maglott, E.J., Bowser, M., Kennedy, R.T., Glick, G. and Ellington, A.D. (2000) Designed signaling aptamers that transduce molecular recognition to changes in fluorescence intensity. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **122**, 2469–2473.
 8. Chen, J., Fang, Z., Liu, J. and Zeng, L. (2012) A simple and rapid biosensor for ochratoxin A based on a structure-switching signaling aptamer. *Food Control*, **25**, 555–560.
 9. Liu, F., Ding, A., Zheng, J., Chen, J. and Wang, B. (2018) A label-free aptasensor for ochratoxin a detection based on the structure switch of aptamer. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, **18**, 1769.
 10. Feagin, T.A., Olsen, D.P.V., Headman, Z.C. and Heemstra, J.M. (2015) High-throughput enantiopurity analysis using enantiomeric DNA-based sensors. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **137**, 4198–4206.
 11. Zhu, Z., Schmidt, T., Mahrous, M., Guieu, V., Perrier, S., Ravelet, C. and Peyrin, E. (2011) Optimization of the structure-switching aptamer-based fluorescence polarization assay for the sensitive tyrosinamide sensing. *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **707**, 191–196.
 12. Canoura, J., Wang, Z., Yu, H., Alkhamis, O., Fu, F. and Xiao, Y. (2018) No Structure-Switching Required: A Generalizable Exonuclease-Mediated Aptamer-Based Assay for Small-Molecule Detection. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **140**, 9961–9971.
 13. Gao, H., Zhao, J., Huang, Y., Cheng, X., Wang, S., Han, Y., Xiao, Y. and Lou, X. (2019) Universal Design of Structure-Switching Aptamers with Signal Reporting Functionality. *Anal. Chem.*, **91**, 14514–14521.
 14. Canoura, J., Yu, H., Alkhamis, O., Roncancio, D., Farhana, R. and Xiao, Y. (2021) Accelerating Post-SELEX Aptamer Engineering Using Exonuclease Digestion. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **143**, 805–816.
 15. Nutiu, R. and Li, Y. (2005) In vitro selection of structure-switching signaling aptamers. *Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed.*, **44**, 1061–1065.
 16. Stoltenburg, R., Nikolaus, N. and Strehlitz, B. (2012) Capture-SELEX: Selection of DNA aptamers for aminoglycoside antibiotics. *J. Anal. Methods Chem.*, **1**, 14.
 17. Qu, H., Csordas, A.T., Wang, J., Oh, S.S., Eisenstein, M.S. and Soh, H.T. (2016) Rapid and Label-Free Strategy to Isolate Aptamers for Metal Ions. *ACS Nano*, **10**, 7558–7565.
 18. Nutiu, R. and Li, Y. (2005) In vitro selection of structure-switching signaling aptamers. *Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed.*, **44**, 1061–1065.
 19. Lyu, C., Khan, I.M. and Wang, Z. (2021) Capture-SELEX for aptamer selection: A short review. *Talanta*, **229**, 122274.
 20. Feagin, T.A., Maganzini, N. and Soh, H.T. (2018) Strategies for Creating Structure-Switching Aptamers. *ACS Sensors*, **3**, 1611–1615.
 21. Buckhout-White, S., Person, C., Medintz, I.L. and Goldman, E.R. (2018) Restriction enzymes as a target for DNA-based sensing and structural rearrangement. *ACS Omega*, **3**, 495–502.
 22. Nikolaus, N. and Strehlitz, B. (2014) DNA-aptamers binding aminoglycoside antibiotics. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, **14**, 3737–3755.
 23. Alam, K.K., Chang, J.L. and Burke, D.H. (2015) FASTAptamer: A bioinformatic toolkit for high-throughput sequence analysis of combinatorial selections. *Mol. Ther. - Nucleic Acids*, **4**, e230.
 24. Tan, Z., Feagin, T.A. and Heemstra, J.M.

- (2016) Temporal Control of Aptamer Biosensors Using Covalent Self-Caging To Shift Equilibrium. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **138**, 6328–6331.
25. Tan, Z. and Heemstra, J.M. (2018) High-Throughput Measurement of Small-Molecule Enantiopurity by Using Flow Cytometry. *ChemBioChem*, **19**, 1853–1857.
 26. McKeague, M. and Derosa, M.C. (2012) Challenges and opportunities for small molecule aptamer development. *J. Nucleic Acids*, **2012**.
 27. Shamah, S.M., Healy, J.M. and Cload, S.T. (2008) Complex target SELEX. *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **41**, 130–138.
 28. Zadeh, J.N., Steenberg, C.D., Bois, J.S., Wolfe, B.R., Pierce, M.B., Khan, A.R., Dirks, R.M. and Pierce, N.A. (2011) NUPACK: Analysis and design of nucleic acid systems. *J. Comput. Chem.*, **32**, 170–173.
 29. Song, K.M., Cho, M., Jo, H., Min, K., Jeon, S.H., Kim, T., Han, M.S., Ku, J.K. and Ban, C. (2011) Gold nanoparticle-based colorimetric detection of kanamycin using a DNA aptamer. *Anal. Biochem.*, **415**, 175–181.