1	Uncovering	the release	of	micro/nanoplastics	from	disposable	face	masks	at	times	0
---	------------	-------------	----	--------------------	------	------------	------	-------	----	-------	---

- 2 **COVID-19**
- 3
- 4 Author names and affiliations:
- 5 Silvia Morgana^{a,*}, Barbara Casentini^b, Stefano Amalfitano^b
- 6
- 7 ^a Institute for the Study of Anthropic Impact and Sustainability in the Marine Environment
- 8 (IAS-CNR), Via della Vasca Navale, 00146 Rome, Italy
- 9 ^b Water Research Institute (IRSA-CNR), Via Salaria Km 29.300, 00015 Monterotondo
- 10 (Rome), Italy
- 11
- 12 *Corresponding author.
- 13 E-mail address: <u>silvia.morgana@ias.cnr.it</u>
- 14

15 ABSTRACT

16 Wearing face masks is a fundamental prevention and control measure to limit the spread of 17 COVID-19. The extensive use and improper disposal of single-use face masks are raising 18 serious concerns for their environmental impact, owing to the foregone contribution to plastic 19 water pollution during and beyond the pandemic. This study aims to uncover the release of 20 micro/nanoplastics generated from the face mask nonwoven textile once discarded in the aquatic environment. As assessed by microscopy and flow cytometry, the exposure to 21 22 simulated shear stress was proved to be effective in breaking and fragmenting face mask 23 fabrics into smaller debris, including macro-, micro-, and nano-plastics. Even at low shear 24 energy densities, a single mask could release in water thousands of microplastic fibers and up to 10¹¹ submicrometric particles, mostly comprised in the nano-sized domain. By contributing 25 26 to the current lack of knowledge regarding the potential environmental hazards posed by 27 universal face masking, we provided novel quantitative data, through a suitable technological 28 approach, on the release of micro/nanoplastics from single-use face masks that can threaten 29 the aquatic ecosystems to which they finally end-up.

30

31 Keywords

32 Microfibers; Nanoplastics; Water pollution; Microscopy; Flow Cytometry

34 **1. Introduction**

35 The COVID-19 pandemic has been contrastingly but dramatically affecting human activities 36 and natural environments at either global or local scale. Although lockdown measures are 37 retained beneficial for the environmental quality especially in areas at high anthropogenic 38 pollution levels (Saadat et al., 2020; Zambrano-Monserrate et al., 2020), such allowance for 39 the environment is expected to be inherently provisional (Ragazzi et al., 2020). In particular, a 40 daily increase of municipal solid wastes is raising serious concerns, owing to the 41 overproduction and massive use of disposable personal protective equipment required against 42 the primary aerial dispersal of SARS-CoV-2-containing droplets. At the beginning of the 43 pandemic, the World Health Organization estimated that international demand for surgical 44 masks, examination gloves, and protective screens stood respectively up to 89, 76, and 2 45 millions per month (World Health Organization, 2020). The use of masks has been made 46 mandatory in most world regions, with such a high demand to rapidly overreach the local 47 availability, distribution, and industrial production (Wu et al., 2020). 48 Specifically intended for single use, face masks protect against aerial contaminants, including 49 pollen, chemical fumes and pathogens. The filtering capacity, and hence the level of 50 protection, depends on materials used and the engineering design. Despite differences among 51 brands, face masks are generally made by assembling different layers of a thin nonwoven 52 textile: a waterproof outer layer to repel fluids, a middle filtering layer to prevent particles and 53 pathogen-containing droplets from penetrating in either direction, an inner layer of absorbent materials to trap droplets from the user. The nonwoven fabric is obtained by bonding a mass 54 55 of filaments together using heat, chemical, or mechanical means (spunbond and meltblown 56 methods) to produce smooth, porous and highly durable sheets (Ding et al., 2020). Among the 57 suitable plastic polymers, polypropylene is the most common used for assembling surgical 58 mask, as it is relatively cheap and has low melt viscosity for easy processing (Chua et al., 59 2020).

60 Since the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, discarded face masks are reported to litter 61 city streets, flow through sewage channels, float in sea water until reaching the bottom 62 (Ardusso et al., 2021; De-la-Torre et al., 2021; Okuku et al., 2021), and risk evidences for 63 local fauna were recently provided (Boyle, 2020; Gallo Neto et al., 2021). Under environmental conditions, plastic waste slowly degrade due to chemical, mechanical, and 64 65 biological actions into micrometric and submicrometric plastic particles (Andrady, 2015; 66 Frias and Nash, 2019). The smaller they are, the easier they can be ingested, accumulated and 67 transferred by organisms along the food chain (Jiang et al., 2020). Ingestion of micrometric 68 and submicrometric plastics is known to cause direct adverse effects (e.g., entanglement, 69 suffocation) and to expose organisms to plastic-associated chemical and microbial agents with 70 a prominent toxic and pathogenic potential (Sathicq et al., 2021; Vethaak and Leslie, 2016; 71 Zhang and Xu, 2020). 72 Since the massive use of disposable face masks is likely to persist further beyond the current 73 pandemic, there is urgent need to uncover all possible environmental repercussions. An 74 increasing number of environmental studies are pointing to face masks as an emerging source 75 of plastic pollution that will shortly add-up to the already critical situation (Patrício Silva et 76 al., 2021; Prata et al., 2021; Sullivan et al., 2021). A preliminary assessment reported 77 thousands of microfibers released from surgical masks following UV irradiation and 78 mechanical stirring into artificial seawater (Saliu et al., 2021). 79 This study was intended to evaluate the potential release in water of micro/nanoplastics (MNPs) from the thin polypropylene (PP) textile used to assemble surgical face masks. More 80 81 specifically, the aims were to (i) quantify the extent of plastic release from face mask fabrics 82 at different levels of mechanical deterioration, (ii) characterize morphologies and size of 83 released micro/nanoplastics across a wide dimensional range (i.e., from millimeters 84 downward nanometers), (iii) provide evidence for potential implications of plastic pollution in 85 water owing to the emerging disposable plastic wastes at the time of COVID-19.

86 In laboratory conditions, spunbond PP fabrics from face masks were exposed to increasing

87 shear stress levels and the plastic particles released in water were assessed by microscopy and

- 88 flow cytometry, with particular attention to control samples and pre-treatment procedures.
- 89

90 2. Material and methods

91 2.1. Experimental set-up: shear stress tests

92 The surgical face masks, purchased by GLF S.A.S. (Italy), consisted of 3 layers (i.e., inner,

93 intermediate and outer) of polypropylene (PP) spunbond nonwoven fabric with a density of

 $94 \quad 30 \text{ g/m}^2$. Sixteen masks were cut with scissors to remove the external welding and the elastic

95 ear loops. The white intermediate nonwoven spunbond PP layer (hereafter named fabric) was

96 manipulated with metal tweezers, sized and weighed on a high precision analytical balance

97 (XS BL 303 E-balance, China). The sampled fabric was then placed in a glass beaker

98 containing 500 mL of ultrapure MilliQ water.

99 A kitchen chopper (type HDP40; Kenwood, UK), equipped with a rotating blender blade

100 (radius 11 cm) and operating at the maximum power (800W), was used for a short-term shear

101 damage process, specifically intended to mimic stress factors that mechanically deteriorate

102 face masks once dispersed in the environment.

103 The shear tests were carried out on different fabrics at increasing times and corresponding

104 energy densities (1 s = 1.6 kJ/L, 15 s = 24 kJ/L, 30 s = 48 kJ/L, 60 s = 96 kJ/L, 120 s = 192

105 kJ/L), as estimated from known parameters (i.e., chopper power, treatment time, treated water

106 volume). Each test was repeated four times and included a preliminary control test performed

- 107 with MilliQ water only (i.e., blank control). The beaker was carefully rinsed with MilliQ
- 108 water (i.e., 5 times) in between each shear test to minimize external contamination.

109 Homogenous water aliquots were collected by a glass Pasteur pipette while keeping the

110 beaker under manual agitation after each test. Sample aliquots were then analyzed by the

111 methods described in the following sections.

113	weighed after overnight drying at 40°C. The percentage of deterioration was measured in
114	terms of weight loss, as follows:
115	
116	$(W_1-W_2/W_1) *100$
117	
118	where W_1 is the dry weight of the intact fabric (i.e., before blending), W_2 is the dry weight of
119	the treated fabric (i.e., after blending).
120	
121	2.2. Optic and epifluorescence microscopy for fabric texture characterization and
122	microplastics analyses
123	The nonwoven fabric texture and filament web were inspected by a flat-field achromatic 40x
124	magnification lens, mounted on a cell phone, with an optical resolution of approx. 2 μm
125	(Tinyscope; <u>https://www.tinyscope.com/</u> Wuhan, China). Upon calibration through a
126	micrometric scale, a representative number of pictures (2.16×3.84 mm) were taken on intact
127	and shear-damaged areas of dried fabrics to assess (i) density (i.e., number per unit area) and
128	morphology (i.e., shape and size) of the breathability-related holes, (ii) thickness and integrity
129	of PP filaments, with the help of digital magnification (up to $300\times$).
130	The occurrence of microplastics (MPs) released in water upon the shear tests (i.e., 16
131	samples) was assessed by an optical stereomicroscope (STEMI SR, Zeiss, Weet, Germany).
132	Homogenous water aliquot (5 mL) was placed in a glass Petri dish and carefully inspected
133	across a magnification range from $10 \times$ to $50 \times$. For each aliquot, the mostly recurrent particle
134	types (i.e., homogeneous fibers excised from the filament web) were visually sorted and
135	measured (Morgana et al., 2018). This procedure was repeated until a minimum of 50 MPs
136	were counted for each tested fabric (Brandon et al., 2016). The visual identification allowed

The treated fabrics were collected from the beaker with tweezers, gently squeezed, and

112

137 the detection of MPs with a minimum size of 100 μ m (hereafter named >100 μ m). The final 138 abundance value was expressed as items/m² and items/g of fabric.

139 The LED microscope CyScope HP (Sysmex Partec Italia s.r.l.), employing transmitted light

140 and fluorescence detection and equipped with UV and green excitation/emission sets, was

141 used to verify the occurrence of micrometric and sub-micrometric particles and to check for

- 142 their autofluorescence level. Briefly, sample aliquots (20 µL) were placed onto wells of a
- 143 chambered slide (10-well epoxy-coated slides with well diameter of 6.7 mm Thermo

144 Scientific, Germany) and inspected at 400× (in air) and 1000× (mounting coverslip and using

- 145 an immersion oil objective lens).
- 146

147 2.3. Flow cytometry for micro/nanoplastic counting and characterization

148 After the shear tests, sample aliquots (1 mL) were collected from all samples (including

149 controls) and transferred into 2-mL Eppendorf tubes. Each aliquot was immediately treated

150 with H_2O_2 30% (1:1 dilution), incubated at 40°C and analyzed at 24 h from sampling. This

151 pre-treatment was applied to limit the occurrence of particulate organic matter that could

152 interfere with plastic particle counting (Bessa et al., 2019).

153 All sample aliquots were analyzed using a bench-top flow cytometer (A50-Micro; Apogee

154 Flow Systems, Hertfordshire, UK), equipped with a solid-state UV laser (375 nm, 20 mV) and

a blue laser (488 nm, 20 mV). According to the system specifications from the manufacturer,

this flow cytometer is suitable to detect and quantify all suspended particles approximately

157 from 0.08 µm to 100 µm, based on the light scatter signals and following a direct calibration

158 with commercial solutions containing fluorescent beads at known size.

159 In this study, we used polystyrene yellow-green fluorescent calibration microspheres (Sub-

160 micron Size Reference Kit, 10^6 green fluorescent beads/mL, InvitrogenTM, F13839), with 5

161 different nominal diameters (0.1 µm, 0.2 µm, 0.5 µm, 1.0 µm, 2.0 µm), as reference reagents

to arrange voltages of photomultipliers (PMTs), threshold values, and the size-dependentgating strategy on histogram and density plots.

The forward light scatter (FSC) was set at the lowest voltage (PMT = 200 V) to maximize the size range of detectable particles, while the side light scatter (SSC) was set at 350 V to obtain a better resolution for sizing submicrometric particles. PMTs on blue (430 - 470 nm), green (530/30 nm), orange (590/35), and red (>610 nm) fluorescence channels were set at 410 V for

168 the detection of fluorescent events.

169 The analysis of plastic particles was performed exclusively under UV excitation on all

170 samples (i.e., 44 runs including controls). Upon the analysis of ultrapure MilliQ water,

171 thresholding was set on the SSC channel and adjusted to move the background and

172 instrumental noise below the first decade. Samples were run at low flow rates to keep the

173 number of cytometric events below 1000 events/s and all acquired signals were plotted using

the same settings. Given the comparable refractive indexes of polystyrene and polypropylene

175 (Shackelford, 2000) and according to the SSC peak signals (SSC-H $\pm 10\%$) of the calibration

176 microspheres, we designed specific gates onto log-scaled cytograms for 4 size classes of

177 plastic particles. By following the definition proposed by Gigault et al. (Gigault et al., 2018),

178 we identified the classes "> 1.0" (MPs with a nominal diameter \ge 1.0 µm but smaller than

179 then maximum analytical size of 100 μ m), "0.5-1.0" (NPs \ge 0.5 μ m but < 1 μ m), "0.1-0.5"

180 (NPs $\ge 0.1 \ \mu m$ but $< 0.5 \ \mu m$), "< 0.1" (NPs $< 0.1 \ \mu m$ but larger than then minimum analytical

181 size) (Figure S1). A log-log density plot of signal peak versus area (i.e., FSC-H vs FSC-A)

182 was used to visualize and assess the occurrence of non-spherical particles. The deviation from

183 a linear relation between the two signal values allowed discriminating spherical (FSC-H =

184 FSC-A) vs non-spherical particles (FSC-H < FSC-A).

185 To assess the occurrence of microbial cells that could contribute to an overestimation of

186 submicrometric particles by interfering across the targeted size range, the Total Cell Counts

187 (TCC) were determined under blue laser excitation on the third untreated subset of sample

replicates. Following a consolidated protocol described elsewhere, aliquots (300 µL) were

189 stained for 10 min in the dark at room temperature by SYBR Green I (1:10000 dilution; Life

190 Technologies, code S7563). Thresholding was set at 10 fluorescence units on the green

- 191 channel and microbial cells were quantified by their signature on the density plot of the side
- scatter vs the green fluorescence signals (Amalfitano et al., 2018).
- 193 The volumetric absolute counting, expressed as events per mL (i.e., items/mL, cells/mL), and
- all supportive cytometric data (e.g., median values of FSC and SSC, percentage of non-
- 195 spherical particles, percentage of autofluorescent particles) were extracted from designed
- 196 gates by using the Apogee Histogram Software (v89.0). The .fcs files are freely available at
- 197 the Flow Repository identifier: <u>https://flowrepository.org/xxx</u>.
- 198

199 2.4. Data analysis

200 All particles released in water after each shear test were referred to size and weight of the

201 treated PP fabric, thus expressing data respectively as items/m² and items/g of PP fabric.

202 Moreover, the relative contribution of the 5 size classes to the total retrieved particles was

203 calculated and related to the shear test efficacy (i.e., particle concentration or weight vs

applied energy density or percentage of weight loss after treatment). Depending on the

205 observed prevailing particle morphologies detected by either microscopy or flow cytometry,

206 the mean volume (μ m³) was calculated by considering particles as spheres or as filaments, as 207 follows:

208

209 Microsphere mean volume V = $4/3 \times \pi \times (D/2)^3$

210 Filament mean volume $V = (D/2)^2 \times \pi \times L$

211

212 Where D is the nominal diameter and L the length of microscopy-detected particles. An

approximate mean diameter was used for cytometry-detected particles within the size classes

214 defined according to the calibration beads. The mean volume was then multiplied by the

215 particle counts in each class and by the density of PP (900 kg/m³) to estimate their

216 contribution to the total mass.

217 The correlation between the release of particles and the percentage of fabric deterioration was

218 carried out using the Spearman's rho test. As previously invoked to explain the observed size

219 distributions of plastics in aquatic environments (Brown and Wohletz, 1995; Timár et al.,

220 2010), the sigmoid Weibull and lognormal distributions were applied to empirically model the

plastic release, fragmentation, and agglomeration processes that may have occurred during theshear tests.

223

224 2.5. Quality control

225 Quality control was checked by adopting all precautions recommended to limit the

226 overestimation of microplastic counts, including wearing of cotton gloves and laboratory

227 coats. Glassware was thoroughly cleaned with MilliQ water. To check that no airborne

228 contamination occurred in the laboratory, a blank control dish was left open on the lab bench

and inspected at the optical microscope during the experiments (Wesch et al., 2017).

230 Moreover, when approaching the instrumental limits for the detection of nanoplastics,

231 contamination may become relevant, therefore the aspecific signals detected on the blank

232 control (i.e., at each preliminary shear test performed with ultrapure MilliQ water only) were

subtracted from the concentration values of the target particles per each of the 4 size classes.

234

235 **3. Results**

236 3.1. Deterioration of PP fabric at increasing shear stress intensities

237 Before treatments, all the 16 nonwoven PP fabrics showed comparable size (approx. 135 x

 $130 \times 0.125 \text{ mm}$), weight (549 ± 54 mg), and an intact texture with well-shaped rhomboid

holes (approx. 0.6 mm²), placed at a fixed distance (0.4 holes/mm²) across a tangled web of

240 PP filaments (20-30 μ m in thickness). At higher shear stress intensities, larger areas of 241 deterioration were found by visually inspecting the PP fabrics, with increasingly occurring 242 shear-damaged holes and filaments resulting into a fuzzier fabric texture (Figure 1). The water 243 temperature raised from 17.5 °C to 21.0 °C (at the longest treatment time of 120 s). A 244 significant positive linear relation was found between the tissue deterioration level (% of 245 weight loss) and the shear energy density (kJ/L) at increasing treatment times (n = 16; r = 246 0.695, p = 0.003) (Table 1).

247

248 3.2. Release of plastic particles from treated PP fabrics

249 The release in water of fabric fragments and plastic particles, excised from the web of PP

filaments, was observed (Figure 2). The released MPs $>100 \mu m$ were fiber-like, with few

251 large fabric pieces (>5 mm, not considered in the counting). Observation at optic microscope

showed an increase in the formation of MPs along with the shear energy density and in the

253 percentage of fabric deterioration across the treatment time from 1 s (mean \pm sd = 0.3 \pm 0.1 \times

 10^5 items/m² of treated fabric, corresponding to $0.9 \pm 0.2 \times 10^3$ items/g of PP) to 120 s (2.8 ±

255
$$0.5 \times 10^5$$
 items/m²; $8.7 \pm 1.5 \times 10^3$ items/g of PP)

256 Smaller, mostly spherical, and abundant particles were visually spotted by microscopy and

257 quantified by flow cytometry. A significant reduction of total counts was obtained by

subtracting the unspecific signals detected in the blank controls at each shear test and size

class (55.6 \pm 19.6%). Moreover, following the SYBR Green staining protocol, the occurrence

- 260 of microbial contamination was negligible and did not bias the particle counts within the size
- 261 classes (approx. 10^3 cells/mL).
- 262 The mean value of total released particles was $2.1 \pm 1.4 \times 10^{11}$ items/m² of treated fabric,

263 corresponding to $7.0 \pm 4.9 \times 10^9$ items/g of PP. The release of particles increased with energy

density and fabric deterioration across treatment times from 1s $(7.6 \pm 4.6 \times 10^9 \text{ items/m}^2)$ to

265 30s $(3.9 \pm 1.2 \times 10^{11} \text{ items/m}^2)$, with a slight but not significant reduction afterward (60s = 2.8 266 $\pm 0.5 \times 10^{11} \text{ items/m}^2$; 120s = 2.1 $\pm 0.7 \times 10^{11} \text{ items/m}^2$).

- 267 By plotting the forward scatter peak and area signals (FSC-H vs FSC-A), the large majority of
- detected particles were spherical (FSC-H = FSC-A; > 99% of items within <0.1 μ m and 0.1-
- $269 \quad 0.5 \ \mu m \ size \ classes)$ and non-fluorescent (blue channel < 10 fluorescence units). However, in
- 270 0.5-1.0 μm and >1.0 μm size classes, the occurrence of non-spherical particles increased with
- treatment time (FSC-H < FSC-A; >20% of total items at 120s), along with the percentage of
- blue autofluorescent items (i.e., $65.5 \pm 24.3\%$ of total items in the >1.0 μ m size class).
- 273 In numerical terms, particles distribution was dominated by 0.1-0.5 µm size class particles
- 274 (78.9 \pm 6.5 % of total items), followed by nano-sized particles (<0.1 μ m = 20.5 \pm 7.5 %).
- 275 Conversely, in terms of weight, the larger microfibers and micrometric particles contributed
- 276 the most to the total retrieved mass (>100 μ m = 64.3 ± 24.7%; >1.0 μ m = 26.1 ± 18.4% of
- total mass) (Figure 3).
- 278 Despite higher shear energy densities reflected greater fabric deterioration and particle release
- in water, the occurrence of MPs $>100 \,\mu\text{m}$ followed a sigmoidal increase over treatment and
- did not correlate with the log-normal release of particles belonging to smaller size classes.
- 281 Regardless the total counts, the patterns of particle release were different among the size
- classes (Figure 4).
- 283

284 **4. Discussion**

This study was entailed to assess the potential release in water of micro/nanoplastics generated from single-use face masks once discarded in the environment. By adopting an experimental setup similar to that presented by Enfrin et al. (Enfrin et al., 2020), a two-blade impeller was used at increasing times to induce the deterioration of face mask nonwoven 289 textile with the release and fragmentation of micro/nanoplastics in water at low levels of 290 mechanical stress.

291 The nonwoven fabric texture with large tiny-filament intervals within each layer, on the one 292 hand, guarantees face mask quality standard (Chua et al., 2020), on the other hand, it makes 293 the filtering PP filament web vulnerable to mechanical damage. After gentle agitation in 294 deionized water, plastic face masks were found to leach microfibers, along with associated 295 heavy metals and organic compounds (Sullivan et al., 2021). Microfiber generation was also 296 demonstrated upon breathing simulation tests (Li et al., 2021), thus raising concern for their 297 potential inhalation and ingestion risk.

298 Given the rapid advancement of plastic detection methods and the relative simplicity in

299 designing deterioration tests under controlled laboratory conditions (Lambert and Wagner,

300 2016; Mattsson et al., 2021; Song et al., 2017), the experimental approach is likely to

301 represent a fundamental first step to provide reproducible evidences on the fate and potential

302 implications of plastic materials ending-up into aquatic systems. Here, we intended to mimic

303 the action of external mechanical stress forces that discarded face masks can encounter in the

304 environment. The applied energy densities (i.e., 1.6 - 192 kJ/L) were significantly lower than

305 that used for breaking down large plastics (11 MJ/L applied on polystyrene lids and styrene

306 foam (Ekvall et al., 2019)), and in line with values reported at wastewater treatment plants

307 (10-150 kJ/L (Enfrin et al., 2020)).

309

308 The mechanical deterioration over prolonged time scales was reported to primarily contribute

to the fragmentation of plastic debris across the transfer from land to water. On land, frictional

310 stresses generated by abrasion with the road surface can easily overcome the limiting strength

311 of the nonwoven textile, resulting in micro-cutting of the face mask fabric (Zhang et al.,

312 2021). Similarly, the mechanical degradation of PP textile can be promoted by collision with

313 rocks and sands caused by e.g. wind, waves, and tides, which are estimated to generate energy

densities of 0.5 - 50 J/m³ of air/water (Layton, 2008). In natural and engineered aquatic 314

systems, face mask deterioration will largely depend on local hydrodynamic conditions, with
an estimated time of tens to hundreds years for the full decomposition of plastic components
(Chamas et al., 2020). Precipitation, weathering, surface runoff, water flow acting on river
bed, bottom currents upon the sea floor, waves-rocks interaction, but also the transport
through pipes, drains, and water treatment steps, are reported as the main routes driving
plastic transfer and mechanical deterioration (Duan et al., 2021; Kane et al., 2020; Zhang et
al., 2021).

322 In this study, a consistent number of micro/nanoplastics was immediately released into the 323 aquatic medium following the first second of treatment, and then continued with different 324 trends according to particle size and shape. Although first evidences on plastic fiber release 325 from face mask are provided (Saliu et al., 2021; Sullivan et al., 2021), there is still a lack of 326 knowledge on this potential environmental threat and its contribution to microfiber presence 327 in environment (Xu and Ren, 2021). The generation of microplastic fibers as a function of 328 mechanical stress intensity has been mostly investigated after laundry operation of synthetic 329 textile and clothing, reporting that increasing temperature, wash duration, sequential washing, 330 and the use of detergents promoted the release of microfibers. A large body of recent 331 literature indicates that laundering clothing is a significant point source for emissions of 332 microplastic fibers to oceans (Cesa et al., 2020; Hernandez et al., 2017; Napper and 333 Thompson, 2016; Zambrano et al., 2019). De Falco et al. (De Falco et al., 2018) found that the number of microfibers increased from $1.6 \pm 0.5 \times 10^2$ items/g of fabric when washed with 334 water alone to $1.3 \pm 0.2 \times 10^3$ items/g fabric using liquid detergent, and to $3.5 \pm 0.7 \times 10^3$ 335 336 items/g of fabric using powdered detergent. Yang et al. (Yang et al., 2019) found significant 337 differences when laundering different fabrics at increasing temperature, with a maximum of $7.5\pm0.1\times10^4$ microfibers/m² released after washing at 60 °C. 338 339 A major concern is that plastic microfibers can be fragmented into thousands of nano-sized

340 particles that are more persistent and difficult to be detected (Henry et al., n.d.). Apparently

341 following a fragmentation process, we found a general prevalence for spherical nanoparticles 342 in the size class 0.1-0.5 μ m, followed by the smallest size class (<0.1 μ m) at higher energy 343 densities. Although further confirmations are needed, the fragmentation rate of face mask 344 fabrics can be higher than that determined in this study, since several complex factors may co-345 occur in the environment, thus accelerating the overall plastic deterioration (Julienne et al., 346 2019). Moreover, the total release of micro/nanoplastics was not linearly correlated with 347 weight loss and deterioration of the treated fabrics, but rather followed an asymptotic curve at 348 increasing shear stress. These findings could point either to technical detection issues, since 349 large fabric fragments (i.e., > 5 mm) and small nanoparticles (i.e., < 80 nm) were not reliably 350 quantified, or to the density-dependent aggregation/agglomeration of the newly-formed 351 nanoplastics, as also reported in experimental and field studies (Singh et al., 2019). Both 352 fragmentation and agglomeration processes could be evoked to explain the different release 353 trends observed between the target particle size classes. 354 Notably, blue autofluorescence signals were detected for micrometric particles (>1.0 µm) and 355 decreased with size Some plastic particles were reported to show detectable levels of 356 autofluorescence, after excitement by near UV or visible radiation (e.g., polycarbonate, 357 poly(methyl-methacrylate), poly(dimethylsiloxane), cyclo-olefin copolymer) (Kaile et al., 358 2020; Piruska et al., 2005), which can be amplified upon specific thermal/chemical treatments 359 (Monteleone et al., 2021; Young et al., 2013). Overall, such phenomenon has inherent 360 implications in particle detection, and the autofluorescence properties, coupled with 361 secondary staining techniques, deserve to be further explored to improve the identification 362 and characterization of a large fraction of the micro/nanoplastic pool (Kaile et al., 2020). 363 Among the numerous gaps affecting the current knowledge on micro/nanoplastic research, 364 including environmental presence, transport pathways, adsorption of contaminants, and even 365 the definition of size limits (Frias and Nash, 2019), there is not a general consensus on 366 detection methods (Caputo et al., 2021). We showed the potential application of flow

367 cytometry for detecting micrometric and submicrometric plastic particles. Yet rarely 368 considered for non-biological targets, this technology has been successfully applied to detect small plastic particles in aquatic media (Bringer et al., 2020; Kaile et al., 2020; Le Bihanic et 369 370 al., 2020), with performances comparable to those showed by other more established 371 analytical techniques (e.g., dynamic light scattering, nanoparticle tracking analysis) (Caputo 372 et al., 2021). FCM was suitable for detecting homogenously distributed particles in liquid 373 suspensions, albeit challenging when applied to environmental and biological complex 374 matrices. Therefore, particular attention has to be directed toward removing all potential sources of particle quantification bias. Here, the subtraction of false positive signals detected 375 376 in blank samples (55.6 \pm 19.6% of total counted items) confirmed the fundamental need for 377 quality controls, especially when working within the nano-size range. Taking advantage from 378 the available literature on MNPs (Enfrin et al., 2020; Kaile et al., 2020; Prata et al., 2021), we 379 carefully included a series of steps (i.e., a thoroughly washing of all FCM fluidics between 380 each treatment, sample digestion in H_2O_2) to avoid particle count overestimation. For the 381 purpose of this experimental study, FCM was proved to be a flexible, nearly real-time (i.e., 382 time to results < 20 minutes from sampling) and reliable (i.e., thousands of events counted per 383 second) technology to quantify plastic particles released from face masks across a wide 384 dimensional range.

385 After treating the nonwoven PP fabrics at the lowest mechanical stress (i.e., 1.6 kJ/L,

386 comparable to wind energy on a moderately windy day or slow tidal movement), a single 3

layers-face mask may generate 2×10^3 microfibers (> 100 µm), as comparably reported in

388 previous experimental tests (Saliu et al., 2021), and up to 7×10^8 submicrometric particles.

389 Notably, the mechanical deterioration of just 1% of the fabric layers (i.e., 96 kJ/L, easily

390 reached within wastewater treatment plants or upon few months of exposure to environmental

391 stress factors) could result into the release in water of approximately 20 mg of

392 micro/nanoplastics per face mask.

393 Since global estimates reported that more than 10 million masks can be discarded monthly in the environment with a total weight of 30-40 tons (Adyel, 2020), this may translate into 10^{10} 394 microfibers and 10^{15} micro/nanoplastics entering the aquatic ecosystem per month. Such 395 396 extrapolation of experimental data must be used with due caution, but it clearly suggests that 397 universal face masking will likely add further burden to the current plastic pollution level in 398 water. As nonwoven textiles used for disposable protective equipment and sanitary products 399 (e.g., wet wipes and sanitary towels) are emerging as potential new sources of 400 micro/nanoplastics (Ó Briain et al., 2020; Shruti et al., 2020), it is deemed critical to include 401 face masks in plastic pollution research for a more accurate projection of the global plastic 402 budget.

403

404 **5.** Conclusions

405 With the universal necessity of wearing face masks to fight the COVID-19 pandemic, it is 406 urgent to elucidate the environmental fate and potential implications of the inappropriate 407 disposal of single-use plastic wastes. By mimicking realistic deterioration conditions, our 408 experimental results revealed that a consistent high number of micro/nanoplastics can be 409 promptly released from a single mask into the aquatic environment. The release pattern of 410 micro/nanoparticles appears a critical yet undervalued circumstance that should be 411 reconsidered into harmonized test protocols and analytical procedures for assessing the 412 liability of ubiquitous plastic-based materials to mechanical deterioration, fragmentation, and 413 agglomeration processes in view of a tailor-made management strategy for end-of-life 414 plastics.

415

416 Acknowledgements

The Authors thank Massimo Sanchez and Valentina Tirelli of the Flow Cytometry Area (Core
Facilities, Italian Institute of Health - ISS) for providing technical support and valuable advice

- 419 on the interpretation of flow cytometric data. The experimental activities were partly
- 420 supported by the projects GO-FOR-WATER (ERA-NET FLAG ERA III, n. 825207) and
- 421 GRAPHIL (GrapheneCore3-H2020-SGA-FET-GRAPHENE, n. 881603).
- 422

```
423 References
```

424 Adyel, T.M., 2020. Accumulation of plastic waste during COVID-19. Science (80-.). 369,

425 1314–1315. doi:10.1126/science.abd9925

- 426 Amalfitano, S., Fazi, S., Ejarque, E., Freixa, A., Romaní, A.M., Butturini, A., 2018.
- 427 Deconvolution model to resolve cytometric microbial community patterns in flowing
 428 waters. Cytom. Part A 93, 194–200. doi:10.1002/cyto.a.23304
- 429 Andrady, A.L., 2015. Persistence of Plastic Litter in the Oceans, in: Marine Anthropogenic
- 430 Litter. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 57–72. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-
- 431 16510-3_3
- 432 Ardusso, M., Forero-López, A.D., Buzzi, N.S., Spetter, C.V., Fernández-Severini, M.D.,
- 433 2021. COVID-19 pandemic repercussions on plastic and antiviral polymeric textile
- 434 causing pollution on beaches and coasts of South America. Sci. Total Environ. 763,

435 144365. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144365

436 Bessa, F., Kogel, T., Frias, J., Lusher, A., 2019. Harmonized protocol for monitoring

437 microplastics in biota, Micropoll-Multilevel assessment of microplastics and associated

438 pollutants in the Baltic Sea View project. doi:10.13140/RG.2.2.28588.72321/1

- 439 Boyle, L., 2020. Bird dies after getting tangled in coronavirus face mask | The Independent |
- 440 The Independent [WWW Document]. Independent.
- 441 Brandon, J., Goldstein, M., Ohman, M.D., 2016. Long-term aging and degradation of
- 442 microplastic particles: Comparing in situ oceanic and experimental weathering patterns.
- 443 Mar. Pollut. Bull. 110, 299–308. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.06.048
- 444 Bringer, A., Thomas, H., Prunier, G., Dubillot, E., Bossut, N., Churlaud, C., Clérandeau, C.,

- 445 Le Bihanic, F., Cachot, J., 2020. High density polyethylene (HDPE) microplastics impair
- 446 development and swimming activity of Pacific oyster D-larvae, Crassostrea gigas,
- 447 depending on particle size. Environ. Pollut. 260, 113978.
- 448 doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2020.113978
- 449 Brown, W.K., Wohletz, K.H., 1995. Derivation of the Weibull distribution based on physical
- 450 principles and its connection to the Rosin–Rammler and lognormal distributions. J. Appl.

451 Phys. 78, 2758–2763. doi:10.1063/1.360073

- 452 Caputo, F., Vogel, R., Savage, J., Vella, G., Law, A., Della Camera, G., Hannon, G., Peacock,
- 453 B., Mehn, D., Ponti, J., Geiss, O., Aubert, D., Prina-Mello, A., Calzolai, L., 2021.
- 454 Measuring particle size distribution and mass concentration of nanoplastics and
- 455 microplastics: addressing some analytical challenges in the sub-micron size range. J.

456 Colloid Interface Sci. 588, 401–417. doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2020.12.039

- 457 Cesa, F.S., Turra, A., Checon, H.H., Leonardi, B., Baruque-Ramos, J., 2020. Laundering and
- 458 textile parameters influence fibers release in household washings. Environ. Pollut. 257,
- 459 113553. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113553
- 460 Chamas, A., Moon, H., Zheng, J., Qiu, Y., Tabassum, T., Jang, J.H., Abu-Omar, M., Scott,
- 461 S.L., Suh, S., 2020. Degradation Rates of Plastics in the Environment. ACS Sustain.
- 462 Chem. Eng. 8, 3494–3511. doi:10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b06635
- 463 Chua, M.H., Cheng, W., Goh, S.S., Kong, J., Li, B., Lim, J.Y.C., Mao, L., Wang, S., Xue, K.,
- 464 Yang, L., Ye, E., Zhang, K., Cheong, W.C.D., Tan, Beng Hoon, Li, Z., Tan, Ban Hock,
- 465 Loh, X.J., 2020. Face Masks in the New COVID-19 Normal: Materials, Testing, and
- 466 Perspectives. Research 2020, 1–40. doi:10.34133/2020/7286735
- 467 De-la-Torre, G.E., Rakib, M.R.J., Pizarro-Ortega, C.I., Dioses-Salinas, D.C., 2021.
- 468 Occurrence of personal protective equipment (PPE) associated with the COVID-19
- 469 pandemic along the coast of Lima, Peru. Sci. Total Environ. 774, 145774.
- 470 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145774

- 471 De Falco, F., Gullo, M.P., Gentile, G., Di Pace, E., Cocca, M., Gelabert, L., Brouta-Agnésa,
- 472 M., Rovira, A., Escudero, R., Villalba, R., Mossotti, R., Montarsolo, A., Gavignano, S.,
- 473 Tonin, C., Avella, M., 2018. Evaluation of microplastic release caused by textile
- 474 washing processes of synthetic fabrics. Environ. Pollut. 236, 916–925.
- 475 doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2017.10.057
- 476 Ding, Z., Babar, A.A., Wang, C., Zhang, P., Wang, X., Yu, J., Ding, B., 2020. Spunbonded
- 477 needle-punched nonwoven geotextiles for filtration and drainage applications:

478 Manufacturing and structural design. Compos. Commun. 100481.

- 479 doi:10.1016/j.coco.2020.100481
- 480 Duan, J., Bolan, N., Li, Y., Ding, S., Atugoda, T., Vithanage, M., Sarkar, B., Tsang, D.C.W.,
- 481 Kirkham, M.B., 2021. Weathering of microplastics and interaction with other coexisting
- 482 constituents in terrestrial and aquatic environments. Water Res. 196, 117011.
- 483 doi:10.1016/j.watres.2021.117011
- 484 Ekvall, M.T., Lundqvist, M., Kelpsiene, E., Šileikis, E., Gunnarsson, S.B., Cedervall, T.,
- 485 2019. Nanoplastics formed during the mechanical breakdown of daily-use polystyrene

486 products. Nanoscale Adv. 1, 1055–1061. doi:10.1039/C8NA00210J

- 487 Enfrin, M., Lee, J., Gibert, Y., Basheer, F., Kong, L., Dumée, L.F., 2020. Release of
- 488 hazardous nanoplastic contaminants due to microplastics fragmentation under shear
- 489 stress forces. J. Hazard. Mater. 384, 121393. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.121393
- 490 Frias, J.P.G.L., Nash, R., 2019. Microplastics: Finding a consensus on the definition. Mar.

491 Pollut. Bull. 138, 145–147. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.11.022

- 492 Gallo Neto, H., Gomes Bantel, C., Browning, J., Della Fina, N., Albuquerque Ballabio, T.,
- 493 Teles de Santana, F., de Karam e Britto, M., Beatriz Barbosa, C., 2021. Mortality of a
- 494 juvenile Magellanic penguin (Spheniscus magellanicus, Spheniscidae) associated with
- the ingestion of a PFF-2 protective mask during the Covid-19 pandemic. Mar. Pollut.
- 496 Bull. 166, 112232. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2021.112232

- 497 Gigault, J., Halle, A. ter, Baudrimont, M., Pascal, P.-Y., Gauffre, F., Phi, T.-L., El Hadri, H.,
- 498 Grassl, B., Reynaud, S., 2018. Current opinion: What is a nanoplastic? Environ. Pollut.
- 499 235, 1030–1034. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2018.01.024
- 500 Henry, B., Laitala, K., Klepp, I.G., n.d. Microfibres from apparel and home textiles: Prospects
- 501 for including microplastics in environmental sustainability assessment. Sci. Total
- 502 Environ. doi:S004896971834049X
- 503 Hernandez, E., Nowack, B., Mitrano, D.M., 2017. Polyester Textiles as a Source of
- 504 Microplastics from Households: A Mechanistic Study to Understand Microfiber Release
- 505 During Washing. Environ. Sci. Technol. 51, 7036–7046. doi:10.1021/acs.est.7b01750
- 506 Jiang, B., Kauffman, A.E., Li, L., McFee, W., Cai, B., Weinstein, J., Lead, J.R., Chatterjee,
- 507 S., Scott, G.I., Xiao, S., 2020. Health impacts of environmental contamination of micro-
- and nanoplastics: a review. Environ. Health Prev. Med. 25, 29. doi:10.1186/s12199-02000870-9
- 510 Julienne, F., Delorme, N., Lagarde, F., 2019. From macroplastics to microplastics: Role of
- 511 water in the fragmentation of polyethylene. Chemosphere 236, 124409.
- 512 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.124409
- 513 Kaile, N., Lindivat, M., Elio, J., Thuestad, G., Crowley, Q.G., Hoell, I.A., 2020. Preliminary
- 514 Results From Detection of Microplastics in Liquid Samples Using Flow Cytometry.
- 515 Front. Mar. Sci. 7. doi:10.3389/fmars.2020.552688
- 516 Kane, I.A., Clare, M.A., Miramontes, E., Wogelius, R., Rothwell, J.J., Garreau, P., Pohl, F.,
- 517 2020. Seafloor microplastic hotspots controlled by deep-sea circulation. Science (80-.).
- 518 368, 1140–1145. doi:10.1126/science.aba5899
- 519 Lambert, S., Wagner, M., 2016. Characterisation of nanoplastics during the degradation of
- 520 polystyrene. Chemosphere 145, 265–268. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.11.078
- 521 Layton, B.E., 2008. A Comparison of Energy Densities of Prevalent Energy Sources in Units
- 522 of Joules Per Cubic Meter. Int. J. Green Energy 5, 438–455.

- 523 doi:10.1080/15435070802498036
- 524 Le Bihanic, F., Clérandeau, C., Cormier, B., Crebassa, J.-C., Keiter, S.H., Beiras, R., Morin,
- 525 B., Bégout, M.-L., Cousin, X., Cachot, J., 2020. Organic contaminants sorbed to
- 526 microplastics affect marine medaka fish early life stages development. Mar. Pollut. Bull.
- 527 154, 111059. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2020.111059
- 528 Li, L., Zhao, X., Li, Z., Song, K., 2021. COVID-19: Performance study of microplastic
- 529 inhalation risk posed by wearing masks. J. Hazard. Mater. 411, 124955.
- 530 doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.124955
- 531 Mattsson, K., Björkroth, F., Karlsson, T., Hassellöv, M., 2021. Nanofragmentation of
- 532 Expanded Polystyrene Under Simulated Environmental Weathering (Thermooxidative
- 533 Degradation and Hydrodynamic Turbulence). Front. Mar. Sci. 7.
- 534 doi:10.3389/fmars.2020.578178
- 535 Monteleone, A., Brandau, L., Schary, W., Wenzel, F., 2021. Using autofluorescence for
- 536 microplastic detection Heat treatment increases the autofluorescence of microplastics1.

537 Clin. Hemorheol. Microcirc. 76, 473–493. doi:10.3233/CH-209223

- 538 Morgana, S., Ghigliotti, L., Estévez-Calvar, N., Stifanese, R., Wieckzorek, A., Doyle, T.,
- 539 Christiansen, J.S., Faimali, M., Garaventa, F., 2018. Microplastics in the Arctic: A case
- 540 study with sub-surface water and fish samples off Northeast Greenland. Environ. Pollut.
- 541 242, 1078–1086. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2018.08.001
- 542 Napper, I.E., Thompson, R.C., 2016. Release of synthetic microplastic plastic fibres from
- 543 domestic washing machines: Effects of fabric type and washing conditions. Mar. Pollut.
- 544 Bull. 112, 39–45. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.09.025
- 545 Ó Briain, O., Marques Mendes, A.R., McCarron, S., Healy, M.G., Morrison, L., 2020. The
- 546 role of wet wipes and sanitary towels as a source of white microplastic fibres in the
- 547 marine environment. Water Res. 182, 116021. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2020.116021
- 548 Okuku, E., Kiteresi, L., Owato, G., Otieno, K., Mwalugha, C., Mbuche, M., Gwada, B.,

- 549 Nelson, A., Chepkemboi, P., Achieng, Q., Wanjeri, V., Ndwiga, J., Mulupi, L., Omire, 550 J., 2021. The impacts of COVID-19 pandemic on marine litter pollution along the 551 Kenyan Coast: A synthesis after 100 days following the first reported case in Kenya. 552 Mar. Pollut. Bull. 162, 111840. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2020.111840 553 Patrício Silva, A.L., Prata, J.C., Walker, T.R., Duarte, A.C., Ouyang, W., Barcelò, D., Rocha-554 Santos, T., 2021. Increased plastic pollution due to COVID-19 pandemic: Challenges 555 and recommendations. Chem. Eng. J. 405, 126683. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2020.126683 556 Piruska, A., Nikcevic, I., Lee, S.H., Ahn, C., Heineman, W.R., Limbach, P.A., Seliskar, C.J., 557 2005. The autofluorescence of plastic materials and chips measured under laser 558 irradiation. Lab Chip 5, 1348. doi:10.1039/b508288a 559 Prata, J.C., Reis, V., da Costa, J.P., Mouneyrac, C., Duarte, A.C., Rocha-Santos, T., 2021. 560 Contamination issues as a challenge in quality control and quality assurance in 561 microplastics analytics. J. Hazard. Mater. 403, 123660.
 - 562 doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.123660
 - 563 Prata, J. C., Silva, A. L. P., Duarte, A. C., Rocha-Santos, T. 2021. Disposable over Reusable
 - 564 Face Masks: Public Safety or Environmental Disaster?. Environments, 8(4), 31.
 - 565 doi:10.3390/environments8040031
 - 566 Ragazzi, M., Rada, E.C., Schiavon, M., 2020. Municipal solid waste management during the
 - 567 SARS-COV-2 outbreak and lockdown ease: Lessons from Italy. Sci. Total Environ. 745,
 - 568 141159. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141159
 - 569 Saadat, S., Rawtani, D., Hussain, C.M., 2020. Environmental perspective of COVID-19. Sci.
 - 570 Total Environ. 728, 138870. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138870
 - 571 Saliu, F., Veronelli, M., Raguso, C., Barana, D., Galli, P., Lasagni, M., 2021. The release
 - 572 process of microfibers: from surgical face masks into the marine environment. Environ.
 - 573 Adv. 4, 100042. doi:10.1016/j.envadv.2021.100042
 - 574 Sathicq, M.B., Sabatino, R., Corno, G., Di Cesare, A., 2021. Are microplastic particles a

- 575 hotspot for the spread and the persistence of antibiotic resistance in aquatic systems?
- 576 Environ. Pollut. 279, 116896. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2021.116896
- 577 Shackelford, J.F., 2000. Materials science for engineers, Upper Saddle River. New Jersey.
- 578 Shruti, V.C., Pérez-Guevara, F., Elizalde-Martínez, I., Kutralam-Muniasamy, G., 2020.
- 579Reusable masks for COVID-19: A missing piece of the microplastic problem during the
- 580 global health crisis. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 161, 111777.
- 581 doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2020.111777
- 582 Singh, N., Tiwari, E., Khandelwal, N., Darbha, G.K., 2019. Understanding the stability of
- 583 nanoplastics in aqueous environments: effect of ionic strength, temperature, dissolved
- 584 organic matter, clay, and heavy metals. Environ. Sci. Nano 6, 2968–2976.
- 585 doi:10.1039/C9EN00557A
- 586 Song, Y.K., Hong, S.H., Jang, M., Han, G.M., Jung, S.W., Shim, W.J., 2017. Combined
- 587 Effects of UV Exposure Duration and Mechanical Abrasion on Microplastic
- 588 Fragmentation by Polymer Type. Environ. Sci. Technol. 51, 4368–4376.
- 589 doi:10.1021/acs.est.6b06155
- 590 Sullivan, G.L., Delgado-Gallardo, J., Watson, T.M., Sarp, S., 2021. An investigation into the
- 591 leaching of micro and nano particles and chemical pollutants from disposable face masks
- linked to the COVID-19 pandemic. Water Res. 196, 117033.
- 593 doi:10.1016/j.watres.2021.117033
- 594 Timár, G., Blömer, J., Kun, F., Herrmann, H.J., 2010. New Universality Class for the
- 595 Fragmentation of Plastic Materials. Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 095502.
- 596 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.095502
- 597 Vethaak, A.D., Leslie, H.A., 2016. Plastic Debris Is a Human Health Issue. Environ. Sci.
- 598 Technol. 50, 6825–6826. doi:10.1021/acs.est.6b02569
- 599 Wesch, C., Elert, A.M., Wörner, M., Braun, U., Klein, R., Paulus, M., 2017. Assuring quality
- 600 in microplastic monitoring: About the value of clean-air devices as essentials for verified

- 601 data. Sci. Rep. 7, 5424. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-05838-4
- World Health Organization, 2020. Shortage of personal protective equipment endangering
- health workers worldwide. World Heal. Organ.
- Wu, H., Huang, J., Zhang, C.J.P., He, Z., Ming, W.-K., 2020. Facemask shortage and the
- novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak: Reflections on public health measures.
- 606 EClinicalMedicine 21, 100329. doi:10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100329
- Ku, E.G., Ren, Z.J., 2021. Preventing masks from becoming the next plastic problem. Front.

608 Environ. Sci. Eng. 15, 125. doi:10.1007/s11783-021-1413-7

- 409 Yang, L., Qiao, F., Lei, K., Li, H., Kang, Y., Cui, S., An, L., 2019. Microfiber release from
- 610 different fabrics during washing. Environ. Pollut. 249, 136–143.
- 611 doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2019.03.011
- 612 Young, E.W.K., Berthier, E., Beebe, D.J., 2013. Assessment of Enhanced Autofluorescence
- and Impact on Cell Microscopy for Microfabricated Thermoplastic Devices. Anal.
- 614 Chem. 85, 44–49. doi:10.1021/ac3034773
- 615 Zambrano-Monserrate, M.A., Ruano, M.A., Sanchez-Alcalde, L., 2020. Indirect effects of
- 616 COVID-19 on the environment. Sci. Total Environ. 728, 138813.
- 617 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138813
- 618 Zambrano, M.C., Pawlak, J.J., Daystar, J., Ankeny, M., Cheng, J.J., Venditti, R.A., 2019.
- 619 Microfibers generated from the laundering of cotton, rayon and polyester based fabrics
- and their aquatic biodegradation. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 142, 394–407.
- 621 doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.02.062
- 622 Zhang, K., Hamidian, A.H., Tubić, A., Zhang, Y., Fang, J.K.H., Wu, C., Lam, P.K.S., 2021.
- 623 Understanding plastic degradation and microplastic formation in the environment: A
- 624 review. Environ. Pollut. 274, 116554. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2021.116554
- 625 Zhang, M., Xu, L., 2020. Transport of micro- and nanoplastics in the environment: Trojan-
- 626 Horse effect for organic contaminants. Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. 1–37.

627 doi:10.1080/10643389.2020.1845531

Table 1. Effects of shear stress intensity (i.e., treatment time and shear power) on fabric
texture damage, water temperature (°C), and fabric deterioration (% of weight loss). Mean
values (± standard deviation of triplicates) are reported.

Shear time (s)	Energy density (kJ/L)	Water T (°C)	Weight loss (mg)	Fabric deterioration (%)		
1	1.6	17.5	1.0 ± 1.6	0.2 ± 0.3		
15	24.0	18.0	1.6 ± 1.0	0.3 ± 0.1		
30	48.0	18.2	3.0 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.1		
60*	96.0	19.1	11.2 ± 7.3	2.1 ± 1.4		
120	192.0	21.0	15.6 ± 12.3	3.2 ± 2.7		
* 4 replicates.						

633 * 4





Figure 1. Deterioration of PP fabric excised from a face mask at increasing treatment time (from 1s to 120 s) and shear stress intensities (from 1.6 kJ/L to 192.0 kJ/L) (scale bar = 10 mm). $40 \times$ Microscopic images (black boxes) show the intact and progressively damaged fabric texture (scale bar = 1 mm).



Figure 2. Selected images of microplastic fragments and fibers excised from the PP filament

642 web of the face mask fabric and released in water during the shear tests (scale bar = 0.1 mm).



Figure 3. Relative contribution to total number (a) and mass (b) of detected

646 micro/nanoplastics released in water during the shear tests. Conversion in weight was based

on particle morphology and mean size within the 5 size classes.



Figure 4. Patterns of particle release from face mask fabric in water at increasing shear stress
and fabric deterioration. Symbol size increases with treatment time. Sigmoid Weibull and
lognormal distributions were applied to model the occurrence of particles within the 5 size
classes.



656

Figure S1. Micro/nanoplastic particles released from face mask fabrics and detected by flow cytometry at increasing shear times. Specific gates onto log-scaled density plots of side vs forward scatter signals (left panels) and histogram plots (right panels) were designed using the reference size of calibration beads. Accordingly, we identified the classes "> 1.0" (MPs with a nominal diameter $\ge 1.0 \ \mu\text{m}$ but smaller than then maximum analytical size of 100 \ \mum), "0.5-1.0" (NPs $\ge 0.5 \ \mu\text{m}$ but < 1 \ \mum), "0.1-0.5" (NPs $\ge 0.1 \ \mu\text{m}$ but < 0.5 \ \mum), "< 0.1" (NPs < 0.1 \mum but larger than then minimum analytical size).